Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences Charles University in Prague

# The Instrumental Weighted Variables. Part II. $\sqrt{n}$ - consistency

## Jan Ámos Víšek

IES Working Paper: 6/2007



Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague

[UK FSV – IES]

Opletalova 26 CZ-110 00, Prague E-mail : ies@fsv.cuni.cz <u>http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz</u>

Institut ekonomických studií Fakulta sociálních věd Univerzita Karlova v Praze

> Opletalova 26 110 00 Praha 1

E-mail : ies@fsv.cuni.cz http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz

**Disclaimer**: The IES Working Papers is an online paper series for works by the faculty and students of the Institute of Economic Studies, Faculty of Social Sciences, Charles University in Prague, Czech Republic. The papers are peer reviewed, but they are *not* edited or formatted by the editors. The views expressed in documents served by this site do not reflect the views of the IES or any other Charles University Department. They are the sole property of the respective authors. Additional info at: <u>ies@fsv.cuni.cz</u>

**Copyright Notice**: Although all documents published by the IES are provided without charge, they are licensed for personal, academic or educational use. All rights are reserved by the authors.

Citations: All references to documents served by this site must be appropriately cited.

#### Bibliographic information:

Víšek, J. A. (2007). "The Instrumental Weighted Variables. Part II.  $\sqrt{n}$  - consistency." IES Working Paper 6/2007. IES FSV. Charles University.

This paper can be downloaded at: http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz

## The Instrumental Weighted Variables. Part II. $\sqrt{n}$ - consistency

### Jan Ámos Víšek<sup>#</sup>

# IES, Charles University Prague, Smetanovo nábřeží 6, Prague 1, 110 01, the Czech Republic e-mail: visek@mbox.fsv.cuni.cz

January 2007

#### Abstract:

The definition of Instrumental Weighted Variables (IWV) (which is a robust version of the classical Instrumental Variables) and conditions for the weak consistency as given in the Part I of this paper are recalled. The reasons why the classical Instrumental Variables were introduced as well as the idea of implicit weighting the residuals (firstly employed by the Least Weighted Squares, see Víšek (2000)) are also recalled. Then  $\sqrt{n}$  -consistency of all solutions of the corresponding normal equations is proved.

**Keywords**: Robustness, instrumental variables, implicit weighting,  $\sqrt{n}$  -consistency of estimate by means of instrumental weighted variables

AMS classification: 62F35, 62J05

#### Acknowledgements:

We would like to express our gratitude to the anonymous referee for carefully reading the manuscript. In fact, a lot of improvements are due to him/her. The responsibility for the rest of errors, omissions and misprints is mine.

Financial support from the IES (Institutional Research Framework 2005-2010, MSM0021620841) is gratefully acknowledged.

#### INTRODUCTION

The paper continues in studies of Víšek (2006b). That it why we recall reasons for introducing the *Instrumental Weighted Variables* as well as for employing the idea of implicit weighting residuals, as firstly used in Víšek (2000), only briefly. Nevertheless, we will do it in a way to make the paper self-contained.

Let N denote the set of all positive integers, R the real line and  $R^p$  the p-dimensional Euclidean space. We are going to consider the linear regression model given as

$$Y_i = X'_i \beta^0 + e_i = \sum_{j=1}^p X_{ij} \beta_j^0 + e_i, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., n.$$
(1)

Without loss of generality we may assume that  $\beta^0 = 0$ , but  $\beta - \beta^0$  is written instead of just  $\beta$  when we deal with  $\beta$  from the neighborhood of the true value  $\beta^0$ . The following conditions are assumed to be fulfilled.

**C1** The sequence  $\{(X_i^T, e_i)^T\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  is sequence of independent and identically distributed p + 1dimensional random vectors (i.i.d. r.v.'s) with absolutely continuous distribution function  $F_{X,e}(x,v)$ . Moreover,  $I\!\!E\{(X_1^T, e)^T \cdot (X_1^T, e)\}$  is positive definite matrix and the density  $f_{e|X}(v|X_1 = x)$  is uniformly in x bounded in v, say by  $U_e$ .

 $F_X(x)$  and  $F_e(v)$   $(f_X(x)$  and  $f_e(v)$ ) will stay for the marginals of  $F_{X,e}(x,v)$  (and their densities, respectively). (Throughout the paper all vectors will be assumed the column ones.) Finally, notice please that  $f_e(v) = \mathbb{E}_x f_e(v|X_1 = x) \leq \mathbb{E}_x U_e = U_e$ .

We shall study the model with intercept, i.e. we assume that the first coordinate of explanatory variables  $X_i$  is degenerated and equal to 1.

#### ESTIMATING BY MEANS OF INSTRUMENTAL VARIABLES

The most frequently used estimator of the regression coefficients  $\beta^0$  of the "true" underlying model is the *(Ordinary) Least Squares*  $\hat{\beta}^{(OLS,n)}$ . Due to the fact that

$$\hat{\beta}^{(OLS,n)} = \beta^0 + \left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k=1}^n X_k X_k'\right)^{-1} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i e_i \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n X_i e_i = I\!\!E X_1 e_1 \quad \text{in probability},$$
(2)

one easy verifies that the violation of orthogonality condition  $I\!\!E \{e_i | X_i\} = 0$  implies inconsistency of the *(Ordinary) Least Squares* (where due to **C1**  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} X_k X'_k$  is, starting with some  $n_0$  (say), positive definite almost surely).

One of the best known example of the situations when the orthogonality condition fails, was discussed in the first part of these three papers (Víšek (2006b)). We are going to recall another famous example justifying employment of the method of instrumental variables. The model, we will consider, is not a special case of (1). When we arrive at (4), we can easy verify that the rows are correlated and we have to use a transformation of Cochrane-Orcutt (see Cochrane, Orcutt (1949)) or Prais-Winsten type (see Prais, Winsten (1954)) to fulfil assumptions of the model (1). However, it would bring a large notational complexity (although it represents only a technical problem) and it may obscure the idea of the next example. So let us consider (with a bit of

freedom from the rigor) the model with lagged explanatory variables. Assume the simplest one, with the geometric structure of coefficients, i. e.

$$Y_t = \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{j-1} x_{t-j+1} + e_t, \quad t = \dots, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, T$$
(3)

with  $Ee_t = 0$  and  $Ee_t^2 = \sigma^2 \in (0, \infty)$ . Clearly, we are not able to estimate coefficients  $\gamma$  and  $\lambda$ , so writing model for t - 1

$$Y_{t-1} = \gamma \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{j-1} x_{t-j} + e_{t-1},$$

multiplying it by  $\lambda$  and subtracting from (3), we obtain

$$Y_t = \lambda Y_{t-1} + \gamma x_t + e_t - \lambda e_{t-1} = \lambda Y_{t-1} + \gamma x_t + u_t.$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Now, the "explanatory" variable  $Y_{t-1}$  is correlated with the error term  $u_t$  and then (2) indicates that OLS estimate of regression coefficients of model (4) is inconsistent.

Another frequently presented example considers the situation when the explanatory variables are measured with a random error, see Judge et al. (1985) or Víšek (1998), (2006b).

The classical econometrics solve such situations usually by means of the Method of Instrumental Variables.

**Definition 1** For any sequence of random vectors  $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset R^p$  the solution(s) of the (vector) equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_i \left( Y_i - X_i^T \beta \right) = 0 \tag{5}$$

will be called the estimator obtained by means of the method of Instrumental Variables (or Instrumental Variables, for short) and denoted by  $\hat{\beta}^{(IV,n)}$ .

The method became at the end of the last century more or less a standard tool in many case studies of panel data since the correlation of explanatory variables and disturbances frequently appeared. Papers exploring the best way of the selecting the instruments for explanatory variables established useful, easy implemented results, see e.g. Arellano, Bond (1991), Arellano, Bover (1995) or Sargan (1988) (and for examples of implementation see for SAS - Der and Everitt (2002), for R and S-PLUS - Fox, J. (2002)).

