



IFAU – INSTITUTE FOR  
LABOUR MARKET POLICY  
EVALUATION

# Monetary policy and Swedish unemployment fluctuations

Annika Alexius  
Bertil Holmlund

WORKING PAPER 2008:5

The Institute for Labour Market Policy Evaluation (IFAU) is a research institute under the Swedish Ministry of Employment, situated in Uppsala. IFAU's objective is to promote, support and carry out scientific evaluations. The assignment includes: the effects of labour market policies, studies of the functioning of the labour market, the labour market effects of educational policies and the labour market effects of social insurance policies. IFAU shall also disseminate its results so that they become accessible to different interested parties in Sweden and abroad.

IFAU also provides funding for research projects within its areas of interest. The deadline for applications is October 1 each year. Since the researchers at IFAU are mainly economists, researchers from other disciplines are encouraged to apply for funding.

IFAU is run by a Director-General. The institute has a scientific council, consisting of a chairman, the Director-General and five other members. Among other things, the scientific council proposes a decision for the allocation of research grants. A reference group including representatives for employer organizations and trade unions, as well as the ministries and authorities concerned is also connected to the institute.

Postal address: P O Box 513, 751 20 Uppsala

Visiting address: Kyrkogårdsgatan 6, Uppsala

Phone: +46 18 471 70 70

Fax: +46 18 471 70 71

[ifau@ifau.uu.se](mailto:ifau@ifau.uu.se)

[www.ifau.se](http://www.ifau.se)

Papers published in the Working Paper Series should, according to the IFAU policy, have been discussed at seminars held at IFAU and at least one other academic forum, and have been read by one external and one internal referee. They need not, however, have undergone the standard scrutiny for publication in a scientific journal. The purpose of the Working Paper Series is to provide a factual basis for public policy and the public policy discussion.

ISSN 1651-1166

# Monetary policy and Swedish unemployment fluctuations<sup>#</sup>

by

Annika Alexius\* and Bertil Holmlund<sup>§</sup>

February 2008

## Abstract

A widely spread belief among economists is that monetary policy has relatively short-lived effects on real variables such as unemployment. Previous studies indicate that monetary policy affects the output gap only at business cycle frequencies, but the effects on unemployment may well be more persistent in countries with highly regulated labor markets. We study the Swedish experience of unemployment and monetary policy. Using a structural VAR we find that around 30 percent of the fluctuations in unemployment are caused by shocks to monetary policy. The effects are also quite persistent. In the preferred model, almost 30 percent of the maximum effect of a shock still remains after ten years.

Keywords: Unemployment, monetary policy, structural VARs

JEL classification: J60, E24

---

<sup>#</sup> Paper prepared for the Symposium on “The Phillips curve and the Natural Rate of Unemployment”, Kiel Institute for the World Economy, 3-4 June 2007. Financial support from IFAU is gratefully acknowledged. The paper is published in *Economics E-Journal* (<http://www.economics-ejournal.org/economics/journalarticles>).

\* Department of Economics, Stockholm University. [Annika.Alexius@ne.su.se](mailto:Annika.Alexius@ne.su.se)

<sup>§</sup> Department of Economics, Uppsala University. [Bertil.Holmlund@nek.uu.se](mailto:Bertil.Holmlund@nek.uu.se)

## Table of contents

1	Introduction .....	3
2	Unemployment and macroeconomic policies in Sweden.....	5
2.1	Stabilization policy in turmoil .....	5
2.2	The evolution of unemployment and nonemployment.....	8
2.3	Monetary and fiscal policy after the slump .....	11
3	Model specification .....	13
3.1	The WAR approach.....	13
3.2	Measuring monetary policy.....	15
3.3	Included variables and identification .....	17
4	Data .....	20
5	Results .....	21
5.1	Estimation results .....	21
5.2	Impulse response functions .....	22
5.3	Variance decompositions .....	25
6	Robustness.....	27
7	Concluding remarks .....	29
	References .....	31

# 1 Introduction

The effects of monetary policy shocks on the output gap are relatively well documented. The response of the output gap is hump shaped with the peak occurring between four and six quarters after a shock.<sup>1</sup> By the definition of the output gap, the effect then disappears within the business cycle. Given Okun's law, movements in output can be directly translated into movements in unemployment. The actual reaction of unemployment to monetary policy shocks is however poorly documented and it is not clear whether it really is a mapping of the effects on the output gap. In particular, while U.S. unemployment can reasonably be characterized as a mapping of the output gap, this is arguably not true of unemployment in most European countries.

In this paper we analyze the effects of monetary policy on unemployment in Sweden. Specifically we investigate how much of the fluctuations in unemployment that are caused by monetary policy shocks and how persistent these effects are. Answers to these two questions are obtained from a structural VAR model. Variance decompositions show which shocks that have caused movements in a variable during the sample period and impulse response functions contain information about the magnitude and duration of the effects of a specific structural shock.

Although the effects of monetary policy on unemployment are not as well documented as one might imagine, there is a handful of previous studies of the issues at hand. Ravn and Simonelli (2006) estimate a twelve-variable VAR on U.S. data and study the effects of four structural shocks, including monetary policy, on several labor market indicators. They find that (i) the response of labor market variables to monetary policy shocks is hump shaped, (ii) between 15 and 20 percent of the variance in unemployment is caused by monetary policy shocks, and (iii) the maximum effect of a shock occurs after 4-5 quarters.

The sources of movements in unemployment have been studied using variance decompositions in several papers, including Dolado and Jimeno (1997), Jacobson et al (1997), and Carstensen and Hansen (2000). Dolado and Jimeno analyze Spanish unem-

---

<sup>1</sup> These stylized facts originally stem from Christiano et al. (1996, 1999, 2005). Angeloni et al (2003) show impulse-responses of output to monetary policy in a number of VARs.

ployment and conclude that the main source of unemployment variability is productivity shocks (37 percent), while labor supply shocks and demand shocks account for 25 percent each. Carstensen and Hansen (2000) find that technology shocks and labor supply shocks account for most long-run fluctuations in German unemployment but that goods market shocks are important in the short run. Similar results are found for Italy by Fabiani et al. (2001). Jacobson et al (1997) find that transitory labor demand shocks have negligible effects on unemployment in the Scandinavian countries.<sup>2</sup> Maidorn (2003) on the other hand find that demand shocks account for 40 percent of the ten-year fluctuations in Australian unemployment and Gambetti and Pistoresi (2004) find permanent effects of demand shocks on Italian unemployment that account for almost 60 percent of the ten-year fluctuations. These papers do not identify shocks to monetary policy separately but the total results for demand shocks can be interpreted as an upper bound for the influence of monetary policy. Karanassou et al. (2005) and Christoffel and Linzert (2005), among others, document persistent effects on European unemployment rates using other approaches than VAR models. Jacobson et al. (2003) actually document permanent effects of monetary policy on Swedish unemployment, but they model the rate of unemployment as an I(1) process whereby all shocks automatically have permanent effects. Algan (2002) finds that the standard model works well for the U.S. but fails to capture the rise of French unemployment. Amisano and Serati (2003) conclude that demand shocks have persistent effects on unemployment rates in several European countries. In their SVAR, the effect of a demand shock dies out in 13-17 quarters in the investigated European countries, compared to 7 quarters in the U.S.

