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# Impact of the Catalina Coupon Program on the Demand for Grapefruit Juice A Preliminary Analysis ${ }^{1}$ 

Facing a product penetration rate of below $10 \%$ for grapefruit juice (GJ), the Florida Department of Citrus (FDOC) has contracted Catalina Marketing for a GJ coupon program for the time period from mid November 2006 through June 2007. Catalina covers over $70 \%$ of the total grocery business in the U.S., or over 17,500 stores. The main exceptions are Wal-Mart, Wegmans, and Publix. The coupons are delivered at check-out and can be used in the particular store that distributes them. For the lapsed users, those who have purchased GJ in the past but have not purchased GJ in the last 52 weeks, they will be given a coupon when they use their shoppers/loyalty cards and the coupons will be worth $\$ 1.00$ off their next GJ purchase. For the current users, when they buy one 64 -ounces-or-larger GJ they will be given a coupon that is worth $\$ 1.50$ off their next purchase of two; or buy two 64 -ounces-or-larger GJ and get $\$ 1.00$ off their next purchase of two; or buy two 64-ounces-or-larger GJ and get $\$ 1.50$ off their next purchase of three; or buy one 32-ounces of GJ and get $\$ 1.00$ off their next purchase of two 32ounces of GJ.

As shown in Table 1, $76 \%$ of the coupons distributed were the buy two 64-ounces of GJ and get $\$ 1.50$ off, $9 \%$ were buy two get $\$ 1.00$ off, $7 \%$ were buy three get $\$ 1.50$ off, and $8 \%$ were buy one get $\$ 1.00$ off. Table 2 shows that $96 \%$ of the coupons were redeemed by current users. (Note that the coupon type information provided by Catalina is different from the ones redeemed, I have sent a request to Catalina and tried to figure out why they are different, when I get the additional information, the statistics in Table 1 will be revised).

There are several interesting issues regarding the current Catalina coupon program. The current program promotes GJ in containers larger than or equal to 64 ounces. Could the increased purchases of GJ in the large-size containers reduce the sales of GJ in small containers? Could the purchases with coupons just replace users' regular purchases and results in no net increase in GJ sales? Or, could the coupon purchase in

[^0]one store replace the purchases in stores that did not dispense coupons, and results in no net GJ sales increase in a given market? Has the Catalina coupon program become less effective in selling more GJ when customers learn that they can take advantage of the program and replace their regular GJ purchases with coupon purchases?

The purpose of this study is to estimate the impact of the Catalina coupon program on the sales of GJ in $\$ 2 \mathrm{MM}+$ grocery stores in the U.S. In this study we assume that the demand for GJ is a function of its own price, the prices of its substitutes, disposable income, seasonality, retail promotions, and the number of Catalina coupons redeemed. Formally this relationship can be expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{t}}=\alpha_{0} & +\Sigma_{\mathrm{j}} \beta_{\mathrm{j}} \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{jt}}+\gamma_{0} \text { Income }_{\mathrm{t}}+\gamma_{1} \text { Sine }_{\mathrm{t}}+\gamma_{2} \text { Cosine }_{\mathrm{t}}+\gamma_{3} \text { AB }_{\mathrm{t}}+\gamma_{4} \text { Disp }_{\mathrm{t}}  \tag{1}\\
& +\gamma_{5} \text { Redeem }_{\mathrm{t}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}} ;
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{q}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the gallon sales of GJ in week $t ; \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{jt}}$ is the real price (nominal price deflated by the CPI) of jth juice beverage in week $t$, there are five juice beverage prices in this study: GJ, OJ, GJ cocktails, OJ drinks, and GJ blends; Income $_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the real per capita disposable income in week $t ;$ Sine $_{\mathrm{t}}$ and Cosine $_{\mathrm{t}}$ are sine and cosine variables for the seasonality; $\mathrm{AB}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the $\% \mathrm{ACV}$ (all commodity volume) for $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ ads in week $t$; $\mathrm{Disp}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the $\% \mathrm{ACV}$ for displays in week $t$; Redeem ${ }_{t}$ is the number of Catalina coupons redeemed in week $t$; $\varepsilon_{\mathrm{t}}$ is the disturbance term; and $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \mathrm{s}$ are parameters to be estimated.

