Thermal performance optimization of hollow clay bricks made up of paper

waste

Mucahit Sutcu<sup>1,\*</sup>, Juan José del Coz Díaz<sup>2</sup>, Felipe Pedro Álvarez Rabanal<sup>2</sup>, Osman Gencel<sup>3</sup>,

Sedat Akkurt<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Izmir Kâtip Çelebi University, 35620 Izmir, Turkey

<sup>2</sup> Department of Construction, University of Oviedo, 33204 Gijón, Spain

<sup>3</sup> Department of Civil Engineering, Bartin University, 74100 Bartin, Turkey

<sup>4</sup> Department of Mechanical Engineering, Izmir Institute of Technology, 35430 Izmir, Turkey

Abstract

In this paper, the thermal behavior of hollow clay bricks made up of paper waste has been

studied and their thermal performance has been optimized. On the one hand, both strength and

thermal properties of different paper waste concentrations have been obtained by means of

laboratory tests. Thermal conductivity of the microporous brick materials with additives

produced in this study reduced from 0.68 W/mK to 0.39 W/mK compared with that of the

sample without additives. On the other hand, the finite element method (FEM) has been

applied to the nonlinear numerical thermal analysis of three different hollow bricks, including

radiation and convection phenomena inside holes. Next, using the design of experiments

(DOE) over the FEM models, several parameters such as the material conductivity, the

convection and radiation properties and the mean brick temperature have been studied. In

general, the thermal resistance is a nonlinear function that depends on the geometry of the


recesses, the material properties and the temperature distribution. In all analyzed cases,

minimizing the material thermal conductivity of bricks and decreasing the recesses surface

\* Corresponding author. Tel.: + 90(232)3293535-3732; fax: + 90(232)3293999.

E-mail addresses: <a href="mailto:mucahitsutcu@gmail.com">mucahitsutcu@gmail.com</a> (M. Sutcu); <a href="mailto:juanjo@constru.uniovi.es">juanjo@constru.uniovi.es</a> (JJ. del Coz Diaz).

1





# **Highlights**

- 1. The addition of paper waste increases the thermal performance of bricks.
- 2. Paper waste additions into brick increase the porosity.
- 3. Predictive models for simulation and optimization of heat transfer process have been developed.
- 4. Material conductivity, surface emissivities and mean brick temperature have been considered as input variables.
- 5. The design of hollows in brick models helps further improve the thermal performance.

radiation emissivity caused a lower thermal transmittance in the brick. Finally, the most important conclusions and the main findings of this research are exposed.

**Keywords:** Lightweight hollow clay brick; Paper waste; Thermal conductivity; Thermal transmittance; Optimization.

### **Nomenclature**

T

 $T_{m}$ 

λ

 $\varepsilon$ 

 $\mathcal{E}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_2$ 

 $\mathcal{E}_{hole}$ 

ambient temperature, K

mean brick temperature, K

thermal conductivity, W/m K

surface hemispheric emissivities

surface emissivity in the central hole

$$E \hspace{1cm} \text{surface emissivity factor, } E = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1}$$

$$h_a \hspace{1cm} \text{convection film coefficient, W/m}^2 K$$

$$h_{r0} \hspace{1cm} \text{radiation coefficient for a black body, W/m}^2 K$$

$$h_{tot} \hspace{1cm} \text{external film coefficient of a hole, W/m}^2 K.$$

$$q \hspace{1cm} \text{horizontal heat flow, W/m}^2.$$

$$t \hspace{1cm} \text{thickness of recesses in the heat flux direction, m}$$

$$U \hspace{1cm} \text{overall heat transfer coefficient, W/m}^2 K$$

$$U_1 \hspace{1cm} \text{mean heat transfer coefficient over the central face brick, W/m}^2 K}$$

$$U_2 \hspace{1cm} \text{maximum heat transfer coefficient over the central face brick, W/m}^2 K$$

$$U_D \hspace{1cm} \text{total wall thermal transmittance coefficient values, W/m}^2 K$$

$$w \hspace{1cm} \text{width of recesses, perpendicular to the heat flux direction, m}$$

surface emissivity in all recesses, excluding central hole

### 1. Introduction

Energy saving and energy efficiency of buildings are recently two of the most important issues in the world because of both economic and environmental concerns. One of the major sectors that consume a significant amount of total energy in the world is the building sector due to the largest end user of energy, key driving economic sector and improving quality of life. For instance, this sector represents almost one third of the total energy consumed in Turkey and EU countries, and this energy is eventually used for heating and cooling of buildings [1-4]. Consumption of energy from buildings constitutes about 33% of total consumption with about half of this lost through the walls [5]. Building insulating materials such as concrete insulating blocks, pumice, polymeric foam boards and lightweight clay bricks that manufactured in various combinations are used to reduce heat transfer [6]. In consequence, for housing, residential and public structures there is a great interest in lightweight building materials with good thermal properties, which fulfills all strength and serviceability requirements [7].

