

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR ECONOMIC RESEARCH



WORKING PAPER SERIES

Alfred Müller and Marco Scarsini

ARCHIMEDEAN COPULAE AND POSITIVE DEPENDENCE

Working Paper no. 25/2003
July 2003

APPLIED MATHEMATICS
WORKING PAPER SERIES



Archimedean Copulae and Positive Dependence*

Alfred Müller[†]

Institut für Wirtschaftstheorie und Operations Research

Universität Karlsruhe, Geb. 20.21

D-76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

`mueller@wior.uni-karlsruhe.de`

Marco Scarsini

Dipartimento di Statistica e Matematica Applicata

Università di Torino

Piazza Arbarello 8

I-10122 Torino, Italy

and ICER

`scarsini@econ.unito.it`

June 2003

*Partially supported by the Vigoni Program and MIUR-COFIN

[†]Corresponding author

Abstract

In the first part of the paper we consider positive dependence properties of Archimedean copulae. Especially we characterize the Archimedean copulae that are multivariate totally positive of order 2 (MTP_2) and conditionally increasing in sequence. In the second part we investigate conditions for binary sequences to admit an Archimedean copula.

AMS 2000 Subject Classification: Primary 60E15, Secondary 60G09, 62H10, 62H20.

Keywords: Conditionally increasing, MTP_2 , positive lower orthant dependent, exchangeability, binary sequences.

1 Introduction

Archimedean copulae have recently received increasing attention for their computational tractability and their flexibility to model dependencies between exchangeable random variables. They have been introduced by Kimberling (1974). Some basic contributions have been given by Genest and MacKay (1986), Marshall and Olkin (1988), Ballerini (1994), and Oakes (1994). More specific results about Archimedean copulae have been given, among others, by Genest and Rivest (1993), who studied statistical inference, Bagdonavičius et al. (1999), who provided characterizations, and Juri and Wüthrich (2002) proved convergence theorems for tail events. Cuculescu and Theodorescu (2003) investigate unimodality of bivariate Archimedean copulas. In recent years these copulae have been used more and more as a tool for modelling dependence in many diverse areas. Frees and Valdez (1998) demonstrated their usefulness for problems in actuarial sciences. In the literature on financial mathematics they have been considered in Embrechts et al. (2003) and Hennessy and Lapan (2002).

In this paper we will characterize several well known notions of positive dependence in the case of Archimedean copulae. For the case of positive orthant dependence such a result can be found in Joe (1997). Some results for the bivariate case can also be found in Averous and Dortet-Bernadet (2000). Bassan and Spizzichino (2002) study dependence and aging concepts for bivariate distributions in terms of an object that they call Archimedean semi-copula.

In this paper we deal with the multivariate case. In particular we derive necessary and sufficient conditions for the generator of an Archimedean copula to yield a random vector which is MTP_2 or conditionally increasing in sequence. For these two important notions of positive dependence the reader is referred for instance to Barlow and Proschan (1975) and Karlin and Rinott (1980).

Moreover, we will show that any infinitely exchangeable binary sequence of random variables admits a representation by an Archimedean copula. This demonstrates the flexibility of Archimedean copulae for modelling dependence.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first give the basic definitions on copulae and notions of positive dependence, and then state the main results characterizing these concepts of dependence for Archimedean copulae. In Section 3 we investigate the relation between exchangeable binary sequences and Archimedean copulae.

2 Copulae and Positive dependence

The notion of copula has been introduced by Sklar (1959), and studied, among others, by Kimeldorf and Sampson (1975), under the name of uniform representation, and by Deheuvels (1978), under the name of dependence function. The copula is one of the most useful tools for handling multivariate distributions with dependent components. Formally, given a distribution function F with marginals F_1, \dots, F_d , there exists a function $C : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow [0, 1]$ such that, for all $\mathbf{x} := (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = C(F_1(x_1), F_2(x_2), \dots, F_d(x_d)). \quad (2.1)$$

