Geometry of Financial Markets – Towards Information Theory Model of Markets

(RePEc:sla:eakjkl:26 26-VII-2006)

Edward W. Piotrowski[∗] Institute of Mathematics, University of Białystok $Lipowa 41, Pl 15424 Bialustok, Poland$

Jan Sładkowski[†]

Institute of Physics, University of Silesia Uniwersytecka 4, Pl 40007 Katowice, Poland (Dated: September 9, 2006)

Most of parameters used to describe states and dynamics of financial market depend on proportions of the appropriate variables rather than on their actual values. Therefore, projective geometry seems to be the correct language to describe the theater of financial activities. We suppose that the object of interest of agents, called here baskets, form a vector space over the reals. A portfolio is defined as an equivalence class of baskets containing assets in the same proportions. Therefore portfolios form a projective space. Cross ratios, being invariants of projective maps, form key structures in the proposed model. Quotation with respect to an asset Ξ (i.e. in units of Ξ) are given by linear maps. Among various types of metrics that have financial interpretation, the min-max metrics on the space of quotations can be introduced. This metrics has an interesting interpretation in terms of rates of return. It can be generalized so that to incorporate a new numerical parameter (called temperature) that describes agent's lack of knowledge about the state of the market. In a dual way, a metrics on the space of market quotation is defined. In addition, one can define an interesting metric structure on the space of portfolios/quotation that is invariant with respect to hyperbolic (Lorentz) symmetries of the space of portfolios. The introduced formalism opens new interesting and possibly fruitful fields of research.

PACS numbers: 89.65.Gh, 89.70.+c, 02.40.Dr Keywords: finance, projective geometry, portfolio theory, econophysics

I. INTRODUCTION

In majority of the models considered in economics one cannot ask questions about symmetries of the considered phenomena, especially if one put the stress on group theoretical aspects. The reason is that one can hardly speak about invariance (covariance) of terms used in analysis or numerical values returned by most of models [1]. We would like to argue that projective geometry, equipped with an appropriate metric structure and some measure of investors performance, might form a precise formalism that allows us to carry out objective (quantitative) analysis of investment processes and symmetries of their market context. We describe a simple geometrical model of a financial market – we call it Information Theory Model of Markets (ITMM) – that explores ideas of projective geometry. Our model presents in some sense a picture of financial markets dual to that assumed in the most popular ones, Capital Asset Pricing Model and Arbitrage Pricing Models [2]. Investors, due to their lack of knowledge, wrong prognosis for the future or simple fear, behave in an unpredictable, chaotic way. Prices are determined by their decisions – in the same way as the gas pressure is determined by (chaotic) particles dynamics. A non-random pricing of capital assets follows from investors knowledge and possible random factors cancel themselves due to variety of strategies adopted by investors if the market is liquid enough. The formalism of projective geometry allows us to carry out analysis of invariant and covariant quantities. A detailed axiomatic formulation of the model will be given elsewhere [3], here we would like to present only some basic features. The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give some basic definitions and describe mathematical tools we are going to use. Then we show the importance of metric structures and give two exemplary metrics. It follows that some important analogies with physical theories can be expected. Finally, we discuss a possible connection between investors performance and knowledge about markets measured by information theory means.

[∗]Electronic address: ep@alpha.uwb.edu.pl; URL: http://alpha.uwb.edu.pl/ep/sj/index.shtml †Electronic address: sladk@us.edu.pl

II. PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY AS A FORMALISM DESCRIBING INVESTMENTS

The market determines what goods are made and what products are bought and sold. We assume that objects of investors interest span a $(N+1)$ -dimensional vector space G over the reals. Elements of this vector space are called baskets. Let us fix some basis $\{g_0, g_1, \ldots, g_N\}$ in G. $g_\mu \in G$, the μ -th element of the basis, is called the μ -th asset (market good). Assets, although selected in an arbitrary way, are distinguished because they are used for effective bookkeeping, accounting, market analysis and so on. For any basket $p \in G$ we have a unique representation

$$
p = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N} p_{\mu} \mathbf{g}_{\mu}.
$$

The coefficient $p_\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ is called the μ -th *coordinate of the basket.* A *portfolio* is defined as an equivalence class of non-empty baskets (that is in $G \setminus \{0\}$) [4]. Two baskets p' and p'' are equivalent if and only if there exists $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, such that

$$
\sum_{\mu=0}^N p'_\mu \mathsf{g}_\mu = \sum_{\mu=0}^N \lambda \ p''_\mu \mathsf{g}_\mu.
$$