Construction industry generally uses large amounts of clay brick in most of buildings [8]. If these bricks are improved by proper processing, they can have significantly lower thermal conductivity and transmittance properties which mean a lower heat loss through the walls of houses [9]. When pores are introduced into bricks their thermal conductivities are reduced. This can be done by either microporosities, like the closed pores created by poremaking additives before firing of the bricks, or by introducing perforations extending through the brick like in the case of vertically perforated brick [8, 10]. In the former, when poremaking additives are used in clay brick, organic parts burn and leave micrometer scale pores behind which help reduce thermal conductivity of the brick [11-13]. The latter is used extensively by the brick industry to save clay material, to decrease density of the product or to reduce thermal conductivity of the brick. Vertically perforated bricks are manufactured

extensively in both Turkey and the EU [2, 8]. These are excellent low-cost, environment friendly and energy saving construction materials. These properties are derived from their lightweight of 500–1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup> obtained by having different types of continuous vertical perforations. Different vertical perforation designs in brick lead to different thermal conductivities. These perforations act as barriers to heat flow by conduction through the walls of the brick. For the vertically perforated insulating clay brick, total wall thermal transmittance coefficient values ( $U_D$ ) are between 0.4-0.7 W/m<sup>2</sup>K according to regional climate differences preferred for Turkey [14, 15]. The thermal conductivities of the bricks show the difference as depending on their unit weights or densities. According to the TS 825 standard, for instance, the equivalent thermal conductivity values of the bricks with densities of 2400 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (solid brick) and 700 kg/m<sup>3</sup> (insulating brick) are about 1.40 W/mK and 0.24 W/mK, respectively [15].

This study is focused on investigating the thermal performance of vertically perforated clay bricks containing microporosities which is produced by addition of pore-maker into the clay raw material.

On the one hand, in this study, paper processing waste is used as an additive to an earthenware brick to produce the pores [13, 16]. Paper production inevitably produces large amounts of waste containing cellulose fibers along with calcium carbonate and clay minerals. This waste contains about 65% water and is generally dumped on land disposal sites in Turkey. Paper producers are seeking ways to eliminate this waste in an environmentally friendly way. Brick producers use large amounts of natural clayey raw materials for production of earthenware bricks with wide compositional variations. Therefore, these products can tolerate compositional variations due to changes in the raw materials. Hence, in some cases they are capable of incorporating appropriate ratios of waste without significantly losing their product quality [17-19]. Nowadays, increasing global industrial activities brings

about the important environmental problems. Specifically, considerable amounts of waste materials are generated from different industrial and agricultural sources [16]. However, these wastes need wide landfills for their disposal, which is difficult to maintain. Also, in developed countries, restricted laws in a form of prohibitions or special taxes for creating waste areas have been implemented. Still stricter waste disposal regulations are expected in the future. For this reason, the utilization or recycling of industrial wastes has been recognized worldwide not only as an economic opportunity but also for solving environmental problems [20-23]. Recently, reuse of wastes from pulp and paper industry in the clay brick materials have especially gained very importance due to their organic and inorganic content [13, 18, 24-28].

On the other hand, the major variables influencing the thermal conductivity of walls are illustrated in this work by taking different designs of hollow clay bricks made up of paper waste. The finite element method (FEM) is used for finding accurate solutions of the heat transfer equation for clay hollow brick walls. Mathematically, the non-linearity is due to the radiation boundary condition and convection phenomena inside the inner recesses of the bricks [29]. Conduction, convection and radiation phenomena are taking into account in this study for three different hollow clay bricks and four different compositions containing mixtures with paper waste. The development of mathematical models to predict heat transfer processes is a valuable tool in the field of heat and mass transfer technology. These models play an important role in simulation and optimization of complex systems leading to efficient and economical designs of hollow bricks [30-31].

Furthermore, in order to improve the thermal transmittance of hollow clay bricks the design of experiments (DOE) and response surface methodology (RSM) have been used as modeling tools over the above mentioned finite element models to determine the influence of individual factors and their interactions, using the thermal transmittance as objective function. The DOE-RSM methodology does not need explicit expressions of the physical meaning of

the physical system under study. DOE-RSM models have determined a relationship between design variables and a response or output of the process using a limited number of experimental runs [32-33]. Usually, these models are developed to optimize the response of the system: the thermal transmittance in this case.

### 2. Materials and methods

# 2.1. Brick production for laboratory tests

In this study, a brick clay for brick production obtained from Yüksel Tuğla brick manufacturer (in Turgutlu, Turkey) and recycled paper processing waste for pore making obtained from Levent Kağıt A.Ş. (in Izmir, Turkey) was used. The raw materials were characterized for chemical (X-ray fluorescence analysis), mineralogical (X-ray diffraction analysis), thermal (thermogravimetric analysis) and microstructural (scanning electron microscopy analysis) properties.

For brick production, brick clay and recycled paper waste were initially dried in a drying oven. Then, they were powdered by a disc mill (Fritsch GmbH, Germany). Dewatered paper waste was blended with brick clay in a Heidolph mixer for 30 min (mixing speed, 650–800 rpm). Powder mixture-water ratio of 1:1 was used in the blending process. The resulting mixtures contained up to 30% by weight of the paper waste. The mixtures were dried, ground and then granulated with sprayed cold water (about 10% moisture content). The specimens of 85mm×85mm×10mm were uniaxially compacted in a hydraulic press under a pressure of 10 MPa for laboratory tests. The specimens were held overnight at room temperature followed by drying at 45°C and then 100°C for 2 h in an oven. Dry specimens were fired in a laboratory-type electrical furnace at the rate of 2.5°C/min until 600°C, and then at the rate of 10°C/min until 1000°C for one hour.

