Aging is associated with structural and functional changes in the vasculature, including endothelial dysfunction, arterial stiffening and remodeling, impaired angiogenesis, and defective vascular repair, and with increased prevalence of atherosclerosis. Cardiovascular risk is similar for older men and women, but lower in women during their fertile years. This age- and sex-related difference points to estrogen as a protective factor because menopause is marked by the loss of endogenous estrogen production. Experimental and some clinical studies have attributed most of the protective effects of estrogen to its modulatory action on vascular endothelium. Estrogen promotes endothelial-derived NO production through increased expression and activity of endothelial nitric oxide synthase, and modulates prostacyclin and thromboxane A2 release. The thromboxane A2 pathway is key to regulating vascular tone in females. Despite all the experimental evidence, some clinical trials have reported no cardiovascular benefit from estrogen replacement therapy in older postmenopausal women. The “Timing Hypothesis,” which states that estrogen-mediated vascular benefits occur only before the detrimental effects of aging are established in the vasculature, offers a possible explanation for these discrepancies. Nevertheless, a gap remains in current knowledge of cardiovascular aging mechanisms in women. This review comprises clinical and experimental data on the effects of aging, estrogens, and hormone replacement therapy on vascular function of females. We aim to clarify how menopause and aging contribute jointly to vascular aging and how estrogen modulates vascular response at different ages.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) is the leading cause of mortality in both men and women in developed countries. Nonetheless, sex-associated differences regarding the age of CVD onset and its progression are observed worldwide. Incidence of CVD in premenopausal women is markedly lower than age-matched men in epidemiological studies (Messerli et al., 1987; Bairey Merz et al., 2006; Shaw et al., 2006). After menopause, however, the incidence is comparable or even higher in women than in men (Lerner and Kannel, 1986; Eaker et al., 1993), making CVD the primary cause of death in postmenopausal women (55 versus 43% in men), exceeding all cancer deaths (Rosamond et al., 2008). The lower CVD risk among fertile women is often attributed to the protective role of estrogens at the vascular level. According to epidemiological observations and extensive basic research, estrogen and other sex steroids have direct cardiovascular benefits. Estrogen modulates a myriad of molecular pathways that improve vascular function, whether at the physiological level or when administered as hormone replacement therapy (HRT; Grodstein et al., 2000, 2001; Miller and Duckles, 2008).

Nevertheless, some clinical studies have questioned the protective value of HRT against vascular disease. Two randomized clinical trials, the Women’s Health Initiative (WHI; Rossouw et al., 2002) and the Heart and Estrogen/Progestin Replacement Study (HERS I and II; Gambacciani et al., 2002), indicate that HRT may increase CVD risk and events in postmenopausal women. The reason for this paradox could be attributable to many patient characteristics, including age.

Although aging occurs progressively in both men and women, the onset of menopause marks a sudden increase in the appearance of aging-associated signs in women, and more specifically in the progress of vascular aging. Information about the role of age and menopause in the development of CVD in women is scarce. This review of clinical and experimental data on the effects of aging, estrogens, and HRT on vascular function of females aims to clarify how menopause and aging contribute jointly to vascular aging and how estrogen modulates vascular response at different ages.

**HOW DO ESTROGENS AFFECT VASCULAR FUNCTION?**

The numerous vascular effects of estrogens are triggered by complex genomic and non-genomic mechanisms. They include modulation of vascular function and inflammatory response as well as metabolic and hemodynamic effects. Estradiol, the most abundant and potent estrogen in humans, mainly binds and activates estrogen receptors (ERs). Vascular estrogen signaling involves at least three ERs identified in both vascular smooth muscle and
endothelium, reinforcing the idea that estrogen has a key role in controlling vascular function. The classical subtypes ERα (Soloff and Szego, 1969) and ERβ (Kuiper et al., 1996) vary not only in their tissue distributions, but also in their agonist/antagonist profile with respect to several compounds (Cano and Hermenegildo, 2000). These ER subtypes belong to the intracellular receptors classically defined as nuclear ligand-activated transcription factors. Activation of these receptors by the corresponding hormones affects gene expression by acting on estrogen-response elements in the target genes and modulating transcriptional events (Beato et al., 1995). Estrogen binding to ERα and ERβ regulates gene expression in a time- and tissue-dependent manner, generating controversy about the type of receptor involved in vascular protection (Murphy, 2011). In the cardiovascular system, both ERα and ERβ have been identified in the endothelium, smooth muscle cells, adventitia, and adrenergic nerve endings of arteries from various territories and several species, including humans (Karas et al., 1994; Kim-Schulze et al., 1996; Venkov et al., 1996; Register and Adams, 1998). Although it has been reported that cultured endothelial cells do not express ERα (Toth et al., 2009), other investigators have demonstrated the presence of both ERα and ERβ mRNA in endothelium (Wagner et al., 2001) and data from our group demonstrate the protein expression of both ERα and ERβ in HUVEC (Sobrino et al., 2009, 2010).

