Staff Papers Series

Staff Paper P83-3

January 1983

Potato Production and Storage Cost Estimates for Minnesota in 1983

bу

Fred J. Benson



Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics

University of Minnesota
Institute of Agriculture, Forestry and Home Economics
St. Paul, Minnesota 55108

Potato Production and Storage Cost Estimates for Minnesota in 1983

bу

Fred J. Benson

Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics University of Minnesota St. Paul, Minnesota

January 1983

INTRODUCTION

Minnesota typically ranks ninth in terms of income from potato production. Most of Minnesota's potato production is in the northwest part of the state commonly referred to as the Red River Valley. The Red River marks the border between Minnesota and North Dakota, so potato production also takes place on the western part of the Red River Valley in North Dakota. The Red River Valley as a potato production region is second only to the western region.

Minnesota typically devotes 70,000 to 80,000 of its 30 million acres of farm land to potatoes (about 0.3%). Cash receipts from potato production is typically about 1% of the state's total cash receipts from farm-marketings, depending on the year and potato prices.

Discussion of Budget Information

This section will discuss the crop production estimates which are shown in Appendix Tables 1 through 5. These budgets are developed for the Red River Valley in Minnesota. The reader should keep in mind that these budgets are projections and are subject to the many variables and uncertainties that can take place before and while the 1983 potato crop is produced and marketed. The yields used in the budgets are estimated using previous production records and the expectations of the farmers who provided their production information to me.

Expected Prices: The hardest variable to estimate is that of price. These budgets are set up as production budgets. They do not contain storage costs. Therefore, the price used in the budgets is an expected harvest price. This allows the grower to separate the decision of what to grow from that of when and how to market.

Mid-winter projections of cash market prices for the 1983 crop are highly conjectural. The crop isn't planted. Acreage and yields are unknown. Demand for many crops depends heavily on foreign markets. An individual's planting decisions should consider both the level of price expectations and the degree of confidence in those price expectations. Government programs limit the downside price risk on wheat and feedgrains, and somewhat limit the upside price possibilities as well. All of these variables must be considered and analyzed as best as possible to estimate the resulting impact on potato production acreage and expected prices.

Supply for the 1983 potato crop marketing year will consist of carryover stocks plus production.

The estimated stocks of potatoes in Minnesota as of December 1, 1982 was 9.0 million cwt. or 78% of production (see Table 1). This is 1% below December 1, 1981 stocks. According to the Minnesota Agricultural Statistics

Table 1

Minnesota and North Dakota Fall Potatoes: Acreage, Yield, Production, and Stocks

				- 1982 Crop	ді	Dec. 1, 1981	December 1,	1982
Area	Color	State	Harvested Acreage	Yield (Cwt.)	Production (000 Cwt.)	Stocks 1980 Crop (000 Cwt.)	Stocks 1982 Grop (000 Cwt.)	
	Red	Minnesota North Dakota Valley	10,000 27,160 37,160	157 145 148	1,570 3,940 5,510	1,390 3,450 4,840	1,080 3,330 4,410	18 26 23
Red River	White	Minnesota North Dakota Valley	28,000 72,090 100,090	149 154 153	4,160 11,120 15,280	4,370 8,832 13,202	3,900 7,485 11,385	65 58 61
Valley	Russet	Minnesota North Dakota Valley	8,000 15,750 23,750	146 139 141	1,170 2,190 3,360	740 1,518 2,258	1,020 1,985 3,005	17 16 14
	Total	Minnesota North Dakota Valley	46,000 115,000 161,000	150 150 150	6,900 17,250 24,150	6,500 13,800 20,300	6,000 12,800 18,800	32 68 100
State	Red White Russet Total	Minnesota	14,500 31,000 18,500 64,000	155 149 251 180	2,250 4,620 4,620 11,520	1,930 4,510 2,660 9,100	1,710 4,320 2,970 9,000	19 48 33 100

Source: Minnesota Agricultural Statistics Service, December 1982.

