

# Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago

# **Trade Structure, Industrial Structure, and International Business Cycles**

Marianne Baxter and Michael A. Kouparitsas

WP 2002-30

#### Trade Structure, Industrial Structure, and International Business Cycles

Marianne Baxter\* Boston University and NBER and Michael A. Kouparitsas Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago

#### **ABSTRACT**

This paper examines the extent to which the composition of a country's production and trade differs among its trade partners. For example, does the US export the same bundle of goods to the UK as it does to Japan? If we find high dispersion in a country's export and import bundles with its various trading partners, can this be linked to identifiable country characteristics? These findings are important for two reasons. First, they enrich our empirical understanding of the nature of trade. Second, they will stand as a guide for further development of economic theories of the international transmission of business cycles.

#### 1. Introduction

A widely held belief, among economists and policymakers alike, is that countries that are linked through international trade will also share business cycle fluctuations. For this reason, countries proceed slowly and carefully to adopt new arrangements (regional trading arrangements, for example) that are designed to increase trade with a particular group of countries. It is therefore surprising that we lack strong empirical evidence that increased trade, by itself, also increases the extent of business-cycle linkages.<sup>1</sup>

This paper takes a first step on a new line of research that is designed to evaluate the circumstances under which trading relationships between two countries will lead their business cycles to become more synchronized.<sup>2</sup> Our starting point is the observation that most countries have several, even many, trading partners, and that the basket of goods traded with one country may be different from the basket traded with another country. Thus, we use a highly disaggregated dataset that includes information on the industrial structure of each country, as well as detailed information on the industry composition of trade. Our dataset includes information on manufacturing goods, which have been the focus of some past studies, but also includes data on non-manufacturing industries.

In this paper, we examine the extent to which the composition of a country's production and trade differs among its trade partners. For example, does the US export the same bundle of goods to the UK as it does to Japan? If we find high dispersion in a country's export and import bundles with its various trading partners, can this be linked to identifiable country characteristics? These findings will be important for two reasons. First, they enrich our empirical understanding of the nature of trade. Second, they will stand as a guide for further development of economic theories of the international transmission of business cycles.

#### 2. A Snapshot of the Relationship between Production and Trade

This paper analyzes the trade flows and production structure of 164 countries. These data come from a number of sources. Trade flows are based on 4-digit standard international trade classification (SITC) data described in Robert Feenstra, et al. (2002). The data are converted to

2-digit standard industry classification (SIC) so that they can be more easily compared to industry data. Production data come from two sources. Disaggregated manufacturing data are from the United Nations Industrial Development Organization (UNIDO) reported at the 4-digit international standard industry classification (ISIC) and converted to 2-digit SIC level for comparison with trade data. Non-manufacturing trade data were supplied by Werner Antweiler and Daniel Trefler; these data were used in Antweiler and Trefler (2002). These are also reported at the 4-digit ISIC level. A country's land endowment is measured as the quantity of arable land per capita from the World Bank's World Development Indicators. Educational attainment is measured using Robert Barro and Jong-Wha Lee's (1993) average years of schooling in the total population over the age of 15. Real per capita income data are from the Penn World Tables version 5.6. Each country's capital endowment is measured as capital stock per worker from William Easterly and Ross Levine (2001). We use the International Monetary Fund's International Financial Statistics Yearbook (2002) to classify countries as either developing or developed. We classify a country as being either a commodity, fuel, or manufactured-goods exporter according to which category has the largest *net* export share.

To begin, we examine the dispersion in a country's production and trade structure. To do this, we develop indexes of dispersion that are variants of a Herfindahl index. To measure country i's dispersion from the rest of world (ROW) with respect to its production structure, we

construct the dispersion index:  $dy_i^{row} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left( sy_{in} - sy_{rown} \right)^2$  where  $sy_{in}$  is sector *n*'s share of country *i*'s output, and  $sy_{rown} = \sum_{j \neq i} y_{jn} \div \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{j \neq i} y_{jn} \right)$  where  $y_{jn}$  is output of sector *n* in country *j*.

We also want to study the relationship between production dispersion vis-a-vis the rest of the world, and production dispersion vis-a-vis a country's trading partners. Thus, we define indexes that capture the extent to which country *i*'s production structure differs from its export partners and from its import partners. Letting  $a_{xij}$  denote the share of total exports of country *i* that go to country *j*, and continuing to let *n* index a particular export good, our measure of country *i*'s dispersion in production relative to her export partners, weighted by export share, is

 $dy_i^{export} = \sum_{j=1}^J a_{xij} \sum_{n=1}^N (sy_{in} - sy_{jn})^2$ . Our measure of country *i*'s dispersion in production relative to

her import partners, weighted by import shares,  $\boldsymbol{a}_{mij}$ , is  $dy_i^{import} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \boldsymbol{a}_{mij} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (sy_{in} - sy_{jn})^2$ , where

 $a_{mij}$  now stands for denote the share of total imports of country *i* that come from country *j*.

Finally, we construct indexes of dispersion in exports and imports to measure the extent of dispersion in the structure of a country's exports to its various export partners. As for the production dispersion indexes, we weight each export partner by its contribution to country i's total imports. Thus, our weighted index of export dispersion for country i is

$$dx_i = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \boldsymbol{a}_{xij} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (sx_{in} - sx_{ijn})^2$$
, where  $sx_{in}$  is good *n*'s share of country *i*'s exports, and  $sx_{ijn}$  is

good *n*'s share of country *i*'s exports to country *j*. We construct a similar measure the importshare-weighted dispersion of country *i*'s imports from its import partners, *j*, as

$$dm_i = \sum_{j=1}^{J} a_{mij} \sum_{n=1}^{N} (sm_{in} - sm_{ijn})^2$$
 where  $sm_{in}$  is sector *n*'s share of country *i*'s imports, and  $sm_{ijn}$  is

good n's share of country i's imports from country j. These dispersion measures are shown in Table 1. However, given the large number of countries, we find that graphs are the best way to understand the relationships between the various measures and we will focus our discussions on the graphs. Table 1 can be used as a reference to identify particular countries on the graphs.

