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ABSTRACT

The myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) constitute a heterogeneous group of malignant bone marrow disorders characterized by peripheral cytopenia(s) and increased risk of progression to acute myeloid leukemia (AML). International Prognostic Scoring system (IPSS) Low- or Intermediate (INT)-1 risk MDS with a deletion of 5q (del5q) were considered to have an indolent course and a low risk for progression to AML as compared to other MDS subtypes. However, more recent studies have shown that overall survival (OS) and risk for AML progression vary greatly in del(5q) MDS patients indicating that factors beyond established risk scoring systems impact patient outcome. Molecular abnormalities have emerged as putative prognostic markers.

We performed molecular studies in a patient with classical 5q- syndrome who unexpectedly evolved to high-risk MDS with complex karyotype (Paper I). Immunohistochemistry (IHC) of pre-treatment marrow biopsies revealed a small fraction of progenitors with strong p53 expression and sequencing confirmed a TP53 mutation. TP53 mutated subclones had not been described in MDS with isolated del(5q) and indicated a previously unknown heterogeneity. In a subsequent study of 55 patients with lower-risk del(5q) MDS, 18% of the patients were found to have TP53 mutated subclones at diagnosis which rendered them at higher risk for progression (Paper II). Interestingly, the association with outcome was even stronger for p53 IHC indicating a high sensitivity of this method for early identification of patients with adverse outcome. As a next step, we assessed p53 protein expression in a cohort of 85 lower-risk del(5q) MDS patients treated with lenalidomide within a clinical trial (Paper IV). P53 IHC positive patients showed significantly shorter overall survival, higher risk for leukemic transformation, and lower cytogenetic response rate to lenalidomide, hence validating the results from Paper II. Importantly, pyrosequencing analysis of microdissected IHC stained cells confirmed that cells with strong staining carried TP53 mutations, while moderate staining reflected wild-type TP53.

Due to the apparently exquisite sensitivity of the del(5q) clone to len, we hypothesized that higher doses of lenalidomide may induce cytogenetic and clinical responses also in patients with high-risk MDS/AML with chromosome 5 abnormalities who were refractory or ineligible for standard treatment (Paper III). In this study, we demonstrated that treatment was able to inhibit the del(5q) tumor clone in a cohort of patients with extremely advanced disease, which suggests that the selective inhibitory effect of len in vitro may be translated into a therapeutic response in vivo. Importantly, TP53 mutations were common (62%) in this cohort, and uniformly associated with treatment failure. Altogether, our findings suggest an important role of the p53 pathway in both low- and high-risk del(5q) MDS, and in relation to treatment with lenalidomide. These findings will have major implications for risk stratification and the choice of therapy.
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# 1. ABBREVIATIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AA</td>
<td>Aplastic anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALIP</td>
<td>Abnormal localization of immature precursors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC</td>
<td>Absolute neutrophil count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML</td>
<td>Acute myeloid leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALIP</td>
<td>Abnormal localization of immature precursors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aza</td>
<td>Azacytidine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM</td>
<td>Bone marrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDR</td>
<td>Commonly deleted region</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMML</td>
<td>Chronic myelomonocytic leukemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CyR</td>
<td>Cytogenetic response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ELN</td>
<td>European Leukemia Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMA</td>
<td>European Medicines Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se-EPO</td>
<td>Serum-Erythropoietin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCM</td>
<td>Flow cytometry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FFPE BM</td>
<td>Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded bone marrow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G-CSF</td>
<td>Granulocyte colony-stimulating factor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>Hemoglobin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSC</td>
<td>Hematopoietic Stem Cell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHC</td>
<td>Immunohistochemistry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSS</td>
<td>International Prognostic Scoring System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IPSS-R</td>
<td>Revised IPSS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RA</td>
<td>Refractory anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Len</td>
<td>Lenalidomide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCM</td>
<td>Laser cell microdissection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS</td>
<td>Myelodysplastic syndrome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPN</td>
<td>Myeloproliferative neoplasm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OS</td>
<td>Overall survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PB</td>
<td>Peripheral blood</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAEB</td>
<td>Refractory anemia with excess of blasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RARS</td>
<td>Refractory anemia with ringsideroblasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCMD</td>
<td>Refractory cytopenia with multilineage dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCMD-RS</td>
<td>Refractory cytopenia with multilineage dysplasia and ringsideroblasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-AML</td>
<td>Therapy-related AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>Tumor protein p53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>White blood count</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO</td>
<td>World Health Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPSS</td>
<td>WHO-based Prognostic Scoring System</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2. THE MYELODYSPLASTIC SYNDROMES (MDS)

2.1. Definition

The myelodysplastic syndromes (MDS) constitute a heterogeneous group of clonal hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) disorders characterized by cytopenia(s), dysplasia in one or more of cell lineages, ineffective hematopoiesis, and increased risk of progression to acute myeloid leukemia (AML). MDS can arise de novo or as a consequence of previous chemotherapy or radiation. Although the majority of MDS are characterized by progressive bone marrow (BM) failure, the clinical course varies substantially in the different subtypes with survival ranging from a few months to decades (1).

2.2. Epidemiology

MDS is a disease of the elderly and one of the most common hematologic cancer in patients over the age of 70 years, among which the annual incidence exceeds 20 per 100,000 persons (2). Since January 2009, all newly diagnosed cases of MDS in Sweden are registered in a national cancer registry as part of the INCA-platform (www.incanet.se). The number of registered MDS diagnoses was 265 patients for 2009 and 309 patients for 2010 with a crude incidence of 4 cases/100,000 inhabitants/year. Thus, the incidence for this time period is in line with previous Swedish and international reports (3-5). However, there are indications that MDS may be underreported to cancer registries, in part due to the lack of formal diagnostic testing in this patient population (3, 6). In a recent regional Australian study the incidence was almost twofold higher as compared to data from central cancer registries in Australia (for 2007 9.6 per 100,000/year vs. 4.8 per 100,000/year). In two other studies using US Medicare data for patients ≥ 65 years up to 4-fold incidence rates for MDS were reported (3, 6) as compared to US cancer registry data with 3.3 per 100 000 persons/year during 2001-2004 and an estimated prevalence of 55 000 persons in the US (7). One possible explanation for the discrepancy could be due to the way MDS was registered. For example, it was found that many cases were linked to individuals already registered for another cancer, and existing guidelines recommended the removal of MDS as a separate primary tumor (3). The incidence of MDS may also be underreported because of failure to recognize the diagnosis. For example, given the
chronic nature of disease, a possible MDS patient may be asymptomatic or incompletely investigated and diagnosed as unspecified anemia.

The median age at diagnosis in the INCA cohort was 75 years (range, 17-94 years) and 70% of the patients were >70 years old. There was a slight male predominance (56%). MDS was related to previous cytostatic treatment or radiotherapy in 10% of the cases.

Risk factors for MDS include cytostatic treatment and in particular alkylating agents, radiation therapy, and to a lesser extent, tobacco use and occupational exposure to solvents and agricultural chemicals. The risk of MDS is markedly increased in certain genetic syndromes and in context of inherited bone marrow failure syndromes (i.e. Fanconi anemia, Shwachman-Diamond syndrome, severe congenital neutropenia, Dyskeratosis congenita, Diamond-Blackfan anemia).

2.3 Clinical and morphological diagnosis

Diagnostic work-up of MDS is well described in the Nordic guidelines for MDS (www.nmds.org) and includes detailed clinical information with family history, relevant laboratory data, cytomorphologic evaluation of peripheral blood and bone marrow aspirate smear, bone marrow biopsy, cytogenetic analysis, and molecular analysis, if relevant. Using this integrated approach, an MDS diagnosis can be made in most cases and non-malignant causes of cytopenia can be excluded.

However, the standard diagnostic criteria for MDS and its various subtypes using the World Health Organization (WHO) classification rely heavily on the (subjective) morphologic evaluation of bone marrow cells. In spite of recent advances in the understanding of the pathogenesis of the disease, these disorders remain among the most challenging of the myeloid neoplasms in terms of proper diagnosis and classification.

2.3.1. Clinical features

MDS patients typically present with symptoms related to persistent (>6 months) refractory cytopenia: more than 80% of patients have anemia, 40% neutropenia and 30-40% thrombocytopenia. The thresholds for cytopenias as recommended in the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) for risk stratification in MDS are hemoglobin of <10g/dl, absolute neutrophil count (ANC) <1.8x10⁹/L and platelets
<100x10^9/L. However, levels above these thresholds do not exclude the diagnosis of MDS if definitive morphological and cytogenetic findings are present. Macrocytosis is a common laboratory finding and may be an early sign even without associated anemia. Significant cytopenia is associated with higher morbidity and mortality in MDS\(^{11}\).

### 2.3.2. Cytological features

The morphological classification of MDS is based on the percentage of blasts in the BM and PB, the degree of dysplasia and the number of dysplastic cell lineages as well as the presence of ring sideroblasts\(^{12}\). Minimal diagnostic criteria for MDS have been proposed (Table 1) which allow discrimination of MDS from other neoplastic or reactive disorders associated with cytopenia and/or dysplasia\(^{13,14}\). In patients who do not fulfill these minimal criteria, a provisional diagnosis of idiopathic cytopenia or dysplasia of undetermined significance/uncertain significance (ICUS/IDUS) may be considered\(^{15-17}\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Minimal diagnostic criteria for MDS (adapted from Valent et al., 2009)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Criteria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prerequisite criteria (both must be fulfilled)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Constant cytopenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Exclusion of other diseases as primary cause of cytopenia/ dysplasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MDS-related criteria (one of these must be fulfilled)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Morphological dysplasia in one of the three myeloid cell lineages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Blast cell count ≥5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ring sideroblasts ≥15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Typical karyotype anomaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Co-criteria</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• BM stem cell function</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Abnormal immunophenotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Monoclonality of myeloid cells</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Abnormal gene expression profile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Investigations depend on the case history and overall situation and should include a complete chemistry profile with inflammation parameters, immunoglobulins, S-EPO level, S-tryptase level. FCM, flow cytometry; CFC, colony-forming progenitor cells
The cytopenias may correspond to the dysplastic cell lineage, however, in a recent study including 2032 MDS patients from the Düsseldorf Registry, no association between the type of cytopenia and dysplasia was found (18). In addition, patients with borderline features may have normal peripheral blood status. The recommended requisite percentage of cells with dysplasia is $\geq 10\%$ for each cell lineage; megakaryocytic dysplasia should be assessed on at least 30 megakaryocytes in smears or bone marrow sections.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Figure 1. Morphological features of dysplasia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dyserythropoiesis</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclear budding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlobation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internuclear bridging</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karyorrhexis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multinuclearity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Megaloblastic changes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytoplasmatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ring sideroblasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vacuolization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periodic acid-Schiff positivity</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The enumeration of blasts in bone marrow aspirate and peripheral blood smear is essential in the diagnostic work up, and for the classification and prognostication of MDS. To determine the blast percentage in the BM, a 500-cell differential of all nucleated cells in a smear or trephine imprint is recommended and in the PB, a 200-leukocyte differential. Assessment of blast percentage and degree of dysplasia can be problematic, especially when samples are hemodiluted or when the quality of the
smear is ‘suboptimal’. In addition, smears may be hypocellular in hypoplastic or fibrotic BM, not allowing an adequate quantitative and qualitative assessment. Overall, the necessity of high quality slide preparations cannot be overemphasized, and results should always be correlated to the histological assessment. Also, differential counts should not be substituted with the enumeration of blasts by flow cytometry since the difference in sample quality and sample processing may lead to over- or underestimation of blast percentage by flow cytometry.

2.3.3. Histology and Immunohistochemistry

The histological and immunohistochemical examination of BM biopsies contributes essentially to the diagnosis, classification and prognostication of suspected MDS\cite{19, 20}. Standards and guidelines in the evaluation of MDS by histology and IHC have recently been proposed by a consortium of US and EU experts at a Working Conference\cite{21}. The biopsy allows for precise assessment of marrow cellularity, fibrosis and architectural features (Figure 2). BM cellularity and grade of fibrosis should be assessed according to the European Consensus Grading System\cite{22}. The presence of marrow fibrosis has been identified as independent prognostic risk factor in several studies\cite{23-26}. Significant myelofibrosis (grade 2-3) is observed in approximately 10% of MDS and often associated with multilineage dysplasia, high transfusion requirement, and poor prognosis. The biopsy helps to distinguish hypoplastic MDS from aplastic anemia or hypoplastic AML\cite{20}, however, several biopsies may be required before a final diagnosis can be made. Moreover, it is clinically relevant to distinguish hypoplastic from normo- or hyperplastic and fibrotic MDS, since treatment approaches may differ. In addition, histology may reveal an unrelated or co-existing hematopoietic neoplasm.

