(14/11/05)

OCCUPATION TIME FLUCTUATIONS OF AN INFINITE VARIANCE BRANCHING SYSTEM IN LARGE DIMENSIONS

(OCCUPATION TIME FLUCTUATIONS IN LARGE DIMENSIONS)

TOMASZ BOJDECKI

Institute of Mathematics University of Warsaw ul. Banacha 2 02-097 Warsaw, Poland E.mail: tobojd@mimuw.edu.pl

LUIS G. GOROSTIZA *

Department of Mathematics Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados A.P. 14-740 México, 07000 D.F., Mexico E.mai: lgorosti@math.cinvestav.mx

ANNA TALARCZYK

Institute of Mathematics University of Warsaw ul. Banacha 2 02-097 Warsaw, Poland

E.mail: annatal@mimuw.edu.pl

We prove limit theorems for rescaled occupation time fluctuations of a (d, α, β) -branching particle system (particles moving in \mathbb{R}^d according to a spherically symmetric α -stable Lévy process, $(1+\beta)$ -branching, $0 < \beta < 1$, uniform Poisson initial state), in the cases of critical dimension, $d = \alpha(1+\beta)/\beta$, and large dimensions, $d > \alpha(1+\beta)/\beta$. The fluctuation processes are continuous but their limits are stable processes with independent increments, which have jumps. The convergence is in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions, and also of space-time random fields (tightness does not hold in the usual Skorohod topology). The results are in sharp contrast with those for intermediate dimensions, $\alpha/\beta < d < d(1+\beta)/\beta$, where the limit process is continuous and has long range dependence (this case is studied by Bojdecki et al, 2005c). The limit process is measure-valued for the critical dimension, and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued for large dimensions. We also raise some questions of interpretation of the different types of dimension-dependent results obtained in the present and previous papers in terms of properties of the particle system.

Key words: branching particle system, critical and large dimensions, limit theorem, occupation time fluctuation, stable process.

1. Introduction

We consider the (d, α, β) -branching particle system, which consists of particles evolving independently in \mathbb{R}^d according to a spherically symmetric α -stable Lévy process (called standard α -stable process henceforth) and a $(1 + \beta)$ -branching law, $0 < \beta < 1$. This law has generating function

$$s + \frac{(1-s)^{1+\beta}}{1+\beta}, \quad 0 < s < 1,$$
 (1.1)

is critical, and belongs to the domain of attraction of a stable law with exponent $1+\beta$ (the case $\beta=1$ corresponds to binary branching). The particle lifetime distribution is exponential with parameter V (this parameter is not particularly relevant in this paper, but we keep it for consistency with our previous papers, Bojdecki et al 2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c, where sometimes it plays a role). We assume that the system starts off at time 0 from a Poisson random field with intensity measure λ (Lebesgue measure).

The (d, α, β) -branching particle system and its associated superprocess have been widely studied (see Dawson, 1993, Dawson et al, 1989, Dawson and Perkins, 1991, Etheridge, 2000, Fleischmann and Gärtner, 1986, Gorostiza and Wakolbinger, 1991, Iscoe, 1986, Méléard and Roelly, 1990, for some of the early results). Although this spatial branching system is special, the different types of behaviors it exhibits depending on relationships between d, α and β (e.g. the persistence/extinction dichotomy, Gorostiza and Wakolbinger, 1991) make it an interesting test case.

Let $N = (N_t)_{t\geq 0}$ denote the empirical measure process of the system, i.e., $N_t(A)$ is the number of particles in the set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ at time t. The rescaled occupation time fluctuation process is defined by

$$X_T(t) = \frac{1}{F_T} \int_0^{T_t} (N_s - \lambda) ds = \frac{T}{F_T} \int_0^t (N_{T_s} - \lambda) ds, \quad t \ge 0,$$
 (1.2)

where F_T is a suitable norming for convergence and T is the scaling parameter which accelerates time and tends to ∞ . Note that $EN_s = \lambda$ for all s > 0, due to the initial Poisson condition, the criticality of the branching and the α -stable motion.

The process X_T exhibits different asymptotic behaviors as $T \to \infty$, depending on relationships between the parameters d, α, β . For intermediate dimensions, $\alpha/\beta < d < \alpha(1+\beta)/\beta$, and $F_T = T^{(2-\beta-\frac{d}{\alpha}\beta)/(1+\beta)}$, the limit process has the form $K\lambda\xi$, where K is a constant and $\xi=(\xi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a continuous, self-similar, stable process which has long range dependence (Bojdecki et al, 2005c). In the present paper we consider the cases of critical dimension, $d=\alpha(1+\beta)/\beta$, and large dimensions, $d>\alpha(1+\beta)/\beta$. We prove limit theorems for X_T described briefly as follows. For the critical dimension and $F_T=(T\log T)^{1/(1+\beta)}$, the limit has the form $K\lambda\xi$, where K is a constant and $\xi=(\xi_t)_{t\geq 0}$ is a real standard $(1+\beta)$ -stable Lévy process totally skewed to the right. For large dimensions and $F_T=T^{1/(1+\beta)}$, the limit is an $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued stable process with stationary independent increments $(\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is the space of tempered distributions, dual of the space $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of smooth rapidly decreasing functions). These two limit processes have jumps; recall that a continuous process with independent increments is necessarily Gaussian (Kallenberg, 2002, Theorem 13.4); this is in fact the case for $\beta=1$ (Bojdecki et al, 2005b).

Clearly, since the fluctuation processes are continuous and the limit processes have jumps, there cannot be convergence in the Skorohod space $D([0,1], \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d))$ with the usual J_1 -topology. We prove convergence of finite-dimensional distributions and also in the sense of space-time random fields (Bojdecki et al, 1986). We do not know if tightness holds in a weaker topology, e.g., the M_1 -topology (Skorohod, 1956), or the S-topology (Jakubowski, 1997).

The main observations regarding the results for critical and large dimensions are the striking fact that the fluctuation processes X_T , which are continuous, develop jumps in the limit as $T \to \infty$, and

that the limit processes have independent increments. These properties are in sharp contrast with those for intermediate dimensions (Bojdecki et al, 2005c), where, moreover, convergence takes place in the space of continuous functions $C([0,1], \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d))$.

In Bojdecki et al (2005a, 2005b) we proved functional limit theorems for the system with $\beta=1$, which corresponds to finite variance (binary) branching, for intermediate, critical and large dimensions. In that case all the limits are continuous. Another relevant paper is Birkner and Zähle (2005), where functional limit theorems are proved for fluctuations of the occupation time of the origin for a system of critical binary branching random walks on the d-dimensional lattice, $d \geq 3$, with methods different from ours; the results for initial Poisson state are parallel to those of Bojdecki et al (2005a, 2005b) and and in addition the equilibrium case is treated. In contrast with Birkner and Zähle (2005), we have also investigated the spatial structure in our case. For the (d, α, β) -branching particle system with $d = \alpha/\beta$, there is a functional ergodic theorem (Talarczyk, 2005). The present paper has partial origins in Iscoe (1986), where the occupation time of the (d, α, β) -superprocess is studied. The occupation times of the (d, α, β) -branching particle system and the (d, α, β) -superprocess have analogous properties, but the particle system is technically more involved.

