

Temi di discussione

(Working papers)

Immigrant earnings in the Italian labour market

by Antonio Accetturo and Luigi Infante



The purpose of the Temi di discussione series is to promote the circulation of working papers prepared within the Bank of Italy or presented in Bank seminars by outside economists with the aim of stimulating comments and suggestions.

The views expressed in the articles are those of the authors and do not involve the responsibility of the Bank.

Editorial Board: Patrizio Pagano, Alfonso Rosolia, Ugo Albertazzi, Claudia Biancotti, Giulio Nicoletti, Paolo Pinotti, Enrico Sette, Marco Taboga, Pietro Tommasino, Fabrizio Venditti.

Editorial Assistants: ROBERTO MARANO, NICOLETTA OLIVANTI.

IMMIGRANT EARNINGS IN THE ITALIAN LABOUR MARKET

by Antonio Accetturo* and Luigi Infante*

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to assess the relationship between individual skills and labour market performance of immigrants residing in Lombardy during the period 2001-2005. We use a recent dataset collected by the NGO ISMU, which includes information on individual characteristics and the legal status of each immigrant. Our results show that returns on schooling are positive and range from 0.8 per cent to 0.9 per cent, a figure that is much lower than the one estimated for native Italians. This result is robust to a number of specifications and tests. In particular, it is not influenced by the legal status of the alien or by a possible self-selection in the labour supply. Moreover, although more talented immigrants tend to self-select in the Lombardy region compared with the other Italian regions, their return on schooling remains low compared with natives. We also show that a certain heterogeneity exists across educational levels and countries of origin: immigrants from Eastern Europe are better able to exploit their human capital, especially when they hold a university degree, while the school-wage profile of Latin Americans and Asians is basically flat. Finally, there is some evidence of a cohort effect in migration, but this tends to impact on the return on experience rather than on the return on schooling.

JEL Classification: J31, O15.

Keywords: immigration, return on schooling, return on experience.

1. Introduction.	3
2. Data description	5
2.1 Survey design	5
2.2 How many irregulars can the ISMU survey?	6
2.3 Data description	6
3. Estimation issues	7
4. Estimation results	12
4.1 Baseline regression	12
4.2 Differentiated effects across areas of origin	13
5. Robustness	13
5.1 Spatial sorting	14
5.2 Labour market participation	14
5.3 Legal status	15
5.4 Cohort effect	16
5.5 Non-linear effects	16
6. Conclusions	17
References	18
Tables	20

Contents

^{*} Bank of Italy, Milan Branch, Economic Research Unit.

^{*} Bank of Italy, Economic and Financial Statistics Department.

1. Introduction¹

Immigration is a relatively new phenomenon in Italy. In the 1991 census, the share of legal immigrants in the Italian population was still only 0.5 per cent, whereas at the beginning of 2006 it had risen to 4.7 per cent (2.7 million individuals). The foreign population grew steadily in the 1990s and in the first half of the 2000s. The average yearly growth rate of the legal immigrant population was 17 per cent in the period 1991-2001 and 20 per cent in 2002-2005 (ISMU, 2007).² As Borjas (1994) points out, unexpected large inflows of immigrants from less developed countries usually draw the attention of scholars and policy makers to three major questions: what is the immigrant's performance in the host country? What is the impact of massive immigration on the employment opportunities of natives? What is an optimal immigration policy for the host country?

The aim of this paper is to deal with the first question.³ In particular, we focus on the portability of immigrants' human capital in the host country:⁴ we estimate their return on education by assessing the relation between immigrants' schooling levels and their performance on the labour market of Lombardy in the period 2001-2005. We pay particular attention to the legal position of immigrants, to mobility in the host country, and to differentiated returns according to country of origin.

We make use of an entirely new database collected by the non-governmental organization ISMU (Iniziative e Studi sulla Multietnicità – *Initiatives and Studies on*

¹ We wish to thank Prof. G. Blangiardo, of ISMU, for kindly providing the dataset. We are also indebted to Paolo Pinotti for his valuable comments, the participants at the seminar held in the Bank of Italy, two anonymous referees for helping us to improve this version of the paper and Christine Stone for editorial assistance. The views expressed are the authors' own and do not necessarily reflect those of the Bank of Italy. Usual disclaimers apply. E-mail: <u>antonio.accetturo@bancaditalia.it</u>, <u>luigi.infante@bancaditalia.it</u>

² High growth rates in the period 2002-2005 are inflated by the registration of illegal immigrants already within the Italian borders, benefiting from the 2002 amnesty (Bossi-Fini Law).

³ Immigration studies in Italy are still in their infancy. Mocetti and Porello (2008) assess the impact of immigration on natives' labour market and find that massive immigration causes an outflow of less educated natives and an inflow of more skilled ones. To the best of our knowledge, the third question has never been explored for Italian immigration laws.

⁴ Another strand of the literature on this topic relates to the wage differences between natives and foreigners (see e.g. Chiswick, 1978; Borjas, 1985). For Italy, Brandolini et al. (2005) deal with this issue using social security system data, which consider only legal workers.

Multiethnic Society) from surveys of immigrants from the least developed, emerging and transition countries residing in the region of Lombardy in the North-West of Italy.

Several studies in Italy have assessed the determinants of immigrants' wages. Among the few notable exceptions, Baldacci et al. (1999) use survey data of similar design to the ISMU database (Blangiardo, 1993 and 1996) but for two areas (southern Lazio and northern Campania) with radically different labour market conditions (e.g. high unemployment in the provinces of southern Lazio and relatively high crime rates in the provinces of Campania).

International experience on the topic is much richer. Using data on immigrants in Israel, Friedberg (2000) shows that a key role in explaining the wage gap between earnings of immigrants and earnings of natives is the labour market evaluation of foreigners' human capital. Friedberg illustrates that, once in the new country, immigrants tend to accept any kind of job, even ones in which they cannot fully exploit their human capital and skills. Schaafsma and Sweetman (2001) confirm this view and show that human capital transferability is easier for those who arrived in the host country in their teens, while more mature immigrants usually have a larger wage discount and a lower return on education compared with young immigrants. Evidence for a lower return on education for immigrants is also found in Sweden by le Grand and Szulkin (2002) and in Canada by Ferrer and Riddell (2008). Using information on immigrants from the former USSR still in Israel, Eckstein and Weiss (2004) also found no return for imported human capital (measured by schooling and experience), while these skills receive a larger return the longer the time spent in Israel.

Our results are consistent with the international evidence. Immigrants' return on education in the Lombardy labour market is positive, but much lower than for Italian natives. This result is robust to a number of specifications. For example, it does not seem to depend on the kind of self-selection from the source country or on the selection of the local labour market where the immigrant decides to locate. Illegal immigrants are less able to exploit their skills on the labour market, their return on education is lower than that of legal aliens. Immigrants from Eastern Europe are more likely to exploit their human capital stock better, especially if they have a university degree. Finally, we find some evidence of a cohort effect in migration, showing the existence of systematic differences in earnings for different waves of immigrants.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. The next section presents the dataset. Section 3 introduces the methodology used in our estimates. Section 4 reports the results of the baseline regression. Section 5 presents a number of robustness exercises. Section 6 concludes.

2. Data description

2.1 Survey design

Since 2001, the ISMU has conducted a yearly survey across immigrants living in Lombardy. The individuals surveyed (slightly more than 8,000 each year) are chosen according to a multi-stage design. In the first stage, the ISMU interviewers allocate the total number of questionnaires across the 11 provinces of Lombardy to obtain roughly the same sample variability within each province. In the second stage, the ISMU selects a number of representative municipalities (slightly less than 350, almost 25 per cent of all the towns in Lombardy) within each province according to the social and economic characteristics of each area. In the third stage, the ISMU selects, within each municipality, the potential interviewees using the *method of aggregation centres* (suggested by Blangiardo, 1993).

According to this technique, whenever a large proportion of immigrants is irregular, the total number of foreign individuals living in a country cannot be accurately computed. Nevertheless, every immigrant, including illegal aliens, has a social life: they go to work, spend free time in leisure facilities, attend religious centres and use healthcare services. Blangiardo's method hinges on these centres as the only means of finding the immigrants (especially illegal ones) within a country. In practice, the ISMU first chooses the aggregation centres and then, within each centre, randomly selects the immigrants to survey. For the *i-th* immigrant, the probability of being surveyed is equal to $\frac{1}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} u_{i,j}}$, where *N* is the unknown

all-in number of immigrants living in the area and u represents a binary variable equal to 1 if the *i*-th individual attends the *j*-th centre.⁵

Every individual attending the centre has the same probability of being sampled as the interviewer and the interviewee meet randomly. A problem of overrepresentation of individuals who attend a large number of aggregation centres may occur. In order to tackle this bias, the ISMU provides a system of sample weights, which are discussed at length in Blangiardo (1993).