#### RECALLING THE LEAST WEIGHTED SQUARES

Let us enlarge a bit the notations. Let us denote for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  by  $r_i(\beta) = Y_i - X'_i\beta$  the *i*-th residual and by  $r^2_{(h)}(\beta)$  the *h*-th order statistic among the squared residuals. To be more explicite, we have

$$r_{(1)}^2(\beta) \le r_{(2)}^2(\beta) \le \dots \le r_{(n)}^2(\beta).$$
 (6)

Then the Least Weighted Squares can be defined as follows (see Víšek (2000), see also (2002b, c)):

$$\hat{\beta}^{(LWS,n,w)} = \underset{\beta \in R^p}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i r_{(i)}^2(\beta)$$
(7)

where  $w_i, i = 1, 2, ..., n$  are weights<sup>1</sup>. They are usually generated by a weight function with the following properties<sup>2</sup>:

**C2** Weight function  $w : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  is absolutely continuous and nonincreasing, with the derivative  $w'(\alpha)$  bounded from below by -L, w(0) = 1.

Then put  $w_i = w\left(\frac{i-1}{n}\right)$ . Following Hájek, Šidák (1967) for any  $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  let us denote by  $\pi(\beta, i)$  the rank of the *i*-th residual. It means that  $\pi(\beta, i) = j \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  iff  $r_i^2(\beta) = r_{(j)}^2(\beta)$  (notice that  $\pi(\beta, i)$  is r.v.). Then we have

$$\hat{\beta}^{(LWS,n,w)} = \underset{\beta \in R^p}{\operatorname{arg\,min}} \sum_{i=1}^n w\left(\frac{\pi(\beta,i)-1}{n}\right) r_i^2(\beta).$$
(8)

It is straightforward to show that the Least Weighted Squares are solution of normal equations

$$I\!N E_{X,n}(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(\frac{\pi(\beta,i)-1}{n}\right) X_i\left(Y_i - X'_i\beta\right) = 0,$$
(9)

see Víšek (2006b).

#### INSTRUMENTAL WEIGHTED VARIABLES

The inconsistency of the Ordinary Least Squares which is due to the failure of the orthogonality condition (as we recalled it in INTRODUCTION), takes place generally also for the Least Weighted Squares. That is why we define an estimator which will be an analogy of the estimator obtained by the Method of Instrumental Variables but which will weight down the residuals of those observations which seem to be atypical. For complex discussion see Hampel et al. (1986) or Rousseeuw and Leroy (1987).

**Definition 2** For any sequence of random vectors  $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset R^p$  the solution(s) of the (vector) equation

$$I\!N E_{Z,n}(\beta) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(\frac{\pi(\beta, i) - 1}{n}\right) Z_i\left(Y_i - X'_i\beta\right) = 0$$
(10)

will be called the Instrumental Weighted Variables estimator and denoted by  $\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,n,w)}$ .

**Remark 1** The elements of the sequence  $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  are usually called instruments. Without loss of generality we may assume that  $Z_{i1} = 1$  and  $\mathbb{E}Z_{ij} = 0, j = 2, 3, ..., p$  and i = 1, 2, ... We do not lose generality firstly, due to the fact that  $Z_{i1} = 1$  represents constants and hence they cannot be correlated with disturbances (in fact we have then  $Z_{i1} = X_{i1}$ ). Secondly, what concerns the assumption that  $\mathbb{E}Z_{ij} = 0, j = 2, 3, ..., p$ , if it would not be fulfilled, we can "move"  $\mathbb{E}Z_{ij}$  into the intercept of the original model (1).

For any  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  the distribution of the absolute value of residual will be denoted  $F_{\beta}(v)$ . In other words,

$$F_{\beta}(v) = P(|Y_1 - X_1'\beta| < v) = P\left(\left|e_1 - X_1'(\beta - \beta^0)\right| < v\right).$$
(11)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See also Čížek (2002) where the estimator is called the *Smoothed Least Trimmed Squares*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Compare Hájek, Šidák (1967).

Similarly, for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  the empirical distribution of the absolute value of residual will be denoted  $F_{\beta}^{(n)}(v)$ . It means that, denoting the indicator of a set A by  $I\{A\}$ , we have

$$F_{\beta}^{(n)}(v) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I\{|r_j(\beta)| < v\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I\{|e_j - X'_j\beta| < v\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I\{\omega \in \Omega : |e_j(\omega) - X'_j(\omega)\beta| < v\}.$$
(12)

It is straightforward that then (for details see Víšek (2006b))

$$F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_i(\beta)|) = \frac{\pi(\beta, i) - 1}{n}$$

and so (10) can be written as

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_i(\beta)|)\right) Z_i\left(Y_i - X_i'\beta\right) = 0.$$
(13)

#### CONSISTENCY OF THE INSTRUMENTAL WEIGHTED VARIABLES

We will need also the following notation. For any  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  the distribution of the product  $\beta' Z X' \beta$  will be denoted  $F_{\beta' Z X' \beta}(u)$ , i. e.

$$F_{\beta'ZX'\beta}(u) = P(\beta'ZX'\beta < u) \tag{14}$$

and similarly as in previous, the corresponding empirical distribution will be denoted  $F^{(n)}_{\beta'ZX'\beta}(u)$ , so that

$$F_{\beta'ZX'\beta}^{(n)}(u) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I\left\{\beta'Z_jX'_j\beta < u\right\} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} I\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \beta'Z_jX'_j\beta < u\right\}.$$
 (15)

For any  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  and any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  put

$$\gamma_{\zeta,a} = \sup_{\|\beta\|=\zeta} F_{\beta'ZX'\beta}(a).$$
(16)

Notice please that due to the fact that the surface of the ball  $\{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p, \|\beta\| = \zeta\}$  is compact, there is  $\beta_{\gamma} \in \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p, \|\beta\| = \zeta\}$  so that

$$\gamma_{\zeta,a} = F_{\beta_{\gamma}' Z X \beta_{\gamma}}(a). \tag{17}$$

For any  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^+$  let us denote

$$\tau_{\zeta} = -\inf_{\|\beta\| \le \zeta} \beta' I\!\!E \left[ Z_1 X_1' \cdot I\{\beta' Z_1 X_1' \beta < 0\} \right] \beta.$$
(18)

Notice please that  $\tau_{\zeta} \geq 0$  and that again due to the fact that the ball  $\{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p, \|\beta\| \leq \zeta\}$  is compact, the infimum is finite, and hence there is a  $\tilde{\beta} \in \{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p, \|\beta\| \leq \zeta\}$  so that

$$\tau_{\zeta} = -\tilde{\beta}' I\!\!E \left[ Z_1 X_1' \cdot I\{ \tilde{\beta}' Z_1 X_1' \tilde{\beta} < 0 \} \right] \tilde{\beta}.$$
<sup>(19)</sup>

**C3** The instrumental variables  $\{Z_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty} \subset R^p$  are independent and identically distributed with distribution function  $F_Z(z)$ . Moreover, they are independent from the sequence  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ . Further, the joint distribution function  $F_{X,Z}(x, z)$  is absolutely continuous,  $I\!\!E\left\{w(F_{\beta^0}(|e_1|))Z_1X_1^T\right\}$  as well as  $I\!\!E Z_1Z_1^T$  are positive definite (one can compare C3 with Víšek (1998) where we considered instrumental M-estimators and the discussion of assumptions for M-instrumental variables was given) and there is q > 1 so that  $I\!\!E \{\|Z_1\| \cdot \|X_1\|\}^q < \infty$ . Finally, there is a > 0,  $b \in (0, 1)$  and  $\lambda > 0$  so that

$$a \cdot (b - \gamma_{\lambda,a}) \cdot w(b) > \tau_{\lambda} \tag{20}$$

for  $\gamma_{\lambda,a}$  and  $\tau_{\lambda}$  given by (27) and (38).

