Clin Genet 2008: 74: 502–512 Printed in Singapore. All rights reserved

sitório do Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Central, EP

Short Report

CLINICAL GENETICS doi: 10.1111/j.1399-0004.2008.01068.x

LAMA2 gene analysis in a cohort of 26 congenital muscular dystrophy patients

Oliveira J, Santos R, Soares-Silva I, Jorge P, Vieira E, Oliveira ME, Moreira A, Coelho T, Ferreira JC, Fonseca MJ, Barbosa C, Prats J, Aríztegui ML, Martins ML, Moreno T, Heinimann K, Barbot C, Pascual-Pascual SI, Cabral A, Fineza I, Santos M, Bronze-da-Rocha E. *LAMA2* gene analysis in a cohort of 26 congenital muscular dystrophy patients.

Clin Genet 2008: 74: 502–512. © Blackwell Munksgaard, 2008

Congenital muscular dystrophy type 1A (MDC1A) is caused by mutations in the LAMA2 gene encoding laminin- $\alpha 2$. We describe the molecular study of 26 patients with clinical presentation, magnetic resonance imaging and/or laminin- $\alpha 2$ expression in muscle, compatible with MDC1A. The combination of full genomic sequencing and complementary DNA analysis led to the particularly high mutation detection rate of 96% (50/52 disease alleles). Besides 22 undocumented polymorphisms, 18 different mutations were identified in the course of this work, 14 of which were novel. In particular, we describe the first fully characterized gross deletion in the LAMA2 gene, encompassing exon 56 (c.7750-1713 7899-2153del), detected in 31% of the patients. The only two missense mutations detected were found in heterozygosity with nonsense or truncating mutations in the two patients with the milder clinical presentation and a partial reduction in muscle laminin- $\alpha 2$. Our results corroborate the previous few genotype/phenotype correlations in MDC1A and illustrate the importance of screening for gross rearrangements in the LAMA2 gene, which may be underestimated in the literature.

J Oliveira^{a,*}, R Santos^{a,b,*}, I Soares-Silva^{a,c}, P Jorge^a, E Vieira^a, ME Oliveira^a, A Moreira^d, T Coelho^e, JC Ferreira^f, MJ Fonseca^g, C Barbosa^h, J Pratsⁱ, ML Aríztegui^j, ML Martins^k, T Moreno^I, K Heinimann^m, C Barbotⁿ, SI Pascual-Pascual^o, A Cabral^p, I Fineza^q, M Santos^r and E Bronze-da-Rocha^{b,s}

^aUnidade de Genética Molecular, Centro de Genética Médica Dr Jacinto Magalhães, INSARJ, Porto, Portugal, ^bDepartamento de Bioquímica, Faculdade de Farmácia, Universidade do Porto, Porto, Portugal, ^cCentro de Biologia Molecular e Ambiental, Departamento de Biologia, Universidade do Minho, Braga, Portugal, ^dServiço de Neuropediatria, Hospital Dona Estefânia, Lisboa, Portugal, eConsulta de Neuromusculares, Hospital Geral de Santo António/CHP, Porto, Portugal, ^fServiço de Neurologia, Centro Hospitalar de Lisboa Ocidental, Lisboa, Portugal, ⁹Unidade de Neuropediatria e Desenvolvimento, Hospital Garcia de Orta, Lisboa, Portugal, ^hUnidade de Neuropediatria, Hospital Pedro Hispano, Matosinhos, Portugal, 'Unidad de Neuropediatria, Hospital de Cruces Barakaldo, Spain, ^jUnidad de Genética, Hospital de Cruces, Barakaldo, Spain, Centro de Diagnóstico Pré-Natal, Maternidade Dr Alfredo da Costa, Lisboa, Portugal, ^IUnidade de Neuropediatria, Hospital Santa Maria, Lisboa, Portugal, ^mDivision of Medical Genetics, University Children's Hospital, Basel, Switzerland, "Serviço de Neurologia Pediátrica, Hospital Maria Pia/ CHP, Porto, Portugal, ^oPediatric Neurology Service, Hospital Universitario La Paz, Madrid, Spain, ^pCentro de Reabilitação de Paralisia Cerebral de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, ^qCentro de Desenvolvimento da Criança Luís Borges, Hospital Pediátrico de Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, ^rConsulta de Neuromusculares, Hospital Maria Pia/CHP, Porto, Portugal, and ^sInstituto de Biologia Molecular e Celular, Porto, Portugal

LAMA2 mutations in 26 CMD patients

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Key words: congenital muscular dystrophy – gross deletion – LAMA2 – laminin- $\alpha 2$ – MDC1A

Corresponding author: Jorge Oliveira, Centro de Genética Médica Dr Jacinto Magalhães, INSARJ, Praça Pedro Nunes, 88 4099-028 Porto, Portugal. Tel.: +351-22-607-0330; fax: +351-22-607-0399; e-mail: jorge.oliveira@igm.min-saude.pt

Received 14 April 2008, revised and accepted for publication 12 June 2008

Congenital muscular dystrophy type 1A (MDC1A) is the most frequent form of congenital muscular dystrophy (CMD) in the European population, accounting for 30–50% of the cases (1–3). Major clinical symptoms include muscle weakness, raised serum creatine phosphokinase, no independent ambulation, cerebral white matter abnormalities and, in the most severe cases, respiratory insufficiency, which often leads to death in early childhood (4).