2.2 How many irregulars can the ISMU survey?

In each questionnaire, the ISMU asks for the immigrant's legal status. Obviously, this information is subject to misreporting; although ISMU interviewers collaborate with the directors of aggregation centres and are usually trusted by most of the immigrants, we cannot exclude that some of the undocumented immigrants may decide not to reveal their true legal status. In order to quantify the extent of misreporting, we compare the ISMU's estimates with other data sources. The most recent alternative dataset is based on applications for regularization under the Bossi-Fini amnesty of 2002. In that year, 144,369 individuals applied in Lombardy (647,000 in the whole of Italy): this indicates that at least the 31 per cent⁶ of the total foreign population in Lombardy was irregular in 2002. Although some misreporting does seem to occur, the ISMU's estimates for the pre-amnesty years do not appear to be far off this figure: in the 2002 wave, self-declared illegal aliens represented 27 per cent of the sample, as percentage that decreased rapidly in the following years as a result of the regularization process. Pooling all cross-sections in the period 2001-2005, self-declared irregulars average around 17 per cent.

2.3 Data description

The ISMU survey is divided into sections containing general information on the immigrant and his or her family (gender, age, country of origin, legal status, religion, civil

⁵ See Blangiardo (1993) for details.

⁶ Obviously, we cannot exclude that a number of illegal aliens did not apply.

status, type of job); other sections investigate the immigrant's social life, access to public services and so on. Summary statistics of all the variables used in the regressions are displayed in Table 1. As the Table shows, immigrants in Lombardy are on average fairly young (33.9 years old) and have resided in Italy for a relatively short period (6 years). Most of the immigrants come from Africa (38 per cent) and are Muslims (42 per cent). Women represent 42 per cent of all immigrants. A fairly large share of aliens lived in another Italian region before moving to Lombardy (39 per cent), indicating that internal mobility is quite high.

3. Estimation issues

ISMU data are used to estimate the following basic wage equation by OLS:

(1) $\ln w_i = \alpha + \theta^* S_i + \beta_1 * \exp_i + \beta_2 * \exp_i^2 + \beta_3 * \operatorname{oexp}_i + \beta_4 * \operatorname{oexp}_i^2 + \beta_5 * X_i + Dorigin_i + Dprovince_i + Dyear_i + u_i$

where w_i is the net monthly wage, S_i is the years of schooling⁷ of individual *i*, exp_i is the potential experience acquired in the Italian labour market, oexp_i is a measure for potential experience in the country of origin, X_i is a matrix containing a number of individual controls, while *Dorigin_i*, *Dprovince_i* and *Dyear_i* are, respectively, dummies for the area of origin,⁸ province in which the immigrant resides (spatial control), and year in which the individual was surveyed.⁹

⁷ As usual in this literature, we assigned zero years of schooling when the individual does not have any formal education, 8 years for a compulsory school leaving certificate, 13 years for high school and 17 for at least a university degree. Obviously, this may not reflect the actual years in education, given the wide differences between educational systems. We checked this hypothesis by estimating our equation for the educational level actually recognized by the Italian authorities (a question included in 3 surveys out of 5). Results, in this case, do not change and we preferred to use all data instead of restricting our analysis to three surveys.

⁸ We grouped immigrant's country of origin into four areas: Eastern Europe, Asia, Africa, Latin America.

⁹ It is well-known in the literature that OLS estimates may be biased due to the possible correlation of the (unobserved) innate abilities with the number of years spent in education. A way to deal with this issue (see Card, 2001, for an exhaustive review) is to instrument the schooling variable. In this paper, we used classical OLS estimations for two reasons. First, we are not actually interested in disentangling the education effect from the innate abilities. The aim of this paper is to assess whether immigrants with different skills can receive different market evaluations for their abilities in the labour market in Lombardy. Second, the ISMU dataset

The variable of potential experience, \exp_i , is proxied by the number of years spent in Italy so far, which seems reasonable since the unemployment level among immigrants is fairly low.

To take into account experience acquired in the country of origin, we use the variable oexp_i, built by subtracting from the immigrant's age the years spent in Italy, the years spent in education, and 6 (the first 6 years of life). Along with education, this variable captures the *portability* of immigrants' human capital into the destination country (Friedberg, 2000). A significant and positive coefficient would reveal a certain degree of compatibility between previous experience abroad and the skill requirements of the host country's labour market. Individual characteristics include gender, a dummy for married people, and the sector of occupation.

Estimating equation (1) for immigrants may lead to six additional problems:

- Self-selection from the source country;
- Self-selection in the labour market participation;
- Selection of the host region;
- Legal status of the immigrants;
- Cohort quality;
- Non-linearities across ethnic/skill groups.

The first source of bias relates to the self-selection of immigrants from the source country. Immigrants usually do not represent a random sample of the population of origin. Immigration choice¹⁰ may depend on the expected relative payoffs between the immigrant's economic conditions in the source country and expectations of welfare in Italy. This idea was formalized in a theoretical model by Roy (1951) for labour market choices and applied to migration studies by Borjas (1987). According to the model, whenever relative returns on

does not include information on parental background (or IQ tests) which is known to be a good instrument for schooling.

¹⁰ Obviously, we focus on economically driven migration choices and we disregard all social or political motivations.

skills are lower in the source country, immigrants are likely to self-select from the right tail of the skill distribution (positive selection). By contrast, whenever relative payoffs are higher in the country of origin, immigrants self-select from the left tail of the skill distribution (negative selection). This may impact on our estimates of the returns on schooling. A possible way to circumvent this problem is to treat it as an omitted heterogeneity bias by inserting dummies for areas of origin of the immigrants.¹¹

The second source of bias relates to endogenous participation in the labour market. Compared with the estimates for natives, this problem actually diminishes for the immigrant population, which usually has a lower reservation wage and tends to be more flexible about accepting any type of job.¹² Some differences emerge when we evaluate the impact on the employment rate by gender and ethnic group: the average employment rate for women was 61 per cent in 2005 (80 per cent for men), while the employment rate for African women was even lower (52 per cent). This implies that a number of institutional and cultural factors might influence labour market participation (especially of women), and this could, in turn, bias our estimates. We tackle this problem by treating the participation decision as endogenous and by estimating a two-stage Heckman model.

The third source of bias is connected with the choice of the region of Lombardy within the host country. Lombardy is the most economically developed region in Italy and it attracts almost one fifth of all immigrants in Italy (Lombardy's share of the population is 16 per cent). Talented immigrants might self-select and locate in more dynamic contexts within the country and within the region in order to exploit better their high (unobserved) ability. This is not an issue specifically affecting immigrants, since there exists an extensive literature on "human capital externalities" which deals with internal migrations and productivity effects of agglomeration (spatial sorting problem; for a survey, see Moretti, 2004). However, the

¹¹ Borjas (1987) proposes the use of a measure of return to skills of the source countries, since positive (negative) self-selection is often associated with a lower (higher) return to skills in the country of origin. Unfortunately, statistics on return to skills are not particularly reliable, especially for developing countries. Borjas proposes the use of the Gini index of households' income distribution, which has been widely criticized in the literature. Hendricks (2002) argues that in most empirical papers, aiming to measure emigrant self-selection, unobserved ability plays a much smaller role than measured ability, such as human capital, and this would emerge using different approaches, such as comparing individual workers across borders or earnings of return migrants with earnings of those who never migrated.

¹² The employment rate for immigrants in 2005 was 73 per cent (65 per cent for natives in Lombardy).

issue is particularly important for immigrants, given their high mobility within the host country. This problem does not have a simple solution. We should observe (within the same survey) individuals in different regions and all migration patterns for all individuals to assess the existence of spatial sorting. The solution we adopt in this paper is constrained by data availability and it is based upon the immigrant's migration paths within the country. In our dataset, we are able to distinguish between those who actually chose Lombardy among all the Italian regions ("movers", those who migrated within Italy) and those (residing in Lombardy) who never migrated within the host country ("stayers"). We assume that, while "movers" are likely to choose Lombardy on the basis of a strong economic incentive (they actually self-select in a particular region), "stayers" might choose Lombardy also for noneconomic motives.¹³ In any case, the impact of this phenomenon on estimates of the return on education is ambiguous. On the one hand, movers' returns on education should be higher than those of stayers because movers are likely to self-select in the area in which their skills receive a higher return. On the other hand, immigrants' networks might help the stayer find a better job match, thus increasing her/his return on education. In both cases, internal movers might bias our estimates of the return on education and, therefore, we correct this problem by interacting all the variables with a dummy assuming the value one if the individual is a "mover".

An additional source of bias relates to the immigrants' legal status in the host country. Illegal aliens usually suffer a wage discount compared with legal immigrants, which may be due either to the lower market price of their human capital (probably owing to discrimination in the labour market) or to a possible negative self-selection in the migration process. This, in turn, may affect the estimates of the return on education by reducing it for legal immigrants. The only possible way to cope with this bias is to make use of the self-reported legal status information of the ISMU survey, which, as we saw in the previous section, suffer slight misreporting. We interact all the explanatory variables with a dummy variable equal to one when the immigrant is self-declared illegal.