Equivalently,

$$
(p'_0,\ldots,p'_N)=(\lambda p''_0,\ldots,\lambda p''_N).
$$

If for a given portfolio we have $p_{\mu} \neq 0$, then there exists such a basket representing this portfolio that it contains exactly a unit of asset g_{μ} . Coordinates of this basket, $p = (p_0, \ldots, p_{\mu-1}, 1, p_{\mu+1}, \ldots, p_N)$, are called *inhomogeneous* coordinates of the portfolio p with respect to μ -th asset. If $p_{\mu}=0$, $p=(p_0,\ldots,p_{\mu-1},0,p_{\mu+1},\ldots,p_N)$, then we say that that the portfolio p is improper for the μ -th asset. Market quotation U in units of ν -th asset is a linear map $U(\mathsf{g}_{\nu}, \cdot) : G \to \mathbb{R}$. The map U associates with a given portfolio p its current value in units of g_{ν} :

$$
(Up)_{\nu} = U(g_{\nu}, p) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N} U(g_{\nu}, g_{\mu}) p_{\mu},
$$
\n(1)

where $U(\mathbf{g}_{\nu}, \mathbf{g}_{\mu})$ is the price of a unit of μ -th asset given in units of ν -th asset.

A. Basic definition and ideas

We require that

$$
U({\sf g}_\mu, U({\sf g}_\nu,p){\sf g}_\nu){\sf g}_\mu=U({\sf g}_\mu,p){\sf g}_\mu
$$

for p and g_{μ} and g_{ν} being exchangeable assets (that is $U(g_{\mu}, g_{\nu}) \neq 0$ and $U(g_{\mu}, g_{\nu}) \neq \pm \infty$, so inserting $p = g_{\rho}$ we get

$$
U(\mathbf{g}_{\mu}, \mathbf{g}_{\nu})U(\mathbf{g}_{\nu}, \mathbf{g}_{\rho}) = U(\mathbf{g}_{\mu}, \mathbf{g}_{\rho})
$$
\n(2)

.

for any μ , ν , ρ . Therefore quotations are transitive [5]. If we set $\mu = \nu = \rho$ in Eq.2 then we see that there are two possibilities $U(\mathsf{g}_{\mu}, \mathsf{g}_{\mu})=1$ or $U(\mathsf{g}_{\mu}, \mathsf{g}_{\mu})=0$. The case $U(\mathsf{g}_{\mu}, \mathsf{g}_{\mu})=1$ implies projectivity of $U: U((Up)_{\mu} \mathsf{g}_{\mu})_{\mu}=(Up)_{\mu}$. The case $U(\mathbf{g}_{\mu}, \mathbf{g}_{\mu}) = 0$ means that the μ -th asset is not subjected to quotation in the market (one can only, for example, present somebody with such an asset). For $\mu = \rho$ we get $U(g_{\mu}, g_{\mu})=1$ and therefore

$$
U(\mathsf{g}_\mu,\mathsf{g}_\nu)=\big(U(\mathsf{g}_\nu,\mathsf{g}_\mu)\big)^{-1}
$$

In general, the quotation map can be represented by a $(N+1)\times(N+1)$ matrix with (μ, ν) -th entry given by $U_{\mu\nu}$:= $U(g_\mu, g_\nu)$. The simplest way of determining this matrix consist in selecting some asset that is called the currency. Suppose that the asset g_0 is selected as the currency. The matrix $U_{\mu\nu}$ is defined uniquely by N values $u_k := U(g_0, g_k)$ for $k=1,\ldots,N$. (Note that $U_{00}=1$). If $u_0:=1$, due to the transitivity (Eq.2) all entries of $(U_{\mu\nu})$ are determined by the formula:

$$
U_{\mu\nu} = u_{\mu}^{-1} u_{\nu}.
$$
 (3)

After this Eq.1 simplifies to:

$$
(Up)_{\nu} = \sum_{\mu=0}^{N} u_{\mu} p_{\mu} u_{\nu}^{-1}.
$$

For $u_k = 0$ Eq. 3 remains valid if we set $u_k^{-1} := 0$. Sometimes we have to rescale the prices u_k in units proportional to g_0 , (e.g. if g_0 represents shares, after split, after currency denomination and so on). Therefore it is convenient to identify quotations $U = (\lambda, \lambda u_1, \dots, \lambda u_N)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$, that is introduce homogeneous coordinates. We say that the portfolio p is balanced for the quotation U if there is such an asset g_{μ} , so that the value of p in units of g_{μ} is 0, that is

$$
(Up)_{\mu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} U(\mathbf{g}_{\mu}, \mathbf{g}_{\nu}) p_{\nu} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{N} u_{\nu} p_{\nu} u_{\mu}^{-1} = 0.
$$

For quotation denominated in currency this formula simplifies to $\sum_{\mu=0}^{N} u_{\mu} p_{\mu} = 0$. The linearity of these equations allows for simple interpretations: portfolio p is balanced if the corresponding point belongs to the hyperplane representing quotation U.