The LAMA2 gene (MIM#156225), consisting of 65 exons and a 9.5-kb open reading frame, is implicated in MDC1A (5, 6). Two alternative transcripts have been described (accession numbers NM_000426 and NM_001079823); both isoforms are functional and encode the α 2 chain of laminin-211 (α 2- β 1- γ 1), laminin-221 (α 2- β 2- γ 1) and laminin-213 (α 2- β 1- γ 3) [nomenclature reviewed in (7)]. Laminin-211 trimer is predominantly expressed in skeletal muscle, cerebral white matter and Schwann cells and is a major component of the basal membrane (8). It binds to α -dystroglycan and the integrin- α 7- β 1 complex, establishing a connection between the cytoskeleton and the extracellular matrix [reviewed in (9)].

MDC1A patients usually have complete absence of laminin- α 2 staining in muscle biopsies associated with the presence of truncating mutations. Patients presenting partial deficiency of laminin- α 2 may also have mutations in *LAMA2*, or this deficit may be secondary to mutations in other genes acting in the α -dystroglycan glycosylation pathway [reviewed in (10)].

To date, 94 distinct mutations have been reported in the *LAMA2* locus-specific database (LSDB) (http://www.lovd.nl/LAMA2), the majority of which are small out-of-frame deletions (31.9%) and nonsense mutations (29.8%). Others include splice mutations (16.0%), missense substi-

tutions (14.9%) and small duplications (7.4%). There is no noticeable mutational hotspot.

Since the identification of the LAMA2 gene, a few reports have described a significant number of patients with mutations (11–14). However, the mutation detection rates were lower than could perhaps be expected considering the clinical presentation and/or total absence of laminin- $\alpha 2$ in muscle, used as selection criteria. This has been attributed to factors such as technical difficulties inherent to mutation screening in large genes, the inclusion of patients with other clinically indistinguishable forms of CMD, or the existence of heterozygous deletions/duplications that are not detected by standard polymerase chain reaction (PCR)-based screening methods. This study describes the molecular characterization of a group of 26 MDC1A patients. A total of 18 distinct LAMA2 mutations were detected corresponding to 96% of the disease alleles. In particular, a single new large exonic deletion, which accounted for 10 disease alleles, is described in detail.

Materials and methods

Patients

The study included a total of 26 CMD cases from Portugal (patients 1–11, 13–17, and 22–26), Spain (patients 12 and 18–20) and Switzerland (patient 21) who met defined clinical criteria for MDC1A, compatible magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) anomalies and/or revealed changes in muscle laminin- α 2 immunostaining (Table 1). The parents were screened, whenever possible, to confirm mutation allelism or homozygosity. None of the families were consanguineous. Informed consent was obtained for the molecular studies.

Table 1.	. Clini	cal-patholo	gical data	of the congenital m	iuscular dy	strophy patients					
Patient	Sex	Age	Age of onset	Clinical presentation	Highest CPK (U/I)	Pattern/ progression of weakness	Best motor achievement	Contractures	Central nervous system involvement/ seizures	Magnetic resonance imaging	Laminin-∞2 in muscle ^a
-	Σ	19 years	At birth	Hypotonia and feeding problems	1410	Severe general- ized weakness and scoliosis	No motor milestones achieved	All joints	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral	Total absence
N	Σ	7 years	At birth	Hypotonia and poor spontane- ous movements	2697	Generalized weakness with proximal predom- inance	Assisted trunk control	Knees and hips and limited ankle movements	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	Total absence
ო	ш	7 years ^b	4 months	Hypotonia and areflexia	3265	Proximal weak- ness	Sat unsupported	No	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and abnormal bilat- eral frontal	Total absence
4	Σ	7 years	At birth	Hypotonia and feeding problems	1650	Generalized weakness with axial and proxi- mal predomi- nance and improvement in motor function	Sat unsupported	9	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and abnormal occipi- tal gyration	Total absence
QJ	Σ	7 years	At birth	Hypotonia	1156	Muscular weak- ness with facial and bulbar pare- sis and slight improvement in motor function	Sat unsupported	Knees	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	Total absence
9	ш	17 years	At birth	Hypotonia and arthrogryposis	pu	Generalized weakness with axial predomi- nance	Good cephalic control and sat unsupported	Knees	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	Total absence
~	Σ	13 years	2 months	Generalized hypotonia	840	Proximal weak- ness with mild progression, facial and bulbar paresis, severe scoliosis (>9 years), and noc- turnal ventilation (>3 vears)	Cephalic control and sat unsup- ported	Generalized	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	Total absence
ω	Σ	4 years	At birth	Hypotonia and neonatal asphyxia	3782	Muscular weak- ness with axial and proximal predominance	Cephalic control and moves hands towards and ma- nipulates objects (not against gravity)	Bilateral <i>talipes</i> equinus	No apparent cognitive delay and no seizures	pu	Total absence