This paper differs from previous studies in several respects. First, the demand side of the economy is modeled in a more elaborate fashion. We allow for three different kinds of demand shocks, viz. monetary policy, fiscal policy, and foreign demand. The finding in previous work that demand shocks are unimportant to unemployment fluctuations may well be a consequence of the rudimentary modeling of the demand side of the economy. Our results indicate that around 30 percent of the fluctuations in unem-

---

<sup>2</sup> Their data series end in 1990 and thus exclude Sweden's turbulent experiences during the 1990s.

ployment are caused by shocks to monetary policy. The effects are also quite persistent. In the preferred model, more than 30 percent of the maximum effect of a shock still remains after ten years.

The paper unfolds as follows. Section 2 gives an account of the Swedish unemployment experience and macroeconomic policies over the last couple of decades. Section 3 discusses model specifications, section 4 describes the data and section 5 presents the main results. Section 6 includes extensive robustness checks and section 7 concludes.

## **2 Unemployment and macroeconomic policies in Sweden<sup>3</sup>**

### **2.1 Stabilization policy in turmoil**

For most of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, Sweden pursued a fixed exchange rate policy. After the breakdown of the Bretton Woods system in 1973, the Swedish krona was first pegged to the D-mark (via the “currency snake”) and from 1977 to 1991 to a trade-weighted basket of foreign currencies. A crucial requirement for the feasibility of the fixed-exchange regime was, of course, that domestic inflation was kept in line with inflation abroad. This turned out to become increasingly difficult and a series of devaluations took place in the late 1970s and the early 1980s. These devaluations resulted in substantial (albeit temporary) improvements in competitiveness that counteracted the adverse employment effects of unsustainable inflation. The large devaluations in the early 1980s paved the way for an employment expansion that lasted throughout the decade, reinforced by an international upswing as well as expansionary domestic policies. However, the expansionary domestic policies during the 1980s carried the seeds that ultimately led to a complete regime shift in stabilization policy in the early 1990s.

The credit market was one important source of domestic demand expansion. By the end of 1985, Swedish financial markets had been largely deregulated. Restrictions on household loans in commercial banks and credit institutions had been lifted, which set

---

<sup>3</sup> This section draws heavily on Holmlund (2003).

in motion a rapid increase in bank loans to the household sector. This change took place during a period when marginal tax rates were generally high and when mortgage payments were deductible in income taxation. The interaction of financial deregulation, progressive taxes and generous rules for deducting interest payments created the preconditions for a strong credit expansion. The total credit volume increased at an annual rate of almost 20 percent during 1985-1990. The consumption boom that followed involved a fall in the household saving rate to minus 5 percent of disposable income in 1988 and a gradual build-up of household debt.

The surge in aggregate demand contributed to a fall in unemployment along with a gradual increase in inflation. By the end of the 1980s, unemployment was approaching 1 percent of the labor force. Monetary policy was tied to defending the fixed exchange rate and fiscal policy was too lax to prevent the rise in inflationary pressure.

During the late 1980s, a government committee developed a far-reaching proposal for reform of the Swedish tax system. Key elements were lower marginal tax rates on labor earnings and the introduction of a dual system of income taxation with a 30 percent tax rate on income from capital. Mortgage payments could then be deducted at 30 percent. These reforms were put into practice in 1990 and 1991 and caused a marked increase in after-tax real interest rates. The demand for owner-occupied housing fell predictably; between 1990 and 1993, the fall in real prices amounted to 30 percent. On top of this, the household saving rate rose from minus 5 percent in 1988 to plus 7 percent in 1992. The rise in saving reflected households' attempts to bring down a debt-to-income ratio that had shown a marked increase over the 1980s, especially during the second half of the decade.

In this environment, Swedish stabilization policy took close to a U-turn. The prime objective for decades had been full employment, although the desirability of low inflation was recognized in words. In practice, this has led governments to undertake several devaluations in the late 1970s and the early 1980s so as to restore competitiveness that had been eroded by high inflation and fixed exchange rates. In the early 1990s, the government declared that low inflation was the prime objective of stabilization policy. A unilateral affiliation of the krona to the ECU was declared in May 1991. The



stated intention was to rule out future devaluations as escape routes from unsustainable inflation and loss of competitiveness.

In addition to self-inflicted wounds, Swedish policy making was also hit by bad luck in the early 1990s. An international recession struck during the first years of the decade. Industrial production declined between 1990 and 1993 by 4-5 percent in the EU area and by over 6 percent in Germany. The general weakening of major Swedish export markets added to the falling demand for Swedish exports and reinforced the sharp decline in GDP.

During the fall of 1992, the krona was put under a number of speculative attacks and it became increasingly doubtful whether the fixed exchange rate was sustainable. The real exchange rate was overvalued with between 10 and 20 percent, creating severe difficulties for the export sector. In order to defend the fixed exchange rate, the central bank kept a high short-term interest rate throughout 1992 and raised it to 500 percent in September. Given inflation rates around two percent, also the real interest rate was extremely high during this period. In November 1992, the fixed exchange regime had to be abandoned and the krona was floating. A new monetary regime was established, including an inflationary target (from early 1993) and a more independent central bank (from the late 1990s).

The chronological tale told so far emphasizes two main policy failures. First, it is clear that fiscal policy was too lax in the second half of the 1980s. The fixed exchange rate target had tied the hands of monetary policy and only fiscal policy tools were available to combat rising inflationary pressure. Second, it is also clear that the timing of financial deregulation and tax reform was less than optimal. Under more ideal circumstances, the tax reform should have preceded financial liberalization, rather than the other way around. Had the financial liberalization taken place in an environment with less favorable conditions for household loans, the effects on credit demand and private consumption would have been smaller.

These claims appear fairly uncontroversial, although the fine details of the impact of financial deregulation and tax reform are debatable. More controversial is an assessment of the policy stance vis-à-vis the emerging slump in the early 1990s. A less stubborn

defense of the krona would presumably have cushioned the downturn and led to a less dramatic rise in unemployment.