**Figure 2.** BM histology in a patient with MDS shows megakaryocytic hyperplasia, dysplasia and fibrosis (Hematoxylin-eosin and Gomori Silver stain, 40x objective).
Immunohistochemistry has been proposed in patients with (suspected) MDS \(^{(15, 19, 27)}\) using a minimal IHC panel; additional (lineage-specific) markers may be used when the diagnosis MDS is in question or another co-existing neoplasm is suspected \(^{(21)}\). The CD34 stain is useful for the enumeration of CD34+ blasts in the biopsy, and also for the detection of CD34+ clusters which has been suggested as an independent prognostic marker in MDS \(^{(24, 28, 29)}\). In situations where blast cells are CD34-negative, CD117/KIT has been recommended as an additional marker \(^{(21)}\). However, since CD117/KIT is also expressed by a proportion of proerythroblasts \(^{(30)}\) evaluation may be difficult in some cases. There is generally good correlation between the percentage of myeloblasts as determined by cytology and histology, however, discordant results may be seen both with and without the presence of marrow fibrosis. In addition, the CD34 antigen may be detectable in immature megakaryocytes and megakaryoblasts in MDS (Figure 3), and increased CD34 expression has been associated with advanced disease \(^{(31)}\). However, CD34-expression is not a specific feature of MDS-megakaryocytes.

![Figure 3](image.jpg)

**Figure 3.** IHC demonstrates an increase in CD34+ blast cells, aberrant expression of CD34 in megakaryocytes and microvascular hyperplasia. A fraction of BM progenitor cells shows strong p53 staining (brown nuclei; right plot).

### 2.3.4. WHO Classification

The World Health Organization (WHO) classification of MDS was updated in 2008 and provides at date the best diagnostic approach to MDS (Table 2)\(^{(12)}\). The WHO classification has considerable prognostic relevance \(^{(32)}\), and its implementation into clinical practice is mandatory for optimal management of MDS.
Table 2. WHO 2008 Classification of Myelodysplastic Syndromes (ref. 12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WHO subtype</th>
<th>Peripheral blood</th>
<th>Bone marrow findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Refractory cytopenias with unilineage dysplasia (RCUD)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory anemia (RA)</td>
<td>Unicytopenia or bicytopenia*</td>
<td>Unilineage dysplasia: ≥10% of the cells in one lineage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory neutropenia (RN)</td>
<td>No or rare blasts (&lt;1%) **</td>
<td>&lt;5% blasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory thrombocytopenia RT</td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;15% of erythroid precursors are ring sideroblasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory anemia with ring sideroblasts (RARS)</td>
<td>Anemia, no blasts</td>
<td>≥15% of erythroid precursors are ring sideroblasts, Erythroid dysplasia only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory cytopenia with multilineage dysplasia (RCMD)</td>
<td>Cytopenia(s), No ore rare blasts (&lt;1%) No Auer rods &lt;1x10/L monocytes</td>
<td>Dysplasia in ≥10% of the cells in ≥2 myeloid lineages &lt;5% blasts in BM No Auer rods, +/- 15% ring sideroblasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory anemia with excess blasts-1 (RAEB-1)</td>
<td>Cytopenia(s), &lt;5% blasts, no Auer rods &lt;1x10/L monocytes</td>
<td>Unilineage or multilineage dysplasia 5-9% blasts** No Auer rods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractory anemia with excess blasts-2 (RAEB-2)</td>
<td>Cytopenia(s), &lt;5% blasts, Auer rods +/-,*** &lt;1x10/L monocytes</td>
<td>Unilineage or multilineage dysplasia 10-19% blasts Auer rods +/-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myelodysplastic syndrome-unclassified (MDS-U)</td>
<td>Cytopenia(s), ≤1% blasts**</td>
<td>Unequivocal dysplasia in &lt;10% of cells in ≥1 cell lines accompanied by a cytogenetic abnormality; considered as presumptive evidence for MDS, &lt;5% blasts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS associated with isolated del(5q)</td>
<td>Anemia; usually normal or increased platelet count No or rare blasts (&lt;1%)</td>
<td>Normal to increased mega-karyocytes with hypolobated nuclei; &lt;5% blasts Isolated del(5q) abnormality No Auer rods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Bicytopenia may occasionnally be observed. Cases with pancytopenia should be classified as MDS-U; **If the marrow blast percentage is <5% but there are 2-4% myeloblasts in the blood, the diagnostic classification is RAEB 1. Cases of RCUD and RCMD with 1% myeloblasts in the blood should be classified as MDS-U; ***Cases with Auer rods and <5% myeloblasts in the blood and <10% in the bone marrow should be classified as RAEB-2

However, the WHO 2008 classification was critically reassessed in a recent study based on a large number of patients with prolonged follow-up from the Düsseldorf MDS Registry, which led to the proposal of various modifications \(^{(18)}\). For example, the separation of RCUD into RA, RT and RN was found to be of questionable value due to the difficulties of separating these three subcategories on the basis of hematological and/or morphological characteristics, but also due to the fact that they were similar in terms of prognosis. The same was found for RCMD and MDS-unclassified.
Therefore, a simplified classification for MDS without excess of blasts was proposed including the consolidation of RA, RT and RN into RCUD and the elimination of MDS-unclassified as a distinct subcategory.

The “5q- syndrome” is the only subtype of MDS, which is defined by cytogenetics in addition to specific pathogenetic and morphologic characteristics. However, given its heterogeneous clinical course, it has become questionable whether it really represents a single true subcategory of MDS. The "5q- syndrome" is further discussed in section 2.5. In addition, it is possible that multilineage dysplasia, a morphological parameter that is important from a prognostic point of view, can be better defined using molecular criteria. A recent study found that MDS patients with RARS, RCMD and RCMD-RS subtypes displayed comparable clinical and cytogenetic profiles (33). Yet, cytogenetics enabled a differentiated risk stratification within these morphologically defined “good-risk” subtypes. It is likely that molecular makers may become an important part of future classification systems within the frame of the WHO classification.

2.3.5. Cytogenetics

Cytogenetic abnormalities are major determinants in the pathogenesis, diagnosis, and prognosis of MDS and have major impact on therapeutic decision-making in individual patients (34-36). Chromosomal abnormalities are detected in approximately 50% of patients with de novo MDS (Figure 4) and in up to 80% in therapy-related MDS (37-40). Aberrations are most common in the RAEB-subtypes.

In MDS, unbalanced chromosomal abnormalities reflecting gain or loss of chromosomal material are more prevalent in comparison to AML, while balanced translocation are rare (38). The most frequently observed chromosomal abnormality in de novo MDS is the interstitial deletion of the long arm of chromosome 5, with or without additional karyotypic abnormalities (37, 38, 41).

Certain cytogenetic abnormalities in MDS patients are associated with a characteristic morphology and clinical phenotype: the “5q- syndrome” is associated with the presence of hypolobated megakaryocytes and the del(17p) has been associated with the presence of Pseudo-pelger cells containing small vacuoles, a deletion of \textit{TP53} and a high risk of leukemic transformation (42).
Recently, a new and comprehensive cytogenetic scoring system based on an international data collection of 2,902 patients was proposed (40). Schanz et al. defined 19 cytogenetic categories, providing clear prognostic classification in 91% of all patients (Figure 5). The abnormalities were classified into five prognostic subgroups: very good, good, intermediate, poor, and very poor (>3 abnormalities). In addition, a number of new single abnormalities (inv(3)/t(3q)/del(3q), +8, del(11q), del(12p), i(17)(q10), +19, and +21) were newly integrated into the scoring system.
The study revealed that deletion of chromosome 7 (del(7q)) was more favorable than monosomy 7 with regard to OS and AML risk, a finding that had also been described in previous studies (43, 44). Consequently, deletion of 7q was classified as intermediate, whereas the loss of the whole chromosome 7 was associated with worse prognosis. The data from this large study contributed to the update of the revised IPSS (45) by refining the cytogenetic risk categories as presented in the following section.

### 2.3.6. Prognosis, risk assessment and follow-up

The natural history of MDS varies considerably; while some patients experience an indolent course, others show short overall survival and rapid transformation to AML. Therefore, risk stratification is critical for both prognostic assessment and formulating treatment goals. Several prognostic scoring systems have been developed to identify MDS subtypes with different outcomes and to stratify patients into lower and higher risk subgroups. These schemes are based on morphology and clinical variables such as cytopenias and cytogenetics. The most commonly used prognostic tool is the International Prognostic Scoring System (IPSS) from 1997 (46). The original IPSS was recently revised. The updated IPSS-R uses five instead of three cytogenetic prognostic
subgroups, splits marrow blast percentage <5% into two groups, and introduces more levels of cytopenia (Table 3) (45). However, the distinction of blast percentages between <2%, ≥2%-<5%, and ≥5% is critical in terms of reproducibility and needs to be validated. Interestingly, fibrosis was not confirmed as an independent prognostic marker in the IPSS-R, which could partly be due to the low frequency of samples that were assessed but it may also reflect differences in methodology.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prognostic variable</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>0.5</th>
<th>1.0</th>
<th>1.5</th>
<th>2.0</th>
<th>3.0</th>
<th>4.0</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BM blasts, %</td>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (x10/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANC (x10/L)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Prognostic subgroups:**
- **Very good:** del(5q), -Y
- **Good:** normal, del(5q), del(12p), del(20q), double including del(5q)
- **Poor:** Inv(3)/t(3q)/del(3q), double including -7/del(7q), Complex karyotype: 3 abnormalities, -7
- **Very poor:** complex karyotype (>3 abnormalities)

The WHO classification-based prognostic system (WPSS) is a modification of the IPSS and includes multilineage dysplasia and transfusion dependency as additional adverse prognostic variables (47). Although the prognostic value of the latter has been validated in several studies (6, 48), it has been considered to be too subjective (49). In the refined WPSS model, severe anemia defined as hemoglobin levels lower than 9 g/dl in males and 8 g/dl in females, was found to be as effective as transfusion-dependency in the prognostic assessment (50). Finally, somatic mutations have emerged as fundamental determinants for outcome in MDS, it is likely that some of these in the future may be incorporated into existing models.
2.3.7. Flow cytometry – detection of aberrant patterns beyond the microscope

Flow cytometry (FCM) has been recognized as additional tool in the diagnosis and prognosis of MDS. The current WHO 2008 classification recognizes multiple flow cytometric aberrancies (>3) in maturation patterns as indicative of MDS.

FCM allows the identification of specific aberrations on both immature and mature compartments in the different hematopoietic lineages \(^\text{(51-53)}\). Different groups have designed scoring systems for the diagnosis and prognosis of MDS \(^\text{(52,54-60)}\). However, so far, the only validated prognostic flow score is the FC scoring system designed by Wells et al. \(^\text{(61)}\). In addition, validation of current assays and agreement on the techniques are prerequisites for its widespread acceptance and application in clinical practice. Therefore, the European Leukemia Network (ELN) working group was initiated to discuss and propose standards for FCM in MDS and to define minimal FC parameters to enable the categorization of FCM results as “normal”, “suggestive of”, or “diagnostic of” MDS \(^\text{(51,62)}\). Further studies have been initiated by this working group for the establishment of diagnostic and prognostic FC panels in MDS. Recently, a multicenter validation confirmed the ability to distinguish low risk MDS without other specific markers (i.e. cytogenetics and ring sideroblasts) from non-clonal cytopenias \(^\text{(52)}\). These findings were confirmed in a previous study, which demonstrated the utility of a FCM-score for the detection of marrow dysplasia based on four highly reproducible parameters \(^\text{(63)}\). However, it should be stressed that FCM should only be used as part of an integrated diagnosis in MDS.

