AAE 01003 April 2001

# RESULTS OF THE NORTH DAKOTA LAND VALUATION MODEL FOR THE 2001 AGRICULTURAL REAL ESTATE ASSESSMENT

Dwight G. Aakre and Harvey G. Vreugdenhil\*

## **ABSTRACT**

This report summarizes the results of the North Dakota Land Valuation Model. This model is used annually to estimate average land values by county, based on the value of production produced on that land. The county land values developed from this procedure form the basis for the 2001 valuation of agricultural land for assessment of real estate taxes. The average all land value from this analysis is multiplied by the total acres of agricultural land on the county abstract to determine each county's total agricultural land value. The State Board of Equalization compares this value with the total value assessed to agricultural property in each county. Each county is required by state statute to assess a total value of agricultural property within 5 percent of this value.

**Key Words: assessment, capitalization rate, land, taxes, valuation** 

#### ALL LAND VALUATION

Valuation of all agricultural land in North Dakota, for the year 2001 assessment, increased by 3.2 percent or \$7.92 per acre over the previous year. All counties showed increases with Emmons County having the greatest increase of 8.5 percent followed by Hettinger County at 6.7 percent. The smallest increase was in Richland County at 0.7 percent followed by Ramsey County at 1.1 percent increase.

State statute mandates that the Department of Agricultural Economics, now the Department of Agribusiness and Applied Economics, at NDSU annually compute an estimate of 1) the average value per acre of agricultural lands on a statewide and countywide basis, and 2) the

average value per acre for cropland and noncropland. These estimates are provided to the State Tax Department.

The model calculates agricultural land values as the landowner share of gross returns divided by the capitalization rate. *Landowner share of gross returns* is the portion of revenue generated from agricultural land that is assumed to be received by the landowner, and is expected to reflect current rental rates. The Legislature has specified that the landowner share of gross returns is 30 percent of gross returns, except for non-cropland (25 percent), sugarbeets and potatoes (20 percent), and irrigated land (50 percent of the dryland rate).

#### CAPITALIZATION RATE

The capitalization rate is an interest rate that reflects the general market rate of interest adjusted for the risk associated with a particular investment or asset (in this case, agricultural land in North Dakota). The Legislature has specified the gross Federal Land Bank (AgriBank, FCB) mortgage rate of interest for North Dakota be used as the basis for computing the capitalization rate. The capitalization rate used in the North Dakota Land Valuation model is a twelve-year rolling average with the high and low rates dropped.

The capitalization rate used for the 2001 assessment was 9.18 percent, down from 9.45 percent in 2000. This represents a 2.85 percent reduction in this rate. As the capitalization rate declines, this results in an upward movement in calculated land values. If the landowner share of gross returns remained unchanged from the previous year, the decline in the capitalization rate alone would have resulted in an average

<sup>\*</sup>Aakre is an Extension Farm Management/Livestock Economist in the Department of Agribusiness and Applied Economics; Vreugdenhil is an Experiment Station Specialist in the Department of Agricultural Communications, North Dakota State University, Fargo.

increase in land values of 2.85 percent. With the average statewide increase being 3.2 percent, the capitalization rate was a major factor in the change in calculated land values.

# COST OF PRODUCTION INDEX

Beginning with the analysis for the 1999 assessment, a cost of production index was added to the model. This was included in the model to account for the increasing proportion of the total cost of production represented by variable costs. The source of data for this index is the *Items Used For Production* from the Prices Paid Index published by the National Agricultural Statistics Service, Washington, DC. The index developed for this analysis was determined by averaging the latest ten years values after dropping the high and low value; and dividing this value by the base index. The base index was developed by averaging the index values from the years 1989 through 1995 after dropping the high and low values. This base index value is 102. The resulting index value used in the 2001 analysis is 105.2, which is used to reduce the landowner share of gross returns.