The relationships among dispersion in production, exports, and imports are shown in Figure 1.<sup>3</sup> Figure 1-A plots a scatter of  $dy_i^{row}$  (x-axis) vs.  $dx_i$  where each data point is a particular country, *i*. This figure allows us to address two questions. First, how much does production dispersion differ among different types of countries? Second, how is dispersion in production vs. export partners related to production dispersion vs. the rest of the world?

We find that developed countries (the squares) have low dispersion indexes for both output and exports, and that this does not seem to depend importantly on the developed country's primary net export good. Further, the developed countries tend to be similar both to the rest of the world and to their export partners. Developing countries (the triangles) generally display greater dispersion in production compared both with the rest of the world and with their export partners. Within the group of developing countries, manufactured-goods-exporters have lower dispersion, while commodity and fuel exporters exhibit higher dispersion. Strikingly, *every single country* is more dissimilar in terms of production structure from their export partners than they are from the ROW. This may be interpreted as reflecting the "trade based on comparative advantage" that is central to traditional trade theory. We note that the gap between "ROW" and export partners widens as production dissimilarity widens, and is especially large for developing countries.

Figure 1-B examines the same questions for import partners. The results are very similar to those for export partners. Industrialized countries show much less dissimilarity from the rest of the world and from their import partners. Developing countries have high dispersion measures, both vs. the rest of the world and for import partners. There are only two countries for which import dissimilarity is less than rest-of-world production dissimilarity: these are Malawi and Bangladesh. Again, these findings seem consistent with a generalized theory of trade based on comparative advantage.

We also compared production dispersion relative to export partners and import partners; see Figure 1-C. For the industrialized-country commodity exporters, production dispersion relative to export partners is substantially higher than production dispersion relative to import partners. In fact, the positive association between dissimilarity from export partners and dissimilarity from import partners does not appear for these countries. Rather, dispersion relative to import partners is uniformly low for all these countries. For all other groups of countries, there was little difference in production dissimilarity between export and import partners.

We now turn to a closer look at our measure of trade dispersion, which measures the extent to which a country's trade baskets differ among her trading partners. To begin, Figure 2-A examines the relationship between export dispersion and the production dispersion with export partners. For each country, the horizontal axis plots "export dispersion" -- the extent to which a country exports different baskets of goods to her export partners. The vertical axis measures dispersion of a country's production vs. her trading partners. High dispersion means that a country's production structure is very different from that of her export partners. Figure 2-B presents similar information for import dispersion and production dispersion vs. import partners.

Figure 2-A illustrates that there is no link between export dispersion and production dispersion. While industrialized countries (squares) tend to have lower production and export dispersion than developing countries, the general impression from this figure is that one can infer little about dispersion in export baskets just from looking at differences in production structures. Turning to imports, Figure 2-B shows us that there is no obvious relationship between import dispersion and production dispersion vis-a-vis import partners. Again, these findings suggest that looking at production data for trading partners will tell us little or nothing about the bilateral composition of trade.

#### **3.** Factor Endowments, Country Characteristics and Trade Dispersion

Traditional trade theory suggests a strong link between factor endowments, production, and trade. Dispersion in export bundles from one country to its trading partners would be understood as stemming from dispersion in factor endowments among the country's trading partners. Similarly, dispersion in import bundles would be due to dispersion in factor endowments among the country's import partners. The factors we consider are the following: (i) arable land per capita; (ii) capital stock per worker; and (iii) average years of schooling for the population aged 15 and older. In each case, dispersion on the export side is measured as the squared difference between country *i*'s endowment of a factor and the endowment of its export partners, where the contribution of each partner is weighted by that partner's share in country *i*'s total exports. A similar measure is constructed for factor dispersion vs.import partners.

Table 2, columns 2 and 3, presents correlations between factor dispersion and trade dispersion. Considering all countries in the world together, there is no strong evidence that land dispersion is important either for exports or for imports. The other two measures of factor inputs, capital and education, are positively related to trade dispersion, most strongly on the export side. The results are different when we consider just the G-7. For G-7 exports, the relationship between land and exports is strongly positive, while there is a negative relationship between capital and trade and for education and trade. For G-7 imports, we find that each of land, capital, and education dispersion is strongly positively correlated with import dispersion.

For all countries taken together however, the correlations are much weaker. The strongest correlation is for education dispersion.

The last three columns of Table 2 show how factor dispersion relates to trade dispersion when we stratify by type of exporter. There is little consistency in these correlations across exporter type. The strongest correlations are again for education, which is positive for all export categories and for both exports and imports. Capital dispersion is also positively related to trade dispersion for fuel and manufacturing exporters. Land dispersion appears unrelated to trade dispersion for each exporter type.

Because dispersion in the factors may be correlated, we regressed export dispersion on dispersion in land, capital, and education. We also included dummy variables for (a) whether a country is classified as 'developing,' and (b) the country's major export good. The results are shown in Table 3. First, the developing-country dummy variable is positive and strongly significant in all specifications and for both exports and imports. We checked to see whether this was simply a proxy for "country size" – we found that including a measure of real GDP in the regressiondid not change the significance of the developing-country dummy variable. We also found that commodity exporters have significantly larger export dispersion, while fuel exporters have significant. The one exception is the measure of education dispersion, which appears positively correlated with export dispersion in specifications 3 and 4. Finally, we note that the explanatory power of the regressions is higher for exports than for imports. In specification 4, the regression explains 37% of export dispersion and 21% of import dispersion.

