

UCRL-JRNL-216009



LAWRENCE
LIVERMORE
NATIONAL
LABORATORY

Essiac® and Flor-Essence® herbal tonics stimulate the in vitro growth of human breast cancer cells

K.S. Kulp, J.L. Montgomery, B. McLimans, E.R. Latham, D.L. Shattuck, D.M. Klotz, L.M. Bennett

October 10, 2005

Breast Cancer Research and Treatment

Disclaimer

This document was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor the University of California nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or the University of California. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or the University of California, and shall not be used for advertising or product endorsement purposes.

Running Head: Essiac® and Flor-Essence® stimulate cell growth

Article Type: Original Report

Title: Essiac® and Flor-Essence® herbal tonics stimulate the *in vitro* growth of human breast cancer cells

Authors: Kristen S. Kulp ¹, Jennifer L. Montgomery ¹, Beth McLimans ¹, E. Ray Latham ¹, David L. Shattuck¹, Diane M. Klotz ², and L. Michelle Bennett ^{3*}

Affiliations:

1. Biosciences Directorate, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore CA, 94550
2. Laboratory of Molecular Carcinogenesis, National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences, NIH, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709
3. Center for Cancer Research, NCI, NIH, 31 Center Drive, 31/3A11, Bethesda, MD 20892

Corresponding author:

Kristen S. Kulp, Ph.D.
Biosciences Directorate
Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory
7000 East Ave., L-452
Livermore CA, 94550
Phone: 925-422-6351
Fax: 925-422-2133
Email: Kulp2@llnl.gov

Abstract

Background: People diagnosed with cancer often self-administer complementary and alternative medicines (CAMs) to supplement their conventional treatments, improve health, or prevent recurrence. Flor-Essence® and Essiac® Herbal Tonics are commercially available complex mixtures of herbal extracts sold as dietary supplements and used by cancer patients based on anecdotal evidence that they can treat or prevent disease. In this study, we evaluated Flor-Essence® and Essiac® for their effects on the growth of human tumor cells in culture.

Methods: The effect of Flor-Essence® and Essiac® herbal tonics on cell proliferation was tested in MCF-7, MDA-MB-436, MDA-MB-231, and T47D cancer cells isolated from human breast tumors. Estrogen receptor (ER) dependent activation of a luciferase reporter construct was tested in MCF-7 cells. Specific binding to the ER was tested using an ICI 182,780 competition assay.

Results: Flor-Essence® and Essiac® herbal tonics at 1%, 2%, 4% and 8% stimulated cell proliferation relative to untreated controls and activated ER dependent luciferase activity in MCF-7 cells. A 10^{-7} M concentration of ICI 870,780 inhibited the induction of ER dependent luciferase activity by Flor-Essence® and Essiac®, but did not affect cell proliferation.

Conclusions: Flor-Essence® and Essiac® Herbal Tonics can stimulate the growth of human breast cancer cells through ER mediated as well as ER independent mechanisms of action.

Cancer patients and health care providers can use this information to make informed decisions about the use of these CAMs.

Introduction

There is a pressing scientific need to understand the biologic effects of alternative therapies [1, 2]. Complementary and alternative medicine (CAM) is used by a reported 50% of the general public in North America [3-5] and among some patient populations CAM use is as high as 85% [4, 6, 7]. The use of CAM reflects the growing trend in the United States of turning to alternative medicines to replace or supplement conventional therapies [8]. The increased use of CAM is driven by many factors including increases in prices of prescription drugs, media reports of adverse effects of prescription drugs, managed health care- mandated restricted access to physician care, and importantly, free access to product information from the internet [9, 10]. Patients combine CAM and conventional approaches with a hope to improve quality of life, counter side effects, and achieve a sense of control over their therapy [11].

Essiac herbal extract formulations are commercially available from many sources and used as a CAM by some cancer patients and survivors. The two most widely used commercially available essiac herbal tonics are Flor-Essence® and Essiac®. Both formulations contain the same four basic herbs: burdock root (*Arctium lappa*), sheep sorrel (*Rumex acetosella*), slippery elm bark (*Ulus rubra*) and Turkish rhubarb (*Rheum palmatum*). In addition, Flor-Essence® contains red clover (*Trifolium pratense*), blessed thistle (*Carduus benedictus*), kelp (*Laminaria digitata*), and watercress (*Nasturt officinale*). These herbal tonics are advertised to improve health and boost the immune system. Their use by cancer patients is largely fueled by anecdotal evidence that they can treat or prevent disease [7]. In a survey of 318 cancer patients treated by the Royal Marsden Hospital in London, 6.0% reported using Essiac, one of the 5 most popular alternative remedies cited [11]. The particular popularity of Flor-Essence® and Essiac® as complementary therapies among breast cancer survivors and patients may be related to the first described use of

this herbal tonic as a cure for a breast lump [12-14]. In a recent field study, designed to determine which natural products were recommended by health food store employees to individuals seeking treatment for breast cancer, Flor-Essence® and Essiac® were the two most frequently recommended products [15].

Flor-Essence® and Essiac® herbal tonics are marketed as dietary supplements and so not regulated by the FDA in the same way as are prescription and over the counter drugs [8, 9]. The available literature focusing on Flor-Essence® and Essiac® is widely disseminated in the lay press [13, 14, 16] and on numerous web sites and is rarely backed by scientific information [1, 2, 14]. In spite of these approaches to capture the interest of consumers, patients are beginning to demand science-based information about herbal products with which to make informed decisions about their use [17]. Advising patients on the use of unconventional therapies is difficult when rigorous scientific data and documentation about their safety, efficacy, and potential herbal-drug interactions are unavailable [8, 9, 17].

