#### Working paper N. 3/2007

# HOSPITAL INDUSTRY RESTRUCTIRING AND INPUT SUBSTITUTABILITY: EVIDENCE FROM A SAMPLE OF ITALIAN HOSPITALS

Massimiliano Piacenza, Gilberto Turati, Davide Vannoni

Spedizione in a.p. art. 2 comma 20/c Legge 662/96-Torino n. /200

WORKING PAPER CERIS-CNR Anno 9, N° 3 – 200 Autorizzazione del Tribunale di Torino N. 2681 del 28 marzo 1977

Direttore Responsabile Secondo Rolfo

Direzione e Redazione
Ceris-Cnr
Istituto di Ricerca sull'Impresa e lo Sviluppo
Via Real Collegio, 30
10024 Moncalieri (Torino), Italy
Tel. +39 011 6824.911
Fax +39 011 6824.966
segreteria@ceris.cnr.it
http://www.ceris.cnr.it

Sede di Roma Via dei Taurini, 19 00185 Roma, Italy Tel. 06 49937810 Fax 06 49937884

Sede di Milano Via Bassini, 15 20121 Milano, Italy tel. 02 23699501 Fax 02 23699530

Segreteria di redazione Maria Zittino e Silvana Zelli m.zittino@ceris.cnr.it

Distribuzione Spedizione gratuita

Fotocomposizione e impaginazione In proprio

*Stampa* In proprio

Finito di stampare nel mese di July 2007

#### Copyright © 200 by Ceris-Cnr

All rights reserved. Parts of this paper may be reproduced with the permission of the author(s) and quoting the source. Tutti i diritti riservati. Parti di questo articolo possono essere riprodotte previa autorizzazione citando la fonte.

## Hospital Industry Restructuring and Input Substitutability: Evidence from a Sample of Italian Hospitals

[Paper presented at the  $6^{TH}$  European Conference on Health Economics (ECHE), Budapest, July 6-9, 2006, AND AT THE 11<sup>TH</sup> ANNUAL CONFERENCE OF THE ITALIAN HEALTH ECONOMICS ASSOCIATION (AIES), Ca' Foscari University, Department of Economics, Venezia, November 16-17, 2006.]

#### Massimiliano Piacenza\*

Ceris-CNR and HERMES, Moncalieri, (TO), Italy; e-mail: m.piacenza@ceris.cnr.it.

#### Gilberto Turati

University of Torino, Department of Economics and Finance "G. Prato", Corso Unione Sovietica 218bis, 11034 Torino, Italy; e-mail: turati@econ.unito.it.

#### Davide Vannoni

University of Torino, Ceris-CNR and HERMES, Department of Economics and Finance "G. Prato", Corso Unione Sovietica 218bis, 11034 Torino, Italy; e-mail: vannoni@econ.unito.it.

ABSTRACT. In this paper we investigate the economic rationality of the bed downsizing process characterising the hospital industry worldwide in the last decades, providing new evidence on the factor substitutability in the production of hospital services. We consider a sample of Italian regional producers and - differently from other studies - estimate a general cost function model, namely the Generalised Composite, firstly introduced by Pulley & Braunstein (1992). Alternative cost function specifications (included Translog) are estimated jointly with their associated input cost-share equations. For all models we derive Allen, Morishima and Shadow elasticities of substitution between input pairs, obtaining a fairly consistent picture across all specifications and elasticity concepts. More precisely, our results suggest a very limited degree of substitutability between factors in the production of hospital services (in particular, between beds and medical staff). These findings, consistent with previous evidence in the literature, suggest that a restructuring policy of the hospital industry which is confined to limiting the number of beds could not be a viable strategy for controlling the increase in public health care expenditure.

KEYWORDS: Public health care expenditure, Hospital industry downsizing, Input substitutability

JEL CODES: D24, I18, L32

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author: Italian National Research Council, Institute for Economic Research on Firms and Growth (Ceris-CNR), via Real Collegio 30, 10024 Moncalieri (TO), Italy. Phone: +39-011-6824.929, fax: +39-011-6824.966.

#### CONTENTS

INT	RODU	ICTION	5
1.	INPU	T SUBSTITUTABILITY IN THE PRODUCTION OF HOSPITAL SERVICES	6
2.	EMP	IRICAL ANALYSIS	6
	2.1.	The sample	6
	2.2.	Explanatory variables of the cost model	7
	2.3.	Functional form and estimation procedure	9
	2.4.	Results: the cost function	10
	2.5.	Results: the elasticities of substitution	11
3.	CON	CLUDING REMARKS	13
REF	FEREN	CES	14
WO	RKIN	G PAPER SERIES (2006-1993)	I-V]

#### INTRODUCTION

huge process of reorganisation invested hospital industries worldwide in the last decades. At a macro level, in order to curb the presence of excess capacity, public producers' number of beds has been reduced by Central or Regional governments almost anywhere (e.g. Kroneman and Siegers, 2004; Hensher et al., 1999). At a micro level, a number of M&As - interesting both private and public hospitals has been observed in several countries, not only as a response to bed reduction, but also to exploit scale and scope economies, and improve effectiveness and quality of care. The process has been originated on two basic premises: on the one hand, the need to contain public health care expenditure imposed governments to find new ways on how to improve the efficiency (and the effectiveness) in the provision of health services. As expenditure for hospital services represented (and still represent) a significant share of total health expenditure, it is not surprising that hospitals were clearly at the core of policies aimed at controlling expenditure growth. On the other hand, the perception that an ageing population would have different needs (especially chronic illnesses) with respect to past years caused traditional hospitals – which focus typically on acute care not to be tailored to answer these structural changes in the epidemiological context.

This massive ongoing reshaping of the hospital industry raises of course a number of questions, that only in recent years the academic literature has started to ask. A first problem to address is to understand whether M&As are justified both from an efficiency and an effectiveness point of view. In this perspective, as discussed in Posnett (1999), results are somewhat mixed. As for efficiency, for instance, studying the Canadian Province of Ontario, Preyra and Pink (2006) find large scale unexploited gains from consolidation in the hospital sector, while Bilodeau et al. (2002), concentrating on Québec, show the presence of both economies and diseconomies of scale, with some establishments operating at constant returns to scale. As for effectiveness, for example, focusing on U.S. surgical procedures, Birkmeyer et al. (2002) find that mortality rates are lower the higher the volume of patients treated, whereas Grilli et al. (1998) challenge this view, by surveying literature on cancer patients. A second question to focus on is the strategic reply of hospitals to bed reductions implemented by Central and Regional governments. For instance, Kroneman and Siegers (2004) find that behavioural responses are related to the hospital financing system: in particular, in global budget systems, occupancy rates appear to decline after a reduction in hospital bed supply, while in per diem financing systems, admission rates did not drop following bed downsizing. In both systems, no effects are detected on average length of stay.

In this framework, in order to understand the potential role of industry restructuring on health expenditure growth, an important issue to be discussed concerns workforce management after bed reductions. In the U.S., where the share of private producers is higher than elsewhere, bed downsizing has been sometimes accompanied also with job reductions, with no clear effects on hospital performance. Chadwick et al. (2004) find for example that Human Resource Management practices are important determinants of successful downsizing, of both beds and the workforce. In particular, looking at financial performance of hospitals, they find a positive impact of consideration for employees' morale and welfare during downsizing (like more extensive communication and advance notice, respectful treatment of laid off employees, attention to survivors' concerns on job security). Somewhat contrary to this view, Aiken et al. (2002a, 2002b) find that better staffing is positively associated with higher nurse-assessed quality of care, lower riskadjusted and failure-to-rescue rates, lower level of dissatisfaction and burnout, hence suggesting a deterioration of performance following downsizing. However, in other countries, especially in Europe, where the share of public producers is higher, the restructuring of the industry has been limited in most cases to bed downsizing, while workforce management and planning has been conducted using fixed ratio relationships (e.g. physicians to patients) that have no empirical validity (e.g. Bloor and Maynard, 2003). Of course, this one-factor restructuring process has caused a consistent change in the input-mix, in particular an increase in medical staff per bed.

Several factors can help explain observed variations in input-mix. For instance, a higher need of labour can be related to a higher severity of illness in acute care patients. This might be linked to the increase in patients turnover and the reduction in average length of stay (endogenously determined by clinicians), which characterised hospital industries in countries that adopted a Prospective Payment System. Or it might be a signal of the increase in the quality of services, both perceived by nurses or measured in terms of mortality rates (e.g. Aiken *et al.*, 2002a, 2002b).

In this paper, we aim at understanding whether this change in input-mix is economically rational, by focusing on the production technology of hospital services. We estimate different cost function models and derive factors elasticity of substitution, considering a sample of regional Italian hospitals. Like other countries, Ital-

ian hospital industry experienced a wide restructuring process. However, downsizing has been limited mostly to bed, while workforce reduction has been tackled only blocking turnover, causing a large increase in medical staff per bed. Besides uncovering potential inefficiencies which can limit the impact of hospital restructuring on health expenditure, the estimation of input elasticities of substitution is important *per se*, since very few studies have addressed this issue in the economic literature, and none of these has tested different functional forms for the hospital cost function.

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows: Section 2 surveys economic literature on input substitutability in the production of hospital services. Our empirical exercise is in Section 3, where we describe our sample, the functional forms and the estimation procedures, and the results. Section 4 concludes.

