JOURNAL OF REAL ESTATE RESEARCH

# Stationarity and Co-Integration in Systems with Three National Real Estate Indices

F. C. Neil Myer\* Mukesh K. Chaudhry\*\* James R. Webb\*

*Abstract.* This study examines the stochastic properties of the commercial real estate wealth indices for three countries (the U.S., Canada and the U.K.) and for several property types (aggregate, office, retail, and industrial). Each of the indices is tested for a unit root and all series are found to be nonstationary. Furthermore, all indices also indicate the presence of both drift and trend. The results are strongest when the indices are tested in real and exchange rate-adjusted form. Application of Johansen's model indicates that the system for the three countries shows evidence of co-integration for the aggregate, retail, office, and industrial properties. Again, the evidence is the strongest when the indices are tested in real and exchange rate-adjusted form. Hence, it is conceivable that inflationary expectations may be the factor that provides the common linkage between commercial real estate across national boundaries.

#### Introduction

Several studies have examined the time-series properties of commercial real estate returns and compared them to the returns on financial assets (see, for example, Ross and Zisler, 1991; Myer and Webb, 1993a, 1993b). It has been established that real estate return series exhibit serial correlation and non-normality. However, there are only a few studies that have examined the wealth indices themselves and no studies that have examined real estate wealth indices across national boundaries. An examination of such indices can be useful for discerning long-run relationships that are not obvious when time series are differenced (returns calculated). One probable reason for the limited examination of this issue thus far is the nonstationarity that time-series data often exhibit and a lack of data (see for example Myer, Chaudhry and Webb, forthcoming). If a time series is nonstationary, most widely used statistical tests cannot be applied, since the linear properties of a series measured at equal intervals (namely, its conditional mean, variance and temporal autocorrelation) are time variant. However, formal tests have been developed for testing a series for stationarity and statistical tests have been developed that adjust for nonstationary time series.<sup>1</sup> For instance, Granger (1981) indicates a series that is integrated of order zero (implies stationarity) after differencing, may have linear combinations that are stationary without differencing. Such series are said to be cointegrated.

The purpose of this study is to examine and compare the time-series properties of the

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Finance, James J. Nance College of Business Administration, Cleveland State University, Cleveland, Ohio 44115.

<sup>\*\*</sup>Department of Finance and Economics, School of Business, Northern State University, 1200 South Jay Street, Aberdeen, South Dakota 57401.

Date Revised—July 1994; Accepted—April 1996.

U.S., Canadian and the U.K. commercial real estate wealth indices. Also of interest is the long-run relationship by property type across national boundaries. First, each of the national time series is tested for nonstationarity, with and without drift and trend components. Then systems are formed for each of the four property types (aggregate, office, retail, and industrial) across the three countries under examination. These systems are then examined for evidence of nonstationarity. An additional test is also performed to estimate the number of co-integrating vectors for each of the real estate systems (Johansen test).

The remainder of this study is organized as follows. Section two presents the methodology for testing stationarity (with and without drift and trend) and cointegration tests. Section three discusses the data. The empirical results are presented in the fourth section, and section five summarizes the results of this study.

#### Methodology

As discussed in Engle and Granger (1987), a series is said to be integrated of order d (I(d)) if it is nonstationary, but when differenced d times, it has a stationary, invertible, ARMA representation. A system consisting of two or more series is said to be cointegrated if the individual time series comprising the system are integrated of order one, but have a linear combination that is stationary (or integrated of order zero).