Service report, Minnesota's production is 13% below 1981, but 16% above 1980's crop. The decrease is because there were 6,000 less acres harvested and the average yield dropped by 10 cwt. per acre. The estimate of stocks by type show 19% red, 48% white, and 33% russet, an increase of 3% in russets and a 3% drop in reds from last year.

Stocks of potatoes in the North Dakota-Minnesota Red River Valley area are estimated at 18.8 million cwt. which is 7% below one year ago. Stocks by type are estimated at 23% red, 61% white, and 14% russet. Total production in the Valley is down 14% from last year.

U.S. potato stocks are up from a year earlier. Estimated potato stocks in the 15 major fall states as of December 1, 1982 are 202 million cwt., 5% above a year ago and 17% more than 1980. Of the total stocks on hand in the 11 major states, 72% are russets, 24% whites and 4% reds.

Estimated holdings in the three eastern states total 32.2 million cwt., 5% greater than a year earlier and 19% above 1980. Stocks in Maine, at 21.0 million cwt., are down slightly from a year ago. North Dakota's stocks are 17% below a year ago, Minnesota down 8% and Wisconsin up 11%. Holdings in the six western states total 127 million cwt., 5% more than on December 1, 1981, and 15% above two years ago. Idaho's stocks are estimated at 67.0 million cwt., 8% more than a year earlier. Holdings in Washington and Oregon are about the same as last year.

The price forecasts used in the budgets are to provide a benchmark with which to assess harvest price potential as the season unfolds. They are built on crop carryover estimates in December 1982, on possible planted acreage and an estimate of crop yield. As planting time approaches, growers will refine these price estimates and compare the expected net returns from potatoes with other pricing alternatives and other crop production possibilities.

Cropping Costs and Cash Flow Expenses: The per acre costs (shown in the budgets) are developed on the basis of commercial production. Field performance rates are also indicated for the machine sizes given. Field operations are assumed to be done in a timely fashion. Cash flow expenses of field operations include diesel fuel, plus an allowance for lubrication and use-related repairs. Performance rates include discounts for the usual efficiency factors which account for turning time at the ends and other delay in field performance.

This year in our annual discussions with growers, we learned that many will be cutting back on inputs where they can. Indications are that they are going to more closely monitor fertilizer and pesticide applications. The budgets are developed to show this change in production practices.

Machinery costs are included as "machinery fuction costs" -- that is, the average total costs, on an annual basis, for the tractor and machine (overhead and operating) including operating labor to work one acre. The machines are assumed fully-utilized on the farm. For each machine, the figure under the "UNITS OF APPLIC" column indicates how many times it is

used on one acre. The "QUANTITY" column is the time, in hours, required per acre-hours per acre. The figure in the "PRICE" column is the total cost to operate the tractor plus the machine, including labor, for one hour. The "TOTAL AMOUNT" column is the result of multiplying the first three columns together. The "CASH COSTS" column for a machine is the estimated value of fuel, oil and repairs for the tractor and/or implement. Labor costs are not included in cash costs. Fuel costs (diesel) are estimated to be \$1.12 per gallon.

Purchased Seed, Fertilizer and Chemicals: Other cost items indicate the number of units and the cost per unit. Quantities and rates indicated in the budgets are based upon recommended practices. Adjustments to individual farm conditions from these recommendations may be necessary. For instance, soil tests and fertilizer carryover from 1982 may suggest different fertilizer recommendations. Potassium and phosphate levels are approximately equal to removals adjusted for the availability of naturally available fertilizer ingredients in the soils.

Herbicide carryover considerations must be considered in terms of which crops are feasible on individual fields. Weed problems must also be considered. Specific chemicals used as herbicides and insecticides were grouped to attain a per acre cost.

<u>Cash Expense Per Acre</u>: Cash expenses are those costs associated specifically with the crop being considered and are incurred only with the production of that crop.

Costs indicated in the budgets are based on recommended practices for a good producer. Adjustments to individual farm conditions may be necessary with varying fertility situations, chemical use, and planting practices.

Cash costs estimate the out-of-pocket cash operating expenses and include estimates for fuel, oil, repairs, fertilizer, seed, chemicals, and land taxes. These costs are basic to any analysis of short-term adjustments to increase profitability.