Laminin-«2 in muscle ^a	Total absence	Total absence	Partial absence	Total absence	Total absence	pu	Total absence	Total absence	Total absence	Total absence
Magnetic resonance imaging	ndc	pu	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	pu	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	White matter changes and abnormal bilat- eral frontal ovration	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities
Central nervous system involvement/ seizures	No cognitive delay and no seizures	No cognitive delay and no seizures	Slight cognitive delay and no seizures	No cognitive delay and no seizures	No apparent cognitive delay and no seizures	No cognitive delay and no seizures	No cognitive delay and seiz- ures (generalized epilepsy since	No contract delay and no seizures	No cognitive delay and no seizures	No cognitive delay and no seizures
Contractures	Elbows and knees	Elbows and knees	No	NO	No	NO	Elbows and knees	Generalized	NO	Generalized
Best motor achievement	Cephalic control, trunk control with brace, and hand- writes	Sat unsupported	Spastic gait	Sat unsupported	No motor mile- stones achieved	Sat unsupported	Sat unsupported	Plays with hands	Sat unsupported	No trunk or cephalic control
Pattern/ progression of weakness	Muscular weak- ness with axial and proximal pre- dominance and scoliosis	Muscular weak- ness with axial and proximal pre- dominance	Slowly progres- sive spastic par- aparesis	Generalized axial weakness and respiratory dis- tress episodes	Generalized axial hypotonia, <i>pectus</i> <i>excavatum</i> , and respiratory dis- tress episodes	Muscular weak- ness with axial and proximal pre- dominance	Proximal weak- ness and scoliosis	Muscular weak- ness with facial diparesis, scolio- sis, severe mus- cle atrophy, and under BIPAP	Muscular weak- ness with facial diparesis	Severe and gen- eralized weak- ness, hypotonia, scoliosis, <i>equinus</i> <i>varus</i> feet, and under BIPAP
Highest CPK (U/I)	pu	2697	838	1085	pu	1999	pu	4460	3866	5080
Clinical presentation	Hypotonia and feeding problems	Hypotonia and poor spontane- ous movements	Spastic parapa- resis	Hypotonia and mild neonatal distress	Hypotonia, hypo- reflexia and bilat- eral <i>talipes</i> <i>equinus</i>	Hypotonia and feeding and respiratory prob- lems	Hypotonia and arthrogryposis	Hypotonia	Hypotonia and bilateral <i>talipes</i> <i>equinus</i>	Generalized hypotonia and areflexia
Age of onset	At birth	At birth	3 years	At birth	At birth	At birth	At birth	In utero	At birth	At birth
Age	11 years	7 years	24 years	3 years	Died at 9 months	2 years	27 years	4 years	2 years	7 years
Sex	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	Σ	ш	ш	ш	Σ	ш
Patient	Ø	10	÷	12	13	14	15	16	17	6