#### Unit Root Tests

The ADF (Augmented Dickey-Fuller) test for stationarity of a time series,  $Y_t$ , begins with the estimation of the following regression equation when no linear trend is considered:

$$\Delta Y_t = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 Y_{t-1} + \sum_{j=1}^p \gamma_j \Delta Y_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t .$$
(1)

When a linear trend is considered (1) becomes as follows:

$$\Delta Y_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{1} Y_{t-1} + \alpha_{2} t + \sum_{j=1}^{p} \gamma_{j} \Delta Y_{t-j} + \varepsilon_{t} .$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

If  $\alpha_1=0$ , then the series is said to have a unit root and is nonstationary. Hence, if the hypothesis,  $\alpha_1=0$ , is rejected for one of the above two equations it can be concluded that the time series does not have a unit root and is integrated of order zero (stationary). The parameters  $\alpha_0$  and  $\alpha_2$  are to test for the presence of drift and trend components, respectively, in the time series. However, it is pertinent to note that the distributions of the ordinary *t*- and *F*-statistics computed for the regressions do not have the expected distributions. Hence, the critical values for testing various hypotheses have been estimated using Monte Carlo simulations by Davidson and MacKinnon (1993).

An alternative to the ADF test is the PP (Phillips-Perron) test. The advantage of employing the PP test is that, rather than including the lagged values of  $\Delta Y_t$  as independent variables, the PP test corrects the standard errors of the *t*-values using the Newly and West (1987) correction.

VOLUME 13, NUMBER 3, 1997

#### Tests for Co-Integration

Testing for co-integration implies stationarity for linear combinations of the subject time series. Hence, application of the ADF and PP tests on the residuals from a co-integrating regression provide a test of co-integration. Thus, if there are N series,  $Y_{t1} \ldots Y_{tN}$ , the co-integrating regression is given by:

$$Y_{t1} = \beta_0 + \sum_{j=2}^{N} \beta_j Y_{tj} + u_t , \qquad (3)$$

or

$$Y_{t1} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \sum_{j=2}^N \beta_j Y_{tj} + u_t , \qquad (4)$$

if the trend is included. The residuals from these regressions are then tested for the presence of a unit root using the ADF and PP tests. If the test rejects the presence of a unit root, it is concluded that the time-series system is co-integrated.

Another, powerful test for determining co-integrating relationships in time-series systems was recently introduced by Johansen (1988). This test considers the following AR representation for a vector, Y, which is made up of n variables:

$$Y_{t} = c + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \phi_{i} Q_{it} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \pi_{i} Y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{t} , \qquad (5)$$

where each of the series that comprise Y are I(0),  $Q_{it}$  are seasonal dummies, and c is a constant.<sup>2</sup>

This system can be rewritten in error-correction form as:

$$Y_{t} = c + \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \phi_{i} Q_{it} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \Gamma_{i} \Delta Y_{t-i} + \Pi Y_{t-k} + \varepsilon_{t} , \qquad (6)$$

which is essentially a vector representation of equation (4) with seasonal dummies added. This system will have the same degree of integration on both sides only if  $\Pi$ =0 (the series are not co-integrated) or  $\Pi Y_{t-k}$  is I(0), which implies co-integration. The rank of matrix  $\Pi$  gives the number of co-integrating vectors and if  $\Pi$  is decomposed as  $\Pi = \alpha \beta'$ ,  $\beta$  provides the number of co-integrating vectors and  $\alpha$  is the scaling factor. Hence, Johansen (1988) provides a maximum likelihood method of estimating this vector and performing cointegration tests.

#### Data

Data for the four property-type indices (aggregate, office, retail, and industrial) were taken from the Russell-NCREIF Property Index for the U.S. and Canada, while the U.K. property indices were obtained from Investment Property Database (IPD). Exchange rate data were compiled from the *Wall Street Journal*, while CPI data were obtained from *International Financial Statistics*. This study covers the period from the first quarter 1987 through the third quarter 1992.

## **Empirical Results**

Time series for each of the three countries and the four property types were tested for the presence of a unit root employing both the ADF and PP methods. The number of lags chosen was the maximum significant number (at the 95% level) which was derived from the partial autocorrelations of each of the time series. Tests for co-integration were performed on the residuals of each system of equations for the four property types in the three countries under study. Critical values for the ADF and PP tests are based upon Davidson and MacKinnon (1993). For Johansen's test, the critical values were obtained from Johansen and Juselius (1990).