In the short-run, each grower seeks to maximize his returns over cash costs. This in turn provides the greatest amount towards fixed assets, family living expenses and hired labor.

Land and Other Overhead Costs: The actual 1983 cash cost of land will vary greatly among individual operators due to varying land rental arrangements and land finance structures.

The land values used in the budgets are based on recent relationships between land prices and cash rents in Minnesota. The ratio of rent to current land value is estimated between 3.5 and 4.5%. Such a ratio for cropland suggests that land renting for \$75 per acre would sell for \$1,667 to \$2,142 per acre. In the budgets, a cash rent of \$75 per acre was used with a land value of \$1,667 per acre.

Average land taxes are estimated at .6% of the current market value of land. The net return for land is 3.9% of current market value. The land tax estimates are included in the cash expense category, and the net return to land is included as the overhead cost (called "land charge").

Labor is considered an overhead cost in the production process. This is the case with both operator and family labor and full-time hired labor. Special labor hired seasonally for a specific crop should be considered a cash cost. The budgets in the appendix tables assume the use of operator and family labor. Unskilled labor for tillage and truck driving is valued at \$5.85 per hour, and skilled labor for planting, spraying and harvesting is valued at \$7.80 per hour. These rates include a 30% charge over the wage rate to include workman's compensation, social security, insurance and other employee benefits.

<u>Crop Loss Cost (Insurance):</u> The calculated crop loss cost can be viewed as either the cash expense of carrying crop insurance or the discount in returns necessary to make fair comparisons between crops under conditions where crop insurance is not carried.

Interest on Cash Expense: It is assumed that cash flow crop expenses are borrowed to grow the crop. The average time this money is on loan is until harvest is six months. Interest costs are calculated accordingly using a 14.5% annual rate.

The column "CASH COSTS" estimates the out-of-pocket cash operating expenses incurred on one acre of the indicated crop. The cash costs include estimates for fuel, oil, repairs, fertilizers, seed, chemicals and crop insurance. These costs, as mentioned earlier, are basic to any analysis of short-term adjustments to increase profitability in the farming operation.

Costs Not Included: The budgets are developed on an industry cost format. The total costs indicated are all costs, cash and otherwise, required to plant, produce, harvest and haul the crop to storage. Storage costs are not included. This allows the producer to separate the market costs associated with different marketing strategies from the production costs. No charge is included for general farm overhead.

The returns over total costs shown are the total returns minus the indicated total costs. The total costs include: land, labor, machinery and other specific costs as listed in the budget. The total cost figure does not include other farm overhead charges such as farm organization dues, use of the pickup truck, building and storage cost (except machinery housing which is included on machine cost) or the labor and fuel used for off-field purposes. The returns over cash costs allow the budget user to estimate his return over cash costs which goes to pay for land, labor, machinery and management.

Fuel and Labor Needed

At the bottom of each budget is an estimate of fuel use per acre in diesel fuel equivalents. Multiply this figure by 1.39 to estimate gasoline

equivalents if gasoline powered tractors are used. Also included are the amount of hours and value of actual field labor, and the portion of annual machinery overhead and operating expenses charged to the budget.

<u>Credit</u>: If credit is limiting, a grower may need to consider crops with lower cash cost requirements and crops that have a high degree of assurance of enough cash return to cover the cash expense incurred. Some crops are more resistant to drought than others—others may be more disease resistant. It is necessary to consider the net cash flow if yields are less than planned. Estimates are given in the line "RETURNS OVER CASH COSTS" and include the value if attaining the listed returns, a 20% reduction in returns, and a 50% reduction in returns. Reduction in returns may occur because of changes in either/or both price and yield.

Other Considerations: Most growers want as high a return over cash costs in a given year as safety in maintaining their cash flow or liquidity position will allow. As the cropping season approaches, the available moisture, labor, machine capacity and past cropping history must be taken as given. Diversification may be necessary for some to decrease risk and/or give the highest return in the face of their particular set of resources.