¹³ There is an extensive literature (Card and DiNardo, 2000; Saiz, 2007) showing that immigrants usually enter their host country in regions where there are strong family/ethnic connections.

Systematic differences in the labour market performance of different migration waves (i.e. cohort effect) may be another source of bias. There are a number of explanations for the existence of a cohort effect. For example, we can observe a "secular decline" in the quality of immigrants due to a change in the source countries. Alternatively, wage level differences among immigrant workers in different cohorts may basically reflect exogenous fluctuations in labour demand.¹⁴ Even fluctuations in the skill composition of labour demand are likely to change the return on education of different cohorts.¹⁵ In order to address this issue, we reestimate our baseline regression by augmenting it with cohort dummies and by interacting these dummies with years of schooling (the same approach is used in Bockmann and Steiner, 2006) and with the host country potential experience variable. The cohort dummies are 5-year interval dummies covering the period 1986-2005, plus a dummy grouping all (the few) immigrants that arrived in Italy before 1986.

The last source of bias is linked to non-linearities in the return on education and experience. We adopt a spline regression approach by estimating the return on education for each education level and the return on experience for each quartile of the distribution of potential experience in Italy.¹⁶ In order to detect the existence of non-linear effects across ethnic groups, we also estimate step-wise returns on education according to each sending area. In this way, we are able to assess which ethnic group and which educational group actually is able to better exploit its human capital stock.

¹⁴ International evidence on the existence of a cohort effect for immigrants is mixed. For example, a relatively strong labour demand in a certain period may be beneficial for the immigrants of that cohort, raising their wage.

¹⁵ For the United States, Borjas (1985) concludes that the steeper age-earning profile of immigrants compared with the native population is due to a systematic decline in the quality of successive immigrant cohorts, rather than to an increase in the relative earnings since arrival. Differently, Friedberg (2000) concludes that immigration in Israel does not seem to be affected by a cohort quality problem.

¹⁶ We split the variable of potential experience in Italy into four groups: less than 3 years, between 4 and 5 years, between 6 and 9 years, and more than 9 years.

4. Estimation results

4.1 Baseline regression

Table 2 shows the baseline regressions. Compared with column [1], columns [2] and [3] add, respectively, the occupational sector and the legal status of the immigrants. The return on education is found to be positive and it shows that any additional year of education increases the workers' wage by 0.7-0.8 per cent. Compared with similar studies, immigrants' return on education in Lombardy is slightly higher than abroad (see, e.g., Eckstein and Weiss, 2004, for Israel, in which it is negative, or Friedberg, 2000, in which it is nil), but definitely lower than the return on education of natives. For example, Brunello et al. (2001), de Blasio and Di Addario (2005), Ciccone et al. (2004) and Dalmazzo and de Blasio (2006) found that the return on schooling for Italians ranges from 4.7 to 6.1 per cent, depending on the specification. Moreover, Ciccone et al. (2004) found that the return on education in the North-West of the country (where Lombardy is located) is even higher (6.6 per cent). These results are not surprising. Low returns may be due to the (perceived) low quality of foreign schools, imperfect transferability of knowledge or imperfect command of the Italian language. Experience in the Italian labour market increases the immigrants' wage by 3.6-4.9 per cent per year, a bit more than the estimates for natives (2.3-3.4 per cent) in the papers cited. Again, this is not surprising. Once an immigrant succeeds in learning the local language and in understanding the crucial features of the Italian labour market, his/her marginal productivity and wage increase sharply. The return on experience in the country of origin is quite low, 0.8 per cent, signalling scarce compatibility with domestic labour market requirements.

Being female lowers monthly earnings by 26-28 per cent. Sectoral dummies do not change the parameter estimates by much and dummies on the legal status are all significant and with the expected sign. Irregular workers are paid 20 per cent less than other immigrants¹⁷ for similar individual characteristics, while a permanent visa or Italian citizenship¹⁸ creates a wage premium over other immigrants.

Area-of-origin dummies (not reported) are all significant. Compared with Latin Americans, Europeans are likely to earn more (2.7 per cent), while Africans and Asians are generally paid less (-3.7 per cent and -1.8 per cent, respectively).

4.2 Differentiated effects across areas of origin

The estimate of the return on education for immigrants may hide a great variability across areas of origin due to large differences between nations in the quality of education. To take account of this, we amend equation (1) allowing the slope related to education to vary across areas. As shown in Table 3 (col. [1]), there exists some heterogeneity across areas of origin. The return on education is higher for people from Eastern Europe (1.0 per cent), while it is 0.3 percentage points lower for Asians, Africans and Latin Americans.

In the second column of Table 3 we allow the variable for experience (both in Italy and abroad) to vary across ethnic groups. The results show that catching up through experience in Italy is greater for Asians and Africans (4.1 per cent) while it is slightly lower for Eastern Europeans and Latin Americans. Instead, portability of past experience (experience abroad) is somewhat greater for people from Eastern Europe (0.8 per cent) and Africa (0.9 per cent), but less on average for the other ethnic groups.

5. Robustness

So far we have obtained quite a clear result: immigrants' returns on education are positive, but lower than those of natives. Although this result has some variability across sending areas, none of the ethnic groups seems to receive a return on education comparable to that of Italians. In this section, we assess the robustness of this result by taking into

¹⁷ The benchmark group is represented by immigrants awarded a temporary visa, which is a document valid for a specific period of time (according to the type of employment, i.e. seasonal or permanent); it can be renewed before the expiry date.

¹⁸ The information on citizenship is available only in a limited number of surveys (namely those for 2003, 2004 and 2005).

account five potential sources of bias: (i) selection of the host region within Italy (spatial sorting), (ii) self-selection in labour market participation, (iii) legal status of the immigrants, (iv) cohort quality effect and (v) non-linear effects across ethnic/skill groups.

5.1 Spatial sorting

The question whether talented individuals tend to concentrate in the most dynamic economic context is frequently examined in regional studies. This issue, is of particular interest for immigrants, given their high mobility within the host country (39 per cent of the immigrants in our sample lived in another region before arriving in Lombardy). As we have seen in Section 3, this may bias our estimates of the return on education, although the sign cannot be predicted in advance.

By interacting all explanatory variables with the dummy "mover" (Table 4), we find that spatial sorting takes place across immigrants: the return on education for "movers" reaches 1.0 per cent, while for "stayers" it averages around (0.6 per cent). A possible explanation is that "movers" migrate within the host country in order to find a place where they receive a higher return on their private human capital. It should be noted, anyway, that the return for movers is still considerably lower than the estimates for natives. Moreover, internal migration comes to a cost, since "movers" lose in terms of the return on experience in the Italian labour market, probably due to the lack of familiarity with the new local labour market.

5.2 Labour market participation

We further test the hypothesis of a possible endogeneity bias in labour market participation by using a two-stage Heckman model. The dependent variable for the firststage probit is a dummy indicating one if the immigrant is working at the survey time; righthand-side variables include a mix of personal and ethnic characteristics. As Table 5 shows, schooling and potential experience have a positive impact on the probability of being employed; being female or Muslim have, instead, a negative influence. By including the inverse mills ratio¹⁹ (Table 6) in the baseline regression we find that, although a process of self-selection is at work in labour market participation (the inverse mills ratio is significant), our variables of interest do not seem to be much affected: the return on schooling still ranges between 0.8 and 0.9 per cent and the return on potential experience in Italy varies between 3.8 and 5.5 per cent. The wage loss for women, instead, widens (around 28-34 per cent), showing that cultural characteristics tend to have a large impact, especially on female labour supply and wages.

5.3 Legal status

So far, we have treated regulars and irregulars as an homogeneous group, thus assuming that the labour market evaluation of individual skills is the same for both groups. This may lead to an attenuation bias of the return on education of the regulars, whenever illegal aliens do not succeed in obtaining a higher market price for their human capital due to discrimination or segregation.

In order to assess these hypotheses, in Table 7, we interact each regressor in the basic specification with a dummy variable for the irregulars. Results do not reject the hypothesis of a lower return on schooling for irregulars (although at 10 per cent significance) but it does not succeed in significantly raising the return for regulars: while legal aliens earn 0.8 per cent more for each year spent in education, illegal aliens earn only 0.4 per cent.²⁰

Quite interestingly, the male-female wage gap mostly counterbalances in the irregular group. The experience gain is faster for irregulars, probably due to the fact that they start with an extremely low entry wage. Additional econometric results²¹ (not reported) indicate that the experience gain is faster for the first three years in Italy, while irregulars' return does not significantly differ from that of regulars in the subsequent period.

¹⁹ The inverse mills ratio is calculated by the ratio between the standard normal density and the cumulative standard normal distribution, both calculated at the fitted values of the first-stage regression.

 $^{^{20}}$ Obviously, this result may suffer from an attenuation bias due to misreporting by illegal aliens (see Section 2).