#### Stationarity, Trend and Drift

Exhibits 1 and 2 contain the ADF and PP results using the nominal series for each of the four property types. ADF tests for the nominal series suggest that, except for industrial

		No	Irend		With Irend		
Series	Nlags	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = 0$	$\alpha$ 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = \alpha 2 = 0$	$\alpha 1 = \alpha 2 = 0$	
			Tot	al			
U.S.	1	-2.151	2.525	0035	3.173	4.518	
Canada	1	-2.392	3.903	.062	2.865	3.265	
U.K.	2	-3.057*	4.679*	-2.513	3.106	4.651	
			Offi	се			
U.S.	1	222	.336	.026	3.347	4.568	
Canada	1	-2.224	3.457	.029	2.514	2.801	
U.K.	3	-2.542	3.231	-1.542	2.253	3.378	
			Reta	ail			
U.S.	1	-2.511	3.841*	.295	3.285	4.209	
Canada	1	-2.589*	7.219*	400	4.594*	3.223	
U.K.	2	-2.789*	3.991*	-3.166*	3.900	5.737*	
			Indus	trial			
U.S.	1	-2.686*	4.031*	.243	4.169*	5.773*	
Canada	1	-2.645*	4.054*	.224	3.132	4.135	
U.K.	1	-2.739*	3.875*	-2.201	3.025	4.413	
			Asymptotic C	ritical Values			
10% level*		-2.57	3.78	-3.13	4.03	5.34	

Exhibit 1 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Tests for Commercial Real Estate Indices of the U.S., Canada and the U.K.: Nominal Values

*t*-values (single hypothesis) and *F*-values (multiple hypotheses) are used to test the various hypotheses concerning equation (1) (no trend) and equation (2) (with trend).  $\alpha$ 1=0 is the unit root test;  $\alpha$ 0=0 tests for drift;  $\alpha$ 2=0 tests for a linear trend. Asymptotic critical values are from Davidson and MacKinnon (1993).

		No Trend		With Trend		
Series	Nlags	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = 0$	<u>α</u> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = \alpha 2 = 0$	$\alpha$ 1= $\alpha$ 2=0
			Tot	al		
U.S.	1	-1.981	2.584	.416	5.247*	6.760*
Canada	1	-2.454	10.411*	.592	.964	4.960
U.K.	2	-2.444	5.474*	827	4.517*	3.832
			Offi	се		
U.S.	1	.479	.672	.139	3,423	4,184
Canada	1	-2.193	7.318*	.493	6.625*	3.850
U.K.	3	-2.053	2.759	546	3.848	4.624
			Reta	ail		
U.S.	1	-3.018*	12.573*	.977	16.301*	10.692*
Canada	1	-2.513	20.176*	129	13.759*	3.319
U.K.	2	-2.387	6.305*	-1.410	4.108*	2.814
			Indus	trial		
U.S.	1	-3.361*	12,263*	.761	17,260*	13.889*
Canada	1	-2.816*	16.633*	.830	15.546*	6.684*
U.K.	1	-2.334	10.025*	507	6.770*	2.821
			Asymptotic C	ritical Values		
10% level	*	-2.57	3.78	-3.13	4.03	5.34

#### Exhibit 2 Phillips-Perron (PP) Tests for Commercial Real Estate Indices of U.S., Canada and the U.K.: Nominal Values

*t*-values (single hypothesis) and *F*-values (multiple hypotheses) are used to test the various hypotheses concerning equation (1) (no trend) and equation (2) (with trend).  $\alpha$ 1=0 is the unit root test;  $\alpha$ 0=0 tests for drift;  $\alpha$ 2=0 tests for a linear trend. Asymptotic critical values are from Davidson and MacKinnon (1993).

properties, the null hypothesis of a unit root ( $\alpha_1=0$ ) for the U.S. cannot be rejected and therefore all the series are nonstationary. The null hypothesis to determine the presence of a unit root for Canada cannot be rejected for the aggregate, office and retail property, whereas industrial properties are found to be stationary. Curiously, U.K. indices suggest stationarity for aggregate, retail and industrial property and nonstationarity for offices.