Variations in density and linear shrinkage values were measured using a caliper after drying and firing steps. The bulk density, apparent porosity and water absorption values of the fired samples were measured by Archimedes method. Their microstructures and compressive strengths were determined. Thermal conductivities of the brick samples were performed by a Quick Thermal Conductivity Meter (QTM500, Kyoto Electronics).

# 2.2. Numerical modeling as vertically multi-holed bricks designed of the produced samples

In order to study the thermal performance of this material for their use in construction facades, we have modeled walls composed of three different brick models (see Fig. 1). The multi-holed bricks could be produce by using an extruder with different brick mould models in the industrial plant.

The first brick, B1, has 0.3 m length and 0.19 m width, the second brick, B2, has 0.265 m length and 0.24 m width and the third brick, B3, has 0.26 m width and 0.27 m length. Cavities are different depending on the kind and size of brick, the distribution and main dimensions are indicated in Fig. 1. Therefore, due to the different number, shape, distribution and length of recesses in each brick the thermal performance properties are clearly different.

Fig. 1. Dimensions of brick models (B1, B2 and B3) designed for this study (in mm).

### 2.2.1. FEM modeling

In this study we try to analyze the influence of several parameters such as the material conductivity, the convection and radiation properties and the geometry of the recesses in the thermal performance of walls made up of different bricks. The main goal of this study is to

optimize the thermal behavior of the brick and select the best candidate and material properties with respect to the paper waste addition.

Size and shape thermal optimization problems are usually stated in terms of a minimum weight with temperature constraint approach. These traditional minimum compliance statements for topology optimization problems offer some obvious advantages, since it is prevented to deal with a large number of highly non-linear temperature constraints.

In this section we will describe the finite element modeling and analysis techniques used, taking into account the excellent agreement between experimental tests and numerical simulations by FEM for a certain wall's configuration [30-31].

For modeling of clay bricks lightened with paper waste, we used a plane element with four nodes, named PLANE55. [34-35]. This is a four-node element with a one degree of freedom (temperature) per node, well suited to model curved boundaries, such as recesses and faces of the bricks. The element is also appropriate to reproduce the behaviour of heat transference for conduction in the solid.

To simulate the convection and radiation phenomena in the brick inner recesses, we used surface elements with 3 nodes, 2 nodes + 1 extra node included, named SURF151 [34], which has one degree of freedom (temperature) per node.

### 2.2.2. Thermal loads and boundary conditions

To analyze the thermal behavior in the models studied in this research, the following boundary conditions are considered:

- Horizontal heat flow q equal to  $10 \text{ W/m}^2$  in one side of the wall.
- External film coefficient of 25 W/m<sup>2</sup>K, corresponding to an exterior wall face.

# - Ambient temperature of 273 K

We have implemented the nonlinear radiation and convection phenomena inside recesses using the procedure indicated in Annex B from the UNE-EN-ISO 6946 standard rule [36]. The film coefficient of a specific hole in which the length is less than ten times their thickness is calculated from the following equation:

$$h_{tot} = h_a + \frac{1}{2} E \cdot h_{r0} \cdot \left( 1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{t^2}{w^2}} - \frac{t}{w} \right)$$
 (1)

were:

 $h_a$  is the convection film coefficient,  $W/m^2K$ 

E is the surface emissivity factor, from  $E = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\varepsilon_1} + \frac{1}{\varepsilon_2} - 1}$ 

 $\mathcal{E}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{E}_2$  are the surface hemispheric emissivities.

 $h_{\rm r0}$  is the radiation coefficient for a black body,  ${\rm W/m^2K}$ 

t is the thickness of recesses in the heat flux direction, m

w is the width of recesses, perpendicular to the heat flux direction, m

The problem was solved in a workstation computer with a double-CPU Intel Xeon 5140 @ 2.33GHz, 24 GB RAM memory and 4.0 TB hard disk. The total elapsed average CPU time per case was 500 seconds and the total number of iterations in order to get the convergence about 50.

# 2.2.3. Predictive modeling using DOE-RSM

Firstly, the design of experiments and response surface methodology (DOE-RSM) techniques are an optimization approach that allows the calculation of the input variables that maximize or minimize a specific objective function [32]. Based on these techniques (DOE-RSM) the second order polynomial regression models can be developed to predict the performance of any physical system. During the DOE-RSM modelling the input variables  $x_1$ ,  $x_2,...,x_n$  must be scaled to coded levels. In coded scale the factors varies from a minimum level (-1) up to a maximum level (+1). The second-order models given by RSM are often used to determine the critical points (maximum, minimum, or saddle) and can be written in a general form as [33]:

$$\widehat{Y} = \beta_0 + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i x_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_{ii} x_i^2 + \sum_{i< j}^n \beta_{ij} x_i x_j$$
(2)

Where  $\hat{Y}$  denotes the predicted response,  $x_i$  refers to the coded levels of the input variables,  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_i$ ,  $\beta_{ii}$ ,  $\beta_{ij}$  are the regression coefficients (offset term, main, quadratic and interaction effects) and n is the total number of variables. To determine the regression coefficients, the ordinary least squares (OLS) method is used. The OLS estimator can be written as follows [32-33]:

$$\vec{\beta}_{OLS} = (\vec{X}^T \vec{X})^{-1} \vec{X}^T \vec{Y} \tag{3}$$

Where  $\beta_{OLS}$  is a vector of regression coefficients,  $\vec{X}$  is an extended designed matrix of the coded levels of the input variables,  $\vec{Y}$  is a column vector of response determined according to the arrangements points into the experimental design.