	MARKET	PROJECTIVE GEOMETRY
р	portfolio	point
	quotation	hyperplane
		$ Up=0 $ portfolio is balanced for U point p lies in quotation hyperplane

TABLE I: Projective geometry dictionary

FIG. 1: Two different portfolios p, p' balanced for the same quotation U and a portfolio p'' balanced for two different quotations U', U'' (DUALITY!).

An important invariant can be defined in projective geometry – a cross ratio of four points $[6]$. For exchange ratios it describes the relative change of quotation (cf Fig.2):

$$
\{\$\,Q\,,Q',\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}\} := \frac{c'_{\$\,\rightarrow\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}}}{c_{\$\,\rightarrow\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}}} = \frac{q'_{\$\,q\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}}}{q'_{\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}}\,q_{\$\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}}} = \frac{|Q'\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}||Q\$\|}{|Q'\$\|Q\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}|} = \frac{P(\triangle_{Q'\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}})\,P(\triangle_{Q\$\,q\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}})}{P(\triangle_{Q'\$\,q\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}})\,P(\triangle_{Q\mathbf{\mathfrak{C}}O})}\,,
$$

where $c_{\$\to \infty} := \frac{q_{\$}}{q_{\epsilon}}$ is the exchange ratio $\$\to \infty$ (one obtains for $q_{\$}$ dollar q_{ϵ} euro) etc and $P(\triangle_{abc})$ denotes the area of the triangle with vertices a, b, and c. In Fig.2 lengths of the segments $Q\$ and $Q\in$ are proportional to $q_{\$}$ and q_{ϵ} , respectively. The invariance cross ratios of is crucial to our model.

B. Example: trading in a single asset

Let us consider the cross ratio $[\mathfrak{G}, U_{\to \mathfrak{G}}, U_{\mathfrak{G}\to \cdot}, \mathfrak{F}]$ for $U_{\to \mathfrak{G}} := (v, v e^{p_{\to \mathfrak{G}}}, \dots)$ and $U_{\mathfrak{G}\to \cdot} := (w, w e^{p_{\mathfrak{G}\to \cdot}}, \dots)$ and the points $\mathfrak G$ and $\mathfrak F$ given by crossing of the prime line $U_{\rightarrow \mathfrak G}U_{\mathfrak G\rightarrow \mathfrak G}$ and one-asset portfolios: $\overline{\mathfrak G}$ i $\overline{\mathfrak F}$ corresponding to assets $\mathfrak G$ and \$. $p_{\rightarrow\mathfrak{G}}$ and $p_{\mathfrak{G}}$ are the logarithmic quotations for buying and selling, respectively and the dots (...) represent quotations for the remaining assets and need not be the same for both quotations. The logarithm of the cross ratio $[\mathfrak{G}, U_{\rightarrow \mathfrak{G}}, U_{\mathfrak{G}\rightarrow}$, $[\mathfrak{G}]$ on the straight line $U_{\rightarrow \mathfrak{G}}U_{\mathfrak{G}\rightarrow}$ is equal to:

$$
\ln[\mathfrak{G}, U_{\rightarrow \mathfrak{G}}, U_{\mathfrak{G}\rightarrow},\mathfrak{F}]=\ln[\frac{w\,\mathrm{e}^{p_{\mathfrak{G}\rightarrow}}}{w\,\mathrm{e}^{p_{\mathfrak{G}\rightarrow}}-v\,\mathrm{e}^{p_{\rightarrow \mathfrak{G}}}},1,0,\frac{w}{w-v}]=\ln\frac{v\,w\,\mathrm{e}^{p_{\mathfrak{G}\rightarrow}}}{v\,\mathrm{e}^{p_{\rightarrow \mathfrak{G}}}\,w}=p_{\mathfrak{G}\rightarrow}-p_{\rightarrow \mathfrak{G}}.
$$

FIG. 2: Exchange ratios.