Weekly Nielsen ScanTrack sales data for the \$2MM+ grocery stores for the time period from week 12/04/04 through 05/05/07 ( 124 weeks) were used in the analysis. ${ }^{2}$ The redemption information was provided by Catalina. As of $05 / 05 / 07$, there were 7.28 million Catalina coupons distributed and a total of 289,567 of these coupons were redeemed. Based on the Nielsen data, the \%ACV of A/B ads has increased during the weeks when the Catalina coupons were distributed; in addition, \%ACV of display has also increased slightly during the coupon distribution weeks (see Figure 1). Three sets of

[^1]parameters were estimated: (1) All GJ, (2) GJ in containers larger than 50 ounces, and (3) GJ in containers smaller than 50 ounces. Results show that redemption had no impact on the gallon sales of GJ in containers smaller than 50 ounces; therefore, the estimates for this group of GJ products were not presented. Regression results for All GJ and GJ in containers larger than 50 ounces are presented in Table 3.

The results shown in Table 3 indicate that OJ drinks, and GJ blends are substitutes of GJ, when the prices of OJ drinks and GJ blends increase, more GJ would be sold. The coefficient for the income variable has a negative sign, indicating that as income increases, the demand for GJ would decrease. Note that income increases over the study period. The trend in income is closely related to a time-trend variable. Therefore, the negative income coefficient estimate may reflect the time-trend effect instead of the actual-income effect. Since income and time-trend are highly correlated, it would be difficult to separate the income effect from the time-trend effect.

Results also show that there is a seasonal pattern in GJ gallon sales. The coefficient estimate for coupon redemption in equation (1) for All GJ indicates that each coupon redeemed increased GJ sales by 0.89 gallons - this 0.89 gallon is very close to the two units (64-ounces) in future purchase for the $\$ 1.50$ off. In other word, the coupons actually generated additional sales and did not replace the GJ that would have been purchased by consumers even without coupons. The result for GJ in containers larger than 50 ounces shows that each coupon redeemed increased the sales of GJ in large containers by 1.31 gallons.

The coefficient estimate of $\% \mathrm{ACV}$ for $\mathrm{A} / \mathrm{B}$ ads is statistically not different from zero. The estimate of $\% \mathrm{ACV}$ for displays indicates that for each one percent ACV increase in all GJ retail displays, GJ gallon sales would increase by 3,799 gallons, or a one percent increase in \%ACV in displays of GJ in large containers would increase its sales by 15,960 gallons.

The impact of Catalina coupons on GJ sales can be estimated as
$(\text { Marginal gallon sales })_{t}=\left(\partial q_{t} / \partial\right.$ Redeem $\left._{t}\right) *($ number of coupons redeemed $)$

Results are shown in Table 4. As shown in Table 4, if we do not differentiate coupons redeemed by lapsed GJ users and current GJ users, Catalina coupons have increased GJ gallon sales by 258,858 gallons, or $3.8 \%$ of the total gallon sales during the weeks when coupons were distributed. As of 05/05/07, the FDOC paid $\$ 517,496$ for the Catalina program and the face value of coupons redeemed, or the program has cost $\$ 2.00$ per additional gallon of GJ generated by the coupon program if the estimate for all GJ was used; or $\$ 1.36$ per gallon if the estimate for GJ in large containers is used. If the estimates of All GJ and GJ in larger containers are used, the Catalina coupon program generated $\$ 1.7$ million ( $\$ 3.32$ per dollar spent) and $\$ 2.53$ million ( $\$ 4.89$ per dollar spent) in retail revenue, respectively.

Because the current programs promotes GJ in containers larger than or equal to 64 ounces, the purchases of GJ in large-size containers could increase at the cost of a reduction in the sales of GJ in small containers. Results from (1) on gallon sales of GJ in containers smaller than 50 ounces show that redemption had no impact on the gallon sales of GJ in small containers; therefore, the program did not have a negative impact on the sales of GJ in small containers.