2.4. Pathogenesis

2.4.1. A stem cell disease

The MDS clone has its origin in the hematopoietic stem cell compartment. Previous studies using transgenic MDS mouse models demonstrated that MDS HSCs can be transplanted to recipient mice who displayed all of the critical features of MDS, including peripheral blood cytopenias, dysplasia and transformation to AML \(^\text{(64-66)}\). Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and gene expression data from purified HSCs from 5q- MDS patients have suggested a hematopoietic stem cell origin for MDS \(^\text{(67-71)}\).
In a recent study, Tehranchi et al identified rare and phenotypically distinct MDS stem cells in patients with del(5q) MDS (69). These MDS stem cells were selectively resistant to therapeutic targeting by lenalidomide at the time of complete clinical and cytogenetic remission, indicating their importance not only in the pathogenesis of MDS but also for treatment. Moreover, it was found that a large fraction of these stem cells were in the G0 phase of the cell-cycle and quantitative gene-expression analysis demonstrated increased expression of the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor1C (CDKN1c) gene (p57KIP2) which has been implicated in stem-cell quiescence (72). In addition, the expression of several genes (HOXa9, MEIS1, MCL1, BMI1, and MycN) linked to stem-cell function and leukemic transformation was up-regulated in the stem cells (73-76). Will et al. demonstrate that stem and progenitor cells in MDS are characterized by stage-specific expansion and contain epigenetic and genetic alterations (70). Moreover, longitudinal analysis in a patient treated with 5-azacytidine revealed that karyotypically abnormal HSCs persist even during complete morphologic remission and that expansion of clonotypic HSCs precedes clinical relapse. By transplanting purified human HSCs from MDS samples into immunodeficient mice, Pang et al provided direct evidence that HSCs are the disease-initiating cells in MDS (77). Additionally, MDS bone marrow samples harboring a clonal cytogenetic marker, contained immunophenotypic HSCs that were composed almost entirely of the MDS clone suggesting that MDS HSCs are expanding at the expense of normal HSC.

Recent studies have linked p53 to the process of stem cell self-renewal (78-83), and suppression of p53 or the p53 pathway enhanced the production of pluripotent stem cells, suggesting that p53 limits the reprogramming of differentiated cells into a self-renewing state (84-86). The role of the TP53 pathway in MDS is discussed in detail in section 4.

2.4.2. Gene mutations

MDS consists of several molecularly distinct entities. Gene expression studies of progenitor cells from patients with MDS have underlined the heterogeneity of the disease at the molecular level (87-89), including difference in gene expression between low-risk and high-risk disease (88, 90), and between specific cytogenetic subcategories (91, 92). The compendium of genes carrying recurrent somatic mutations in MDS has grown dramatically over the last few years with the application of single nucleotide
polymorphism (SNP) arrays, whole exome and genome sequencing. Over 70% of MDS patients harbor somatic mutations or clonal cytogenetic abnormalities, and more than 50% of MDS patients carry at least one somatic mutation (93). The genes mutated in MDS can be grouped into several categories: transcription factors (e.g., TP53, RUNX1, ETV6, WT1) (94-99), epigenetic regulators and chromatin remodeling factors (e.g. TET2, DNMT3A, ASXL1, IDH1/2, EXH2) (100-110), pre-mRNA splicing factors (U2AF35, ZRSR2, SRSF2, SF3B1) (111-116), and signaling molecules (NRAS, JAK2, NPM1) (94, 117) (118-121). Table 4 lists frequently found molecular aberrations in de novo MDS and their suggested role in MDS progression.

A recent work studied the clinical impact of point mutations in a cohort of 439 patients with MDS (94). Somatic mutations of TP53, EZH2, ETV6, RUNX1, and ASXL1 were found to be independent predictors of decreased survival and to improve the risk stratification provided by the IPSS. The phenotypic heterogeneity within MDS is likely to be driven by these genetic changes and their interaction with therapeutic options. The identification of somatic mutations may aid in diagnosis and follow-up of MDS (98). Therefore, testing for the presence of some of these mutations in PB or BM using deep sequencing is likely to become a clinical routine in the future.

A next-generation sequencing study recently addressed and characterized parts of the clonal architecture in MDS, and subsequent progression to secondary AML (122). More than 90% of BM cells carried clonal somatic mutations at the time of MDS diagnosis, even in subtypes without excess of blasts. The percentage of cells with somatic mutations did not increase significantly with progression from MDS to AML, but the dominant clone did. These results indicate that clonal hematopoiesis is present at an early stage of the disease. In addition, it was found that the dominant secondary-AML clone was derived from a MDS founding clone in all cases, suggesting that therapies targeting these early mutations might be the most effective strategy for eliminating disease-propagating cells and improving the rate of response to chemotherapy for patients with secondary AML (123, 124).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Chromosome</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Prognostic impact</th>
<th>Protein function</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oncogenes and tumor suppressor genes</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUNX1</td>
<td>21q22</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Transcription factor important in hematopoiesis</td>
<td>95,97,99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TP53</td>
<td>17p13</td>
<td>5-10%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Tumor suppressor gene</td>
<td>See appendix</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRAS</td>
<td>1p13.2</td>
<td>7-20%</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>GTPase, oncogene when mutated</td>
<td>94, 121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KRAS</td>
<td>12p12.1</td>
<td>2-5%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>GTPase, oncogene when mutated</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETV6</td>
<td>12p13.2</td>
<td>2-5%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Transcription factor important in hematopoiesis</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EVI1</td>
<td>3q26</td>
<td>1-2%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Transcriptional regulator and oncoprotein involved in hematopoiesis and apoptosis</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT1</td>
<td>11p13</td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Methylation of CpG islands</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TET2</td>
<td>4q24</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>unclear</td>
<td>Methylation of CpG islands; methylcytosine required for myelopoiesis</td>
<td>100,101, 105,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH1/2</td>
<td>2q33.3 and 15q26.1</td>
<td>5-10%</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>regulates TET2 activity</td>
<td>107-110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNMT3A</td>
<td>2p23.2</td>
<td>5-10%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>DNA methyltransferase</td>
<td>102,111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histone modification</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASXL1</td>
<td>20q11.1</td>
<td>10-15%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Histone binding protein</td>
<td>103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EZ2H</td>
<td>7q36.1</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Histone methyltransferase</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spliceosome</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF3B1</td>
<td>2q33.1</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>variable</td>
<td>spliceosome protein</td>
<td>115,116, 113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U2AF1</td>
<td>21q22.3</td>
<td>not known</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>spliceosome protein</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZRSR2</td>
<td>Xp22.1</td>
<td>not known</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>spliceosome protein</td>
<td>114,115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Others</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JAK2</td>
<td>9p24.1</td>
<td>50% in RARS-T</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Protein tyrosine kinase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBL</td>
<td>11q23.3</td>
<td>2-5%</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>E3 ubiquitin ligase; negative regulator of transduction in haematopoietic cells</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPS14</td>
<td>5q33.1</td>
<td>5q- syndrome</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Ribosomal protein of the 40S subunit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AML-1/ RUNX1</td>
<td>21q22</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>Transcription factor, regulates differentiation of hematopoietic cells</td>
<td>95,97,99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPM-1</td>
<td>5q35</td>
<td>unknown</td>
<td>Cell growth and proliferation, genomic stability</td>
<td>122,123</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.4.3. Epigenetics

Epigenetic alterations have profound effects on gene expression and may cause tumor suppressor gene silencing (reviewed in [125]). Hypermethylation of genes involved in cell cycle control and apoptosis is commonly observed in MDS progenitors, in particular in high-risk disease [126]. Important genes for cell cycle regulation are the cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors p15 (INK4b) and p16 (INK4b). These two genes are rarely mutated or deleted, but transcription of the p15 gene is often silenced owing to abnormal methylation of its promoter region, and several studies indicate that approximately 50% of MDS show this alteration [127, 128].

In contrast to changes in DNA sequence, silencing of genes by DNA methylation is a reversible process, and the introduction of demethylating agents (e.g. azacitidine and decitabline) in the treatment of high-risk MDS, have yielded encouraging results [129, 130]. Moreover, both drugs have shown to slow the progression of MDS to AML, which underlines the suggested role of hypermethylation in the progression of MDS into AML [131-133].

Given the success of these DNA methyltransferase inhibitors in the treatment of MDS, multiple studies have been undertaken to better define the epigenetic landscape of MDS [125, 133-135]. However, it is still unclear whether abnormal methylation pattern are driven by somatic mutations that alter the epigenetic state (such as DNMT3A, IDH1/2 and TET2 mutations), or whether epigenetic abnormalities per se are primary drivers of the disease.

2.4.4. The role of the immune system

Clinical and laboratory studies suggest that BM failure in MDS may, at least in part, be immune-mediated [136-138]. Improvement of hematopoiesis has been seen following treatment with immunosuppressive regimens including anti-thymocyte globulin (ATG) and cyclosporine [139-144]. Immunosuppressive therapy appears to be most effective early in the disease course of WHO RA/RCMD [145]. Suggested immune-mediated mechanism include targeting of BM precursors by cytotoxic T lymphocytes or natural killer (NK) cells [136, 146], defects in immune tolerance [147], and the presence of a pro-inflammatory microenvironment in the BM [148].

T cells are thought to play an important role in BM failure in MDS. Recent research suggests that T regulatory cells (Tregs) are involved in the negative control of the
immune response which could promote the immune-mediated damage of normal BM precursors (149, 150). The role of T cells in the process of BM failure may be deduced from the therapy response seen to immunosuppressive agents which mainly target activated T cells (139, 151, 152). Furthermore, the expression of apoptotic molecules and the activation of enzymes involved in apoptosis was shown to be increased in MDS T cells (153). Other studies have reported on an increase in the number of cytotoxic T cells (137), whereas the function of NK cells was found to be significantly reduced in patients with high-risk MDS (154). Moreover, numerical and functional alterations of blood dendritic cells have been described in MDS (155-157). Recently, we showed that bone marrow dendritic cells (DC) were markedly reduced in all subtypes of MDS in comparison to a control group, indicating that the DC compartment may be involved in the pathobiology of MDS (158). Taken together, T-cell and NK-cell defects, decreased functional abilities of neutrophils and antigen-presenting cells, altered antibody and cytokine production appear to play a role in the pathophysiology of MDS.

2.4.5. The bone marrow microenvironment – a disease driver in human MDS?

Disruption of the BM microarchitecture is a common finding in MDS encompassing altered localization of hematopoietic cells within the BM (“topography”) and alterations in components that comprise the microenvironment. All hematopoietic lineages in MDS may be affected, including megakaryopoiesis (i.e. clusters of micromegakaryocytes, paratra trabecular localization), granulopoiesis (i.e. clusters of immature precursors, “ALIPs”) and erythropoiesis (expansion or severe reduction). Alterations of the microenvironment include edema, fibrosis, vascular proliferation (159), lymphoid aggregates and inflammatory changes. These findings indicate that MDS is not only a disease of hematopoietic cells but also of the tissue. However, whether these BM stromal changes are an epiphenomenon or a pathogenetically important element of the disease itself remains unclear. It appears that the proliferative advantage and the progressive nature of MDS involve the interaction of hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) with their microenvironment. In human MDS, xenotransplant models using immunodeficient mice have consistently shown poor engraftment of myelodysplastic cells and failure to confer the clinical hematopoietic phenotype of human MDS (66, 160). These observations have sparked the debate about a potentially causative or permissive role of the microenvironment and the question in how far components of the HSC niche
are implicated in MDS (161-166). Several groups have reported that abnormalities in stromal cells can induce MDS (or AML) in otherwise normal bone marrow cells (167-170). Moreover, it was shown that dysfunction of bone progenitor cells can initiate myelodysplasia and leukemia predisposition in mice (167). Taken together, data from different animal models have suggested that cells within the BM microenvironment may have importance for the behaviour of HSC, regulating their maintenance, quiescence, self-renewal and differentiation. On the basis of these studies, a “niche-based” model of leukemogenesis in MDS has been proposed (170).

2.5. The “5q- syndrome”

The “5q- syndrome” refers to a unique subtype of MDS and was first described by Van den Berghe et al in 1974 who reported five patients with macrocytic anemia, normal to elevated platelet count, dyserythropoiesis, hypolobulated megakaryocytes and an interstitial deletion of the long arm of chromosome 5 (171).