Long-Run Considerations: The crop showing the greatest return over cash expenses in a given year may or may not be the most profitable in the long-run. When due consideration is made for the differences in machinery overhead costs, in disease and pest buildup risks and in soil erosion considerations associated with one sequence of crops versus another, there will be situations where long-term profitability may not necessarily be the same as that associated with providing the best cash flow position and the best short-run profitability for a given set of resources of land, labor and machinery.

Using the Budget Information for Decision-Making

The main purpose of a budget is for planning. And at this time of the year a grower must decide what and how much (acreage) to grow. In the Red River Valley of Minnesota, the most predominant crop is wheat. Another important crop is sugarbeets. Both of these crops can be substituted for potatoes. In Table 2, I have provided a shortcut analysis of the potato budgets, as shown in Appendix Tables 1 through 5, and compared them with the 1983 budgets for wheat and sugarbeets for the Red River Valley in Minnesota.

Making the decision of what to grow in the upcoming year is a short-run planning situation which looks at maximizing the returns over cash costs for the total farming operation. Of course, this is subject to constraints such as land suitability, input availability, sufficient machinery capacity, adequate operating capital, etc. In the long run, the grower must look at covering all of his costs, which means adequate payment to his fixed factors of production (land, labor, capital and management). Also when considering a new crop or a change in production practices, the grower should make his analysis of the expected long-run net returns.

Table 2

1983 Budget Comparisons of Wheat, Sugarbeets and Potatoes for the Red River Valley in Minnesota on a Per Acre Basis

Crop	Wheat	Sugarbeets	Round White Seed	Russet	Round White Processing	Russet	Tablestock
Yield	45 bu.	17 ton	130 cwt.	160 cwt.	165 cwt.	145 cwt.	155 cwt.
Price	\$3.70	\$30.00	\$4.00	\$4.50	\$3.50	\$3.85	\$3.00
Returns	167	. 0ÌS	550*	¥66 <i>L</i>	578	558	465
Cash Costs	76	150	408	409	285	266	303
Returns-Cash Costs	91	360	142	389	292	292	162
80% Returns-Cash Costs	57	258	32	230	177	180	69
50% Returns-Cash Costs	7	105	-133	-10	4	13	-71
Net Returns	ъ	155	-118	125	59	62	69-

* Includes the sale of jumbos and "Bs" at other prices. See Appendix Tables 1 and 2 for specifics.

1983 Storage Costs

In order to estimate potato storage costs, I have used a 48,000 cwt. four bin house with refrigeration and other needed equipment for potato handling. The breakdown of costs is shown in Table 3. Annual overhead costs on this storage unit are estimated to be \$55,245. The operating costs are calculated separately for seed and processing potatoes. Using processing potatoes as an example, the estimated total cost per cwt. into storage is \$1.98, but the cost per cwt. of potatoes marketed after a 10% shrink is \$2.20.

Total Costs with Marketing from Storage

I find it easiest to estimate total costs by converting all costs to a per cwt. marketed basis. This then correctly considers the shrinkage which occurs in storage. The budgets indicate yields available for sale at harvest or yield going into storage. The resulting breakeven price for tablestock potatoes (Appendix Table 4) is \$3.44 per cwt. However, if the 155 cwt. of potatoes goes into storage and incurs a 10% shrink, there are only 139.5 cwt. left for sale from that acre. Production expenses were \$533.62, so the production expenses per cwt. marketed after shrink are now \$3.83. The storage costs of \$2.20 per cwt. now indicate the total cost per cwt. to be \$6.03.

A breakeven analysis has to consider the shrink factors. I have used 10% in calculations, but this can vary considerably. In order to adjust for the shrink factor, you must divide the costs before shrink by one minus the shrink factor (1 - .10). Table 4 shows the breakeven price for the various potato production budgets when marketing out of storage and incurring shrinkage. These are the total costs or the prices that would be needed to breakeven given the stated assumptions on production and storage costs and shrinkage.

In Retrospect

In this analysis, I have estimated the cash operating costs and the overhead costs separately. The cash operating costs for each crop can be expected to be very close to what every grower can expect. These will be very consistent from farm-to-farm as they are itemized in the budgets.