Secondly, an enhanced central composite design (ECCD) was selected for the optimization of the most important parameters in the design of experiments (DOE) methodology [32-33]. In this way, the following parameters and range of variation have been considered in all FEM models:

- Surface emissivity in all recesses, excluding central hole, from 0.75 to 0.99
- Surface emissivity in central hole, from 0.75 to 0.99
- Thermal conductivity, from 0.39 to 0.68 W/mK
- Mean temperature for the black body radiation coefficient, from 260 to 303 K

Taking into account the above mentioned input variables, each ECCD must solve fifty different FEM models for each brick type, giving place to 150 different analyses for all cases.

# 3. Results and discussion

# 3.1. Characterization of raw materials

Physical, mineralogical, microstructural and thermal properties of the raw materials are presented in a previous publication of the authors [13]. The chemical composition of the clay consisted of 61.7% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 15.7% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.8% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 6.8% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 2.4% K<sub>2</sub>O, 2.1% CaO, 2.3% MgO and 0.3% Na<sub>2</sub>O. Paper waste includes 6.4% SiO<sub>2</sub>, 4.1% Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.1% TiO<sub>2</sub>, 0.3% Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, 0.1% K<sub>2</sub>O, 32.9% CaO, 1.5% MgO and 0.1% Na<sub>2</sub>O. Loss on ignition of brick clay and paper waste upon heating at 1000°C was measured as 7.5 and 54%, respectively. The mineral phases in brick clay contained high amount of quartz and clay minerals such as illite and chlorites; and paper waste included mainly calcium carbonate, cellulose and less amount of clay minerals [13].

The firing steps of brick samples were planned according to thermo-gravimetric analysis results. The TGA curve of the paper waste is given in Fig. 2. The analysis followed the burning of cellulose fibers (~25%) around 350°C and the decomposition of calcium carbonate (~20%) above 700°C.

Fig. 2. TGA curves of paper waste.

In Fig. 3a and 3b, microstructural images of short cellulose fibers and finely inorganic particles in the paper waste used as pore-making additive for porous brick production are shown, respectively. Thicknesses of the cellulose fibers are smaller than 20  $\mu$ m. Inorganic particles that contained mainly calcium carbonate in the waste were observed to be quite fine particles.

Fig. 3. SEM images of the recycled paper waste used as pore-making additive.

# 3.2. Characterization of the fired brick samples

Recycled paper waste of up to 30wt% was used in making fired brick. It was observed that the color of the brick got lighter shades of red with increasing amount of waste additions due to the presence of higher amounts of calcium. Experimental results of the samples fired at 1000°C are given in Table 1. Drying shrinkage values of the bricks varied between 1 and 2% due to the less moisture content. Bulk density, apparent specific gravity, apparent porosity and water absorption values of the samples were measured by the Archimedes method using boiling water. The results obtained revealed that bulk densities decreased steadily with an increase in the amount of the paper waste. Bulk density of the fired bricks decreased from

1.85 to 1.32 g/cm<sup>3</sup>, which corresponds to a decrease by up to 30% compared to density of the brick without paper waste. Apparent porosity values of the samples were measured between 31.7% and 50.7% with increasing waste addition while the bulk densities were significantly reduced. Also, their water absorption values increased depending on the mass ratio of waste addition.

**Table 1.** Experimental results of the fired samples.

The thermal conductivity values of the brick samples measured by hot-wire method at ambient conditions are given in Table 1. The thermal conductivity of the samples considerably decreased with increasing paper waste content. The paper waste addition into the bricks decreased the density of brick samples after firing. Thermal conductivity of the bricks depends on their firing temperatures, densities and therefore porosities [18]. Thermal conductivity of the porous brick with 30% paper waste (0.39 W/mK) showed a reduction of 43% according to the brick without paper waste (0.68 W/mK). This reduction in thermal conductivity of the material is encouraging for higher energy saving potential in building applications.

The compressive strengths of fired clay brick samples were measured at a cross head speed of 0.5 mm/min in a universal testing machine with a testing capacity of 250 kN. The results obtained are given in Table 1. Paper waste addition and the resulting porosity decreased the compressive strength of the brick samples which were still higher than the minimum standard strength values of 7 MPa [37]. The compressive strength of vertically perforated bricks (40% of cavity) varies between 4 and 20 MPa depending on the density (<1000 kg/m³) [8].

In addition, microstructure of the brick samples was investigated using scanning electron microscope (SEM). Fig. 4 shows the SEM images of the fracture surfaces of unfired

and fired brick samples produced with 30% paper waste. This microstructure revealed that cellulose fibers were immersed in the green brick body. Thicknesses of the fibers varied from 5 to 20 µm. During firing, these cellulose fibers burned and vacant holes were formed in their locations inside the brick body (Fig. 4b). Also, micro-pores occurred due to the decomposition of calcium carbonate. In general, calcium carbonate is used as pore forming agent in the manufacture of porous materials. Both the cellulose fibers and calcite helped to form the porosity in this study. Coarse particles observed in the structure were identified as quartz by energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy analysis (EDS). In fired samples, the microstructure of the samples with paper waste also consisted calcium-rich aluminum silicate phases due to the sintering reaction of calcite and clay minerals [13, 18].

**Fig. 4.** SEM images of the fracture surfaces of (a) unfired and (b) fired brick samples produced with 30% paper waste.