²¹ We split the variable of potential experience in Italy into four groups: less than 3 years, between 4 and 5 years, between 6 and 9 years, and more than 9 years.

5.4 Cohort effect

As explained in Section 3, differences in quality in the cohorts of immigrants may bias both the rate of assimilation (return on years since migration) and the return on human capital.

To address this issue we re-estimate our equation augmenting it with cohort dummies (the omitted cohort is the most recent) and interacting these dummies with years of schooling and with the variable "years in Italy".

Our results do not reject the hypothesis of the existence of a cohort effect for immigrants in Italy. This effect impacts on the immigrants' experience in the Italian labour market, but we found no evidence of a differentiated return on schooling for different cohorts. In the first column of Table 8 we report the results of the basic equation in which the coefficient of education is allowed to vary across cohorts (the regression also contains the arrival-cohort dummies). An F-test of joint significance of the coefficient does not reject the null hypothesis of equality among the school-cohorts coefficients, thus implying that the return on schooling does not change for different migration waves. In the second column, the estimates contain the interaction between the variable "experience in the Italian labour market" with the cohort dummies; in this case the F-test rejects the null hypothesis of equality, revealing that a cohort-quality issue is at work. In particular, catching up through experience seems to be stronger for more recent cohorts (6.3 per cent for those between 2001 and 2005; 3.1 per cent for the 1996-2000 cohort), while it is basically flat for those who arrived in Italy before 1995. This phenomenon may be explained by the fact that wages for earlier cohorts are higher (fixed effects for earlier cohorts are positive and significant compared with our reference group) and therefore they display a relatively smaller dynamic compared with the more recent arrivals. Specification [3], in which schooling and experience are allowed to vary across cohorts, confirm previous estimates.

5.5 Non-linear effects

Finally, we test whether returns on education and on experience vary in a non-linear way by estimating a spline regression. Following Friedberg (2000), we divide the years of education into three classes: compulsory school (8 years), secondary school (13 years),

university (17 years). We also divide the potential experience according to the distribution of the quartile of years in Italy. The returns on education and experience are then estimated as a piece-wise linear function. The estimates are reported in Table 9, column [1]. We find evidence of non-linear effects in the return on education and experience. The return on education for a graduate is almost double that of people completing secondary school. The return on experience is particularly large for recent immigrants (11.2 per cent for those who have lived in Italy for less than 3 years), while it tends to converge on lower values for those who have lived in Italy for a longer period (more than 9 years, 4.5 per cent). Column [2] reports the estimates of the return on education across different ethnic groups for each educational level. Once again, Eastern Europeans receive a higher return on education, while Latin Americans receive no return. Returns are particularly high for graduates from Eastern Europe (1.0 per cent), Asians and Africans receive similar returns across educational levels, while only Latin Americans with a university degree seem to receive a statistically significant positive return (although lower than the one estimated for other sending areas).

6. Conclusions

The aim of this paper is to assess the relation between individual skills and labour market performance using a recent dataset collected by the ISMU, which includes information on individual characteristics and the legal status of each immigrant over the period 2001-2005.

Our results show that the return on schooling is positive and it ranges between 0.8 per cent and 0.9 per cent, a figure that is much lower than the one estimated for Italian natives. This result is robust to a number of specifications and tests. In particular, it is not influenced by the legal status of the alien or by a possible self-selection in the labour supply. Moreover, although Lombardy seems to attract more talented immigrants within Italy, their return on schooling remains low compared with natives. We also show the existence of a certain heterogeneity across educational levels and countries of origin. In particular, immigrants from Eastern Europe are better able to exploit their human capital stock, especially when they hold a university degree. Conversely, the school-wage profile of Latin Americans and Asians is basically flat. Finally, there is some evidence of a cohort effect in migration, but this tends to impact on the return on experience rather than on the return on schooling.

References

- Baldacci E., L. Inglese, S. Strozza (1999): "Determinants of foreign workers' wage in two Italian regions with high illegal immigration", *Labour*, vol. 13, n. 3, pp. 675-710;
- Blangiardo G. (1993): "Una nuova metodologia del campionamento per le indagini sulla presenza straniera", in L. Di Comite, M. De Candia *I fenomeni migratori nel bacino del Mediterraneo*, Cacucci Editore, Bari;
- Blangiardo G. (1996): "Il campionamento per centri o ambienti di aggregazione nelle indagini sulla presenza straniera", in [various authors] *Studi in onore di Giampiero Landenna*, Giuffrè Editore, Milan;
- Bockmann B., V. Steiner (2006): "Cohort effects and the return to education in West Germany", *Applied Economics*, vol. 38, n. 10, pp. 1135-1152;
- Borjas G. (1985): "Assimilation, changes in cohort quality and earning of immigrants", *Journal of Labor Economics*, vol. 3, pp. 463-489;
- Borjas G. (1987): "Self-selection and the earnings of immigrants", American Economic Review, vol. 77, pp. 531-553;
- Borjas G. (1994): "The Economics of Immigration", *Journal of Economic Literature*, vol. XXXII, pp. 1667-1717;
- Brandolini A., P. Cipollone, A. Rosolia (2005): "Le condizioni di lavoro degli immigrati in Italia" in M. Livi Bacci *L'incidenza economica dell'immigrazione*, Giappichelli, Torino;
- Brunello G., S. Comi, C. Lucifora (2001): "The returns to education in Italy: a new look at the evidence" in *Education and earnings in Europe* (C. Harmon, I. Walker, N. Westergaard Nielsen eds.), Edward Elgar, Cheltenham;
- Card D., J. DiNardo (2000): "Do immigrant inflows lead to native outflows?", American Economic Review, vol. 90, pp. 360-367;
- Card D. (2001): "Estimating the return to schooling: some persistent econometric problems", *Econometrica*, vol. 69, n. 5, pp. 1127-1160;
- Chiswick B. (1978): "The effects of Americanization on the earnings of foreign born men" *Journal of Political Economy*, vol. 86, n. 5, pp. 897-921;
- Ciccone A., F. Cingano, P. Cipollone (2004): "The private and the social return to schooling in Italy", Giornale degli Economisti, vol. 63, n. 3/4, pp. 413-444;
- Dalmazzo A, G. de Blasio (2006): "Social return to education in Italian local labor markets", *Annals of Regional Science*, vol. 41, n. 1, pp. 51-69;
- de Blasio G., S. Di Addario (2005): "Do workers benefit from industrial agglomeration?", *Journal of Regional Science*, vol. 45, n. 4, pp. 797-827;

- Eckstein Z., Y. Weiss (2004): "On the wage growth of immigrants: Israel, 1990-2000", Journal of the European Economic Association, vol. 2, n. 4, pp. 665-695;
- Ferrer A. and W. Riddell (2008): "Education, credentials and Immigrant earnings", *Canadian Journal of Economics*, vol. 41, n. 1, pp. 186-216;
- Friedberg R. M. (2000), "You can't take it with you? Immigrant Assimilation and the portability of human capital", *Journal of Labor Economics*, vol. 18, n. 2, pp. 221-251;
- Hendricks L. (2002): "How important is human capital for development? Evidence from immigrant earnings", *American Economic Review*, vol. 92, pp. 198-219;
- ISMU (2007): "L'immigrazione straniera in Lombardia. Sesta indagine regionale", Centro Documentazione ISMU, Milan, Italy;
- le Grand C. and R. Szulkin (2002): "Permanent disadvantage or gradual integration: explaining the Immigrant-Natives earning gap in Sweden", *Labour*, vol. 16, pp. 37-64;
- Mocetti, S. and C. Porello (2008): "Le migrazioni interne e la mobilità geografica dei lavoratori in Italia", Banca d'Italia, mimeo;
- Moretti E. (2004): "Human capital externalities in cities", in V. J. Henderson and J.-F. Thisse *Handbook of regional and urban economics*, vol. 4, North-Holland, Amsterdam;
- Roy A. (1951): "Some thoughts on the distribution of earnings", *Oxford Economic Papers*, vol. 3, pp. 135-146;
- Saiz (2007): "Immigration and housing rents in American cities", Journal of Urban Economics, vol. 61, pp. 345-371;
- Schaafsma J. and A. Sweetman (2001): "Immigrant earnings: age since migration matters", *Canadian journal of Economics*, vol. 34 n. 4 pp. 1066-1099;