III. METRIC STRUCTURES

It is a common lore that price movements are best described by diffusion processes. Diffusion equations of various types involve Laplace operator and therefore metric structure. Metric structures are to some extent independent of the configuration (phase) space structure. One of our aims is to find a suitable metrics on the projective space. Various premises rooted in finance theory can be used to select a metric structure on the space of portfolios. For example, often we would like to know which market movements are equivalent to portfolio modifications. Below we describe two classes of metrics that we were able to construct in an explicit way. Both have interesting physical connotations. There probably is quite a lot of other interesting metrics yet to be found.

A. Exemplary metric structure

Let us try to define a metrics on the space of quotations. Any two different quotations U' and U'' determine a projective prime line. To define a cross ratio we need two additional points lying in that line. It seems natural to select them, let us consider two hyperplanes of improper quotations for two basic assets. These hyperplanes cut the projective space $\mathbb{R}P^N$ into 2^N N-dimensional simplexes. Suppose that the quotations belong to the same simplex – only then the distance would be finite. Each hyperplane of improper quotation for an asset g_μ is cut by the prime line. In this way we select $N+1$ points but only two of them, say P_b and P_c , lie in the vicinity of U' and U'' and only these two points belong to the boundary of the simplex that contains U' and U'' , cf Fig.3. The cross ratio $[P_b, U', U'', P_c]$ can be used to define the distance (metrics):

$$
d(U', U'') = \ln([P_b, U', U'', P_c]) = \ln \frac{|U'P_c||U''P_b|}{|U'P_b||U''P_c|},
$$
\n(4)

where $|P_1P_2|$ denotes euclidean distance of points P_1 and P_2 . After some tedious but elementary calculations the metrics can be given in a more transparent form:

$$
d(U', U'') = \ln([P_b, U', U'', P_c]) = \ln \frac{|U'P_c||U''P_b|}{|U'P_b||U''P_c|} = \ln \left(\max_{\mu} \left(\frac{u''_{\mu}}{u'_{\mu}}\right)\right) - \ln \left(\min_{\mu} \left(\frac{u''_{\mu}}{u'_{\mu}}\right)\right)
$$

=
$$
\max_{\mu} (r_{\mu}(U', U'')) - \min_{\mu} (r_{\mu}(U', U'')) = \max_{\mu} (r_{\mu}(U', U'')) + \max_{\mu} (r_{\mu}(U'', U')).
$$
 (5)

The function $r_{\mu}(U', U'')$ is known in finance as the interval interest rate. We have already proposed a method that allows us to measure quantitatively investors qualifications [7]. Inspired by previous results and statistical physics, we can introduce a temperature-like parameter in the metrics given by Eq.5. Such a generalized metrics take the

following form:

$$
d(U',U'',T) := \frac{\sum_{\mu} r_{\mu}(U',U'')\,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{r_{\mu}(U',U'')}{T}}}{\sum_{\mu} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{r_{\mu}(U',U'')}{T}}} + \frac{\sum_{\mu} r_{\mu}(U'',U')\,\mathrm{e}^{\frac{r_{\mu}(U'',U')}{T}}}{\sum_{\mu} \mathrm{e}^{\frac{r_{\mu}(U'',U')}{T}}} \,.
$$

It should be possible to define canonical ensembles of portfolios, the temperature (entropy) of portfolios and, possibly, various thermodynamics-like potentials in a way analogous to that of Ref. [7].

B. Hyperbolic (Lorentz) geometry

We were able to identify another interesting metrics. Consider quotations at two different times t' and t'' in a simplified, two-assets market. Let the homogeneous coordinates are $\hat{p}^{*} = (\mathbf{g} \hat{p}'_0, \mathbf{g} \hat{p}'_1)$ and $\hat{p}^{*} = (\mathbf{g} \hat{p}''_0, \mathbf{g} \hat{p}''_1)$, respectively. Suppose the quotations are not equal, $\hat{p}^* \neq \hat{p}^*$. The linear transformation:

$$
\hat{S} = \hat{S}(\hat{p}^{*\prime}, \hat{p}^{*\prime\prime}) := \frac{1}{\mathsf{g}\hat{p}'_{0}\,\mathsf{g}\hat{p}''_{1} - \mathsf{g}\hat{p}''_{0}\,\mathsf{g}\hat{p}'_{1}} \left(\frac{-\mathsf{g}\hat{p}'_{1} + \mathsf{g}\hat{p}''_{1}}{-\mathsf{g}\hat{p}''_{1} - \mathsf{g}\hat{p}''_{1}} \frac{\mathsf{g}\hat{p}'_{0} - \mathsf{g}\hat{p}''_{0}}{\mathsf{g}\hat{p}'_{0} + \mathsf{g}\hat{p}''_{0}} \right)
$$