Table 1. Continued

LAMA2 mutations in 26 CMD patients

Patient (Sex	Age	Age of onset	Clinical presentation	Highest CPK (U/I)	Pattern/ progression of weakness	Best motor achievement	Contractures	Central nervous system involvement/ seizures	Magnetic resonance imaging	Laminin-∞2 in muscle ^a
19	Σ	20 years	At birth	Generalized hypotonia and areflexia	3264	Muscular weak- ness with axial and proximal pre- dominance and scoliosis	Independent gait	Elbows and ankles	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	pu
20	ш	18 years ^b	2 years	Generalized hypotonia and areflexia	593	Generalized weakness and no scoliosis	Independent gait	ON	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral	Partial absence
21	ш	4 years	At birth	Hypotonia and hydronephrosis (left kidney) orade III-IV	6987	Scoliosis and plagiocephalus	Assisted trunk control	OZ	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	Total absence
22	Σ	9 months	At birth	Hypotonia, poor spontaneous movements and feeding problems	4706	Muscular weak- ness with proxi- mal predomi- nance and slight improvement in motor function	Incomplete cephalic control	Elbows, knees and ankles	No apparent cognitive delay and no seizures	No changes ^d	Total absence
23	ш	2 years	At birth	Hypotonia and feeding and respiratory prob- lems	1700	Muscular weak- ness with axial and proximal pre- dominance	Sat unsupported	Elbows and knees	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	Total absence
24	Σ	3 years	At birth	Hypotonia and feeding problems	1770	Muscular weak- ness with proxi- mal predomi- nance and hip	Cephalic control and assisted trunk control	Knees	No cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	Total absence
25	ш	3 months	In utero	Generalized hypotonia with proximal predom- inance and feed- ind norohlems	5530	Mucular weak- ness with axial and proximal pre- dominance	No cephalic con- trol	Knees and ankles	No apparent cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	Total absence
26	Σ	1 year	In utero	Hypotonia and arthrogryposis (hands and feet)	5615	Severe muscular weakness with proximal predom- inance and facial diparesis	Sat unsupported	Knees	No apparent cognitive delay and no seizures	White matter changes and no gyral abnormalities	Total absence
BIPAP, bi BIPAP, bi ^a lmmuno ^b Lost to 1 ^b Ust to 1 ^c White m	i-level histoc follow- iatter (n the	positive al chemical st -up (patien changes of neonatal p	irway press iaining with it 3 at age bserved or eriod.	sure; CPK, creatine NCL-merosin mon 4 years and patien computerized ton	phosphok loclonal ant it 20 at age nography s	inase; F, female; M, tibody (Novocastra) e 16 years). can.	, male; nd, not dor), recognizing the	ne. 300-kDa amino-ter	minus fragment.		

506

Table 1. Continued

Genomic DNA analysis

Genomic DNA (gDNA) was extracted from peripheral blood by the salting-out method (15). All 65 exons of LAMA2 were amplified by PCR using intronic M13-tailed primers. Amplicons were purified using ExoSAP-IT[®] (USB Corporation, Cleveland, OH) and sequenced with M13 universal primers and BigDye[™] Terminator Cycle Sequencing Kit V1.1 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA). Products were resolved on an ABI 3130xl Genetic Analyzer (Applied Biosystems). Mutation analysis was aided by SEQSCAPE V2.5 software (Applied Biosystems) using the complementary DNA (cDNA) reference sequence NM 000426.3. Population screening was carried out in 150 anonymized control samples by singlestranded conformation analysis (SSCA), direct sequencing or fragment size analysis.

Bioinformatics

The effect of splicing mutations was predicted with the aid of the GENSCAN program (http:// genes.mit.edu/GENSCAN.html) using segments of the *LAMA2* genomic reference sequence NC_000006:129246035–129879404. The scores of normal and mutated splice sites were calculated according to Shapiro and Senapathy (16). For mutations predictably inducing amino acid alterations, phylogenetic conservation analysis was performed with laminin- α 2 protein sequences from several organisms (Data S1, supporting information online), aligned using the software CLUSTALX version 1.83 (17).

cDNA analysis

Total RNA was extracted from muscle or skin biopsies using the Versagene RNA Purification kit (Gentra, Minneapolis, MN). Reverse transcription–PCR (RT-PCR) was performed using Superscript One-Step RT-PCR with Platinum Taq (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA) and previously described primer sets (5). For the gross deletion, the specific primers designed to amplify exons 54-58 were c.54F-5'GGTGTTACCAAAGGAT-GTTCCC3' and c.58R-5'CAGCATTTTTGAA-GGACACAGG3'. Products were sequenced as described above.

Haplotyping

Typing was performed using short tandem repeats (STRs) flanking the *LAMA2* locus: D6S1715, D6S407, D6S1620, D6S1705 and D6S1572; and

intragenic single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs): c.3174+38A>G, c.5466G>A, c.5502A>G, $c.5727-24_5727-21$ delins ACTG, c.6237G>A, c.6707+37T>C, c.7760T>C, c.7830C>G, c.7845G>A and $c.*190_192$ dup ATA.

Southern blot analysis

gDNA from patients 1 and 12 was digested with *AccI* (New England Biolabs, Beverly, MA), electrophoresed on a 0.8% agarose gel and transferred to a GeneScreen Plus[®] membrane (Perkin Elmer, Waltham, MA). This was hybridized with a cDNA probe recognizing exons 54–58 labelled with fluorescein (Gene Images Random Prime Labelling Module, GE Life Sciences, Piscataway, NJ). After antibody incubation, the membrane was washed at 60°C once in $1 \times SSC/0.1\%$ SDS and twice in $0.5 \times SSC/0.1\%$ SDS for 15 min each and developed using CDP-Star detection reagent (GE Life Sciences).