Although the effects of the tonics have not been thoroughly studied, the effects of the individual herbs used in the Flor-Essence® and Essiac® have been investigated because of their important dietary and medicinal roles [18]. Characteristics of these herbs include estrogenic, cytotoxic, anti-estrogenic, anti-tumor, anti-mutagenic, anti-oxidant, and anti-inflammatory properties, among others. The lay literature suggests that the components act synergistically to convey beneficial properties [13, 14]; however, this has not been scientifically demonstrated [18].

We were interested in determining if Flor-Essence® and Essiac® tonics effect tumor cell growth either by estrogen-dependent or –independent mechanisms. Estrogenic activity was hypothesized since several of the herbal tonic components (burdock root, sheep sorrel, and red clover) contain phytoestrogens such as the isoflavones genistein, daidzein, formononetin, and

biochanin-A [18]. Genistein levels in red clover are ten-fold greater than in soy [18].

Administration of red clover to ovariectomized rats causes a dose-dependent increase in uterine wet weight, a biologic measure of estrogenic activity [19].

Several model systems can be used to evaluate complex mixtures for functional and biologic relevance to human exposure including *in vitro* cell proliferation and reporter assays, and *in vivo* uterotrophic assays in rodents [20-29]. We report here our successful use of cell proliferation and estrogen receptor (ER) dependent luciferase reporter assay systems to evaluate the effect of Flor-Essence® and Essiac® herbal tonics on cancer cell growth. Using these assays, we show that these tonics stimulate ER activation and dramatically increase breast cancer cell proliferation.

Materials and Methods:

Human Breast Cancer Cells

Estrogen receptor positive (ER+) MCF-7, and T47D, and estrogen receptor negative (ER-) MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-436 human breast cancer cell lines, obtained from American Type Culture Collection (ATCC, Manassas, VA), were used for the described studies. MCF-7 cells were grown in DMEM with 5% fetal bovine serum (FBS), 1% non-essential amino acids, 10 µg/ml insulin, 2 mM L-glutamine, and 1% penicillin/streptomycin. MDA-MB-436 cells were grown in Leibovitz's L-15 medium supplemented with 10 µg/ml insulin, 16 µg/ml glutathione, 2mM L-glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin, and 10% FBS. T47D cells were grown in RPMI 1640 medium with 2 mM L-glutamine adjusted to contain 1.5 g/L sodium bicarbonate, 4.5 g/L glucose, 10 mM HEPES, and 1.0 mM sodium pyruvate and supplemented with 0.2 units/ml bovine insulin, 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 10% FBS. MDA-MB-231 cells were grown in

RPMI 1640 medium with 2 mM L-glutamine, 1% penicillin/streptomycin and 10% FBS. All cells were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂

For cell proliferation assays and estrogen responsive reporter assays, Opti-MEM phenol red-free medium (Gibco) was used with 5% charcoal stripped fetal bovine serum (Clonetechn) to minimize estrogen-like activity contributed by the phenol red and serum [29, 30].

Herbal Tonic preparation

Flor-Essence® (Flora Manufacturing) was obtained as a sterile pre-packaged and prepared product at a local health food store. It was used directly out of the bottle for the described tissue culture applications, which is how an individual who had purchased the product for self-administration would consume it. Similarly, Essiac® (Reserpine Corporation) was obtained as a dry formulation, and was prepared in the laboratory as recommended by the manufacturer. Both tonics were kept refrigerated and not exposed to extremes in temperature in accordance with manufacturer's instructions.

Recommendations from the available lay literature on Essiac formulations suggest daily doses ranging from 1 to 6 oz [12, 13, 16]: However, dose recommendations are not based on weight and although the majority of people who self-administer Flor-Essence® herbal tonic self-report following the recommended doses, some admit to consuming more [7]. Because the final concentration of tonic at the level of the target cells is unknown, making it difficult to mimic a human exposure, we chose a range of Flor-Essence® and Essiac® tonic concentrations (1% to 32%) to evaluate a dose response.

Cell proliferation assay

For cell proliferation assays, 3×10^3 cells were seeded into each well of a 96-well tissue culture plate. Twenty-four hours after plating, cells were treated with Opti-MEM medium supplemented with 1%, 2%, 4%, or 8% Flor-Essence® or Essiac® herbal tonic, 10^{-7} to 10^{-10} M 17- β -estradiol (E2) (Sigma), or left untreated. The effect of tonic exposure on cell growth was evaluated 24 and 72 hours after the initiation of treatment. For incubations longer than 24 hours, cell medium was renewed at the 48 hours time point. Flor-Essence® concentrations up to 32% were tested in the MCF-7 cell line. For the ICI 182,780 experiments, cells were pre-treated with 10^{-7} M ICI 182,780 (Tocris Cookson, Inc., Ellisville MO) for 30 minutes, followed by 10^{-9} M E2, increasing concentrations of Flor-Essence® or Essiac®, or no treatment.

Cell growth was quantified using an Aqueous Non-Radioactive Cell Proliferation Assay (Promega, Madison WI) with absorbance of the wells measured in a standard multi-well plate reader at 490nm. Briefly, this colorimetric assay measures the bioreduction of a tetrazolium compound (3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-5-(3-carboxymethoxyphenyl)-2-(4-sulfophenyl)-2H-tetrazolium, inner salt; MTS) to a formazan product. The conversion of MTS is directly proportional to the number of living cells in culture. Each experiment was performed at least three individual times with 4 – 6 replicates per experiment. Effect of treatment was determined by comparing cell growth to the appropriate vehicle-treated cells (sterile water) and untreated cells and is presented as percent of control. Error is presented as standard error of the mean. Statistical significance was assessed using a standard Student's T-test.