For the U.S. nominal indices, the PP test indicates nonstationarity for aggregate, office and retail property and stationarity for industrial properties. Correspondingly, the Canadian real estate indices indicate nonstationarity for aggregate, office and retail property and stationarity for industrial properties. The U.K. series demonstrate nonstationarity for all commercial real estate wealth indices. However, when trend and drift are included the evidence for the presence of a unit root for all U.K. properties is overwhelming, except for retail property. The results are stronger when the PP test is

		No	Trend	With Trend			
Series	Nlags	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = 0$	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = \alpha 2 = 0$	$\alpha$ 1= $\alpha$ 2=0	
			Tot	al			
U.S. Canada U.K.	0 1 1	1.848 2.447 3.234*	3.878* 3.501 5.229*	189 585 -3.190*	5.967* 2.727 4.218*	6.066* 3.578 6.327*	
			Offi	се			
U.S. Canada U.K.	0 0 2	1.839 -2.154 -2.311	10.782* 3.720 2.765	466 .052 -2.433	7.957* 3.669 2.608	2.559 3.971 3.811	
			Reta	ail			
U.S. Canada U.K.	1 0 1	-2.172 -2.643* -3.197*	2.437 9.113* 5.118*	.062 829 -3.858*	4.892* 5.845* 5.305*	7.229* 3.398 7.951*	
			Indus	trial			
U.S. Canada U.K.	1 1 1	-1.566 -2.759* -2.839*	1.241 4.129* 4.150*	893 894 -2.235	3.853 2.840 2.645	5.756* 3.945 3.854	
			Asymptotic Cr	ritical Values			
10% level <sup>*</sup>	*	-2.57	3.78	-3.13	4.03	5.34	

#### Exhibit 3 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Tests for Commercial Real Estate Indices of the U.S., Canada and the U.K.: Real Values

*t*-values (single hypothesis) and *F*-values (multiple hypotheses) are used to test the various hypotheses concerning equation (1) (no trend) and equation (2) (with trend).  $\alpha$ 1=0 is the unit root test;  $\alpha$ 0=0 tests for drift;  $\alpha$ 2=0 tests for a linear trend. Asymptotic critical values are from Davidson and MacKinnon (1993).

performed and almost all (U.S., U.K., Canada) time series exhibit nonstationarity.

If the series has a unit root and the hypothesis  $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0$  is rejected, this suggests the absence of a trend component ( $\alpha_2=0$ ) and indicates that, without trend, the model is conceivably more appropriate for the series under investigation. The ADF test indicates when (whenever a time series has a unit root) the presence of a trend component cannot be rejected. Hence, for most of the time series under investigation, both drift and trend in the series should be included in the model. However, the PP test indicates the absence of a trend for U.S. aggregate, retail and industrial property. A similar conclusion can be drawn for the Canadian industrial index.

Exhibits 3 and 4 contain the results of the ADF and PP tests using inflation-adjusted wealth indices (real). It is also useful to express these indices in real terms, since nominal indices among countries can also be expressed as the sum of expected inflation and real series (see, for example, Kasman and Pigott, 1988; McDonald and Murphy, 1989). A test

		No	Trend		With Trend	
Series	Nlags	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = 0$	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = \alpha 2 = 0$	$\alpha$ 1= $\alpha$ 2=0
			Tota	al		
U.S.	1	1.523	2.913	185	6.003*	6.101*
Canada	1	-2.311	4.458*	077	4.570*	4.552
U.K.	2	-1.729	1.700	-1.317	3.709	5.268*
			Offi	се		
U.S.	1	2.085	13.058*	337	9.326*	2.938
Canada	1	-2.033	3.155	058	3.249	3.518
U.K.	2	-1.412	1.043	997	3.859	5.737*
			Reta	ail		
U.S.	1	-2.263	3.176	.0461	10.721*	14.432*
Canada	1	-2.584*	8.594*	849	5.649*	3.303
U.K.	1	-1.475	1.186	-1.790	2.519	3.669
			Indus	trial		
U.S.	1	-1.498	1.169	329	7.526*	11.214*
Canada	1	-2.685*	7.085*	155	6.267*	5.210*
U.K.	1	-2.459	5.026*	955	3.939	3.724
			Asymptotic Cr	ritical Values		
10% level*	*	-2.57	3.78	-3.13	4.03	5.34