**Remark 2** Let us briefly discuss assumptions we have made. Let us recall that the Least Squares  $(\beta^{(LS,n)})$  are optimal only under normality of disturbances. Here the optimality means that they reach the lower Rao-Cramer bound (in multivariate Rao-Cramer lemma we consider the ordering of the covariance matrices in the sense of ordering the positive definite matrices). On the other hand, a small departure from normality may cause (and usually does) a large decrease of efficiency (see e.g. Fisher (1920), (1922)). So, without the assumption of normality of disturbances  $\hat{\beta}^{(LS,n)}$  is much worse, in fact they are the best unbiased estimator only in the class of linear unbiased estimators, for a discussion showing that restriction on linear estimators can be drastic see Hampel et al. (1986). Sometimes, however we may meet with the statement that we do not need necessarily the normality of disturbances, just because  $\hat{\beta}^{(LS,n)}$  is still (without normality) the best unbiased estimator in the class of linear unbiased estimators. And the restriction on the class of linear unbiased estimators is justified by a claim that we have to restrict ourselves on the class of linear estimators, as in the the class of linear unbiased estimators, the estimators are scale-and regression-eqivariant. Let us recall that having denoted M(n, p) the set of all matrices of type  $(n \times p)$  and recalling that the estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  can be considered as a mapping

$$\hat{\beta}(Y,X): M(n,p+1) \to R^p,$$

the estimator  $\hat{\beta}$  of  $\beta^0$  is called scale-equivariant, if for any  $c \in R^+, Y \in R^n$  and  $X \in M(n,p)$  we have

$$\hat{\beta}(cY,X) = c\hat{\beta}(Y,X)$$

and regression-equivariant if for any  $b \in \mathbb{R}^p, Y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $X \in M(n, p)$ 

$$\hat{\beta}(Y + Xb, X) = \hat{\beta}(Y, X) + b.$$

But, there are a lot of nonlinear estimators which are scale- and regression-equivariant. In the regression framework, the estimators as the Least Median of Squares, the Least Trimmed Squares or the Least Weighted Squares can serve as examples (for an interesting discussion of this topic see again Hampel et al. (1986), and also Bickel (1975) or Jurečková and Sen (1993)).)

Since LWS are also based on  $L_2$ -metric, we guess that they are approximately optimal for finite sample sizes under the (approximative) normality of disturbances, for some hint consult Mašíček (2003). As the present proposal of robustified instrumental variables is based on the same metric (due to the normal equations (10)), we can expect that the estimate can be approximately optimal under (approximative) normality of disturbances. But then our assumptions seem to be quite acceptable. The only assumption which deserve further discussion is the assumption (41). We are going to show that it is a restriction on the weight function w. Let us return to (27) (or to (29)). We have

$$\gamma_{\lambda,a} = F_{\beta_{\lambda}^T Z X^T \beta_{\lambda}}(a) = P\left(\beta_{\lambda}^T Z_1 X_1^T \beta_{\lambda} \le 0\right) + P\left(0 < \beta_{\lambda}^T Z_1 X_1^T \beta_{\lambda} \le a\right).$$

If we assume for a while  $Z_j = X_j$ , for any fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$  we have

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} F_{\beta_{\gamma}^T X X^T \beta_{\gamma}}(a) = 0 \tag{21}$$

but for  $\gamma_{\lambda,a}$  we have (again for fix  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$ )

$$\lim_{a \to \infty} F_{\beta_{\gamma}^T Z X^T \beta_{\gamma}}(a) = P\left(\beta_{\lambda}^T Z_1 X_1^T \beta_{\lambda} \le 0\right).$$
(22)

On the other hand, for any a > 0 we have

$$\gamma_{\lambda,a} < 1. \tag{23}$$

Now let us turn to  $\tau_{\lambda}$ . As

$$\mathbb{I\!E}\left|\beta^{T} Z_{1} X_{1}^{T} \beta\right| \leq \|\beta\|^{2} \mathbb{I\!E}\left\{\|Z_{1}\| \|X_{1}\|\right\} \leq \|\beta\|^{2} \mathbb{I\!E}\left\{\|Z_{1}\| \|X_{1}\|\right\}^{q} < \infty,$$

we have

$$\lim_{\|\beta\|\to 0} \left| \beta^T I\!\!E \left[ Z_1 X_1^T I\{\beta^T Z_1 X_1^T \beta < 0\} \right] \beta \right| = 0.$$
(24)

In other words,  $\tau_{\lambda}$  can be done arbitrary small (just selecting  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^+$  so that  $\|\lambda\|$  is small). It says that if  $w(b) \equiv 1$ , there is  $b \in (0,1) > \gamma_{\lambda,a}$  (even for any a > 0). It means that (21), (22), (23) and (24) indicate that (41) can be always fulfilled but we may have restricted possibility to depress the influence of "bad" observations.

C4 The vector equation

$$\beta^T I\!\!E \left[ w \left( F_\beta(|r_1(\beta)|) \right) Z_1 \left( e_1 - X_1^T \beta \right) \right] = 0$$
(25)

in the variable  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  has unique solution  $\beta^0 = 0$ .

**Lemma 1** Let the conditions C1, C2, C3 and C4 be fulfilled. Then any sequence  $\{\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,n,w)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of the solutions of normal equations  $I\!NE_{Z,n}(\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,n,w)}) = 0$  (see (10)) is weakly consistent.

For the proof see Víšek (2006b).

#### $\sqrt{n}$ -CONSISTENCY OF THE INSTRUMENTAL WEIGHTED VARIABLES

We will need to enlarge the previous conditions.

**NC1** The density  $f_{e|X}(r|X_1 = x)$  is uniformly with respect to x Lipschitz of the first order (with the corresponding constant equal to  $B_e$ ). Moreover,  $f'_e(r)$  exists and is bounded in absolute value by  $U'_e$ .

**NC2** The derivative  $w'(\alpha)$  of the weight function is Lipschitz of the first order (with the corresponding constant  $J_w$ ).

**Lemma 2** Let the conditions C1, C2, C3, C4, NC1 and NC2 be fulfilled. Then any sequence  $\{\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,n,w)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  of the solutions of normal equations (10) (or (13))  $INE_{Z,n}(\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,n,w)}) = 0$  is  $\sqrt{n}$ -consistent.

#### **Proof:**

Throughout the proof for any  $r, s \in R$  we shall denote by  $[r, s]_{ord} = [\min\{r, s\}, \max\{r, s\}]$  and the same will be true for any other type of intervals, i.e.  $(r, s)_{ord}, (r, s]_{ord}$  and  $[r, s)_{ord}$ .

Let us recall that  $\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,n,w)}$  is given as solution of (13), i. e. as solution of the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_i(\beta)|)\right) Z_i\left(Y_i - X_i'\beta\right) = 0.$$

Rewriting it, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) Z_{i}e_{i} = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) Z_{i}X_{i}' \cdot \sqrt{n}\left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right).$$
(26)

Since w' is bounded from below by  $-L_w$ , we have

$$\sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left| w \left( F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_i(\beta)|) \right) - w \left( F_{\beta}(|r_i(\beta)|) \right) \right| \le L_w \cdot \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^+} \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left| F_{\beta}^{(n)}(v) - F_{\beta}(v) \right|$$

Then according to Lemma A.1

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ w \left( F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) - w \left( F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) \right] Z_{i} e_{i} \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| w \left( F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) - w \left( F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) \right| \cdot \|Z_{i}\| \cdot |e_{i}|$$

$$\leq \sqrt{n} \cdot L_{w} \cdot \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^{+}} \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{p}} \left| F_{\beta}^{(n)}(v) - F_{\beta}(v) \right| \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|Z_{i}\| \cdot |e_{i}| = \mathcal{O}_{p}(1)$$

$$\exp \left( \operatorname{denoting} X = (X_{i}, X_{i}, \dots, X_{i})', Z = (Z_{i}, Z_{i}, \dots, Z_{i})', \text{and } a = (a_{i}, a_{i}, \dots, a_{i})' \right)$$

aa  $n \to \infty$ . Hence (denoting  $X = (X_1, X_2, ..., X_n)', Z = (Z_1, Z_2, ..., Z_n)'$  and  $e = (e_1, e_2, ..., e_n)'$ )