The presence of del(5q), either in isolation or in combination with additional cytogenetic aberrations, is the most frequently reported cytogenetic abnormality in MDS and is described in up to 15% of cases (38, 172), while the incidence of the 5q-syndrome is much lower (173). In comparison to other subtypes of MDS, the 5q-syndrome is characterized by isolated del(5q), <5% BM blasts, female preponderance and a favorable prognosis with low risk of leukemic transformation. Indeed, the WHO classification of MDS identified the 5q- syndrome as a distinct clinical entity owing to its characteristic clinical and morphological features.

Figure 6. BM histology in a patient with classical 5q- syndrome (H&E, 20x/40x objectives).
The 5q- phenotype is believed to be a manifestation of multiple allelic deletions located within a common deleted region (CDR). Initial work by Zhao et al. (1997) localized the CDR to a 1–1.5 Mb region on 5q31 in del(5q) patients with MDS and acute myeloid leukemia (AML) (174). Subsequent studies investigated the interstitial deletion on chromosome 5 in patients with the bona fide 5q- syndrome (175-177). The current accepted CDR was elucidated in a study involving sixteen 5q- syndrome patients with del(5q) as the sole karyotypic abnormality (178). Using FISH analysis, the CDR in the 5q- syndrome was delineated to a 1.5 Mb interval located on 5q32 between the D5S413 marker and GLRA1 (178). This region included 44 genes such as phosphodiesterase 6A (PDE6A), CSF1R, CD74 molecule (CD74), Treacher Collins-Franceschetti syndrome 1 (TCOF1), annexin A6 (ANXA6), SPARC and FAT tumor suppressor homolog 2 (FAT2) genes among others.

Subsequent studies aimed at identifying and confirming the tumor suppressor gene associated with 5q- syndrome by global gene expression patterns. Using Affymetrix platform, Pellagatti et al (2006) identified unique gene expression signatures and down regulation of genes mapped to the CDR which separated patients with del(5q) from other MDS cases (148). Down-regulated genes encompassed the tumor suppressor gene SPARC. Interestingly, only SPARC was overexpressed in response to lenalidomide in a previous study from our group (179). However, the hematopoietic profile of 5q- syndrome patients is not reflected in SPARC null mice suggesting that it may not be the key gene responsible for the 5q- syndrome phenotype. An important study to further identify candidate genes in the “common deleted region” was undertaken by Boulwood et al (2007) who demonstrated that RBM22 and CSNK1A1 were the two most significantly downregulated genes in the 5q- syndrome (180). Moreover, the ribosomal gene RPS14, mapping to this interval, showed haploinsufficiency in hematopoietic stem cells (HSC) from these patients.

Ebert et al demonstrated that haploinsufficiency of RPS14 plays a critical role in the development of the anemia that characterizes the 5q- syndrome (181). Haploinsufficiency of RPS14 in normal HSC resulted in a block in erythroid differentiation and forced expression of an RPS14cDNA in BM cells from patients with 5q- syndrome “rescued” the phenotype, strongly suggesting that RPS14 is a “5q- syndrome” gene (181). Pellagatti et al found defects in several other genes involved in ribosomal biogenesis (182). The similarity of the genetic mechanism between the 5q- syndrome and other congenital
bone marrow disorders (Diamond-Blackfan anemia, Shwachman-Diamond syndrome) is remarkable and suggests a link between these two conditions.

The recent production of a knockout mouse model displaying a phenotype consistent with 5q- syndrome, in which the CDR was narrowed down to \textit{RPS14} and seven other genes, added to the evidence that \textit{RPS14} is a strong candidate for haploinsufficiency in human 5q- syndrome \cite{183}. However, this mouse model does not explain all characteristic features of the 5q- syndrome, as for example thrombocytosis, neutropenia and clonal dominance. Starczynowski \textit{et al.} (2010) evaluated the expression of miRNAs located on chromosome 5q and found lower expression of miR-145 (5q33.1) and miR-146a (5q33.3) in individuals with del(5q) \cite{184}. Knockdown of miR-145 and miR-14a together recapitulated some features of the 5q- syndrome, such as increased platelet counts. From these two studies it may be speculated that del(5q) results in thrombocytosis and clonal dominance through reduction of miR-145 and miR-146a levels, whereas the haploinsufficiency of RPS14 explains the macrocytic anemia. Since the RPS14 and miR-145 are closely related within the CDR, the combined loss may be sufficient to recapitulate the major features of the 5q- syndrome.

\textbf{2.6. Treatment and predictors of response}

The choice of treatment in MDS is based on clinical symptoms, risk groups and age. Whereas some patients may not require any treatment, others will need supportive care, chemotherapy or be eligible for allogenic stem cell transplantation. The Nordic MDS group has established guidelines for the treatment of MDS which are published at the website www.nmds.org. The different treatment options for MDS are as follows:

\textbf{2.6.1. Supportive care}

Supportive care includes red blood cell (RBC) transfusions for symptoms of anemia. The decision for transfusion should be made on an individual basis by the patient and the physician, taking into account co-morbidities and quality of life issues. No definite value for the requirement of RBC transfusions has been defined. Platelet transfusions are recommended in thrombocytopenic patients with moderate or severe bleeding.

Protracted anemia requiring transfusion therapy can be expected in 40–80% of patients \cite{185} with secondary iron overload and associated increased morbidity and
mortality \((186)\). Retrospective studies have indicated survival benefit and decreased transfusion requirement in low-risk MDS patients who received adequate chelation therapy \((187-189)\). Although the exact mechanism is not clear yet, improvement of hematopoiesis might be due to a reduction of oxidative stress in hematopoietic progenitors in general and erythropoietic progenitors in particular \((190, 191)\). A recent study demonstrated an iron overload dependent suppression of erythropoiesis in MDS patients and showed that the negative impact of toxic iron on the proliferation of erythroid progenitor cells may be in part reversible \((191)\). There are no studies providing evidence of the effect of iron chelation on long-term outcome in MDS. Transfusion dependent patients with MDS should be treated according to international recommendations as established by a consensus working group on the basis of previous studies \((192-194)\).

Patients with MDS have an increased risk for infections due to neutropenia and this should be treated promptly and with follow-up of outcome. The routine use of prophylactic antibiotic treatment is not recommended as a rule but may be considered if infections start to occur. In addition, treatment with G-CSF can be considered as prophylaxis for severely neutropenic patients with recurring, serious infections or during infectious episodes.

### 2.6.2. Erythropoietin

Treatment with erythropoietin (Epo) may improve hemoglobin levels and alleviate transfusion need in MDS patient with anemia \((195, 196)\). The effect of Epo may be enhanced by G-CSF \((197-200)\). A large phase 3 prospective randomized trial with prolonged follow-up evaluated the efficacy and long-term safety of erythropoietin (EPO) with or without granulocyte colony-stimulating factor plus supportive care (SC) versus SC alone for the treatment of anemic patients with lower-risk myelodysplastic syndromes \((201)\). The response rates in the EPO versus SC alone arms were 36% versus 9.6%, respectively. No differences were found in OS or in the incidence of transformation to acute myeloid leukemia \((201)\).

Taken together, there is no doubt that a major portion of patients with MDS and symptomatic anemia may have substantial clinical benefit from treatment with hematopoietic cytokines without evidence of enhanced adverse consequences. Patients should be evaluated according to a predictive model including S-erythropoietin and level of transfusion need before a final decision about treatment is made \((202, 203)\).
2.6.3. Immunosuppressive therapy

The current data on immune suppressive therapy (IST) indicate that these drugs, as a class, are effective in a specific subgroup of MDS; younger low-risk MDS with normal karyotype and no ring sideroblasts. In this rare subgroup, 30-50% of patients respond to this treatment (139, 142, 143, 204-210). IST is most effective in the early phase of lower-risk MDS, and HLA-DR 15 positivity, young age and short duration of RBC transfusion dependence seem to predict for treatment response, although this is based on limited material (211) (145, 212, 213). The administration of IST in MDS does not appear to increase the risk of disease progression (145).

2.6.4. Azacytidine

Treatment with demethylating agents (e.g., azacytidine and decitabine) is today first-line treatment in most patients with higher-risk MDS and results in better response rates as compared to supportive care (129, 214, 215). In a recent randomized study that compared Azacytidine treatment with conventional care in patients with high-risk disease, the median survival was 24 months in the Aza-treated patients as compared to 15 months in the conventional-care group and leukemic transformation was delayed (214). Other studies found that the combination of azacitidine and lenalidomide was highly effective and well-tolerated in patients with higher-risk MDS (216, 217). The rationale for this therapy is suggested to rest on the complementary action of these two compounds. Lenalidomide works through inhibition of phosphatase activity in the CDR of chromosome 5 leading to a stabilization of Mdm2 and p53 degradation (218, 219), via the upregulation of mir145 (220), direct cytotoxic mechanisms and through effects on the BM microenvironment, whereas AZA inhibits methyltransferase activity and acts via direct cytotoxicity.

2.6.5. Induction chemotherapy

There is no evidence that AML-like chemotherapy alters the natural history of MDS, i.e. long-term survival is not affected by the treatment. In addition, there are no data to support that high dose chemotherapy with autologous stem cell transplantation is superior to AML-like chemotherapy. AML like chemotherapy may be considered in younger patients with high-risk MDS (IPSS INT-2 or HR) and MDS-AML, prior to allogeneic SCT, and in selected patients not eligible for allogeneic SCT. In elderly
patients with high-risk MDS (IPSS INT-2 or HR) and MDS-AML (<30% blasts), azacytidine is recommended as first choice.

2.6.6. Allogeneic stem cell transplantation

The only curative therapy for MDS is allogeneic stem cell transplantation (SCT) (221) (222-225) which is first-line therapy for patients with INT-2 and high-risk MDS with an available donor, if age and co-morbidities allow for the risk of the procedure. Since the intensity of transplant conditioning contributes to non-relapse mortality, the development of reduced-intensity conditioning (RIC) regimens and the use of alternative donor sources have allowed for SCT also in older patients. Nevertheless, cumulative mortality rates after SCT are still considerable (226), predominantly due to graft-versus-host disease (GVHD) and relapse. Available data suggest that only one third of older patients experience long-term disease-free survival (227, 228). Therefore, allogeneic HCT in its current form needs to be further developed and improved. With the help of novel molecular markers and improved prognostic scores, patients may be better stratified according to the disease risk and potential benefits of allogeneic HCT.

2.6.7. Lenalidomide in del(5q) MDS

Lenalidomide (len) represents the first targeted therapy for MDS and is approved by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for red blood cell (RBC) transfusion dependent patients with lower risk MDS and an interstitial deletion of a segment of the long arm of chromosome 5 (del(5q)). The specific activity in del(5q) MDS was first observed in the MDS-001 study (229) in which 12 of 43 low-risk patients had del(5q) and 83% of these responded. The unique activity in lower-risk del(5q) MDS was confirmed in a subsequent MDS-003 study (230) which led to the FDA approval. By contrast, EMA did not approve the drug as they could not exclude an association between treatment and leukemic transformation. The randomized double-blind phase III MDS-004 trial aimed to validate the finding from MDS-001 and MDS-003 studies (231); 205 patients were randomized to either 10 mg lenalidomide days 1-21 every 28 days, 5 mg daily, or placebo. The RBC-transfusion independence rate (≥26 weeks) was 56%, 42% and 6% for lenalidomide 10 mg, 5 mg and placebo, respectively, with corresponding cytogenetic response rates of 29%, 15% and 0%. Median duration of transfusion independency in the 004 study was approximately two years, and the three year overall
survival and AML risk were 56% and 25%, respectively in the lenalidomide cohorts combined.

Patients with del(5q) MDS and an increase of medullary blasts up to 10% were eligible, as long as they fulfilled the criteria of intermediate-1 risk disease. It was shown that patients with an increased blast count had similar response rates to patients with <5% blasts and consequently, additional attempts to treat patients with del(5q) MDS and ≥5% BM blasts were carried out (232). The first study using the same doses as for lower-risk del(5q) confirmed efficacy also in this population with similar cytogenetic response rate of 67% as for low- and INT-1 risk del(5q) patients, but only in patients with isolated del(5q), while patients with del(5q) + 1 additional abnormality had a response rate of 9% and patients with complex karyotype did not respond at all (232).