Long-range and deletion-specific PCR

Exon 56 deletion breakpoints were determined by amplification of gDNA using the BIO-X-ACT[™] Long DNA Polymerase kit (Bioline, Taunton, MA) and the following primers complementary to exons 55 and 57: c.55F - 5'CTAGGAG-AAAACGAAGGCAGAC3' and c.57R - 5'TCA-ACTGTCAGGTTTTGCATG3'. Resolved PCR fragments were purified using the MinElute PCR Purification Kit (Qiagen, Germantown, MD) and sequenced with the internal primer g.INT55F-5'CTCTACAAGCCAGCAATTCCAC3'. A rapid deletion-specific PCR was developed using the following primers: g.INT55-F2-5' ATCAGCTG-GAGAACAGAGAGGC3' and g.INT57-R - 5'-GTTTCAGTGGCTGATTCTTAGAGTTTC3'. Because this fragment only amplifies in deletionpositive individuals, it was multiplexed with an internal control (DYSF exon 20).

Results

Patients

Table 1 summarizes the clinical, neuroradiological and neuropathological data. Except for patients 11 and 20, all had symptoms since infancy. Patient 11 had a peculiar clinical picture with progressive spastic paraparesis followed later by a slowly progressive neuropathy. Pregnancy and delivery had been uneventful. Nerve conduction studies (at the age of 14 years) revealed delayed F waves. While myopathy was not clinically evident,

variation in fibre size was observed in the muscle biopsy. Patient 20 also had a milder phenotype with myopathy and clinically a mild neuropathy. MRI, performed in 22 patients, revealed white matter changes in all but one patient (tested in the neonatal period where these changes are not always visible). In another patient, this was observed in the computerized tomography scan. In three patients, cerebral changes included abnormal gyration. Seizures were reported only in the oldest patient. Twenty-two patients presented total absence of laminin- α 2 staining in muscle, two patients presented partial absence and in a further two patients, this was not determined.

Patient	Mutations ^{a,b}	Gene location	Effect on mRNA	Predicted polypeptide change ^c	Reference
1 and 15	c.[7750-1713_7899-2153del]+ [7750-1713_7899-2153del]	Introns 55–56	Frameshift	p.Ala2584HisfsX8	This report
2 and 10	c.[3976C>T]+[3976C>T]	Exon 27	PTC	p.Arg1326X	(13)
3, 13, and 18	c.[3085C>T]+[3085C>T]	Exon 22	PTC	p.Arg1029X	(13)
4	c.[4739dupG]+	Exon 33	Frameshift	p.Leu1581ProfsX5	This report
	[7490_7493dupAAGA]	Exon 54	Frameshift	p.Asp2498GlufsX4	This report
5	c.[1854_1861dupACGTGTTC]+ [1854_1861dupACGTGTTC]	Exon 13	Frameshift	p.Leu621HisfsX7	(13)
6	c.[8244+1G>A]+	Intron 58	Splicing	p.Pro2693ValfsX12	This report
	[7750-1713_7899-2153del]	Intron 55–56	Frameshift	p.Ala2584HisfsX8	This report
7	c.[363C>A]+	Exon 3	PTC	p.Tyr121X	This report
	[7750-1713_7899-2153del]	Intron 55–56	Frameshift	p.Ala2584HisfsX8	This report
8	c.[4318C>T]+	Exon 30	PTC	p.Gln1440X	This report
	[4739dupG]	Exon 33	Frameshift	p.Leu1581ProfsX5	This report
9, 14, and 23	c.[3085C>T]+	Exon 22	PTC	p.Arg1029X	(13)
	[7750-1713_7899-2153del]	Intron 55–56	Frameshift	p.Ala2584HisfsX8	This report
11	c.[1854_1861dupACGTGTTC]+	Exon 13	Frameshift	p.Leu621HisfsX7	(13)
	[3832G>T]	Exon 26	Missense	p.Gly1278Cys	This report
12	c.[1854_1861dupACGTGTTC]+	Exon 13	Frameshift	p.Leu621HisfsX7	(13)
	[7750-1713_7899-2153del]	Intron 55–56	Frameshift	p.Ala2584HisfsX8	This report
16	c.[3085C>T]+	Exon 22	PTC	p.Arg1029X	(13)
	[5234+1G>A]	Intron 36	Splicing	p.Val1765SerfsX21	This report
17	c.[3976C>T]+	Exon 27	PTC	p.Arg1326X	(13)
	[8776_8792del]	Exon 62	Frameshift	p.Thr2926TrpfsX14	This report
19	c.[1798_1800delGGA]+	Exon 13	Codon del	p.Gly600del	This report
	[=]	?	?	?	?
20	c.[8613dupC]+	Exon 61	Frameshift	p.Ser2872HisfsX34	This report
	[412T>C]	Exon 4	Missense	p.Tyr138His	This report
21	c.[2049_2050delAG]+	Exon 14	Frameshift	p.Arg683SerfsX20	(11)
	[6993-2A>C]	Intron 49	Splicing?	?	This report
22 and 25	c.[5234+1G>A]+[5234+1G>A]	Intron 36	Splicing	p.Val1765SerfsX21	This report
24	c.[3085C>T]+	Exon 22	PTC	p.Arg1029X	(13)
	[=]	?	?	?	?
26	c.[8443_8450delACAGTTCA]+ [8443 8450delACAGTTCA]	Exon 60	Frameshift	p.Thr2815AlafsX11	This report