The methods of proof in this paper are similar to those in Bojdecki et al (2005a, 2005b, 2005c), with some different technical complexities; the Fourier transform tools used before for $\beta=1$ are not applicable with $\beta<1$. We refer to Bojdecki et al (2005c) for some technical points. We remark that the critical dimension is more difficult to deal with than the large dimensions, although the limit process is simpler.

Additive processes in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and other nuclear spaces are an interesting subject on its own right (e.g. Itô, 1980, Pérez-Abreu et al, 2005, Üstunel, 1984). This article shows that processes of that type actually arise in physical models.

Section 2 contains the results, and Section 3 the proofs. In Section 4 we make some comments and raise questions of interpretation of the results for the intermediate, critical and large dimensions in terms of the particle system; these are questions that require further research.

2. Results

The following notation will be used. $\langle \ , \ \rangle$ denotes pairing of spaces in duality (e.g., $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^k)$) and $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^k)$). $||\ ||_p$ stands for L^p -norm, and it will be clear from the context whether the underlying space is \mathbb{R}^d or an interval of \mathbb{R} . Constants are written C, C_1, \ldots , with possible dependencies in parenthesis. \Rightarrow denotes convergence in law in appropriate spaces, and convergence of processes in the sense of finite-dimensional distributions is denoted \Longrightarrow .

We also need another, less known, notion of convergence, related to space-time random fields, introduced in Bojdecki et al (1986). To any stochastic process $X = (X(t))_{t\geq 0}$ with paths in the Skorohod space of cadlag functions $D(\mathbb{R}_+, \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and any $\tau > 0$, there corresponds an $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$ -valued random element \widetilde{X} defined by

$$\langle \widetilde{X}, \Phi \rangle = \int_0^\tau \langle X(t), \Phi(\cdot, t) \rangle dt, \quad \Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1}).$$
 (2.1)

Definition 2.1 Let $X, X_n, n = 1, 2, ...$, be $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued cadlag processes. We say that the laws of X_n converge to X in the space-time, or integral, sense (denoted $X_n \Longrightarrow_i X$) if for each $\tau > 0$,

$$\widetilde{X}_n \Rightarrow \widetilde{X} \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty.$$

 \Longrightarrow_i convergence, resembles \Longrightarrow_f convergence but none of them implies the other. Each one of these convergences together with tightness on $D([0,\tau],\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d))$ implies convergence in law in $D([0,\tau],\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Conversely, weak functional convergence implies \Longrightarrow_i .

Let p_t denote the transition density of the standard α -stable process on \mathbb{R}^d , and \mathcal{T}_t the corresponding semigroup, i.e., $\mathcal{T}_t \varphi = p_t * \varphi$. Denote the potential operator

$$G\varphi(x) = \int_0^\infty \mathcal{T}_t \varphi(x) dt = C_{\alpha,d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{\varphi(y)}{|x - y|^{d - \alpha}} dy, \tag{2.2}$$

where $C_{\alpha,d} = \Gamma(\frac{d-\alpha}{2})(2^{\alpha}\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}\Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2}))^{-1}$ (we always assume $d > \alpha$).

The main result of this paper is the following theorem for the occupation time fluctuation process of the (d, α, β) -branching particle system defined by (1.2).

Theorem 2.2 Assume $0 < \beta < 1$.

(a) Let

$$d > \frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{\beta} \tag{2.3}$$

and $F_T = T^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}}$. Then

$$X_T \Longrightarrow X$$
 and $X_T \Longrightarrow X$ as $T \to \infty$,

where X is an $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued $(1+\beta)$ -stable process with stationary independent increments whose distribution is determined by

$$E\exp\{i\langle X(t),\varphi\rangle\} = \exp\left\{-K^{1+\beta}t \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |G\varphi(x)|^{1+\beta} \left(1 - i(\operatorname{sgn}G\varphi(x))\tan\frac{\pi}{2}(1+\beta)\right) dx\right\}, \qquad (2.4)$$

$$\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), t > 0.$$

where

$$K = \left(-\frac{V}{1+\beta}\cos\frac{\pi}{2}(1+\beta)\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}}.$$

$$(b) Let$$

$$d = \frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{\beta} \tag{2.5}$$

and $F_T = (T \log T)^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}}$. Then

$$X_T \Longrightarrow_i K_1 \lambda \xi \quad and \quad X_T \Longrightarrow_f K_1 \lambda \xi \quad as \quad T \to \infty,$$

where ξ is a real $(1 + \beta)$ -stable process with stationary independent increments whose distribution is determined by

$$E\exp\{iz\xi_t\} = \exp\left\{-t|z|^{1+\beta} \left(1 - i(\operatorname{sgn}z)\tan\frac{\pi}{2}(1+\beta)\right)\right\}, \ z \in \mathbb{R}, t \ge 0,$$
(2.6)

and

$$K_1 = \left(-V\cos\frac{\pi}{2}(1+\beta)\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^1 p_r(x)dr\right)^{\beta} p_1(x)dx\right)^{\frac{1}{1+\beta}}.$$

Remarks 2.3 (1) Existence of a family of finite-dimensional distributions of the process X described in Theorem 2.2 (a) follows, e.g., from the proof. Then the existence of the $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -process X itself is a consequence of classical properties of nuclear spaces (regularization theorem. Itô, 1980).

- (2) The process ξ in Theorem 2.2 (b) is the standard $(1 + \beta)$ -stable Lévy process totally skewed to the right.
- (3) The weak limit of $\langle X_T(t), \varphi \rangle$ in Theorem 2.2 (a) coincides with the result obtained by Iscoe (1986, Theorem 5.6) for the (d, α, β) -superprocess.
- (4) For $\beta = 1$ and large dimensions, in Theorem 2.2 (a) of Bojdecki et al (2005b) there is an additional term in the limit process which comes from the free motion of the particles (i.e., without branching). The limit is an $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Wiener process, which is the sum of two independent $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -Wiener processes. The additional term does not appear with $\beta < 1$ because in this case the branching produces larger fluctuations (of order $T^{1/(1+\beta)}$) than those of the free motion (of order $T^{1/2}$).