# 3.3. Numerical results and discussion

# 3.3.1. Numerical FEM results

The results obtained using FEM [6, 9, 30-31] are processed in order to obtain the overall heat transfer coefficient, U (W/m<sup>2</sup> K). The calculation of this parameter is based on the next equations [38-39]:

$$U = \frac{q/A}{\Lambda T} \tag{2}$$

Due to the small variations of temperature in each face of the brick, the maximum  $(U_2)$  and mean  $(U_I)$  values of the heat transfer coefficient over the central face brick are used to obtain the characteristic thermal transmittance value. The main numerical results are provided

in Table 2 for the best, worst and medium case, as well as the values of the different parameters.

#### **Table 2.** Numerical results of the bricks.

Fig. 5 shows the temperature distribution corresponding to walls made up of bricks B1, B2 and B3. After examining the numerical results it can be assumed that the simulation by FEM constitute a reasonable approach to describe the thermal behaviour of the system according to other previous research works [30-31]. In summary, the FE model may reproduce quite accurately the heat transfer in complex walls with multiple recesses, made up of clay and paper waste mixtures.

Fig. 5. Temperature distribution in walls made up of bricks.

### 3.3.2. DOE and RSM results

The application of DOE and RSM leads to development of the predictive RSM. In fact, the RSM procedure can be used for simulation of heat transfer process and the influence of the different variables in the thermal performance of walls made up of bricks B1, B2 and B3. In order to obtain a better understanding of the sensitivity and RSM results, the main findings are presented in Figs. 6 to 8 as a bar graph and three-dimensional response surface (RS) plots.

**Fig. 6.** DOE results for brick B1 of the overall heat transfer coefficient (*U*): (a) sensitivity analysis; (b) RS plot of *U* as a function of central hole and recesses radiation emissivity; (c) RS plot of *U* as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses radiation emissivity; and (d) RS plot of *U* as a function of recesses radiation emissivity and mean brick temperature.

Firstly, with respect to the brick B1, Fig. 6(a) shows the sensitivity diagram for all parameters considered with respect to the overall heat transfer coefficient. Note that the hierarchies of the input variables affecting the thermal transmittance are the following:

- Material thermal conductivity is the most influential variable in a directly proportional way, that is to say, if the thermal conductivity increases the thermal transmittance increase.
- The mean temperature for the black body radiation coefficient is the second influential variable.
- The recesses' surface emissivity coefficient is the third influential variable in a directly proportional way.
- The central (big hole) surface emissivity coefficient is the fourth variable with respect to the thermal transmittance.

Furthermore, Figs. 6(b), 6(c) and 6(d) show the response surfaces of the overall heat transfer coefficient, U, as a function of all recesses' surface emissivity, as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses' surface emissivity, and as a function of the mean temperature for the black body radiation coefficient and recesses' surface emissivity, respectively. From these response surfaces it is possible to conclude that the thermal transmittance is a monotonically increasing function of the all variables considered.

Thus, to reach a minimum value of the overall heat transfer coefficient it is recommended that the material thermal conductivity and the recesses' surface physical properties are decreased.

**Fig. 7.** DOE results for brick B2 of the overall heat transfer coefficient (*U*): (a) sensitivity analysis; (b) RS plot of *U* as a function of central hole and recesses radiation emissivity; (c) RS plot of *U* as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses radiation emissivity; and (d) RS plot of *U* as a function of recesses radiation emissivity and mean brick temperature.

Secondly, with respect to the brick B2, the sensitivity diagram for all parameters considered with respect to the overall heat transfer coefficient is presented in Fig. 7(a). In this case, the hierarchies of the input variables affecting the thermal transmittance are the following:

- The most influential variable in a directly proportional way is the material thermal conductivity, that is to say, if the thermal conductivity increases the thermal transmittance increase.
- The second influential variable is the mean temperature for the black body radiation coefficient.
- The recesses' surface emissivity coefficient is the third influential variable in a directly proportional way.
- The central (big cavity) surface emissivity coefficient is the fourth variable with respect to the thermal transmittance.

Besides, Figs. 7(b), 7(c) and 7(d) show the response surfaces of the overall heat transfer coefficient, U, as a function of all recesses' surface emissivity, as a function of

thermal conductivity and recesses' surface emissivity, and as a function of the mean temperature for the black body radiation coefficient and recesses' surface emissivity, respectively. From these response surfaces it is possible to conclude that the thermal transmittance is a monotonically increasing function of the all variables considered.

In consequence, to minimize the overall heat transfer coefficient it is necessary that the material thermal conductivity and the recesses' surface physical properties are decreased.

**Fig. 8.** DOE results for brick B3 of the overall heat transfer coefficient (*U*): (a) sensitivity analysis; (b) RS plot of *U* as a function of central hole and recesses radiation emissivity; (c) RS plot of *U* as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses radiation emissivity; and (d) RS plot of *U* as a function of recesses radiation emissivity and mean brick temperature.

Finally, with respect to the brick B3, Fig. 8(a) shows the sensitivity chart. It is possible to observe in this diagram the following order of priority for input variables with respect to the thermal transmittance:

- The material thermal conductivity is the most influential variable in a directly proportional way, that is to say, if the thermal conductivity is increased the thermal transmittance increases.
- The recesses' surface emissivity coefficient is the second influential variable in a directly proportional way with respect to the thermal transmittance.
- The mean temperature for the black body radiation coefficient is the third variable in a directly proportional way, with respect to the thermal transmittance.
- Finally, the central (big cavity) surface emissivity coefficient is the fourth influential variable in a directly proportional way, with respect to the thermal transmittance.