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) Z_{i}e_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) Z_{i}e_{i} + R_{n}^{(1)}(\beta, X, Z, e)$$
(27)

where

$$\sup_{\beta \in R^p} \left\| R_n^{(1)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right\| = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$$

and  $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$  is to be understood in the sense that

$$\forall (\varepsilon > 0) \; \exists (K_{\varepsilon} < \infty) \; \inf_{n \in N} P\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{\beta \in R^{p}} \left\|R_{n}^{(1)}(\beta, X, Z, e)\right\| < K_{\varepsilon}\right\}\right) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$
(28)

Notice please, that to keep equality in (27),  $R_n^{(1)}(\beta, X, Z, e)$  does have to depend on  $\beta, X, Z, e$  and on n. Similarly

$$\frac{1}{n} \sup_{\beta \in R^p} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n \left[ w \left( F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_i(\beta)|) \right) - w \left( F_{\beta}(|r_i(\beta)|) \right) \right] Z_i X_i' \right\|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{n} \sup_{\beta \in R^{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| w \left( F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) - w \left( F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) \right| \cdot \|Z_{i}\| \cdot \|X_{i}\|$$
$$\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{ L_{w} \cdot \sup_{v \in R^{+}} \sup_{\beta \in R^{p}} \sqrt{n} \left| F_{\beta}^{(n)}(v) - F_{\beta}(v) \right| \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|Z_{i}\| \cdot \|X_{i}\| \right\} = o_{p}(1)$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta}^{(n)}(|r_i(\beta)|)\right) Z_i X_i' = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta}(|r_i(\beta)|)\right) Z_i X_i' + R_n^{(2)}(\beta, X, Z, e)$$
(29)

where

$$\sup_{\beta \in R^p} \left\| R_n^{(2)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right\| = o_p(1)$$

and  $o_p(1)$  is to be understood in the sense that

$$\forall (\varepsilon > 0, \delta > 0) \quad \exists (n_0 \in N) \quad \forall (n > n_0)$$

$$P\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{\beta \in R^p} \left\| R_n^{(2)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right\| < \delta \right\}\right) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$
(30)

Notice please, that again to keep equality in (29),  $R_n^{(2)}(\beta, X, Z, e)$  does have to depend on  $\beta, X, Z, e$  and n. Finally, (26), (27) and (29) gives

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) Z_{i}e_{i} + R_{n}^{(1)}(\beta, X, Z, e)$$
$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[w\left(F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) Z_{i}X_{i}' + R_{n}^{(2)}(\beta, X, Z, e)\right] \cdot \sqrt{n} \left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right).$$
(31)

Further, let us make some preparatory considerations. Let us recall that by  $\mathbf{C1}$ 

$$F_{\beta}(v) = P\left(\left|e_1 - X_1'\left(\beta - \beta^0\right)\right| < v\right) = \int_{\{|r-x'(\beta-\beta^0)| < v\}} f_{X,e}(x,r) \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}r$$
$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{-v+x'(\beta-\beta^0)}^{v+x'(\beta-\beta^0)} f_{e|X}(r|X_1 = x) \mathrm{d}r\right] f_X(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$

Now, for any  $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p$  we have

$$F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^{0}}(v)$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})}^{v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})} f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) dr \right] f_{X}(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-v}^{v} f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) dr \right] f_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})}^{v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})} f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) dr - \int_{-v}^{v} f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) dr \right] f_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-v}^{-v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})} f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) dr - \int_{v}^{v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})} f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) dr \right] f_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-v}^{-v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})} f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) dr f_{X}(x) dx - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{v}^{v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})} f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) dr f_{X}(x) dx$$
(32)

(where the lower and upper bounds of the integrals should be changed if necessary). Now let us consider the first term of (32). It can be written as

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-v}^{-v+x'\left(\beta-\beta^{0}\right)} f_{e|X}(-v|X_{1}=x) \mathrm{d}r \right] f_{X}(x) \mathrm{d}x$$
(33)

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-v}^{-v+x'\left(\beta-\beta^{0}\right)} \left[ f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) - f_{e|X}(-v|X_{1}=x) \right] \mathrm{d}r \right\} f_{X}(x) \mathrm{d}x.$$
(34)

Now for  $r \in \left[-v, -v + x' \left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right)\right]_{ord}$ 

$$\left| f_{e|X}(r|X_1 = x) - f_{e|X}(-v|X_1 = x) \right| \le B_e \cdot \left| x' \left[ \beta - \beta^0 \right] \right|$$

where  $B_e$  is given in **NC1**. Then we have for (34) the bounds

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-v}^{-v+x'\left(\beta-\beta^{0}\right)} \left[ f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) - f_{e|X}(-v|X_{1}=x) \right] \mathrm{d}r f_{X}(x) \mathrm{d}x \right|$$

$$\leq B_{e} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| x' \left[ \beta - \beta^{0} \right] \right| \cdot \left| \int_{-v}^{-v+x'\left(\beta-\beta^{0}\right)} \mathrm{d}r \right| f_{X}(x) \mathrm{d}x = B_{e} \cdot \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ x' \left( \beta - \beta^{0} \right) \right]^{2} f_{X}(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

$$\leq B_{e} \cdot I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\| X_{1} \right\|^{2} \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^{0} \right\|^{2}. \tag{35}$$

Notice that the upper bound does not depend on v, i. e. the inequality holds for all  $v \in R^+$  (for  $v \in R^-$  we have  $F_{\beta}(v) = 0$  for any  $\beta \in R^p$ ). Moreover for (33) it holds

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-v}^{-v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})} f_{e|X}(-v|X_{1}=x) dr \right] f_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ f_{e|X}(-v|X_{1}=x) \int_{-v}^{-v+x'(\beta-\beta^{0})} dr \right] f_{X}(x) dx$$

$$= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ f_{e|X}(-v|X_{1}=x)x'\left(\beta-\beta^{0}\right) \right] f_{X}(x) dx = I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(-v|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} \left[ \beta-\beta^{0} \right]. \tag{36}$$

Deriving analogical inequalities as (35) and (36) for the second term of (32), i. e. analogies for

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{v}^{v+x'\left(\beta-\beta^{0}\right)} \left[ f_{e|X}(r|X_{1}=x) - f_{e|X}(v|X_{1}=x) \right] \mathrm{d}r f_{X}(x) \mathrm{d}x$$

and for

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{v}^{v+x'\left(\beta-\beta^{0}\right)} f_{e|X}(v|X_{1}=x) \mathrm{d}r \right] f_{X}(x) \mathrm{d}x,$$

we arrive at

$$\sup_{v \in R^{+}} \left| F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^{0}}(v) - \left[ I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(-v|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} - I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(v|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} \right] \left[ \beta - \beta^{0} \right] \right|$$

$$\leq 2B_e \cdot I\!\!E_{X_1} \left\| X_1 \right\|^2 \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^2 = \mathcal{O}(\left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^2) \quad \text{as} \quad \beta \to \beta^0.$$
(37)

The last inequality also implies that

$$\sup_{v \in R^+} \left| F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^0}(v) \right| = \mathcal{O}(\left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|)$$
(38)

in this case in the sense

$$\exists (K < \infty) \qquad \sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \sup_{v \in \mathbb{R}^+} \frac{\left| F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^0}(v) \right|}{\|\beta - \beta^0\|} < K$$
(39)

(keep in mind that for  $v \leq 0$   $F_{\beta}(v) = F_{\beta^0}(v) = 0$ ). Now, let us modify (31) as follows