Table 2. Mutations identified in the LAMA2 gene

Identification of LAMA2 gene mutations

Causative mutations were identified in all 26 patients (Table 2). Only one mutant allele was identified in patients 19 and 24; the mother of patient 24 carried the mutation, while the parents of patient 19 were not tested.

A total of 18 different mutations were identified, 14 of which have not been reported previously. Distribution by type was as follows: four nonsense mutations (22%), four duplications (22%), five deletions (28%), three splicing mutations (17%) and two missense mutations (11%). The two most frequent mutations were c.3085C>T (11 disease

cDNA, complementary DNA; del, deletion; PTC, premature termination codon.

^aMutations described according to Human Genome Variation Society nomenclature (23).

^bcDNA reference sequence with accession number NM_000426.3.

^cDeduced from the changes detected at the genomic or cDNA level.

alleles) and c.7750-1713_7899-2153del (10 disease alleles) together accounting for 42% of the mutant alleles (21/50).

The in-frame deletion c.1798 1800delGGA (p.Gly600del) and the missense variants c.412T>C (p.Tyr138His) and c.3832G>T (p.Gly1278Cys) were not detected in 300 normal alleles. Additionally, protein alignments indicated that the missense mutations affected highly conserved amino acids (Data S1, supporting information online). The single residue deletion (p.Gly600del), although coinciding with a known missense polymorphism in humans and between species (p.Gly600Arg), may have a detrimental effect on protein folding and/or function. The GENSCAN program predicted that the splice mutations c.5234+1G>A and c.8244+1G>A promote skipping of exons 36 and 58, respectively, whereas c.6993-2A>C should disrupt the acceptor splice site with subsequent use of a cryptic splice site located 7 bp into exon 50. Transcript analysis in patients 6 and 16 confirmed the *in silico* prediction for the former mutations, showing full skipping of the neighbouring preceding exons (data not shown). However, no muscle or fibroblast specimens from patient 21 were available for cDNA analysis to confirm the effect of c.6993-2A>C.

A total of 55 polymorphisms were also identified in the course of this study, 22 of which had not been reported previously (Data S2, supporting information online).

All the variants detected in this study were submitted to the *LAMA2* LSDB (http://www.lovd.nl/ LAMA2). Characterization of a new gross deletion

A large genomic deletion was first suspected in patient 1 when exon 56 failed to amplify. Further evidence was provided by haplotype analysis in patient 12, seen to be hemizygous for three informative SNPs in exon 56 (Fig. 1a). cDNA analysis in patients 1 and 12 revealed the presence of a smaller fragment missing the entire exon 56 (Fig. 1b). Southern blotting and hybridization indicated that the genomic deletion was approximately 5 kb long (Fig. 2a). This was confirmed by long-range PCR (Fig. 2b), which was used to further delineate the deletion endpoints. Sequencing revealed the absence of exon 56 plus a significant part of the flanking introns (4987 bp) characterized as c.7750-1713_7899-2153del (Fig. 2c). If translated, this frame shift predictably originates a truncated polypeptide (p.Ala2584HisfsX8).

The deletion-specific PCR that was developed enabled rapid screening of additional individuals. In all, 8 patients presented the c.7750-1713_7899-2153del mutation (2 homozygous and 6 heterozygous), and 10 family members were found to be carriers (data not shown).

Discussion

We describe the nature and frequency of LAMA2 mutations in a group of 26 CMD patients. In this relatively large cohort, the high mutation detection rate of 96% (50/52 disease alleles) was achieved by direct gDNA sequencing or by combining this with RT-PCR analysis in cases where



Fig. 1. (a) Pedigree of family/patient 12 showing analysis with microsatellite markers around the *LAMA2* locus and intragenic single nucleotide polymorphisms. Haplotyping suggested the presence of a heterozygous deletion of exon 56. (b) Complementary DNA analysis of *LAMA2* transcripts by Reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction amplification of exons 54–58. Results revealed a smaller fragment missing the entire exon 56 in patients 1 and 12. C, control; M, molecular weight marker; P, patient.