Figs. 8(b), 8(c) and 8(d) show the response surfaces of the overall heat transfer coefficient, U, as a function of all recesses' surface emissivity, as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses' surface emissivity, and as a function of the mean temperature for the black body radiation coefficient and recesses' surface emissivity, respectively. From these response surfaces it is possible to conclude that the thermal transmittance is a monotonically increasing function of the all input variables considered.

Accordingly, in this kind of brick the central (big cavity) surface emissivity coefficient plays a negligible influence on the overall heat transfer coefficient. To obtain a minimum value of the thermal transmittance it is recommended to decrease the material thermal conductivity as well as the emissivity coefficient of the recesses'.

# 4. Conclusions

In this study, incorporation of industrial recycled paper processing wastes used as an additive into an earthenware brick product to produce the pores and as a result, production of porous and lightweight clay bricks with reduced thermal conductivity and acceptable compressive strength is accomplished. The mixtures containing clay and the paper waste prepared at different proportions (10, 20 and 30% by weight) were pressed and fired at 1000°C. Their density, porosity and water absorption, compressive strength and thermal conductivity measurements were performed. The results obtained showed that the use of paper waste decreased the fired density of the samples down to 1.32 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. Their apparent porosity and water absorption values were increased with increase in waste addition. Depending on the increase in the porosity ratios, compressive strengths of the samples decreased. Their strengths were still higher than that required by the standards. Thermal conductivity of the porous brick produced in this study (<0.39 W/mK) showed more than

40% reduction compared to local brick of the same composition (0.68 W/mK). Conversion of this product to a perforated brick showed that its thermal conductivity could be decreased down. Results indicated that the paper waste could be easily utilized as pore forming additives into brick bodies to facilitate production of lightweight vertically perforated clay bricks.

Design of experiments (DOE) and finite element method (FEM) has been implemented in order to build predictive models to study the thermal behaviour of various kinds of walls made up of different types of clay bricks mixtures including paper waste.

To minimize the thermal transmittance an enhanced full factorial central composite design (CCD) were used to optimize the values of the input variables: recesses' emissivities, thermal transmittance and mean brick temperature. Results reveal the following main findings:

- The material thermal conductivity of bricks has important influence on the thermal performance, minimizing this physical property gives place to the decrease of the brick thermal transmittance.
- Predicted values of the overall heat transfer coefficient obtained using model equations for the three different bricks were in good agreement with the FEM values. Indeed, a 0.99 coefficient of determination was obtained in this research work (see Figs. 9(a), 9(b) and 9(c)).
- In all analyzed cases, decreasing the recesses surface radiation emissivity causes a lower thermal transmittance in the brick.
- For all cases, the central (big cavity) surface emissivity coefficient is the less influential variable.

Fig. 9. Predicted versus observed normalized values.

In summary, the present study has proven that the design of experiments methodology in combination with finite element modeling could efficiently be applied to optimize the overall heat transfer coefficient. Furthermore, this methodology is an easy way to obtain the best thermal behavior of different bricks with the fewest number of experiments, in order to obtain important energy saving in building construction.

Finally, engineers and architects can use the main results presented in this research work in order to select the best brick candidate, cavity distribution and clay mixtures for new brick designs.

# Acknowledgements

The authors express deep gratitude to the GICONSIME Research Group at Oviedo University and Turkish Brick Association TUKDER for the brick models and their valuable collaboration. This work was partial financed by the Gijon City Council through the SV-13-GIJON-1.7 project and the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation co-financed with FEDER funds under the Research Project BIA2012-31609. Besides we would also like to thank Swanson Analysis Inc. for the use of ANSYS University Research program and Workbench simulation environment.

# References

- [1] Energy, transport and environment indicators, Eurostat (2011), ISSN 1725-4566 (online data code: nrg 100a).
- [2] The State Planning Organization (DPT), Ninth Development Plan, Tuğla ve Kiremit Sanayii Alt ÖİK Raporu (in Turkish), vol. 1, DPT 2773, ÖİK 703, Ankara, Turkey, 2008.
- [3] C.A. Balaras, K. Droutsa, A.A. Argiriou, D.N. Asimakopoulos, Potential for energy conservation in apartment buildings, Energy and Buildings 31 (2) (2000) 143–54.
- [4] Y. Feng, Thermal design standards for energy efficiency of residential buildings in hot summer/cold winter zones. Energy and Buildings 36 (2004) 1309–12.
- [5] Directive 2010/31/EU of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Energy performance of buildings, Official Journal of the European Union, 19 May 2010, Vol:153.
- [6] J.J. del Coz Díaz, P.J. García Nieto, J. Domínguez Hernández, F.P. Álvarez Rabanal. A FEM comparative analysis of the thermal efficiency among floors made up of clay, concrete and lightweight concrete hollow blocks, Applied Thermal Engineering 30 (17–18) (2010) 2822-2826.
- [7] M. Zukowski, G. Haese, Experimental and numerical investigation of a hollow brick filled with perlite insulation, Energy and Buildings 42 (2010) 1402–1408.
- [8] M. Kornmann, Clay bricks and roof tiles: manufacturing and properties, Société de l'industrie minérale, Paris, 2007.
- [9] M.M. Al-Hazmy, Analysis of coupled natural convection conduction effects on the heat transport through hollow building blocks, Energy and Buildings 38 (2006) 515–521.
- [10] E. Rimpel, F. Rehme, Development of extruded, high thermal insulating bricks, Ziegelindustrie International 57 (12) (2001) 36–41.