#### Exhibit 4 Phillips-Perron (PP) Tests for Commercial Real Estate Indices of the U.S., Canada and the U.K.: Real Values

*t*-values (single hypothesis) and *F*-values (multiple hypotheses) are used to test the various hypotheses concerning equation (1) (no trend) and equation (2) (with trend).  $\alpha$ 1=0 is the unit root test;  $\alpha$ 0=0 tests for drift;  $\alpha$ 2=0 tests for a linear trend. Asymptotic critical values are from Davidson and MacKinnon (1993).

for the real series would enable identification of whether it is the inflationary expectation that provides the common bond between the real estate indices across national boundaries. The results for the ADF tests are not significantly different when testing the real versus the nominal series. The PP tests provide much stronger evidence of nonstationarity and the presence of a drift and trend component for most of the time series using real values.

Exhibits 5 and 6 contain the results of using exchange rate-adjusted values. One possible explanation for integration of international real estate markets could be the globalization of foreign financial markets (see, for example, Radecki and Reinhart, 1988; Kasman and Pigott, 1988; McKinnon, 1991; Eichengreen, 1992). Hence, existence of different national currencies and greater integration of foreign currencies may be the underlying cause creating a linkage between these markets. In order to explore this issue further, the indices for the three countries are denominated in a common currency (U.S.

No Trend With Tre			With Trend			
Series	Nlags	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = 0$	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = \alpha 2 = 0$	$\alpha 1 = \alpha 2 = 0$
			Tot	al		
U.S. Canada U.K.	1 0 0	-2.151 -2.462 -2.258	2.525 6.708* 3.839*	004 1.619 -1.787	3.173 8.822* 2.697	4.518 8.229* 2.784
	-		Offi	се		
U.S. Canada U.K.	1 0 0	222 -2.295 -2.358	.336 5.503* 3.621	.026 1.529 -1.051	3.347 7.372* 2.448	4.568 7.252* 2.859
			Reta	ail		
U.S. Canada U.K.	1 0 0	-2.511 -2.619* -2.175	3.841* 10.280* 3.517	.295 1.069 -2.626	3.285 9.218* 3.517	4.209 5.948* 4.017
			Indus	trial		
U.S. Canada U.K.	1 0 0	-2.686* -2.615* -1.771	4.031* 8.349* 4.204*	.243 1.738 –1.788	4.169* 10.334* 3.455	5.773* 8.784* 2.459
			Asymptotic C	ritical Values		
10% level*	*	-2.57	3.78	-3.13	4.03	5.34

#### Exhibit 5 Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) Tests for Commercial Real Estate Indices of the U.S., Canada and the U.K.: Exchange Rate-Adjusted Values

*t*-values (single hypothesis) and *F*-values (multiple hypotheses) are used to test the various hypotheses concerning equation (1) (no trend) and equation (2) (with trend).  $\alpha$ 1=0 is the unit root test;  $\alpha$ 0=0 tests for drift;  $\alpha$ 2=0 tests for a linear trend. Asymptotic critical values are from Davidson and MacKinnon (1993).

dollars). In this case, even the ADF test detects stronger evidence of nonstationarity than the nominal and real series. On the other hand, the PP test provides the strongest evidence of nonstationarity and the presence of a drift and trend component in most of the exchange rate-adjusted time series.