## **2.2 The evolution of unemployment and nonemployment**

The Swedish unemployment rate displayed modest fluctuations around an average level of 2 percent during the 1960s, the 1970s and the 1980s.<sup>4</sup> A weak trend increase in unemployment could be identified, however. The recession of the early 1970s entailed higher unemployment than what was observed during the 1960s. Likewise, the early 1980s witnessed a recession where unemployment approached 4 percent, a level considered as exceptionally high by the standards of the 1960s and the 1970s. However, by the end of the 1980s the unemployment rate had reached a decade low of 1.1 percent (June 1989).

The three decades from the early 1960s to the late 1980s also involved sharply rising female participation rates. In 1965, female participation in the labor force stood at 54 percent; in 1989 it had risen to 82 percent. Male participation rates fell only modestly – from 89 to 86 percent between 1965 and 1989 – and the aggregate labor force participation rate thus rose dramatically. Employment increased in tandem with the increase in participation. By the late 1980s, employment-to-population rates stood at 85 and 81 percent for males and females, respectively.

The slump of the early 1990s involved a fall in the level of GDP from peak to trough by 6 percent and produced an unprecedented increase in unemployment. Between 1990 and 1993, unemployment rose from 1.5 percent to 8.2 percent. The increase in unemployment was accompanied by a sharp decline in labor force participation among both men and women. The total decline in employment in the early 1990s amounted to around 500 000 persons, or a fall in the employment-to-population rate from 83 percent to 73 percent between 1990 and 1993.

---

<sup>4</sup> These are unemployment figures from the Swedish labor force surveys. The Swedish definition of unemployment has differed slightly from unemployment according to the International Labour Organization (ILO). In particular, students engaged in fulltime job search are classified as unemployed by ILO but has been classified as out of the labor force in the national definition. From October 2007, Sweden has adopted the ILO definitions. We will discuss alternative unemployment measures as we proceed.

Over the period 1993 to 1997, the unemployment rate hovered around 8 percent whereas employment fell slightly (reaching 70.7 percent of population in 1997). However, a strong rebound began in 1997 and involved a rise in GDP growth and employment as well as a substantial fall in unemployment. By 2001 the unemployment rate had fallen to 4 percent and the employment-to-population rate had increased to 75 percent. Unemployment increased during 2003 and reached almost 6 percent in 2004. Unemployment fell during the cyclical upturn over the period 2005-2007 and reached 4 percent by the end of 2007.

The evolution of unemployment according to several measures is displayed in *Figure 1*. The gap between “ILO unemployment” and the national measure consists of full-time students searching for a job. The ILO rate hit 10 percent in 1996 and 1997 and had fallen to 5 percent in 2001. An extended measure of unemployment includes also those “latent job seekers” who are jobless, willing and able to work but do not meet the search criteria for being classified as unemployed.<sup>5</sup> This extended unemployment rate hit 12-13 percent in the mid-1990s and had fallen below 7 percent by 2001.


Rising unemployment is clearly only one part of the increase in nonemployment, the other being rising nonparticipation. *Figure 2* shows how a very broad measure of unemployment – nonemployment – has evolved over time; nonemployment is simply one minus the employment-to-population rate. The 1970s and the 1980s exhibited a trend decline in nonemployment which largely reflected rising female labor force participation. This trend was sharply broken when the slump of the early 1990s hit the Swedish economy. The increase in nonemployment has turned out to be largely persistent. However, part of the increase in nonemployment is driven by enhanced educational opportunities provided by government policies, including an expansion of higher education and initiatives to foster adult education.

A significant fraction of nonparticipation involves “inactivity” associated with early retirement, receipt of disability pensions and long-term sickness. Information on these categories is not available on a consistent basis in the labor force surveys. There is

---


<sup>5</sup> The latent job seeker category comprises also full-time students (including persons in labor market training) that search for employment.

nevertheless strong evidence, from the labor force surveys and other sources, that nonparticipation for various disability and sickness-related reasons has increased over the 1990s.



**Figure 1** Unemployment in Sweden, 1970-2005

*Note* The ILO-definition includes full time students searching for work; the Swedish definition does not. Broad unemployment includes also “latent job searchers”. The unemployment rates are measured relative to the labor force, where the labor force is adjusted so as to reflect the various unemployment concepts. The age groups are 16-64.  
*Source* Labor force surveys, Statistics Sweden.



**Figure 2** Nonemployment in Sweden, 1970-2005

*Notes:* Nonemployment (left axis) is measured as  $(1 - \text{employment}/\text{population})$ . Trend nonemployment is estimated by a Hodrick-Prescott filter. The age groups are 16-64.

*Source:* Labor force surveys, Statistics Sweden.

### 2.3 Monetary and fiscal policy after the slump

When the krona was left to float in November 1992, it depreciated immediately and by the end of 1992 it had fallen by 15 percent against the ECU. Competitiveness was restored to a level comparable to the situation after the devaluation in 1982. The improved competitiveness as well as stronger market growth brought about a rise in exports. Between 1993 and 1995, manufacturing output increased by over 20 percent and manufacturing employment by around 15 percent. Despite this marked rebound, the overall effect on employment was initially negligible because of negative contributions to growth from private and public consumption.

In early 1993, the central bank announced a strategy of inflation targeting. The goal of monetary policy should be to stabilize annual consumer price inflation at 2 percent, with a margin of tolerance of  $\pm 1$  percentage point. A new amendment to the central bank legislation, in force from 1999, gave the central bank greater independence from direct political influence. A new executive board consisting of six full-time members was made responsible for monetary and exchange rate policies.

By and large, the new framework for monetary policy has been successful in achieving its main goal. Inflation has stayed within the tolerable band for most of the time and a credible low inflation regime has been established. The average annual rate of consumer price inflation has been 1.6 percent over the period 1993-2002, thus undershooting the inflation target. But the low inflation regime did not materialize without costs. Available survey-based estimates of inflation expectations from around 1995 indicated that the low inflation target was far from universally credible. According to some measures, expectations of medium-term inflation hovered around 4 percent. These stubborn inflation expectations triggered a series of central bank interventions to establish its non-inflationary credentials. The repo rate (the main signaling rate) was raised in steps between 1994 and 1995, reaching close to 9 percent in the second half of 1995. These policies appeared to be effective as inflation as well as inflation expectations fell over the next couple of years and paved the way for a series of repo rate reductions during 1996.

Whether the successful conquest of inflation has been good or bad for employment remains an open question. The generally restrictive monetary policy of the mid-1990s probably delayed the economic upswing although it may have been necessary in order to secure credibility for the low inflation target. A more controversial issue is whether the new monetary policy framework is employment-friendly or not. There are pros and cons in this matter. On the pros side, it can be argued that a more independent central bank strengthens incentives for wage moderation. On the cons side, an ambitious price stabilization target may arguably be in conflict with ambitious employment goals in the presence of nominal rigidities as emphasized by Akerlof et al (1996, 2000).