The coupon purchases could replace users' regular purchases and result in no net increase in GJ sales. The results in Table 3 show that coupon redemption increased the gallon sales of All GJ and GJ in large containers; therefore, the coupon program had increased the overall sales of GJ.

Has the Catalina coupon program become less effective in selling more GJ when customers learn that they can take advantage of the program and replace their regular GJ purchase with coupon purchases? To answer these two questions, different length of time periods were used to estimate (1) and the results are presented in the top portion of Table 5. The magnitudes of the estimates of the coupon redemption variable decreased from 1.5084 for the time period from weeks ending $12 / 04 / 04$ through $02 / 17 / 07$ to 0.8940 for the time period from weeks ending 12/04/04 through 05/05/07, an indication that the impact of Catalina coupons had decreased over time. The estimated coupon impacts for GJ in containers larger than 50 ounces over time had a similar pattern. To double check if the impact of coupon redemption on GJ sales had decreased over time, the $\gamma_{5}$ Redeem $_{t}$ in (1) was modified as
(2) $\quad \Sigma_{t} \gamma_{5 t} D_{t}$ Redeem $_{t}$

Where $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{t}}$ is a dummy variable for week $t, t=12 / 06 / 06, \ldots, 05 / 05 / 07$. Results are presented in Table 6. As shown in Table 6, the estimated impacts for the weeks between 12/30/06 through 01/27/07 were higher than the rest of the weeks, indicating that the redemption of Catalina coupons did have higher impacts on GJ sales in the beginning of the program weeks than in later weeks and the impacts stabilized after 02/10/07. Note that there are two negative estimates; however, these negative estimates are statistically not different from zero. These parameter estimates were used to derive the impacts of redeemed coupons on GJ gallon sales and retail revenues. Results are presented in Table 7. Results show that it cost 97 cents per additional gallon of GJ generated by the coupon program if the estimates for All GJ were used; or 85 cents per gallon if the estimates for GJ in large containers were used. The Catalina coupon program generated an additional $\$ 3.5$ million ( $\$ 6.83$ per dollar spent) and $\$ 4.048$ million ( $\$ 7.82$ per dollar spent) when All GJ and GJ in large containers are used, respectively.

There are two types of Catalina coupons: one type for lapsed GJ users and the other type for current GJ users. To further investigate if these two types of coupons had different impacts on GJ sales, the $\gamma_{5}$ Redeem $_{t}$ in (1) was modified as
(3) $\quad \gamma_{5}$ Lapsed $_{t}+\gamma_{6}$ Current $_{t}$

Where Lapsed ${ }_{t}$ is the number of coupons redeemed by lapsed GJ users during week $t$ and Current $t_{t}$ is the number of coupons redeemed by current GJ users during week $t$. Results are presented at the bottom half of Table 5. Note that Lapsed $_{t}$ and Current ${ }_{t}$ are highly correlated with a simple correlation coefficient of 0.859 ; therefore, the estimates for $\gamma_{5}$ and $\gamma_{6}$ may not be very accurate or stable. However, the results show that the coupons redeemed by lapsed generated more GJ sales than the coupons redeemed by current users.

Could the number of coupons distributed have additional advertising impact on GJ sales? Catalina provided us with the information on the number of coupons distributed by type of coupon and by week. However, the number of coupons distributed
is highly correlated with the number of coupons redeemed ( 0.840 ) and the inclusion of the number of coupons distributed makes the coefficient for Redeem ${ }_{t}$ negative and statistically not different from zero; therefore, the number of coupons distributed was not included in the analysis. ${ }^{3}$

In general, the average GJ price received by grapefruit growers depends on the beginning GJ inventory and the total GJ production during the season. This relationship can be written as
where PHD Price is the packinghouse-door price deflated by the consumer's price index; Beg Inv is the beginning GJ inventory at the beginning of the season; Prod is the total GJ packed from fruit; Trend is a trend variable; and $\varepsilon_{t}$ is the disturbance term. Ordinary least squares method was used to estimate the relationship and the results are shown in Table 8. Results shown in Table 8 indicate that for every one million decrease in beginning inventory, PHD price would increase by $\$ 0.0191$. The estimate in Table 7 shows that, as of 05/05/07 the Catalina coupon program has increased GJ sales by 534,684 gallons. If this amount of GJ were not sold and kept as inventory, it would decrease the average PHD price by $\$ 0.01023(0.0191 * 534684)$ per PS. With an estimated movement of 77 million gallons for the 2006-07 season, the estimated impact of the Catalina coupon program would be $\$ 788,007$ ( $\$ 0.01023 * 77$ million), or $\$ 1.52$ per dollar spent.