#### 4. Summary and Conclusion

This paper analyze the extent to which the composition of a country's production and trade differs among its trade partners. We found that industrialized countries have low dispersion for both output and trade. That is: an industrialized country's production structure tends to be similar to that of the rest of the world, and her export and import baskets are similar among all trading partners. Developing countries, by contrast, show high dispersion in production and trade. When we studied the relationship between export dispersion and the

production dispersion (vs. export partners), we failed to find a strong link. Looking at production structures is not sufficient to understand the structure of trade. Finally, we investigated whether dispersion in export and import bundles can be related to dispersion in the factor endowments of trading partners. We found weak evidence that capital and especially education dispersion may help explain trade dispersion. However, the most important determinants of trade dispersion were developing-country status and the major type of export good.

#### References

Antweiler, W. and D. Trefler. "Increasing returns and all that: A view from trade" *American Economic Review*, March 2002, <u>92</u>(1), pp. 93-119.

Barro, R.J. and J.W.Lee. "International comparison of educational attainment,." *Journal of Monetary Economics*, December 1993, <u>32</u>(3), pp. 363-394.

Canova, Fabio and Harris Dellas. "Trade interdependence and the international business cycle." *Journal of International Economics*, February 1993, <u>34</u>(1-2), pp. 23-47.

Easterly, W. and R. Levine. "It's Not Factor Accumulation: Stylized Facts of Growth Models." *World Bank Economic Review*, 2001, 15(2), pp. 177-219.

Feenstra, R.C., J. Romalis and P. Schott. "US Imports, Exports, and Tariff Data, 1989-2001." National Bureau of Economic Research Working Paper 9387, December 2002.

Hummels, David, Jun Ishii and Kei-Mu Yi. "The Nature and Growth of Vertical Specialization in World Trade." *Journal of International Economics*, June 2001, <u>54</u>(1), pp. 75-96.

Imbs, Jean. "Comovement." Mimeo, London Business School, 1999.

International Monetary Fund. International Financial Statistics Yearbook. 2002.

Kose, A., E. Prasad and M. Terrones. "Globalization and Business Cycle Comovement." Mimeo, International Monetary Fund, 2002.

Schott, P.K. "Across-Product versus Within-Product Specialization in International Trade." Mimeo, Yale School of Management, 2002.

#### Footnotes

\* Marianne Baxter, Boston University, 270 Bay State Rd., Boston MA 02215; Michael A. Kouparitsas, Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago, 230 LaSalle Street, Chicago, IL 60604. We are grateful to Kei-Mu Yi for his insightful comments on our paper. All errors are our own. The views expressed herein are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the Federal Reserve Bank of Chicago or the Federal Reserve System.

1. This is a large and growing literature. The first paper in this literature was by Fabio Canova and Harris Dellas (1993), who found no link. More recently, Jean Imbs (1999) finds that cyclic comovement is explainable by production structure but not trade. A recent review is found in Ayhan Kose, et al., (2002).

2. Our paper shares a micro-trade focus with several related recent contributions, including those by Werner Antweiler and Daniel Trefler (2002), David Hummels, et al. (2001), and Peter Schott (2002).

		Production Dispersion vs:					
						Export	Import
	Largest					Disporsion	Disporsion ve
	Net			Export	Import	ve Export	Import
	Export		Post of		nartnore	VS. EXPOIT	Bortpore
Country Namo		country	world	(woighted)	(woighted)	rainers	(woighted)
	G000. M	country					
	M		0.000	0.030	0.049	0.020	0.124
	M		0.017	0.071	0.071	0.010	0.005
	M		0.014	0.000	0.071	0.030	0.100
			0.008	0.039	0.050	0.024	0.000
	N/		0.003	0.000	0.030	0.010	0.092
			0.007	0.017	0.020	0.024	0.101
		v	0.007	0.017	0.020	0.045	0.030
	F	^	0.014	0.072	0.067	0.039	0.000
		v	0.014	0.072	0.007	0.010	0.090
		^	0.073	0.113	0.107	0.055	0.000
		v	0.157	0.210	0.202	0.042	0.005
		^	0.000	0.142	0.118	0.077	0.117
		v	0.008	0.103	0.069	0.034	0.117
			0.012	0.062	0.042	0.054	0.124
			0.025	0.041	0.036	0.078	0.036
		^				0.031	0.055
			0.000	0.000	0.005	0.042	0.051
AUSTRIA	IVI		0.009	0.036	0.035	0.024	0.057
SWEDEN	M	V	0.007	0.041	0.041	0.036	0.067
	0	X	0.469	0.557	0.556	0.085	0.130
AUSTRALIA		V	0.015	0.073	0.051	0.106	0.071
MALAYSIA		X	0.061	0.117	0.113	0.098	0.071
USSR		X	-			0.089	0.124
	F	X	0.400	0.450	0.400	0.040	0.116
		X	0.120	0.159	0.160	0.114	0.188
		X	0.143	0.187	0.186	0.097	0.113
BRAZIL	M	X	0.020	0.112	0.092	0.081	0.229
	<u> </u>		0.021	0.047	0.052	0.048	0.078
NORWAY			0.034	0.061	0.055	0.083	0.109
PORTUGAL	M		0.649	0.725	0.728	0.044	0.110
	M		0.053	0.071	0.068	0.044	0.046
ISRAEL	C	X				0.127	0.164
ARGENTINA	C	Х	0.429	0.494	0.502	0.100	0.121
FINLAND	M		0.026	0.055	0.059	0.057	0.078
PHILIPPINES	С	Х	0.081	0.120	0.121	0.081	0.189
UNTD-ARAB-EM	F	Х				0.046	0.088
GREECE	C		0.127	0.172	0.178	0.095	0.113
SOUTH-AFRICA	C	Х	0.014	0.062	0.047	0.108	0.039
INDIA	М	Х	0.207	0.249	0.254	0.123	0.248
POLAND	С	Х				0.085	0.140
YUGOSLAVIA	М	Х				0.072	0.129
VENEZUELA	F	Х	0.055	0.085	0.076	0.071	0.078
HUNGARY	С	Х				0.060	0.088
IRAN	F	Х				0.030	0.132