In addition to their classic nuclear location, ER can also target the plasma membrane, enabling estrogen activation of several signaling pathways, including those involved in calcium mobilization (Zhang et al., 1994; Nakajima et al., 1995; Prakash et al., 1999). These ER subtypes belong to the intracellular receptors classically defined as nuclear ligand-activated transcription factors. Activation of these receptors by the corresponding hormones affects gene expression by acting on estrogen-response elements in the target genes and modulating transcriptional events (beato et al., 1995). Estrogen binding to ERα and ERβ regulates gene expression in a time- and tissue-dependent manner, generating controversy about the type of receptor involved in vascular protection (Murphy, 2011). In the cardiovascular system, both ERα and ERβ have been identified in the endothelium, smooth muscle cells, adventitia, and adrenergic nerve endings of arteries from various territories and several species, including humans (Karas et al., 1994; Kim-Schulze et al., 1996; Venkov et al., 1996; Register and Adams, 1998). Although it has been reported that cultured endothelial cells do not express ERα (Toth et al., 2009), other investigators have demonstrated the presence of both ERα and ERβ mRNA in endothelium (Wagner et al., 2001) and data from our group demonstrate the protein expression of both ERα and ERβ in HUVEC (Sobrino et al., 2009, 2010).

In addition to their classic nuclear location, ER can also target the plasma membrane, enabling estrogen activation of several signaling pathways, including those involved in calcium mobilization (Zhang et al., 1994; Nakajima et al., 1995; Prakash et al., 1999) and the phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) pathway (Hisamoto et al., 2001). A third type of ER, G-protein coupled, and mainly located in the plasma membrane, was initially named GPR30 (Deschamps and Murphy, 2009), then renamed GPER by the International Union of Basic and Clinical Pharmacology, IUPHAR (Alexander et al., 2008). GPER is expressed in both endothelial and smooth muscle cells of human arteries and veins (Haas et al., 2007; Deschamps and Murphy, 2009). After estrogen binding, GPER activates rapid signaling cascades such as extracellular signal-related kinase and PI3K (Meyer et al., 2011). Several rapid and non-genomic estrogen effects formerly attributed to ERα have now been described as GPER-mediated (Prossnitz and Barton, 2011).

The vascular protection conferred by estrogen may be mediated indirectly by its influence on the metabolism of lipoproteins or by a direct action on the modulation of molecular pathways in the vessel wall, and more specifically on endothelial cells (Hermenegildo et al., 2002). Vascular endothelium not only regulates vascular tone through flow-mediated mechanisms, but also confers antithrombotic and antiinflammatory properties to the blood vessel. Nitric oxide (NO), the primary endothelial-derived mediator, is involved in many physiological processes, including vasodilatation and inhibition of thrombosis, cell migration, and proliferation (Dudzinski and Michel, 2007; Lamas et al., 2007; Michel and Vanhoutte, 2010). Estrogen is known to increase NO bioavailability by mechanisms that either directly increase NO generation (Figure 1) or decrease superoxide anion (O$_2^-$) concentration, thereby attenuating O$_2^-$-mediated NO inactivation. Mechanisms involved in estrogen-induced increases in NO availability include: (1) transcriptional stimulation of endothelial NO synthase (eNOS) gene expression (Huang et al., 1997; Sumi and Ignarro, 2003); (2) non-genomic activation of enzyme activity via a PI3K/phosphokinase B (PKβ/AKT)-mediated signaling pathway (Hisamoto et al., 2001); (3) increased intracellular free Ca$^{2+}$ concentration ([Ca$^{2+}$]i) in endothelial cells (Rubio-Gayoso et al., 2000); (4) decreased production of asymmetric dimethylarginine (ADMA), the eNOS endogenous inhibitor (Monsalve et al., 2007); and (5) attenuated O$_2^-$ concentrations (Wassmann et al., 2001; Dantas et al., 2002; Osipina et al., 2002).