## Discussion

Even though the analyses show that there was no negative impact of the Catalina coupon program on the sales of GJ in small containers, the results consistently show that the coupon effects are larger for GJ in large containers than for All GJ. The differences could be the negative impacts of the Catalina coupon program on sales of GJ in small containers.

[^2]This analysis used two models to evaluate impacts of the Catalina coupon program on the sales of GJ. As presented in the beginning of this study, the target of this coupon program is the GJ gallon sales in 64 -ounces and larger containers. However, the program added coupons for GJ in 32-ounce containers in the beginning of 2007. As shown in Table 2, the number of coupons redeemed is relative small; therefore, no analysis was conducted for the impact of coupon redemption on the sales of GJ in 32ounce containers.

Two models were used in this study, i.e., equations (1) and (2). The results found in these two models are different. Note that the parameter for redemption in (1) is restricted to be the same for all weeks while in (2) the parameters for redemption can vary from week to week - in other words, the parameter in (1) is more restrictive than the ones in (2). In addition, the adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2} \mathrm{~s}$ for (2) are higher than those for (1), an indication that (2) has better fit than (1) even though there are 20 more variables in (2) than in (1). Therefore, the results in (2) should be used in the final analysis.

## Redemption \& Promotion



Figure 1. Retail promotion and coupon redemption.

Table 1. Weekly coupon distribution statistics

| Week Ending | $\$ 1.50 / 2$ | $\$ 1.00 / 2$ | $\$ 1.50 / 3$ | $\$ 1.00 / 1$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $11 / 25 / 06$ | 213,326 | 20,050 | 13,733 | 91,275 |
| $12 / 02 / 06$ | 235,453 | 20,306 | 19,750 | 28,142 |
| $12 / 09 / 06$ | 228,761 | 20,307 | 20,007 | 12,929 |
| $12 / 16 / 06$ | 221,712 | 19,555 | 19,444 | 7,708 |
| $12 / 23 / 06$ | 258,109 | 22,299 | 22,154 | 5,847 |
| $12 / 30 / 06$ | 231,297 | 20,322 | 20,115 | 2,723 |
| $01 / 06 / 07$ | 256,715 | 26,924 | 20,388 | 0 |
| $01 / 13 / 07$ | 253,789 | 25,425 | 25,055 | 0 |
| $01 / 20 / 07$ | 261,919 | 32,310 | 29,087 | 0 |
| $01 / 27 / 07$ | 249,204 | 31,741 | 28,513 | 0 |
| $02 / 03 / 07$ | 251,674 | 62,656 | 21,117 | 73,771 |
| $02 / 10 / 07$ | 244,619 | 26,181 | 22,296 | 21,181 |
| $02 / 17 / 07$ | 245,178 | 26,307 | 22,666 | 10,302 |
| $02 / 24 / 07$ | 259,900 | 28,712 | 25,123 | 6,077 |
| $03 / 03 / 07$ | 252,166 | 33,430 | 23,111 | 1 |
| $03 / 10 / 07$ | 245,744 | 29,289 | 25,662 | 0 |
| $03 / 17 / 07$ | 247,049 | 29,092 | 25,422 | 0 |
| $03 / 24 / 07$ | 242,414 | 28,944 | 25,375 | 0 |
| $03 / 31 / 07$ | 229,827 | 30,487 | 20,739 | 65,907 |
| $04 / 07 / 07$ | 241,111 | 31,190 | 27,309 | 22,816 |
| $04 / 14 / 07$ | 240,270 | 29,184 | 25,661 | 9,272 |
| $04 / 21 / 07$ | 228,094 | 21,279 | 5,384 |  |
| $04 / 28 / 07$ | 228,183 | 235,679 | 27,573 | 18,982 |
| $05 / 05 / 07$ | $7,80,193$ | 24,023 | 62,712 |  |
| Total | $76,0 \%$ |  | 547,011 |  |
| $\%$ of Total |  | $7.9 \%$ | 606,788 |  |
|  |  |  | $8.0 \%$ |  |