The biggest variations will come in the area of estimated overhead costs. The estimates for overhead costs are what I would expect to be average for the industry. However, from farm to farm there will be wide variations in overhead costs due to the individual grower's situation and debt load. For example, the young farmer starting out is probably facing cash rent payments and/or principal and interest payments on purchased land

Table 4 Breakeven Prices for the Various Potato Production Budgets When Marketed Out of Storage $\frac{1}{2}$

	Round White Seed	Russet Seed	Round White Processing	Russet Processing	Tablestock Potatoes
Cwt./Acre	130	160	165	145	155
Total Cost	\$638 <u>2</u> /	\$595 <u>2</u> /	\$519	\$496	\$534
Cost/Cwt.	\$4.91	\$3.72	\$3.14	\$3.42	\$3.44
Storage Cost/Cwt.	\$2.25	\$2.25	\$1.98	\$1.98	\$1.98
Total Cost/Cwt. Produced	\$7.16	\$5.97	\$5.12	\$5.40	\$5.42
Shrinkage Percent	10	10	10	10	10
Total Cost/Cwt. Marketed	\$7.95	\$6.63	\$5.69	\$6.00	\$6.02

 $[\]underline{1}/$ After 10% shrinkage in storage.

^{2/} Total Cost reduced by credit for sale of jumbos.

and high machinery expenditures. Growers with higher debt loads and high repayment rates may find the returns over listed cash operating costs insufficient to meet scheduled debt repayments.

On the other hand, the established grower with his land paid for and most machinery and equipment paid for will find the returns over cash operating costs to be more than adequate to meet his cash debt payments and family living expenses. It is the function of management to constantly be striving to get the farm overhead costs down within reason so that the debt load can be reduced to the point where all the factors of production (land, labor, capital and management) could expect a normal return. Management of the overhead costs is as important as the management devoted to the production and marketing functions.

APPENDIX TABLES

(7)		SEED						
7	NITS OR	QUANTI	TV.	PRICE	TOTAL	CASH		
	APPLIC.	down'r.	••		AMOUNT	COSTS		
RETURNS					AFIOUNI	00010		
,								
ROUND CERT. SEED		130.000	CWT.	4.000	520.00			
ROUND JUMBOS		15.000	CWI.	2.000				
TOTAL RETURNS				•	550.00			
					330100			
PLANTING	COSTS							
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28		.074	HR/A	48.756	3.59	1.39		
SPRINGTOOTH DRAG 48			HR/A		2.05	.31		
ROUND FOUND SEED		18.000		8.000	144.00	144.00		
POT SEED TREATMENT		18.000		.450	8.10	8.10		
POT. SEED CUTTING POTATO ROW MARKER 6R		10.000	UWI /A	.600 83.204	10.80 11.14	10.80 2.31		
POTATO FILLER		.174	HR/A	31.670	5 51			
POTATO PLANTER 6 ROW		.174	HR/A	116.129	5.51 20.22	4.61		
HEAVY TRUCK	3			46.786				
· FERTILIZ	er							
ANHYDROUS AMMONIA		50.000			6.50	6.50		
NITROGEN		25.000			5.50	5.50		
PHOSPHORUS P205		50.000	LBS.	.220	11.00			
POTASSIUM K20		60.000	LBS.	.100 54.084				
ANHYDROUS APPLICATOR		.112	HK/A	34.084	6.07	1.69		
SPRAYING	COSTS							
ARRIAL APPLICATION	5	3.300	ACRE	1.000	16.50	16.50		
INSECTICIDE	3	8.330		1.000	24.99	24.99		
FUNGICIDE	3	3.500		1.000	10.50	10.50		
VINE KILLER	2	12.000		1.000	24.00	24.00		
CULTIVAT			4.					
POTATO CULT. 6 ROW	4		HR/A			3.99		
ROUGEING		5.000		1.000	5.00	5.00		
HARVEST	COST							
POTATO HVSTR SEED 2R		.618	HR/A	77.639	47.97	12.66		
HEAVY TRUCK	3		HR/A		86.74	46.95		
DISK 21 FT		.098	HR/A	53.261	5.23	1.47		
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28		.074	HR/A	48.756	3.59	1.39		
OTHER CO	_	1667 000		000	<i>(</i>			
LAND TAXES		1667.000 1667.000		.039	65.01 10.00	10.00		
LIGHT TRUCK	•	1.250		18.960	23.70	10.57		
FIELD + DISEASE TEST		1.000	ACRE	11.000	11.00	11.00		
INSPECTION AND TAGS		144.000		.060	8.64	8.64		
DDOMOTTON TATES		157.000		.030	4.71 13.75	4.71		
CROP INSURANCE		550.000						
INTEREST ON CASH COSTS		408.080		.072	29.59			
mom41 00000					660 04	400.00		
TOTAL COSTS RETURNS OVER TOTAL COS	ጥር				668.04 -118.04	408.08		
RETURNS OVER CASH COST					141.92			
RETURNS OVER CASH COST	S 20 PC	T RETURNS	REDUC	CTION	31.92			
RETURNS OVER CASH COST	S 50 PC	T RETURNS	S REDUC	CTION	-133.08			
		_						
CASH COSTS/ACRE MACHINE OWNERSHIP COST	408.0	8 MACI	IINE OF	PERATING	COSTS/A	100.84		
MACHINE OWNERSHIP COST	/A 107.5	9 FUE	L USE/A	CRE (GA)	L)	24.37		
ם ווקעי	LANT GR	OWING HAT	RVEST	OTHER	TOTAL			
CASH COST 29	1.69	8.99 6	2.48	44.92	408.08			
LABOR HOURS LABOR VALUE	8.82	2.65	4.09	1.25				
HADOK VALUE	0.02	2.65 2	2.43	1.31	44.02			