#### Table 1: Country Characteristics and Measures of Dispersion

			Produ	uction Dispers	ion vs:		
						Export	Import
	Largest					Dispersion	Dispersion vs.
	Net	Deve-		Export	Import	vs Export	Import
	Export	loping	Rest of	partners	partners	Partners	Partners
Country Name	Good <sup>.1</sup>	country	world	(weighted)	(weighted)	(weighted)	(weighted)
CZECHOSI OVAKIA	<u>ооод.</u> М	X		(molginea)	(noightou)	0.057	0 107
	C	X	0.064	0.115	0 132	0.124	0 144
PANAMA	C C	X	0.001	0.161	0.166	0.121	0.340
	F	X	0.076	0.104	0.103	0.163	0.085
PAKISTAN	M	X	0.070	0.201	0.100	0.180	0.000
FGYPT	F	X	0.130	0.161	0.163	0.190	0.136
NEW-ZEALAND	C.	~	0.077	0.130	0.100	0.100	0.100
MOROCCO	C C	X	0.073	0.100	0.102	0.114	0.104
	F	X	0.070	0.101	0.102	0.132	0.102
	M	X				0.040	0.101
NIGERIA	F	X	0.498	0.513	0 541	0.142	0.132
	F	X	0.400	0.015	0.0413	0.022	0.001
	F	X	0.520	0.413	0.413	0.204	0.120
	C	X				0.110	0.121
	F	X				0.048	0.135
	C	X	0.080	0 107	0 133	0.040	0.000
		X	0.000	0.107	0.135	0.177	0.140
	M	X	0.285	0.362	0.346	0.270	0.111
		X	0.205	0.302	0.340	0.074	0.134
		X			ł	0.020	0.121
	F	X				0.214	0.214
		X			ł	0.144	0.250
		X				0.234	0.139
		X	0.211	0.277	0.252	0.100	0.147
		X	0.311	0.377	0.352	0.232	0.150
		X	0.008	0.113	0.123	0.000	0.102
		X	0.090	0.113	0.123	0.147	0.107
		X	0.064	0.302	0.330	0.200	0.240
BAHAMAS		X	0.004	0.101	0.100	0.145	0.104
		X	0.097	0.146	0.125	0.323	0.230
	M	X	0.097	0.140	0.123	0.111	0.104
	M	X	0.104	0.220	0.219	0.312	0.240
		X				0.109	0.140
		X	0 333	0.336	0.381	0.230	0.133
		X	0.555	0.550	0.301	0.130	0.123
		X				0.130	0.203
		X			ł	0.041	0.207
			0.057	0.105	0.105	0.171	0.107
			0.057	0.105	0.105	0.130	0.130
						0.097	0.107
	F C					0.071	0.230
			0.440	0.077	0.445	0.104	0.119
			0.410	0.377	0.445	0.170	0.103
			0.200	0.288	0.246	0.426	0.097
			0.170	0.224	0.191	0.166	0.144
						0.208	0.137
CUBA		X				0.282	0.192

			Produ	uction Dispers	ion vs:		
						Export	Import
	Largest					Dispersion	Dispersion vs
	Net	Deve-		Export	Import	vs Export	Import
	Export	loning	Rest of	nartners	nartners	Partners	Partners
Country Name	Good <sup>.1</sup>	country	world	(weighted)	(weighted)	(weighted)	(weighted)
	C C	X	0.062		0 103	0 175	
	F	X	0.002	0.104	0.105	0.096	0.105
GHANA	M	X	0.300	0 395	0.326	0.030	0.130
		X	0.000	0.000	0.320	0.230	0.110
		X				0.120	0.125
		~				0.100	0.090
		Y				0.030	0.120
			ł		ł	0.310	0.145
						0.212	0.196
						0.136	0.144
						0.181	0.150
	IVI C		0.400	0.004	0.044	0.107	0.247
MAURITIUS		X	0.196	0.221	0.241	0.196	0.171
SENEGAL	0	X	0.407	0.404		0.229	0.178
	C	X	0.407	0.424	0.282	0.050	0.122
TANZANIA	C	X				0.244	0.140
ANGOLA	F	X				0.082	0.113
ETHIOPIA	C	Х	0.442	0.517	0.484	0.167	0.195
CAMBODIA	C	Х				0.279	0.324
CAMEROON	F	Х	0.178	0.195	0.207	0.105	0.104
GABON	F	Х				0.094	0.091
MOZAMBIQUE	С	Х				0.341	0.211
GIBRALTAR	М	Х				0.258	0.320
PAPUA-N-GUINEA	С	Х	0.425	0.535	0.525	0.167	0.113
SUDAN	С	Х				0.255	0.221
BENIN	F	Х				0.283	0.219
BARBADOS	С	Х	0.074	0.097	0.102	0.244	0.120
SURINAME	F	Х	0.298	0.361	0.371	0.172	0.186
CONGO	F	Х				0.191	0.133
CAYMAN-ISLDS	С	Х				0.289	0.212
ALBANIA	С	Х				0.165	0.138
ZAIRE	F	Х				0.164	0.082
GUINEA	С	Х				0.129	0.105
IRAQ	F	Х				0.025	0.146
NICARAGUA	С	Х				0.296	0.257
MAURITANIA	С	Х				0.264	0.196
NEPAL	М	Х				0.120	0.214
MADAGASCAR	С	Х	0.262	0.368	0.359	0.190	0.247
TOGO	С	Х				0.376	0.194
GUYANA	C	Х		1		0.336	0.216
MALAWI	C	Х	0.263	0.304	0.162	0.108	0.198
FIJI	C	X	0.242	0.286	0.296	0.271	0.125
HAITI	M	X		0.200	0.200	0.069	0.152
GREENI AND	C	X		1		0.001	0.080
AFGHANISTAN	c C	X	<u> </u>			0.398	0.225
MALI	c C	X	<u> </u>			0.217	0 102
BELIZE	C C	X			1	0.219	0.102
		~ ~	1	1	1	0.210	0.102