It is clear that weak functional convergence of X_T does not hold (with J_1 -topology). Nevertheless, it turns out that the family $\{X_T\}_T$ possesses a property which is "not far" from tightness in $C([0,\tau], \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Namely, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 2.4 Assume $d \geq \frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{\beta}$ and let F_T be as in Theorem 2.2. Then for any $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\tau > 0$,

$$P(|\langle X_T(t_2), \varphi \rangle - \langle X_T(t_1), \varphi \rangle| > \delta) \le \frac{C(\varphi)}{\delta} |t_2 - t_1|$$
(2.7)

for all $t_1, t_2 \in [0, \tau]$, all $T \geq 2$ and all $0 < \delta < 1$.

3. Proofs

Before the proof of Theorem 2.2 we state a simple lemma regarding the operator G given by (2.2), which will be used frequently (see, e.g., Iscoe, 1986, Lemma 5.3).

Lemma 3.1 If φ is a measurable function on \mathbb{R}^d such that

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|^p)|\varphi(x)| < \infty$$

for some p > d, then

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^d} (1 + |x|^{d-\alpha})|G\varphi(x)| < \infty.$$

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Without loss of generality we assume $\tau = 1$.

We need the form of the Laplace transform $E\exp\{-\langle \widetilde{X}_T, \Phi \rangle\}$ for $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $\Phi \geq 0$, where \widetilde{X}_T is defined by (2.1).

Denote

$$\Psi(x,t) = \int_{t}^{1} \Phi(x,r)dr, \quad \Psi_{T}(x,t) = \frac{1}{F_{T}} \Psi\left(x, \frac{t}{T}\right), \tag{3.1}$$

and define

$$v_T(x,t) = 1 - E \exp\left\{-\int_0^t \langle N_r^x, \Psi_T(\cdot, T-t-r) dr\right\},\tag{3.2}$$

where N_r^x is the the empirical measure of the branching system started from a single particle at x. Identically as in Bojdecki et al (2005a) (see also Bojdecki et al, 2005b, Lemma 3.1), using the

Feynman-Kac formula and the form of the generating function of the branching law given by (1.1), it can be shown that v_T satisfies

$$v_{T}(x,t) = \int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{T}_{t-r} \left[\Psi_{T}(\cdot, T-r)(1-v_{T}(\cdot, r)) - \frac{V}{1+\beta} v_{T}^{1+\beta}(\cdot, r) \right] (x) dr, \quad 0 \le t \le T,$$
 (3.3)

and

$$E\exp\{-\langle \widetilde{X}_T, \Phi \rangle\} = E\exp\left\{ \int_0^T \langle N_r, \Psi_T(\cdot, r) \rangle dr - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T \Psi_T(x, r) dr dx \right\}$$
(3.4)

$$= \exp \left\{ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T \Psi_T(x, T - r) v_T(x, r) dr dx + \frac{V}{1 + \beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T v_T^{1 + \beta}(x, r) dr dx \right\}.$$
 (3.5)

We will use frequently the following estimates for v_T :

$$0 \le v_T(x,t) \le 1,\tag{3.6}$$

by (3.2), and

$$v_T(x,t) \le \int_0^t \mathcal{T}_{t-r} \Psi_T(\cdot, T-r)(x) dr, \tag{3.7}$$

since $1 - e^{-x} \le x$, $x \ge 0$, and $E\langle N_t^x, \varphi \rangle = \mathcal{T}_t \varphi(x)$. ((3.7) also follows from (3.3) and (3.6)).

For the convergence of finite-dimensional distributions we need also the corresponding Laplace transform. For $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \dots, \varphi_k \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, all $\varphi_j \geq 0$, and $0 \leq t_1 \leq t_2 \leq \dots \leq t_k \leq 1$, it is easy to see that $E \exp\{-\sum_{j=1}^k \langle X_T(t_j), \varphi_j \rangle\}$ has the form (3.4) with

$$\Psi(x,t) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_j(x) \mathbb{1}_{[0,t_j]}(t). \tag{3.8}$$

Moreover, approximating Ψ by smooth functions in (3.3), we obtain that for this Laplace transform (3.5) also holds with a corresponding v_T given by (3.2).

It will be convenient to write the right hand side of (3.5) in the form

$$\exp\left\{I_1(T) + \frac{V}{1+\beta}(I_2(T) - I_3(T))\right\},\tag{3.9}$$

where

$$I_1(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T \Psi_T(x, T - r) v_T(x, r) dr dx,$$
 (3.10)

$$I_2(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T \left(\int_0^r \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \Psi_T(\cdot, T-u)(x) du \right)^{1+\beta} dr dx, \tag{3.11}$$

$$I_{3}(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{T} \left[\left(\int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \Psi_{T}(\cdot, T-u)(x) du \right)^{1+\beta} - v_{T}^{1+\beta}(x, r) \right] dr dx.$$
 (3.12)

We now prove convergence in case (a).

Firstly, we want to show that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} E \exp{-\langle \widetilde{X}_T, \Phi \rangle} = \exp\left\{ \frac{V}{1+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 (G\Psi(\cdot, r)(x))^{1+\beta} dr dx \right\}$$
 (3.13)

for $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, $\Phi \geq 0$, and Ψ given by (3.1). To simplify notation we consider Φ of the form

$$\Phi(x,t) = \varphi(x)\psi(t), \quad \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}), \quad \varphi, \psi \ge 0. \tag{3.14}$$

Denote

$$\chi(t) = \int_{t}^{1} \psi(r)dr, \quad \chi_{T}(t) = \chi\left(\frac{t}{T}\right), \quad \varphi_{T}(x) = \frac{1}{F_{T}}\varphi(x).$$
(3.15)

Using (3.9)-(3.12), we will show that

$$I_1(T) \rightarrow 0,$$
 (3.16)

$$I_2(T) \rightarrow \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 (G\varphi(x)\chi(r))^{1+\beta} dr dx,$$
 (3.17)
 $I_3(T) \rightarrow 0,$ (3.18)

$$I_3(T) \rightarrow 0,$$
 (3.18)

as $T \to \infty$.

By (3.7), (3.15) and boundedness of χ we have

$$I_{1}(T) \leq C \frac{1}{F_{T}^{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{T} \varphi(x) \int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{u} \varphi(x) du dr dx$$

$$\leq C \frac{T}{T^{\frac{2}{1+\beta}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \varphi(x) G \varphi(x) dx,$$

by (2.2). $G\varphi$ is bounded by Lemma 3.1, hence (3.16) follows since $\beta < 1$. Next, we use (3.15) and make obvious substitutions to obtain

$$I_2(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{T(1-r)} \mathcal{T}_u \varphi(x) \chi\left(r + \frac{u}{T}\right) du \right)^{1+\beta} dr dx. \tag{3.19}$$

It is clear that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \int_0^{T(1-r)} \mathcal{T}_u \varphi(x) \chi\left(r + \frac{u}{T}\right) du = G\varphi(x) \chi(r),$$

hence (3.17) follows by the dominated convergence theorem and Lemma 3.1, since

$$(1+\beta)(d-\alpha) > d \tag{3.20}$$

by (2.3).