[^3]Table 2. Weekly coupon redemption statistics

| Week Ending | Total |  | Current |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lapsed | Current | $\begin{gathered} 1 / \$ 1.50 \\ \text { off } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 / \$ 1.00 \\ \text { off } 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 / \$ 1.50 \\ \text { off } 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1-32 \mathrm{oz} / \$ 1 \\ \text { off } 2 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 12/16/06 | 116 | 127 | 64 | 45 | 18 | 0 | 243 |
| 12/23/06 | 345 | 1,381 | 1,093 | 175 | 113 | 0 | 1,726 |
| 12/30/06 | 390 | 1,903 | 1,522 | 230 | 151 | 0 | 2,293 |
| 01/06/07 | 550 | 4,047 | 3,202 | 471 | 374 | 0 | 4,597 |
| 01/13/07 | 605 | 5,789 | 4,534 | 749 | 506 | 0 | 6,394 |
| 01/20/07 | 579 | 8,660 | 6,633 | 1,171 | 856 | 0 | 9,239 |
| 01/27/07 | 608 | 10,564 | 7,814 | 1,596 | 1,154 | 0 | 11,172 |
| 02/03/07 | 646 | 14,174 | 10,771 | 2,019 | 1,384 | 0 | 14,820 |
| 02/10/07 | 444 | 14,036 | 10,253 | 2,173 | 1,602 | 8 | 14,480 |
| 02/17/07 | 366 | 16,236 | 11,578 | 2,688 | 1,948 | 22 | 16,602 |
| 02/24/07 | 532 | 16,650 | 12,081 | 2,566 | 1,966 | 37 | 17,182 |
| 03/03/07 | 920 | 19,685 | 14,072 | 3,323 | 2,230 | 60 | 20,605 |
| 03/10/07 | 1,093 | 19,470 | 13,934 | 3,244 | 2,219 | 73 | 20,563 |
| 03/17/07 | 633 | 19,139 | 13,561 | 3,199 | 2,293 | 86 | 19,772 |
| 03/24/07 | 444 | 17,600 | 12,209 | 3,057 | 2,252 | 82 | 18,044 |
| 03/31/07 | 353 | 16,326 | 11,531 | 2,691 | 2,041 | 63 | 16,679 |
| 04/07/07 | 276 | 14,627 | 10,038 | 2,597 | 1,917 | 75 | 14,903 |
| 04/14/07 | 300 | 20,602 | 14,040 | 3,800 | 2,689 | 73 | 20,902 |
| 04/21/07 | 490 | 20,731 | 14,099 | 3,799 | 2,731 | 102 | 21,221 |
| 04/28/07 | 694 | 20,521 | 13,837 | 3,913 | 2,687 | 84 | 21,215 |
| 05/05/07 | 638 | 16,277 | 10,823 | 3,130 | 2,263 | 61 | 16,915 |
| Total | 11,022 | 278,545 | 197,689 | 46,636 | 33,394 | 826 | 289,567 |
| \% of Total | 3.8\% | 96.2\% | 68.3\% | 16.1\% | 11.5\% | 0.3\% | 100.0\% |

Source: NCH

Table 3. Parameter estimates for equation (1)