The function C is unique on $\times_{i=1}^d \text{Ran}(F_i)$, the product of the ranges of F_i , $i = 1, \dots, d$. Therefore, if F is continuous, then C is unique and can be constructed as follows

$$C(\mathbf{u}) = F[F_1^{-1}(u_1), F_2^{-1}(u_2), \dots, F_d^{-1}(u_d)], \quad \mathbf{u} = (u_1, \dots, u_d) \in [0, 1]^d. \quad (2.2)$$

Here the (generalized) inverse G^{-1} of a univariate distribution function G is defined as

$$G^{-1}(u) = \sup\{x : G(x) \leq u\}, \quad u \in [0, 1].$$

Otherwise C can be extended to $[0, 1]^d$ in such a way that it is (the restriction to $[0, 1]^d$ of) a distribution function with uniform marginals on $[0, 1]$. Any such extension is called copula of F . The construction of a particularly interesting extension is shown in detail in Schweizer and Sklar (1983). Most of the multivariate dependence structure properties of F are in the copula, which does not depend on the marginals, and is often easier to handle than the original F . More details about copulae can be found in Joe (1997), Nelsen (1999), and Roncalli (2001).

An interesting class of copulae was introduced by Kimberling (1974), and studied, among others by Genest and MacKay (1986).

Definition 2.1. A function $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is called *d-alternating* if $(-1)^k \psi^{(k)} \geq 0$ for $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. A function which is *d-alternating* for all $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is called *completely monotone*.

Definition 2.2. A copula C_ψ is called *Archimedean* if it has the form

$$C_\psi(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right), \quad (2.3)$$

where $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a *d-alternating* function such that $\psi(0) = 1$, and $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} \psi(x) = 0$. The function ψ is called *generator* of the copula.

Notice that other authors call generator the function ψ^{-1} (see e.g. Nelsen (1999)).

Remark 2.3. We indicate by C^\perp the copula of a distribution with independent components: $C^\perp(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \prod_{i=1}^d x_i$. It is easy to see that C^\perp is a particular case of Archimedean copula with $\psi(x) = \exp(-x)$, $x \geq 0$.

Remark 2.4. Let Y_1, \dots, Y_d be i.i.d non-negative random variables, independent of the random variable Z . Then the vector

$$\mathbf{X} = (Y_1^{1/Z}, \dots, Y_d^{1/Z})$$

has an Archimedean copula whose generator is given by the Laplace transform of Z , $\psi(t) = E \exp(-tZ)$, $t \geq 0$. This result is due to Marshall and Olkin (1988).

Whenever we consider a subset $I \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$ of the coordinates of a random vector $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$, we will write $\mathbf{X}_I = (X_i, i \in I)$, and throughout the paper we will assume the existence of regular conditional probabilities $P(\mathbf{X}_I \in A | \mathbf{X}_J = \mathbf{x}_J)$ for all $A \in \mathbb{R}^{|I|}$, $\mathbf{x}_J \in \mathbb{R}^{|J|}$, $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$. Assuming the existence of the corresponding derivatives, it holds for an arbitrary random vector \mathbf{X} with distribution function F that

$$P(X_{i+1} \leq t | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_i = x_i) = \frac{\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_i} F(x_1, \dots, x_i, t, 1, \dots, 1)}{\frac{\partial^i}{\partial x_1 \dots \partial x_i} F(x_1, \dots, x_i, 1, 1, \dots, 1)}. \quad (2.4)$$

When $F = C_\psi$ equation (2.4) implies

$$P(X_i \leq t | \mathbf{X}_J = \mathbf{x}_J) = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_J} \psi \left(\sum_{j \in J} \psi^{-1}(x_j) + \psi^{-1}(t) \right)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_J} \psi \left(\sum_{j \in J} \psi^{-1}(x_j) \right)}. \quad (2.5)$$

We recall now some well known concepts of positive dependence. To do that we need the concept of *supermodular function*. A function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is supermodular, if

$$f(\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y}) + f(\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y}) \geq f(\mathbf{x}) + f(\mathbf{y}) \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \text{ and } \mathbf{y}, \quad (2.6)$$

where the lattice operators \wedge and \vee are defined as

$$\mathbf{x} \wedge \mathbf{y} = (\min\{x_1, y_1\}, \dots, \min\{x_d, y_d\}),$$

and

$$\mathbf{x} \vee \mathbf{y} = (\max\{x_1, y_1\}, \dots, \max\{x_d, y_d\}).$$

A twice differentiable function is supermodular if and only if all mixed derivatives are non-negative, i.e.