- [11] K. Junge, Additives in the brick and tile industry, Zi Brick and Tile Industry International 53 (12) (2000) 25–39.
- [12] E. Rimpel, Industrial production of high-porosity brick materials, Ziegelindustrie International Annual (1996) 174–207.
- [13] M. Sutcu, S. Akkurt, The use of recycled paper processing residues in making porous brick with reduced thermal conductivity, Ceramics International 35 (7) (2009) 2625–2631.
- [14] EN 832:1998. Thermal performance of buildings: Calculation of energy use for heating residential buildings, CEN, Brussels, Belgium.
- [15] TS 825: 2008. Turkish Standard, Thermal insulation requirements for buildings, Ankara, Turkey.
- [16] S.P. Raut, R.V. Ralegaonkar, S.A. Mandavgane, Development of sustainable construction material using industrial and agricultural solid waste: A review of waste-create bricks, Construction and Building Materials 25 (2011) 4037–4042.
- [17] M. Dondi, M. Marsigli, B. Fabbri, Recycling of industrial and urban wastes in brick production, Tile and Brick International 13 (3) (1997) 218–225.
- [18] M. Sütçü, The use of paper processing residues in the development of ceramics with improved thermal insulation properties, PhD Thesis, Izmir Institute of Technology, Turkey, 2010.
- [19] A.M. Segadaes, Use of phase diagrams to guide ceramic production from wastes, Advances in Applied Ceramics, 105 (1) (2006) 46-54.
- [20] L. Zhang, Production of bricks from waste materials A review, Construction and Building Materials 47 (2013) 643–655.

- [21] O. Gencel, F. Koksal, C. Ozel, W. Brostow, Combined effect of fly ash and waste ferrochromium on properties of concrete, Construction and Building Materials 29 (2012) 633-640.
- [22] T. Uygunoglu, I.B. Topcu, O. Gencel, W. Brostow, The effect of fly ash content and types of aggregates on the properties of pre-fabricated concrete interlocking blocks (PCIBs), Construction and Building Materials 30 (2012) 180-187.
- [23] O. Gencel, C. Ozel, F. Koksal, E. Erdogmus, G. Martínez-Barrera, W. Brostow, Properties of concrete paving blocks made with waste marble, Journal of Cleaner Production 21(1) (2012) 62-70.
- [24] I. Demir, M.S. Baspınar, M. Orhan, Utilization of kraft pulp production residues in clay brick production, Building and Environment 40 (2005) 1533-1537.
- [25] S. Akkurt, M. Sütçü, K. Başoğlu, Isı yalıtım özellikleri iyileştirilmiş yapı tuğlalarının geliştirilmesinde kağıt üretim atıklarının kullanılması etkileri, (in Turkish), The 10th HVAC & Sanitary Congress and Teskon+Sodex Exhibition, The Proceedings of Symposium of Energy Performance in Buildings, Izmir, Turkey, 2011, pp. 899–908.
- [26] S.P. Raut, R. Sedmake, S. Dhunde, R.V. Ralegaonkar, S.A. Mandavgane, Reuse of recycle paper mill waste in energy absorbing light weight bricks, Construction and Building Materials 27 (2012) 247–51.
- [27] C. Martinez, T. Cotes, F.A. Corpas, Recovering wastes from the paper industry: Development of ceramic materials, Fuel Processing Technology 103 (2012) 117-124.
- [28] P. Munoz, M.C. Juarez, M.P. Morales, M.A. Mendiliv, Improving the thermal transmittance of single-brick walls built of clay bricks lightened with paper pulp, Energy and Buildings 59 (2013) 171–180.

- [29] L.P. Li, Z.G. Wu, Y.L. He, G. Lauriat, W.Q. Tao, Optimization of the configuration of 290×140×90 hollow clay bricks with 3-D numerical simulation by finite volume method, Energy and Buildings 40 (2008) 1790–1798.
- [30] J.J. del Coz Díaz, P.J. García Nieto, J.L. Suárez Sierra, I. Penuelas Sánchez, Non-linear thermal optimization and design improvement of a new internal light concrete multi-holed brick walls by FEM, Applied Thermal Engineering 28 (2008) 1090–1100.
- [31] J.J. del Coz Díaz, P.J. García Nieto, A. Martín Rodríguez, A. Lozano Martínez-Luengas, C. Betegón Biempica, Non-linear thermal analysis of light concrete hollow brick walls by the finite element method and experimental validation, Applied Thermal Engineering 26 (2006) 777-786.
- [32] D.C. Montgomery, Design, Analysis of Experiments, 5th ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2001.
- [33] R.H. Myers, D.C. Montgomery, Response Surface Methodology: Process and Product Optimization Using Designed Experiments, 2nd ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, 2002.
- [34] S. Moaveni, Finite element analysis: Theory and Applications with ANSYS, Prentice Hall, New York, 2003.
- [35] E. Madenci, I. Guven, The finite element method and applications in Engineering using ANSYS, Springer, Berlin, 2005.
- [36] UNE-EN ISO 6946:1997. Elements and construction components. Resistance and thermal transmittance: Calculation method. AENOR, Madrid.
- [37] TS EN 771-1: 2005. Specification for masonry units part 1: clay masonry units.
- [38] EN-1934: 1998. Thermal performance of buildings Determination of thermal resistance by hot box method using heat flow meter Masonry. CEN, Brussels.