### 1. INPUT SUBSTITUTABILITY IN THE PRODUCTION OF HOSPITAL SERVICES

While estimation of production and cost functions and efficiency analysis have received considerable attention in the literature on the hospital industry, economic studies working out also input substitutability in the production of hospital services are quite rare. A pioneering study is that by Bothwell and Cooley (1982), focusing on Health Maintenance Organizations in the U.S.. They distinguish four inputs (administrative services, hospital services, medical professional staff services, and capital expenses for maintaining a health centre), and find that administrative services are complements to all the other inputs, but that there is substitution between all other input pairs. In particular, Allen elasticity of substitution between medical staff and capital expenses (the input pair we are most interested in, to understand the observed change in input-mix), is estimated to be 0.638, which suggest small substitution possibilities. Jensen and Morrisey (1986), studying the U.S. short-term general acute care hospitals, confirm this result, estimating that elasticity of substitution of medical staff with beds ranges between 0.247 (for nonteaching hospitals) to 0.303 (for teaching ones), and elasticity of substitution between nurses and beds ranges between 0.189 and 0.305 (respectively for the same type of hospitals). These estimates are even lower adjusting output for case-mix. The same difficulties in substituting between inputs is found also for medical staff and nurses, with estimated elasticities close to 0.35 for both types of hospitals. This last result is in contrast with Cowing and Holtmann (1983). Considering New York State hospitals and computing Allen elasticities, they find substantial substitutability between nurses and other types of workers in the shortrun, but no estimates are provided for substitution between labour and capital.

More recent studies include e.g. Bilodeau et al. (2002) and Okunade (2003). Considering hospitals in Québec, the former study estimates an hospital cost function with five inputs (labour, drugs, food, supplies, and energy). While not reporting punctual estimates of Allen elasticities, the authors interpret substitutability of supplies and energy with labour as the hospitals' general ability to substitute capital for labour. A more complete analysis of input substitutability considering Allen, Morishima, and shadow measures of elasticities - is provided by Okunade (2003) for Health Maintenance Organizations in the U.S. The general conclusion – based on the preferred Morishima conceptual measure - is that HMOs production technology is characterised by significant but limited factor substitutions. More specifically, estimated Morishima elasticity of substitution between capital and medical staff given a change in the price of capital is 0.5124, while given a change in the wages of professional inputs is 0.667. These estimates imply that: a 10% increase in the price of capital, will cause the ratio of medical staff to capital to raise to about 5.12%; a 10% increase in the wages of medical staff, will lift the capital/professional inputs by about 6.7%.

Taken together, available evidence on factor substitutability in the production of hospital services seem to suggest that substitution is possible between capital and medical staff (both physicians and nurses), but is rather limited. In the next sections, we provide additional evidence on this point, by considering different functional forms and different concepts of elasticity of substitution.

#### 2. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS

#### 2.1. The sample

As discussed in the previous sections, the aim of the paper is the study of the technological characteristics of hospital services supply, and the exploration of substitution possibilities among the different inputs involved in the productive process, especially between the number of beds and medical staff (both physicians and nurses). The data used in the econometric analysis have been obtained by the Piedmont Region (a highly industrialised area in the North-Western part of Italy), and are relative to the productive activity and the cost structure of all the hospitals operating in one of the 27 Local Health Units (LHU) active during the period 2000-2004. LHU are vertically integrated organisations funded by the Region, and responsible of a whole array of hospital and community services (e.g. France and

Taroni, 2005). The sample includes two types of hospitals: those directly managed by the LHU (ASL from now on), and other major hospitals that have been hived off from the LHU and transformed into independent enterprises called *Aziende Ospedaliere* (AO from now on).

This unique dataset includes all the publicly owned firms involved in the provision of hospital services in the Piedmont Region. The time span covered by the data follows the different reforms of the National Health Service (NHS), so that our units are affected by the policy of downsizing of the industry which has been pursued during the 90s, and which is still regarded as one of the primary areas of intervention to control health expenditure. Planning at the regional level of health care provisions (as envisaged in the recent Piedmont Socio-Health Plan for the years 2006-2010) foresees a reorganisation of the regional hospital network, with the aim of increasing the quality and the effectiveness of services. This would imply a reduction of the required number of beds, due to the planned reduction of average length of stay, and a parallel increase in outpatient treatments, home care services, consultancy and day hospital treatments.

Information on the number of beds and on the quantity and complexity of the services provided (number of patients, average DRG weight, number of inpatient days) have been collected for each single hospital within a LHU and for each AO. The total number of beds, both ordinary and for day-hospital, are then computed for each ASL by aggregating the values of the different hospitals which belong to the same LHU. Unfortunately, disaggregated information on the costs and on the labour force are available only for AO, but are not available for each hospital within each LHU. This limitation can represent a problem for ASL units, since staff costs can be related also to community services, rather than hospital services. For such units, considering all costs as relative to the core hospital activity would be inappropriate, so that caution must be put in choosing which type of cost can be included in the study. To that purpose, the different types of costs have been selected and reorganised so as to obtain a measure of operating cost with a composition that can be comparable for ASL and AO structures. First, financial costs, extraordinary and atypical costs have been subtracted. The breakdown of the remaining costs is shown in table 1. As can be easily seen, the cost structure is rather different between ASLs and AOs. If labour costs (in particular medical staff) represent 50% of total operating costs for AO, in the case of ASL their share is only 35% in 2000-2002 and 25% in the last two years of observation. On the other hand, a large portion of costs of ASL structures is relative to outsourced services (more than 60% in 2004), a category that is not so important (less than 10% of costs) for AOs. The share of the costs of drugs is about 3% for ASLs and 6.5% (increasing up to 8.3% in 2004) for AOs. The relative importance of operating services given out by contract (such as food services, cleaning and laundry) is different among the two types of hospitals too: it is about 2% for ASLs, and 4-5% for AOs. Finally, depreciation and administrative expenditures weight less for the former than for the latter.

The figures in table 1 clearly confirm that the two types of hospitals are not performing identical tasks. Since our aim is to identify an operating cost structure which is as much homogeneous as possible, we selected the costs items that are more closely related to the core activity of hospitals, that is the provision of health care services. We come out with a final aggregation named operating hospital costs (OHC, the dependent variable in our econometric model) which is the sum of the costs of the following inputs: labour, drugs, capital (the measure of which is proxied by the total number of beds)<sup>1</sup>. As shown in table 2, for what concerns the relative weight of the different cost categories, the two types of hospitals are now much more similar. Labour costs are about 86% of operating hospital costs, while the weights of drugs and depreciation are respectively 9.6% and 4.4%. OHC has an average value of 79 million euro for ASLs (average yearly growth rate of 3.6%) and 122 million euro for AOs (average yearly grow rate of 4.8%).

#### 2.2. Explanatory variables of the cost model

Exploiting the informative content of the database, we have obtained the following explanatory variables to be included in the estimation of the cost function: output, complexity of provided services (case-mix), input prices. The full sample is a panel of 29 productive units which are observed over a period of 5 years, for a total of 145 observations. As an index of production volume (*Y*) we opted for the total number of patients per year (ordinary and in day-hospital). In addition, in order to keep into account the severity of illnesses, a control variable of the average DRG weight (*DRGW*) has been added. Such a variable should reflect the differences in the production mix, i.e. the average degree of complexity of the services provided by the hospital structures<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Such a restricted cost aggregate corresponds on average to 32% of total operating costs for ASL (65% for AOs).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For example, a tonsillectomy is a typical operation with a low degree of complexity (DRG weight 0.27), while thyroid and cardiovascular operations have an average (DRG weight 1.04) and a high degree (DRG weight 2.40) of complexity, respectively.

Table 1. Breakdown of total operating costs for ASL and AO units

	2000	2001	2002	2003	2004
			ASL		
Labour	36.1%	34.6%	33.4%	25.2%	25.0%
Medical Staff	28.0%	26.7%	25.8%	19.5%	19.2%
Materials and services	59.5%	62.2%	63.2%	72.1%	72.3%
Materials	9.0%	8.6%	10.7%	7.2%	7.2%
Drugs	2.7%	2.8%	3.1%	2.9%	3.1%
Operating Services Contracted Out	2.1%	2.2%	2.1%	1.7%	1.7%
Other Outsourced Services	46.6%	49.0%	48.4%	61.4%	61.8%
Administrative Costs	2.3%	1.0%	1.1%	0.9%	1.0%
Depreciation	1.4%	1.5%	1.6%	1.2%	1.1%
Other costs	0.7%	0.7%	0.7%	0.6%	0.6%
Total Operating Costs (10 <sup>3</sup> €)	190,086	205,150	216,115	295,099	311,600
			AO		
Labour	59.4%	56.8%	56.4%	53.0%	52.8%
Medical Staff	45.3%	43.1%	43.3%	40.9%	40.3%
Materials and services	32.9%	36.1%	36.3%	40.1%	40.0%
Materials	19.3%	20.1%	20.5%	21.0%	23.2%
Drugs	6.5%	6.5%	6.9%	7.3%	8.3%
Operating Services Contracted Out	4.2%	5.2%	5.3%	5.0%	5.3%
Other Outsourced Services	6.5%	7.1%	6.6%	9.9%	7.4%
Administrative Costs	3.4%	2.1%	2.1%	2.2%	2.3%
Depreciation	2.8%	3.2%	3.4%	3.2%	3.1%
Other costs	1.4%	1.8%	1.8%	1.6%	1.8%
Total Operating Costs (10 <sup>3</sup> €)	163,013	175,424	188,420	203,450	208,720

Table 2. Breakdown of operating hospital costs (OHC) for ASL and AO (average 2000-2004)

	ASL	AO
Labour	87.6%	84.7%
Medical Staff	67.4%	64.8%
Drugs	8.5%	10.6%
Depreciation	3.9%	4.7%
Operating Hospital Costs (10 <sup>3</sup> €)	78,628	121,558

As the labour input is concerned, a distinction has been made between medical staff (MS, including physicians and nurses) and administrative staff (AS); the average price for the two categories ( $P_{MS}$  and  $P_{AS}$ , respectively) has been obtained by dividing costs by the effective number of employees. As a proxy for the price of drugs ( $P_D$ ) we used the ratio between the corresponding cost and the total number of in-patients days per year. Finally, the average price of the capital input ( $P_K$ ) has been computed by dividing depreciation costs by the total number of beds. A time trend that should reflect the effect of technical progress has been added to the model (TREND). Its coefficient can be

interpreted as a growth (or reduction) rate of costs due to an Hicks-neutral technological change.