When the slump hit the economy, the government's budget deficit rose sharply and by 1992 the budget deficit for the consolidated public sector stood at 12 percent of GDP. The government's debt-to-GDP ratio amounted to 76 percent by the end of the year. The need to bring government finances under control became a top priority for the new (social democratic) government in 1994. The following years involved a major effort to stabilize government debt and to reduce the budget deficit. The program entailed expenditure cuts, especially concerning transfers, as well as tax increases. The policies were resoundingly successful in terms of the stated objectives: by the end of the

decade, the government's budget deficit was eliminated and the debt-to-GDP ratio had declined to 60 percent.

The generally contractive fiscal policy is presumably one reason why unemployment remained stubbornly high in the mid-1990s. However, the fiscal consolidation added credibility to the anti-inflationary stance of macroeconomic policy. Fiscal policies were eased to support growth of private and public consumption as the budgetary goals were met and absent any visible threat to the low inflation target.

Summing up, several sources of movements in Swedish unemployment can be identified. Monetary policy was extremely contractionary during most of 1992, with the nominal short-term interest rate hitting 500 percent at the same time as the real exchange rate was overvalued. Fiscal policy was contractionary in the mid-1990s and probably expansionary in the late 1990s. International business cycle movements almost certainly have had substantial effects on the small open Swedish economy. We will in the remaining part of the paper make use of a small structural VAR model to disentangle how various shocks can account for the Swedish unemployment experience.

## **3 Model specification**

### **3.1 The VAR approach**

Unemployment is conceivably affected by a very large number of factors including foreign demand, fiscal policy, international competitiveness, productivity shocks and oil price shocks. In order to analyze the effects of monetary policy, these other variables have to be taken into account as well. However, a structural VAR model quickly becomes cumbersome as the number of included variables increases. The number of parameters to be estimated increases with the number of lags times the number of endogenous variables, while the length of available time series restricts the possible number of observations. Furthermore, the number of theoretically sensible identifying assumptions to separate the different structural shocks also increases with  $n(n-r)/2$ , where  $n$  is the number of endogenous variables and  $r$  is the number of cointegrating relations (possibly zero). We have to choose a reasonably small set of variables that still covers as much as possible of the movements in unemployment that are unrelated to

monetary policy. Unemployment itself and a measure of monetary policy have to be included, as does foreign demand in case of a small open economy like Sweden. Any foreign variables can be assumed to be exogenous, which facilitates identification and saves degrees of freedom. Based on results from the previous studies discussed above, domestic demand, productivity, and fiscal policy are also major sources of movements in unemployment. We therefore include the Swedish output gap to capture domestic demand shocks, a measure of productivity shocks, and a measure of fiscal policy shocks. The latter two variables will be discussed in detail below. The VAR model hence includes six variables, three of which will be modeled as exogenous (productivity, fiscal policy and foreign demand).

Several different methods of identification are available within the VAR literature. In a seminal article by Blanchard and Quah (1989), restrictions are imposed on the long-run effects of shocks. For instance, productivity shocks are separated from demand shocks by assuming that demand shocks do not affect real output in the long run while productivity shocks do. Alexius and Carlsson (2005) show that this long-run restriction works well in the sense that the identified technology shocks are highly correlated with refined Solow residuals in Swedish data. In Sims (1980) identification is achieved using short-run restrictions on the timing of the effects of shocks only. For instance, monetary policy shocks are frequently identified by assuming that a change in the interest rate does not affect inflation in the same period since prices are sticky and respond with a delay. We believe that a combination of the two approaches yields the most convincing identification in this context. In an  $n$ -variable system a total of  $n(n-1)/2$  restrictions are needed for exact identification after imposing an identity covariance matrix of the structural shocks.



We start with the VMA( $\infty$ ) form of the reduced form estimation

$$(1) \quad x_t = A(L)e_t$$

where  $A(L)$  is the inverted lag polynomial from the reduced form estimation and  $e_t$  denotes the reduced form residuals. Then, assume that the structural form VMA( $\infty$ ) can be written as

$$(2) \quad x_t = C(L)\varepsilon_t$$

where  $C(L)$  is the structural counterpart to  $A(L)$  above and  $\varepsilon_t$  are the structural shocks. Equating the two representations of the system in (2) and (3) and manipulating we get

$$(3) \quad C(1) = A(1)C_0$$

where  $C(1)$  is the long-run VMA impact matrix of the structural shocks,  $A(1)$  the estimated VMA( $\infty$ ) from the reduced form estimation stage and  $C_0$  the short-run matrix defining the reduced form shocks as linear combinations of the structural shocks. This short run impact matrix is all we need for further analysis through impulse response functions and forecast error variance decompositions since it traces out the effects of structural shocks to the variables

### 3.2 Measuring monetary policy

The defense of the Swedish Krona in 1992 resulted in unusually large monetary policy shocks, which provides an interesting opportunity to study the effects of monetary policy on other variables such as unemployment. The Riksbank raised the interest rate up to no less than 500 percent in order to defend the fixed exchange rate before the Krona was eventually allowed to float. Real interest rates increased even more than nominal interest rates during this episode because inflation fell by almost ten percentage points between 1990 and 1992. Meanwhile the real exchange rate was heavily overvalued, which resulted in a drastic reduction of Swedish exports. This is difficult to capture using conventional measures of monetary policy. The standard measure of monetary policy in fixed exchange rate regimes is the nominal exchange rate change. However, the nominal exchange rate remained fixed from 1982 to November 1992. Hence, no monetary policy action at all was implemented during the period in question

according to this measure. It would not capture the overvalued real exchange rate or the high real interest rates. Another alternative is to use changes in the nominal interest rate. This measure would capture some of the increase in real interest rate but again fail to detect the overvalued real exchange rate. Keeping the nominal value of the exchange rate fixed while the real exchange rate is overvalued constitutes a contractionary monetary policy, as witnessed by the rapidly deteriorating competitiveness of Swedish exporting firms.

We propose to use a so called monetary conditions index (MCI) that captures the total effect on the economy of the exchange rate and the interest rate.<sup>6</sup> An MCI is a weighted average of the real interest rate and the real exchange rate, where the weights depend on the relative effects of the two variables on demand. This allows us to capture both the overvalued real exchange rate and the high real interest rates in a single variable.