Table 1

	No. obs.	Sample mean	Std. Dev.
Log(wage)	26,266	6.89	0.47
School	36,771	10.46	4.53
Years in Italy	37,163	6.03	4.74
Female	37,286	0.42	0.49
Age	37,089	33.89	8.37
Irregular	37,286	0.17	0.37
Permanent visa	37,286	0.12	0.32
Italian citizenship	22,315	0.03	0.18
Europeans	37,286	0.24	0.43
Africans	37,286	0.38	0.49
Latinos	37,286	0.16	0.36
Asians	37,286	0.21	0.41
Muslims	37,286	0.42	0.49
Catholics	37,286	0.30	0.46
Other Christians	37,286	0.15	0.35
Buddhists	37,286	0.03	0.18
Hindu	37,286	0.02	0.12
Other religions	37,286	0.02	0.15
Not religious	37,286	0.07	0.25
Manufacturing blue collars	26,266	0.09	0.28
Construction blue collars	26,266	0.08	0.27
Agriculture blue collars	26,266	0.07	0.26
Specialized blue collars	26,266	0.04	0.19
Clerks	26,266	0.04	0.19
Artisans	26,266	0.08	0.28
Professionals	26,266	0.03	0.18
Tertiary and other sectors	26,266	0.37	0.48
Movers	37,286	0.39	0.49

SUMMARY STATISTICS OF THE MAIN VARIABLES

Table 2

	[1]	[2]	[3]
	0.000444	0.000***	0.007***
School	0.008***	0.008***	0.00^{\prime}
x x 1	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Years in Italy	0.049***	0.049***	0.036***
$(1, 1, 1)^2 (100)$	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
(Years in Italy) ² (x100)	-0.131***	-0.131***	-0.085***
T 1 1	(0.014)	(0.014)	(0.018)
Experience abroad	0.008***	0.008***	0.008***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
(Experience $abroad$) ² (x100)	-0.020***	-0.020***	-0.020***
	(0.004)	(0.004)	(0.004)
Female	-0.283***	-0.261***	-0.269***
	(0.007)	(0.007)	(0.010)
Married	0.018***	0.016**	0.007
	(0.006)	(0.006)	(0.008)
Manufacturing blue collars		0.016*	0.012
		(0.009)	(0.010)
Construction blue collars		0.112***	0.123***
		(0.010)	(0.011)
Agriculture blue collars		-0.017*	-0.007
C C		(0.009)	(0.016)
Specialized blue collars		0.125***	0.115***
1		(0.010)	(0.011)
Clerks		0.086***	0.053**
		(0.014)	(0.024)
Artisans		0.031***	0.077***
		(0.010)	(0.016)
Professionals		0.073***	0.140***
		(0.022)	(0.027)
Irregular		(0.022)	-0 205***
			(0.016)
Italian citizenshin			0.081***
itunun ettizensnip			(0.022)
Permanent visa			0.061***
i crimanent visa			(0.001)
Intercent	7 08/1***	7 066***	6 540***
intercept	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.07)
\mathbf{P}^2 adj	(0.021)	(0.021)	(0.020)
No Obs	0.30	0.37 25 940	16.025^{1}

BASIC REGRESSION

No. Obs. 25,849 25,849 16,025¹ The left-hand side variable is the log of net monthly wages. White-robust standard errors are in brackets. Stars show significance levels, *** up to 1 per cent, ** between 1 per cent and 5 per cent, * between 5 per cent and 10 per cent. Every regression is weighted according to the sample design. (1) This regression is run over the period 2003-2005, for which we are able to distinguish regular immigrants awarded citizenship, permanent visa, and temporary visa.

	[1]	[2]
School * Eastern Europe	0.010***	0.009***
	(0.002)	(0.002)
School * Asia	0.007***	0.005***
	(0.002)	(0.002)
School * Africa	0.007***	0.008***
	(0.001)	(0.001)
School * Latin America	0.007***	0.006***
	(0.003)	(0.002)
Years in Italy * Eastern Europe		0.035***
		(0.003)
Years in Italy * Asia		0.041***
		(0.003)
Years in Italy * Africa		0.041***
		(0.003)
Years in Italy * Latin America		0.032***
		(0.003)
Exper. abroad * Eastern Europe		0.008***
T 1 1 1 1 1 1		(0.001)
Exper. abroad * Asia		0.007***
		(0.001)
Exper. abroad * Africa		0.009***
		(0.002)
Exper. abroad * Latin America		0.008^{***}
Sector dumming	Var	(0.001) Voc
Sector dummes \mathbf{D}^2 adi	r es	r es
n auj. No Obs	0.39	0.40
Exper. abroad * Eastern Europe Exper. abroad * Asia Exper. abroad * Africa Exper. abroad * Latin America Sector dummies R ² adj. No. Obs	Yes 0.39 25.849	0.008*** (0.001) 0.007*** (0.001) 0.009*** (0.002) 0.008*** (0.001) Yes 0.40 25.849

EDUCATION AND EXPERIENCE BY COUNTRY OF ORIGIN

No. Obs. 25,849 25,849 The left-hand side variable is the log of net monthly wages. White-robust standard errors are in brackets. Stars show significance levels, *** up to 1 per cent, ** between 1 per cent and 5 per cent, * between 5 per cent and 10 per cent. The regressions also include the variables (Years in Italy)^2, (Experience abroad)^2, Female, Married, Irregular. Every regression is weighted according to the sample design.

	Stayers	Movers	
	[1]	[2]	
School	0.006***	0.004***	
	(0.001)	(0.002)	
Years in Italy	0.046***	-0.022***	
$(\mathbf{V}_{1}, \mathbf{v}_{2}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{3}, \mathbf{v}_{3})^{2}$	(0.003)	(0.005)	
(Years in Italy) (x100)	-0.123^{***}	0.091^{***}	
Experience abroad	0.009***	0.023)	
Emperience deroud	(0.002)	(0.002)	
(Experience $abroad$) ² (x100)	-0.022***	0.001	
	(0.005)	(0.007)	
Female	-0.259***	-0.006	
	(0.010)	(0.015)	
Married	-0.021**	0.058***	
	(0.010)	(0.013)	
Irregular	-0.156***	0.012	
	(0.014)	(0.025)	
Mover	0.038		
	(0.038)		
Intercept	7.12	7***	
	(0.0)	29)	
Origin country*Mover	Y	es	
Sector dummies	Y	es	
\mathbf{R}^2 adj.	0.4	0.40	
No. Obs	25 849		

SPATIAL SORTING

INO. ODS.25,849The left-hand side variable is the log of net monthly wages. White-robust standard errors are
in brackets. Stars show significance levels, *** up to 1 per cent, ** between 1 per cent and
5 per cent, * between 5 per cent and 10 per cent. Every regression is weighted according to
the sample design.

ROBUSTNESS CHECK: FIRST STAGE

	First-stage probit
Age	-0.004***
	(0.001)
School	0.011***
	(0.002)
Years in Italy	0.038***
	(0.002)
Female	-0.667***
	(0.016)
Europe	0.186***
	(0.025)
America	0.185***
	(0.029)
Africa	-0.004
	(0.022)
Muslims	-0.140***
	(0.028)
Catholics	0.032
	(0.029)
Other Christians	0.101***
	(0.033)
Buddhists	-0.096
	(0.049)
Hindu	-0.135***
	(0.059)
Intercept	0.751***
	(0.051)
Time dummies	Yes
Spatial controls	Provinces
Pseudo R ²	0.10
No. Obs.	36,516

The left-hand side variable is the worker status. Standard errors are in brackets. Stars show significance levels, *** up to 1per cent, ** between 1 per cent and 5per cent, * between 5 and 10 per cent. Every regression is weighted according to the sample design.

Table 6

ROBUSTNESS CHECK: SECOND STAGE

	[1]	[2]	[3]
School	0.009***	0.008***	0.008***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
Years in Italy	0.055***	0.053***	0.038***
2	(0.002)	(0.002)	(0.002)
(Years in Italy) 2 (x100)	-0.146***	-0.144***	-0.092***
	(0.008)	(0.007)	(0.010)
Experience abroad	0.007***	0.007***	0.008***
	(0.001)	(0.001)	(0.001)
(Experience $abroad$) ² (x100)	-0.018***	-0.019***	-0.018***
	(0.003)	(0.003)	(0.003)
Female	-0.341***	-0.298***	-0.285***
	(0.016)	(0.016)	(0.021)
Married	0.028***	0.027***	0.018
	(0.005)	(0.005)	(0.007)
Manufacturing blue collars		0.022***	0.019**
		(0.008)	(0.009)
Construction blue collars		0.122***	0.137***
		(0.009)	(0.010)
Agriculture blue collars		-0.012	0.001
		(0.009)	(0.016)
Specialized blue collars		0.124***	0.116***
		(0.012)	(0.013)
Clerks		0.093***	0.062***
		(0.013)	(0.023)
Artisans		0.031**	0.089***
		(0.009)	(0.014)
Professionals		0.056***	0.102***
		(0.013)	(0.017)
Irregular			-0.219***
			(0.011)
Italian citizenship			0.051***
			(0.017)
Permanent visa			0.059***
			(0.009)
Inverse Mills ratio	0.211***	0.151***	0.100
	(0.052)	(0.051)	(0.065)
Intercept	6.957***	6.971***	6.601***
	(0.029)	(0.029)	(0.031)
Time dummies	Yes	Yes	Yes
Spatial controls	Provinces	Provinces	Provinces
Origin country	Yes	Yes	Yes
Rho	0.539	0.401	0.271
No. Obs.	25,849	25,849	16,025 ¹

The left-hand side variable is the log of net monthly wages. White-robust standard errors are in brackets. Stars show significance levels, *** up to 1per cent, ** between 1 and 5 per cent, * between 5 and 10 per cent. Every regression is weighted according to the sample design. Rho is the correlation between first and second stage residuals. (1) This regression is run over the period 2003-2005, for which we are able to distinguish regular immigrants awarded citizenship,

permanent visa, and temporary visa.