Table 3 reports the descriptive statistics of the variables used in the estimation. There is a high variability in the level of operating costs and in the output levels, which is partially due to the fact that our sample of hospitals is very heterogeneous in size, but can be also explained by the above mentioned differences among ASL and AO units<sup>3</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The sample consists of 7 small units (average number of beds  $\leq$  368), 15 units of an average size (368<average number of beds  $\leq$  621) and 7 big units (average number of beds  $\geq$  621).

	Mean	St. Dev.	Min	Median	Max
Operating Hospital Cost (10 <sup>3</sup> €)					
Labor + Drugs + Capital cost	88,990	42,985	29,262	86,495	309,694
Production data					
Total number of patients (Y)	22,072	13,237	639	19,728	68,715
Average DRG weight (DRGW)	1.12	0.20	0.64	1.06	1.93
Total in-patients days	142,171	83,617	18,400	131,396	576,810
Total number of beds (K)	521	294	62	485	1,848
Input prices					
Medical Staff (€ per MS worker)	46,181	2,133	41,665	46,319	55,572
Administrative Staff (€ per AS worker)	26,544	1,841	22,053	26,310	31,170
Drugs (€ per in-patients day)	63	31	21	57	200
Capital (€ per bed)	8,051	3,715	3,016	7,170	22,859
Input cost-shares					
Medical Staff ( $S_{MS}$ )	0.67	0.04	0.57	0.67	0.75
Administrative Staff ( $S_{AS}$ )	0.20	0.03	0.14	0.20	0.30
Drugs $(S_D)$	0.09	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.20
Capital $(S_K)$	0.04	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.09

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics

#### 2.3. Functional form and estimation procedure

The bulk of empirical works on hospital costs adopted the well-known Translog specification. Given the complexity of hospital services production process, we do not impose *a priori* restrictions on the functional form and estimate a more general model, namely the *Generalised Composite* cost function, which has been first introduced by Pulley and Braunstein (1992, PB<sub>G</sub>). The PB<sub>G</sub> model reads as follows:

$$OHC^{(\phi)} = \begin{cases} \exp \left[ \left( \alpha_{_{0}} + \alpha_{_{Y}} Y^{(\pi)} + \alpha_{_{DRGW}} DRGW^{(\pi)} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{_{YY}} Y^{(\pi)} Y^{(\pi)} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{_{DRGWDRGW}} DRGW^{(\pi)} DRGW^{(\pi)} \right] \\ + \alpha_{_{YDRGW}} Y^{(\pi)} DRGW^{(\pi)} + \sum_{_{r}} \delta_{_{Y_{r}}} Y^{(\pi)} \ln P_{_{r}} + \sum_{_{r}} \delta_{_{DRGW_{r}}} DRGW^{(\pi)} \ln P_{_{r}} \end{cases}$$

$$\cdot \exp \left[ \sum_{_{r}} \beta_{_{r}} \ln P_{_{r}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{_{r}} \sum_{_{l}} \beta_{_{rl}} \ln P_{_{r}} \ln P_{_{l}} \right]$$

where the superscripts in parentheses  $\pi$ ,  $\phi$  and  $\tau$  represent Box-Cox transformations (for example  $Y^{(\pi)} = (Y^{\pi} - 1)/\pi$  for  $\pi \neq 0$  and  $Y^{(\pi)} \to \ln Y$  for  $\pi \to 0$ ). *OHC* is the long-run production cost of hospital services, Y is the output, DRGW is the average degree of complexity of the service provided, and  $P_r$  indicates factor prices (with r = MS, AS, D and K). By applying the *Shephard's Lemma*, the associated input cost-share equations are:

$$S_{r} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_{0} + \alpha_{y} Y^{(\pi)} + \alpha_{DRGW} DRGW^{(\pi)} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{yy} Y^{(\pi)} Y^{(\pi)} + \frac{1}{2} \alpha_{DRGWDRGW} DRGW^{(\pi)} DRGW^{(\pi)} \\ + \alpha_{yDRGW} Y^{(\pi)} DRGW^{(\pi)} + \sum_{r} \delta_{y_{r}} Y^{(\pi)} \ln P_{r} + \sum_{r} \delta_{DRGW_{r}} DRGW^{(\pi)} \ln P_{r} \end{bmatrix}^{r-1} \cdot \left( \delta_{y_{r}} Y^{(\pi)} + \delta_{DRGW_{r}} DRGW^{(\pi)} \right) \\ + \beta_{r} + \sum_{r} \beta_{rl} \ln P_{l}$$

The *Composite* specification (PB<sub>C</sub>) is obtained by setting  $\pi = 1$  and  $\tau = 0$ . In a similar vein, the well-known *Generalized Translog* (GT) and *Standard Translog* (ST) models, as well as the *Separable Quadratic* (SQ) functional form, can be estimated by imposing simple restrictions on the system (1)-(2)<sup>4</sup>.

The PB cost functions originate from the combination of the log-quadratic input price structure of the ST and GT specifications with a quadratic structure for outputs<sup>5</sup>. The relatively few studies which employed the PB specification referred to the banking, telecommunications, and electricity sectors. Overall, the composite model has consistently proved to be successful in obtaining more stable and reliable estimates than the alternative functional forms (see Fraquelli *et al.*, 2005 for more details).

The PB<sub>G</sub> model proposes to transform both sides of the cost function – from OHC = C(Y, P) to  $HOC^{(\phi)} = [C(Y, P)]^{(\phi)}$  – in order to enlarge the set of plausible empirical specifications. The optimal value of  $\phi$  can be estimated resorting to standard non-linear least squares routines. The comparison between nested models (i.e PB<sub>C</sub> versus SQ and GT versus ST) can be made by using log-likelihoods for the system (1)-(2), while to select between *non-nested* specifications (i.e. PB<sub>C</sub> versus GT) it is possible to recur to an adjusted LR statistic (Vuong, 1989).

All the specifications of the multi-product cost function are estimated jointly with their associated input cost-share equations. In our four-inputs case, to avoid the singularity of the covariance matrix of residuals, the equation for administrative staff ( $S_{AS}$ ) was not included in each system. Before the estimation, all variables were standardized on their respective sample means. Parameter estimates were obtained via a non-linear GLS estimation (NLSUR), which ensures estimated coefficients to be invariant with respect to the omitted share equation.

#### 2.4. Results: the cost function

The results of the NLSUR estimations for the ST, GT, SQ, and PB models are presented in Table 4. By looking at the summary statistics (last five rows), one can observe that the  $R^2$  computed for the cost function is rather high and identical across specifications, while the values of  $R^2$  for the factor-share equations are not dissimilar except from the SQ model, where they are much lower (in particular for capital input). The poor ability of the SQ specification to fit the observed factor-shares is not surprising given that it assumes a strong separability between inputs and outputs. McElroy's (1977)  $R^2$  can be used as a measure of the general goodness of fit for the NLSUR system. The results suggest that the fit is practically the same for the different functional forms and around 85%.

The first six rows present the estimates of first-order coefficients for output, average DRG weight and factor prices, which are all highly significant and show the expected sign. Since the results are similar across specifications, we will comment only on the estimated parameters for the ST model. Indeed, given that all regressors have been normalized to their sample mean value, and OHC as well as Y and DRGW are in natural logarithm in the ST specification ( $\theta = \pi = 0$ ), the estimated first-order coefficients in Table 4 ( $\alpha_Y$ ,  $\alpha_{DRGW}$ ,  $\beta_{MS}$ ,  $\beta_D$  and  $\beta_K$ ) can be directly interpreted as cost elasticities with respect to Y, DRGW,  $P_{MS}$ ,  $P_D$  and  $P_K$  for the average LHU of the hospital industry.

As for the output elasticity, the estimated coefficient is significantly lower than 1 (around 0.64), revealing the presence of remarkable scale economies (index of returns to scale = 1.57) that could be better exploited, for instance, by enlarging the average size of the hospitals managed by the LHU. On the DRGW side, it emerges a strong impact of the severity of illnesses on OHC, which is consistent with previous empirical literature on the cost structure of hospital services. Finally, as for the estimates of the cost-shares for medical staff, drugs and beds (corresponding to cost elasticities), they are very similar to their respective sample mean values (see  $S_{MS}$ ,  $S_D$  and  $S_K$  in Table 3), thus confirming the general goodness of fit of the cost function model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> More precisely, the GT model is obtained by setting  $\phi = 0$  and  $\tau = 1$ , while the ST model requires the further restriction  $\pi = 0$ . The SQ model is obtained from the PB<sub>C</sub> specification by adding the restrictions  $\delta_{Yr} = 0$  and  $\delta_{DRGWr} = 0$  for all r.