| Variable | Parameter Estimate | Standard Error | Sample Mean | Elasticity Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All GJ |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 1,943,963* | 130,465 |  |  |
| Price |  |  |  |  |
| OJ | 16,759 | 17,729 | 2.472 | 0.1214 |
| GJ | -226,672* | 16,583 | 3.243 | -2.1982 |
| GJ CKL | 1,432 | 17,871 | 2.743 | 0.0135 |
| OJ Drinks | 39,321* | 20,939 | 1.589 | 0.1848 |
| GJ Blends | 26,192* | 9,136 | 3.196 | 0.2371 |
| Income | -230.09* | 30.84 | 4,706 | -3.3156 |
| Seasonality |  |  |  |  |
| Sine | -16,614* | 2,122 |  |  |
| Cosine | -5,142* | 2,015 |  |  |
| Redeem | 0.8940* | 0.4800 | 1,857 | -0.0021 |
| AB Ads (\%ACV) | -69.59 | 274.32 | 10.118 | 0.0312 |
| Display (\%ACV) | 3,799* | 1,253 | 2.724 | 0.0061 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.8913 |  |  |  |
| Adj R ${ }^{2}$ | 0.8809 |  |  |  |
| GJ in Containers $\geq 50$ Ounces |  |  |  |  |
| Intercept | 1,504,797* | 121,636 |  |  |
| Price |  |  |  |  |
| OJ | 1,446 | 14,620 | 2.472 | 0.0139 |
| GJ | -170,409* | 14,602 | 3.303 | -2.1889 |
| GJ CKL | 1,225 | 15,390 | 2.743 | 0.0131 |
| OJ Drinks | 37,734* | 17,372 | 1.589 | 0.2331 |
| GJ Blends | 20,631* | 8,056 | 3.196 | 0.2565 |
| Income | -175.41* | 26.95 | 4,706.470 | -3.2108 |
| Seasonality |  |  |  |  |
| Sine | -12,302* | 1,785 |  |  |
| Cosine | -6,464* | 1,687 |  |  |
| Redeem | 1.3111* | 0.3908 | 2,280.06 | -0.0055 |
| AB Ads (\%ACV) | -889 | 1,245 | 1.5787 | 0.0179 |
| Display (\%ACV) | 15,960* | 6,716 | 0.2887 | 0.0116 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.8386 |  |  |  |
| Adj R ${ }^{2}$ | 0.8232 |  |  |  |

[^4]Table 4. Estimated impact of Catalina coupons on GJ sales using (1)

| Week Ending | Gallons Sales (gallons) |  | Retail Revenue (\$) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All GJ | GJ in Containers $\geq$ 50 Ounces | All GJ | GJ in Containers $\geq$ 50 Ounces |
| 12/16/06 | 217 | 319 | 1,445 | 2,132 |
| 12/23/06 | 1,543 | 2,263 | 10,351 | 15,243 |
| 12/30/06 | 2,050 | 3,006 | 13,680 | 20,174 |
| 01/06/07 | 4,109 | 6,027 | 26,695 | 39,709 |
| 01/13/07 | 5,716 | 8,383 | 37,550 | 55,317 |
| 01/20/07 | 8,259 | 12,113 | 53,549 | 78,687 |
| 01/27/07 | 9,987 | 14,647 | 64,188 | 93,996 |
| 02/03/07 | 13,248 | 19,430 | 87,925 | 128,821 |
| 02/10/07 | 12,944 | 18,984 | 88,110 | 130,640 |
| 02/17/07 | 14,841 | 21,767 | 99,564 | 148,056 |
| 02/24/07 | 15,360 | 22,527 | 102,737 | 151,622 |
| 03/03/07 | 18,420 | 27,015 | 122,033 | 179,737 |
| 03/10/07 | 18,382 | 26,960 | 119,605 | 175,863 |
| 03/17/07 | 17,675 | 25,923 | 118,104 | 173,694 |
| 03/24/07 | 16,130 | 23,657 | 107,552 | 157,858 |
| 03/31/07 | 14,910 | 21,868 | 98,469 | 143,977 |
| 04/07/07 | 13,323 | 19,539 | 86,461 | 126,594 |
| 04/14/07 | 18,685 | 27,404 | 121,368 | 178,733 |
| 04/21/07 | 18,971 | 27,822 | 126,967 | 189,000 |
| 04/28/07 | 18,965 | 27,815 | 128,792 | 191,060 |
| 05/05/07 | 15,121 | 22,177 | 101,101 | 149,171 |
| Total | 258,858 | 379,646 | 1,716,247 | 2,530,085 |
|  | \$Spent/Gallon |  | Retail \$/\$Spent |  |
| Average | 2.00 | 1.36 | 3.32 | 4.89 |