Estrogen Responsive Reporter Assay

Herbal tonic concentrations ranging from 1% to 8% were directly tested for estrogenic and anti-estrogenic activity using a standard estrogen responsive reporter plasmid containing three

vitellogenin estrogen responsive elements (EREs) upstream of the luciferase reporter gene (EREx3-Luc). EREx3-Luc was co-transfected with a commercially available control renilla reporter plasmid (pRL-TK, Promega) to control for transfection efficiency and for the normalization of the results. The reporter plasmids were transfected into MCF-7 cells [31-34], which have well-characterized responses to estrogenic stimuli. The ability of the herbal tonics to induce luciferase reporter gene activity was compared to 10^{-9} M E2 and appropriate negative controls, as previously described [35, 36].

MCF-7 cells were plated in 96-well plates, designed specifically for use in a luminometer (Perkin Elmer, Wellesley, MA) at a density of 1×10^5 cells/well in Opti-MEM medium. The cells were left untransfected or were co-transfected with 200 ng each of luciferase reporter and renilla control plasmids using lipofectAMINE™ 2000 (Invitrogen) optimized for 96-well plate experiments according to directions. After a 5-hour transfection, the untransfected cells were incubated with culture medium made from Opti-MEM and 5% charcoal stripped FBS to quantify background levels. The transfected cells were incubated with the same culture media alone or supplemented with 1%, 2%, 4%, or 8% Essiac® or Flor-Essence® herbal tonics.

The cells were incubated at 37°C for 18 hours and then assayed for luciferase and renilla activity using a dual luciferase/renilla reporter assay kit (Promega) according to directions. Each experimental condition was duplicated six to eight times in the 96-welled plate and each plate was read in the luminometer twice. Luciferase activity was normalized to the renilla controls.

Co-incubating the cells with 10^{-9} M E2 and increasing concentrations of both tonics was used to measure potential anti-estrogenic activity. To indirectly measure specific binding to the ER, the anti-estrogen ICI 182,780 was added to the cells and the change in Flor-Essence® and Essiac®-mediated induction of luciferase activity was measured. Transfected cells were exposed

to 10^{-7} M ICI 182,780 for 30 minutes before addition of 10^{-9} M E2, 4% Flor-Essence®, or 4% Essiac®.

All experiments were repeated more than 4 times (with 5-6 replicates per experiment) and all data were normalized to E2 (10^{-9} M) activation. Error is presented as standard error of the mean. Statistical significance was determined using a standard Student's T-test.

Results

Flor-Essence® and Essiac® Herbal Tonic induce human breast cancer cell proliferation at low concentrations.

Flor-Essence® treatment was associated with a statistically significant increase in cell proliferation that ranged from 1.5-fold to 2.1-fold ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 1A) at 24 hours after treatment. The increase in cell growth was similar for all cell lines tested, regardless of ER status. In addition, these concentrations resulted in a greater induction of cell growth than estrogen alone (10^{-10} M or 10^{-9} M), which was 1.1-fold greater than control in the MCF-7 cell line (data not shown). Concentrations of Flor-Essence® up to 32% were tested in the MCF-7 cell line; treatment with 16% Flor-Essence® resulted in growth that was not significantly different from controls and 32% was cytotoxic to the cells (data not shown). These concentrations were not used in subsequent experiments.

The 1%, 2%, 4%, and 8% Essiac® treatments induced proliferation 1.2-fold to 2.2-fold above untreated cells (Figure 1B) 24 hours after exposure and was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$, with the exception of MCF-7 cells treated with 8% Essiac®, which was $p < 0.05$). The ER+ MCF-7 cells were less stimulated by Essiac® treatment relative to other cell lines tested ($p < 0.01$). However the T47D ER+ cell line, showed proliferation that was not significantly

different than the ER- cell lines. All Essiac® concentrations tested induced proliferation to a greater degree than 10^{-10} M or 10^{-9} M E2 in any cell line at 24 hours after treatment (data not shown).

Comparing the response of the 4 cell lines to the two tonics demonstrated that Flor-Essence® and Essiac® produced a generally similar increase in cell proliferation in the T47D, MDA-MB-436 and the MDA-MB-231 cell lines. In the MCF-7 cells, Flor-Essence® produced a statistically significant increased proliferation at all concentrations tested ($p < 0.01$), compared to Essiac®. In addition, low concentrations of Flor-Essence® (1%) produced a significant increase in proliferation in the ER- cell lines, when compared to Essiac®.

To determine if continuous exposure would result in an acute increase in cell proliferation followed by acclimation and a return to basal levels or a sustained increase in cell proliferation we treated the cell lines for extended periods of time with the two tonics at multiple concentrations. Cells were treated with 4% Flor-Essence® (Figure 2A) or 4% Essiac® (Figure 2B) for up to 72 hours. Induced proliferation was significantly different than control ($p < 0.01$, with the exception of 72 hours treatment of the T47D cells, which was $p < 0.05$) although the effect of increasing time of tonic treatment was not the same for all cell lines tested. For T47D, MDA-MB-436, and Essiac®-treated MDA-MB-231, the increased cell proliferation demonstrated in the 24 hour time point was not sustained at 72 hours tonic treatment. Flor-Essence®- treated MDA-MB-231 cells and Essiac®-treated MCF-7 cells showed a time-dependent increase in cell proliferation (72 hrs $>$ 24 hrs, $p < 0.01$) and Flor-Essence®-treated MCF-7 cells showed no difference in the 24 and 72 hour time points.