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} f(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } \mathbf{x} \quad \text{and all } 1 \leq i < j \leq n.$$

Definition 2.5. Given two copulae C, K , we say that $C \geq_{\text{LO}} K$ if $C(x_1, \dots, x_d) \geq K(x_1, \dots, x_d)$, for all $(x_1, \dots, x_d) \in [0, 1]^d$.

Definition 2.6. A copula C is

- (a) PLOD (Positive Lower Orthant Dependent) if $C \geq_{\text{LO}} C^\perp$,
- (b) CIS if $\mathbf{X} \sim C$ and X_i is stochastically increasing in (X_1, \dots, X_{i-1}) for all $i \in \{2, \dots, d\}$, i.e. if $P(X_i > t | X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_{i-1} = x_{i-1})$ is increasing in x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} for all t .
- (c) CI if $\mathbf{X} \sim C$ and X_i is stochastically increasing in \mathbf{X}_J for all $i \notin J$ and all $J \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$,
- (d) MTP_2 if C has a density which is log-supermodular, i.e. if

$$\log \frac{\partial^d}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_d} C(x_1, \dots, x_d) \tag{2.7}$$

is supermodular.

For the concepts of PLOD and CIS we refer to Lehmann (1966) and Barlow and Proschan (1975). The idea of MTP_2 was studied by Karlin and Rinott (1980). CI was introduced by Müller and Scarsini (2001).

The following result can be found in Joe (1997), page 109.

Theorem 2.7. *The Archimedean copula C_ψ is PLOD iff $\psi \circ \exp(\cdot)$ is superadditive.*

The following theorem establishes conditions for CI and CIS of an Archimedean copula.

Theorem 2.8. *For an Archimedean copula C_ψ the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) C_ψ is CIS,
- (b) C_ψ is CI,
- (c) $(-1)^k \psi^{(k)}(\cdot)$ is log-convex for $k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$,

(d) $(-1)^{d-1}\psi^{(d-1)}(\cdot)$ is log-convex.

In the proof of Theorem 2.8 we need the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.9. *If $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ is decreasing and log-convex, and $f(x) = \int_x^\infty g(t) dt$ is finite, then f is log-convex, too.*

Proof. It is known that the set of log-convex functions is a convex cone closed under the taking of limits (see Roberts and Varberg (1973), Theorem F, page 19). Moreover if g is log-convex, then $x \mapsto g(x + \alpha)$ is log-convex for all $\alpha > 0$. The result then follows from the fact that

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g \left(x + \frac{i}{n} \right) \right).$$

□

Proof of Theorem 2.8. The equivalence of CI and CIS is due to exchangeability of the Archimedean copula. By (2.5), C_ψ is CI iff

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_J} \psi \left(\sum_{j \in J} \psi^{-1}(x_j) + \psi^{-1}(t) \right)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_J} \psi \left(\sum_{j \in J} \psi^{-1}(x_j) \right)}$$

is decreasing in \mathbf{x}_J for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Since ψ is decreasing (and therefore ψ^{-1} is decreasing), the above expression is decreasing in \mathbf{x}_J if it is increasing in $\sum_{j \in J} \psi^{-1}(x_j)$ on $(0, \infty)$. So we want

$$\frac{\psi^{(k)}(\mathbf{y} + z)}{\psi^{(k)}(\mathbf{y})}$$

to be increasing in \mathbf{y} for all $z \in (0, \infty)$, for $k = |J|$. This holds iff

$$\log \left((-1)^k \psi^{(k)}(\mathbf{y} + z) \right) - \log \left((-1)^k \psi^{(k)}(\mathbf{y}) \right)$$

is increasing in \mathbf{y} for all $z \in (0, \infty)$, namely, $\log((-1)^k \psi^{(k)})$ is convex for $k \in \{1, \dots, d-1\}$.