Estrogens such as 17β-estradiol, estrone, and estriol have been described to act as reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavengers by virtue of the hydrogen-donating capacity of their phenolic molecular structure (Halliwell and Grootveld, 1987; Dubey and Jackson, 2001). However, in these studies the direct effect of estrogens as scavengers can only be observed at concentrations above 1 μM (Arunal et al., 1996; Kim et al., 1996). Considering that plasma concentrations of estrogen in physiological conditions are within the nanomolar range, it is likely that direct scavenger action is not estrogen’s main antioxidant mechanism. Estrogen modulates ROS concentration through a mechanism that involves interaction with its estrogenic nuclear receptors to decrease oxidative proteins and/or increase antioxidant enzymes expression. Many studies have associated changes in estrogen levels with altered levels of antioxidant enzymes including glutathione peroxidase, catalase, and superoxide dismutase (Capel et al., 1981; Robb and Stuart, 2011; Sivritas et al., 2011). Moreover, estrogen modulates NADH/NADPH oxidases and AT$_1$ receptor gene expression, both of which are major sources of O$_2^-$ production (Wassmann et al., 2001; Dantas et al., 2002).

Estrogen also has a modulating effect on constrictive factors and positively upregulates the production of endothelium-derived relaxing factors such as PGI$_2$ (Sobrino et al., 2009, 2010) and the endothelium-derived hyperpolarizing factors (Golding and Kepler, 2001), both of which are important mediators of vascular relaxation in resistance-sized arteries. The beneficial effects of estrogen on the endothelium can be partially explained by an inhibitory effect on the production or action of the cyclooxygenase (COX)-derived vasoconstrictor agents prostaglandin H$_2$, PGH$_2$, and thromboxane A$_2$, TXA$_2$ (David and Zhang, 1998; Dantas et al., 1999; Novella et al., 2010), and of endothelin-1 (ET-1; David et al., 2001).

Furthermore, estrogen can interfere with ion channels through non-genomic actions. It regulates contractile responses by a direct modulation of Ca$^{2+}$ mobilization into the vascular smooth muscle cells. Direct interaction of estradiol with voltage-gated Maxi-K channel subunit beta, which confers higher Ca$^{2+}$ sensitivity, may modulate vascular smooth muscle (Valverde et al., 1997). Estrogen does not inhibit Ca$^{2+}$ release from the intracellular stores (Crews and Khalil, 1999; Murphy and Khalil, 1999). However, supraphysiological concentrations of estrogen impede Ca$^{2+}$ influx from the extracellular space (Han et al., 1995; Crews and Khalil, 1999; Murphy and Khalil, 1999) by inhibiting Ca$^{2+}$ entry through voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ channels (Frey et al., 1997; Kitazawa et al., 1997; Crews and Khalil, 1999; Murphy and Khalil, 1999). Expression of the L-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels in cardiac muscle is substantially increased in ER-deficient mice (Johnson et al., 1997), suggesting ER-mediated regulation of Ca$^{2+}$ mobilization.
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FIGURE 1 | Dual effects of estradiol (E2) on eNOS expression and activity. Estradiol effects on eNOS-mediated nitric oxide (NO) production include both genomic and non-genomic effects. Genomic effects include the classical intracellular estrogen receptors (ER), which after binding of E2 interact with estrogen-response element (ERE) in DNA, resulting in an increased eNOS expression. Moreover, E2 binding to GPER leads to activation of different transcriptional factors such as cAMP response element (CRE) which also induces eNOS expression. Among non-genomic effects, ER and GPER regulate the E2-induced eNOS activity (modified from Sobrino, 2011).