	Legal	Irregular		
	[1]	[2]		
Sahaal	0 000***	0.004*		
School	0.008****	-0.004**		
Years in Italy	(0.001) 0.034***	(0.003) 0.042***		
- ••••• ••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	(0.003)	(0,009)		
(Years in Italy) 2 (x100)	-0.076***	-0. 176***		
	(0.014)	(0.056)		
Experience abroad	0.008***	-0.002		
1	(0.001)	(0.004)		
(Experience $abroad$) ² (x100)	-0.022***	0.002		
	(0.004)	(0.010)		
Female	-0.297***	0.255***		
	(0.008)	(0.023)		
Married	0.019***	-0.153***		
	(0.006)	(0.047)		
Irregular	-0.211***			
5	(0.060)			
Mover	()			
Intercept	7.15	8***		
1	(0.0)22)		
Origin country*Irregular	Ý	Yes		
Sector dummies	Y	Yes		
R^2 adj.	0.	0.40		
No Obs	25	25 849		

LEGAL STATUS

The left-hand side variable is the log of net monthly wages. White-robust standard errors are in brackets. Stars show significance levels, *** up to 1 per cent, ** between 1 per cent and 5 per cent, * between 5 per cent and 10 per cent. Every regression is weighted according to the sample design.

Table 8

COHORT QUALITY

	[1]	[2]	[3]
Sahaal*Cahart6195	0.007		0.007
School Conorto483	(0.007)		(0.007)
Sahaal*Cahart8600	(0.003)		(0.003)
School Coholt8090	(0.009^{111})		(0.009)
Sahaal*Cahart0105	(0.002)		(0.002)
School Conort9193	(0.008^{11})		(0.008^{+++})
Sahaal*Cahart0600	(0.001)		(0.001)
School Coholt9000	(0.008^{111})		(0.008^{+++})
Sahaal*Cahart0105	(0.001)		(0.001)
School*Conort0105	(0,002)		$(0.00)^{+++}$
Veens in Itely	(0.002)		(0.002)
rears in italy	(0.034^{++++})		
Sahaal	(0.004)	0 000***	
School		0.008^{+++}	
Voors in Italy *Cohort6495		(0.001)	0.021
rears in mary "Conorto485		(0.031)	(0.031)
Voors in Italy *Cohort 600		(0.030)	(0.030)
rears in mary "Conort8090		(0.023)	(0.023)
Voora in Italy *Cohort0105		(0.022)	(0.022)
rears in mary "Conort9195		(0.018)	0.018
Voora in Italy *Cohort0600		(0.013)	(0.013)
rears in mary "Conort9000		(0.031)	(0.031^{+++})
Veens in Itely *Cebert0105		(0.09)	(0.09)
Years in Itary "Conorto105		(0.003^{+++})	0.003^{+++}
A mirrol ashant dynamics	Var	(0.007) Vaz	(0.007)
Time dynamics	Yes	Yes	Yes
Time dummies	res	Y es	Y es
Spatial controls	Provinces	Provinces	Provinces
Origin country	Yes	Yes	Yes
Sector dummies P^2	Yes	Yes	Yes
K ² adj.	0.40	0.40	0.40
No. Obs.	25,849	25,849	25,849

The left-hand side variable is the worker status. Standard errors are in brackets. Stars show significance levels, *** up to 1per cent, ** between 1 and 5 per cent, * between 5 and 10 per cent. The regression also includes the variables (Years in Italy)^2, (Experience abroad)^2, Female, Married, Irregular. Every regression is weighted according to the sample design. The omitted cohort dummy is the most recent one.

	[1]		[2]
Compulsory school	0.005***	Compulsory school * East. Europe	0.009**
	(0.001)		(0.03)
Undergraduate school	0.005***	Undergraduate school * East. Europe	0.008***
	(0.001)		(0.002)
Graduate school	0.009***	Graduate school * East. Europe	0.010***
	(0.001)		(0.002)
Years in Italy1	0.112***	Compulsory school * Asia	0.005*
	(0.028)		(0.003)
Years in Italy1 ²	-0.012	Undergraduate school * Asia	0.004**
	(0.007)		(0.002)
Years in Italy2	0.090***	Graduate school * Asia	0.009***
	(0.016)		(0.002)
Years in Italy2 ²	-0.007**	Compulsory school * Africa	0.004***
	(0.003)		(0.002)
Years in Italy3	0.074***	Undergraduate school * Africa	0.005***
	(0.008)		(0.001)
Years in Italy3 ²	-0.004***	Graduate school * Africa	0.009***
	(0.001)		(0.001)
Years in Italy4	0.045***	Compulsory school * Latin America	-0.003
	(0.004)		(0.005)
Years in Italy4 ²	-0.001***	Undergraduate school * Latin America	0.001
	(0.000)		(0.003)
		Graduate school * Latin America	0.005*
			(0.003)
Sector dummies	Yes	Sector dummies	Yes
Pseudo R ²	0.39	Pseudo R ²	0.40
No. Obs.	25.849	No. Obs.	25.849

NON-LINEAR EFFECTS: RETURN ON SCHOOLING AND ON EXPERIENCE BY LEVEL

The left-hand side variable is the worker status. Standard errors are in brackets. Stars show significance levels, *** up to 1 per cent, ** between 1 per cent and 5 per cent, * between 5 per cent and 10 per cent. Regressions include the variables (Experience abroad)^2, Female, Married, Irregular. We split the variable potential experience in Italy into four groups: less than 3 years (Years in Italy1), between 4 and 5 years (Years in Italy2), between 6 and 9 years (Years in Italy3), more than 9 years (Years in Italy4). Every regression is weighted according to the sample design.

RECENTLY PUBLISHED "TEMI" (*)

- N. 670 Credit risk and business cycle over different regimes, by Juri Marcucci and Mario Quagliariello (June 2008).
- N. 671 Cyclical asymmetry in fiscal variables, by Fabrizio Balassone, Maura Francese and Stefania Zotteri (June 2008).
- N. 672 Labour market for teachers: Demographic characteristics and allocative mechanisms, by Gianna Barbieri, Piero Cipollone and Paolo Sestito (June 2008).
- N. 673 Output growth volatility and remittances, by Matteo Bugamelli and Francesco Paternò (June 2008).
- N. 674 Agglomeration within and between regions: Two econometric based indicators, by Valter Di Giacinto and Marcello Pagnini (June 2008).
- N. 675 Service regulation and growth: Evidence from OECD countries, by Guglielmo Barone and Federico Cingano (June 2008).
- N. 676 Has globalisation changed the Phillips curve? Firm-level evidence on the effect of activity on prices, by Eugenio Gaiotti (June 2008).
- N. 677 Forecasting inflation and tracking monetary policy in the euro area: Does national information help? by Riccardo Cristadoro, Fabrizio Venditti and Giuseppe Saporito (June 2008).
- N. 678 *Monetary policy effects: New evidence from the Italian flow of funds*, by Riccardo Bonci and Francesco Columba (June 2008).
- N. 679 Does the expansion of higher education increase the equality of educational opportunities? Evidence from Italy, by Massimiliano Bratti, Daniele Checchi and Guido de Blasio (June 2008).
- N. 680 Family succession and firm performance: Evidence from Italian family firms, by Marco Cucculelli and Giacinto Micucci (June 2008).
- N. 681 *Short-term interest rate futures as monetary policy forecasts*, by Giuseppe Ferrero and Andrea Nobili (June 2008).
- N. 682 Vertical specialisation in Europe: Evidence from the import content of exports, by Emanuele Breda, Rita Cappariello and Roberta Zizza (August 2008).
- N. 683 A likelihood-based analysis for relaxing the exclusion restriction in randomized experiments with imperfect compliance, by Andrea Mercatanti (August 2008).
- N. 684 Balancing work and family in Italy: New mothers' employment decisions after childbirth, by Piero Casadio, Martina Lo Conte and Andrea Neri (August 2008).
- N. 685 *Temporal aggregation of univariate and multivariate time series models: A survey*, by Andrea Silvestrini and David Veredas (August 2008).
- N. 686 Exploring agent-based methods for the analysis of payment systems: A crisis model for StarLogo TNG, by Luca Arciero, Claudia Biancotti, Leandro D'Aurizio and Claudio Impenna (August 2008).
- N. 687 The labor market impact of immigration in Western Germany in the 1990's, by Francesco D'Amuri, Gianmarco I. P. Ottaviano and Giovanni Peri (August 2008).
- N. 688 Agglomeration and growth: the effects of commuting costs, by Antonio Accetturo (September 2008).
- N. 689 A beta based framework for (lower) bond risk premia, by Stefano Nobili and Gerardo Palazzo (September 2008).
- N. 690 *Nonlinearities in the dynamics of the euro area demand for M1*, by Alessandro Calza and Andrea Zaghini (September 2008).
- N. 691 Educational choices and the selection process before and after compulsory schooling, by Sauro Mocetti (September 2008).
- N. 692 Investors' risk attitude and risky behavior: a Bayesian approach with imperfect information, by Stefano Iezzi (September 2008).
- N. 693 Competing influence, by Enrico Sette (September 2008).