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ w \left( F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) - w \left( F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) \right] Z_{i}e_{i} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w \left( F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) Z_{i}e_{i} + R_{n}^{(1)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \\
= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ w \left( F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) - w \left( F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) \right] \cdot Z_{i}X_{i}' \cdot \sqrt{n} \left( \beta - \beta^{0} \right) \\
+ \left[ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w \left( F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) Z_{i}X_{i}' + R_{n}^{(2)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right] \cdot \sqrt{n} \left( \beta - \beta^{0} \right).$$
(40)

To be able to treat the terms in (40) let us consider

$$w\left(F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) - w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) = w'(\xi_{i})\left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right]$$
$$= \left[w'(\xi_{i}) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right] \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right]$$
$$+ w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right]$$
(41)

where  $\xi_i \in \left[F_{\beta}(|r_i(\beta)|), F_{\beta^0}(|r_i(\beta)|)\right]_{ord}$ . Moreover, using  $J_w$  from **NC2** 

$$\left|w'(\xi_{i}) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right| \cdot \left|F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right|$$

$$\leq J_{w} \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right]^{2}$$

$$\leq J_{w} \cdot \sup_{v \in R^{+}} \left[F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^{0}}(v)\right]^{2} = \mathcal{O}(\left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\|^{2})$$
(42)

where the last equality is due to (38). Notice that, although the left-hand side of (42) is random, the last but one expression -  $J_w \cdot \sup_{v \in R^+} \left[F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^0}(v)\right]^2$  is not random. Hence the upper bound in (42) holds almost surely. It means that, taking into account (41) and (42), (40) can be rewritten as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right] + R_{ni}^{(3)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right\} Z_{i}e_{i}$$
(43)

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right)Z_{i}e_{i}+R_{n}^{(1)}(\beta,X,Z,e) \quad (44)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) \cdot \left[ F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right] + R_{ni}^{(4)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right\} \cdot Z_{i}X_{i}' \cdot \sqrt{n} \left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right)$$
(45)

+ 
$$\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) Z_{i}X_{i}' + R_{n}^{(2)}(\beta, X, Z, e)\right] \cdot \sqrt{n}\left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right)$$
 (46)

where

$$\sup_{\beta \in R^p} \left| R_{ni}^{(3)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right| = \mathcal{O}(\left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^2) \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{\beta \in R^p} \left| R_{ni}^{(4)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right| = \mathcal{O}(\left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^2).$$
(47)

Here the previous two expressions  $\mathcal{O}(\|\beta - \beta^0\|^2)$  mean that

$$\exists (\tilde{K} < \infty) \quad \sup_{n \in N} \sup_{i \in N} \sup_{\beta \in R^p} \frac{\left| R_{ni}^{(k)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right|}{\left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^2} < \tilde{K} \qquad k = 3, 4 \quad a.s.$$
(48)

although  $R_{ni}^{(k)}(\beta, X, Z, e)$  are random variables (see again (42) and the comments which follow). Let us consider (43), at first the "second term", i. e.  $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{ni}^{(3)}(\beta, X, Z, e) Z_i e_i$ . We have

$$\left\|\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}R_{ni}^{(3)}(\beta, X, Z, e)Z_{i}e_{i}\right\| = \sqrt{n}\left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\| \cdot \mathcal{O}_{p}\left(\left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\|\right)\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|Z_{i}\right\| \cdot \left|e_{i}\right|$$
$$= \sqrt{n}\left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\| \cdot \mathcal{O}_{p}\left(\left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\|\right).$$

The same is true about the "second term" in (45), since

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} R_{ni}^{(4)}(\beta, X, Z, e) Z_i X_i' \le \tilde{K} \cdot \left\|\beta - \beta^0\right\| \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|Z_i\| \cdot \|X_i\| = \mathcal{O}_p(\left\|\beta - \beta^0\right\|).$$

So, the relations given between (43) and (46) can be modified to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right] \cdot Z_{i}e_{i}$$
(49)

$$+\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n}w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right)\cdot Z_{i}e_{i}+R_{n}^{(1)}(\beta,X,Z,e) \quad (50)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right] \cdot Z_{i}X'_{i} \cdot \sqrt{n} \left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right)$$
(51)

$$+\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right)Z_{i}X_{i}'+R_{n}^{(2)}(\beta,X,Z,e)+R_{n}^{(5)}(\beta,X,Z,e)\right]\cdot\sqrt{n}\left(\beta-\beta^{0}\right)$$
(52)

where for  $R_n^{(2)}(\beta, X, Z, e)$  see (29) and (30) and again

$$\sup_{\beta \in R^p} \frac{\left\| R_n^{(5)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right\|}{\left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|} = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$$
(53)

in the sense of (48). Now, we are going to study (49), (50), (51) and (52) one by one. Recalling that, according to (11),  $F_{\beta^0}(v) = P(|Y_1 - X'_1\beta^0| < v) = P(|e_1| < v) = P(-v < e_1 < v)$ , for any pair  $v_1, v_2 \in R$ , assuming that  $0 \le v_1 < v_2$ , we have

$$F_{\beta^{0}}(v_{2}) - F_{\beta^{0}}(v_{1}) = P(|e_{1}| < v_{2}) - P(|e_{1}| < v_{1}) = P(-v_{2} < e_{1} \le -v_{1}) + P(v_{1} \le e_{1} < v_{2})$$

$$\le 2 \cdot B_{e} \cdot |v_{1} - v_{2}|$$
(54)

(for  $B_e$  see **NC1**), so that

$$\left|F_{\beta^{0}}(r_{i}(\beta)) - F_{\beta^{0}}(r_{i}(\beta^{0}))\right| \leq 2 \cdot B_{e} \cdot \left\|X_{i}\right\| \cdot \left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\|$$

and, due to **NC2** and the fact that  $||a| - |b|| \le |a - b|$ ,

$$\left| w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)) \right| \leq J_{w} \cdot B_{e} \cdot \|X_{i}\| \cdot \left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\|.$$
(55)

It means that, employing also (38),

$$\left| w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)) \right| \cdot \left| F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right| \leq \left| w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)) \right| \cdot \sup_{v \in R^{+}} \left| F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^{0}}(v) \right| \leq J_{w} \cdot B_{e} \cdot \left\| X_{i} \right\| \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^{0} \right\| \cdot \sup_{v \in R^{+}} \left| F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^{0}}(v) \right| = \left\| X_{i} \right\| \cdot \mathcal{O}(\left\| \beta - \beta^{0} \right\|^{2}). \tag{56}$$

Let us again repeat that, denoting

$$R_n^{(6)}(\beta) = J_w \cdot B_e \cdot \left\|\beta - \beta^0\right\| \cdot \sup_{v \in R^+} \left|F_\beta(v) - F_{\beta^0}(v)\right|,$$

the last equality in (56) means that:

$$\exists (K < \infty) \quad \sup_{n \in N} \sup_{\beta \in R^p} \frac{\left| R_n^{(6)}(\beta) \right|}{\|\beta - \beta^0\|^2} < K.$$

Finally,

$$w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)\right]$$
  
=  $w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)) \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right] + ||X_{i}|| \cdot R_{n}^{(7)}(\beta)$ 

where  $\left| R_n^{(7)}(\beta) \right| \le \left| R_n^{(6)}(\beta) \right|$  for any  $\beta \in R^p$ , i. e.

$$\sup_{\beta \in R^p} \frac{\left| R_n^{(7)}(\beta) \right|}{\left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^2} = \mathcal{O}(1), \tag{57}$$

again in the sense described in (48). Hence (49) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)) \cdot \left[ F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right] + \|X_{i}\| \cdot R_{n}^{(7)}(\beta) \right\} \cdot Z_{i}e_{i}.$$