(10)		SEED				
	UNITS OR	QUANTI	TY	PRICE	TOTAL	CASH
	APPLIC.	\			AMOUNT	COSTS
RETURNS						
NTCCOM COM COM		160 000		, 500		
RUSSET CERT. SEED RUSSET JUMBOS		35.000		4.500 2.250		
RODDEL JUILDOD		33.000	OMI.	2.230	/0./2	
TOTAL RETURNS					798.75	
	ING COSTS					
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28				48.756		
SPRINGTOOTH DRAG 48 RUSSET FOUND SEED	•	18.000	HR/A	62.034 8.000		.31
POT SEED TREATMENT		18.000		.450	144.00 8.10	144.00 8.10
POT. SEED CUTTING		18.000		.600	10.80	10.80
POTATO ROW MARKER 6	R	.134	HR/A	83.204	11.14	
POTATO FILLER				31.670	5.51	
POTATO PLANTER 6 RO	W	.174	HR/A	116.129	20.22	4.61
HEAVY TRUCK	3	.174	HR/A	46.786	24.42	13.22
PERTI	LIZER	77 000				
ANHYDROUS AMMONIA NITROGEN		75.000 25.000		.130	9.75	
PHOSPHORUS P205		50.000				5.50 11.00
POTASSIUM K20		75.000		.100	7.50	7.50
ANHYDROUS APPLICATO	R		HR/A		6.07	1.69
	ING COSTS					
AERIAL APPLICATION	5		ACRE		16.50	16.50
INSECTICIDE FUNGICIDE	3 4	8.330		1.000	24.99	24.99
VINE KILLER	2	3.500 8.750		1.000	14.00 17.50	14.00 17.50
Tana manuan	•	0.750		1.000	17.50	17.30
CULTI	VATION					
POTATO CULT. 6 ROW	3	.109	HR/A	28.038	9.15	3.00
ROUGEING		5.000		1.000	5.00	5.00
	ST COST	(10	/ .			
POTATO HVSTR SEED 2 HEAVY TRUCK	x 3	4018	HK/A	77.639 46.786	47.97 86.74	12.66 46.95
DISK 21 FT	•			53.261	5.23	1.47
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28				48.756	3.59	1.39
	Costs		*			
LAND CHARGE LAND TAXES		1667.000		.039	65.01	
LIGHT TRUCK		1667.000	HR/A	.006 18.960	10.00 23.70	10.00 10.57
FIELD + DISEASE TES	T	1.000	ACRE	11.000	11.00	11.00
INSPECTION AND TAGS		144.000	CWT.		8.64	
PROMOTION TAXES		176.000		.030	5.28	
CROP INSURANCE		176.000 798.750		.025	19.97	
INTEREST ON CASH CO	STS	409.401		.072	29.68	
TOTAL COSTS					672 62	409.40
TOTAL VODIO					0/3.02	409.40
RETURNS OVER TOTAL					125.13	
RETURNS OVER CASH C					389.35	
RETURNS OVER CASH C	OSTS 20 PC	returns	REDUC	CTION	229.60	
RETURNS OVER CASH C	USTS 50 PC	r RETURNS	REDUC	CTION	-10.03	
CASH COSTS/ACRE	40 9 A) MACE	ים קמדו	PRRATTNA	COSTS /A	99.84
CASH COSTS/ACRE MACHINE OWNERSHIP CO	OST/A 106.20) furi	USE/A	CRE- (GAT.) 	23.88
				/411	•	
	J PLANT GR					•
CASH COST	293.44	8.00 62	.48	45.49 4	09.40	
LABOR HOURS	1.38	.34 4	09	1.25	7.06	
LABOR VALUE	0.82	1.79 25	.25	7.31	43.36	