The use of lenalidomide in non-del(5q) lower-risk, transfusion dependent MDS was examined in the MDS-002 clinical trial (233). This study had similar inclusion criteria and treatment schedule as the MDS-003 trial apart from the exclusion of patients with a del(5q) cytogenetic abnormality. The study enrolled 214 patients; 40% had RARS, and the majority of patients were low or INT-1 IPSS risk. The overall response rate was 43%, 26% of patients became transfusion-independent and 17% had a ≥50% reduction in transfusion requirements with a median response duration of 41 weeks.

The observed difference in clinical responses between patients with del(5q) and non-del(5q) MDS led to the understanding of a karyotype-specific mechanism of action. In del(5q) MDS, lenalidomide exhibits actions on both the benign and the malignant progenitor population. The ability to induce apoptosis of progenitors harboring the del(5q) abnormality is thought to be linked to the haploinsufficiency of one or several genes on the long arm of chromosome 5. The fact that patients usually achieve TI within 4-5 weeks, before achieving cytogenetic remission, supports the concept of activation of residual normal erythropoiesis. Lenalidomide has also anti-angiogenic and anti-inflammatory properties (234, 235). In non-del(5q) disease, lenalidomide seems to enhance response of erythroid precursors to different stimuli, including erythropoietin. This is supported by the fact that lenalidomide promotes erythroid progenitor formation and expansion in CD34+ cells from healthy donors in vitro (236).
Figure 7. Suggested mechanism of action of lenalidomide in del(5q) MDS. Nuclear liberation of free ribosomal proteins as a result of impaired ribosomal biogenesis leads to degradation of the MDM2 gene protein, stabilization of p53, and increased apoptosis in del(5q) MDS. In addition, len inhibits the haplodeficient PP2A phosphatase and Cdc25c and phosphorylates MDM2 leading to protein stabilization, and p53 degradation (adapted from R. S. Komrokji and Alan F. List, Semin Oncol 38:648-657, 2011).

The role of lenalidomide in the treatment of del(5q) MDS has been reviewed in a number of recent papers which also addressed the question whether treatment with len increases risk of AML transformation in certain patients (237-239). Most data suggest that the risk of AML progression of del(5q) patients is dependent on individual risk factors before treatment initiation indicating that lenalidomide per se is not leukemogenic. However, a prospective randomized study with this question as endpoint has not been performed.

2.6.8. Predictors of response

To date, there are no widely used biomarkers for therapeutic decision-making in MDS other than del(5q) for lenalidomide according to the US label, and S-EPO levels plus degree of transfusion need for predicting response to erythropoiesis-stimulating agents. A recent study demonstrated that FCM may add to known predictive parameters in
selecting MDS patients eligible for EPO/G-CSF treatment (240). In addition, somatic mutations may serve as such biomarkers if they can be shown to consistently predict response to treatment. For example, in a recent phase II study of Len and Aza combination in patients with higher-risk MDS, the presence of TET2, DNMT3A, IDH1 or IDH2 mutations was predictive of complete response, even in the presence of other somatic mutations that ordinarily carry a bad prognosis in MDS (217). In another retrospective study of 86 patients with MDS treated with Aza, the presence of a TET2 mutation was associated with an 82% response rate, compared to a 45% response rate in TET2 wild-type patients (241). Additional studies designed to identify genetic predictors of response to different treatment modalities in both low- and high-risk MDS will be critical for tailoring appropriate therapy to each patient.

3. THE TP53 GENE

3.1. A brief history

In 1979 several groups reported on a protein (p53) that formed a complex with the SV40 tumor-virus oncoprotein, the large T-antigen (242, 243). The p53 protein was initially considered as tumor antigen, eliciting an antibody response, when transformed mouse cells produced tumors in mice (244). It was postulated that p53 might be a cellular oncogene product linked to the viral transformation process (243). Further studies revealed the p53 protein was involved in viral replication and tumorigenesis by small DNA tumor viruses (245-247). Isolation of p53 DNA clones and the demonstration that many of these cDNAs could transform cells in combination with the Ras oncogene (248-250) established p53 as an “oncogene”. Later, it became clear that these transforming DNA clones contained a mutation and that the wild-type DNA in fact was capable of suppressing tumor growth in vivo. Indeed, mutations in both p53 alleles were found in mouse tumors (251), human cell lines (252) and in the DNA from human colon cancers (253). In 1990 alterations of p53 were detected in Li-Fraumeni syndrome, a disease characterized by the early onset of cancers (254). Researchers also found that p53 knockout mice were prone to cancer (255, 256). Together, these findings led to the assumption that p53 was the ultimate tumor suppressor gene (257). P53 is now recognized as a pivotal regulatory protein that reacts to a variety of signals and recruits an array of biochemical activities to trigger diverse biological responses, most notably cell-cycle arrest and apoptosis. P53 is also known to be involved in differentiation and
development, DNA repair, DNA replication and transcription, senescence and cell-cycle checkpoints \(^{(258)}\).

### 3.2. Structure and Function of p53

The \(TP53\) gene is located on the short arm of chromosome 17 (17p13), and spans 20 kbases with 11 exons. Its protein is organized into five domains (Figure 8), each corresponding to specific functions: the amino-terminus contains the acidic transactivation domain, the mdm2 protein binding site, and the Highly Conserved Domain I (HCD I); region 40-92 contains repeated proline residues and a second transactivation domain; the central region (101-306) contains the DNA binding domain and is target of 90% of \(TP53\) mutations found in human cancers; the oligomerization domain (307-355, 4D), the carboxy-terminus of p53 (356-393) and a non-specific DNA binding domain that binds to damaged DNA.

![Figure 8. Structural organization of the TP53 gene and protein (http://p53.free.fr).](http://p53.free.fr)

The p53 pathway (reviewed in \(^{(259)}\)) may be divided into five parts: the stress signals that activate the pathway, upstream mediators that detect and interpret those signals, the core regulation of p53 through its interaction with several proteins, downstream events composed of a set of genes and their proteins that are regulated by p53, and final outcome including cell cycle arrest, cellular senescence, apoptosis or DNA
repair. Briefly, under normal cellular conditions p53 is held in an inactive state and maintained at a low level by rapid degradation (260). In response to a range of cellular stresses, including DNA damage, p53 expression is upregulated and acts as a transcription factor for a number of genes that induce cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, senescence or DNA repair (261-266). The discovery of the MDM-2 oncogene, a p53 binding protein and major negative regulator of p53 levels, provided insights in how p53 was regulated by these diverse stress signals (267-270). For instance, p53 may be activated by various perturbations of ribosomal biogenesis including reduced levels of ribosomal proteins (271) or by the release of ribosomal proteins from the nucleolus, most notable RPL11, during ribosomal stress (272). The ribosomal proteins then bind MDM2 which leads to the accumulation of p53 protein via inhibition of MDM2 (273, 274). Activated p53 then promotes the transcription of its target genes resulting in p53-dependent cell cycle arrest, senescence, or apoptosis (275). Thus, p53 and MDM2 are linked in an autoregulatory feedback loop in which p53 induces the transcription of MDM2 while MDM2 promotes p53 degradation (276). More recently, p53 was found to counteract the rate of and the efficiency of reprogramming differentiated cells by adding transcription factors into more pluripotent stem cells (277), indicating the influence of the p53 protein on developmental decisions.

The functions of the p53-response genes fall into several categories, including genes involved in cell cycle arrest (p21, 14-3-3 sigma, GADD-45) and intrinsic (bas, noxa, puma) and extrinsic (Fas, DR5) apoptotic pathways.

![Figure 9](http://p53.free.fr)
Several other p53-regulated genes have been implicated in the enhancement of apoptosis, but their mechanisms of action remain to be elucidated. One of the main unanswered questions is how p53 “decides” to trigger the pro-survival or cell death responses. It has been documented that depending on the tissue and cell type, the nature and intensity of the stress signal, and the extent of cellular damage, p53 would favor one response to another (278-280).

3.3. TP53 mutations in cancer

Mutations of the TP53 gene are the most common and most frequently studied molecular alterations in human cancer (281, 282). In hematological malignancies, TP53 mutations have been reported to occur at frequencies ranging from 5% to 50% (283, 284). Data on mutation prevalence including TP53 gene variations found in human cancers are compiled in the IARC TP53 database (http://www-p53.iarc.fr/).

Mutations in the TP53 gene or inactivations of its signaling pathway result in altered and stable p53 proteins that function as dominant negative with “gain-of-function” properties, including drug resistance, and contribution to malignant progression (256, 285, 286). Most mutations occur within the central DNA binding domain (exon 5-8) and, in particular, at specific amino acids required for DNA binding. Inactivation of the p53 gene is essentially due to small mutations (missense and nonsense mutations or insertions/ deletions of several nucleotides), which lead to either expression of a mutant protein (90%) or absence of protein (10%). Thus, TP53 mutations may confer loss of function whereas others have a dominant negative effect, and still others are classified as wild-type like protein and represent mutant forms with a limited biological effect (287, 288). In addition, various proteins acting upstream of or downstream from p53 in its signaling networks are frequently mutated in human cancers. Indeed, mutational disruption of the p53 network may occur in most aggressive endstage cancers (289).

Inactivation of p53 gene expression by hypermethylation of transcription promotors has not been demonstrated, which supports the hypothesis of a function for p53 mutants. Mutations in the p53 pathway, inherited single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) and copy-number variations are continuously uncovered and may add useful clinical information.
3.4. Immunohistochemistry

Detection of TP53 mutation is a complex, relatively expensive method, which currently is difficult to integrate into daily routine practice. In comparison, p53 IHC is a ready available, inexpensive and easy technique used in many pathology laboratories.

Monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) directed against the p53 protein have been invaluable tools for both clinical and basic research. In the area of basic research, these mAbs have permitted detailed studies of the various conformations of the p53 protein. More than 95% of the various mAbs recognize epitopes which are localized in the amino, or (to a lesser extent) in the carboxy terminus of the protein. Table 5 lists commercially available human p53 mAbs out of over 100 anti-p53 mAbs described in the literature (290-297).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Epitope</th>
<th>Localization</th>
<th>Antigen used for immunization</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DO2</td>
<td>10-16</td>
<td>Amino-terminus</td>
<td>human p53</td>
<td>296, 297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO7</td>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>Amino-terminus</td>
<td>human p53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DO1</td>
<td>21-25</td>
<td>Amino-terminus</td>
<td>human p53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BP53-12</td>
<td>20-25</td>
<td>Amino-terminus</td>
<td>human p53</td>
<td>295, 297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAb1801</td>
<td>6-55</td>
<td>Amino-terminus</td>
<td>human p53</td>
<td>298, 294</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pab240</td>
<td>213-217</td>
<td>Central region</td>
<td>murine p53</td>
<td>299, 297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HO8.1</td>
<td>306-361</td>
<td>Carboxyterminus</td>
<td>human p53</td>
<td>301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pab122</td>
<td>371-380</td>
<td>Carboxy-terminus</td>
<td>mouse p53</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pab421</td>
<td>371-380</td>
<td>Carboxy-terminus</td>
<td>mouse p53</td>
<td>300, 297</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Scoring of p53 and Reproducibility

The vast majority of p53 immunohistochemical studies have been performed on epithelial cancers. Immunohistochemical staining for protein expression analysis in formalin-fixed tissue is usually scored manually and semi-quantitatively. However, the lack of uniform scoring systems, failure to use a consistent definition of what constitutes p53 “overexpression” and the use of different protocols for IHC make data from these studies difficult to compare and interpret. In addition, the readout may be
influenced by the type and length of tissue fixation, the age of the fixed tissues and slides before staining, the method of antigen retrieval, and the type of antibody used. Whereas some studies simply used a cut-off of 10% or more for defining p53 positivity, others employed complicated scoring systems, which take into account both the quantity of positive cells, as well as the staining intensity (298-304). One study assessed the interobserver reproducibility by using the 0% cutoff (0% staining vs. any staining), the 10% cut-off (≤10% nuclear staining vs. >10% staining) and a three-category scoring system consisting of 0%, 1-50% and >50% staining (305). Excellent agreement was achieved when no positivity (0%) vs any positivity was calculated (light’s kappa coefficient $k=0.831$), whereas the three-category scoring system produced the lowest amount of agreement between observers. In another study, p53 expression was simply measured as the percentage of tumor cells with any positive nuclear staining since moderate-to strong staining intensity was observed in a very large fraction of tumor cells, and the inclusion of staining intensity would not have improved performance of the test at the chosen cut-off level (306). The assessment of staining intensity can be problematic as it may be difficult to reproduce and it can vary with different protocols. However, the presence of strong nuclear staining has in several studies been associated with higher tumor grade and aggressiveness (304, 307, 308). By contrast, the presence of weakly positive nuclei (a pattern commonly associated with wild type TP53) can serve as internal positive control.