## **Co-Integration**

Exhibits 7 and 8 contain the tests for co-integration. In most cases, both the ADF and PP tests do not show significant evidence of co-integration in nominal or real terms for any of the systems (except office when using the PP test). In contrast, both the ADF ad PP tests indicate the presence of co-integration for the systems comprising total and office property indices when adjusted for exchange rates. The results for the Johansen tests are in sharp contrast to those for the ADF and PP tests. The Johansen tests reject the

		No	Trend		With Trend		
Series	Nlags	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = 0$	<i>α</i> 1=0	$\alpha 0 = \alpha 1 = \alpha 2 = 0$	$\alpha$ 1= $\alpha$ 2=0	
			Tot	al			
U.S.	1	-1.981	2.584	.416	5.247*	6.760*	
Canada	1	-2.278	5.508*	1.737	9.527*	8.928*	
U.K.	1	-2.314	4.176*	-1.714	2.774	2.762	
			Offi	се			
U.S.	1	.479	.672	.139	3.423	4.184	
Canada	1	-2.129	4.520*	1.590	7.679*	7.572*	
U.K.	1	-2.375	3.693	982	2.613	3.020	
			Ret	ail			
U.S.	1	-3.018*	12.573*	.977	16.301*	10.692*	
Canada	1	-2.497	9.143*	1.251	10.481*	6.848*	
U.K.	1	-2.189	3.710*	-2.595	3.524	3.950	
			Indus	trial			
U.S.	1	-3.361*	12.263*	.761	17.260*	13.889*	
Canada	1	-2.450	7.111*	1.954	11.798*	10.124*	
U.K.	1	-1.835	4.887*	-1.705	3.554	2.367	
			Asymptotic C	ritical Values			
10% level*	÷	-2.57	3.78	-3.13	4.03	5.34	

#### Exhibit 6 Phillips-Perron (PP) Tests for Commercial Real Estate Indices of the U.S., Canada and the U.K.: Exchange Rate-Adjusted Values

*t*-values (single hypothesis) and *F*-values (multiple hypotheses) are used to test the various hypotheses concerning equation (1) (no trend) and equation (2) (with trend).  $\alpha$ 1=0 is the unit root test;  $\alpha$ 0=0 tests for drift;  $\alpha$ 2=0 tests for a linear trend. Asymptotic critical values are from Davidson and MacKinnon (1993).

hypothesis of no co-integration (r=0) for total, office and retail for the nominal, real and exchange rate-adjusted time series. However, the Johansen test does not provide evidence of co-integration for nominal terms when the industrial property indices are tested. However, tests for both the real and exchange rate-adjusted systems detect the presence of co-integration.

In contrast, when the system comprising the property indices of each of the three countries is considered on an individual basis, evidence of co-integration is the strongest for U.S. indices, irrespective of whether the time series is in nominal, real, or exchange rate-adjusted form, whereas none of the Canadian indices indicate any evidence of co-integration. However, the U.K. indices indicate co-integration for both the nominal and exchange rate-adjusted forms, but not the real form.

Evidently, the nonstationarity in the time series for aggregate, office, retail, and

				Johansen	
System	ADF <sup>1</sup>	PP <sup>2</sup>	<i>r</i> =0	<i>r</i> <=1	r<=2
		Nominal			
Total	-3.499	-3.508	53.657**	18.396	.017
Office	-3.523	-4.304*	57.129**	19.215	.043
Retail		-2.830	65.853***	20.719	.133
Industrial	-3.803	-3.358	46.259	12.878	1.054
		Real			
Total	-3.156	-3.220	51.057*	17.408	6.047
Office	-1.710	-4.171*	56.895**	21.668	6.428
Retail	-2.255	-2.453	68.440***	24.455	8.065
Industrial	-2.849	-2.993	50.227*	9.378	.296
	E	xchange Rate-A	djusted		
Total	-3.895*	-3.902*	64.421***	24.183	8.246
Office	-4.426*	-4.423*	79.641***	31.933	5.435
Retail	-3.443	-3.520	55.469**	26.104	8.505
Industrial	-3.574	-3.603	60.641***	24.846	7.239
	As	symptotic Critic	al Values		
10% level	-3.84	-3.84	49.93	32.09	17.96
5% level			53.35	35.07	20.17
1% level			60.05	40.20	24.99