As

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|X_i\| \cdot \|Z_i\| \cdot |e_i| \cdot R_n^{(7)}(\beta) = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|X_i\| \cdot \|Z_i\| \cdot |e_i| \cdot \sqrt{n} \left\|\beta - \beta^0\right\| \cdot \frac{R_n^{(7)}(\beta)}{\|\beta - \beta^0\|},$$

taking into account (57), we can finally write (49) as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)) \cdot \left[ F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right] \right\} \cdot Z_{i} \cdot e_{i} + \sqrt{n} \left( \beta - \beta^{0} \right) \cdot R_{n}^{(8)}(\beta, X, Z, e)$$
(58)

where

$$\sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \frac{\left| R_n^{(8)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right|}{\|\beta - \beta^0\|} = \mathcal{O}_p(1),$$

of course again in the sense described in (48). Now, recalling that

$$I\!\!E_{X_1}\left\{f_{e|X}(v|X_1)X_1\right\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{e|X}(v|X_1 = x)xf_X(x)dx$$

and the fact that  $f_{e|X}(v|X_1 = x)$  is Lipschitz (with the corresponding constant  $B_e$ , see **NC1**), we easy verify that

$$\left\| \mathbb{E}_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(v_{1}|X_{1})X_{1} \right\} - \mathbb{E}_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(v_{2}|X_{1})X_{1} \right\} \right\|$$
  
$$= \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ f_{e|X}(v_{1}|X_{1}=x) - f_{e|X}(v_{2}|X_{1}=x) \right] x f_{X}(x) dx \right\|$$
  
$$\leq B_{e} \cdot |v_{1} - v_{2}| \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{X}(x) dx \right\| = B_{e} \cdot |v_{1} - v_{2}| \cdot \mathbb{E}_{X_{1}} \|X_{1}\|$$

and hence

$$\left| \left[ I\!\!E_{X_1} \left\{ f_{e|X}(r_i(\beta)|X_1)X_1' \right\} - I\!\!E_{X_1} \left\{ f_{e|X}(r_i(\beta^0)|X_1)X_1' \right\} \right] \cdot \left[ \beta - \beta^0 \right] \right| \\ \leq B_e \cdot I\!\!E_{X_1} \left\{ \left| r_i(\beta) - r_i(\beta^0) \right| \cdot \|X_1\| \right\} \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\| \leq B_e \cdot I\!\!E_{X_1} \|X_1\|^2 \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^2.$$

Together with (37) the last equality implies that

$$\left| F_{\beta}(r_{i}(\beta)) - F_{\beta^{0}}(r_{i}(\beta)) - \left[ I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(-e_{i}|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} - I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(e_{i}|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} \right] \left[ \beta - \beta^{0} \right] \right| \\ \leq 4 \cdot B_{e} \max \left\{ I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \|X_{1}\|^{2}, 1 \right\} \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^{0} \right\|^{2}.$$
(59)

So, we found that (49) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)) \cdot \left[ E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(-e_{i}|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} - I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(e_{i}|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} \right] \cdot \left[ \beta - \beta^{0} \right] \cdot Z_{i} \cdot e_{i} + R_{n}^{(9)}(\beta, X, Z, e)\sqrt{n} \left[ \beta - \beta^{0} \right]$$
(60)

where again

$$\sup_{\beta \in R^p} \frac{\left| R_n^{(9)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right|}{\|\beta - \beta^0\|} = \mathcal{O}_p(1),$$

in the sense of (48). That concludes the considerations about (49).

Let us turn to (50). Recalling that  $r_i(\beta) - r_i(\beta^0) = X'_i(\beta - \beta^0)$ ,  $r_i(\beta^0) = e_i$  and that  $F_{\beta^0}(v) = F_e(v) - F_e(-v)$ ,

we have

$$F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|) = F_{e}(r_{i}(\beta)) - F_{e}(-r_{i}(\beta)) - F_{e}(r_{i}(\beta^{0}))) + F_{e}(-r_{i}(\beta^{0})) = \left(f_{e}(r_{i}(\beta^{0})) - f_{e}(-r_{i}(\beta^{0}))\right) \cdot \left(r_{i}(\beta) - r_{i}(\beta^{0})\right) + \frac{1}{2}f'_{e}(\theta_{i}) \cdot \left(r_{i}(\beta) - r_{i}(\beta^{0})\right)^{2} = \left(f_{e}(e_{i}) - f_{e}(-e_{i})\right) \cdot X'_{i}\left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right) + \frac{1}{2}f'_{e}(\theta_{i}) \cdot \left[X'_{i}\left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right)\right]^{2}$$

where  $\theta_i$  is an appropriate point from  $[r_i(\beta), r_i(\beta^0)]_{ord}$ . Since  $|f'_e(v)|$  is bounded by  $U'_e$  (see **NC1**), we have

$$\left|F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|) - \left(f_{e}(e_{i}) - f_{e}(-e_{i})\right) \cdot X_{i}'\left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right)\right| \leq U_{e}' \cdot \|X_{i}\|^{2} \cdot \left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\|^{2}$$
(61)

and also

$$\left|F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|))\right| \leq U_{e} \cdot \|X_{i}\| \cdot \left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\|$$

$$\tag{62}$$

(for  $U_e$  see C1 and the remark below C1). Then

$$\begin{split} w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right) - w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)\right) \Big] \cdot Z_{i}e_{i} &= w'(\xi_{i})\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)\right) \cdot Z_{i}e_{i} \\ &= \left[w'(\xi_{i}) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|))\right] \cdot \left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)\right) \cdot Z_{i}e_{i} \\ &+ w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|))\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)\right) \cdot Z_{i}e_{i} \end{split}$$

where  $\xi_i$  is again an appropriate point from  $\left[F_{\beta^0}(|r_i(\beta)|), F_{\beta^0}(|r_i(\beta^0)|)\right]_{ord}$ . Due to (55) and (62), we have

$$\left|w'(\xi_{i}) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|))\right| \cdot \left|F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|)\right| = J_{w} \cdot B_{e} \cdot U_{e} \cdot \|X_{i}\|^{2} \cdot \|\beta - \beta^{0}\|^{2}$$

and hence, due to (61),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[ w \left( F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right) - w \left( F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta^{0})|) \right) \right] \cdot Z_{i} e_{i}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)) \left[ \left( f_{e}(e_{i}) - f_{e}(-e_{i}) \right) \cdot X'_{i} \left( \beta - \beta^{0} \right) \right] \cdot Z_{i} e_{i} + R_{n}^{(10)} \left( \beta, X, Z, e \right) \sqrt{n} \left( \beta - \beta^{0} \right)$$
(63)

with  $\sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left| R_n^{(10)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right| = \mathcal{O}_p(\|\beta - \beta^0\|)$  again with the sense described in previous. It implies that (50) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ w \left( F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|) \right) + w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)) \left[ \left( f_{e}(e_{i}) - f_{e}(-e_{i}) \right) \cdot X_{i}' \left( \beta - \beta^{0} \right) \right] \right\} \cdot Z_{i} e_{i} + R_{n}^{(10)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \sqrt{n} \left( \beta - \beta^{0} \right).$$
(64)

So, we may conclude the considerations about (49) and (50) and to write them as the sum of three terms, namely

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)\right) \cdot Z_{i}e_{i},\tag{65}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)) \left\{ \left[ \left( f_{e}(e_{i}) - f_{e}(-e_{i}) \right) \cdot X_{i}' \right] + \left[ E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(-e_{i}|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} - I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(e_{i}|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} \right] \right\} \left[ \beta - \beta^{0} \right] \cdot Z_{i}e_{i} \quad (66)$$

and

$$R_n^{(9)}(\beta, X, Z, e) + R_n^{(10)}(\beta, X, Z, e)$$
(67)

where

$$\sup_{\beta \in R^p} \frac{\left| R_n^{(9)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right| + \left| R_n^{(10)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right|}{\|\beta - \beta^0\|} = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$$

in the sense of (48). Moreover, (66) can be written as follows.