A second advantage of an MCI is that it can be used for both fixed and floating exchange rate regimes. The main alternative is to split the sample and estimate two different models using different variables to represent monetary policy in the two regimes. However, if the sample is split in November 1992 and two different models are estimated for the two sub periods, the recession in 1993-94 loses any possible link to the 1992 monetary policy since these two events are not included in the same sample. If we want to analyze the effects of these major Swedish monetary policy shocks we have to include data both from fixed and floating exchange rate regimes and use a measure of monetary policy that is applicable to both regimes. An MCI has thus several advantages as measure of monetary policy in this particular application. It also has some drawbacks. The construction of an MCI requires assumptions about unobservable phenomena such as the equilibrium levels of the real exchange rate and interest rate and the relative effect of these two variables on demand. Any particular assumption can always be subjected to valid criticism as there is no fool proof way of estimating these things. Secondly, an MCI may capture movements in real exchange rates and real interest rates that are not actually due to monetary policy. Endogenous responses of the

---

<sup>6</sup> This measure has been used by, among others, Bank of Canada, as operational target of policy.

MCI to changes in variables included in the model are not classified as monetary policy in the VAR, but responses to variables outside the model result in monetary policy measurement errors. Because an MCI is a more complex variable than e.g. the nominal short-term interest rate, it is more susceptible to such measurement errors.

The Riksbank used an MCI for several years after the floating of the Krona, with a relative weight of 2.4 to 1 estimated on Swedish data. This implies that one percentage point increase of the real interest rate has 2.4 times as large effect on aggregate demand as a real exchange rate appreciation of one percent. For our sample period, the corresponding estimated constant weight is 1.53. Due to regime shifts the relative effect of the real interest rate versus the real exchange rate on demand may also change over time. The relative effect of the short-term real interest rate has increased over our sample, while the opposite is true for the real exchange rate. The real interest rate even has insignificant effects on demand in the beginning of the 1980s when credit was allocated using quantity restrictions rather than market prices. In the baseline specification we use time-varying weighting parameters estimated using a seven-year rolling window and smoothed using an MA(16). We use deviations from a linear trend as proxy for deviations from equilibrium; neither the equilibrium real exchange rate nor the equilibrium real interest rate has remained constant over the sample period.<sup>7</sup> Because each of these assumptions and measures can be questioned we include several differently constructed MCIs in the robustness section.

*Figure 3 a* and *Figure 3 b* show the short-term real interest rate and the real effective exchange rate, each along with our baseline measure of monetary policy.


### **3.3 Included variables and identification**

Our baseline specification includes three endogenous and three exogenous variables. The domestic output gap, unemployment, and monetary policy are modeled as endogenous. The exogenous variables are foreign output gap, productivity shocks, and a measure of the structural budget deficit. We tried to include observed fiscal policy in the

---


<sup>7</sup> For instance, Alexius and Lindberg (1996) show that the unobservable equilibrium real exchange rate can be modelled as a function of the relative productivity development in Sweden and its trading partners, which in turn is approximately linear over the sample period.

model but the effects of this variable on the output gap and unemployment were consistently estimated with the wrong sign, i.e. expansionary fiscal policy decreased the output gap and increased unemployment. A possible reason for this counterintuitive result is the strong co-movement of the budget deficits and unemployment during the deep recession of the early 1990s; as noted above, the consolidated public sector's budget deficit stood at 12 percent of GDP in 1992 while unemployment soared. This should be captured as effects on the budget of unemployment and the output gap, but as these effects appear to be non-linear far away from equilibrium, they may spill over into the estimated effect of fiscal policy on activity. Indeed we have to include the output gap squared to remove cyclical effects from fiscal policy in a separate VAR.<sup>8</sup>



**Figure 3 a** Real interest rate and MCI

<sup>8</sup> This is done using a two-lag, two-variable VAR with the output gap and the budget deficit as endogenous variables and the output gap squared as exogenous variable. The estimated business cycles are then removed by subtracting the estimated effects of the output gap and output gap squared from the budget deficit to obtain our measure of the structural budget deficit. The square of the output gap is highly significant, which confirms that non-linearities are important when the deviations from equilibrium are large.



**Figure 3 b** Real exchange rate and MCI

Since we cannot separate real output into a demand driven output gap and a productivity driven trend within this VAR, productivity shocks are identified in a first step using the Galí (1999) two-variable VAR and included as an exogenous variable in the main model. We are hence left with three exogenous and three endogenous variables: domestic output gap ( $y$ ), unemployment ( $u$ ), monetary policy ( $MCI$ ), foreign output gap ( $y^*$ ), technology ( $A$ ), and fiscal policy ( $G$ ):

$$(4) \quad x = [y, u, MCI, y^*, A, G]$$

Since the three last variables are exogenous, three restrictions are needed for exact identification. Monetary policy shocks are identified by assuming that a change in the interest rate does not affect the output gap and unemployment in the same period, i.e. that monetary policy affects the economy with a lag of at least one period. This is the standard way of identifying monetary policy shocks in VAR models. There are two additional shocks in the VAR, presumably a domestic demand shock and another labor market shock (such as labor supply or wage setting). Given the absence of theoretically

justified identifying restrictions we refrain from labeling these two shocks. The resulting monetary policy shocks are the residuals from the MCI-equation in the VAR. Estimated responses of other endogenous variables are hence excluded from the definition of monetary policy.

The number of lags in the VAR is determined using information criteria and misspecification tests. As VAR models are autoregressive, it is important to include enough lags to remove residual autocorrelation. Based on this information we have included two lags in the base-line model. There are arguments in favor of three and even four lags but we have settled for the more parsimonious specification. The robustness of the main results with respect to the lag length is investigated in Section 6.

The level of unemployment is formally non-stationary over the sample period. Given that we want to estimate the persistence of shocks rather than assume that the effects are permanent, deterministic components are added to the model in a manner that yields a stationary VAR and also a stationary unemployment rate. This can be achieved using either a deterministic time trend or an intercept dummy variable from 1992. Measures of model fit unanimously favor the deterministic trend specification. Again, models with a 1992 dummy variable and also without deterministic components are estimated in Section 6.

## 4 Data

We focus mainly on the conventional measure of unemployment, i.e., unemployment according to the ILO-criteria. As robustness checks, we also consider extended measures of unemployment, viz. (i) broad unemployment that includes latent job seekers in addition to the ILO-unemployed, and (ii) the nonemployment rate measured as one minus the employment-to-population rate. The unemployment series are taken from the Swedish labor force surveys and seasonally adjusted using Tramo/Seats.

Real GDP is collected from Statistics Sweden and seasonally adjusted using Tramo/Seats. The output gap is obtained using a Hodrick-Prescott filter with  $\lambda = 10,000$ . The effective real exchange rate is taken from the International Monetary Fund's International Financial Statistics, as is the three months nominal interest rate.