### Exhibit 7 Co-Integration Tests for Commercial Real Estate Indices of the U.S., Canada and the U.K.

<sup>1</sup>ADF is the augmented Dickey-Fuller test. <sup>2</sup>PP is the Phillips-Perron test. *t*-values are used to test for co-integration (ADF and PP) and the Johansen test. *r* refers to the number of co-integrating vectors in the model. Asymptotic critical values for the ADF and PP tests are from Davidson and MacKinnon (1993) and for the Johansen test, from Johansen and Juselius (1990). \*, \*\*, \*\*\* indicates significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively.

industrial is dominant when both drift and trend are included in the model. This could be logically expected, since many of the properties that are used to construct all of the real estate series have a third-party (not owner or manager) appraisal on an annual basis. Hence, in the context of this study the Johansen test is considered more powerful because it is formulated such that the presence of seasonality is embedded in the model. In addition, the Johansen test does not rely on an arbitrary choice of dependent variables. The ADF and PP tests, on the other hand, do not allow for seasonality.

## Conclusions

All the commercial real estate time series under investigation (U.S., Canadian, U.K.) indicate clear evidence of nonstationarity. Furthermore, the presence of both a drift and trend component is indicated. Hence, in the context of this study, the co-integration tests,

				Johansen	
System	ADF <sup>1</sup>	PP <sup>2</sup>	<i>r</i> =0	<i>r</i> <=1	r<=2
		Nominal			
U.S. Canada U.K.	-2.460 -3.280 -3.989*	-4.890* -3.290 -2.450	68.952*** 45.404 69.306***	12.509 11.316 25.734	.867 1.675 .001
		Real			
U.S. Canada U.K.	-2.618 -3.492 -2.187	-2.714 -3.504 -2.420	55.279** 14.397 43.222	10.405 4.257 19.155	.245 .384 2.924
	E	xchange Rate-Ad	djusted		
U.S. Canada U.K.	-2.460 -3.394 -3.229	-4.890* -3.413 -3.257	68.952*** 42.059 66.737***	12.509 15.741 16.177	.867 3.127 2.014
	As	symptotic Critica	l Values		
10% level 5% level 1% level	-3.84	-3.84	49.93 53.35 60.05	32.09 35.07 40.20	17.96 20.17 24.99

#### Exhibit 8 Co-Integration Tests for Systems Comprising Three Property Types (Office, Retail, Industrial) for the U.S., Canada and the U.K.

<sup>1</sup>ADF is the augmented Dickey-Fuller test. <sup>2</sup>PP is the Phillips-Perron test. *t*-values are used to test for co-integration (ADF and PP) and the Johansen test. *r* refers to the number of co-integrating vectors in the model. Asymptotic critical values for the ADF and PP tests are from Davidson and MacKinnon (1993) and, for the Johansen test, from Johansen and Juselius (1990). \*, \*\*, \*\*\* indicates significance at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels, respectively.

which are robust for nonstationarity, were performed. The implication is that the longrun forecasts of U.S., Canadian and U.K. real estate indices (except industrial properties in nominal terms) do not diverge significantly in the long run.<sup>3</sup> Hence, a common factor that creates a linkage between the indices of the three countries examined is indicated. Since, both the exchange rate-adjusted and real series form provide stronger evidence of co-integration, it is conceivable that the common bond linking these time series is provided by inflationary expectations. Although no study has examined this issue as yet, knowledge of these linkages possibly can be used to develop portfolio models that use real estate property indices from different countries.

#### Notes

<sup>1</sup>See for example, Fuller (1976), Dickey and Fuller (1979, 1981), Granger (1981, 1986), Granger and Weiss (1983), Engle and Granger (1987), Phillips and Perron (1988), Johansen (1988), and Johansen and Juselius (1990).