The time-dependent effects of two tonics were not significantly different for the T47D or MDA-MB-436 cells lines. However, 24 hour incubation with Flor-Essence® caused a significant

increase in MCF-7 cell proliferation compared to Essiac® (also demonstrated in Figure 1).

Similarly, 72 hours incubation with Flor-Essence® produced a statistically significant increase in cell proliferation in the MDA-MB-231 cells, compared to Essiac® ($p < 0.01$).

Flor-Essence® and Essiac® induce estrogen-receptor dependent luciferase reporter activity

Both the Essiac® and Flor-Essence® herbal tonics induced luciferase expression from an ER dependent reporter construct transfected into MCF-7 cells. Flor-Essence® administered at 1%, 2%, 4%, and 8% induced luciferase activity 3.8-, 4.9-, 5.0-, and 3.3-fold over negative controls and was statistically significant ($p < 0.0001$; Figure 3). Lower concentrations of Flor-Essence® (1%, 2%, and 4%) produced luciferase induction that was not statistically different from the 4.5-fold increase observed with 10^{-9} M E2 relative to the negative control. MCF-7 cells exposed to 1%, 2%, 4% and 8% Essiac® induced luciferase activity 2.8-, 3.1-, 3.4-, and 3.9-fold above untreated controls ($p < 0.0001$). However, the induced luciferase activity was significantly less than cells treated with 10^{-9} M E2 ($p < 0.01$) or low concentrations of Flor-Essence®. One, 2 and 4% Flor-Essence® caused significantly more luciferase induction compared to the same concentrations of Essiac®. The effect of 8% Flor-Essence® treatment was significantly less than the effect of 10^{-9} M E2 and not significantly different from the same concentration of Essiac® ($p < 0.01$).

Genistein, a known phytoestrogen, was also tested in the luciferase assay and induced estrogen receptor dependent luciferase expression. Genistein concentrations of 0.1 ug/ml and 1 ug/ml elicited a 5.1- and 5.0-fold greater luciferase expression than the negative control that was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) (Figure 3). This response is similar to that observed for 2% and 4% Flor-Essence® and not significantly different from E2 exposure. A low genistein

concentration (0.01 ug/ml) did not induce luciferase activity above control. Similarly, a relatively high genistein concentration of 10 ug/ml was not efficient at inducing luciferase activity.

To test for potential anti-estrogenic activity, 1%, 2%, 4% and 8% Flor-Essence® and 1%, 2%, 4% and 8% Essiac® were co-incubated with 10^{-9} M E2 in the luciferase assay. Combining the tonic exposure with E2 did not significantly affect E2 induction of luciferase expression (data not shown).

Effect of ICI 182,780 on Flor-Essence® and Essiac® induced luciferase activity and cell proliferation

ICI 182,780 is an anti-estrogen that specifically antagonizes the binding of the estrogen-receptor binding to its natural ligand at the estradiol binding site [37, 38]. If a compound is acting through an estrogen-receptor dependent mechanism of action, ICI 182,780 will inhibit mediation of the effect. ICI 182,780 pre-treatment followed by 10^{-10} M E2 caused a 7.2-fold reduction in luciferase activity compared to 10^{-10} M E2 alone. Pre-treatment with ICI 182,780 before exposure to 4% Flor-Essence® and 4% Essiac® caused a 4.6- and 3.3-fold decrease in luciferase activity, respectively (Figure 4A).

To determine if specific binding of ICI 182,780 to the ER produced a parallel inhibition of cell proliferation, cells were treated with ICI 182,780 for 30 minutes prior to treatment with 2% and 4% Flor-Essence® or Essiac®. Cell proliferation was measured after 24 hours incubation. Figure 4B shows that incubation with ICI produced no significant reduction in cell proliferation, compared to tonic treatment alone. However, pre-treatment with ICI 182,780 prevented E2-mediated increases in cell proliferation. Longer incubation times (48 hours) or repeating the experiment in the MDA-MB-436 cell line did not change the results (data not shown).

Discussion

In this paper we demonstrate for the first time that both Essiac® and Flor-Essence® herbal tonics stimulate cell growth in ER+ and ER- breast cancer cell lines and can activate ER dependent transcription. The results of the transcriptional activation studies provide mechanistic information to suggest that specific components within the tonic activate the ER. Based on our knowledge of the ingredients in these two tonics, it is likely that phytoestrogens such as genestein, daidzein, formononetin, and biochanin-A are responsible for this activity. However, because the tonics are equally able to stimulate growth in ER- cell lines and in the presence of the anti-estrogen ICI 182,780, our results also suggest that the tonics are able to affect growth through other, ER independent pathways. In fact, the various components of these complex mixtures may be acting together with the phytoestrogens to increase cell proliferation through ER dependent mechanisms, ER independent mechanisms, or receptor cross-talk with subsequent estrogen responsive element (ERE) binding.

The effect of the tonics on cell proliferation was tested using a modified E-SCREEN assay [39]. This assay was developed to take advantage of the ability of estrogen-responsive MCF-7 cells to proliferate in the presence of compounds that mimic estrogen. Although a standard assay for estrogenicity screening, the proliferative response of the MCF-7 cells varies depending on the MCF-7 sub-line employed. In these studies we used ATCC wild-type MCF-7 cells, which have been shown to vary in their response to estrogen incubation [39]. Using this assay, we demonstrated that Essiac® and Flor-Essence® herbal tonics stimulate cell proliferation to a greater degree than estradiol treatment in the MCF-7 cells.