By Lemma 2.9 this is true iff $(-1)^{d-1}\psi^{(d-1)}$ is log-convex, since

$$(-1)^k \psi^{(k)}(x) = \int_x^\infty (-1)^{k+1} \psi^{(k+1)}(t) dt \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, d-2.$$

□

Remark 2.10. In the bivariate case the condition for an arbitrary copula to be CI is concavity in each direction, while the other is held fixed (see Nelsen (1999)). An easy calculation shows that this is equivalent to log-convexity of $-\psi'(\cdot)$, namely, condition (d) of Theorem 2.8.

Theorem 2.11. *The Archimedean copula C_ψ is MTP_2 iff $(-1)^d\psi^{(d)}(\cdot)$ is log-convex.*

Proof. Let C_ψ be MTP_2 . For its density we get the expression

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\partial^d}{\partial x_1 \cdots \partial x_d} \psi \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) = \psi^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^d (\psi^{-1})'(x_i).$$

Therefore ψ is MTP_2 if and only if

$$\log f(\mathbf{x}) = \log \left((-1)^d \psi^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) \right) + \sum_{i=1}^d \log ((-\psi^{-1})'(x_i))$$

is supermodular, which is equivalent to

$$\log \left((-1)^d \psi^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) \right)$$

being supermodular, that is its mixed derivatives must be non-negative. In case of the first two coordinates this leads to the condition

$$\frac{(-1)^{d+2} \psi^{(d+2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) (\psi^{-1})'(x_1) (\psi^{-1})'(x_2) (-1)^d \psi^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right)}{\left(\psi^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) \right)^2} - \frac{\left((-1)^{d+1} \psi^{(d+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) \right)^2 (\psi^{-1})'(x_1) (\psi^{-1})'(x_2)}{\left(\psi^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) \right)^2} \geq 0$$

for all x_1, \dots, x_d , and to similar conditions in the other cases. Since $(\psi^{-1})'(x_1)(\psi^{-1})'(x_2) \geq 0$, we need

$$(-1)^{d+2} \psi^{(d+2)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) (-1)^d \psi^{(d)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) \geq \left((-1)^{d+1} \psi^{(d+1)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i) \right) \right)^2$$

for all x_1, \dots, x_d , or equivalently,

$$\psi^{(d+2)}(y) \psi^{(d)}(y) \geq \left(\psi^{(d+1)}(y) \right)^2$$

for all y , which corresponds to $(-1)^d \psi^{(d)}$ being log-convex. \square

Remark 2.12. The condition for MTP_2 ($(-1)^d \psi^{(d)}$ log-convex) is *strictly* stronger than the condition for CI ($(-1)^{d-1} \psi^{(d-1)}$ log-convex). The following example shows a copula which is CI, but not MTP_2 . Let Φ be the distribution function of a standard normal

$$\Phi(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp\left\{-\frac{t^2}{2}\right\} dt.$$

Let $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be such that $\psi'(x) = -c \exp\{-g(x)\}$ with

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 2\Phi(x) - 1, & x \leq a \\ \alpha x + \beta, & x > a, \end{cases}$$

where $c^{-1} = \int_0^\infty \exp\{-g(x)\} dx$, where a is a large constant, and α and β are such that g is continuously differentiable in a , and therefore $g : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is concave.

Then

$$\psi''(x) = c \exp\{-g(x)\} g'(x).$$

We see that $\log(-\psi'(x)) = \log c - g(x)$ is convex, but

$$\begin{aligned} \log(\psi''(x)) &= \log c - g(x) + \log(g'(x)) \\ &= \begin{cases} \log c - (2\Phi(x) - 1) + \log 2 - \frac{1}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{x^2}{2}, & x \leq a, \\ \log c - (\alpha x + \beta) + \log \alpha, & x > a, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

is clearly not convex for large enough a .

Therefore $C_\psi : [0, 1]^2 \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is CI, but not MTP_2 .

3 Binary random variables

In Section 2 we have shown how positive dependence concepts can be characterized for Archimedean copulae. In this section we will try to determine how general is the assumption of an Archimedean copula for binary random variables.

