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## Flexibility Properties of the Normalized Quadratic Mixed Demand System

For the functional specification proposed here to be "flexible" according to Diewert's (1974) definition, it needs to be able to attain arbitrary values for the cost function and its first and second derivatives at a point $\bar{p}, \bar{z}, \bar{u}$. For simplicity, let's take this point to be unity for all variables involved (this is possible without loss of generality by appropriate choice of units of measurement). Also, because the $a_{i}$ coefficients are predetermined, we will assume (A.1) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_{i}=1$.

Furthermore, note that, because utility is ordinal, arbitrary levels of $C$ and $C_{u}$ could be attained by any linear transformation of $u$. Finally, as in Diewert and Wales (1988), we concern ourselves only with the class of cost functions satisfying the money-metric scaling, i.e., $C_{u u} \bar{p}, \bar{z}, \bar{u}=0$.

The arbitrary values that we need to consider, at the point $\bar{p}_{i}=1 \forall i, \bar{z}_{k}=1 \quad \forall k$, and $\bar{u}=1$, are then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \text { A.2) } C_{p_{i}}=\delta_{i}+\beta_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i j}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{i k}+a_{i} \cdot\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \mu_{k}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \gamma_{k}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{m} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \gamma_{k s}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n  \tag{A.2}\\
& \text { 4.3) } \quad C_{z_{k}}=\mu_{k}+\gamma_{k}+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \gamma_{k s}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j k}, \quad k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{A.3}\\
& \text { 4.4) } \quad C_{p_{i} p_{j}}=\beta_{i j}-a_{j} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{n} \beta_{i r}-a_{i} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{n} \beta_{j r}+a_{i} a_{j} \cdot \sum_{r=1}^{n} \sum_{t=1}^{n} \beta_{r t}, \quad i, j=1, \ldots, n  \tag{A.4}\\
& \text { 4.5) } \quad C_{z_{k} z_{s}}=\gamma_{k s}, \quad, \quad k, s=1, \ldots, m  \tag{A.5}\\
& \text { 4.6) } \quad C_{p_{i} z_{k}}=\lambda_{i k}+a_{i}\left(\mu_{k}+\gamma_{k}+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \gamma_{k s}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots . n \text { and } k=1, \ldots, m  \tag{A.6}\\
& \text { 4.7) } \quad C_{u p_{i}}=\beta_{i}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i j}+\sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_{i k}+a_{i} \cdot\left(\sum_{k=1}^{m} \gamma_{k}-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i j}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \sum_{s=1}^{m} \gamma_{k s}\right), \quad i=1, \ldots, n \tag{A.7}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{u z_{k}}=\gamma_{k}+\sum_{s=1}^{m} \gamma_{k s}+\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j k} \quad, \quad k=1, \ldots, m \tag{A.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now ascertain the flexibility of our function. Arbitrary values for $C_{z_{k} z_{s}}$ are possible as long as the $\gamma_{k s}$ parameters are unrestricted. Similarly, the free parameters $\beta_{i j}$ and $\lambda_{i k}$ allow the form for achieving arbitrary values for $C_{p_{i} p_{j}}$ and $C_{p_{i} z_{k}}$, respectively. The parameter $\gamma_{k}$ allows arbitrary values for $C_{u z_{k}}$, and the parameter $\beta_{i}$ allows arbitrary values for $C_{u p_{i}}$. Finally, arbitrary values for $C_{z_{k}}$ are possible because the parameters $\mu_{k}$ are unrestricted, and arbitrary values for $C_{p_{i}}$ are possible because of the free parameters $\delta_{i}$.

Of course, the parameters just mentioned can be subject to some restrictions without destroying the flexibility of the model for the problem at hand. Specifically, because of symmetry of second derivatives, flexibility is still guaranteed if we enforce the following restrictions:
(A.9) $\quad \beta_{i j}=\beta_{j i} \quad, \quad \forall i, j=1, \ldots, n$
(A.10) $\gamma_{k s}=\gamma_{s k} \quad, \quad \forall k, s=1, \ldots, m$.

Furthermore, so far we have neglected the restrictions implied by the fact that $C(p, z, u)$ is homogeneous of degree one in $p$. Thus, the Euler theorem implies that the first derivatives $C_{p_{i}}$ are subject to one restriction. Hence, we can write
(A.11) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta_{i}=0$.

Because each first derivative $C_{p_{i}}$ is homogeneous of degree zero in $p$, applying Euler's theorem once more we find that second derivatives $C_{p_{i} p_{j}}$ are subject to $n$ restrictions, second derivatives
$C_{p_{i} z_{k}}$ are subject to $m$ restrictions, and second derivatives $C_{u p_{i}}$ are subject to one restriction.
These last three conditions allow, respectively,
(A.12) $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \beta_{i j}=0, \quad i=1, \ldots, n$
(A.13) $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j k}=0, \quad k=1, \ldots, m$
(A.14) $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{i}=1$.

This concludes the illustration of the flexibility of the model presented in the article, and provides a justification of the parametric restrictions of equations (21)-(27) in the text.
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