Further modification of the E-SCREEN assay to accommodate different media conditions enabled us to test additional ER+ and ER- human breast cancer cell lines. Using this approach, we demonstrated that Flor-Essence® and Essiac® herbal tonics induced the proliferation of T47D, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-436 breast cell lines at concentrations ranging from 1 to 8%, regardless of the presence of the ER. Mechanistically, this suggests that the ER is not necessary for the proliferative effect of Essiac® and Flor-Essence®. Evaluating cell proliferation after the co-administration of the anti-estrogen ICI 182, 780 and the tonics further supports the conclusion that the ER is not essential for the cell growth effect. In these experiments we demonstrate that specifically blocking the estradiol binding site on the ER did not significantly affect the ability of the tonics to stimulate cell proliferation.

We investigated the effect of chronic exposure to the tonic by incubating the cell lines with Flor-Essence® and Essiac® for up to 72 hours. Prolonged exposure to the two tonics resulted in an increase in cell proliferation that continued with exposure. The cells did not acclimate to the exposure by returning to the baseline or control growth rate during the 3 day exposure time course. This may indicate that a long duration of exposure to the tonics (as would be expected, based on the recommended dosing regime in the product literature) could result in continued stimulation of cell proliferation of human breast cells.

Both tonics are able to activate ER-dependent transcription in MCF-7 cells transfected with a standard estrogen responsive luciferase reporter plasmid, indicating that the tonics can interact with the endogenous ER present in MCF-7 cells to effect transcription. Tonic-induced ER activation suggests that although these tonics are complex mixtures of herbal extracts, estrogenic activity contributed by constituent components are detectable and can be correlated with effects on cell growth. Sheep sorrel and burdock root are present in Flor-Essence® and Essiac® and red

clover is a component of Flor-Essence® all these extracts are reported to contain phytoestrogens, which may be directly interacting with the ER.

ICI 182,780 is an anti-estrogen that specifically binds the ER and blocks estrogen binding. This compound was used to investigate the interaction of components of Flor-Essence® and Essiac® at the level of the ER in the transcription assay. Pre-incubation with ICI 182,780 inhibited tonic-stimulated increased luciferase activity, suggesting that the tonics effect transcription through direct interaction with ER at the estradiol binding site. ER activity was reduced, but not completely abolished, by the addition of ICI 182,780 suggesting that the tonics may activate ER transcription via mechanisms that do not rely on direct binding to the estradiol binding site. In addition, pre-incubation with ICI 182, 780 in cell proliferation studies had no effect on the ability of the tonics to increase cell growth, further suggesting that the tonics can stimulate cell proliferation by mechanisms that do not involve direct interaction with the estradiol site on the ER.

Although there was little difference in the effects of the two tonics on cell proliferation, Flor-Essence® tended to produce a greater response in the ER+ MCF-7 cell line. This observation, in combination with the luciferase assay, suggests that the additional components present in Flor-Essence®, relative to Essiac®, including red clover, blessed thistle, kelp, and watercress, may be enhancing the response. Red clover has high genistine levels and the inclusion of this plant extract may explain the increased estrogenic activity of Flor-Essence® herbal tonic compared to Essiac®.

Genistein was included in these studies in order to compare the tonics to a known phytoestrogen, which has been well investigated in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* models for breast cancer as well as in epidemiologic studies [40-46]. In the study presented here, 2% and 4% Flor-

Essence® induce luciferase activity at levels similar to 0.1 ug/ml and 1.0 ug/ml genistein. As expected, 10ug/ml genestein demonstrated a reduced ability to stimulate estrogen receptor activation, possibly by down regulating ER- alpha expression [47]. This trend is similar to the results we obtained with increasing concentrations of tonic exposure.

Two other studies have been recently published, demonstrating the effect of Essiac® and/or Flor-Essence® on human cell lines [48, 49]. Ottenweller et.al. demonstrated decreased cell proliferation of Chinese Hamster Ovary (CHO) cells and the human prostate cancer cell line, LNCaP. This study also demonstrated an augmentation of T-cell proliferation at low doses of Essiac® [48]. The study by Tai, et. al. reported a slight increase in cell proliferation in ATCC MCF-7 cells at 1 and 2 % tonic concentrations. The results of the Tai study also show a weak antiproliferative effect of both Flor-Essence® and Essiac® on 3 cancer cell lines at doses spanning 1-10% tonic at 96 h incubation time and a differentiation inducing effect on HL60 cells at high concentrations [49].

It is difficult to directly compare our results to those presented by Ottenweller et al and Tai et al. because of the difference in cell lines used as well as the different approaches to study design. We took precautions to ensure that our assay systems were depleted of estrogenic components. We chose to use Opti-MEM phenol red free medium, which is often used when testing compounds in cell systems to determine if they are estrogenic [29, 30]). In addition, although we used normal fetal bovine serum in our medium for the routine propagation of our cell lines, we used charcoal stripped fetal bovine serum for the cell proliferation and estrogen responsive reporter assays. These conditions are designed to minimize the contributions of estrogenic activity from the cell medium in order to fully detect those present in the herbal tonics.