## **CROPLAND VALUE**

The average value of cropland in North Dakota increased \$11.25 per acre or 3.52 percent. Individual county values varied greatly. Largest increases were in Emmons County at 10.4 percent, followed by Sioux at 8.0 percent, Hettinger County at 7.7 percent, and Grant at 7.1 percent. The smallest increase occurred in Ramsey County at 1.4 percent. Fourteen counties had an increase of 1.7 percent: Benson, Bottineau, Burke, Foster, Grand Forks, LaMoure, McHenry, Mountrail, Nelson, Pierce, Renville, Rolette, Stutsman, and Ward.

Twelve of the fifteen counties with the smallest increase in cropland value are along or north of U.S. Highway 2. This is an area of the state that has experienced several short crops in the past five years due to excessive moisture. Part of the shortfall has been replaced with crop insurance indemnity payments, however, these payments are not included in the model.

The increased moisture in recent years that has hurt crop yields and quality in the northern

part of the state has been a benefit to the more arid west and southwestern region of the state. Nearly all of the counties with the largest increases in cropland value are in this area of the state

Changes in the capitalization rate and cost of production index impact all counties equally. The net impact of the changes in the capitalization rate and the cost of production index nearly offset each other resulting in little change in value. Therefore, nearly all of the change in value for cropland is due to an increase in the landowner share of gross returns. For some counties, much of the cause of increased landowner share of gross returns is attributed to increased yield per acre. For the 2001 assessment, 1989 data is no longer included in the ten year average. Most counties in the state experienced very low crop production in 1989 due to a continuation of the drought that spread across the state in 1988.

#### NONCROPLAND VALUE

The value of noncropland (grazing land) changed very little from the values calculated for the year 2000 assessments. The value of noncropland is derived by calculating the value of the beef produced from grazing. The carrying capacity and the production per cow are constant in the model. As a result, all change in noncropland value is due to changes in the price of calves and cull cows as well as the changes in the capitalization rate and the cost of production index.

The price of calves and cull cows are used to determine the value of an animal unit month (AUM) of grazing. AUM is used as the measure of productivity of grazing land. Based on the price of calves and cull cows, an AUM had a value of \$55.25 for the 2001 assessment. This was up from \$49.97 the previous year. These prices are increasing due to the stage of the cattle cycle.

Two tables are provided comparing county values for 2000 and 2001. Table 1 shows North Dakota capitalized average annual values per acre by county for 2001. Table 2 shows North Dakota capitalized average annual values per acre by county for 2000.

Table 1

County	lized Average Annual Values Per Acre by Cropland			Noncropland		All Agricultural Land		
Adems	-0.	203.7"		. 78.71	-600		156.39	
Barnes		409.45	150	109.34			330.86	
Benson ·	28	297.37		96.81	102	185	253 88	
Bi:lings		181.07	100	. 73.68	183		106.88	
Bottineau		302.31		93.69	28		266.08	
	20	203.57		65.02			134.87	
Bowman		250 40		86.15	200		200.7	
Burke		238.08		86 41			169.48	
Burleigh		523.21		111.18			. 510 3	
Cass		375.46		95.01		23	335 3	
Cavalier				109.08			300.5	
Dickey		389.73		85.66			201.4	
Divide		243,07			55	135	127.6	
Ounn		206.49		78.50				
Eddy		291.16.		97.22			233.1	
Emmons		287.42		85.58			189.5	
Foster		354.43		93.58	500		306.7	
Golden Valley		228.66		64,49			144.1	
Grand Forks		500.19		109,13			466.8	
Grant		211.24		78.91			137.6	
Grags		366.44	2	95,36			310.3	
Hettinger		254.42		78.31		50	210.5	
Kidder		239.74		87.27			165.4	
		3B1.49		112.81			343.7	
LaMoure		256.26		86.11			174.1	
Logan				93.07			201.1	
McHenry		247.15 245.70		85.63	•		181.1	
McIniosh				78.83			147.0	
McKenzie		248.69		85.86			253.8	
McLean	8	289.20				102	166.0	
Mercer .		232.B7		78.47				
Morton .		239.36	9	78.65			145.B	
Mountrail		259.90		85.54			187.0	
Nelson		340.15		94.84			293.9	
Oliver		266 91		78.69			156.0	
Pembina		603.45		113.62			543.3	
Pierca		287.89		93 08			230.6	
Ramsey		319.90		97.52			281.1	
Ransom		439.99		107.44			363.2	
Renville		312,44		93.35			295.4	
Richland		574.48		110.39	3.8		501.7	
Rolette		282.17		94.69			249.9	
		461.20		110.17			385.3	
Sargent		257.45		95.62			192.6	
Sheridan		194.94		78.72			104.3	
Sioux				71.73			156.1	
Slop <del>e</del>		222.99					173 2	
Stark		228.85		79.07 96.89			403.3	
Steele		452.36					255.2	
Stutsman		323.65		107.76				
Towner		308.69		97 25			299.2	
Traill		572.77		110.17			637.3	
Walsh		553.40		101.67			504.5	
Ward		304.68		85.53			253.3	
Wells		341.60		93.93			294.2	
Williams		219,15		85.77			172.3	
State		330.04		84.31			253.3	