To prove (3.18) we apply the obvious inequality

$$a^{1+\beta} - b^{1+\beta} \le (1+\beta)a^{\beta}(a-b)$$
 for $a \ge b \ge 0$,

and we obtain, by (3.12) and (3.7),

$$I_{3}(T) \leq (1+\beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \varphi_{T}(x) \chi_{T}(T-u) du \right)^{\beta}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \varphi_{T}(x) \chi_{T}(T-u) du - v_{T}(x,r) \right) dr dx$$

$$= (1+\beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \varphi_{T}(x) \chi_{T}(T-u) du \right)^{\beta}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \left(\varphi_{T}(\cdot) \chi_{T}(T-u) v_{T}(\cdot,u) + \frac{V}{1+\beta} v_{T}^{1+\beta}(\cdot,u) \right) (x) du \right) dr dx,$$

by (3.3). We use (3.7) and boundedness of χ to arrive at

$$I_3(T) < C(J_1(T) + J_2(T)),$$

where

$$J_{1}(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \varphi_{T}(x) du \right)^{\beta} \left(\int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \left(\varphi_{T}(\cdot) \int_{0}^{u} \mathcal{T}_{u-u'} \varphi_{T}(\cdot) du' \right)(x) du \right) dr dx,$$

$$J_{2}(T) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{T} \left(\int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \varphi(x) du \right)^{\beta} \left(\int_{0}^{r} \mathcal{T}_{r-u} \left(\int_{0}^{u} \mathcal{T}_{u-u'} \varphi_{T}(\cdot) du' \right)^{1+\beta}(x) du \right) dr dx.$$

By (2.9) and boundedness of $G\varphi$ (Lemma 3.1) we have

$$J_1(T) \le CT^{-\frac{2+\beta}{1+\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T (G\varphi(x))^{1+\beta} dr dx \le C_1 T^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}},$$

by (3.20) and Lemma 3.1.

Similarly,

$$J_2(T) \le T^{-\frac{\beta}{1+\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (G\varphi(x))^{\beta} G((G\varphi)^{1+\beta})(x) dx.$$

Hence $J_2(T) \to 0$, since the integral above is finite by (3.20) and Lemma 3.1 applied three times (the function $(G\varphi)^{1+\beta}$ satisfies the assumption of the lemma). This finishes the proof of (3.18), and therefore (3.13) is proved.

It is not difficult to see that for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, the real random variable $\langle \widetilde{X}, \Phi \rangle$ (where X is the process in Theorem 2.2 (a)) has a $(1+\beta)$ -stable law with characteristic function

$$E\exp\{iz\langle\widetilde{X},\Phi\rangle\}$$

$$= \exp\left\{-K^{1+\beta}|z|^{1+\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 |G\Psi(\cdot,s)(x)|^{1+\beta} \left(1 - i(\operatorname{sgn} z)(\operatorname{sgn}(G\Psi(\cdot,s)(x))) \tan \frac{\pi}{2}(1+\beta)\right) ds dx\right\}$$
(3.21)

(recall that Ψ is defined by (3.1)).

Now, (3.13) implies that

$$\langle \widetilde{X}_T, \Phi \rangle \Longrightarrow \langle \widetilde{X}, \Phi \rangle \quad \text{as} \quad T \to \infty,$$
 (3.22)

for any $\Phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$. This is almost immediate for $\Phi \geq 0$, and for general Φ one employs weak convergence of two-dimensional random variables. See Bojdecki et al (2005a, Lemma 3.4 and Corollary 3.5) for more details (see also Iscoe, 1986).

By nuclearity of $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{d+1})$, (3.22) implies $\widetilde{X}_T \Rightarrow \widetilde{X}$ as $T \to \infty$, hence $X_T \Longrightarrow X$.

Finally, convergence of finite-dimensional distributions of X_T is obtained in exactly the same way by virtue of the previous remarks, using Ψ of the form (3.8).

Next we prove convergence in case (b).

Again, we prove first convergence \Longrightarrow_i . To this end we will show that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} E \exp\{-\langle \widetilde{X}_T, \Phi \rangle\} = \exp\left\{K_2 \int_0^1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \Psi(x, r) dx\right)^{1+\beta} dr\right\},\tag{3.23}$$

where Ψ is defined by (3.1), and

$$K_2 = V \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^1 p_u(x) du \right)^{\beta} p_1(x) dx.$$

Analogously as before, we consider $\Phi = \varphi \otimes \psi$, and using (3.9)-(3.12) we prove (3.16), (3.18) and

$$I_2(T) \to \frac{1+\beta}{V} K_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx \right)^{1+\beta} \int_0^1 \chi^{1+\beta}(r) dr. \tag{3.24}$$

In spite of the simpler form of the limit, this case requires a more involved argument. Let us start with $I_2(T)$. Substituiting $r' = \frac{r}{T}$, then u' = Tr' - u, and using (3.15) we write

$$I_2(T) = R_1(T) + R_2(T), (3.25)$$

where

$$R_1(T) = \frac{1}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{Tr} \mathcal{T}_u \varphi(x) \chi(1 - r) du \right)^{1+\beta} dr dx, \tag{3.26}$$

$$R_2(T) = \frac{1}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \left[\left(\int_0^{Tr} \mathcal{T}_u \varphi(x) \chi \left(1 - r + \frac{u}{T} \right) du \right)^{1+\beta} - \left(\int_0^{Tr} \mathcal{T}_u \varphi(x) \chi(1 - r) du \right)^{1+\beta} \right] dr dx.$$
(3.27)

To prove (3.24) we show that $R_1(T)$ converges to the desired limit and $R_2(T) \to 0$. Note that, by (2.5),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (G\varphi(x))^{1+\beta} dx = \infty$$

if $\varphi \not\equiv 0$, hence we can use the L'Hôpital rule to obtain

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} R_1(T) = \lim_{T \to \infty} T(1+\beta) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \chi^{1+\beta} (1-r) \left(\int_0^{Tr} \mathcal{T}_u \varphi(x) du \right)^{\beta} \mathcal{T}_{Tr} \varphi(x) r dr dx.$$
 (3.28)

After substituting $u' = \frac{u}{Tr}$ and writing \mathcal{T}_u in terms of p_u , the expression under the limit on the right hand side of (3.28) has the form

$$(1+\beta)\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi^{1+\beta} (1-r) \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Tr p_{uTr}(x-y) \varphi(y) dy du \right)^{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} Tr p_{Tr}(x-z) \varphi(z) dz dx dr.$$
 (3.29)

We apply the self-similarity property of the stable density,

$$p_{au}(x) = a^{-\frac{d}{\alpha}} p_u(xa^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}),$$
 (3.30)

substitute $x' = x(Tr)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$ and use (2.5) (which implies $(1 - \frac{d}{\alpha})(1 + \beta) = -\frac{d}{\alpha}$). (3.29) now becomes

$$(1+\beta) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \chi^{1+\beta} (1-r) \left(\int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_u(x-y(Tr)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \varphi(y) dy du \right)^{\beta} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} p_1(x-z(Tr)^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}) \varphi(z) dz dx dr$$

$$= (1+\beta) \int_0^1 \chi^{1+\beta} (1-r) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\left(\int_0^1 p_u du \right) * \widetilde{\varphi}_{Tr}(x) \right)^{\beta} p_1 * \widetilde{\varphi}_{Tr}(x) dx dr, \tag{3.31}$$

where

$$\widetilde{\varphi}_t(y) = t^{\frac{d}{\alpha}} \varphi(y t^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}). \tag{3.32}$$

As $\int_0^1 p_u du \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have

$$\left(\int_0^1 p_u du\right) * \widetilde{\varphi}_{Tr} \to \int_0^1 p_u du \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx \quad \text{in} \quad L^1(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

and the L^1 -norms are bounded in $r \in (0, 1]$.