			Production Dispersion vs:				
						Export	Import
	Largest					Dispersion	Dispersion vs.
	Net	Deve-		Export	Import	vs. Export	Import
	Export	loping	Rest of	partners	partners	Partners	Partners
Country Name	Good: <sup>1</sup>	country	world	(weighted)	(weighted)	(weighted)	(weighted)
LAOS-P-DEM-R	С	X			, č	0.107	0.090
DJIBOUTI	С	Х				0.167	0.224
GAMBIA	С	Х				0.400	0.143
NIGER	М	Х				0.048	0.094
UGANDA	С	Х				0.094	0.096
BURKINA-FASO	С	Х				0.210	0.110
SEYCHELLES	С	Х				0.345	0.201
GUINEA-BISSAU	С	Х				0.325	0.132
KIRIBATI	С	Х				0.179	0.082
MONGOLIA	С	Х				0.200	0.132
SIERRA-LEONE	С	Х				0.349	0.109
MALDIVES	С	Х				0.218	0.110
RWANDA	С	Х				0.145	0.080
SOMALIA	С	Х				0.130	0.200
COMOROS	М	Х				0.221	0.092
BURUNDI	С	Х				0.114	0.086
CHAD	С	Х				0.103	0.110
CENTRAL-AFR-REP	С	Х				0.378	0.133
SOLOMON-ISLDS	С	Х				0.289	0.101
EQ-GUINEA	М	Х				0.071	0.166
ST-PIERRE-MIQU	С	Х				0.044	0.095
TURKS-CAICOS-ISL	С	Х				0.289	0.060
ST-HELENA	С	Х				0.242	0.178
FALKLAND-ISL	С	Х				0.227	0.242
BHUTAN	С	Х				0.191	0.146
WESTERN-SAHARA	С	Х				0.090	0.190
BR-IND-OC-TR	М	Х				0.358	0.182
GERMAN-DM-RP	F	Х				0.080	0.157
AVERAGE: G-7			0.009	0.055	0.053	0.027	0.101
AVERAGE: NON-G7			0.170	0.218	0.209	0.157	0.142
AVERAGE WORLD			0.155	0.203	0.195	0.152	0.141

Notes:

1. Largest Net Export Good: M=manufactured goods, C=Commodities, F=Fuels.

Correlation		А	. Export Di	spersion	
with					
dispersion in					
export	All		Commodity	Fuel	Manufacturin
partners' :	countries	G-7	exporters	exporters	g Exporters
Land	-0.09	0.41	0.06	-0.08	-0.08
Capital	0.25	-0.21	0.10	0.26	0.70
Education	0.35	-0.34	0.40	0.17	0.13
			•		
Correlation		В	. Import Di	ispersion	
with					
dispersion in					
import	All		Commodity	Fuel	Manufacturin
partners' :	countries	G-7	exporters	exporters	g Exporters
Land	-0.06	0.80	-0.05	0.03	-0.19
Capital	0.09	0.51	-0.02	0.48	0.18

#### Table 2: Correlations between trade and factors

Note: all data for 1990.

#### Table 3

Specification						
1	2	3	4			
0.11 **	0.10 **	0.09 **	0.09 **			
-0.06 **	0.06 **	0.07 **	0.04 *			
-0.22 **	-0.01	0.00	-0.00			
-3.31e-07			-4.29E-07			
	1.35E-06		1.45E-06			
		1.53e-04 *	2.16e-04 *			
0.34	0.32	0.35	0.37			
	<b>S</b> <u>I</u> 0.11 ** -0.06 ** -0.22 ** -3.31e-07 0.34	Specification           1         2           0.11 **         0.10 **           -0.06 **         0.06 **           -0.22 **         -0.01           -3.31e-07         1.35E-06           0.34         0.32	Specification           1         2         3           0.11 **         0.10 **         0.09 **           -0.06 **         0.06 **         0.07 **           -0.22 **         -0.01         0.00           -3.31e-07         1.35E-06         1.53e-04 *           0.34         0.32         0.35			

#### A. dependent variable = weighted export dispersion, 1990

#### **B.** dependent variable = weighted import dispersion, 1990

Specification							
Independent variable	e 1	2	3	4			
developing country	0.06 **	0.06 **	0.06 **	0.06 **			
commodity exporter	-0.00	0.01	-0.00	-0.00			
Fuel exporter	-0.04 **	-0.03 *	-0.03 **	-0.04 **			
land dispersion	-1.68e-07			-2.08e-07			
capital dispersion		-7.80e-07		-3.13e-06			
education dispersion			2.46e-06	9.18e-05			
adjusted R <sup>2</sup>	0.18	0.17	0.19	0.21			

#### Notes:

1. Coefficient estimates provided in table.

2. The symbol \* denotes significance at 10% level

3. The symbol \*\* denotes significance at 5% level



Figure 1-A: Production dispersion: Rest of World vs. Export partners



Figure 1-B. Production dispersion: Rest of World vs. Import partners



Figure 1C. Production dissimilarity: Export partners vs.Import partners



Figure 2-A: Export dispersion vs. Production dispersion



Figure 2-B: Import dispersion vs. Production dispersion

### **Working Paper Series**

A series of research studies on regional economic issues relating to the Seventh Federal Reserve District, and on financial and economic topics.