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)) \left\{ \left[ f_{e}(e_{i}) - I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(e_{i}|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} \right] - \left[ f_{e}(-e_{i}) - I\!\!E_{X_{1}} \left\{ f_{e|X}(-e_{i}|X_{1})X_{1}' \right\} \right] \right\} \cdot \left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right) \cdot Z_{i}e_{i}.$$
(68)

Notice that due to CLT, (65) is  $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ . Further, let us recall that under the assumptions of the lemma,  $\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,n,w)}$  is consistent, i. e.  $\|\hat{\beta}^{(IWS,n,w)} - \beta^0\| = o_p(1)$ , see Lemma 1. Then plugging  $\hat{\beta}^{(IWS,n,w)}$  into (67) and (68), we find that both expressions are  $o_p(1)$ . Finally we conclude that when plugging in left hand side of normal equations  $\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,n,w)}$ , we get  $\mathcal{O}_p(1)$ .

Let us continue with (51). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right] \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|))\right] \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)) \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right] \end{aligned}$$

and since, due to (38), (55) and due to existence of  $I\!\!E ||X_1||$ ,

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)) \right| \cdot \left| F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) \right| \\
\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)) \right| \cdot \sup_{v \in R^{+}} \left| F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^{0}}(v) \right| \\
\leq J_{w} \cdot B_{e} \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^{0} \right\| \cdot \sup_{v \in R^{+}} \left| F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^{0}}(v) \right| \cdot \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|X_{i}\|,$$

we have (for K see (39))

$$\left\|\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left[w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)) - w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|))\right] \cdot \left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|) - F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right] \cdot Z_{i} \cdot X_{i}'\right\|$$
  
$$\leq J_{w} \cdot B_{e} \cdot K \cdot \left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\| \cdot \sup_{v \in R^{+}} \left|F_{\beta}(v) - F_{\beta^{0}}(v)\right| \cdot \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} \|X_{i}\| \cdot \|Z_{i}\| = \mathcal{O}_{p}(\left\|\beta - \beta^{0}\right\|^{2})$$

again in a uniform sense described in (48). Hence (51) can be written as

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|))\cdot\left[F_{\beta}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)-F_{\beta^{0}}(|r_{i}(\beta)|)\right]Z_{i}X_{i}'+R_{n}^{(11)}(\beta,X,Z,e)$$
(69)

where  $\sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ \left\| R_n^{(11)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right\| \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^{-2} \right\} = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$  (again in the previously explained sense). Taking into account (37), we conclude that (51) can be written as

$$\left\{\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{w'(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|))\cdot\left[I\!\!E_{X_{1}}\left\{f_{e|X}(-v|X_{1})X_{1}'\right\}\right] - I\!\!E_{X_{1}}\left\{f_{e|X}(v|X_{1})X_{1}'\right\}\right]\cdot Z_{i}X_{i}'\right\}\left[\beta - \beta^{0}\right] + R_{n}^{(12)}(\beta, X, Z, e)\right\}\cdot\sqrt{n}\left[\beta - \beta^{0}\right] (70)$$

where again  $\sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ \left\| R_n^{(12)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right\| \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^{-2} \right\} = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$ . It remains to study (52). Along similar lines as in previous we arrive at

$$\left[\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)\right) Z_{i}X_{i}' + R_{n}^{(13)}(\beta, X, Z, e)\right] \cdot \sqrt{n}\left(\beta - \beta^{0}\right)$$
(71)

where again  $\sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\{ \left\| R_n^{(13)}(\beta, X, Z, e) \right\| \cdot \left\| \beta - \beta^0 \right\|^{-2} \right\} = \mathcal{O}_p(1)$ . Now, taking into account (70) and (71), we conclude that (51) and (52) can be given for  $\beta = \hat{\beta}^{(IWV,T,w)}$  as

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} w \left( F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|) \right) Z_{i} X_{i}' \cdot \sqrt{n} \left( \hat{\beta}^{(IWV,T,w)} - \beta^{0} \right) + R_{n}^{(14)}(\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,T,w)}, X, Z, e)$$

$$\| \mathcal{D}^{(14)}(\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,T,w)}, X, Z, e) \|_{\mathcal{D}^{(14)}(\mathbb{R}^{3})} = 0$$

with  $\sup_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}^p} \left\| R_n^{(14)}(\hat{\beta}^{(IWV,T,w)}, X, Z, e) \right\| = o_p(1)$ . Since

$$\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n} w\left(F_{\beta^{0}}(|e_{i}|)\right) Z_{i}X_{i}^{\prime}$$

$$\tag{72}$$

converges in probability to a regular matrix, taking into account (65), (66), (67), (70) and (72) and employing Lemma A.2, we conclude the proof of the present lemma.  $\Box$ 

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to express our gratitude to the anonymous referee for carefully reading the manuscript. In fact, a lot of improvements is due to him/her.

#### Appendix

**Lemma A.1** Let the conditions C1 hold and fix arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is a constant  $K < \infty$ and  $n_{\varepsilon} \in N$  so that for all  $n > n_{\varepsilon}$ 

$$P\left(\left\{\omega \in \Omega : \sup_{v \in R^+} \sup_{\beta \in R^p} \sqrt{n} \left| F_{\beta}^{(n)}(v) - F_{\beta}(v) \right| < K \right\}\right) > 1 - \varepsilon.$$
(A.73)

For the **proof** of lemma see Víšek (2006a).

**Lemma A.2** Let for some  $p \in N$ ,  $\left\{\mathcal{V}^{(n)}\right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ ,  $\mathcal{V}^{(n)} = \left\{v_{ij}^{(n)}\right\}_{i=1,2,...,p}^{j=1,2,...,p}$  be a sequence of  $(p \times p)$  matrixes such that for i = 1, 2, ..., p and j = 1, 2, ..., p

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} v_{ij}^{(n)} = q_{ij} \qquad in \ probability \tag{A.74}$$

where  $Q = \{q_{ij}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,p}^{j=1,2,\dots,p}$  is a fixed nonrandom regular matrix. Moreover, let  $\{\theta^{(n)}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a sequence of p-dimensional random vectors such that

$$\exists \ (\varepsilon > 0) \ \forall \ (K > 0) \ \limsup_{n \to \infty} P\left( \|\theta^{(n)}\| > K \right) > \varepsilon.$$

Then

$$\exists (\delta > 0) \quad \forall (H > 0)$$

so that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} P\left( \left\| \mathcal{V}^{(n)} \theta^{(n)} \right\| > H \right) > \delta.$$

**Proof:** Due to (A.74) the matrix  $\mathcal{V}^{(n)}$  is regular in probability. Let then  $0 < \lambda_{1n} < \lambda_{2n} < ... < \lambda_{pn}$  and  $z_{1n}, z_{2n}, ..., z_{pn}$  be eigenvalues and corresponding eigenvectors (selected to be mutually orthogonal) of the matrix  $[\mathcal{V}^{(n)}]^T \mathcal{V}^{(n)}$ . Let us write  $\theta^{(n)} = \sum_{j=1}^p a_{jn} z_{jn}$  (for an appropriate vector  $a_n = (a_{1n}, a_{1n}, ..., a_{pn})^T$ ). Then we have

$$\left\| \mathcal{V}^{(n)} \theta^{(n)} \right\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p [a_{jn}]^2 \lambda_{jn} \|z_{jn}\|^2 \le \lambda_{1n} \|\theta^{(n)}\|.$$
(A.75)

Moreover, denoting  $\lambda_1$  the smallest eigenvalue of the matrix  $Q^T Q$ , we have  $\lambda_{1n} \to \lambda_1$  in probability as  $n \to \infty$ . The assertion of the lemma then follows from (A.75), see also Víšek (1996) or (2002a).

#### References

Arellano, M., S. Bond (1991): Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations. *Review of Economic Studies 58, 277 - 297.* 

Arellano, M., O. Bover (1995): Another look at the instrumental variables estimation of error components models. *Journal of Econometrics*, 68, 1, 29 - 52.

Čížek, P. (2002): Robust estimation with discrete explanatory variables. *COMPSTAT 2002, Berlin, 509 - 514.* 

Cochrane, D., G. H. Orcutt (1949): Application of least squares regression to relationhips containing autocorrelated error terms. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 44, 32 - 61.