The latter is deflated using seasonally adjusted (Tramo/Seats) realized inflation calculated as the percentage change of total CPI, also from Statistics Sweden. The government deficit is collected from OECD's Main Economic Indicators and converted to fraction of GDP by dividing with nominal GDP, also from OECD's Main Economic Indicators. As described in footnote 8, we use an estimated measure of the structural budget deficit in the VAR due to non-linear effects of the output gap on the fiscal balance during deep recessions in particular. Data on foreign real GDP (OECD 16 minus Belgium due to lack of data) are also taken from OECD's Main Economic Indicators and weighted together to a single series using the same TCW weights as for the effective real exchange rate. The foreign output gap is then constructed using a Hodrick-Prescott filter with  $\lambda = 10,000$ .

## 5 Results

### 5.1 Estimation results

*Table 1* summarizes the estimation results. It contains the sums of the coefficients on the two lags of each variable and a Wald test for their joint significance. The signs are largely as expected. Contractionary monetary policy increases unemployment and decreases the output gap. A higher output gap decreases unemployment and causes a monetary policy contraction. Expansionary fiscal policy decreases unemployment and increases the output gap. Foreign demand shocks reduce unemployment, increase the domestic output gap and cause contractions of monetary policy. Technology shocks decrease unemployment and increase the output gap.

**Table 1** VAR estimates

	<i>u</i>	<i>y</i>	<i>MCI</i>
L( <i>u</i> )	0.916 (0.000)	-0.010 (0.132)	14.246 (0.000)
L( <i>y</i> )	-0.166 (0.000)	0.899 (0.000)	36.915 (0.000)
L( <i>MCI</i> )	2.901E-4 (0.000)	-2.604E-4 (0.007)	0.813 (0.000)
<i>y</i> *	-3.927E-4 (0.093)	0.103 (0.016)	36.169 (0.000)
A	-1.017E-3 (0.009)	7.603E-3 (0.000)	-0.181 (0.637)
G	-0.020 (0.294)	0.073 (0.001)	-5.383 (0.004)
Time trend	7.66E-5 (0.000)	8.72E-7 (0.967)	-0.034 (0.075)
Adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.9923	0.8429	0.9719
Portmanteau(12)	46.409 (0.115)		
LM(3)	3.142 (0.370)		
Log Likelihood	644.50		

*Notes:* The estimation period is 1980:1 to 2005:1. The table contains the sums of the coefficients on the two lags of each variable, *p*-values within parentheses. Wald tests for joint significance of both lags of each variable. LM-tests for lower order autocorrelation and White's heteroscedasticity test (not reported) are insignificant.


## 5.2 Impulse response functions

Impulse response functions trace out the path of the effects of a structural shock on a variable over time using equation (2). We are particularly interested in the effects of monetary policy shocks on the unemployment rate, shown in *Figure 4a*. Quantitatively these results can be interpreted as follows. . A contractionary monetary policy shock of one standard deviation (or an increase in the real interest rate of approximately 2.3 percentage points) results in 0.25 percentage points higher unemployment after 9 quarters. After ten years unemployment is still 0.07 percentage points higher than it would have been without the shock. As this is a stationary VAR, all shocks are temporary but monetary policy is itself persistent so the shock dies out gradually.

As in Ravn and Simonelli (2006), the response of unemployment to monetary policy shocks is hump shaped. In our baseline model a contractionary monetary policy shock obtains its maximum effect on unemployment after 9 quarters, which is a more protracted response than in Ravn and Simonelli (2006). Half of the maximum effect has




disappeared after 30 quarters and 28 percent of it still remains after ten years. This can be compared to the results in Ravn and Simonelli (2006) where a monetary policy shock reaches its maximum effect on unemployment after 5 quarters. Their estimated half life is much shorter, only 8 quarters, and none of the effect remains after ten years. In fact, their estimated impulse response returns to zero already after four years. Hence monetary policy has more persistent effects on unemployment in Sweden than in the U.S. Since also univariate models of unemployment display more persistence in Sweden and generally Europe than in the U.S., the reason for the different results is probably found in the functioning of the labor markets rather than in factors related to monetary policy.



**Figure 4 a** Response of unemployment to a monetary policy shock

*Note:* Response to a temporary one percentage point increase in the real interest rate. 95 percent asymptotic confidence intervals. The left scale is in units of percentage points divided by 100.



**Figure 4 b** Response of the output gap to a monetary policy shock

*Note:* Response to a temporary one percentage point increase in the real interest rate. 95 percent asymptotic confidence intervals. The left scale is in units of percentage points divided by 100.

The response of unemployment to monetary policy can also be compared to the response of the output gap as shown in *Figure 4 b*. These results are fairly similar to the stylized facts. The maximum effect occurs after 5 quarters, compared to 11 quarters for unemployment. Half of the maximum effect has disappeared after 11 quarters, while this takes 30 quarters in the case of unemployment. The estimated impulse response of the output gap returns to zero after 23 quarters, while the effect on unemployment still persists also after ten years.

The estimated coefficients behind the impulse response functions can be used to determine how much unemployment increased due to the contractionary monetary policy during the 1992 crisis. The total effect can be calculated by feeding the sequence of structural residuals or monetary policy shocks from 1991:4 to 1992:3 into the impulse response functions in (2). The effects on unemployment over time are shown in *Figure 5*. The peak occurs during 1994:4 when 5.6 percent or slightly more than half of the Swedish unemployment was due to the contractionary monetary policy during

1991-1992. If the effects up to 2005:1 are accumulated, they imply that 35 percent of the labor force was unemployed for one year.<sup>9</sup>

The impact of monetary policy may appear large, but it should be kept in mind that the policy was exceptionally contractionary during 1991-92. Monetary policy has a non-negligible impact on unemployment also according to the Riksbank's own model-based simulations; see Sveriges Riksbank (2007). A one percentage point higher short-term interest rate is estimated to be associated with around 0.8 percentage points higher unemployment<sup>10</sup>


### 5.3 Variance decompositions

Forecast error variance decompositions contain information about how much of the fluctuations in a variable that are caused by each of the structural shocks at different horizons. We are interested in the share of movements in unemployment that is due to monetary policy shocks and, in general, which shocks that have been important sources of movements in Swedish unemployment. *Table 2* shows that monetary policy shocks account for 22-35 percent of the fluctuations in unemployment depending on the horizon. This is slightly more than in Ravn and Simonelli (2006), where the corresponding share was below 20 percent.

---

<sup>9</sup> Technically, the impulse response functions are derived from the VMA representation  $x_t=C(L)e_t$ , where  $x_t$  is the vector of endogenous variables and  $e_t$  are the structural shocks. In this experiment, the monetary policy shocks 1991:4, 1992:1, 1992:2 and 1992:3 are fed through the estimate of  $C(L)$  to obtain the effect in each period of each shock. The effects of the four shocks on unemployment are then summed for each observation.