Estrogen also exerts direct modulation on the components of the renin-angiotensin system (RAS), a key regulator of blood pressure and smooth muscle cell growth. Production of angiotensin II (Ang II), the active hormone of the RAS, is reduced by estrogen inhibition of angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) expression. In animal models of menopause and in postmenopausal women, chronic estrogen replacement reduces ACE activity in the circulation and in tissues including the kidney and aorta (Brosnihan et al., 1999; Seely et al., 2004). Furthermore, estrogen attenuates expression of and tissue response to type 1 angiotensin receptor (AT1) in the aorta, heart, and kidney (Silva-Antoniali et al., 2000; Wu et al., 2003).

THE PROCESS OF VASCULAR AGING: HOW ARE FEMALES AFFECTED?

Vascular aging is associated with endothelial dysfunction, arterial stiffening and remodeling, impaired angiogenesis, defective vascular repair, and an increasing prevalence of atherosclerosis (Lakatta and Levy, 2003; Erusalimsky, 2009). Aging-associated changes in structure and function of large elastic arteries are seen even in the absence of clinical CVD (Moreau et al., 2003). Although aging per se has detrimental effects in the vasculature, the lack of estrogen due to menopause may add an aggravating CVD risk factor in women, compared to arterial aging in men.

In middle-aged females, aging-associated vascular dysfunction is potentiated by lack of estrogen due to menopause or ovariectomy and improves with estrogen replacement (Harman, 2004; Stice et al., 2009; Novella et al., 2010). Unfortunately, the onset of menopause coincides with a time when aging-associated damage may be noted, making it particularly difficult to distinguish between the contributions of aging and the lack of estrogen.

Vascular aging is a natural phenomenon that could be simply described as a consequence of physical stress, beginning early in life. Arteries are elastic tissues, susceptible to fatigue, and fracture over time as a consequence of extension-relaxation cycles during heartbeats (Avolio et al., 1983). In cross-sectional studies, postmenopausal females taking HRT have less arterial stiffness than their non-treated peers (Moreau et al., 2003; Sumino et al., 2005, 2006). Radial artery distensibility fluctuates in accordance with estrogen levels during menstrual cycles (Giannattasio et al., 1999). Basic research using animal models of estrogen withdrawal and aging suggests a modulatory role for estrogen in the molecular mechanisms to prevent arterial stiffening (Zhang et al., 2000). A recent study reported that HRT improves arterial compliance, an effect related in part to estrogen actions in the control of endothelial-dependent vasodilatory tone (Moreau et al., 2012). Collagen and elastin content of arterial walls is a key factor in arterial thickening and stiffening. It is mostly regulated by matrix
metalloproteinases (MMP), enzymes capable of degrading components of the extracellular matrix. During aging, MMP activity decreases markedly, collagen accumulates, and stiffening increases. Estrogen replacement in ovariectomized rats increases MMP activity and restores aged arteries to structural properties similar to those of younger animals studied (Zhang et al., 2000).

Aging is also associated with biochemical changes implicated in CVD development and progression. Dysfunction of both endothelial and smooth muscle molecular signaling appears during the aging process and favors vasospasm, thrombosis, inflammation, and abnormal cell migration and proliferation (Lakatta and Levy, 2003; Briones et al., 2005; Barton, 2010; Herrera et al., 2010). Endothelial dysfunction in the elderly has been associated with malfunctioning of vascular tissue, resulting in atherosclerosis, hypertension, and coronary artery disease (Lakatta and Levy, 2003; Herrera et al., 2010), renal dysfunction (Schmidt et al., 2001; Erdely et al., 2003), and Alzheimer disease (Price et al., 2004). In women, a slight age-related decrease in endothelium-dependent relaxation persists until middle age (around 50 years). After that, the declining response to the endothelium-dependent vasodilator histamines, even exceeding the rate experienced by men (Taddei et al., 1996).