Extracting Market Expectations from Option Prices: Case Studies in Japanese Option Markets Hisashi Nakamura and Shigenori Shiratsuka	WP-99-1
Measurement Errors in Japanese Consumer Price Index Shigenori Shiratsuka	WP-99-2
Taylor Rules in a Limited Participation Model Lawrence J. Christiano and Christopher J. Gust	WP-99-3
Maximum Likelihood in the Frequency Domain: A Time to Build Example Lawrence J. Christiano and Robert J. Vigfusson	WP-99-4
Unskilled Workers in an Economy with Skill-Biased Technology Shi	WP-99-5
Product Mix and Earnings Volatility at Commercial Banks: Evidence from a Degree of Leverage Model <i>Robert DeYoung and Karin P. Roland</i>	WP-99-6
School Choice Through Relocation: Evidence from the Washington D.C. Area <i>Lisa Barrow</i>	WP-99-7
Banking Market Structure, Financial Dependence and Growth: International Evidence from Industry Data Nicola Cetorelli and Michele Gambera	WP-99-8
Asset Price Fluctuation and Price Indices Shigenori Shiratsuka	WP-99-9
Labor Market Policies in an Equilibrium Search Model Fernando Alvarez and Marcelo Veracierto	WP-99-10
Hedging and Financial Fragility in Fixed Exchange Rate Regimes Craig Burnside, Martin Eichenbaum and Sergio Rebelo	WP-99-11
Banking and Currency Crises and Systemic Risk: A Taxonomy and Review <i>George G. Kaufman</i>	WP-99-12
Wealth Inequality, Intergenerational Links and Estate Taxation Mariacristina De Nardi	WP-99-13
Habit Persistence, Asset Returns and the Business Cycle Michele Boldrin, Lawrence J. Christiano, and Jonas D.M Fisher	WP-99-14
Does Commodity Money Eliminate the Indeterminacy of Equilibria? <i>Ruilin Zhou</i>	WP-99-15
A Theory of Merchant Credit Card Acceptance Sujit Chakravorti and Ted To	WP-99-16

Who's Minding the Store? Motivating and Monitoring Hired Managers at Small, Closely Held Firms: The Case of Commercial Banks <i>Robert DeYoung, Kenneth Spong and Richard J. Sullivan</i>	WP-99-17
Assessing the Effects of Fiscal Shocks Craig Burnside, Martin Eichenbaum and Jonas D.M. Fisher	WP-99-18
Fiscal Shocks in an Efficiency Wage Model Craig Burnside, Martin Eichenbaum and Jonas D.M. Fisher	WP-99-19
Thoughts on Financial Derivatives, Systematic Risk, and Central Banking: A Review of Some Recent Developments <i>William C. Hunter and David Marshall</i>	WP-99-20
Testing the Stability of Implied Probability Density Functions Robert R. Bliss and Nikolaos Panigirtzoglou	WP-99-21
Is There Evidence of the New Economy in the Data? Michael A. Kouparitsas	WP-99-22
A Note on the Benefits of Homeownership Daniel Aaronson	WP-99-23
The Earned Income Credit and Durable Goods Purchases Lisa Barrow and Leslie McGranahan	WP-99-24
Globalization of Financial Institutions: Evidence from Cross-Border Banking Performance Allen N. Berger, Robert DeYoung, Hesna Genay and Gregory F. Udell	WP-99-25
Intrinsic Bubbles: The Case of Stock Prices A Comment Lucy F. Ackert and William C. Hunter	WP-99-26
Deregulation and Efficiency: The Case of Private Korean Banks Jonathan Hao, William C. Hunter and Won Keun Yang	WP-99-27
Measures of Program Performance and the Training Choices of Displaced Workers Louis Jacobson, Robert LaLonde and Daniel Sullivan	WP-99-28
The Value of Relationships Between Small Firms and Their Lenders <i>Paula R. Worthington</i>	WP-99-29
Worker Insecurity and Aggregate Wage Growth Daniel Aaronson and Daniel G. Sullivan	WP-99-30
Does The Japanese Stock Market Price Bank Risk? Evidence from Financial Firm Failures <i>Elijah Brewer III, Hesna Genay, William Curt Hunter and George G. Kaufman</i>	WP-99-31
Bank Competition and Regulatory Reform: The Case of the Italian Banking Industry Paolo Angelini and Nicola Cetorelli	WP-99-32