The log-quadratic input price structure can be easily constrained to be linearly homogeneous. To be consistent with cost minimization, (1) must satisfy symmetry ( $\beta_{rl} = \beta_{lr}$  for all couples r, l) as well as the following properties: a) non-negative fitted costs; b) non-negative fitted marginal costs with respect to outputs; c) homogeneity of degree one of the cost function in input prices ( $\Sigma_r \beta_r = 1$  and  $\Sigma_l \beta_{rl} = 0$  for all r, as well as  $\Sigma_r \delta_{\gamma r} = 0$  and  $\Sigma_r \delta_{DRGWr} = 0$ ); d) non-decreasing fitted costs in input prices; e) concavity of the cost function in input prices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The average LHU (the point of normalization) corresponds to a hypothetical LHU operating at an average level of production and degree of complexity and facing average input prices. In the PB<sub>G</sub>, PB<sub>C</sub>, SQ and GT specifications the computation of such cost elasticities is more cumbersome; the results are available from the authors on request.

Table 4. NLSUR parameter estimates for the General (PB<sub>G</sub>), Composite (PB<sub>C</sub>), Separable Quadratic (SQ), Generalised Translog (GT) and Standard Translog (ST) cost functions

REGRESSORS <sup>a</sup>	PB <sub>G</sub> Model	PB <sub>C</sub> Model	SQ Model	GT MODEL	ST MODEL
Constant	1.004***	0.995***	1.003***	-0.021	0.982***
Υ	0.717***	0.638***	0.683***	0.622***	0.638***
DRGW	0.391***	0.479***	0.553***	0.367***	0.441***
InP <sub>MS</sub>	0.658***	0.658***	0.661***	0.660***	0.658***
$lnP_D$	0.100***	0.101***	0.095***	0.098***	0.100***
$lnP_K$	0.046***	0.046***	0.043***	0.044***	0.046***
TREND	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.011	0.008
$Y^2$	-0.321	-0.113	-0.136**	-0.241	0.187*
$DRGW^2$	0.322	0.031	0.002	-0.141	-0.560
YDRGW	0.526	0.613***	0.587***	0.272	0.214
$YInP_{MS}$	-0.013	-0.011	0	-0.016*	-0.010
$YInP_{D}$	0.019***	0.018***	0	0.021***	0.017***
$YInP_{K}$	0.012**	0.011**	0	0.012**	0.010*
$DRGW$ In $P_{MS}$	-0.025**	-0.024*	0	-0.035**	-0.034**
$DRGWInP_D$	0.037***	0.037***	0	0.048***	0.048***
$DRGW$ In $P_K$	0.012	0.012	0	0.015	0.015
$lnP_{Ms}P_{AS}$	0.010	0.007	-0.004	0.005	0.006
$lnP_{Ms}P_{D}$	-0.046***	-0.046***	-0.043***	-0.044***	-0.044***
$lnP_{Ms}P_{K}$	-0.029***	-0.028***	-0.023***	-0.027***	-0.027***
$lnP_{As}P_{D}$	-0.010	-0.009	0.001	-0.004	-0.006
$lnP_{As}P_{K}$	0.004	0.002	0.007	0.006	0.003
$lnP_DP_K$	-0.012**	-0.012***	-0.017***	-0.014***	-0.013***
Box-Cox $\theta$	-0.446*	-0.260	-0.260	0	0
Box-Cox $\pi$	1.219***	1	1	0.563***	0
Box-Cox τ	0.015	0	0	1	1
McElroy system R <sup>2b</sup>	0.863	0.859	0.832	0.849	0.858
Cost function R <sup>2</sup>	0.921	0.918	0.916	0.918	0.916
S <sub>MS</sub> equation R <sup>2</sup>	0.514	0.507	0.446	0.528	0.512
S <sub>D</sub> equation R <sup>2</sup>	0.769	0.771	0.581	0.766	0.782
$S_K$ equation $R^2$	0.571	0.592	0.073	0.518	0.570

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> The dependent variable is Operating Hospital Costs (OHC).

#### 2.5. Results: the elasticities of substitution

Given the main aim of this study, we computed Allen, Morishima, and Shadow (Chambers, 1988) elasticities of substitution for all the estimated models. Ideally, one wants to measure for each couple of inputs the percentage change in the input ratio  $x_r/x_l$  due to a percentage change in the input price ratio  $P_l/P_r$ . Allen elasticities can be considered as one price-one factor elasticities, since they measure how the use of an input varies due to changes in the price of another input. They can be computed as  $\sigma^A_{rl} = \varepsilon_{rl}/S_l$ , where  $S_l$  is the  $l^{th}$  cost

share and  $\varepsilon_{rl}$  is the derived input-demand elasticity of input  $x_r$  with respect to price  $P_l$  ( $dlnx_r/dlnP_l$ ). While they have been criticized to a great extent in that they clearly are inappropriate measures of elasticities of substitution, Allen elasticities are still widely used in applied analysis.

Morishima elasticities represent two factor-one price elasticities and are closer proxies to the desirable measure. They are computed as  $\sigma^{M}_{rl} = \varepsilon_{rl} - \varepsilon_{ll}$  and measure how the r,l input ratio responds to a change in  $P_l$ . There is a useful link between Morishima and Allen elasticities:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup> The goodness-of-fit measure for the NLSUR systems is McElroy's (1977) R<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> significant at 1 % level, \*\* significant at 5 % level, \* significant at 10 % level (two-tailed test).

(3) 
$$\sigma_{rl}^M = (\sigma_{rl}^A - \sigma_{ll}^A)S_l$$

It is straightforward to notice than when inputs are Allen substitutes, they must be also Morishima substitutes (since  $\sigma^A_{ll}$  is always negative) but the converse does not hold, so that inputs can well be Allen complements and Morishima substitutes.

Finally, *Shadow* elastiticities of substitution are a weighted average of Morishima elasticities and, as such, they are *two factor- two price* elasticities:

(4) 
$$\sigma^{S_{rl}} = \frac{S_r}{S_r + S_l} \sigma^{M_{rl}} + \frac{S_l}{S_r + S_l} \sigma^{M_{lr}}$$

After some computation, Allen elasticities can be written as:

(5) 
$$\sigma^{A_{rl}} = \frac{\frac{\partial S_r}{\partial P_l} P_l + S_r S_l}{S_r S_l}$$

Thus, in order to compute such elasticities for our different cost function models, it is important to compute the partial derivative  $\partial S_r/\partial \ln P_L^{-7}$ 

As can be seen in Table 5, except from Allen elasticities for the input pair drugs-capital, all inputs are substitutes, but the low estimated values suggest that substitution possibilities are in general very limited. As an example, in the ST specification,  $\sigma_{MS.K}^{M}$ = 0.15, suggesting that a 10% increase in the price of capital implies only a 1.5% change in the MS/K ratio. The higher figures are recorded for the input pairs involving AS, suggesting that the other three inputs (medical staff, drugs and capital) are particularly responsive to increases in the price of administrative staff ( $\sigma^{M}_{r,AS}$  are higher than  $\sigma^{M}_{AS,r}$  for all r). The results are remarkably stable across specifications for almost all input pairs, and are broadly consistent with the ones previously appeared in the empirical literature. As discussed in Section 2, e.g. Jensen and Morrisey (1986) found substitution elasticities equal to 0.25 for the pair medical staff/beds and equal to 0.19 for the pair nurses/beds. Bilodeau et al. (2002) found that labour and drugs were substitutes with substitution elasticities lower than 1. As far as capital is concerned, the substitution possibilities with other inputs are lower, i.e. the values of  $\sigma^{M}_{r,K}$  are lower than all the other  $\sigma^{M}_{r,l}$ couples and the values of  $\sigma^{M}_{K,r}$  are lower than all the other  $\sigma_{l,r}^{M}$  couples.

Our results can be affected by two potential sources

of distortions. On the one hand, since we estimate a cost function, we use the implicit assumption of cost minimization. By taking into account the inefficiency in the provision of health care services, how will the results on input substitutability be affected? Unfortunately, we are not able to estimate jointly a system of cost functions and related cost share equations in a stochastic frontier framework. Without the inclusion of the information on input cost shares the results coming from one equation frontier models, as far as input substitutability is concerned, are in generally very poor<sup>8</sup>. However, some past studies found that there are not substantial differences among technological estimates coming from average and frontier cost functions for hospitals (e.g. Eakin and Kniesner, 1988).

The second issue is that, even if one remains confined within a cost function analysis, our sample of firms is affected by a regulatory intervention aimed at hospital downsizing by means of the reduction in the number of beds. It turns out that our estimates of substitution elasticities are computed without taking into account the constrained environment in which hospitals are operating. Unfortunately, the constraints are imposed at the regional level (i.e., the target of reducing the number of beds must be reached for the whole Piedmont region), so that we cannot include the constraint in our specification of the cost function. However, we are confident that the presence of constraints is not seriously biasing the estimates for input elasticities. For example, Granderson and Lovell (1998) were able to introduce a firm-specific variable accounting for rate of return regulation in the gas industry and found that such regulation increased the estimates of elasticity of substitution of  $\sigma^{M}_{K,r}$  pairs and reduced those of  $\sigma^{M}_{r,K}$  pairs. Since in our case the constraint pushes toward the reduction of beds, it is reasonable to assume that in an unregulated framework one should observe higher values for  $\sigma_{r,K}^{M}$  couples and lower values for  $\sigma^{M}_{K,r}$  pairs. Looking at the figures in table 5, that means that the values of such pairs should get closer the ones to the others, thus making Morishima elasticities more symmetrical and leaving almost unchanged the values of Shadow elasticities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> In the ST, GT and SQ specifications, such derivative trivially corresponds to the coefficient  $\beta_{rl}$ , while in the other two specifications (PB<sub>G</sub> and PB<sub>C</sub>) its computation is much more complicated, as it can be seen from a close inspection at equation (2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Very recently, Kumbakhar and Tsionas (2005) showed how to estimate cost (technical and allocative) inefficiency by recurring to simulation-based Bayesian inference procedures in a well-specified Translog system including the cost frontier and related cost-share equations.