<sup>10</sup> The Riksbank considers alternative paths of interest rates for 3-4 years. The path with "high" interest rates involves 0.72 percentage points higher interest rate by the end of 2010 relative to a baseline scenario. This path is associated with 0.57 percentage points higher unemployment by the end of 2010.



**Figure 5** Effect on unemployment to estimated monetary policy shocks 1991:4 to 1992:3

**Table 2** Variance decompositions

<b>Horizon</b>	<b>Foreign demand</b>	<b>Technology</b>	<b>Monetary policy</b>	<b>Fiscal policy</b>	<b>Other</b>
6 quarters	7.16	11.58	22.26	11.09	47.91
20 quarters	8.55	13.61	35.49	15.90	26.45
40 quarters	7.56	13.61	34.42	20.69	23.69


Composite shocks consisting of domestic demand shocks and labor market shocks account for 48 percent of the short-run fluctuations in unemployment, while the share falls to 23 percent at longer horizons. Fiscal policy and technology shocks have minor effects as they account for 10-20 percent of the fluctuations. Our results also attribute a minor role to foreign variables. The share of foreign demand shocks does not exceed 9 percent at any horizon, a finding that is robust across different specifications of the model. This can be compared to the findings in Lindé (2003), where foreign variables appear to be an important source of fluctuations in Swedish real output. Our findings indicate that this may not be true in the case of the unemployment rate.

## 6 Robustness

Given that the model is estimated conditional on a number of specific assumptions, we devote considerable attention to investigating the robustness of the results to variations in these assumptions. Some issues appear minor but could still have potentially large effects on the results, like the number of lags in the VAR and the choice between a deterministic trend and a 1992 regime shift dummy variable. The measures of monetary policy and unemployment are in a sense more fundamental.

*Figure 6* contains the impulse response functions of unemployment to monetary policy shocks in eight different specifications. First, it is clear that the effects peak later than in previous studies, after 8-12 quarters compared to five in Ravn and Simonelli (2006). The magnitude of the maximum effect varies between 0.22 and 0.41 percentage points, where the response is calculated for a shock of one standard deviation. The standard deviation of the identified monetary policy shocks typically corresponds to a real interest rate movement of two to three percent.

In absolute numbers, unemployment ten years after a contractionary monetary policy shock of one standard deviation would be between 0.025 and 0.17 percentage points higher than it otherwise would have been. The highest estimated persistence belongs to the model without dummy variable and deterministic trend and the lowest stems from the baseline specification where the MCI is constructed using coefficient of three for the real exchange rate against one for the real interest rate.



**Figure 6** Impulse responses of unemployment to monetary policy shocks, alternative specifications

*Note:* The responses are calculated for a shock of one standard deviation

*Table 3* summarizes the long-run effects of monetary policy shocks on unemployment. The results in focus are the share of monetary policy shocks in the variance decompositions of unemployment and a measure of the persistence of the effects of monetary policy shocks on unemployment. The share of monetary policy shocks in the ten-year forecast error variance decomposition of unemployment varies between 25 and 45 percent. The final column shows the share of the maximum effect of monetary policy on unemployment that remains after ten years, i.e. the impulse response after 40 quarters divided by the peak effect for each model. This is a non-robust result as the share in question varies between 8 and 38 percent.

**Table 3** Robustness checks

<b>Specification</b>	<b>FEVD 40 quarters</b>	<b>Share remaining after 10 years</b>
Extended unemployment	33.67	19.00
Non-employment	39.12	35.93
1992 dummy rather than trend	44.93	64.26
Without trend and without 1992 dummy	43.14	48.75
4 lags in VAR	38.45	39.44
Constant coefficients in MCI	27.01	20.59
Standard coefficients and constant equilibria in MCI	25.79	24.44
Baseline	34.42	28.10

## 7 Concluding remarks

Unemployment in Sweden remained low at 2-3 percent throughout the 1970s and the 1980s but hit double-digit levels in the early 1990s. It is likely that the rise in unemployment was partly driven by a series of adverse macroeconomic shocks, including a contractionary monetary policy as the Riksbank stubbornly defended the fixed exchanged rate. Institutional factors may also have played some role, although these are somewhat difficult to capture empirically.

Our paper focuses on the effects of monetary policy on Swedish unemployment fluctuations. To that end, we estimate a structural VAR model and find that between 22 and 35 percent of the fluctuations in unemployment are caused by shocks to monetary policy. The effects are also quite persistent. In the preferred model, around 30 percent of the effects of a shock still remain after ten years. As the major aspects of the model is varied across reasonable alternatives, the share of the maximum effect of a

monetary policy shock that remains after ten years ranges between about 19 and 65 percent. While this maximum effect occurs already after five quarters in the U.S., the hump-shaped responses peak after 7-17 quarters in Sweden depending on the exact specification of the VAR. Hence monetary policy appears to have slightly larger and much more persistent effects on unemployment in Sweden than in the U.S. It is plausible that these differences reflect differences in labor market institutions rather than monetary policy. Employment protection legislation, in particular, is much more stringent in Sweden, a fact which is bound to increase unemployment persistence.

The reaction of unemployment to monetary policy shocks is found to be different from, and in particular much more persistent than, the reaction of the output gap to monetary policy shocks. Our estimates of the latter impulse response function are fairly consistent with stylized facts. The maximum effect of monetary policy on output occurs after 5 quarters, which is a standard finding. Half of the effect of the shock has disappeared after three years and the point estimate returns to zero after six years. The latter results imply slightly more persistence also in the output gap than what is typically observed although not nearly as much persistence as we document in case of the response of unemployment to monetary policy shocks. Hence it is not correct to view the reaction of unemployment to a shock as simply a mapping of the corresponding reaction of the output gap.

Although our study attributes a significant role to monetary policy, a more complete explanation of Swedish unemployment requires an understanding of the causes of the trend rise that we have taken as exogenous. This remains as an important (although difficult) issue for future research. A second interesting remaining question is whether the prolonged effects of monetary policy on unemployment are present also in other European countries.