Known TP53 polymorphisms do not represent gene mutation and most of them are expected to be phenotypically silent (302, 309). However, it is not entirely clear whether TP53 polymorphisms may or may not result in conformational changes leading to altered immunoreactivity of p53 (310).

**Computer-assisted immunohistochemical scoring**

The introduction of the high-throughput method of tissue microarrays (311) has spurred the need for automated image analysis and quantification methods for scoring of stains (312-314). Computer-assisted immunohistochemical scoring could be used as alternative to manual scoring with possible benefits including reproducibility, reliability and time-effectiveness, but are still relatively uncommon in routine laboratory practice (315, 316). A number of studies have compared manual and computer assisted scoring and found good levels of agreement between both techniques, however, automated image analysis showed higher sensitivity in some studies (317, 318).
P53 protein expression as a prognostic marker

A large number of studies have found p53 overexpression to be a strong prognostic marker in human cancer \(^{(303, 304, 319-329)}\), although this result has not been obtained uniformly \(^{(330-333)}\). There are a number of possible explanations for these inconsistencies, including small numbers of patients drawn from patient populations with varying treatments and clinical characteristics, the use of different IHC protocols and p53 antibodies, and different scoring criteria.

P53 protein expression and TP53 mutation

Unlike wild-type p53, mutated p53 has a prolonged intracellular half-life and therefore becomes detectable by IHC \(^{(334-336)}\). Thus, IHC detection of p53 has been considered a surrogate marker of p53 gene mutation \(^{(335, 337)}\). A number of studies have shown a strong correlation between p53 protein expression by IHC and mutation by DNA sequencing \(^{(338-342)}\). However, identification of p53 nuclear accumulation in tumor cells in the absence of gene mutation has been noted, indicating alternative mechanisms of acquiring a p53 positive phenotype \(^{(343-345)}\). One of the major factors contributing to p53 stabilization is via expression of p14ARF \(^{(346-348)}\). Alternatively, inhibition of MDM2-mediated degradation of p53 could block the degradation of p53 protein \(^{(349-351)}\). Other possible explanations could be that mutational analysis often evaluates codons 4 through 9, which would identify most, but not all possible mutated loci. In addition, the sample on which mutational analysis is carried out may not be the same used for IHC, and it is conceivable that tumor heterogeneity or the heterogeneity of the population itself could account for discordant findings. The lack of immunohistochemical expression may result from a nonsense mutation leading to formation of a truncated, non-immunoreactive protein. Indeed, tumors with no p53 expression have been shown to have a higher frequency of protein-truncating \(TP53\) mutations compared with p53-positive tumors \(^{(352, 353)}\). This is important to recognize because some studies demonstrated worse prognosis for patients with this finding compared to patients with missense mutations \(^{(354)}\). Consequently, p53 IHC detects a large fraction of \(TP53\) mutations, but not all \(^{(356-358)}\). It is therefore not surprising that studies in various human cancers correlating \(TP53\) gene status and/or p53 overexpression with clinical outcome and response to chemotherapy have reported conflicting results \(^{(300, 326, 357, 359-362)}\).
In summary, the use of p53 in clinical practice, as a marker for cancer progression and therapeutic target, calls for a need for validation of IHC (363, 364). In addition, immunohistochemical staining patterns of p53 expression should be correlated with mutational analysis in order to establish practical cut-offs for different tumor types and tissues which can be used to infer the presence of a TP53 mutation.

3.5. Targeting p53 in the clinic

The high frequency of TP53 mutations in human tumors and the often observed increased resistance of mutant p53-expressing tumors to conventional chemotherapy makes mutant p53 an attractive target for novel cancer therapy (365). In addition, there are a number of studies demonstrating that the type and position of a p53 mutation in the DNA binding domains of the p53 gene in cancers has prognostic value (286). Drugs that act preferentially on cells with certain TP53 missense mutations have been identified and represent a new approach for treatment. An animal model showed the reactivation of wild-type p53 to result in efficient tumor regression, including regression of lymphoma (366, 367) and liver carcinoma (368). There is a class of small molecules that reactivate the wild-type functions of mutant p53 (369). Bykov et al. identified PRIMA-1 and the structural analog PRIMA-1Met, also named APR-246 (370, 371). These compounds restore wild type conformation to mutant p53 and have shown to inhibit tumor growth in a mutant p53-dependent manner (372).

PRIMA-1 and PRIMA-1Met/APR-246 induce p53 targets such as p21, MDM2, Bax, Noxa, Puma, 14-3-3, GADD45, and PIDD according to several studies (373-375), and have been tested in primary leukemic cells from AML and CLL patients (376, 377), and in a recent phase I clinical trial in patients with hematological malignancies and prostate cancer (378).

Many tumors, even those without TP53 mutations, overexpress MDM2, making targeting of MDM2 for p53 stabilization another promising approach for cancer therapy. For example, the nutlins are compounds that act as antagonists of the MDM2-p53 interaction. Analysis of the crystal structure showed that nutlin binds in the pocket of MDM2 to prevent the p53-MDM2 interaction. Nutlin can activate the p53 pathway, thereby inducing cancer cells and xenograft tumors in mice to undergo cell cycle arrest, apoptosis, and growth inhibition (379, 380). Issaeva et al. screened a chemical library and found the small molecule RITA (reactivation of p53 and
induction of tumor cell apoptosis), which binds to p53 and inhibits the p53-MDM2 interaction both in vitro and in vivo \(^{(381)}\). RITA induced apoptosis in various cancer cells that retained wild type p53. It was also found that p53 released from MDM2 by RITA promotes p21 and hnRNP K (a p53 cofactor), implying that p21 plays a major role in regulating the cancer cell fate after p53 reactivation \(^{(382)}\). Recent reports have shown that p53 regulates the process of self-renewal of neural stem cells \(^{(383)}\) and hematopoietic stem cells \(^{(80)}\).

In view of the cancer stem model, proposing that tumors are maintained by a small population of cancer stem cells that can divide both symmetrically and asymmetrically, further investigation of the link between the p53 function and cancer stem cells may therefore be one of the most important research fields for new paradigms in cancer therapy.

4. THE TP53 PATHWAY IN DEL(5Q) MDS

4.1. Activation of the TP53 pathway

Several studies have demonstrated an important pathophysiologic role for p53 in the 5q- syndrome where haploinsufficiency of the RPS14 ribosomal protein appears to drive the anemia of this disease \(^{(181, 183, 384)}\). It was shown by several groups that BM progenitor cells in 5q- patients show an accumulation of p53 protein reflecting increased apoptosis \(^{(92, 183, 218)}\). Using mouse models, it was demonstrated that the progenitor cell defect in “5q- mouse” was rescued by crossing them with p53 deficient mice \(^{(183)}\). This important finding was supported also by studies in human 5q- syndrome \(^{(92)}\), and suggested that a p53 dependent mechanism underlies the pathophysiology of the 5q- syndrome. By using gene expression profiling, it was shown that ten genes in the p53 pathway (\textit{FAS}, \textit{CD82}, \textit{WIG1}, \textit{CASP3}, \textit{SESN3},\textit{TNFRSF10B}, \textit{MDM4}, \textit{BAX}, \textit{DDB2} and \textit{BID}) were significantly deregulated \(^{(92)}\). All these genes (with the exception of MDM4, a negative regulator of p53) were expressed at higher levels in the 5q-syndrome compared to healthy controls. Moreover, 5 of the 8 most significantly up-regulated known genes in 5q syndrome are p53 targets, including WIG1 and BAX 8.
In another recent study, it was shown that p53 activation occurred selectively in erythroid progenitor cells resulting in the accumulation of p53 protein in erythroid precursors, cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (384). Moreover, pharmacologic inhibition of p53 by the p53 inhibitor PFT-alpha rescued the erythroid defect. In comparison, Xu et al found that by treating NHD13(+) Tg MDS mice with the p53 inhibitor Pifithrin-alpha (PFT), the myeloid and lymphoid lineage differentiation defects were partially rescued, however with only temporarily improvement of hematopoiesis (385). Instead, chronic deficiency in p53 function accelerated ineffective hematopoiesis and progression to AML indicating that rather than blocking p53 function, promoting its function, or triggering its downstream effects, may help eliminate MDS clones. The findings are significant since they indicate that patients may benefit from pharmacological manipulation of the p53 pathway - in one way or the other.

A recent study by Zhao et al (2010) showed that loss of p53 in myeloid progenitor cells established aberrant self-renewal, paving the way for AML development (386). Although it could not be ruled out that additional functions of p53 contributed to tumor suppression in this context, the de novo acquisition of self-renewal in cells that
normally lack this capability could be a key function of p53 mutations in MDS and AML. Accordingly, loss of p53 might contribute to the formation of leukemia-initiating cells, which by definition have maintained or reacquired the capacity for indefinite self-renewal through accumulated mutations and/or epigenetic changes\(^\text{387}\). These cells have properties reminiscent of cancer stem cells, which are considered to be inherently more aggressive and refractory to chemotherapy\(^\text{388, 389}\).

Thus, p53 plays a pivotal role in the development and progression of del(5q) MDS including both p53 wild type activation - leading to increased apoptosis and defective erythropoiesis – and \(TP53\) mutation.

### 4.2. \(TP53\) mutations

\(TP53\) mutations occur primarily in high-risk/therapy related MDS, MDS-derived leukemia and in context of complex chromosomal abnormalities including del(17p)\(^\text{390-403}\). These studies reported also on the poor prognostic impact of \(TP53\) mutations and the association with poor therapy response. The Appendix (11.) lists references from a literature review. \(TP53\) mutations in lower-risk MDS are only rarely reported in these previous studies\(^\text{400, 404}\), which may be explained by the use of conventional sequencing techniques with a lower sensitivity level but also that fewer studies investigated \(TP53\) mutations in this subgroup of MDS patients. The development of deep-sequencing has made it possible to screen for mutations at greater depth. Interestingly, a high frequency of chromosome 5 and 7 abnormalities was found in patients with \(TP53\) mutations\(^\text{395, 399, 403, 405, 406}\). Some studies assessed both \(TP53\) mutation status and p53 by IHC\(^\text{335, 404, 407}\) with generally good concordance between the two methods. Lepelley et al compared the value of immunocytochemistry on blood and BM slides with Sanger mutation analysis and found that immunocytochemistry allowed detection of mutation in a smaller percentage of cells\(^\text{335}\).

Recently, Machado-Neto et al investigated the frequency of MDM2 SNP309 and \(TP53\) Arg72Pro polymorphisms in de novo MDS and the association of these polymorphisms with clinical characteristics. It was shown that the frequencies of genotypes for MDM2 SNP309 and \(TP53\) Arg72Pro did not differ between MDS and healthy controls, and were not associated with clinical and laboratory parameters, disease progression and overall survival. This suggests that MDM2 and \(TP53\) polymorphisms are not involved in the pathogenesis or in the clinical and laboratory characteristics of MDS\(^\text{408}\).
5. AIMS OF THE THESIS

The purpose of this thesis was to study the role of $TP53$ mutations in myelodysplastic syndromes with a deletion of chromosome arm 5q.