^(*) Requests for copies should be sent to:

Banca d'Italia – Servizio Studi di struttura economica e finanziaria – Divisione Biblioteca e Archivio storico – Via Nazionale, 91 – 00184 Rome – (fax 0039 06 47922059). They are available on the Internet www.bancaditalia.it.

2006

- F. BUSETTI, Tests of seasonal integration and cointegration in multivariate unobserved component models, Journal of Applied Econometrics, Vol. 21, 4, pp. 419-438, **TD No. 476 (June 2003).**
- C. BIANCOTTI, A polarization of inequality? The distribution of national Gini coefficients 1970-1996, Journal of Economic Inequality, Vol. 4, 1, pp. 1-32, **TD No. 487 (March 2004)**.
- L. CANNARI and S. CHIRI, *La bilancia dei pagamenti di parte corrente Nord-Sud (1998-2000)*, in L. Cannari, F. Panetta (a cura di), Il sistema finanziario e il Mezzogiorno: squilibri strutturali e divari finanziari, Bari, Cacucci, **TD No. 490 (March 2004)**.
- M. BOFONDI and G. GOBBI, *Information barriers to entry into credit markets*, Review of Finance, Vol. 10, 1, pp. 39-67, **TD No. 509 (July 2004).**
- W. FUCHS and LIPPI F., *Monetary union with voluntary participation*, Review of Economic Studies, Vol. 73, pp. 437-457 **TD No. 512** (July 2004).
- E. GAIOTTI and A. SECCHI, Is there a cost channel of monetary transmission? An investigation into the pricing behaviour of 2000 firms, Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, Vol. 38, 8, pp. 2013-2038 TD No. 525 (December 2004).
- A. BRANDOLINI, P. CIPOLLONE and E. VIVIANO, *Does the ILO definition capture all unemployment?*, Journal of the European Economic Association, Vol. 4, 1, pp. 153-179, **TD No. 529** (December 2004).
- A. BRANDOLINI, L. CANNARI, G. D'ALESSIO and I. FAIELLA, *Household wealth distribution in Italy in the* 1990s, in E. N. Wolff (ed.) International Perspectives on Household Wealth, Cheltenham, Edward Elgar, **TD No. 530 (December 2004)**.
- P. DEL GIOVANE and R. SABBATINI, Perceived and measured inflation after the launch of the Euro: Explaining the gap in Italy, Giornale degli economisti e annali di economia, Vol. 65, 2, pp. 155-192, TD No. 532 (December 2004).
- M. CARUSO, *Monetary policy impulses, local output and the transmission mechanism*, Giornale degli economisti e annali di economia, Vol. 65, 1, pp. 1-30, **TD No. 537 (December 2004).**
- L. GUISO and M. PAIELLA, The role of risk aversion in predicting individual behavior, In P. A. Chiappori e C. Gollier (eds.) Competitive Failures in Insurance Markets: Theory and Policy Implications, Monaco, CESifo, **TD No. 546 (February 2005).**
- G. M. TOMAT, Prices product differentiation and quality measurement: A comparison between hedonic and matched model methods, Research in Economics, Vol. 60, 1, pp. 54-68, TD No. 547 (February 2005).
- L. GUISO, M. PAIELLA and I. VISCO, Do capital gains affect consumption? Estimates of wealth effects from Italian household's behavior, in L. Klein (ed), Long Run Growth and Short Run Stabilization: Essays in Memory of Albert Ando (1929-2002), Cheltenham, Elgar, TD No. 555 (June 2005).
- F. BUSETTI, S. FABIANI and A. HARVEY, *Convergence of prices and rates of inflation*, Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, Vol. 68, 1, pp. 863-878, **TD No. 575 (February 2006).**
- M. CARUSO, Stock market fluctuations and money demand in Italy, 1913 2003, Economic Notes, Vol. 35, 1, pp. 1-47, **TD No. 576 (February 2006)**.
- S. IRANZO, F. SCHIVARDI and E. TOSETTI, *Skill dispersion and productivity: An analysis with matched data*, CEPR Discussion Paper, 5539, **TD No. 577 (February 2006).**
- R. BRONZINI and G. DE BLASIO, *Evaluating the impact of investment incentives: The case of Italy's Law* 488/92. Journal of Urban Economics, Vol. 60, 2, pp. 327-349, **TD No. 582 (March 2006).**
- R. BRONZINI and G. DE BLASIO, *Una valutazione degli incentivi pubblici agli investimenti*, Rivista Italiana degli Economisti, Vol. 11, 3, pp. 331-362, **TD No. 582** (March 2006).
- A. DI CESARE, *Do market-based indicators anticipate rating agencies? Evidence for international banks*, Economic Notes, Vol. 35, pp. 121-150, **TD No. 593 (May 2006).**
- R. GOLINELLI and S. MOMIGLIANO, *Real-time determinants of fiscal policies in the euro area*, Journal of Policy Modeling, Vol. 28, 9, pp. 943-964, **TD No. 609 (December 2006).**

- S. SIVIERO and D. TERLIZZESE, *Macroeconomic forecasting: Debunking a few old wives' tales*, Journal of Business Cycle Measurement and Analysis, v. 3, 3, pp. 287-316, **TD No. 395 (February 2001)**.
- S. MAGRI, Italian households' debt: The participation to the debt market and the size of the loan, Empirical Economics, v. 33, 3, pp. 401-426, **TD No. 454** (October 2002).
- L. CASOLARO. and G. GOBBI, *Information technology and productivity changes in the banking industry*, Economic Notes, Vol. 36, 1, pp. 43-76, **TD No. 489 (March 2004)**.
- G. FERRERO, *Monetary policy, learning and the speed of convergence,* Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control, v. 31, 9, pp. 3006-3041, **TD No. 499 (June 2004).**
- M. PAIELLA, Does wealth affect consumption? Evidence for Italy, Journal of Macroeconomics, Vol. 29, 1, pp. 189-205, TD No. 510 (July 2004).
- F. LIPPI. and S. NERI, *Information variables for monetary policy in a small structural model of the euro area*, Journal of Monetary Economics, Vol. 54, 4, pp. 1256-1270, **TD No. 511 (July 2004)**.
- A. ANZUINI and A. LEVY, *Monetary policy shocks in the new EU members: A VAR approach*, Applied Economics, Vol. 39, 9, pp. 1147-1161, **TD No. 514 (July 2004)**.
- D. JR. MARCHETTI and F. Nucci, *Pricing behavior and the response of hours to productivity shocks*, Journal of Money Credit and Banking, v. 39, 7, pp. 1587-1611, **TD No. 524 (December 2004).**
- R. BRONZINI, *FDI Inflows, agglomeration and host country firms' size: Evidence from Italy*, Regional Studies, Vol. 41, 7, pp. 963-978, **TD No. 526 (December 2004).**
- L. MONTEFORTE, Aggregation bias in macro models: Does it matter for the euro area?, Economic Modelling, 24, pp. 236-261, **TD No. 534 (December 2004)**.
- A. NOBILI, Assessing the predictive power of financial spreads in the euro area: does parameters instability matter?, Empirical Economics, Vol. 31, 1, pp. 177-195, **TD No. 544 (February 2005)**.
- A. DALMAZZO and G. DE BLASIO, *Production and consumption externalities of human capital: An empirical study for Italy*, Journal of Population Economics, Vol. 20, 2, pp. 359-382, **TD No. 554 (June 2005).**
- M. BUGAMELLI and R. TEDESCHI, Le strategie di prezzo delle imprese esportatrici italiane, Politica Economica, v. 23, 3, pp. 321-350, TD No. 563 (November 2005).
- L. GAMBACORTA and S. IANNOTTI, Are there asymmetries in the response of bank interest rates to monetary shocks?, Applied Economics, v. 39, 19, pp. 2503-2517, TD No. 566 (November 2005).
- P. ANGELINI and F. LIPPI, *Did prices really soar after the euro cash changeover? Evidence from ATM withdrawals*, International Journal of Central Banking, Vol. 3, 4, pp. 1-22, **TD No. 581 (March 2006)**.
- A. LOCARNO, *Imperfect knowledge, adaptive learning and the bias against activist monetary policies*, International Journal of Central Banking, v. 3, 3, pp. 47-85, **TD No. 590 (May 2006)**.
- F. LOTTI and J. MARCUCCI, *Revisiting the empirical evidence on firms' money demand*, Journal of Economics and Business, Vol. 59, 1, pp. 51-73, **TD No. 595** (May 2006).
- P. CIPOLLONE and A. ROSOLIA, *Social interactions in high school: Lessons from an earthquake*, American Economic Review, Vol. 97, 3, pp. 948-965, **TD No. 596 (September 2006).**
- L. DEDOLA and S. NERI, *What does a technology shock do? A VAR analysis with model-based sign restrictions*, Journal of Monetary Economics, Vol. 54, 2, pp. 512-549, **TD No. 607 (December 2006)**.
- A. BRANDOLINI, Measurement of income distribution in supranational entities: The case of the European Union, in S. P. Jenkins e J. Micklewright (eds.), Inequality and Poverty Re-examined, Oxford, Oxford University Press, TD No. 623 (April 2007).
- M. PAIELLA, *The foregone gains of incomplete portfolios*, Review of Financial Studies, Vol. 20, 5, pp. 1623-1646, **TD No. 625 (April 2007).**
- K. BEHRENS, A. R. LAMORGESE, G.I.P. OTTAVIANO and T. TABUCHI, *Changes in transport and non transport costs: local vs. global impacts in a spatial network*, Regional Science and Urban Economics, Vol. 37, 6, pp. 625-648, **TD No. 628** (April 2007).
- G. ASCARI and T. ROPELE, *Optimal monetary policy under low trend inflation*, Journal of Monetary Economics, v. 54, 8, pp. 2568-2583, **TD No. 647** (November 2007).
- R. GIORDANO, S. MOMIGLIANO, S. NERI and R. PEROTTI, *The Effects of Fiscal Policy in Italy: Evidence from a VAR Model*, European Journal of Political Economy, Vol. 23, 3, pp. 707-733, **TD No. 656** (December 2007).
- G. BARBIERI, P. CIPOLLONE and P. SESTITO, Labour market for teachers: demographic characteristics and allocative mechanisms, Giornale degli economisti e annali di economia, v. 66, 3, pp. 335-373, TD No. 672 (June 2008).