## References

- Akerlof, G, W Dickens and G Perry (1996), The Macroeconomics of Low Inflation, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, No. 1, pp. 1-76.
- Akerlof, G, W Dickens and G Perry (2000), Near-Rational Wage and Price Setting and the Long-Run Phillips Curve, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity*, No. 1, pp. 1-60.
- Alexius, A and M Carlsson (2005), Measures of technology and the business cycle, *Review of Economics and Statistics* vol. 87, issue 2, pp. 299-307.
- Alexius, A and H Lindberg (1996), The Krona's Equilibrium Real Exchange Rate, *Sveriges Riksbank Quarterly Review*, issue 1, pp. 19-34.
- Algan, Y (2002), How Well Does the Aggregate Demand-Aggregate Supply Framework Explain Unemployment Fluctuations? A France-United States Comparison, *Economic Modelling*, vol. 19, issue 1, pp. 153-77.
- Amisano, G and M Serati (2003), What Goes Up Sometimes Stays Up: Shocks and Institutions As Determinants of Unemployment Persistence, *Scottish Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 50, issue 4, pp. 440-70.
- Angeloni, I, A Kashyap, B Mojon and D Terlizzese (2003), The Output Composition Puzzle: A Difference in the Monetary Transmission Mechanism in the Euro Area and United States, *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, vol. 35, issue 6, pp. 1265-1306.
- Blanchard, O and D Quah (1989), The Dynamic Effects of Aggregate Demand and Supply Disturbances, *American Economic Review*, 79:4, 654-673.
- Carstensen, K and G Hansen (2000), Cointegration and Common Trends on the West German Labour Market, *Empirical Economics*, vol. 25, issue 3, pp. 475-93.
- Christoffel, K and T Linzert (2005), The Role of Real Wage Rigidity and Labor Market Frictions for Unemployment and Inflation Dynamics, European Central Bank Working Paper 556.

- Christiano, L, M Eichenbaum, and C Evans (1996), The Effects of Monetary Policy Shocks: Evidence from the Flow of Funds, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, vol. 78, issue 1, pp. 16-34.
- Christiano, L, M Eichenbaum, and C Evans (1999), Monetary Policy Shocks: What Have We Learned and to What End? In *Handbook of Macroeconomics*, vol. 1A, pp. 65-148. Amsterdam; New York and Oxford: Elsevier Science, North-Holland.
- Christiano, L, M Eichenbaum, and C Evans (2005), Nominal Rigidities and the Dynamic Effects of a Shock to Monetary Policy, *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 113, issue 1, pp. 1-45.
- Dolado J and J Jimeno (1997), The Causes of Spanish Unemployment: A Structural VAR Approach, *European Economic Review*, vol. 41, issue 7, pp. 1281-1307.
- Fabiani, S, A Locarno, G P Oneto, and P Sestito (2001), The Sources of Unemployment Fluctuations: An Empirical Application to the Italian Case, *Labour Economics*, vol. 8, issue 2, pp. 259-89.
- Gali, J (1999), Technology, Employment, and the Business Cycle: Do Technology Shocks Explain Aggregate Fluctuations, *American Economic Review* vol. 89, issue 1, pp. 248-271.
- Gambetti, L and B Pistorresi (2004), Policy Matters: The Long Run Effects of Aggregate Demand and Mark-Up Shocks on the Italian Unemployment Rate, *Empirical Economics* vol. 29, issue 2, pp. 209-26.
- Holmlund, B (2003), The Rise and Fall of Swedish Unemployment, CESifo Working Paper 918.
- Jacobson, T, A Vredin, and A Warne (1997), Common Trends and Hysteresis in Scandinavian Unemployment, *European Economic Review* vol. 41, issue 9, pp. 1781-1816.
- Jacobson, T, P Jansson, A Vredin, and A Warne (2002), Identifying the Effects of Monetary Policy Shocks in an Open Economy, Sveriges Riksbank Working Paper No 134.

- Karanassou, M, H Sala, and D Snower (2005), A Reappraisal of the Inflation-Unemployment Tradeoff, *European Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 21, issue 1, pp. 1-32.
- L'Horty, Y and C Rault (2003), Why Is French Equilibrium Unemployment So High? An Estimation of the WS-PS Model, *Journal of Applied Economics*, vol. 6, issue 1, pp. 127-56.
- Lindé, J (2003), Monetary Policy Shocks and Business Cycle Fluctuations in a Small Open Economy: Sweden 1986-2002, Sveriges Riksbank Working Paper No 153.
- Maidorn, S (2003), The Effects of Shocks on the Austrian Unemployment Rate – A Structural VAR Approach, *Empirical Economics*, vol. 28, issue 2, pp. 387-402.
- Ravn, M and S Simonelli (2006), Labor Market Dynamics and the Business Cycle: Structural Evidence for the United States, manuscript, European University Institute.
- Sims, C (1980), Macroeconomics and Reality, *Econometrica*, vol. 48, 1-48.
- Sveriges Riksbank (2007), *Penningpolitisk rapport 2007:3*.
- Villiani, M and A Warne (2003), Monetary Policy Analysis in a Small Open Economy using Bayesian Cointegrated Structural VARs, Sveriges Riksbank Working Paper No 156.

## **Publication series published by the Institute for Labour Market Policy Evaluation (IFAU) – latest issues**

### **Rapporter/Reports**

- 2008:1** de Luna Xavier, Anders Forslund & Linus Liljeberg "Effekter av yrkesinriktad arbetsmarknadsutbildning för deltagare under perioden 2002–04"
- 2008:2** Johansson Per & Sophie Langenskiöld "Ett alternativt program för äldre långtidsarbetslösa – utvärdering av Arbetstorget för erfarna"
- 2008:3** Hallberg Daniel "Hur påverkar konjunktursvängningar förtida tjänstepensionering?"
- 2008:4** Dahlberg Matz & Eva Mörk "Valår och den kommunala politiken"
- 2008:5** Engström Per, Patrik Hesselius, Bertil Holmlund & Patric Tirmén "Hur fungerar arbetsförmedlingens anvisningar av lediga platser?"
- 2008:6** Nilsson J Peter "De långsiktiga konsekvenserna av alkoholkonsumtion under graviditeten"
- 2008:7** Alexius Annika & Bertil Holmlund "Penningpolitiken och den svenska arbetslösheten"

### **Working papers**

- 2008:1** Albrecht James, Gerard van den Berg & Susan Vroman "The aggregate labor market effects of the Swedish knowledge lift programme"
- 2008:2** Hallberg Daniel "Economic fluctuations and retirement of older employees"
- 2008:3** Dahlberg Matz & Eva Mörk "Is there an election cycle in public employment? Separating time effects from election year effects"
- 2008:4** Nilsson J Peter "Does a pint a day affect your child's pay? The effect of prenatal alcohol exposure on adult outcomes"
- 2008:5** Alexius Annika & Bertil Holmlund "Monetary policy and Swedish unemployment fluctuations"

### **Dissertation series**

- 2007:1** Lundin Martin "The conditions for multi-level governance: implementation, politics and cooperation in Swedish active labor market policy"
- 2007:2** Edmark Karin "Interactions among Swedish local governments"
- 2008:1** Andersson Christian "Teachers and Student outcomes: evidence using Swedish data"