[39] UNE-EN-1745: 2002. Masonry and masonry products - Methods for determining design thermal values. AENOR, Madrid.

 Table 1. Experimental results of the fired samples.

Physical properties	Mass ratio of paper waste addition					
	0%	10%	20%	30%		
Loss on ignition (%)	7.5	13.0	17.4	22.3		
Apparent porosity (%)	31.7±0.8	40.7±0.9	46.7±0.5	50.7±0.7		
Water absorption (%)	17.2±0.7	25.6±0.9 32.8±0.8		38.4±1.0		
Bulk density (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	1.85±0.03	1.59±0.02	1.42±0.02	1.32±0.02		
Apparent specific gravity (g/cm <sup>3</sup> )	2.70±0.01	2.68±0.00	2.67±0.01	2.68±0.01		
Thermal conductivity (W/mK)	0.68±0.01	0.50±0.005	0.46±0.004	0.39±0.003		
Compressive strength (MPa)	31.1±2.0	12.6±0.7	13.1±0.9	7.0±0.8		

**Table 2.** Numerical results of the bricks.

Brick	Case	λ	T	3	$\epsilon_{ m hole}$	$U_1$	$U_2$
		(W/mK)	(K)			$(W/m^2K)$	$(W/m^2K)$
B1	Best	0.39	260	0.75	0.75	0.704	0.768
B2	Best	0.39	260	0.75	0.75	0.652	0.734
В3	Best	0.39	260	0.75	0.75	0.473	0.507
B1	Medium	0.535	281.5	0.87	0.81	0.926	1.014
B2	Medium	0.535	281.5	0.87	0.81	0.847	0.958
В3	Medium	0.535	281.5	0.87	0.75	0.646	0.696
B1	Worst	0.68	303	0.99	0.99	1.213	1.328
B2	Worst	0.68	303	0.99	0.99	1.083	1.226
В3	Worst	0.68	303	0.99	0.99	0.863	0.931

# **Figure Captions**

- Fig. 1. Dimensions of brick models (B1, B2 and B3) designed for this study (in mm).
- Fig. 2. TGA curves of paper waste.
- Fig. 3. SEM images of the recycled paper waste used as pore-making additive.
- **Fig. 4.** SEM images of the fracture surfaces of (a) unfired and (b) fired brick samples produced with 30% paper waste.
- **Fig. 5.** Temperature distribution in walls made up of bricks.
- **Fig. 6.** DOE results for brick B1 of the overall heat transfer coefficient (*U*): (a) sensitivity analysis; (b) RS plot of *U* as a function of central hole and recesses radiation emissivity; (c) RS plot of *U* as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses radiation emissivity; and (d) RS plot of *U* as a function of recesses radiation emissivity and mean brick temperature.
- **Fig. 7.** DOE results for brick B2 of the overall heat transfer coefficient (*U*): (a) sensitivity analysis; (b) RS plot of *U* as a function of central hole and recesses radiation emissivity; (c) RS plot of *U* as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses radiation emissivity; and (d) RS plot of *U* as a function of recesses radiation emissivity and mean brick temperature.
- Fig. 8. DOE results for brick B3 of the overall heat transfer coefficient (U): (a) sensitivity analysis; (b) RS plot of U as a function of central hole and recesses radiation emissivity; (c) RS plot of U as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses radiation emissivity; and (d) RS plot of U as a function of recesses radiation emissivity and mean brick temperature.
- Fig. 9. Predicted versus observed normalized values.




Fig. 1. Dimensions of brick models (B1, B2 and B3) designed for this study (in mm).




Fig. 2. TGA curves of paper waste.







Fig. 3. SEM images of the recycled paper waste used as pore-making additive.




**Fig. 4.** SEM images of the fracture surfaces of (a) unfired and (b) fired brick samples produced with 30% paper waste.




 $\textbf{Fig. 5.} \ \text{Temperature distribution ($K$) in walls made up of bricks.}$ 



**Fig. 6.** DOE results for brick B1 of the overall heat transfer coefficient (*U*): (a) sensitivity analysis; (b) RS plot of *U* as a function of central hole and recesses radiation emissivity; (c) RS plot of *U* as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses radiation emissivity; and (d) RS plot of *U* as a function of recesses radiation emissivity and mean brick temperature.



**Fig. 7.** DOE results for brick B2 of the overall heat transfer coefficient (*U*): (a) sensitivity analysis; (b) RS plot of *U* as a function of central hole and recesses radiation emissivity; (c) RS plot of *U* as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses radiation emissivity; and (d) RS plot of *U* as a function of recesses radiation emissivity and mean brick temperature.



**Fig. 8.** DOE results for brick B3 of the overall heat transfer coefficient (*U*): (a) sensitivity analysis; (b) RS plot of *U* as a function of central hole and recesses radiation emissivity; (c) RS plot of *U* as a function of thermal conductivity and recesses radiation emissivity; and (d) RS plot of *U* as a function of recesses radiation emissivity and mean brick temperature.




Fig. 9. Predicted versus observed normalized values.