SOIL AREA 12

ROUND WHITE POTATOES PROCESSING

(3)		PROCES	SETING			
	UNITS OR APPLIC.	QUANTI	ry .	PRICE	TOTAL AMOUNT	CASH COSTS
RETURNS						
ROUND WHITE POT.		165.000	CWI.	3.500	577.50	
TOTAL RETURNS					577.50	
PLANTIN	G COSTS	074	/ A	40 754		
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28			HR/A			1.39
SPRINGTOOTH DRAG 48			-	62.034	2.05	.31 82.50
RND WHT PROC SEED POT SEED TREATMENT		15.000 15.000		5.500 .450	82.50 6.75	82.30 6.75
POT SEED CUTTING		15.000		.600	9.00	9.00
POTATO ROW MARKER 6R				83.204		2.31
POTATO FILLER				31.670	5.51	.25
POTATO PLANTER 6 ROW				116.129		
HEAVY TRUCK	3			46.786	24.42	
FERTILI	_					
NITROGEN		25.000	LBS.	.220	5.50	5.50
ANHYDROUS AMMONIA		75.000	LBS.	.130	9.75	9.75
PHOSPHORUS P205		50.000	LBS.	.220	11.00	11.00
POTASSIUM K20		60.000		.100	6.00	6.00
ANHYDROUS APPLICATOR		.112	HR/A	54.084	6.07	1.69
SPRAYIN	G COSTS			•		
AERIAL APPLICATION	4	3.300	ACRE	1.000	13.20	13.20
INSECTICIDE		20.000		1.000	20.00	20.00
FUNGICIDE	4	3.500		1.000	14.00	14.00
SPROUT INHIB & APP		12.000		1.000	12.00	12.00
CULTIVA	TION					
POTATO CULT. 6 ROW	4	.109	HR/A	28.038	12.20	3.99
100010	•		,		42425	
HARVEST	COST					
POTATO HRVSTR. 2 ROW		.402	HR/A	99.405	39.93	8.40
HEAVY TRUCK	3	.402	HR/A	46.786	56.42	30.54
DISK 21 FT		.098	HR/A	53.261	5.23	1.47
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28		.074	HR/A	48.756	3.59	1.39
OTHER C	OSTS			•		
LAND CHARGE		1667.000		.039	65.01	
LAND TAXES		1667.000		.006	10.00	10.00
LIGHT TRUCK		1.250	HR/A	18.960	23.70	10.57
PROMOTION TAXES		165.000		.030	4.95	4.95
CROP INSURANCE		577.500		.025	14.44	
INTEREST ON CASH COST	S	284.822		.072	20.65	
TOTAL COSTS					518.84	284.82
RETURNS OVER TOTAL CO	STS				58.66	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS					292.68	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS		T RETURNS	B REDU	CTION	177.18	
RETURNS OVER CASH COS	TS 50 PC	T RETURNS	REDU	CTION	3.93	
			•			
CASH COSTS/ACRE MACHINE OWNERSHIP COS TOTAL COST PER CWT.	T/A 98.3	6 FUE	HINE O L USE/	PERATING ACRE (GA	COSTS/A	80.17 20.12
m,++	77 4 5700 OT	OUTNO 1141	, tite om	CALCA ED AN	ም ስ ም ለ ፣	
CASH COST 2	PLANT GR	OWING HAI	KVEST 1 Q1	0THEK 25 52	101AL 284.82	
LABOR HOURS	1.38	.45	2.72	1.25	5.80	
LABOR HOURS	8.82	2.65	6.72	7.31	35.55	
LABUR VALUE	0.04	2.00	0.70			