Specific aims were:

I. To assess p53 protein expression as a marker for outcome in lower-risk del(5q) MDS

II. To investigate the correlation between p53 expression measured by immunohistochemistry and $TP53$ mutation

III. To assess the impact of p53 protein expression in bone marrow cells on treatment response to lenalidomide

IV. To determine the effect of lenalidomide monotherapy in higher-risk MDS and AML with del(5q) abnormality in relation to molecular markers
6. MATERIALS AND METHODS

Paper I was a hypothesis-generating case observation, which was followed by two subsequent studies including 55 and 85 low-/INT-1 risk del(5q) MDS patients, respectively. Paper III was a prospective phase II multicenter trial which enrolled 28 patients with high-risk MDS and AML from three participating countries within the Nordic MDS group.
6.1. Paper I, II and IV

Paper I describes the clinical course of a patient with classical 5q- syndrome who, after complete erythroid and partial CyR to lenalidomide, evolved to high-risk MDS with complex karyotype after 22 months from start of treatment. The clinical course of this patient was unexpected, however cases with similar features have been observed by us and others (personal communication and ref. (409)) which raised the question whether “good-risk” del(5q) MDS really represented a uniform subgroup as proposed by the WHO classification. We therefore aimed to identify additional markers beyond established risk score parameters, which may have contributed to disease progression in this patient. We reviewed BM and PB samples from the entire clinical course and performed IHC. Cytogenetic and molecular studies included chromosome banding analysis and FISH using probes for the locus 5q31, the MLL-locus (11q23), RB1-locus (13q14), TP53-locus (17p13), bcl2-locus (18q21), and AML1-locus (21q22). CD34+ progenitors were selected from BM mononuclear cells and gene expression profiling analysis was performed as previously described (148, 179). TP53 mutation analysis was performed by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using published primer sequences.

In a subsequent study (Paper II), we investigated the frequency of TP53 mutations in a cohort of 55 patients with low-/INT-1 risk del(5q) MDS (Manuscript p. 1974, Table 1). All patients were risk-classified (IPSS/WPSS) and followed until February 2010 for survival, disease progression, and treatment. BM samples (n=148) were reviewed and stained for p53. TP53 mutations were analyzed from DNA isolated from archived BM smears (n=89) or BM Ficoll-separated mononuclear cells (n=16) using the Roche GS FLX sequencing platform (Roche, Indianapolis, IN).

The primary objective in paper IV was to validate p53 IHC as an independent prognostic marker for outcome in MDS as indicated by previous findings (Paper I and II). This was a retrospective correlative study for which 131 FFPE BM trephines were retrieved from 85 of the 205 patients (IHC cohort), who had been enrolled in the recently published, phase III randomized, double-blind MDS004 clinical trial (231). The BM biopsies were assessed in a blinded fashion for the percentage of p53 staining cells, and the intensity of p53 nuclear staining was graded as 0 (negative), 1+ (weakly positive), 2+ (moderately positive) and 3+ (strongly positive). A computerized automated imaging system was used to measure p53 positive stained nuclei/total scanner cell count for comparison with manual counts.
Figure 11. p53 score by automated image analysis; strong (red circle, 3+), moderate (orange circle, 2+), weak (yellow circle, 1+), negative nuclear staining (blue circle, 0)

*TP53* deep-sequencing mutation analysis was possible only in a subset of nine (11%) consenting patients using DNA from FFPE BM tissue. Laser-assisted microdissection of p53 immunolabeled cells was performed to study the relation between protein expression and *TP53* mutation by pyrosequencing analysis.

### 6.2. Paper III

This prospective phase II multicenter trial enrolled 28 patients with high-risk MDS and AML with chromosome 5 abnormalities who were not eligible for standard therapy (Manuscript p. 966, Table 1). The majority of patients had failed 1 or 2 previous lines of therapy. The patients were treated with increasing doses lenalidomide to a maximum dose of 30 mg daily. The total study period was 16 weeks. Three patients had isolated del(5q), six had del(5q) plus one additional aberration, 14 had del(5q) as part of a complex karyotype, four had monosomy 5, and one had del(5q) identified by FISH only. The main objective of the trial was to study the efficacy of len to inhibit the tumor clone containing del(5q) or monosomy 5. Primary endpoint was major CyR (assessed by FISH) after 16 weeks treatment. Secondary objectives were safety of increasing doses of len, other hematologic responses and the predictive value of a series of biomarkers for response to treatment. BM histology and cytological preparations from BM and PB were centrally reviewed at inclusion and week 16; IHC was performed on all samples. Genetic markers were assessed including the WT1 transcript and *P21* expression in relation to *P53* mutational status (exons 5-9). FISH analysis was used to establish the baseline percentage of del(5q) cells.
7. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

7.1. Paper I, II and IV

The clinical course with results from serial BM assessment and TP53 mutation analysis of the patient described in Paper I is shown in Figure 12A. In the same manner, we assessed the association between p53 IHC, TP53 mutation and outcome in three other patients who were enrolled in the MDS004 clinical trial (Figures 12 B-D). Briefly, two patients (A and B) had small TP53 mutated subclones already at initial diagnosis which remained initially stable but increased as the patients progressed to high-risk MDS. The TP53 mutation was reflected by the presence of BM progenitors with strong p53 staining at the corresponding time points. The other two patients (C and D) acquired TP53 mutations at 52 and 75 months, respectively, associated with an increase in p53 by IHC. The acquisition of TP53 mutations was associated with rapid disease progression.

Figure 12. Clinical course of four patients with classical 5q- syndrome and TP53 mutations
Serial BM samples were then assessed in a subset of 21 patients (25%) from the IHC cohort (Paper IV). At the scheduled 12 week’s assessment, an increase in p53 expression was seen in eight patients which was associated with cytogenetic evolution, increased AML risk ($p < 0.01$) and shorter OS ($p=0.0005$) compared to patients who remained negative for p53.
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**Figure 13.** p53 stain at diagnosis (upper left) and follow-up (upper right) in a patient with cytogenetic evolution after 12 months from randomization date (20x objective). Cells with strong nuclear p53 expression are positive for Hemoglobin (lower left) and mostly negative for CD34; aberrant CD34 expression in megakaryocytes (lower right).

Single cell laser-microdissection of p53-immunolabeled cells was performed to study the relation between strong p53 protein expression and mutation. Indeed, the mutant allelic burden in micro-dissected cells with strong p53 staining was around 45% indicating that 90% of the cells carried the mutation (Manuscript, Figure 1). By contrast, cells from the same sample with moderate (2+) p53 staining were predominantly wild-type $TP53$ (allelic burden around 16%).
TP53 deep sequencing analysis detected mutations in 10 of 55 (18%) (Paper II) and in 3 of 9 evaluable patients (Paper IV). Nine of 10 patients with TP53 mutation had ≥2% of p53 strong cells, and all four patients with ≥5% p53 were mutated. By comparison, 2 of 3 patients with TP53 mutation had strong p53 expression (<2%) while the other patient carried a K291 nonsense mutation and was negative by IHC, as expected.

The presence of a TP53 mutation was significantly associated with outcome (Paper II, p.1977, Figure 2). In addition, we found a strong correlation between p53 protein expression by IHC and outcome using both ≥1% and ≥2% cut-off levels (Manuscript, Paper IV).

In summary, we were first to show that TP53 mutated subclones occur in a subset of lower-risk MDS with isolated del(5q), and that these may be an important driver of leukemic transformation. A recent study described TP53 mutation at a similar frequency in this subgroup of patients (410). Another study found that TP53 mutations occurred exclusively in MDS (and AML) with an associated del(5q) but not in other MDS subtypes (406) which is in line with previous observations in higher-risk MDS (see Appendix). Moreover, TP53 mutations and strong p53 protein expression predict for shorter survival, higher risk for AML transformation, and a lower CyR in lower-risk MDS treated with lenalidomide. We demonstrate that IHC can identify small populations of TP53 mutated cells and assessment of p53 protein expression should therefore be integrated in the routine diagnostic work- and follow-up of MDS patients. Finally, the TP53 mutation status should be included in current risk assessment systems of MDS patients.

7.2. Paper III

The study was closed after enrollment of 28 patients and the approval of azacytidine by the EMA as first line treatment for high-risk MDS. The overall response rates in this cohort with extremely advanced disease was 20% (3/15) in AML and 36% (4/11) in MDS, respectively. All responders completed 16 weeks of treatment. The median OS time for the whole cohort was 5.6 months (range, 0.4-30.9+ months), while it was 19.0 months (range, 4.8-30.9+ months) in responding patients. This response rate was encouraging considering the risk profile of the cohort.

Paraffin blocks were available for 24 patients at inclusion; strong p53 expression was seen in 15/24 (62%) patients before treatment start. The percentage of cells with
strong p53 expression was 1-2% in five patients and >10% in the other ten patients. A cut-off of 10% was therefore used when comparing IHC results and TP53 mutational status. In six of the nine patients who responded to treatment, p53 protein expression was absent or low. TP53 mutations were detected in 15 of 24 (62.5%) patients. The results of p53 by IHC correlated well with TP53 mutational status: 12/15 patients with a mutation had ≥10% p53 staining cells by IHC; two patients had a frame shift mutation leading to a premature stop codon and were negative by IHC and one patient had a G266V mutation. The TP53 mutational status was significantly associated with treatment response (p=0.047).

In this study, we showed that monotherapy with higher doses of lenalidomide than conventionally used for low-risk del(5q) MDS was able to inhibit the del(5q)-clone in patients with extremely advanced del(5q) MDS or AML. This indicates that lenalidomide given upfront together with azacytidine or induction chemotherapy could potentially lead to higher response rates. In a recent phase 1 and subsequent phase 2 clinical trial of azacitidine in combination with lenalidomide in patients with higher-risk MDS the combination therapy was well-tolerated with sustained therapy responses (216, 217).

Our study is presently followed-up by a multicentre open randomized phase II study comparing the efficacy and safety of azacitidine alone or in combination with lenalidomide in high-risk myeloid disease (high-risk MDS and AML) with a karyotype including del(5q) (NMDSG10B study).
8. CONCLUSIONS

- *TP53* mutations occur at an early stage in approximately one fifth of lower-risk MDS patients with deletion of chromosome arm 5q
- *TP53* mutations are significantly associated with shorter OS, higher AML risk and failure to cytogenetic response to lenalidomide
- Strong p53 protein expression by IHC is an independent prognostic marker and associated with poor cytogenetic response but not with transfusion independency in patients treated with lenalidomide
- Strong p53 protein expression is a sensitive biomarker for disease progression and cytogenetic evolution and should be integrated in the diagnostic work up and follow-up of all lower-risk del(5q) MDS
- Strong p53 protein expression reflects *TP53* mutation while moderate staining predominantly reflects wild-type *TP53*
- Monotherapy with higher doses of lenalidomide can inhibit the del(5q)- clone in advanced MDS or AML and a karyotype involving deletion of 5q
9. FUTURE PERSPECTIVES

The findings presented in these thesis, in particular the role of TP53 mutations and IHC for p53 in lower-risk del(5q) MDS, has already generated significant response among clinical hematologists due to their potential impact on current risk stratification and choice of treatment for this so called “low-risk” MDS subgroup.

The survival curve of TP53 mutated patients is comparable to that of INT-2 risk MDS, and the question is how TP53 status will influence risk stratification in the future. In light of the ongoing discussion regarding the approval of lenalidomide (len) by the European Medical Association (EMA), our findings have generated interest also outside the clinical setting. Therefore, an Advisory board meeting with experts in the field took place in March 2013 in Paris with the aim to further develop strategies for validating the role of TP53 mutations and the use of IHC as a biomarker for mutations in lower-risk MDS. There was an agreement that TP53 mutations and p53 IHC are independent prognostic markers for outcome in del(5q) MDS. However, it has to be further investigated to what extent this can be used as a basis for clinical decision making and choice of optimal therapeutic strategy. To further proceed in this effort and to define the role of len in both mutated and unmutated patients, an international, multicenter prospective, diagnostic study on behalf of the Advisory Board will be conducted with the aim to assess different methods for p53 protein detection in correlation with mutational analysis for TP53.