Bi-phasic bioactivity (causing activation at low concentrations and inhibition at high concentrations) has been reported for at least two naturally-occurring estrogenic compounds [50, 51]. By not depleting the culture conditions of inherent estrogens, and then adding the tonics, it is possible that the total concentration of estrogenic compounds in the assay system was never low enough in the Tai et al study to determine an activation effect. We also found that high concentrations of Flor-Essence® (16-32%) did not cause a stimulatory effect or inhibited cell proliferation.

Another factor complicating cross-study comparisons across is product consistency. Herbal tonics are sold as dietary supplements, are not regulated, are not standardized and may demonstrate lot-to-lot variability. The Tai et al study used HPLC to evaluate the lots used for their study and found no significant differences among lots. We have also evaluated lot variation of Flor-Essence® and Essiac® using HPLC and proliferation assays (data not shown). Our HPLC results found some variation in the peak height of the various components of the herbal tonics in different lots, but the proliferation assays consistently showed a cell growth stimulatory effect.

In this report, we have demonstrated that Flor-Essence® and Essiac® can promote the growth of cancer cells and that ER- dependent and independent mechanisms are responsible for mediating the effect. In a previous study, we showed that Flor-Essence® promoted DMBA-initiated mammary tumor formation in Sprague-Dawley rats [52]. The present study provides the rationale for a mechanistic basis for the increase in tumor multiplicity seen in the rat study. DMBA-induced rat mammary tumors are hormone sensitive and it is likely that ER mediated growth effects are playing a role in tumor growth. However, due to the complex nature of the herbal mixtures there are likely to be an array of components that affect cell growth through alternative mechanisms.

Cancer patients are desperately seeking information about CAM and frequently seek that information from the internet. Highly credible cancer websites exist that provide reliable information about CAM for cancer treatment and prevention with links to information on CAM. However others websites issue misleading information including, but not limited to, claims for CAM efficacy that are not supported by sound scientific evidence or advice for patients that counsels against conventional therapy [53]. Essiac is frequently mentioned as a “curative” CAM [53] and over 90% of the websites that mentioned FlorEssence® were considered questionable due to vague, inaccurate, anecdotal and nonscientific information [54]. It has been estimated that 50% of internet users use the World Wide Web to gather medical information and that this information can influence a patient’s choice of treatments [53, 55, 56]. Thus, there is a strong need for both accurate information and peer-reviewed studies in order that informed decisions can be made when one is considering self-administering CAM. Individuals and health care providers can use the information presented in this report to begin evaluating the risks and benefits of self-administration of Essiac® and Flor-Essence® herbal tonics in the context of individual health needs and concerns. For women and men who have been diagnosed with cancer, these results may be significant and can be used to make informed decisions about herbal tonic use.

Acknowledgements

We thank Mark Knize for technical assistance with the HPLC analysis of the tonics. This publication was made possible, in part, by grant number 7IB-0003 from the California Breast Cancer Research Program and grant number K22 ES00322-01 from the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIEHS), NIH. It’s contents are solely the responsibility of the

authors and do not necessarily represent the official view of the NIEHS, NIH. This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the University of California, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

REFERENCES:

1. Ernst E: Complementary and alternative medicine. *Lancet* 357: 802-3, 2001
2. Ernst E: Alternative cancer cures. *Br J Cancer* 85: 781-2, 2001
3. Kaegi E: Unconventional therapies for cancer: 1. Essiac. *CMAJ* 158: 897-902, 1998
4. Smith M and Boon H: Counseling cancer patients about herbal medicine. *Patient Education and Counseling* 38: 109-120, 1999
5. Ernst E and Cassileth B: How useful are unconventional cancer treatments? *Eur J Cancer* 35: 1608-1613, 1999
6. Boutin PD, Buchwald D, Robinson L and Collier AC: Use of and attitudes about alternative and complementary therapies among outpatients and physicians at a municipal hospital. *J Altern Complement Med* 6: 335-43, 2000
7. Richardson M, Sanders T, Tamayo C, Perez C and Palmer J: Flor-Essence® herbal tonic use in North America: A profile of general consumers and cancer patients. *Herbalgram* 50: 40-46, 2000
8. De Smet PA: Herbal remedies. *N Engl J Med* 347: 2046-56, 2002
9. Marcus DM and Grollman AP: Botanical medicines--the need for new regulations. *N Engl J Med* 347: 2073-6, 2002

10. Penson RT, Castro CM, Seiden MV, Chabner BA and Lynch TJ, Jr.: Complementary, alternative, integrative, or unconventional medicine? *Oncologist* 6: 463-73, 2001
11. Werneke U, Earl J, Seydel C, Horn O, Crichton P and Fannon D: Potential health risks of complementary alternative medicines in cancer patients. *Br J Cancer* 90: 408-13, 2004
12. Herbal/Plant Therapies: Essiac Detailed Scientific Review, <http://www.mdanderson.org>, 2005
13. Percival J: *The Essiac Handbook*. Rideout Publishing Company, Orlando, FL. 1994
14. Stainsby M. Keeping hope alive. *The Vancouver Sun*, Vancouver, 1992
15. Mills E, Ernst E, Singh R, Ross C and Wilson K: Health food store recommendations: implications for breast cancer patients. *Breast Cancer Res* 5: R170-4, 2003
16. Whitaker J. Should you take Essiac tea against cancer?, *Dr. Whitaker's Newsletter*, vol. 5, 1995
17. Straus SE: Herbal medicines--what's in the bottle? *N Engl J Med* 347: 1997-8, 2002