(6)	TAB	LESTOCK				
	UNITS OR APPLIC.	QUANTII	Y.	PRICE	TOTAL AMOUNT	CASH COSTS
RETURNS						
ROUND RED POT.		155.000	CWI.	3.000	465.00	
TOTAL RETURNS					465.00	
PLANT	ING COSTS					
FIELD CULTIVATOR 28	3	.074	HR/A	48.756	3.59	
SPRINGTOOTH DRAG 48	3		HR/A	62.034	2.05	.31
ROUND CERT SEED		15.000		6.500	97.50	97.50 6.75
POT SEED TREATMENT		15.000 15.000		.450 .600	6.75 9.00	9.00
POT. SEED CUTTING POTATO ROW MARKER	i n			83.204	11.14	2.31
POTATO FILLER	JA.			31.670	5.51	.25
POTATO PLANTER 6 RO)W	.174	HR/A	116.129	20.22	
HEAVY TRUCK	3	.174	HR/A	46.786	24.42	13.22
FERT	LIZER					
nitrogen		25.000				5.50
ANHYDROUS AMMONIA		75.000		.130	9.75	9.75
PHOSPHORUS P205		50.000		.220	11.00 6.00	11.00
POTASSIUM K20	ân.	60.000	HR/A		6.07	1.69
ANHYDROUS APPLICAT	JK	.112	BA/A	J4.004	0.07	1.07
SPRAY	ZING COSTS					
AERIAL APPLICATION		3.300	ACRE	1.000		16.50
INSECTICIDE		20.000		1.000	20.00	20.00
FUNGICIDE	3	3.500		1.000		10.50
VINE KILLER	2	8.750		1.000	17.50	17.50
						,
	IVATION 3	100	HR/A	28.038	9.15	3.00
POTATO CULT. 6 ROW	3	•103	EA/A	20.030	7.13	3.00
HARV	EST COST					
POTATO HRVSTR. 2 R			HR/A			8.40
HEAVY TRUCK	3			46.786		30.54
DISK 21 FT		.098	HR/A	53.261		1.47
FIELD CULTIVATOR 2	8	.074	HR/A	48.756	3.59	1.39
OTHE	R COSTS				40.40	
LAND CHARGE		1667.000		.039		10.00
LAND TAXES		1667.000		.006 18.960		10.00 10.57
LIGHT TRUCK PROMOTION TAXES		132.000		.030		3.96
CROP INSURANCE		465.000		.025		0.70
INTEREST ON CASE C	OSTS	303.134		.072		
TOTAL COSTS					533.62	303.13
	000E0				-68.62	
RETURNS OVER TOTAL RETURNS OVER CASH					161.87	
DESTIDAS OURD CASH	COSTS 20 P	מפווייזפ יים	C PENI	TOTTON	68 87	
RETURNS OVER CASH	COSTS 50 P	CT RETURN	S REDU	CTION	-70.63	
CASH COSTS/ACRE MACHINE OWNERSHIP TOTAL COST PER CWI	COST/A 96.	97 FUE	HINE (PERATING ACRE (GA	COSTS/A L)	79.17 19.63
	RU PLANT G					
	233.80					
LABOR HOURS	1.38	.34	2.72	1.25	5.69	
LABOR VALUE	8.82	1.99 1	6.78	7.31	34.89	