changes the basis in such a way that the quotations $\hat{p}^*{}'$ and $\hat{p}^{*\prime}$ have coordinates $f\hat{p}' := (1, -1)$ and $f\hat{p}'' := (1, 1)$. From the physicist point of view, the directions $(1, -1)$ and $(1, 1)$ define the propagation of light in a two-dimensional spacetime. We can accept this directions us absolute directions (light cone). The underlying metric structure can also be found. In the dual representation, that is in the space of portfolios, two portfolios balanced on quotations $\hat{p}^{*'}$ and \hat{p}^* [']' are infinitely separated. Explicit form of the metrics on the space of portfolios is as follows:

$$
d(p^{*}, p^{*''}) = |\arctan(v') - \arctan(v'')|,
$$

where

$$
v(p^*) = v(p^*, \hat{p}^{*\prime}, \hat{p}^{*\prime\prime}) = \frac{\mathrm{g} p_0(\mathrm{g} \hat{p}_0^{\prime\prime} - \mathrm{g} \hat{p}_0^{\prime}) + \mathrm{g} p_1(\mathrm{g} \hat{p}_1^{\prime\prime} - \mathrm{g} \hat{p}_1^{\prime})}{\mathrm{g} p_0(\mathrm{g} \hat{p}_0^{\prime\prime} + \mathrm{g} \hat{p}_0^{\prime}) + \mathrm{g} p_1(\mathrm{g} \hat{p}_1^{\prime\prime} + \mathrm{g} \hat{p}_1^{\prime})}
$$

.

Note that if we neglect details of the economic processes that make capital then one can change the content of a portfolio only if one "travels with speed of light" in the market.

C. Information theory context

The projective geometry structure of clear-cut market model with a metrics that respects symmetries of the modelled processes should yet be completed by discussion/construction of algorithms that governs the supply and demand aspects of agents behaviour. These algorithms should be optimal from the metrical structure point of view and, of course, respect specific regulations laid down by authorities. For example, in the simple Merchandising Mathematician Model [8] and Kelly optimal bets [9] the optimal market strategies have direct connections with the Boltzmann/Shannon entropy. These examples suggest that there might be a unified description of market phenomena that involves tools from geometry, statistical physics and information theory. And the key ingredients would probably follow from the underlying metric structure.

IV. CONCLUSIONS: TOWARDS INFORMATION THEORETICAL DESCRIPTION OF MARKETS

We have attempted at formulation of kind of Market Symmetry Principle: Conclusions drawn from a logically complete market model are invariant with respect to projective symmetry transformations. We anticipate that metric structures might play a key role that would pave the way for information theoretical description of market phenomena. This point of view is supported by the explicit examples given in the paper. The presented projective geometry formalism although simplified, is, to the best of our knowledge, the only one that attempts to introduce metric structure to finance theory models that respect observed market processes symmetries, eg preselected absolute directions. This would allow for analysis of hyperplanes of equilibrium temperature, entropy, various thermodynamical potentials, Legendre transforms and, possibly identification of conservation laws with tools borrowed from information theory and (quantum) game theory [10].

- [3] Most of the axioms takes the same form as in standard models because they simple define market organization.
- [4] We could also take the empty basket into consideration but this would spoil the projective space interpretation.

- [6] H. Busemann, P. J. Kelly. Projective Geometry and Projective Metrics, Academic Press, New York, 1953.
- E. W. Piotrowski, J. Sładkowski, Acta Phys. Pol. B32 597 (2001).
- [8] E. W. Piotrowski, J. Sładkowski, Physica A 318 496 (2003).
- [9] E. W. Piotrowski, M. Schroeder, Kelly Criterion revisited: optimal bets, talk given at the APFA5 Conference, Torino, 2006; physics/0607166.
- [10] E. W. Piotrowski, J. Sładkowski, Int. J. Theor. Phys. 42 1101 (2003).

^[1] An invariant of a process (phenomenon, transformation etc.) is a numerical parameter whose value remains constant during that process. Analogously, a covariant is a parameter whose numerical value has only a (relative) sense in a preselected coordinate system but changes in a specified way if the coordinate systems is changed (e.g. given by some symmetry transformation).

^[2] D. G. Luendbeger, Investment Science, Oxford University Press, New York 1998.

^[5] Note that this means that we treat all taxes, brokerages etc. as liabilities and therefore as separate assets. Models that have scale effects (projective symmetry is broken) should have a dual description in terms of nontransitive quotations.