In addition, the role of wild type TP53 and mutant TP53 as a key regulator of hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) behavior in terms of HSC quiescence, self-renewal, and apoptosis should be further studied in context of del(5q) MDS. A beneficial effect of lenalidomide in patients with 5q- syndrome has been demonstrated in both lower- and higher risk del(5q) MDS. However, len does not eradicate the del(5q) stem cells, possibly because HSC are typically dormant, arrested by non-p53 dependent mechanisms. Moreover, the suggested mechanism of action of lenalidomide in del5q MDS may be hampered by the presence of TP53 mutations. Thus, future research needs to address therapy resistance or failure in this group of patients; p53 could be a potential target in this setting. The use of lenalidomide in del(5q) higher risk MDS and AML needs to be further studied and optimized. A combination study with chemotherapy is ongoing in the Nordic countries (NMDSG10B clinical trial) including the assessment of p53 IHC and mutation status.
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## 11. APPENDIX

### Table 6. TP53 mutations and p53 IHC in MDS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Diagnosis group</th>
<th>TP53 mutation</th>
<th>p53 IHC</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jonveaux et al, Oncogene 6, 1991</td>
<td>MDS</td>
<td>5/151 (3%); 3/5 patients had monosomy 17</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mut associated with monosomy 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsushita et al, Br J Haematol 81, 1992</td>
<td>RA/RARS (18x), RAEB (8x), RAEB-T (5x)</td>
<td>0% (by Sanger technique)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No TP53 mutations detected (n=35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ludwig et al, Leukemia 6, 1992</td>
<td>MDS (n=61)</td>
<td>3/61 (5%), all RAEB-subtypes</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutation suggested role in leukemogenesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neubauer et al, Ann Hematol 67, 1993</td>
<td>RA (3x), RARS (7x), RAEB (2x), RAEB-T (5)</td>
<td>0% (by Sanger technique)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No TP53 mutations detected (n=17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orazi et al, Mod Pathol 6, 1993</td>
<td>MDS, AML, CML</td>
<td>9/10 IHC+ had complex karyotypes with chrom 5/7 abnormality</td>
<td>7/11 (63%) in t-MDS, 3/4 in t-AML</td>
<td>P53 IHC negative in CML and controls</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugimoto et al, Blood 81, 1993</td>
<td>MDS (44x), AML (6x)</td>
<td>3/44 (7%) (all RAEB); 2/3 had chrom 5/7 abnormality</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mut in RAEB subtypes, associated with complex karyotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kitagawa et al, Am J Pathol 145, 1994</td>
<td>MDS (51x), AML (42x), AA (20x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7/51 MDS (14%) IHC+, 2/42 AML (5%)</td>
<td>IHC negative in AA and controls; all 7 MDS with p53+ at diagnosis evolved to AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lepelley, Leuk 8, 1994</td>
<td>MDS, AML, ALL, CLL</td>
<td>16/19 IHC+ cases showed missense mutations exon 4-8</td>
<td>2/19 AML, 2/21 ALL, 11/48 MDS, 4/40 CLL</td>
<td>IHC and TP53 mutation concordant in 123 of 128 (96%) cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preudhomme et al, Leuk 8, 1994</td>
<td>MDS and AML (n=83)</td>
<td>10/83 (12%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wattel et al, Blood 84, 1994</td>
<td>AML, MDS, CLL</td>
<td>16/107 (15%) AML, 20/182 (11%) MDS (RAEB 19x, CMML 1x), 9/81 (11%) CLL</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutation associated with shorter OS and poor therapy response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adamson et al., Br J Haematol 89, 1995</td>
<td>RA, RARS, CMML, RAEB, secondary AML</td>
<td>4/26 (15%) (RAEBx2, MDS-AML 2x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutations considered as terminal genetic event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Diagnosis Group</td>
<td>TP53 mutation</td>
<td>p53 IHC</td>
<td>Significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kaneko et al, Blood 85, 1995</td>
<td>MDS (n=57)</td>
<td>7/57 (12%) (RAEB 6x, RA 1x); 5/7 patients had del(5q) abnormality</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutations detected at an early disease stage, associated with AML risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lai et al, Leukemia 9, 1995</td>
<td>MDS, AML</td>
<td>del(17p) in 4.3% of MDS/AML patients</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>del(17p) highly associated with TP53 mutations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mori et al, Leuk Res 19, 1995</td>
<td>RA (5x), RAEB (3x), CMML (4x), RAEB-T (2x), AML (12x)</td>
<td>4/24 (16.7%), no mutation detected in RA subtype</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutations detected at diagnosis and correlated with increased AML risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikukawa et al, Br J Haematol 92, 1996</td>
<td>Case report: MDS type RA with rapid progression</td>
<td>TP53 mutation detected at codon 249</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mut in low-risk MDS patient; case report including literature review</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misawa et al, Leuk Lymph 23, 1996</td>
<td>Review article on TP53 mutations in MDS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitani et al, Leukemia 1997</td>
<td>MDS, MDS-AML (total 44x)</td>
<td>4/44 (9%) (RAEB 1x, MDS-AML 3x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutations associated with advanced disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elghetany et al, Ann Hematol 77, 1998</td>
<td>RA (28x), AA (10x), normal controls (37x)</td>
<td></td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>19/28 (67%) RA patients p53+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikukawa et al, Br J Haematol 100, 1998</td>
<td>RAEB-2 with monosomy 17</td>
<td>Point mutation at splice donor site of intron 5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Rapid disease progression and resistance to chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misawa et al, Leuk Res 22, 1998</td>
<td>AML de novo (n=31), AML with dysplasia (n=17), secondary MDS-AML (n=20), t-AML (n=5)</td>
<td>12/73 (16%) (5/12 were MDS-AML)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutation present already at diagnosis in patients with secondary AML (MDS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padua et al, Leukemia 12, 1998</td>
<td>RARS (16x), RA (17x), RAEB (10x), CMML (32x)</td>
<td>4/50 (8%) (RARS 2x, RA, 1x, RAEB 1x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutation not associated with increased AML risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soenen et al, Leukemia 12, 1998</td>
<td>Case report: secondary/therapy-related MDS</td>
<td>t(15,17), FISH del (17p)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutation associated with del(17p) in t-MDS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tang et al, Anticancer Res 18, 1998</td>
<td>RA 10x, RARS 3x, CMML 6x, RAEB 15x, RAEB-T 9x, MDS-AML 4x</td>
<td>5/47 (11%); RAEB 4x, MDS-AML 1x) 4/5 detected at diagnosis</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutation early genetic event and associated with poor survival</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Diagnosis Group</td>
<td>TP53 mutation</td>
<td>p53 IHC</td>
<td>Significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horiike et al., Leukemia 13, 1999</td>
<td>Therapy-related MDS or AML (21x); 12/21 with -5/5q- and/or -7/7q-</td>
<td>6/21 (29%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutations only in patients with chromosome 5/7 abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanavaros et al, Clin Exp Pathol 47, 1999</td>
<td>MDS (30x), AML (22x), MPN (16x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6/30 (20%) MDS p53+/6/22 (27%) AML p53+</td>
<td>p53 overexpression in myeloid lineage in MDS/AML but not in MPN or normal BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kikukawa et al, Am J Pathol 155, 1999</td>
<td>RA, RARS, RAEB, RAEB-t, CMML (total 52x)</td>
<td>3/8 (38%) with positive IHC were mutated</td>
<td>8/52 (15%) IHC+ at initial diagnosis</td>
<td>IHC associated with OS and AML risk: 5/8 (63%) IHC+ patients evolved to AML compared with 9/44 (2%) IHC-negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magalhaes et al, Haematologica 84, 1999</td>
<td>RA (19x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2/19 p53+</td>
<td>p53 expression associated with progression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurotaki et al, Act Hematol 102, 2000</td>
<td>RA (10x), RAEB (27x), MDS-AML (12x), de novo AML (13x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>IHC for bcl-2 and p53</td>
<td>Higher frequency of p53 overexpression in MDS-AML as compared to de novo AML</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elghetany et al, Leuk Res 24, 2000</td>
<td>RA (28x), AA (10x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Comparison of three p53 MoAbs: p53 DO7, Pab 1801, Pab 240</td>
<td>All three p53 MoAbs negative in AA; antibody selection has impact on results</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Christiansen et al, JCO 19, 2001</td>
<td>t-MDS (52x), t-AML (25x)</td>
<td>21/77 (27%); 6/21 del(17p)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Frequent TP53 mut in t-MDS/AML, high association with del(5q) and complex karyotype</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kita-Sasai et al, Br J Haematol 115, 2001</td>
<td>RA(54x), RARS (4), RAEB (31x), RAEB-T (19), CMML (10x)</td>
<td>16/118 (13%) (RA 5x, RAEB 8x, RAEB-T 3x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Complex karyotype in 11 of 16 patients with TP53 mutation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ramos et al, Haematologica 87, 2002</td>
<td>MDS (n=61), AML (n=17), controls (n=25)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>strong p53 (DO7) expression seen in MDS but not normal controls</td>
<td>p53 IHC correlated with lower Hb and WBC, higher BM blast count and OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imamura et al, Leukemia 16, 2002</td>
<td>RA (n=8), RAEB (n=11), RAEB-T (2x), CMML (2x)</td>
<td>6/21 (28%), RAEB (5x), RA (1x)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5/6 mut+ evolved to AML; 5/6 patients had chrom 5 and/or 7 abnormality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>Diagnosis Group</td>
<td>TP53 mutation</td>
<td>p53 IHC</td>
<td>Significance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fidler et al, Haematologica 89, 2004</td>
<td>RA (4x), 5q- (20x), RARS (1x), RAEB (11x), RAEB-T (2x), CMML (1x), MDS-AML (1)</td>
<td>3/40 (7.5%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>No mutation found in MDS with isolated del(5q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Side et al, Genes Chrom Cancer 39, 2004</td>
<td>t-MDS/AML with chromosome 5/7 abnormality (n=26)</td>
<td>5/23 (21%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutations associated with chromosome 5/7 abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zolota et al, Pathology Res Practice 203, 2007</td>
<td>AML (n=42)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>p53 (DO7) detected in 81% of cases</td>
<td>No significant correlation between p53 IHC and outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iwasaki et al, Pathology International 58, 2008</td>
<td>RA (19x), RARS (1x), RAEB (13x), RAEB-T (3x), CMML (1x), MDS-AML (11x)</td>
<td>7/48 (14%)</td>
<td>p53 (DO7)</td>
<td>Patients with AA were p53 IHC negative; TP53 mutation associated with strong p53 staining</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jasek et al, Leukemia 24, 2010</td>
<td>Cohort of 379 patients with MDS/AML</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 detected in 75% MDS/AML with complex karyotypes involving chrom 5/7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Saito et al, Leuk Res 35, 2011</td>
<td>Childhood MDS: t-MDS (1x), de novo MDS (n=6), AML with dysplasia (n=2), JMML (18)</td>
<td>1/9 patients with MDS; no TP53 mut in JMML patients</td>
<td>Strong p53 expression &lt;2% in non-mutated and &gt;50% in mut+</td>
<td>TP53 mutation associated with resistance to chemotherapy (one patient)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kulasekararaj et al, Br J Haematol 2012</td>
<td>MDS (IPSS low-/high risk MDS) and secondary AML/t-AML (n=318)</td>
<td>30/318 (9.4%), predominantly missense mutations</td>
<td>p53 (DO7); 73% mut+ had strong p53 staining</td>
<td>TP53 mutations exclusively in MDS with isolated del(5q) or complex karyotype including -5/del(5q)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sebaa, et al., Genes Chrom Cancer 51, 2012</td>
<td>MDS (26x) and AML (17x) with del(5q)</td>
<td>3/18 (17%) low-risk MDS, 8/15 (53%) high-risk</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutations associated with shorter OS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shih et al, Haematologica 2013</td>
<td>t-MDS/AML (n=38)</td>
<td>8/38 (21%); 83% of mut+ had del(5q) or monosomy 5</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>TP53 mutations associated with shorter OS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA, not applicable; chrom, chromosome; OS, overall survival; AML, acute myeloid leukemia; t-AML, therapy-related AML; AA, aplastic anemia; RA, refractory anemia; RARS, refractory anemia with ring sideroblasts; RAEB, refractory anemia with excess of blasts; CMML, chronic myelomonocytic leukemia; JMML, juvenile myelomonocytic leukemia; MPN, myeloproliferative neoplasm; ALL, acute lymphoblastic leukemia; CLL, chronic lymphocytic leukemia
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