Table 5. Estimates of input substitutability elasticities (at mean values of output, average DRG weight and input prices) for different cost function models <sup>a</sup>

Allen elasticities (1 factor, 1 price)	PB <sub>G</sub> Model	PB <sub>C</sub> Model	SQ Model	GT MODEL	ST MODEL
MS, K	0.02 (0.27)	0.09 (0.25)	0.17 (0.25)	0.06 (0.26)	0.10 (0.26)
MS, D	0.31 (0.13)	0.31 (0.12)	0.32 (0.12)	0.31 (0.12)	0.33 (0.13)
MS, AS	1.08 (0.30)	1.05 (0.30)	0.87 (1.23)	1.04 (0.28)	1.05 (0.30)
D, K	-1.62 (1.16)	-1.56 (1.01)	-3.30 (0.59)	-2.26 (0.85)	-1.94 (1.00)
D, AS	0.50 (0.57)	0.52 (0.54)	1.06 (0.38)	0.78 (0.47)	0.67 (0.53)
K, AS	1.39 (1.33)	1.22 (1.18)	1.85 (0.95)	1.66 (1.06)	1.38 (1.22)
Morishima elasticities (2 factors, 1 price)	PB <sub>G</sub> Model	PB <sub>C</sub> Model	SQ Model	GT Model	ST MODEL
MS, K	0.13 (0.13)	0.14 (0.11)	0.18 (0.10)	0.15 (0.11)	0.15 (0.12)
K, MS	0.26 (0.18)	0.30 (0.17)	0.34 (0.17)	0.28 (0.18)	0.31 (0.18)
MS, D	0.26 (0.05)	0.20 (0.05)	0.31 (0.06)	0.29 (0.06)	0.29 (0.06)
D, MS	0.45 (0.09)	0.44 (0.09)	0.44 (0.09)	0.45 (0.09)	0.46 (0.09)
MS, AS	1.04 (0.28)	1.01 (0.28)	1.00 (0.43)	1.03 (0.26)	1.02 (0.28)
AS, MS	0.95 (0.25)	0.93 (0.25)	0.81 (0.86)	0.92 (0.24)	0.93 (0.25)
D, K	0.05 (0.10)	0.07 (0.11)	0.03 (0.11)	0.05 (0.12)	0.05 (0.12)
K, D	0.06 (0.13)	0.08 (0.08)	-0.03 (0.07)	0.04 (0.08)	0.06 (0.08)
D, AS	0.92 (0.29)	0.90 (0.28)	1.04 (0.22)	0.99 (0.25)	0.95 (0.29)
AS, D	0.28 (0.09)	0.29 (0.09)	0.38 (0.08)	0.34 (0.09)	0.33 (0.09)
K, AS	1.10 (0.40)	1.04 (0.38)	1.20 (0.29)	1.16 (0.32)	1.09 (0.38)
AS, K	0.19 (0.18)	0.16 (0.15)	0.25 (0.13)	0.22 (0.14)	0.20 (0.17)
Shadow elasticities (2 factors, 2 prices)	PB <sub>G</sub> Model	PB <sub>C</sub> Model	SQ Model	GT MODEL	ST MODEL
MS, K	0.14 (0.12)	0.15 (0.10)	0.19 (0.10)	0.16 (0.10)	0.16 (0.12)
MS, D	0.28 (0.05)	0.29 (0.05)	0.33 (0.06)	0.31 (0.06)	0.31 (0.06)
MS, AS	1.02 (0.27)	0.99 (0.27)	0.95 (0.53)	1.01 (0.25)	1.00 (0.28)
D, K	0.06 (0.10)	0.07 (0.09)	0.01 (0.09)	0.05 (0.10)	0.06 (0.10)
D, AS	0.50 (0.14)	0.50 (0.14)	0.59 (0.11)	0.55 (0.13)	0.54 (0.15)
K, AS	0.36 (0.21)	0.36 (0.18)	0.41 (0.15)	0.39 (0.16)	0.37 (0.20)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Estimated asymptotic standard errors in parentheses. MS = Medical Staff, AS = Administrative Staff, D = Drugs, K = Capital (number of beds).

#### 3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

The hospital industry in many countries has undergone an unprecedented process of restructuring, aimed at reducing excess capacity and increasing the appropriateness of care. The process has been characterised by bed downsizing, while the management and planning of workforce has been conducted using fixed ratio relationships with no empirical validity, often causing a change in the input-mix used in the production of hospital services. In this paper we investigate the economic rationality of this change, providing new evidence on the factor substitutions characterising hospi-

tals' technology. We consider a sample of regional producers located in Piedmont, a region in the North-Western part of Italy. As in other countries, also in this case the hospital industry has been (and still is) marked by a wide reduction in the number of beds, while no significant decrease has been observed for medical staff (including both physicians and nurses). Differently from other studies, we do not impose *a priori* restrictions on the functional form of the hospital cost function, and estimate a more general model, namely the *Generalised Composite*. The multi-product cost functions are estimated jointly with their associated input cost-share equations. For all the models, we derive Allen, Morishima and Shadow elasticities of substitu-

tion between input pairs, obtaining a fairly consistent picture across all specifications and elasticity concepts. In particular, confirming previous findings in the literature, our results suggest a very limited degree of substitutability between factors in the production of hospital services. This is particularly true for beds and medical staff. Given this evidence, one can notice that putting restrictions on bed capacity, without keeping into account the limited possibility of substitution of this factor with the other ones, might imply an inefficient use of resources and severely limit the possibility to control public health expenditure by restructuring the hospital industry.

#### REFERENCES

- Aiken L. H., Clarke S., Sloane D. (2002a), Hospital Staffing, Organization, and Quality of Care: Cross-National Findings, *International Journal for Quality in Health Care*, 14 (1), 5-13.
- Aiken L. H., Clarke S., Sloane D., Sochalski J., Silber J. H. (2002b), Hospital Nurse Staffing and Patient Mortality, Nurse Burnout and Job Dissatisfaction, *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 288 (16), 1987-1993.
- Bilodeau D., Crèmieux P.-Y., Ouellette P. (2002), Hospital Technology in a Nonmarket Health Care System, *Southern Economic Journal*, 68 (3), 511-529.
- Birkmeyer N. J., Siewers A. E., Finlayson E. V. A., Stukel T. A., Lucas F. L., Batista I., Welch G. H., Wennberg D. E. (2002), Hospital volume and surgical mortality in the United States, *New England Journal of Medicine*, 346 (15), 1128-1137.
- Bloor K., Maynard A. (2003), Planning human resources in health care: Towards an economic approach. An international comparative view, Canadian Health Services Research Foundation.
- Bothwell J. L., Cooley T. F. (1982), Efficiency in the Provision of Health Care: An Analysis of Health Maintenance Organizations, *Southern Economic Journal*, 48 (4), 970-984.
- Chadwick C., Hunter L. W., Walston S. L. (2004), Effects of downsizing practices on the performance of hospitals, *Strategic Management Journal*, 25, 405-427.
- Chambers R. G. (1988), *Applied Production Analysis: A Dual Approach*, Cambridge University Press.
- Cowing T., Holtmann A. (1983), Multiproduct shortrun hospital cost functions: Empirical evidence and policy implications from cross-section data, *Southern Economic Journal*, 49 (3), 637-653.

- Eakin B. K., Kniesner T. J. (1988), Estimating a non-minimum cost function for hospitals, *Southern Economic Journal*, 54(3), 583-597.
- France G., Taroni F. (2005), The Evolution of Health-Policy Making in Italy, *Journal of Health Politics*, *Policy and Law*, 30(1-2).
- Fraquelli G., Piacenza M., Vannoni D. (2005), Cost Savings from Generation and Distribution with an Application to Italian Electric Utilities, *Journal of Regulatory Economics*, 28(3), 289-308.
- Granderson G., Lovell K. C. A. (1998), The impact of regulation on input substitution and operating cost, *Southern Economic Journal*, 65(1), 83-97.
- Grilli R., Minozzi S., Tinazzi A., Labianca R., Sheldon T. A., Liberati A. (1998), Do specialist do it better? The impact of specialization on the processes and outcomes of care for cancer patients, *Annals of Oncology*, 9, 365-374.
- Hensher M., Edwards N., Stokes R. (1999), International trends in the provision and utilisation of hospital care, *British Medical Journal*, 319, 845-848.
- Jensen G. A., Morrisey M. A. (1986), The role of physicians in hospital production, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 68 (3), 432-442.
- Kroneman M., Siegers J.J. (2004), The effect of hospital bed reduction on the use of beds: A comparative study of 10 European countries, *Social Science and Medicine*, 59, 1731-1740.
- Kumbhakar S.C., Tsionas E.G. (2005), Measuring Technical and Allocative inefficiency in the Translog Cost System: A Bayesian Approach, *Journal of Econometrics*, 126(2), 355-384.
- McElroy M. (1977), Goodness of Fit for Seemingly Unrelated Regressions: Glahn's and Hooper's, *Journal of Econometrics*, 6, 381-387.
- Okunade A. A. (2003), Are Factor Substitutions in HMO Industry Operations Cost Saving?, *Southern Economic Journal*, 69 (4), 800-821.
- Posnett J. (1999), Is bigger better? Concentration in the provision of secondary care, *British Medical Journal*, 319, 1063-1065.
- Preyra C., Pink G. (2006), Scale and scope efficiencies through hospital consolidations, *Journal of Health Economics*, 25, 1049-1068.
- Pulley L. B., Braunstein Y. M. (1992), A Composite Cost Function for Multiproduct Firms with an Application to Economies of Scope in Banking, *Review of Economics and Statistics*, 74, 221-230.
- Vuong Q. H. (1989), Likelihood Ratio Tests for Model Selection and Non-nested Hypotheses, *Econometrica*, 57(2), 307-333.