 $^{2}$ For a similar, but more detailed, presentation of the Johansen model, see Muscatelli and Hurm (1992).

<sup>3</sup>One of the important implications of a co-integrated system is that the long-run forecasts of cointegrated systems will be tied together even if the individual forecasts diverge to infinity (see Engle and Yoo, 1987).

### References

- Davidson, R. and J. G. MacKinnon, *Estimation and Inference in Econometrics*. London: Oxford University Press, 1993.
- Dickey, D. A. and W. A. Fuller, Distributions of the Estimators for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 1979, 74, 427–31.
- —, Likelihood Ratio Statistics for Autoregressive Time Series with a Unit Root, *Econometrica*, 1981, 49, 1057–72.
- Eichengreen, B., Three Perspectives on the Bretton Woods System, Working Paper 4141, Washington, D.C.: National Bureau of Economic Research, 1992.
- Engle, R. F. and C. W. J. Granger, Co-integration and Error Correction: Representation, Estimation, and Testing, *Econometrica*, 1987, 55, 251–76.
- Engle, R. F. and B. S. Yoo, Forecasting and Testing in Cointegrated Systems, *Journal of Econometrics*, 1987, 35, 143–59.
- Fuller, W. A., Introduction to Statistical Time Series, New York: John Wiley, 1976.
- Granger, C. W. J., Some Properties of Time Series Data and Their Use in Econometric Model Specification, *Journal of Econometrics*, 1981, 16, 121–30.
  - —, Developments in the Study of Cointegrated Economic Variables, Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 1986, 48, 213–28.
- and A. A. Weiss, Time Series Analysis of Error-Correcting Models, in *Studies in Econometrics, Time Series, and Multivariate Analysis*, 255–78, New York: Academic Press, 1983.
- Johansen, S., Statistical Analysis of Cointegrating Vectors, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 1988, 12, 231–54.

— and K. Juselius, Maximum Likelihood Estimation and Inference on Cointegration—With Applications for the Demand for Money, *Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics*, 1990, 52, 169–210.

- Kasman, B. and C. Pigott, Interest Rate Divergences among the Major Industrial Nations, *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Quarterly Review*, 1988, 18, 24–37.
- McDonald, R. and P. D. Murphy, Testing for the Long-Run Relationship between Nominal Interest Rates and Inflation using Cointegration Techniques, *Applied Economics*, 1989, 21, 439–47.
- McKinnon, R., The Rules of Economic Game: International Money in Historical Perspective, *Journal of Economic Literature*, 1991, 15–32.
- Muscatelli, V. A. and S. Hurm, Cointegration and Dynamic Time Series Models, *Journal of Economic Surveys*, 1992, 6, 1–43.
- Myer, F. C. N. and J. R. Webb, Return Properties of Equity REITs, Common Stocks, and Commercial Real Estate: A Comparison, *Journal of Real Estate Research*, 1993a, 8, 87–106.

—, Statistical Properties of Returns: Financial Assets versus Commercial Real Estate, *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 1993b, 8:3, 267–82.

- Myer, F. C. N., M. K. Chaudhry and J. R. Webb, Stationarity and Cointegration in Systems with Real Estate and Financial Assets, *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, forthcoming.
- Newly, W. K. and K. D. West, A Simple, Positive Semidefinite, Heteroskedasticity and Autocorrelation Consistent Covariance Matrix, *Econometrica*, 1987, 55, 703–708.

- Phillips, P. C. B. and P. Perron, Testing for a Unit Root in Time Series Regression, *Biometrika*, 1988, 75, 335–46.
- Radecki, L. J. and V. Reinhart, Globalization of Financial Markets and the Effectiveness of Monetary Policy Instruments, *Federal Reserve Bank of New York Quarterly Review*, 1988, 18, 18–27.
- Ross, S. A. and R. C. Zisler, Risk and Return in Real Estate, *Journal of Real Estate Finance and Economics*, 1991, 4, 175–90.