Analogously

$$p_1 * \widetilde{\varphi}_{Tr} \to p_1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx$$
 in $L^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$,

and the $L^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$ -norms are bounded. By (3.28) this proves that $R_1(T)$ converges to the expression in (3.24).

Note that we have also shown that

$$\lim_{T \to \infty} \frac{1}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^T \mathcal{T}_u \varphi(x) du \right)^{1+\beta} dx = \frac{1+\beta}{V} K_2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx \right)^{1+\beta}, \tag{3.33}$$

which will be used later.

We now turn to $R_2(T)$. Note that, by (3.15), the difference inside [...] in (3.27) is negative; hence, using the elementary inequality

$$(a+b)^{1+\beta} - a^{1+\beta} \le b^{1+\beta} + (1+\beta)a^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}b^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}, \quad a,b \ge 0,$$

(applying it to -[...]) we have

$$|R_{2}(T)| \leq \frac{1}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{Tr} \mathcal{T}_{u} \varphi(x) \left[\chi(1-r) - \chi \left(1 - r + \frac{u}{T} \right) \right] du \right)^{1+\beta} dr dx$$

$$+ \frac{1+\beta}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\int_{0}^{Tr} \mathcal{T}_{u} \varphi(x) \left[\chi(1-r) - \chi \left(1 - r + \frac{u}{T} \right) \right] du \right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}}$$

$$\times \left(\int_{0}^{Tr} \mathcal{T}_{u} \varphi(x) \chi \left(1 - r + \frac{u}{T} \right) du \right)^{\frac{1+\beta}{2}} dr dx.$$

By the Schwarz inequality applied to the second summand we obtain

$$|R_2(T)| \le W(T) + (1+\beta)\sqrt{W(T)}\sqrt{I_2(T)},$$
 (3.34)

where $I_2(T)$ is defined by (3.11) and

$$W(T) = \frac{1}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^{Tr} \mathcal{T}_u \varphi(x) \left[\chi(1-r) - \chi \left(1 - r + \frac{u}{T} \right) \right] du \right)^{1+\beta} dr dx. \tag{3.35}$$

Note that

$$I_2(T) \le C \frac{1}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_0^T \mathcal{T}_u \varphi(x) du \right)^{1+\beta} dx,$$

so, by (3.33), $I_2(T)$ is bounded.

By (3.34), to prove that $R_2(T) \to 0$ and thus complete the proof of (3.24), it remains to show that $W(T) \to 0$.

We use the fact that χ is a Lipschitz function and we substitute $u' = \frac{u}{T}$; then

$$W(T) \le \frac{C}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^r \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T p_{Tu}(x - y) \varphi(y) u dy du \right)^{1+\beta} dr dx. \tag{3.36}$$

Applying the self-similarity property (3.30), substituting $x' = xT^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, y' = yT^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, using (2.5) and estimating $\int_0^r \dots du$ by $\int_0^1 \dots du$, we obtain

$$W(T) \leq \frac{C}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 p_u(x - y) u T^{\frac{d}{\alpha}} \varphi(y T^{\frac{1}{\alpha}}) dy du \right)^{1+\beta} dx$$

$$= \frac{C}{\log T} ||h * \widetilde{\varphi}_T||_{1+\beta}^{1+\beta} \leq \frac{C}{\log T} ||h||_{1+\beta}^{1+\beta} ||\widetilde{\varphi}_T||_1^{1+\beta}, \qquad (3.37)$$

where $h(x) = \int_0^1 p_u(x)udu$ and $\widetilde{\varphi}_T$ is defined by (3.32).

We have $||\widetilde{\varphi}_T||_1 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) dx$, and it is not difficult to show that $h \in L^{1+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ using self-similarity of p_u , the well-known estimate

$$p_1(x) \le \frac{C}{1 + |x|^{d + \alpha}},$$

and (2.5) once again. By (3.37) we obtain $W(T) \to 0$ as $T \to \infty$, and (3.24) is proved.

(3.16) follows by the same estimates as in Bojdecki et al (2005c, see (3.22), (3.23) therein).

Finally, (3.18) can be obtained in exactly the same way as in Bojdecki et al (2005c, see (3.16) and (3.27)-(3.36) therein). The only difference is that under (2.5), $f(x) = \int_0^1 p_u(x) du$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for any $p < 1 + \beta$ (and not to $L^{1+\beta}(\mathbb{R}^d)$), so the Young inequality should be applied appropriately, and then the corresponding norms of $g_{1,T}, g_{2,T}$ (see Bojdecki et al 2005c, (3.31)) will have the forms $C(\varphi)T^{\varepsilon}$ for ε arbitrarily small. This finishes the proof of (3.23).

Convergence $X_T \Longrightarrow Y = K\lambda\xi$ now follows as in the previous step. One should only observe that

 $E\exp\{iz\langle \widetilde{Y}, \Phi \rangle\}$

$$= \exp\biggl\{-K_1^{1+\beta}|z|^{1+\beta}\int_0^1\biggl|\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Psi(x,s)dx\biggr|^{1+\beta}\biggl(1-i\biggl(\mathrm{sgn}\biggl(z\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\Psi(x,s)dx\biggr)\biggr)\tan\frac{\pi}{2}(1+\beta)\biggr)ds\biggr\}.$$

Also, convergence of finite-dimensional distributions can be derived similarly as before. The only difference is that now (3.36) does not hold because χ is not Lipschitz. We consider $\chi(r) = \mathbb{1}_{[0,t]}(r)$ for any fixed $t \in [0,1]$ (see (3.8)). So, to prove that W(T) defined by (3.35) tends to 0 we argue as follows. With this form of χ we have

$$W(T) = \frac{1}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \left(\int_{t-r}^{1-r} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} T p_{Tu}(x-y) \varphi(y) dy du \right)^{1+\beta} dr dx.$$