Der, G., B. S. Everitt (2002): A Handbook of Statistical Analyses using SAS. Boca Raton: Chapman and Hall/CRC Press.

Fox, J. (2002): An R and S-PLUS Companion to Applied Regression. Thousand Oaks: SAGE Publications.

Hájek, J., Z. Šidák (1967): Theory of Rank Test. New York: Academic Press.

Hampel, F. R., E. M. Ronchetti, P. J. Rousseeuw, W. A. Stahel (1986): Robust Statistics – The Approach Based on Influence Functions. New York: J.Wiley & Sons.

Judge, G. G., W. E. Griffiths, R. C. Hill, H. Lutkepohl, T. C. Lee (1985): *The Theory and Practice of Econometrics*. New York: J.Wiley & Sons(second edition).

Prais, S. J., C. B. Winsten (1954): Trend estimators and serial correlation. *Cowless Commission Discussion Paper No 383, Chicago.* 

Rousseeuw, P. J., A. M. Leroy (1987): Robust Regression and Outlier Detection. New York: J.Wiley & Sons.

Sargan, J.D. (1988): Testing for misspecification after estimating using instrumental variables. In Massouumi, E. (ed.) Contribution to Econometrics: John Denis Sargan, Vol. 1, Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Víšek, J. Á. (1996): Sensitivity analysis of *M*-estimates. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics, 48, 469-495.

Víšek, J. Á. (1998): Robust instruments. Robust'98 (eds. Jaromír Antoch & Gejza Dohnal, published by Union of Czech Mathematicians and Physicists), Prague: matfyzpress 195 - 224.

Víšek, J. A. (2000): Regression with high breakdown point. Robust 2000 (eds. Jaromír Antoch & Gejza Dohnal, published by Union of Czech Mathematicians and Physicists), Prague: matfyzpress 324 - 356.

Víšek, J. A. (2002a): Sensitivity analysis of *M*-estimates of nonlinear regression model: Influence of data subsets. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics, Vol. 54, No.2, 261 - 290, 2002.

Víšek, J. Á. (2002b): The least weighted squares I. The asymptotic linearity of normal equations. Bulletin of the Czech Econometric Society, Vol. 9, no. 15, 31 - 58.

Víšek, J. Á. (2002c): The least weighted squares II. Consistency and asymptotic normality. Bulletin of the Czech Econometric Society, Vol. 9, no. 16, 1 - 28.

Víšek, J. Á. (2006a): Kolmogorov-Smirnov statistics in multiple regression. Proceedings of the RO-BUST 2006, organized by JČMF and KPMS MFF UK, eds. Jaromír Antoch & Gejza Dohnal, Prague: matfyzpress, 367-374.

Víšek, J. Á. (2006b): The instrumental weighted variables. Part I. Consistency. Preprint.

### **IES Working Paper Series**

#### 2006

- 1. Martin Gregor: *Globální, americké, panevropské a národní rankingy ekonomických pracovišť*
- 2. Ondřej Schneider: Pension Reform in the Czech Republic: Not a Lost Case?
- 3. Ondřej Knot and Ondřej Vychodil: *Czech Bankruptcy Procedures: Ex-Post Efficiency View*
- 4. Adam Geršl: *Development of formal and informal institutions in the Czech Republic and other new EU Member States before the EU entry: did the EU pressure have impact?*
- 5. Jan Zápal: *Relation between Cyclically Adjusted Budget Balance and Growth Accounting Method of Deriving 'Net fiscal Effort'*
- 6. Roman Horváth: *Mezinárodní migrace obyvatelstva v České republice: Role likviditních omezení*
- 7. Michal Skořepa: Zpochybnění deskriptivnosti teorie očekávaného užitku
- 8. Adam Geršl: *Political Pressure on Central Banks: The Case of the Czech National Bank*
- 9. Luděk Rychetník: Čtyři mechanismy příjmové diferenciace
- 10. Jan Kodera, Karel Sladký, Miloslav Vošvrda: *Neo-Keynesian and Neo-Classical Macroeconomic Models: Stability and Lyapunov Exponents*
- 11. Petr Jakubík: Does Credit Risk Vary with Economic Cycles? The Case of Finland
- 12. Julie Chytilová, Natálie Reichlová: *Systémy s mnoha rozhodujícími se jedinci v teoriích F. A. Hayeka a H. A. Simona*
- 13. Jan Zápal, Ondřej Schneider: *What Are Their Words Worth? Political Plans And Economic Pains Of Fiscal Consolidations In New Eu Member States*
- 14. Jiří Hlaváček, Michal Hlaváček: *Poptávková funkce na trhu s pojištěním: porovnání maximalizace paretovské pravděpodobnosti přežití s teorií EUT von-Neumanna a Morgensterna a s prospektovou teorií Kahnemana a Tverského*
- 15. Karel Janda, Martin Čajka: *Státní podpora českého zemědělského úvěru v období před vstupem do Evropské unie*
- 16. Nauro F. Campos, Roman Horváth: *Reform Redux: Measurement, Determinants and Reversals*
- 17. Michal Skořepa: *Three heuristics of search for a low price when initial information about the market is obsolete*
- 18. Michal Bauer, Julie Chytilová: *Opomíjená heterogenita lidí aneb Proč afrika dlouhodobě neroste*
- 19. Vít Bubák, Filip Žikeš: *The Price of Stock Trades: Evidence from the Prague Stock Exchange*
- 20. Vladimír Benáček, Jiří Podpiera a Ladislav Prokop: *Command Economy after the Shocks of Opening up: The Factors of Adjustment and Specialisation in the Czech Trade*

- 21. Lukáš Vácha, Miloslav Vošvrda: *Wavelet Applications to Heterogeneous Agents Model*
- 22. Lukáš Vácha, Miloslav Vošvrda: *"Morální hazard" a "nepříznivý výběr" při maximalizaci pravděpodobnosti ekonomického přežití*
- 23. Michal Bauer, Julie Chytilová, Pavel Streblov: *Effects of Education on Determinants* of High Desired Fertility Evidence from Ugandan Villages
- 24. Karel Janda: Lender and Borrower as Principal and Agent
- 25. Karel Janda: Optimal Deterministic Debt Contracts
- 26. Jiří Hlaváček: Pojištění vkladů: současný stav, srovnání a perspektiva v kontextu EU
- 27. Pavel Körner: *The determinants of corporate debt maturity structure: evidence from Czech firms*
- 28. Jarko Fidrmuc, Roman Horváth: *Credibility of Exchange Rate Policies in Selected EU New Members: Evidence from High Frequency Data*
- 29. Natálie Reichlová, Petr Švarc: Strategic Referring in Labor Market Social Networks
- 30. František Turnovec: *Publication Portfolio of the Czech Economists and Problems of Rankings*
- 31. Petr Kadeřábek : Correcting Predictive Models of Chaotic Reality
- 32. Wadim Strielkowski : *People of the road: the role of ethnic origin in migration decisions. A study of Slovak Roma asylum-seekers in the Czech Republic in 1998-2006*

#### 2007

- 1. Roman Horváth : Estimating Time-Varying Policy Neutral Rate in Real Time
- 2. Filip Žikeš : *Dependence Structure and Portfolio Diversification on Central European Stock Markets*
- 3. Martin Gregor : The Pros and Cons of Banking Socialism
- 4. František Turnovec : *Dochází k reálné diferenciaci ekonomických vysokoškolských vzdělávacích institucí na výzkumně zaměřené a výukově zaměřené?*
- 5. Jan Ámos Víšek : The Instrumental Weighted Variables. Part I. Consistency

#### All papers can be downloaded at: <u>http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz</u>.



Univerzita Karlova v Praze, Fakulta sociálních věd Institut ekonomických studií [UK FSV – IES] Praha 1, Opletalova 26 E-mail : ies@fsv.cuni.cz http://ies.fsv.cuni.cz