Fig. 2. (a) The size of the genomic deletion was determined by Southern blotting and hybridization using a complementary DNA probe encompassing exons 54-58. (b) Long-range PCR amplification of the genomic region between exons 55 and 56. (c) The resulting PCR fragments were sequenced revealing the deletion of exon 56 and part of the flanking intronic sequences (4987 bp). C, control; M, molecular weight marker; P, patient; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

only one mutation had been detected. In patients 19 and 24, no adequate specimens were available for mRNA studies, and these were the only two cases where a single causal mutation was identified.

Similar previous studies, in a significant number of patients, have reported lower mutation detection rates ranging from about 60% to 80% (11, 12, 14). Because *LAMA2* is a large gene, most groups applied indirect mutation scanning techniques such as SSCA or denaturing high performance liquid chromatography combined with RT-PCR. However, these approaches may not detect all the mutations that are possibly present. Pegoraro et al. (11) also described the use of the protein truncation test (PTT) applied to LAMA2 mutation detection. Because the majority of the mutations described in this gene cause premature translation termination, the use of this technique allowed the identification of 80% of the mutations in their cohort. Incomplete sensitivity was attributed to failure in the amplification of the mutated allele, the presence of mutations in the primer binding site or the fact that PTT is limited to the detection of nonsense mutations and mutations that alter the reading frame – small in-frame deletions/duplications or missense mutations – could be missed (11).

Almost 30% of the mutations described to date in the *LAMA2* gene are of the nonsense type. In our group of patients, these were also found to be the most frequent, comprising 18 of the 50 disease alleles (36%); however, c.3085C>T (p.Arg1029X) alone accounted for 11 of these.

A new 5-kb genomic deletion, encompassing exon 56, was also seen to be very frequent in this cohort (20% mutated alleles). To our knowledge, this is the first fully characterized genomic deletion described in *LAMA2*. A previous report documents an exon 56 deletion detected by RT-PCR (12); however, it remains uncertain that the genomic defect is the same. Although this mutation was detected in Portuguese and Spanish patients, no common haplotypes were found using STRs flanking the *LAMA2* locus (data not shown). These preliminary results point towards either a single ancient mutational event that has suffered genetic drift or a recurrent event that has occurred on different genetic backgrounds.

Quantitative assays such as multiple ligationdependent probe amplification should improve mutation detection rates, enabling the identification of other gross rearrangements, which are probably underestimated in the *LAMA2* gene. Such changes could account for a reasonable number of MDC1A alleles and should therefore be considered in the diagnostic setting, especially in patients with a single mutation identified by routine screening methods. An important implication in the failure to detect gross heterozygous deletions is that the identification of a single variant sequence may be erroneously interpreted as a homozygous mutation if masked by a coincident exonic deletion. Moreover, the absence of the variant in one of the parents may be mistaken as evidence of a *de novo* occurrence.

As expected, given the patients' inclusion criteria for the molecular study, the majority of mutations in our series were predictably truncating (94% of the mutated alleles). In line with previous observations (11), strict genotype/phenotype correlations were difficult to establish in such severely affected patients. Nevertheless, patients 11 and 20, who were compound heterozygous for a truncating and a missense mutation, presented partial deficiency of laminin- $\alpha 2$ in muscle. The clinical phenotype of these patients was also clearly milder with a later age of onset (2–3 years) when compared with the other patients (birth to 4 months). They achieved the ability to walk unsupported, which is rarely observed in MDC1A. Patient 19 has also kept independent ambulation till the age of 17 years; in this case, a single in-frame deletion was detected, but laminin- $\alpha 2$ expression in muscle has not been accessed. These patients may belong to a clinical subform of CMD with primary partial laminin- $\alpha 2$ deficiency, as has been suggested previously (18).

Our results have enabled the reliable determination of carrier status in additional family members and a more accurate prenatal diagnosis in several pregnancies. The importance of extensive molecular characterization is increasingly recognized also in light of the new therapeutic strategies that are currently being developed, such as the use of antisense oligonucleotides (19) and drug-induced stop codon read-through in MDC1A patients (20). To this end, mutations need to be contextualized. In those inducing premature termination codons, the extent of nonsense-mediated mRNA decay needs to be assessed by real-time RT-PCR (20, 21, 22) because mRNA stability influences the efficacy of transcript rescue. It is thus foreseeable that future demand on diagnosis will include qualitative and quantitative transcript analysis to identify the cases amenable to the different targeted therapies.

Supporting information

Data S1. Partial laminin- α 2 protein sequences alignment. Data S2. New polymorphisms detected in *LAMA2*. Supporting information are available as part of the online article at http://www.blackwell-synergy.com

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Eurico Costa and Isabel Medeiros Marques (Unidade de Genética Molecular, Centro de Genética Médica Dr Jacinto Magalhães, INSARJ, Porto, Portugal) for excellent technical assistance.