Table 2

County		Cropland		County For 2000 Assessment Noncropland	All Agricult	ural Land
Adams		197.67	96	78.21		152.45
Bames		395.85		108.66	289	315.63
Benson		292.49		96.20		.249.85
Billings		177.05		73.21		105.29
Bottineau		297.35		93,10	8.9	262.87
Bowman		195.82		64.61		130.91
Burke		246.29	0.0	85.60	2.0	
Burleigh		226.87				197,71
Cass		497 64		85.87		163.06
Cavalier		353.07		110.48		485.52
				94 41		316.05
Dickey		373.86		108.39	•55	289.52
Divide		233.87		85.12		194.55
Dunn		197.60		78.01		124.12
Eddy		278.83		96.61	50	223.94
Emmons		242.30		85.04		174 63
Foster		348.61		92.99		301 85
Golden Valley		221.32		64.09	57	140.40
Grand Forks		491.97		108.44		459.30
Grant		197.21		78.41		131.11
Griggs :		350.10		94.76		297.32
Hettinger		236.30		77.82		197.33
Kidder		233.57		86.72		162.20
LaMoure		375 23		112.10		327.15
Logan		246.56		B5.57		168.73
McHenry		243.10		92.48		198.11
Mointosh		233.73		85 09		174.02
McKenz:e		236.25		78.33	98	142.56
McLean		277.37		85.32	183	243.97
Mercer		222.99		77.97		160.19
Morton		224.24		78.16		139,14
Mountrail		255.63		85.00		184.34
Nelson		334.56		94.25		289.31
Oliver		250.20		78.39		148.85
Pempina		571.89		112.90		515.60
Pierce		263.5D		92.50		227.13
Ramsey		315.45		96.91		278.12
Ransom		425,84		106.76		352.17
Renville		307.31		92.77		290.75
Richland		551,41		109.69		
Rolette	Č.	277.54				498.05
				94.09		246.87
Sargent		440.00		109.48	7	372.37
Sheridan		243.08		85.08		184.29
Sioux		180.5B		78.22		98.17
Slope		211.10		71.2B		149.33
Stark		215,30		78.57		164.54
Steele		438.2B		9 <b>6.2</b> 8	00:	391.09
Stutsman		318.34		107.08	200	251.60
Towner		299.81		96.64		290.76
Traill		551.7 <b>6</b>		109.46		517.85
Walsh		530.73		101.03		484.42
Ward		299.66		85.OD		249.36
Wells		326.17		93.34		281.51
Williams		207.52		85.23		164.56
State		318.79		83.78		245.44

# **NOTICE:**

The analyses and views reported in this paper are those of the author(s). They are not necessarily endorsed by the Department of Agribusiness and Applied Economics or by North Dakota State University.

North Dakota State University is committed to the policy that all persons shall have equal access to its programs, and employment without regard to race, color, creed, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, disability, public assistance status, veteran status, or sexual orientation.

Information on other titles in this series may be obtained from: Department of Agribusiness and Applied Economics, North Dakota State University, P.O. Box 5636, Fargo, ND 58105. Telephone: 701-231-7441, Fax: 701-231-7400, or e-mail: cjensen@ndsuext.nodak.edu.

Copyright © 2001 by Dwight G. Aakre and Harvey G. Vreugdenhil. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

NDSU is an equal opportunity institution.