By the self-similarity of p_u , substituting $x' = xT^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}, y' = yT^{-\frac{1}{\alpha}}$, and using (2.5) we obtain

$$W(T) = \frac{1}{\log T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^t \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_r^{1-t+r} p_u(x-y) \widetilde{\varphi}_T(y) du dy \right)^{1+\beta} dr dx,$$

where $\widetilde{\varphi}_T$ is given by (3.32). Hence, by the Young inequality,

$$W(T) \le \frac{1}{\log T} \int_0^t \left| \left| \int_r^{1-t+r} p_u du \right| \right|_{1+\beta}^{1+\beta} \left| |\varphi| \right|_1^{1+\beta} dr$$

(remember that $||\widetilde{\varphi}_T||_1 = ||\varphi||_1$). It suffices to observe that

$$\int_{0}^{t} \left| \left| \int_{r}^{1-t+r} p_{u} du \right| \right|_{1+\beta}^{1+\beta} dr = \int_{0}^{t} r^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(\int_{r}^{1-t+r} r p_{u}(x) du \right)^{1+\beta} dx dr$$

$$\leq \int_{0}^{t} r^{-\frac{1}{1+\beta}} dr \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \left(\int_{0}^{1} u p_{u}(x) du \right)^{1+\beta} dx < \infty,$$

by the argument following (3.37). This proves that $W(T) \to 0$. So the convergence $X_T \Longrightarrow_f K_1 \lambda \xi$ is established.

Proof of Proposition 2.4. We consider $\tau = 1, 0 < \delta < 1, 0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le 1$.

Arguing as in the proof of Proposition 3.3 of Bojdecki et al (2005c), it suffices to show that

$$P(|\langle \widetilde{X}_T, \varphi \otimes \psi \rangle| \ge \delta) \le \frac{C(\varphi)}{\delta}(t_2 - t_1)$$
(3.38)

for any $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\chi(t) = \int_{t}^{1} \psi(s) ds$$

satisfies

$$0 \le \chi \le \mathbf{1}_{[t_1, t_2]},\tag{3.39}$$

and each $\varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d), \varphi \geq 0$.

Repeating the argument of that proposition (see Bojdecki et al, 2006c, (3.39)-(3.51)) it is enough to prove that

$$I \le C(\varphi)(t_2 - t_1) \tag{3.40}$$

and

$$II \le C(\varphi)(t_2 - t_1), \tag{3.41}$$

where

$$I = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T \varphi_T(x) \chi_T(T-s) \int_0^s \mathcal{T}_{s-r} \varphi_T(x) \chi_T(T-r) dr ds dx, \tag{3.42}$$

$$II = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^T \left(\int_0^s \mathcal{T}_{s-r} \varphi_T(x) \chi_T(T-r) dr \right)^{1+\beta} ds dx, \tag{3.43}$$

and φ_T, χ_T are given by (3.15).

We have, after obvious substitutions and by (3.39),

$$I \leq \frac{T^2}{F_T^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \int_0^s \varphi(x) \chi(1-s) \mathcal{T}_{rT} \varphi(x) dr ds dx$$
$$= \frac{T^2}{F_T^2} \frac{1}{(2\pi)^d} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \int_0^s |\widehat{\varphi}(x)|^2 e^{-rT|x|^{\alpha}} dr \chi(1-s) ds dx,$$

where we have used the Plancherel formula and the well known fact that $\widehat{\mathcal{T}_u\varphi}(x) = e^{-u|x|^{\alpha}}\widehat{\varphi}(x)$ (\hat{\text{denotes Fourier transform}}). Hence

$$I \leq C \frac{T}{F_T^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\widehat{\varphi}(x)|^2 \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} dx \int_0^1 \chi(1-s) ds$$

$$\leq C(\varphi) \frac{T}{F_T^2} (t_2 - t_1).$$

This implies (3.40) for both $d = \frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{\beta}$ and $d > \frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{\beta}$.

To prove (3.41) we write

$$II = \frac{T}{F_T^{1+\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^s T T_{T(s-r)} \varphi(x) \chi(1-r) dr \right)^{1+\beta} ds dx$$

$$\leq \frac{T}{F_T^{1+\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ||f_{x,T} * g||_{1+\beta}^{1+\beta} dx,$$

where

$$f_{x,T}(r) = T\mathcal{I}_{Tr}\varphi(x)\mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(r), \quad g(r) = \chi(1-r)\mathbb{1}_{[0,1]}(r).$$

By the Young inequality we obtain

$$II \le \frac{T}{F_T^{1+\beta}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} ||f_{x,T}||_1^{1+\beta} dx ||g||_{1+\beta}^{1+\beta}.$$

Since $||g||_{1+\beta}^{1+\beta} \leq (t_2 - t_1)$ by (3.39), it suffices to show that

$$\sup_{T\geq 2}\frac{T}{F_T^{1+\beta}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}||f_{x,T}||_1^{1+\beta}dx<\infty.$$

This fact follows from Lemma 3.1 in the case $d > \frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{\beta}$ and from (3.33) in the case $d = \frac{\alpha(1+\beta)}{\beta}$.

4. Comments and questions of interpretation

In the present and previous papers we have proved limit theorems for occupation time fluctuations of (d, α, β) -branching particle system and described some properties of the limit processes. Some of the results raise questions concerning their meaning in terms of properties of the particle system. We mention here some of these questions which require further research.

4.1 Transitions between intermediate and large dimensions

The results in Bojdecki et al (2005c) and the present ones show that in the passage from intermediate to large dimensions the spatial structure of the limit process goes from simple (λ), corresponding to perfect correlation in the case $\beta = 1$, to complicated (truly $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued), and the temporal structure goes from complicated (long range dependence) to simple (independent increments); at the critical dimension both are simple. In subsection 4.4 we comment on the temporal change. We do not have an explanation for the spatial change. On the other hand, the size of the fluctuations of the occupation time process, measured by F_T , which is typically larger than for the classical central limit theorem in the case of long range dependence, does not pass continuously from intermediate to large dimensions (as α or β vary); at the critical dimension they are larger by a logarithmic factor. This type of phenomenon is known to occur in some stochastic spatial models.

4.2 Continuity and jumps

The main question about the results in this paper is to understand why the $(1 + \beta)$ -branching with $\beta < 1$ causes the occupation time fluctuation process to generate jumps in the limit as $T \to \infty$ for the critical and large dimensions, but not the intermediate ones.