References

- Tomé FM, Evangelista T, Leclerc A et al. Congenital muscular dystrophy with merosin deficiency. C R Acad Sci III 1994: 317 (4): 351–357.
- Mostacciuolo ML, Miorin M, Martinello F et al. Genetic epidemiology of congenital muscular dystrophy in a sample from north-east Italy. Hum Genet 1991: 97 (3): 277– 279.
- Miyagoe-Suzuki Y, Nakagawa M, Takeda S. Merosin and congenital muscular dystrophy. Microsc Res Tech 2000: 48 (3–4): 181–191.
- Mendell JR, Boué DR, Martin PT. The congenital muscular dystrophies: recent advances and molecular insights. Pediatr Dev Pathol 2006: 9 (6): 427–443.
- Helbling-Leclerc A, Zhang X, Topaloglu H et al. Mutations in the laminin alpha 2-chain gene (LAMA2) cause merosin-deficient congenital muscular dystrophy. Nat Genet 1995: 11 (2): 216–218.
- Zhang X, Vuolteenaho R, Tryggvason K. Structure of the human laminin alpha2-chain gene (LAMA2), which is affected in congenital muscular dystrophy. J Biol Chem 1999: 271: 27664–27669.
- Aumailley M, Bruckner-Tuderman L, Carter WG et al. A simplified laminin nomenclature. Matrix Biol 2005: 24 (5): 326–332.
- Leivo I, Engvall E. Merosin, a protein specific for basement membranes of Schwann cells, striated muscle, and trophoblast, is expressed late in nerve and muscle development. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 1988: 85: 1544–1548.
- Muntoni F, Voit T. The congenital muscular dystrophies in 2004: a century of exciting progress. Neuromuscul Disord 2004: 14 (10): 635–649.
- Barresi R, Campbell KP. Dystroglycan: from biosynthesis to pathogenesis of human disease. J Cell Sci 2006: 119 (Pt 2): 199–207.
- Pegoraro E, Marks H, Garcia CA et al. Laminin alpha2 muscular dystrophy: genotype/phenotype studies of 22 patients. Neurology 1998: 51 (1): 101–110.
- Hayashi YK, Tezak Z, Momoi T et al. Massive muscle cell degeneration in the early stage of merosin-deficient congenital muscular dystrophy. Neuromuscul Disord 2001: 11 (4): 350–359.
- Allamand V, Guicheney P. Merosin-deficient congenital muscular dystrophy, autosomal recessive (MDC1A, MIM# 156225, LAMA2 gene coding for alpha2 chain of laminin). Eur J Hum Genet 2002: 10 (2): 91–94.
- di Blasi C, Piga D, Brioschi P et al. LAMA2 gene analysis in congenital muscular dystrophy: new mutations, prenatal diagnosis, and founder effect. Arch Neurol 2005: 62 (10): 1582–1586.
- Miller SA, Dykes DD, Polesky HF. A simple salting out procedure for extracting DNA from human nucleated cells. Nucleic Acids Res 1998: 16 (3): 1215.
- Shapiro MB, Senapathy P. RNA splice junctions of different classes of eukaryotes: sequence statistics and functional implications in gene expression. Nucleic Acids Res 1987: 15 (17): 7155–7174.

- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F et al. The CLUSTAL_X windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Res 1997: 25 (24): 4876–4882.
- Tezak Z, Prandini P, Boscaro M et al. Clinical and molecular study in congenital muscular dystrophy with partial laminin alpha 2 (LAMA2) deficiency. Hum Mutat 2003: 21 (2): 103–111.
- van Deutekom JC, Janson AA, Ginjaar IB et al. Local dystrophin restoration with antisense oligonucleotide PRO051. N Engl J Med 2007: 357: 2677–2686.
- 20. Allamand V, Bidou L, Arakawa M et al. Drug-induced readthrough of premature stop codons leads to the

stabilization of laminin α 2 chain mRNA in CMD myotubes. J Gene Med 2008: 10: 217–224.

- 21. Uchikawa H, Fujii K, Kohno Y et al. U7 snRNA-mediated correction of aberrant splicing caused by activation of cryptic splice sites. J Hum Genet 2007: 52: 891–897.
- 22. Oliveira J, Soares-Silva I, Fokkema I et al. Novel synonymous substitution in *POMGNT1* promotes exon skipping in a patient with congenital muscular dystrophy. J Hum Genet 2008: 53: 565–572.
- 23. den Dunnen JT, Antonarakis SE. Mutation nomenclature extensions and suggestions to describe complex mutations: a discussion. Hum Mutat 2000: 15: 7–12.