Table 5. Additional coupon impact analysis for (1) and (3)

| Thru Week <br> Ending | User Type | All GJ |  | GJ in Containers $\geq 50$ Ounces |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Coefficient | SE | Coefficient | SE |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $05 / 05 / 07$ | All User | $0.8940^{*}$ | 0.4800 | $1.3111^{*}$ | 0.3908 |
| $04 / 14 / 07$ | All User | $0.9341^{*}$ | 0.5188 | $1.3734^{*}$ | 0.4242 |
| $03 / 17 / 07$ | All User | $1.1902^{*}$ | 0.5566 | $1.5657^{*}$ | 0.4507 |
| $02 / 17 / 07$ | All User | $1.5084^{*}$ | 0.7482 | $1.7462^{*}$ | 0.6563 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $05 / 05 / 07$ | Lapsed | $16.6458^{* *}$ | 10.9758 | $16.4804^{*}$ | 8.9795 |
|  | Current | 0.6168 | 0.5153 | $1.0454^{*}$ | 0.4183 |
| $04 / 14 / 07$ | Lapsed | 11.1742 | 12.0357 | 12.2392 | 9.6855 |
|  | Current | 0.7434 | 0.5656 | $1.1653^{*}$ | 0.4625 |
| $03 / 17 / 07$ | Lapsed | $22.6804^{* *}$ | 15.3824 | $23.5562^{*}$ | 12.2544 |
|  | Current | 0.5956 | 0.6986 | $0.9456^{*}$ | 0.5641 |
| $02 / 17 / 07$ | Lapsed | $29.8858^{*}$ | 17.8914 | $33.0318^{*}$ | 14.5781 |
|  | Current | 0.7536 | 0.8819 | 0.8554 | 0.7670 |

*Statistically different from zero at $\alpha=0.05$ level.
**Statistically different from zero at $\alpha=0.10$ level.

Table 6. Regression results for (2)

| Week Ending | All GJ |  | GJ in Containers $\geq 50$ Ounces |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Coefficient | SE | Coefficient | SE |
| 12/16/06 | -28.0019 | 48.2448 | -9.2889 | 39.6612 |
| 12/23/06 | 8.6999** | 6.6114 | 10.0735* | 5.3983 |
| 12/30/06 | -7.2335** | 5.0444 | -4.1160 | 4.1384 |
| 01/06/07 | 7.0474* | 2.8133 | 7.1208* | 2.2437 |
| 01/13/07 | 5.3210* | 2.1869 | 5.1129* | 1.7673 |
| 01/20/07 | 3.9406* | 1.5834 | 4.1849* | 1.2463 |
| 01/27/07 | 1.2013 | 1.4235 | 1.4023 | 1.2009 |
| 02/03/07 | 1.9351* | 0.9640 | 1.6978* | 0.8059 |
| 02/10/07 | 1.5545** | 0.9536 | 1.4998* | 0.8298 |
| 02/17/07 | 1.2401** | 0.9538 | 1.5262* | 0.7870 |
| 02/24/07 | 1.6229* | 0.8853 | 2.0177* | 0.6974 |
| 03/03/07 | 1.3043* | 0.7744 | 1.6805* | 0.6032 |
| 03/10/07 | 1.8992* | 0.8049 | 1.9865* | 0.6622 |
| 03/17/07 | 1.6531* | 0.7622 | 1.9969* | 0.6000 |
| 03/24/07 | 1.6782* | 0.8274 | 1.7450* | 0.6857 |
| 03/31/07 | 2.0790* | 0.9207 | 2.3460* | 0.7342 |
| 04/07/07 | 3.4566* | 1.1006 | 3.6811* | 0.8719 |
| 04/14/07 | 0.6603 | 0.7149 | 1.1549* | 0.5739 |
| 04/21/07 | 1.0138** | 0.7061 | 1.3788* | 0.5618 |
| 04/28/07 | 1.4892* | 0.6661 | 1.7304* | 0.5408 |
| 05/05/07 | 2.6698* | 0.8890 | 2.9111* | 0.7214 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.9156 |  | 0.8801 |  |
| Adj. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.8881 |  | 0.8410 |  |

*Statistically different from zero at $\alpha=0.05$ level.
**Statistically different from zero at $\alpha=0.10$ level.