The mechanisms for age-associated endothelial dysfunction are multiple, although most are associated with decreased NO bioavailability (Hayashi et al., 2008; Santhanam et al., 2008; Erusalimsy, 2009; Kim et al., 2009). Reduced endothelium-dependent and NO-mediated vasodilation has been described in both human and animal models of aging (Kim et al., 2009; Virdis et al., 2010). Lower NO production in the elderly may be based on decreased NO synthesis or increased NO degradation. Suggested mechanisms to explain reduced NO production include: (1) decreased expression of eNOS (Briones et al., 2005; Yoon et al., 2010); (2) a lack of NO precursor (l-arginine; Santhanam et al., 2008) and eNOS cofactor tetrahydrobiopterin (BH4; Yoshida et al., 2000; Eskurza et al., 2005; Meyer et al., 2011); and (3) increased endogenous eNOS inhibitor ADMA (Xiong et al., 2002; Kielstein et al., 2003). On the other hand, strong evidences support the hypothesis that age-associated increase in oxidative stress and consequent production of O$_2^-$ is a potent contributor to lower NO bioavailability and increased endothelial dysfunction (Jacobson et al., 2007; Rodriguez-Manas et al., 2009). There is little information to correlate the progression of aging with the production/degradation of NO in women. Although several studies have described decreased expression of eNOS in senile female rats and mice ( Wynne et al., 2004; Novenssa et al., 2011), aging-associated effects on eNOS in women can be easily confounded with the effects of lack of estrogen, since most of these studies grouped women into just two time-points: premenopausal and menopausal groups.

Even though the decline in NO bioavailability could sufficiently explain most of the changes in the functioning of vascular cells, other molecules crucial to control of vascular function are also modified by aging. In the regulation of vascular tone, COX-derived factors are particularly important as they can induce both vascular relaxation (PGI$_2$) and contraction (TXA$_2$ and PGH$_2$). Some studies have reported a prevalence in the production of relaxing COX factors in the vasculature of young and healthy individuals (Tang and Vanhoutte, 2008). With aging, COX-dependent vasoconstrictor production becomes evident, leading to increased vascular contraction (Taddei et al., 1997; Rodriguez-Manas et al., 2009). However, the COX isoform involved in the generation of contractile prostanoids remains unclear. In functional studies developed in femoral arteries of aged rats, oxygen free radicals participate in the augmented endothelium-dependent contractions mediated by COX-derived prostanoids. Both the constitutive and inducible isoforms of COX contribute to this endothelial dysfunction (Shi et al., 2008). Molecular studies performed in endothelial cells from aged rats showed an increase in mRNA levels of COX-1, COX-2, and other enzymes involved in the synthesis of prostanoids (Tang and Vanhoutte, 2008), demonstrating the importance of the arachidonic acid–COX cascade in the endothelial and vascular dysfunction associated with aging. Moreover, functional studies have demonstrated an interaction between NO and prostanoids pathways. In aorta from aged female mice, NO bioavailability increases when the COX pathway is inhibited; both gene and protein expression of COX-1 are increased (Novella et al., 2011). Furthermore, activation of inflammatory pathways in the vascular wall plays a central role in the process of vascular aging.

Even in the absence of traditional risk factors for atherosclerosis, an age-associated shift to a proinflammatory gene expression profile, known as endothelial activation, induces upregulation of cellular adhesion molecules and cytokines, which increases endothelial–leukocyte interactions and permeability, mechanisms considered crucial to initial steps in the development of atherosclerosis (Herrera et al., 2010; Seals et al., 2011). Accordingly, a sex-associated difference in inflammatory responses during aging has been proposed. Inflammatory atherosclerosis and associated acute coronary heart disease develop earlier in life in men than in women (Roger et al., 2011) and are associated with earlier death, although men and women present the same overall plaque burden (Frink, 2009). In animal models of atherosclerosis, male sex contributes to a faster and more severe progression of lipid deposition, remodeling, and aortic lesions (Pereira et al., 2010; Surra et al., 2010).