4.3 Poisson vs. equilibrium

The (d, α, β) -branching particle system has equilibrium states in dimensions $d > \alpha/\beta$ (Gorostiza and Wakolbinger, 1991), and one may consider the system started off from an equilibrium state instead of Poisson (λ). Birkner and Zähle (2005) give functional limit theorems with equilibrium and Poisson initial states for the fluctuations of the occupation time of the origin of branching random walks on the d-dimensional lattice, $d \geq 3$. In Bojdecki et al (2004) we did covariance calculations with intermediate dimensions for the $(d, \alpha, 1)$ -system in equilibrium. On the basis of the results of Birkner and Zähle (2005), and Bojdecki et al (2004, 2005a, 2005b), it is natural to expect that for the $(d, \alpha, 1)$ -system in equilibrium it is possible to prove functional limit theorems for the occupation time fluctuations with the same normings F_T as for the initial Poisson condition, and that the results would be as follows. For intermediate dimensions, $\alpha < d < 2\alpha$, the limit would be of the form $K\lambda\xi$, where ξ is fractional Brownian motion. For critical and large dimensions, $d \geq 2\alpha$, the results would be the same as the corresponding ones with initial Poisson (Bojdecki et al, 2005b). Carrying this further, we think that limit theorems can also be proved for the (d, α, β) -system with $\beta < 1$ in equilibrium. The normings would be the same as for initial Poisson, and the limits would have the same forms as in the Poisson case for critical and large dimensions. For intermediate dimensions the limit is expected to be of the form $K\lambda\eta$, where η is a continuous, self-similar, $(1+\beta)$ -stable process with stationary increments and long range dependence, which should be a kind of "fractional stable process".

Going back to $\beta=1$ and intermediate dimensions (covariance calculations in Bojdecki et al, 2004), in the equilibrium case we get, for the temporal part, fractional Brownian motion (fBm) ξ with covariance function

$$\frac{1}{2}(s^h + t^h - |s - t|^h),$$

where $h = 3 - d/\alpha$, and in the Poisson case we get sub-fractional Brownian motion (sub-fBm) ζ with covariance function

$$s^h + t^h - \frac{1}{2}[(s+t)^h + |s-t|^h],$$

where $h = 3 - d/\alpha$ again. ξ is defined also for t < 0, and the two processes are related by

$$\zeta \stackrel{d}{=} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\xi_t + \xi_{-t})\right)_{t>0}.$$
(4.1)

From the functional limit theorem in Bojdcki et al (2005a), and assuming the one for equilibrium, a relationship analogous to (4.1) would hold for the two corresponding measure-valued limit processes (the constant κ is the same in both cases). This raises the question of interpreting this mysterious result in terms of the particle system. Again going further, we think that for $\beta < 1$ an analogous situation would appear. fBm would be replaced by the fractional stable process mentioned above, and sub-fBm by the sub-fractional stable process obtained in Bojdeki et al (2005c), and they would be related analogously as in (4.1). (The sub-fractional stable process with $\beta = 1$ is sub-fBm, Bojdecki et al, 2005c; likewise, the fractional stable process with $\beta = 1$ should be fBm). Then the same question of interpretation would arise for the relationship between the equilibrium and Poisson fluctuation limit processes with $\beta < 1$ in terms of the particle system.

Regarding the model of branching random walks on the lattice (Birkner and Zähle, 2005), modifying it with the step of the walk in the domain of attraction of a spherically symmetric α -stable law, $(1+\beta)$ -branching, $\beta < 1$, and initial Poisson, the results are expected to be analogous to those in Bojdecki et al (2005c) and the present paper.

4.4 Long range dependence vs. independent increments

Clan recurrence and clan transience have been studied in by Stoeckel and Wakolbinger (1994) for $d \geq 3$, $\alpha = 2$, $\beta = 1$, in equilibrium. A clan is a family of infinitely many particles with eventually backwards coalescing paths, the system having started at time $-\infty$. It is shown that for d=3(intermediate dimension) and d=4 (critical dimension), a.s. all class visit every fixed ball in \mathbb{R}^d infinitely often and at arbitrarily large times (this is "clan recurrence"), and for $d \geq 5$ (large dimensions) a.s. each clan visits a fixed ball in \mathbb{R}^d in a finite random interval of time and never returns (this is "clan transience"). Clan recurrence/transience for super-Brownian motion in equilibrium is discussed by Dawson and Perkins (1999). Although clan recurrence/transience has not been studied for the branching particle system out of equilibrium (with $\alpha = 2, \beta = 1$), one may think intuitively that the long range dependence of the occupation time fluctuation limit for d=3 is due to something close to clan recurrence: each one of many very large families of related particles visits a fixed ball many times, each time adding a random amount to the occupation time of the ball. However, for d=4 this phenomenon still occurs but does not cause long range dependence. On the other hand, for $d \geq 5$ the independence of increments of the occupation time fluctuation limit (and the classical central limit norming $T^{1/2}$) may be attributed intuitively to something close to clan transience, since the large families independently visit a fixed ball only up to finite random times. In order to explore these ideas it is necessary to formulate rigorously clan recurrence/transience out of equilibrium (at least with initial Poisson), also for the general (d, α, β) -branching particle system.

In the case of intermediate dimensions with $\beta < 1$ (Bojdecki et al 2005c), an intriguing question is why there are two types of long range dependence regimes, one for $\beta > d/(d+\alpha)$ and another one for $\beta \leq d/(d+\alpha)$.

4.5 Other long range dependence processes

For intermediate dimensions and $\beta=1$, Poisson initial condition leads to fBm and equilibrium initial condition leads to sub-fBm. The question here is if other initial conditions may lead to other long range dependence processes, different from fBm and sub-fBm. As a simple example, putting together two independent $(d, \alpha, 1)$ -branching particle systems, one in equilibrium and the other one with initial Poisson (the normings are the same), the occupation time fluctuation limit would be the process $K\lambda(\xi+\zeta)$, where ξ is fBm, ζ is sub-fBm, and they are independent (by Bojdecki et al, 2004, the constant K is the same in both cases). Other, more interesting, examples will be considered elsewhere. The same kind of question arises for $\beta < 1$. A large class of initial conditions (which includes Poisson), under which N_t tends to equilibrium as $t \to \infty$, is considered by Gorostiza and Wakolbinger (1994).

Other long range dependence processes may be obtained by incorporating immigration in the branching particle systems. Some examples are given by Gorostiza et al (2005) without functional convergence proofs. In this case the fluctuations of the rescaled empirical process itself may have a long range dependence Gaussian limit process in dimension d=1 with $\alpha>1$ (see the covariance (1.5) in Gorostiza et al, 2005; see also Li and Shiga, 1995, Theorem 1.10, for a superprocess setup). A surprising feature of this result is that the fluctuation of the rescaled empirical process is Markovian but its limit is not. The Markov property is not necessarily preserved under weak limits, but it would be interesting to understand why it is not preserved in this case.