18. Tamayo C, Richardson M, Diamond S and Skoda I: The chemistry and biological activity of herbs used in Flor-Essence™ Herbal Tonic and Essiac™. *Phytother Res* 14: 1-14, 2000
19. Burdette JE, Liu J, Lantvit D, Lim E, Booth N, Bhat KP, Hedayat S, Van Breemen RB, Constantinou AI, Pezzuto JM, Farnsworth NR and Bolton JL: *Trifolium pratense* (Red Clover) Exhibits Estrogenic Effects In Vivo in Ovariectomized Sprague-Dawley Rats. *J Nutr* 132: 27-30, 2002
20. Bucher JR and Lucier G: Current approaches toward chemical mixture studies at the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences and the U.S. National Toxicology Program. *Environ Health Perspect* 106 Suppl 6: 1295-8, 1998
21. Hansen H, De Rosa CT, Pohl H, Fay M and Mumtaz MM: Public health challenges posed by chemical mixtures. *Environ Health Perspect* 106 Suppl 6: 1271-80, 1998
22. Yang RS: Some critical issues and concerns related to research advances on toxicology of chemical mixtures. *Environ Health Perspect* 106 Suppl 4: 1059-63, 1998
23. Connor K, Ramamoorthy K, Moore M, Mustain M, Chen I, Safe S, Zacharewski T, Gillesby B, Joyeux A and Balaguer P: Hydroxylated polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) as estrogens and antiestrogens: structure-activity relationships. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol* 145: 111-23, 1997

24. Gaido K, Dohme L, Wang F, Chen I, Blankvoort B, Ramamoorthy K and Safe S: Comparative estrogenic activity of wine extracts and organochlorine pesticide residues in food. *Environmental Health Perspectives* 106: 1347-1351, 1998
25. McLachlan JA: Functional toxicology: a new approach to detect biologically active xenobiotics. *Environ Health Perspect* 101: 386-7, 1993
26. Payne J, Scholze M and Kortenkamp A: Mixtures of four organochlorines enhance human breast cancer cell proliferation. *Environ Health Perspect* 109: 391-7, 2001
27. Shelby MD, Newbold RR, Tully DB, Chae K and Davis VL: Assessing environmental chemicals for estrogenicity using a combination of in vitro and in vivo assays. *Environ Health Perspect* 104: 1296-300, 1996
28. Soto AM, Chung KL and Sonnenschein C: The pesticides endosulfan, toxaphene, and dieldrin have estrogenic effects on human estrogen-sensitive cells. *Environ Health Perspect* 102: 380-3, 1994
29. Soto AM, Sonnenschein C, Chung KL, Fernandez MF, Olea N and Serrano FO: The E-SCREEN assay as a tool to identify estrogens: an update on estrogenic environmental pollutants. *Environ Health Perspect* 103 Suppl 7: 113-22, 1995

30. Zacharewski T: Identification and assessment of endocrine disruptors: limitations of in vivo and in vitro assays. *Environ Health Perspect* 106 Suppl 2: 577-82, 1998
31. Klotz DM, Beckman BS, Hill SM, McLachlan JA, Walters MR and Arnold SF: Identification of environmental chemicals with estrogenic activity using a combination of in vitro assays. *Environ Health Perspect* 104: 1084-9, 1996
32. Reel JR, Lamb IJ and Neal BH: Survey and assessment of mammalian estrogen biological assays for hazard characterization. *Fundam Appl Toxicol* 34: 288-305, 1996
33. Safe S, Connor K and Gaido K: Methods for xenoestrogen testing. *Toxicol Lett* 102-103: 665-70, 1998
34. Nodland KI, Wormke M and Safe S: Inhibition of estrogen-induced activity by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-p-dioxin (TCDD) in the MCF-7 human breast cancer and other cell lines transfected with vitellogenin A2 gene promoter constructs. *Archives of Biochemistry and Biophysics* 338: 67-72, 1997
35. Charles GD, Gennings C, Clemons J, Zacharewski TR, Gollapudi BB and Carney EW: Optimization of an estrogen receptor-alpha transcriptional activation assay for testing a diverse spectrum of chemicals. *J Appl Toxicol* 20: 449-54, 2000
36. Rogers JM and Denison MS: Recombinant cell bioassays for endocrine disruptors: development of a stably transfected human ovarian cell line for the

detection of estrogenic and anti-estrogenic chemicals. In *Vitr Mol Toxicol* 13: 67-82, 2000

37. DeFriend DJ, Anderson E, Bell J, Wilks DP, West CM, Mansel RE and Howell A: Effects of 4-hydroxytamoxifen and a novel pure antioestrogen (ICI 182780) on the clonogenic growth of human breast cancer cells in vitro. *Br J Cancer* 70: 204-11, 1994

38. Howell A, DeFriend D, Robertson J, Blamey R and Walton P: Response to a specific antioestrogen (ICI 182780) in tamoxifen-resistant breast cancer. *Lancet* 345: 29-30, 1995

39. Rasmussen TH and Nielsen JB: Critical parameters in the MCF-7 cell proliferation bioassay (E-Screen). *Biomarkers* 7: 322-36, 2002

40. Fritz WA, Coward L, Wang J and Lamartiniere CA: Dietary genistein: perinatal mammary cancer prevention, bioavailability and toxicity testing in the rat. *Carcinogenesis* 19: 2151-8, 1998

41. Cotroneo MS, Wang J, Fritz WA, Eltoum IE and Lamartiniere CA: Genistein action in the prepubertal mammary gland in a chemoprevention model. *Carcinogenesis* 23: 1467-74, 2002