Dynamic Monetary Equilibrium in a Random-Matching Economy <i>Edward J. Green and Ruilin Zhou</i>	WP-00-1
The Effects of Health, Wealth, and Wages on Labor Supply and Retirement Behavior <i>Eric French</i>	WP-00-2
Market Discipline in the Governance of U.S. Bank Holding Companies: Monitoring vs. Influencing Robert R. Bliss and Mark J. Flannery	WP-00-3
Using Market Valuation to Assess the Importance and Efficiency of Public School Spending Lisa Barrow and Cecilia Elena Rouse	WP-00-4
Employment Flows, Capital Mobility, and Policy Analysis Marcelo Veracierto	WP-00-5
Does the Community Reinvestment Act Influence Lending? An Analysis of Changes in Bank Low-Income Mortgage Activity Drew Dahl, Douglas D. Evanoff and Michael F. Spivey	WP-00-6
Subordinated Debt and Bank Capital Reform Douglas D. Evanoff and Larry D. Wall	WP-00-7
The Labor Supply Response To (Mismeasured But) Predictable Wage Changes Eric French	WP-00-8
For How Long Are Newly Chartered Banks Financially Fragile? Robert DeYoung	WP-00-9
Bank Capital Regulation With and Without State-Contingent Penalties David A. Marshall and Edward S. Prescott	WP-00-10
Why Is Productivity Procyclical? Why Do We Care? Susanto Basu and John Fernald	WP-00-11
Oligopoly Banking and Capital Accumulation Nicola Cetorelli and Pietro F. Peretto	WP-00-12
Puzzles in the Chinese Stock Market John Fernald and John H. Rogers	WP-00-13
The Effects of Geographic Expansion on Bank Efficiency Allen N. Berger and Robert DeYoung	WP-00-14
Idiosyncratic Risk and Aggregate Employment Dynamics Jeffrey R. Campbell and Jonas D.M. Fisher	WP-00-15
Post-Resolution Treatment of Depositors at Failed Banks: Implications for the Severity of Banking Crises, Systemic Risk, and Too-Big-To-Fail <i>George G. Kaufman and Steven A. Seelig</i>	WP-00-16

The Double Play: Simultaneous Speculative Attacks on Currency and Equity Markets <i>Sujit Chakravorti and Subir Lall</i>	WP-00-17
Capital Requirements and Competition in the Banking Industry <i>Peter J.G. Vlaar</i>	WP-00-18
Financial-Intermediation Regime and Efficiency in a Boyd-Prescott Economy <i>Yeong-Yuh Chiang and Edward J. Green</i>	WP-00-19
How Do Retail Prices React to Minimum Wage Increases? James M. MacDonald and Daniel Aaronson	WP-00-20
Financial Signal Processing: A Self Calibrating Model Robert J. Elliott, William C. Hunter and Barbara M. Jamieson	WP-00-21
An Empirical Examination of the Price-Dividend Relation with Dividend Management <i>Lucy F. Ackert and William C. Hunter</i>	WP-00-22
Savings of Young Parents Annamaria Lusardi, Ricardo Cossa, and Erin L. Krupka	WP-00-23
The Pitfalls in Inferring Risk from Financial Market Data Robert R. Bliss	WP-00-24
What Can Account for Fluctuations in the Terms of Trade? Marianne Baxter and Michael A. Kouparitsas	WP-00-25
Data Revisions and the Identification of Monetary Policy Shocks Dean Croushore and Charles L. Evans	WP-00-26
Recent Evidence on the Relationship Between Unemployment and Wage Growth Daniel Aaronson and Daniel Sullivan	WP-00-27
Supplier Relationships and Small Business Use of Trade Credit Daniel Aaronson, Raphael Bostic, Paul Huck and Robert Townsend	WP-00-28
What are the Short-Run Effects of Increasing Labor Market Flexibility? Marcelo Veracierto	WP-00-29
Equilibrium Lending Mechanism and Aggregate Activity Cheng Wang and Ruilin Zhou	WP-00-30
Impact of Independent Directors and the Regulatory Environment on Bank Merger Prices: Evidence from Takeover Activity in the 1990s Elijah Brewer III, William E. Jackson III, and Julapa A. Jagtiani	WP-00-31
Does Bank Concentration Lead to Concentration in Industrial Sectors? Nicola Cetorelli	WP-01-01
On the Fiscal Implications of Twin Crises Craig Burnside, Martin Eichenbaum and Sergio Rebelo	WP-01-02

Sub-Debt Yield Spreads as Bank Risk Measures Douglas D. Evanoff and Larry D. Wall	WP-01-03
Productivity Growth in the 1990s: Technology, Utilization, or Adjustment? Susanto Basu, John G. Fernald and Matthew D. Shapiro	WP-01-04
Do Regulators Search for the Quiet Life? The Relationship Between Regulators and The Regulated in Banking <i>Richard J. Rosen</i>	WP-01-05
Learning-by-Doing, Scale Efficiencies, and Financial Performance at Internet-Only Banks <i>Robert DeYoung</i>	WP-01-06
The Role of Real Wages, Productivity, and Fiscal Policy in Germany's Great Depression 1928-37 Jonas D. M. Fisher and Andreas Hornstein	WP-01-07
Nominal Rigidities and the Dynamic Effects of a Shock to Monetary Policy <i>Lawrence J. Christiano, Martin Eichenbaum and Charles L. Evans</i>	WP-01-08
Outsourcing Business Service and the Scope of Local Markets Yukako Ono	WP-01-09
The Effect of Market Size Structure on Competition: The Case of Small Business Lending <i>Allen N. Berger, Richard J. Rosen and Gregory F. Udell</i>	WP-01-10
Deregulation, the Internet, and the Competitive Viability of Large Banks and Community Banks Robert DeYoung and William C. Hunter	WP-01-11
Price Ceilings as Focal Points for Tacit Collusion: Evidence from Credit Cards Christopher R. Knittel and Victor Stango	WP-01-12
Gaps and Triangles Bernardino Adão, Isabel Correia and Pedro Teles	WP-01-13
A Real Explanation for Heterogeneous Investment Dynamics Jonas D.M. Fisher	WP-01-14
Recovering Risk Aversion from Options Robert R. Bliss and Nikolaos Panigirtzoglou	WP-01-15
Economic Determinants of the Nominal Treasury Yield Curve Charles L. Evans and David Marshall	WP-01-16
Price Level Uniformity in a Random Matching Model with Perfectly Patient Traders <i>Edward J. Green and Ruilin Zhou</i>	WP-01-17
Earnings Mobility in the US: A New Look at Intergenerational Inequality <i>Bhashkar Mazumder</i>	WP-01-18
The Effects of Health Insurance and Self-Insurance on Retirement Behavior Eric French and John Bailey Jones	WP-01-19