4.6 Superprocesses and other branching particle systems

Iscoe (1986) studied the occupation time of the (d, α, β) -superprocess, which is a measure-valued limit of the (d, α, β) -branching system (see Dawson, 1993, Dawson and Perkins, 1991, Etheridge, 2000, for that class of superprocesses). He proved single time limit theorems for the occupation time fluctuations

in large dimensions, $d > \alpha(1+\beta)/\beta$, and also for the intermediate dimension d=3 in the case $\alpha=2$, $\beta=1$. The limits are $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued random variables. For $\beta<1$ and large dimensions the limit is an $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ -valued $(1+\beta)$ -stable random variable, and a Hilbert subspace of $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is found where this random field lives. (On this point it is relevant to mention that the aim of Pérez-Abreu et al, 2005, is to determine, for a class of additive processes in the dual of a nuclear Fréchet space, a Hilbert subspace where such a process lives). For the intermediate dimension d=3 ($\alpha=2,\beta=1$) an occupation time fluctuation limit process is obtained, with fixed $\varphi\in\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\varphi\geq0$, where the covariance function of sub-fBm appears with h=3/2; that was originally our motivation for investigating long range dependence or its absence in occupation time fluctuations of branching systems.

Occupation time fluctuations for more general branching particle systems, with one and two levels of critical branching, have been studied by Dawson et al (2001). The results are single time limits. The atypical normings in the occupation time fluctuations for intermediate and critical dimensions with one branching level and $\beta=1$ arise as follows. Let $G_t\varphi=\int_0^t \mathcal{T}_s\varphi ds, \ \varphi\geq 0, \ \varphi\not\equiv 0$ ($G_t\varphi\to\infty$ as $t\to\infty$ for transient particle motion). If $G_t^2\varphi$ grows like a function f_t as $t\to\infty$, then the norming F_T for the occupation time fluctuation limit has the form $(\int_0^T f_s ds)^{1/2}$. For the standard α -stable process on \mathbb{R}^d , G_t^2 grows like $t^{2-d/\alpha}$ for $\alpha< d< 2\alpha$, and like logt for $d=2\alpha$. Functional limit theorems for the branching systems in Dawson et al (2001) have not been attempted, except in the special cases considered in this paper and its predecessors.

Acknowlegments. The authors thank the hospitality of the Institute of Mathematics, National University of Mexico (UNAM), where this paper was written. This research was partially supported by CONACyT grant 45684-F (Mexico) and MNiI grant 1P03A01129 (Poland).

Birkner M. and Zähle, I. (2005) Functional limit theorems for the occupation time of the origin for branching random walks in $d \ge 3$, Weierstrass Institut für Angewandte Analysis and Stochastik, Berlin, preprint No. 1011.

Bojdecki, T., Gorostiza, L.G. and Ramaswany, S. (1986) Convergence of S'-valued processes and space-time random fields, J. Funct. Anal. 66, 21-41.

Bojdecki, T., Gorostiza, L.G. and Talarczyk, A. (2004) Sub-fractional Brownian motion and its relation to occupation times, *Stat. Prob. Lett.* 69, 405-419.

Bojdecki, T., Gorostiza, L.G. and Talarczyk, A. (2005a) Limit theorems for occupation time fluctuations of branching systems I: long-range dependence, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, to appear.

Bojdecki, T., Gorostiza, L.G. and Talarczyk, A. (2005b) Limit theorems for occupation time fluctuations of branching systems II: critical and large dimensions, *Stoch. Proc. Appl.*, to appear.

Bojdecki, T., Gorostiza, L.G. and Talarczyk, A. (2005c) A long range dependence stable process and an infinite variance branching system, preprint.

Dawson, D.A. (1993) Measure-Valued Markov Processes, Ecole d'été de probabilités de Saint-Flour XXI-1991, Lect. Notes Math. 1541, Springer.

Dawson, D.A., Gorostiza, L.G., and Wakolbinger, A. (2001) Occupation time fluctuations in branch-

ing systems, J. Theor. Probab. 14, 729-796.

Dawson, D.A. and Perkins, E. (1991) Historical Processes, Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc. 93, No. 454.

Dawson, D.A. and Perkins, E. (1999) Measure-valued processes and renormalization of branching particle systems, in "Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: Six Perspectives", (Carmona, R. and Rozovskii, B., eds.), *Math. Surveys and Monographs* 64, 45-106, Amer. Math. Soc.

Etheridge, A.M. (2000) An Introduction to Superprocesses, *University Lect. Series* 20, Amer. Math. Soc.

Fleischmann, K. and Gärtner, J. (1986), Occupation time processes at a critical point, *Math. Nachr.* 125, 275-290.

Gorostiza, L.G., Navarro, R. and Rodrigues, E.R. (2005), Some long-range dependence processes arising from fluctuations of particle systems, *Acta Appl. Math.* 86, 285-308.

Gorostiza, L.G. and Wakolbinger, A. (1991) Persistence criteria for a class of critical branching particle systems in continuous time, *Ann. Probab.* 19, 266-288.

Gorostiza, L.G. and Wakolbinger, A. (1994) Long time behaviour of critical branching particle systems and applications, in "Measure-Valued Processes, Stochastic Partial Differential Equations, and Interacting Systems", (Dawson, D.A., ed.), *CRM Proc. & Lect. Notes* 5, 119-137, Amer. Math. Soc.

Iscoe, I. (1986) A weighted occupation time for a class of measure-valued branching processes, *Probab. Th. Rel. Fields* 71, 85-116.

Itô, K. (1980) Continuous additive S'-processes, Lect. Notes in Control and Inform. Sci. 25, 36-46, Springer.

Itô, K. (1984) Foundations of Stochastic Differential Equations in Infinite Dimensional Spaces, SIAM, Philadelphia.

Jakubowski, A. (1997) A non-Skorohod topology on the Skorohod space, *Electron. J. Probab.* 2, no. 4, 21 pp.

Kallenberg, O. (2002) Foundations of Moderm Probability, Second Edition, Springer.

Li, Z. and Shiga, T. (1995), Measure-valued diffusions: Immigrations, excursions and limit theorems, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 35, 233-274.

Méléard, S. and Roelly, S. (1992), An ergodic result for critical spatial branching processes, in "Stochastic Analysis and Related Topics" (Silivri, 1990), 333-341, *Progr. Probab.* 31, Birkhäuser.

Pérez-Abreu, V., Rocha-Arteaga, A. and Tudor, C. (2005) Cone-additive processes in duals of nuclear Fréchet spaces, *Random Operators and Stochastic Evolution Equations* 13, 353-368.

Skorohod, A.V. (1956) Limit theorems for stochastic processes, Theory Probab. Appl. 1, 261-290.

Stoeckel, A. and Wakolbinger, A. (1994) On clan-recurrence and -transience in time stationary branching Brownian particle systems, in "Measure-Valued Processes, Stochastic Partial Differential Equations, and Interacting Systems", (Dawson, D.A., ed.), CRM Proc. & Lect. Notes 5, 213-219, Amer. Math. Soc.

Talarczyk, A. (2005) A functional ergodic theorem for the occupation time process of a branching system, preprint.

Üstunel, A.S. (1984) Additive processes on nuclear spaces, Ann. Probab. 12, 858-868.