#### WORKING PAPER SERIES (2006-1993)

#### 2006

- 1/06 Analisi della crescita economica regionale e convergenza: un nuovo approccio teorico ed evidenza sull'Italia, by Mario Coccia
- 2/06 Classifications of innovations: Survey and future directions, by Mario Coccia
- 3/06 Analisi economica dell'impatto tecnologico, by Mario Coccia
- 4/06 La burocrazia nella ricerca pubblica. PARTE I Una rassegna dei principali studi, by Mario Coccia and Alessandro Gobbino
- 5/06 La burocrazia nella ricerca pubblica. PARTE II Analisi della burocrazia negli Enti Pubblici di Ricerca, by Mario Coccia and Alessandro Gobbino
- 6/06 La burocrazia nella ricerca pubblica. PARTE III Organizzazione e project management negli enti pubblici di ricerca: l'analisi del CNR, by Mario Coccia, Secondo Rolfo and Alessandro Gobbino
- 7/06 Economic and social studies of scientific research: nature and origins, by Mario Coccia
- 8/06 Shareholder Protection and the Cost of Capital: Empirical Evidence from German and Italian Firms, by Julie Ann Elston and Laura Rondi
- 9/06 Réflexions en thème de district, clusters, réseaux: le problème de la gouvernance, by Secondo Rolfo
- 10/06 Models for Default Risk Analysis: Focus on Artificial Neural Networks, Model Comparisons, Hybrid Frameworks, by Greta Falavigna
- 11/06 Le politiche del governo federale statunitense nell'edilizia residenziale. Suggerimenti per il modello italiano, by Davide Michelis
- 12/06 Il finanziamento delle imprese Spin-off: un confronto fra Italia e Regno Unito, by Elisa Salvador
- 13/06 SERIE SPECIALE IN COLLABORAZIONE CON HERMES: Regulatory and Environmental Effects on Public Transit Efficiency: a Mixed DEA-SFA Approach, by Beniamina Buzzo Margari, Fabrizio Erbetta, Carmelo Petraglia, Massimiliano Piacenza
- 14/06 La mission manageriale risorsa delle aziende, by Gian Franco Corio
- 15/06 Peer review for the evaluation of the academic research: the Italian experience, by Emanuela Reale, Anna Barbara, Antonio Costantini

- 1/05 Gli approcci biologici nell'economia dell'innovazione, by Mario Coccia
- 2/05 Sistema informativo sulle strutture operanti nel settore delle biotecnologie in Italia, by Edoardo Lorenzetti, Francesco Lutman, Mauro Mallone
- 3/05 Analysis of the Resource Concentration on Size and Research Performance. The Case of Italian National Research Council over the Period 2000-2004, by Mario Coccia and Secondo Rolfo
- 4/05 Le risorse pubbliche per la ricerca scientifica e lo sviluppo sperimentale nel 2002, by Anna Maria Scarda
- 5/05 La customer satisfaction dell'URP del Cnr. I casi Lazio, Piemonte e Sicilia, by Gian Franco Corio
- 6/05 La comunicazione integrata tra uffici per le relazioni con il pubblico della Pubblica Amministrazione, by Gian Franco Corio
- 7/05 Un'analisi teorica sul marketing territoriale. Presentazione di un caso studio. Il "consorzio per la tutela dell'Asti", by Maria Marenna
- 8/05 Una proposta di marketing territoriale: una possibile griglia di analisi delle risorse, by Gian Franco Corio
- 9/05 Analisi e valutazione delle performance economico-tecnologiche dei paesi e situazione italiana, by Mario Coccia and Mario Taretto
- 10/05 The patenting regime in the Italian public research system: what motivates public inventors to patent, by Bianca Potì and Emanuela Reale
- 11/05 Changing patterns in the steering of the University in Italy: funding rules and doctoral programmes, by Bianca Poti and Emanuela Reale
- 12/05 Una "discussione in rete" con Stanley Wilder, by Carla Basili
- 13/05 New Tools for the Governance of the Academic Research in Italy: the Role of Research Evaluation, by Bianca Potì and Emanuela Reale
- 14/05 Product Differentiation, Industry Concentration and Market Share Turbulence, by Catherine Matraves, Laura Rondi
- 15/05 Riforme del Servizio Sanitario Nazionale e dinamica dell'efficienza ospedaliera in Piemonte, by Chiara Canta, Massimiliano Piacenza, Gilberto Turati
- 16/05 SERIE SPECIALE IN COLLABORAZIONE CON HERMES: Struttura di costo e rendimenti di scala nelle imprese di trasporto pubblico locale di medie-grandi dimensioni, by Carlo Cambini, Ivana Paniccia, Massimiliano Piacenza, Davide Vannoni

17/05 Ricerc@.it - Sistema informativo su istituzioni, enti e strutture di ricerca in Italia, by Edoardo Lorenzetti, Alberto Paparello

#### 2004

- 1/04 Le origini dell'economia dell'innovazione: il contributo di Rae, by Mario Coccia
- 2/04 Liberalizzazione e integrazione verticale delle utility elettriche: evidenza empirica da un campione italiano di imprese pubbliche locali, by Massimiliano Piacenza and Elena Beccio
- 3/04 Uno studio sull'innovazione nell'industria chimica, by Anna Ceci, Mario De Marchi, Maurizio Rocchi
- 4/04 Labour market rigidity and firms' R&D strategies, by Mario De Marchi and Maurizio Rocchi
- 5/04 Analisi della tecnologia e approcci alla sua misurazione, by Mario Coccia
- 6/04 Analisi delle strutture pubbliche di ricerca scientifica: tassonomia e comportamento strategico, by Mario Coccia
- 7/04 Ricerca teorica vs. ricerca applicata. Un'analisi relativa al Cnr, by Mario Coccia and Secondo Rolfo
- 8/04 Considerazioni teoriche sulla diffusione delle innovazioni nei distretti industriali: il caso delle ICT, by Arianna Miglietta
- 9/04 Le politiche industriali regionali nel Regno Unito, by Elisa Salvador
- 10/04 Going public to grow? Evidence from a panel of Italian firms, by Robert E. Carpenter and L. Rondi
- 11/04 What Drives Market Prices in the Wine Industry? Estimation of a Hedonic Model for Italian Premium Wine, by Luigi Benfratello, Massimiliano Piacenza and Stefano Sacchetto
- 12/04 Brief notes on the policies for science-based firms, by Mario De Marchi, Maurizio Rocchi
- 13/04 Countrymetrics e valutazione della performance economica dei paesi: un approccio sistemico, by Mario Coccia
- 14/04 Analisi del rischio paese e sistemazione tassonomica, by Mario Coccia
- 15/04 Organizing the Offices for Technology Transfer, by Chiara Franzoni
- 16/04 Le relazioni tra ricerca pubblica e industria in Italia, by Secondo Rolfo
- 17/04 *Modelli di analisi e previsione del rischio di insolvenza: una prospettiva delle metodologie applicate*, by Nadia D'Annunzio e Greta Falavigna
- 18/04 SERIE SPECIALE: Lo stato di salute del sistema industriale piemontese:analisi economico-finanziaria delle imprese piemontesi, Terzo Rapporto 1999-2002, by Giuseppe Calabrese, Fabrizio Erbetta, Federico Bruno Rolle
- 19/04 SERIE SPECIALE: Osservatorio sulla dinamica economico-finanziaria delle imprese della filiera del tessile e dell'abbigliamento in Piemonte, *Primo rapporto 1999-2002, by Giuseppe Calabrese, Fabrizio Erbetta, Federico Bruno Rolle*
- 20/04 SERIE SPECIALE: Osservatorio sulla dinamica economico-finanziaria delle imprese della filiera dell'auto in Piemonte, Secondo Rapporto 1999-2002, by Giuseppe Calabrese, Fabrizio Erbetta, Federico Bruno Rolle

- 1/03 Models for Measuring the Research Performance and management of the public labs, by Mario Coccia, March
- 2/03 An Approach to the Measurement of Technological Change Based on the Intensity of Innovation, by Mario Coccia, April
- 3/03 Verso una patente europea dell'informazione: il progetto EnIL, by Carla Basili, June
- 4/03 Scala della magnitudo innovativa per misurare l'attrazione spaziale del trasferimento tecnologico, by Mario Coccia, June
- 5/03 Mappe cognitive per analizzare i processi di creazione e diffusione della conoscenza negli Istituti di ricerca, by Emanuele Cadario, July
- 6/03 Il servizio postale: caratteristiche di mercato e possibilità di liberalizzazione, by Daniela Boetti, July
- 7/03 Donne-scienza-tecnologia: analisi di un caso di studio, by Anita Calcatelli, Mario Coccia, Katia Ferraris and Ivana Tagliafico, July
- 8/03 SERIE SPECIALE. OSSERVATORIO SULLE PICCOLE IMPRESE INNOVATIVE TRIESTE. Imprese innovative in Friuli Venezia Giulia: un esperimento di analisi congiunta, by Lucia Rotaris, July
- 9/03 Regional Industrial Policies in Germany, by Helmut Karl, Antje Möller and Rüdiger Wink, July
- 10/03 SERIE SPECIALE. OSSERVATORIO SULLE PICCOLE IMPRESE INNOVATIVE TRIESTE. L'innovazione nelle new technology-based firms in Friuli-Venezia Giulia, by Paola Guerra, October
- 11/03 SERIE SPECIALE. Lo stato di salute del sistema industriale piemontese: analisi economico-finanziaria delle imprese piemontesi, Secondo Rapporto 1998-2001, December
- 12/03 SERIE SPECIALE. Osservatorio sulla dinamica economico-finanziaria delle imprese della meccanica specializzata in Piemonte, Primo Rapporto 1998-2001, December
- 13/03 SERIE SPECIALE. Osservatorio sulla dinamica economico-finanziaria delle imprese delle bevande in Piemonte, Primo Rapporto 1998-2001, December