Table 7. Estimated return from the Catalina coupon program

| Week Ending | All GJ |  | GJ in Containers $\geq 50$ Ounces |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Gallons | Revenue (\$) | Gallons | Revenue (\$) |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $12 / 16 / 06$ | $-6,804$ | $-45,262$ | $-2,257$ | $-15,014$ |
| $12 / 23 / 06$ | 15,016 | 100,734 | 17,387 | 116,639 |
| $12 / 30 / 06$ | $-16,586$ | $-110,690$ | $-9,438$ | $-62,984$ |
| $01 / 06 / 07$ | 32,397 | 210,449 | 32,734 | 212,641 |
| $01 / 13 / 07$ | 34,022 | 223,504 | 32,692 | 214,765 |
| $01 / 20 / 07$ | 36,407 | 236,046 | 38,664 | 250,681 |
| $01 / 27 / 07$ | 13,421 | 86,255 | 15,666 | 100,687 |
| $02 / 03 / 07$ | 28,678 | 190,329 | 25,161 | 166,985 |
| $02 / 10 / 07$ | 22,510 | 153,219 | 21,717 | 147,820 |
| $02 / 17 / 07$ | 20,588 | 138,117 | 25,338 | 169,979 |
| $02 / 24 / 07$ | 27,884 | 186,510 | 34,669 | 231,888 |
| $03 / 03 / 07$ | 26,875 | 178,048 | 34,627 | 229,407 |
| $03 / 10 / 07$ | 39,054 | 254,106 | 40,849 | 265,782 |
| $03 / 17 / 07$ | 32,685 | 218,395 | 39,483 | 263,820 |
| $03 / 24 / 07$ | 30,282 | 201,908 | 31,487 | 209,947 |
| $03 / 31 / 07$ | 34,675 | 229,001 | 39,128 | 258,409 |
| $04 / 07 / 07$ | 51,514 | 334,317 | 54,860 | 356,033 |
| $04 / 14 / 07$ | 13,801 | 89,642 | 24,140 | 156,797 |
| $04 / 21 / 07$ | 21,514 | 143,991 | 29,260 | 195,833 |
| $04 / 28 / 07$ | 31,593 | 214,548 | 36,711 | 249,302 |
| $05 / 05 / 07$ | 45,159 | 301,934 | 49,241 | 329,225 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 534,684 | $3,535,102$ | 612,117 | $4,048,641$ |
| C/B Ratio | $0.97^{\text {a }}$ | $6.83^{\text {b }}$ |  | $0.85^{\text {a }}$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Dollar per gallon increased.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Retail revenue change per dollar spent.

Table 8. Parameter estimates for (8) - PHD price (\$/PS)

| Variable | Parameter Estimate | Standard Error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Real PHD Price |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Intercept | $3.2754^{*}$ | 0.3854 |
| Beg Inventory | $-0.0191^{*}$ | 0.0043 |
| Production | $-0.0074^{*}$ | 0.0029 |
| Trend | 0.0546 | 0.0533 |
| Trend $^{2}$ | $-0.0027^{*}$ | 0.0020 |
|  |  |  |
| Adj. $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0.5842 |  |

*Statistically different from zero at $\alpha=0.05$ level.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Prepared by Jonq-Ying Lee, Senior Research Economist, Florida Department of Citrus, Gainesville, FL. July 9, 2007. Staff Report \#2007-2.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Ideally, the sales information in Catalina stores should be used in the analysis. Unfortunately, the information provided by Catalina lacks price information in these stores and the sales were measured by GJ transactions per 1,000 transactions. The GJ transactions per 1,000 transactions were use in lieu of gallon sales in (1) with the average prices of $\$ 2 \mathrm{MM}+$ grocery stores; however, the parameter estimates are mostly not different from zero.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ The number of coupons distributed was used in (1) instead of, the estimates of $\gamma_{5}$ for All GJ and GJ in large containers were negative and statistically not different from zero.

[^3]:    Source: Catalina

[^4]:    *Statistically different from zero at $\alpha=0.05$ level.