The Effect of Part-Time Work on Wages: Evidence from the Social Security Rules Daniel Aaronson and Eric French	WP-01-20
Antidumping Policy Under Imperfect Competition Meredith A. Crowley	WP-01-21
Is the United States an Optimum Currency Area? An Empirical Analysis of Regional Business Cycles <i>Michael A. Kouparitsas</i>	WP-01-22
A Note on the Estimation of Linear Regression Models with Heteroskedastic Measurement Errors Daniel G. Sullivan	WP-01-23
The Mis-Measurement of Permanent Earnings: New Evidence from Social Security Earnings Data <i>Bhashkar Mazumder</i>	WP-01-24
Pricing IPOs of Mutual Thrift Conversions: The Joint Effect of Regulation and Market Discipline <i>Elijah Brewer III, Douglas D. Evanoff and Jacky So</i>	WP-01-25
Opportunity Cost and Prudentiality: An Analysis of Collateral Decisions in Bilateral and Multilateral Settings <i>Herbert L. Baer, Virginia G. France and James T. Moser</i>	WP-01-26
Outsourcing Business Services and the Role of Central Administrative Offices <i>Yukako Ono</i>	WP-02-01
Strategic Responses to Regulatory Threat in the Credit Card Market* Victor Stango	WP-02-02
The Optimal Mix of Taxes on Money, Consumption and Income <i>Fiorella De Fiore and Pedro Teles</i>	WP-02-03
Expectation Traps and Monetary Policy Stefania Albanesi, V. V. Chari and Lawrence J. Christiano	WP-02-04
Monetary Policy in a Financial Crisis Lawrence J. Christiano, Christopher Gust and Jorge Roldos	WP-02-05
Regulatory Incentives and Consolidation: The Case of Commercial Bank Mergers and the Community Reinvestment Act Raphael Bostic, Hamid Mehran, Anna Paulson and Marc Saidenberg	WP-02-06
Technological Progress and the Geographic Expansion of the Banking Industry <i>Allen N. Berger and Robert DeYoung</i>	WP-02-07
Choosing the Right Parents: Changes in the Intergenerational Transmission of Inequality — Between 1980 and the Early 1990s <i>David I. Levine and Bhashkar Mazumder</i>	WP-02-08

The Immediacy Implications of Exchange Organization James T. Moser	WP-02-09
Maternal Employment and Overweight Children Patricia M. Anderson, Kristin F. Butcher and Phillip B. Levine	WP-02-10
The Costs and Benefits of Moral Suasion: Evidence from the Rescue of Long-Term Capital Management <i>Craig Furfine</i>	WP-02-11
On the Cyclical Behavior of Employment, Unemployment and Labor Force Participation <i>Marcelo Veracierto</i>	WP-02-12
Do Safeguard Tariffs and Antidumping Duties Open or Close Technology Gaps? Meredith A. Crowley	WP-02-13
Technology Shocks Matter Jonas D. M. Fisher	WP-02-14
Money as a Mechanism in a Bewley Economy Edward J. Green and Ruilin Zhou	WP-02-15
Optimal Fiscal and Monetary Policy: Equivalence Results Isabel Correia, Juan Pablo Nicolini and Pedro Teles	WP-02-16
Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations and the Dynamics of Retail Trade Industries on the U.SCanada Border <i>Jeffrey R. Campbell and Beverly Lapham</i>	WP-02-17
Bank Procyclicality, Credit Crunches, and Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects: A Unifying Model Robert R. Bliss and George G. Kaufman	WP-02-18
Location of Headquarter Growth During the 90s Thomas H. Klier	WP-02-19
The Value of Banking Relationships During a Financial Crisis: Evidence from Failures of Japanese Banks Elijah Brewer III, Hesna Genay, William Curt Hunter and George G. Kaufman	WP-02-20
On the Distribution and Dynamics of Health Costs Eric French and John Bailey Jones	WP-02-21
The Effects of Progressive Taxation on Labor Supply when Hours and Wages are Jointly Determined Daniel Aaronson and Eric French	WP-02-22
Inter-industry Contagion and the Competitive Effects of Financial Distress Announcements: Evidence from Commercial Banks and Life Insurance Companies <i>Elijah Brewer III and William E. Jackson III</i>	WP-02-23

State-Contingent Bank Regulation With Unobserved Action and Unobserved Characteristics David A. Marshall and Edward Simpson Prescott	WP-02-24
Local Market Consolidation and Bank Productive Efficiency Douglas D. Evanoff and Evren Örs	WP-02-25
Life-Cycle Dynamics in Industrial Sectors. The Role of Banking Market Structure Nicola Cetorelli	WP-02-26
Private School Location and Neighborhood Characteristics Lisa Barrow	WP-02-27
Teachers and Student Achievement in the Chicago Public High Schools Daniel Aaronson, Lisa Barrow and William Sander	WP-02-28
The Crime of 1873: Back to the Scene <i>François R. Velde</i>	WP-02-29
Trade Structure, Industrial Structure, and International Business Cycles Marianne Baxter and Michael A. Kouparitsas	WP-02-30