#### 2002

- 1/02 La valutazione dell'intensità del cambiamento tecnologico: la scala mercalli per le innovazioni, by Mario Coccia, January
- 2/02 SERIE SPECIALE IN COLLABORAZIONE CON HERMES. Regulatory constraints and cost efficiency of the Italian public transit systems: an exploratory stochastic frontier model, by Massimiliano Piacenza, March
- 3/02 Aspetti gestionali e analisi dell'efficienza nel settore della distribuzione del gas, by Giovanni Fraquelli and Fabrizio Erbetta, March
- 4/02 Dinamica e comportamento spaziale del trasferimento tecnologico, by Mario Coccia, April
- 5/02 Dimensione organizzativa e performance della ricerca: l'analisi del Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche, by Mario Coccia and Secondo Rolfo, April
- 6/02 Analisi di un sistema innovativo regionale e implicazioni di policy nel processo di trasferimento tecnologico, by Monica Cariola and Mario Coccia, April
- 7/02 Analisi psico-economica di un'organizzazione scientifica e implicazioni di management: l'Istituto Elettrotecnico Nazionale "G. Ferraris", by Mario Coccia and Alessandra Monticone, April
- 8/02 Firm Diversification in the European Union. New Insights on Return to Core Business and Relatedness, by Laura Rondi and Davide Vannoni, May
- 9/02 Le nuove tecnologie di informazione e comunicazione nelle PMI: un'analisi sulla diffusione dei siti internet nel distretto di Biella, by Simona Salinari, June
- 10/02 La valutazione della soddisfazione di operatori di aziende sanitarie, by Gian Franco Corio, November
- 11/02 Analisi del processo innovativo nelle PMI italiane, by Giuseppe Calabrese, Mario Coccia and Secondo Rolfo, November
- 12/02 Metrics della Performance dei laboratori pubblici di ricerca e comportamento strategico, by Mario Coccia, September
- 13/02 Technometrics basata sull'impatto economico del cambiamento tecnologico, by Mario Coccia, November

#### 2001

- 1/01 Competitività e divari di efficienza nell'industria italiana, by Giovanni Fraquelli, Piercarlo Frigero and Fulvio Sugliano, January
- 2/01 Waste water purification in Italy: costs and structure of the technology, by Giovanni Fraquelli and Roberto Giandrone, January
- 3/01 SERIE SPECIALE IN COLLABORAZIONE CON HERMES. *Il trasporto pubblico locale in Italia: variabili esplicative dei divari di costo tra le imprese*, by Giovanni Fraquelli, Massimiliano Piacenza and Graziano Abrate, February
- 4/01 Relatedness, Coherence, and Coherence Dynamics: Empirical Evidence from Italian Manufacturing, by Stefano Valvano and Davide Vannoni, February
- 5/01 *Il nuovo panel Ceris su dati di impresa 1977-1997*, by Luigi Benfratello, Diego Margon, Laura Rondi, Alessandro Sembenelli, Davide Vannoni, Silvana Zelli, Maria Zittino, October
- 6/01 SMEs and innovation: the role of the industrial policy in Italy, by Giuseppe Calabrese and Secondo Rolfo, May
- 7/01 Le martingale: aspetti teorici ed applicativi, by Fabrizio Erbetta and Luca Agnello, September
- 8/01 Prime valutazioni qualitative sulle politiche per la R&S in alcune regioni italiane, by Elisa Salvador, October
- 9/01 Accords technology transfer-based: théorie et méthodologie d'analyse du processus, by Mario Coccia, October
- 10/01 Trasferimento tecnologico: indicatori spaziali, by Mario Coccia, November
- 11/01 Does the run-up of privatisation work as an effective incentive mechanism? Preliminary findings from a sample of Italian firms, by Fabrizio Erbetta, October
- 12/01 SERIE SPECIALE IN COLLABORAZIONE CON HERMES. Costs and Technology of Public Transit Systems in Italy: Some Insights to Face Inefficiency, by Giovanni Fraquelli, Massimiliano Piacenza and Graziano Abrate, October
- 13/01 Le NTBFs a Sophia Antipolis, analisi di un campione di imprese, by Alessandra Ressico, December

- 1/00 Trasferimento tecnologico: analisi spaziale, by Mario Coccia, March
- 2/00 Poli produttivi e sviluppo locale: una indagine sulle tecnologie alimentari nel mezzogiorno, by Francesco G. Leone, March
- 3/00 La mission del top management di aziende sanitarie, by Gian Franco Corio, March
- 4/00 La percezione dei fattori di qualità in Istituti di ricerca: una prima elaborazione del caso Piemonte, by Gian Franco Corio, March
- 5/00 Una metodologia per misurare la performance endogena nelle strutture di R&S, by Mario Coccia, April
- 6/00 Soddisfazione, coinvolgimento lavorativo e performance della ricerca, by Mario Coccia, May

- 7/00 Foreign Direct Investment and Trade in the EU: Are They Complementary or Substitute in Business Cycles Fluctuations?, by Giovanna Segre, April
- 8/00 L'attesa della privatizzazione: una minaccia credibile per il manager?, by Giovanni Fraquelli, May
- 9/00 Gli effetti occupazionali dell'innovazione. Verifica su un campione di imprese manifatturiere italiane, by Marina Di Giacomo, May
- 10/00 Investment, Cash Flow and Managerial Discretion in State-owned Firms. Evidence Across Soft and Hard Budget Constraints, by Elisabetta Bertero and Laura Rondi, June
- 11/00 Effetti delle fusioni e acquisizioni: una rassegna critica dell'evidenza empirica, by Luigi Benfratello, June
- 12/00 Identità e immagine organizzativa negli Istituti CNR del Piemonte, by Paolo Enria, August
- 13/00 Multinational Firms in Italy: Trends in the Manufacturing Sector, by Giovanna Segre, September
- 14/00 Italian Corporate Governance, Investment, and Finance, by Robert E. Carpenter and Laura Rondi, October
- 15/00 Multinational Strategies and Outward-Processing Trade between Italy and the CEECs: The Case of Textile-Clothing, by Giovanni Balcet and Giampaolo Vitali, December
- 16/00 The Public Transit Systems in Italy: A Critical Analysis of the Regulatory Framework, by Massimiliano Piacenza, December

#### 1999

- 1/99 La valutazione delle politiche locali per l'innovazione: il caso dei Centri Servizi in Italia, by Monica Cariola and Secondo Rolfo, January
- 2/99 Trasferimento tecnologico ed autofinanziamento: il caso degli Istituti Cnr in Piemonte, by Mario Coccia, March
- 3/99 Empirical studies of vertical integration: the transaction cost orthodoxy, by Davide Vannoni, March
- 4/99 Developing innovation in small-medium suppliers: evidence from the Italian car industry, by Giuseppe Calabrese, April
- 5/99 Privatization in Italy: an analysis of factors productivity and technical efficiency, by Giovanni Fraquelli and Fabrizio Erbetta, March
- 6/99 New Technology Based-Firms in Italia: analisi di un campione di imprese triestine, by Anna Maria Gimigliano, April
- 7/99 Trasferimento tacito della conoscenza: gli Istituti CNR dell'Area di Ricerca di Torino, by Mario Coccia, May
- 8/99 Struttura ed evoluzione di un distretto industriale piemontese: la produzione di casalinghi nel Cusio, by Alessandra Ressico, June
- 9/99 Analisi sistemica della performance nelle strutture di ricerca, by Mario Coccia, September
- 10/99 The entry mode choice of EU leading companies (1987-1997), by Giampaolo Vitali, November
- 11/99 Esperimenti di trasferimento tecnologico alle piccole e medie imprese nella Regione Piemonte, by Mario Coccia, November
- 12/99 A mathematical model for performance evaluation in the R&D laboratories: theory and application in Italy, by Mario Coccia, November
- 13/99 Trasferimento tecnologico: analisi dei fruitori, by Mario Coccia, December
- 14/99 Beyond profitability: effects of acquisitions on technical efficiency and productivity in the Italian pasta industry, by Luigi Benfratello, December
- 15/99 Determinanti ed effetti delle fusioni e acquisizioni: un'analisi sulla base delle notifiche alle autorità antitrust, by Luigi Benfratello, December

- 1/98 Alcune riflessioni preliminari sul mercato degli strumenti multimediali, by Paolo Vaglio, January
- 2/98 Before and after privatization: a comparison between competitive firms, by Giovanni Fraquelli and Paola Fabbri, January
- 3/98 Not available
- 4/98 Le importazioni come incentivo alla concorrenza: l'evidenza empirica internazionale e il caso del mercato unico europeo, by Anna Bottasso, May
- 5/98 SEM and the changing structure of EU Manufacturing, 1987-1993, by Stephen Davies, Laura Rondi and Alessandro Sembenelli, November
- 6/98 The diversified firm: non formal theories versus formal models, by Davide Vannoni, December
- 7/98 Managerial discretion and investment decisions of state-owned firms: evidence from a panel of Italian companies, by Elisabetta Bertero and Laura Rondi, December
- 8/98 La valutazione della R&S in Italia: rassegna delle esperienze del C.N.R. e proposta di un approccio alternativo, by Domiziano Boschi, December