42. Lamartiniere CA: Protection against breast cancer with genistein: a component of soy. *Am J Clin Nutr* 71: 1705S-7S; discussion 1708S-9S, 2000

43. Lamartiniere CA, Cotroneo MS, Fritz WA, Wang J, Mentor-Marcel R and Elgavish A: Genistein chemoprevention: timing and mechanisms of action in murine mammary and prostate. *J Nutr* 132: 552S-558S, 2002
44. Constantinou AI, Krygier AE and Mehta RR: Genistein induces maturation of cultured human breast cancer cells and prevents tumor growth in nude mice. *Am J Clin Nutr* 68: 1426S-1430S, 1998
45. Hilakivi-Clarke L, Cho E and Clarke R: Maternal genistein exposure mimics the effects of estrogen on mammary gland development in female mouse offspring. *Oncol Rep* 5: 609-16, 1998
46. Hilakivi-Clarke L, Cho E, Onojafe I, Raygada M and Clarke R: Maternal exposure to genistein during pregnancy increases carcinogen-induced mammary tumorigenesis in female rat offspring. *Oncol Reports* 6: 1089-1095, 1999
47. Chen WF, Huang MH, Tzang CH, Yang M and Wong MS: Inhibitory actions of genistein in human breast cancer (MCF-7) cells. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1638: 187-96, 2003
48. Ottenweller J, Putt K, Blumenthal EJ, Dhawale S and Dhawale SW: Inhibition of prostate cancer-cell proliferation by Essiac. *J Altern Complement Med* 10: 687-91, 2004
49. Tai J, Cheung S, Wong S and Lowe C: In vitro comparison of Essiac and Flor-Essence on human tumor cell lines. *Oncol Rep* 11: 471-6, 2004

50. Yap SP, Shen P, Butler MS, Gong Y, Loy CJ and Yong EL: New estrogenic prenylflavone from *Epimedium brevicornum* inhibits the growth of breast cancer cells. *Planta Med* 71: 114-9, 2005
51. Ravindranath MH, Muthugounder S, Presser N and Viswanathan S: Anticancer therapeutic potential of soy isoflavone, genistein. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 546: 121-65, 2004
52. Bennett LM, Montgomery JL, Steinberg SM and Kulp KS: Flor-Essence herbal tonic does not inhibit mammary tumor development in Sprague Dawley rats. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 88: 87-93, 2004
53. Schmidt K and Ernst E: Assessing websites on complementary and alternative medicine for cancer. *Ann Oncol* 15: 733-42, 2004
54. Matthews SC, Camacho A, Mills PJ and Dimsdale JE: The internet for medical information about cancer: help or hindrance? *Psychosomatics* 44: 100-3, 2003
55. Malik IA and Gopalan S: Use of CAM results in delay in seeking medical advice for breast cancer. *Eur J Epidemiol* 18: 817-22, 2003
56. Eysenbach G: The impact of the Internet on cancer outcomes. *CA Cancer J Clin* 53: 356-71, 2003

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Effect of herbal tonic dose on ER+ and ER- human breast cancer cell proliferation. Cells were treated for 24 hours with increasing concentrations of A: Flor-Essence® and B: Essiac®. All tonic concentrations stimulated proliferation significantly above control ($p < 0.01$), with the exception of a, which is $p < 0.05$. b= Flor-Essence® significantly greater than the same concentration of Essiac®, $p < 0.01$. c= Flor-Essence® significantly greater than the same concentration of Essiac®, $p < 0.05$.

■ Control ▨ 1% ■ 2% ▩ 4% ▩ 8%

Figure 2: Effect of herbal tonic time of incubation on ER+ and ER- human breast cancer cell proliferation. Cells were treated with 4% Flor-Essence® (A) or 4% Essiac® (B) for up to 72 hours. All times are significantly greater than control, $p < 0.01$, with the exception of (a) which is greater than control, $p < 0.05$. b= Flor-Essence® significantly greater than Essiac®, $p < 0.01$.

■ 0 h h □ 24 h h ▩ 72h

Figure 3. Effect of herbal tonics and genistein on ER activation. MCF-7 cells were left untreated (Ctrl) or treated with 10^{-10} M estradiol (E2), or increasing concentrations of Flor-Essence®, ▨ Essiac®, ▩ or genistein. ▩ Genistein concentrations are $\mu\text{g/ml}$. Data are normalized to estrogen. a= significantly different from control, $p < 0.01$. b= significantly different from estradiol, $p < 0.01$. c= significantly different than the same concentration of Flor-Essence®, $p < 0.01$.

Figure 4. Effect of ICI 182,780 on Flor-Essence®- and Essiac®- induced luciferase gene expression and cell proliferation in MCF-7 cells. A. Cells were treated with estradiol (10^{-10} M),

4% Flor-Essence® (F-E®) and 4% Essiac® (Es®) with and without ICI 182,780 (ICI) (10^{-7} M). Data are normalized to estrogen. a = significantly different from treatment without ICI 182,780, $p < 0.01$. B. Cells were treated with 2 and 4% Flor-Essence® (F-E®) and 2 and 4% Essiac® (Es®) with and without ICI 182,780 (ICI)(10^{-7} M) for 24 hours. Data are normalized to control. All treatments (except for E2) were significantly different than control, $p < 0.01$. E2 w/ ICI was significantly different than either control or E2 alone, $p < 0.01$. There is no statistical difference between the cells treated with just tonic and cells treated with tonic and ICI. Other incubation times were tested with no change in results.