E. BREDA, R. CAPPARIELLO and R. ZIZZA, Vertical specialisation in Europe: evidence from the import content of exports, Rivista di politica economica, numero monografico, TD No. 682 (August 2008).

```
2008
```

- P. ANGELINI, *Liquidity and announcement effects in the euro area*, Giornale degli Economisti e Annali di Economia, v. 67, 1, pp. 1-20, **TD No. 451 (October 2002).**
- F. SCHIVARDI and R. TORRINI, *Identifying the effects of firing restrictions through size-contingent Differences in regulation*, Labour Economics, v. 15, 3, pp. 482-511, **TD No. 504 (June 2004)**.
- C. BIANCOTTI, G. D'ALESSIO and A. NERI, Measurement errors in the Bank of Italy's survey of household income and wealth, Review of Income and Wealth, v. 54, 3, pp. 466-493, TD No. 520 (October 2004).
- S. MOMIGLIANO, J. HENRY and P. HERNÁNDEZ DE COS, The impact of government budget on prices: Evidence from macroeconometric models, Journal of Policy Modelling, v. 30, 1, pp. 123-143 TD No. 523 (October 2004).
- L. GAMBACORTA, *How do banks set interest rates?*, European Economic Review, v. 52, 5, pp. 792-819, **TD No. 542 (February 2005)**.
- P. ANGELINI and A. GENERALE, *On the evolution of firm size distributions*, American Economic Review, v. 98, 1, pp. 426-438, **TD No. 549 (June 2005).**
- S. DI ADDARIO and E. PATACCHINI, *Wages and the city. Evidence from Italy*, Labour Economics, v.15, 5, pp. 1040-1061, **TD No. 570 (January 2006)**.
- S. FEDERICO and G. A. MINERVA, *Outward FDI and local employment growth in Italy*, Review of World Economics, Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, v. 144, 2, pp. 295-324, **TD No. 613 (February 2007).**
- F. BUSETTI and A. HARVEY, *Testing for trend*, Econometric Theory, v. 24, 1, pp. 72-87, **TD No. 614** (February 2007).
- V. CESTARI, P. DEL GIOVANE and C. ROSSI-ARNAUD, *Memory for Prices and the Euro Cash Changeover: An Analysis for Cinema Prices in Italy*, In P. Del Giovane e R. Sabbatini (eds.), The Euro Inflation and Consumers' Perceptions. Lessons from Italy, Berlin-Heidelberg, Springer, **TD No. 619 (February 2007)**.
- J. SOUSA and A. ZAGHINI, *Monetary Policy Shocks in the Euro Area and Global Liquidity Spillovers*, International Journal of Finance and Economics, v.13, 3, pp. 205-218, **TD No. 629 (June 2007)**.
- M. DEL GATTO, GIANMARCO I. P. OTTAVIANO and M. PAGNINI, Openness to trade and industry cost dispersion: Evidence from a panel of Italian firms, Journal of Regional Science, v. 48, 1, pp. 97-129, TD No. 635 (June 2007).
- P. DEL GIOVANE, S. FABIANI and R. SABBATINI, What's behind "inflation perceptions"? A survey-based analysis of Italian consumers, in P. Del Giovane e R. Sabbatini (eds.), The Euro Inflation and Consumers' Perceptions. Lessons from Italy, Berlin-Heidelberg, Springer, TD No. 655 (January 2008).
- B. BORTOLOTTI, and P. PINOTTI, *Delayed privatization*, Public Choice, v. 136, 3-4, pp. 331-351, **TD No.** 663 (April 2008).
- R. BONCI and F. COLUMBA, Monetary policy effects: New evidence from the Italian flow of funds, Applied Economics, v. 40, 21, pp. 2803-2818, TD No. 678 (June 2008).
- M. CUCCULELLI, and G. MICUCCI, *Family Succession and firm performance: evidence from Italian family firms*, Journal of Corporate Finance, v. 14, 1, pp. 17-31, **TD No. 680 (June 2008)**.

FORTHCOMING

- P. ANGELINI, P. DEL GIOVANE, S. SIVIERO and D. TERLIZZESE, Monetary policy in a monetary union: What role for regional information?, International Journal of Central Banking, TD No. 457 (December 2002).
- L. MONTEFORTE and S. SIVIERO, *The Economic Consequences of Euro Area Modelling Shortcuts*, Applied Economics, **TD No. 458 (December 2002)**.
- L. GUISO and M. PAIELLA,, *Risk aversion, wealth and background risk*, Journal of the European Economic Association, **TD No. 483 (September 2003)**.

- R. FELICI and M. PAGNINI, *Distance, bank heterogeneity and entry in local banking markets*, The Journal of Industrial Economics, **TD No. 557 (June 2005).**
- M. BUGAMELLI and A. ROSOLIA, *Produttività e concorrenza estera*, Rivista di politica economica, **TD** No. 578 (February 2006).
- M. PERICOLI and M. TABOGA, *Canonical term-structure models with observable factors and the dynamics of bond risk premia*, Journal of Money, Credit and Banking, **TD No. 580 (February 2006).**
- E. VIVIANO, Entry regulations and labour market outcomes. Evidence from the Italian retail trade sector, Labour Economics, **TD No. 594 (May 2006)**.
- R. BRONZINI and P. PISELLI, *Determinants of long-run regional productivity with geographical spillovers: the role of R&D, human capital and public infrastructure,* Regional Science and Urban Economics, **TD No. 597 (September 2006).**
- U. ALBERTAZZI and L. GAMBACORTA, *Bank profitability and the business cycle*, Journal of Financial Stability, **TD No. 601 (September 2006).**
- M. BUGAMELLI, Prezzi delle esportazioni, qualità dei prodotti e caratteristiche di impresa: analisi su un campione di imprese italiane, Economia e Politica Industriale, **TD No. 634 (June 2007)**.
- A. CIARLONE, P. PISELLI and G. TREBESCHI, *Emerging Markets' Spreads and Global Financial Conditions*, Journal of International Financial Markets, Institutions & Money, **TD No. 637 (June 2007).**
- S. MAGRI, *The financing of small innovative firms: The Italian case*, Economics of Innovation and New Technology, **TD No. 640 (September 2007)**.
- L. FORNI, L. MONTEFORTE and L. SESSA, *The general equilibrium effects of fiscal policy: Estimates for the euro area,* Journal of Public Economics, **TD No. 652** (November 2007).
- L. ARCIERO, C. BIANCOTTI, L. D'AURIZIO and C. IMPENNA, *Exploring agent-based methods for the analysis* of payment systems: A crisis model for StarLogo TNG, Journal of Artificial Societies and Social Simulation, **TD No. 686 (August 2008)**.