9/98 Multidimensional Performance in Telecommunications, Regulation and Competition: Analysing the European Major Players, by Giovanni Fraquelli and Davide Vannoni, December

#### 1997

- 1/97 Multinationality, diversification and firm size. An empirical analysis of Europe's leading firms, by Stephen Davies, Laura Rondi and Alessandro Sembenelli, January
- 2/97 Qualità totale e organizzazione del lavoro nelle aziende sanitarie, by Gian Franco Corio, January
- 3/97 Reorganising the product and process development in Fiat Auto, by Giuseppe Calabrese, February
- 4/97 Buyer-supplier best practices in product development: evidence from car industry, by Giuseppe Calabrese, April
- 5/97 L'innovazione nei distretti industriali. Una rassegna ragionata della letteratura, by Elena Ragazzi, April
- 6/97 The impact of financing constraints on markups: theory and evidence from Italian firm level data, by Anna Bottasso, Marzio Galeotti and Alessandro Sembenelli, April
- 7/97 Capacità competitiva e evoluzione strutturale dei settori di specializzazione: il caso delle macchine per confezionamento e imballaggio, by Secondo Rolfo, Paolo Vaglio, April
- 8/97 *Tecnologia e produttività delle aziende elettriche municipalizzate,* by Giovanni Fraquelli and Piercarlo Frigero, April
- 9/97 La normativa nazionale e regionale per l'innovazione e la qualità nelle piccole e medie imprese: leggi, risorse, risultati e nuovi strumenti, by Giuseppe Calabrese, June
- 10/97 European integration and leading firms' entry and exit strategies, by Steve Davies, Laura Rondi and Alessandro Sembenelli, April
- 11/97 Does debt discipline state-owned firms? Evidence from a panel of Italian firms, by Elisabetta Bertero and Laura Rondi, July
- 12/97 Distretti industriali e innovazione: i limiti dei sistemi tecnologici locali, by Secondo Rolfo and Giampaolo Vitali, July
- 13/97 Costs, technology and ownership form of natural gas distribution in Italy, by Giovanni Fraquelli and Roberto Giandrone, July
- 14/97 Costs and structure of technology in the Italian water industry, by Paola Fabbri and Giovanni Fraquelli, July
- 15/97 Aspetti e misure della customer satisfaction/dissatisfaction, by Maria Teresa Morana, July
- 16/97 La qualità nei servizi pubblici: limiti della normativa UNI EN 29000 nel settore sanitario, by Efisio Ibba, July
- 17/97 Investimenti, fattori finanziari e ciclo economico, by Laura Rondi and Alessandro Sembenelli, rivisto sett. 1998
- 18/97 Strategie di crescita esterna delle imprese leader in Europa: risultati preliminari dell'utilizzo del data-base Ceris "100 top EU firms' acquisition/divestment database 1987-1993", by Giampaolo Vitali and Marco Orecchia, December
- 19/97 Struttura e attività dei Centri Servizi all'innovazione: vantaggi e limiti dell'esperienza italiana, by Monica Cariola, December
- 20/97 Il comportamento ciclico dei margini di profitto in presenza di mercati del capitale meno che perfetti: un'analisi empirica su dati di impresa in Italia, by Anna Bottasso, December

- 1/96 Aspetti e misure della produttività. Un'analisi statistica su tre aziende elettriche europee, by Donatella Cangialosi, February
- 2/96 L'analisi e la valutazione della soddisfazione degli utenti interni: un'applicazione nell'ambito dei servizi sanitari, by Maria Teresa Morana, February
- 3/96 La funzione di costo nel servizio idrico. Un contributo al dibattito sul metodo normalizzato per la determinazione della tariffa del servizio idrico integrato, by Giovanni Fraquelli and Paola Fabbri, February
- 4/96 Coerenza d'impresa e diversificazione settoriale: un'applicazione alle società leaders nell'industria manifatturiera europea, by Marco Orecchia, February
- 5/96 Privatizzazioni: meccanismi di collocamento e assetti proprietari. Il caso STET, by Paola Fabbri, February
- 6/96 I nuovi scenari competitivi nell'industria delle telecomunicazioni: le principali esperienze internazionali, by Paola Fabbri, February
- 7/96 Accordi, joint-venture e investimenti diretti dell'industria italiana nella CSI: Un'analisi qualitativa, by Chiara Monti and Giampaolo Vitali, February
- 8/96 Verso la riconversione di settori utilizzatori di amianto. Risultati di un'indagine sul campo, by Marisa Gerbi Sethi, Salvatore Marino and Maria Zittino, February
- 9/96 Innovazione tecnologica e competitività internazionale: quale futuro per i distretti e le economie locali, by Secondo Rolfo, March
- 10/96 Dati disaggregati e analisi della struttura industriale: la matrice europea delle quote di mercato, by Laura Rondi, March

- 11/96 Le decisioni di entrata e di uscita: evidenze empiriche sui maggiori gruppi italiani, by Alessandro Sembenelli and Davide Vannoni, April
- 12/96 Le direttrici della diversificazione nella grande industria italiana, by Davide Vannoni, April
- 13/96 R&S cooperativa e non-cooperativa in un duopolio misto con spillovers, by Marco Orecchia, May
- 14/96 *Unità di studio sulle strategie di crescita esterna delle imprese italiane*, by Giampaolo Vitali and Maria Zittino, July. **Not available**
- 15/96 Uno strumento di politica per l'innovazione: la prospezione tecnologica, by Secondo Rolfo, September
- 16/96 L'introduzione della Qualità Totale in aziende ospedaliere: aspettative ed opinioni del middle management, by Gian Franco Corio, September
- 17/96 *Shareholders' voting power and block transaction premia: an empirical analysis of Italian listed companies*, by Giovanna Nicodano and Alessandro Sembenelli, November
- 18/96 La valutazione dell'impatto delle politiche tecnologiche: un'analisi classificatoria e una rassegna di alcune esperienze europee, by Domiziano Boschi, November
- 19/96 L'industria orafa italiana: lo sviluppo del settore punta sulle esportazioni, by Anna Maria Gaibisso and Elena Ragazzi, November
- 20/96 La centralità dell'innovazione nell'intervento pubblico nazionale e regionale in Germania, by Secondo Rolfo, December
- 21/96 Ricerca, innovazione e mercato: la nuova politica del Regno Unito, by Secondo Rolfo, December
- 22/96 Politiche per l'innovazione in Francia, by Elena Ragazzi. December
- 23/96 La relazione tra struttura finanziaria e decisioni reali delle imprese: una rassegna critica dell'evidenza empirica, by Anna Bottasso, December

#### 1995

- 1/95 Form of ownership and financial constraints: panel data evidence on leverage and investment choices by Italian firms, by Fabio Schiantarelli and Alessandro Sembenelli, March
- 2/95 Regulation of the electric supply industry in Italy, by Giovanni Fraquelli and Elena Ragazzi, March
- 3/95 Restructuring product development and production networks: Fiat Auto, by Giuseppe Calabrese, September
- 4/95 Explaining corporate structure: the MD matrix, product differentiation and size of market, by Stephen Davies, Laura Rondi and Alessandro Sembenelli, November
- 5/95 Regulation and total productivity performance in electricity: a comparison between Italy, Germany and France, by Giovanni Fraquelli and Davide Vannoni, December
- 6/95 Strategie di crescita esterna nel sistema bancario italiano: un'analisi empirica 1987-1994, by Stefano Olivero and Giampaolo Vitali, December
- 7/95 Panel Ceris su dati di impresa: aspetti metodologici e istruzioni per l'uso, by Diego Margon, Alessandro Sembenelli and Davide Vannoni, December

#### 1994

- 1/94 Una politica industriale per gli investimenti esteri in Italia: alcune riflessioni, by Giampaolo Vitali, May
- 2/94 Scelte cooperative in attività di ricerca e sviluppo, by Marco Orecchia, May
- 3/94 Perché le matrici intersettoriali per misurare l'integrazione verticale?, by Davide Vannoni, July
- 4/94 Fiat Auto: A simultaneous engineering experience, by Giuseppe Calabrese, August

#### 1993

- 1/93 Spanish machine tool industry, by Giuseppe Calabrese, November
- 2/93 The machine tool industry in Japan, by Giampaolo Vitali, November
- 3/93 The UK machine tool industry, by Alessandro Sembenelli and Paul Simpson, November
- 4/93 The Italian machine tool industry, by Secondo Rolfo, November
- 5/93 Firms' financial and real responses to business cycle shocks and monetary tightening: evidence for large and small Italian companies, by Laura Rondi, Brian Sack, Fabio Schiantarelli and Alessandro Sembenelli, December

Free copies are distributed on request to Universities, Research Institutes, researchers, students, etc.

Please, write to:

MARIA ZITTINO, Working Papers Coordinator

CERIS-CNR, Via Real Collegio, 30; 10024 Moncalieri (Torino), Italy

Tel. +39 011 6824.914; Fax +39 011 6824.966; m.zittino@ceris.cnr.it; http://www.ceris.cnr.it

#### Copyright © 2007 by CNR-Ceris

All rights reserved. Parts of this paper may be reproduced with the permission of the author(s) and quoting the authors CNR-Ceris