**COULD ESTROGEN DECREASE VASCULAR AGING IN WOMEN?**

With the wide-ranging data from experimental research, estrogens might appear to promise protection against the progression of vascular aging and CVD in women. Epidemiological observational studies also suggest that postmenopausal women on HRT are less likely to develop CVD than non-users at the same age (Grodestein et al., 2000, 2001) Nevertheless, these studies contrast with the large prospective clinical trials, HERS and WHI, which failed to show reduced cardiovascular events in postmenopausal women on HRT. In fact, WHI suggested that HRT was associated with increased risk to the cardiovascular system ( Rossouw et al., 2002). Possible reasons for this discrepancy have been extensively discussed and include the average age of women entering most HRT clinical trials, 65 years and older, which results in a study population with some degree of aging-associated vascular damage. In addition, participants had been estrogen-deficient for an average of 10 years before starting HRT, a relatively late start that could modify the status of ERs and molecular signaling so as to attenuate the benefits of estrogen. For instance, during aging ERs can undergo posttranslational modifications such as methylation,
which decreases their expression and activity. We recently reported that aging contributes to increased DNA methylation in female mice aorta, which could be associated with the decrease in the modulatory effects of estrogen (Novensa et al., 2011). A few clinical studies also provide evidence for aging-associated dysregulation of ER methylation and suggest that focal epigenetic changes in ER could contribute to decreased estrogen activity and to the development of atherosclerosis in elderly women (Post et al., 1999; Kim et al., 2007).

Detailed examination of WHI data reveals that early initiation of estrogen replacement produces more favorable results than the later average initiation employed in the WHI studies overall (Grodi et al., 2006; Rossouw et al., 2007; Prentice et al., 2009). These findings, together with observational studies, have led scientists to create the so-called “timing hypothesis” that estrogen-mediated benefits to prevent CVD only occur when treatment is initiated before the detrimental effects of aging are established on vascular walls (Harman, 2006). These effects include endothelial dysfunction and pathophysiological actions, such as increased vascular calcification and generalized stiffening of the arterial tree that increase the prevalence of hypertension and atherosclerosis (Lakatta and Levy, 2003; Erusalimsky, 2009; Kovacic et al., 2011).

Little information is available on whether and how vascular effects of estrogen are modified with aging in females. Aging has been associated with significant reductions in the direct estrogen-mediated mechanisms of vascular relaxation (Wynne et al., 2004; LeBlanc et al., 2009; Lekontseva et al., 2010) and inflammation (Pechenino et al., 2011). The lack of estrogen responses in these animal studies was not related to age-associated changes in the plasma levels of estrogen or activity of ER, but rather to possible age-related changes in estrogen-mediated signaling pathways in the vasculature. Modifications in the ratio between ERα and ERβ in older female mice are associated with the lack of protective effects of estrogen on NO production and with a reversal in its antioxidant effect to a pro-oxidant profile (Novensa et al., 2011). Moreover, clinical studies have revealed that CVD risk factors in postmenopausal women were lower among women aged 50–59 years at HRT initiation (Manson et al., 2007; Sherwood et al., 2007). These studies clearly establish the complexity of estrogen effects, which may be influenced by pathophysiological conditions including aging and subclinical CVD. Despite convincing arguments by the followers of the “timing hypothesis” the potential extrapolation of the protective effects of estrogen replacement, well described in young females, to older women remains controversial. The field still lacks detailed experimental and clinical research on the long-term effects of estrogen and how it modulates cardiovascular function during aging.

**CONCLUSION AND FUTURE DIRECTION**

Our society is aging progressively, and increased life expectancy enhances the risks for diseases associated with the natural fatigue of the body, including CVD. Despite this undeniable reality, there is evidence that vascular aging in women does not follow the same chronology as in men. The vascular protective effects exerted by estrogens have been proposed as the major reason for reduced signs of vascular aging and CVD risk in premenopausal women, compared to men. When natural estrogen withdrawal occurs and a woman enters her climacteric stage, effects of sudden vascular aging become evident, leading to vascular dysfunction and increased risk of a cardiovascular event. The lack of crucial information from clinical trials and the discrepancies in the available data on the regulation of the female cardiovascular system can lead to inappropriate diagnosis and treatment of CVD in older women. Women have been treated like men, despite the notable sex-associated differences in the elements of aging and disease processes. Much research effort is still needed to understand age-and sex-related differences in cardiovascular control, establish the impact of the menstrual cycle and HRT on vascular function, and propose new therapeutic strategies to improve CVD diagnosis and treatment and the overall management of vascular senescence in women.
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