Theorem 3.1. *For every infinite exchangeable binary sequence $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ there exists a generator ψ of an Archimedean copula such that $\psi\left(\sum_{i=1}^d \psi^{-1}(x_i)\right)$ is a copula of (Y_1, \dots, Y_d) .*

Proof. Given $d \in \mathbb{N}$, we know by de Finetti's theorem that there exists a random variable Θ with distribution μ_Θ supported in $[0, 1]$ such that

$$P(Y_i = 1, i \in I; Y_j = 0, j \in J) = \int \theta^{|I|} (1 - \theta)^{|J|} \mu_\Theta(d\theta).$$

Consider a random vector (X_1, \dots, X_d) of i.i.d. random variables, uniformly distributed on $[0, 1]$.

By Corollary 2.2 of Marshall and Olkin (1988) we know that, if Z is a random variable, then the vector $(X_1^{1/Z}, \dots, X_d^{1/Z})$ has an Archimedean copula C_ψ with

$$\psi(t) = E[\exp\{-tZ\}]. \quad (3.1)$$

Define

$$Z = \frac{\ln(1 - \Theta)}{\ln(1/2)}, \quad \tilde{Y}_i = \mathbf{1}_{[X_i^{1/Z} \geq 1/2]}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then for any partition I, J of $\{1, \dots, d\}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P(\tilde{Y}_i = 1, i \in I; \tilde{Y}_j = 0, j \in J) &= P\left(X_i^{1/Z} \geq \frac{1}{2}, i \in I; X_j^{1/Z} < \frac{1}{2}, j \in J\right) \\ &= P(X_i \geq 1 - \Theta, i \in I; X_j < 1 - \Theta, j \in J) \\ &= \int P(X_i \geq 1 - \theta, i \in I; X_j < 1 - \theta, j \in J) \mu_\Theta(d\theta) \\ &= \int \theta^{|I|} (1 - \theta)^{|J|} \mu_\Theta(d\theta). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\{Y_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{\tilde{Y}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ are stochastically equal, and since \tilde{Y}_i is an increasing function of $X_i^{1/Z}$, the vector (Y_1, \dots, Y_d) therefore has a common copula with $(X_1^{1/Z}, \dots, X_d^{1/Z})$. \square

Remark 3.2. The above result fails for finite exchangeable sequences, as the following example shows. Let $\mathbf{X} = (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ be exchangeable and such that

$$P(\mathbf{X} = (0, 0, 1)) = P(\mathbf{X} = (0, 1, 0)) = P(\mathbf{X} = (1, 0, 0)) = \frac{1}{3}. \quad (3.2)$$

Therefore $P(X_1 = 1) = 1/3$ and any copula C of (X_1, X_2, X_3) is such that

$$C\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, 1\right) = \frac{1}{3}, \quad C\left(\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right) = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

If there existed an Archimedean copula that satisfies (3.3), then there would exist a 3-alternating function ψ such that $\psi^{-1}(1) = 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \psi\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + \psi^{-1}(1)\right) &= \frac{1}{3} \\ \psi\left(\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) + \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right)\right) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} 2\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) &= \psi^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \\ 3\psi^{-1}\left(\frac{2}{3}\right) &= \psi^{-1}(0). \end{aligned}$$

Hence ψ^{-1} is affine on $[0, 1]$. So ψ has a kink in $\psi^{-1}(0)$, and therefore it cannot be 3-alternating.

The counterexample holds also for small perturbations of (3.2).

An exchangeable random vector (X_1, \dots, X_d) is said k -extendible ($k > d$) if it is the initial part of a k -dimensional exchangeable random vector.

It is clear that an exchangeable random vector with an Archimedean copula C_ψ is k -extendible iff ψ is k -alternating.

Theorem 3.1 shows that there always exists a completely monotone function ψ which is the generator of the copula of an infinitely extendible binary exchangeable random vector. Frey and McNeil (2001) have proved the reverse implication, namely, they have shown that if (X_1, \dots, X_d) have an Archimedean copula C_ψ with ψ completely monotone, then the indicators $(Y_i = \mathbf{1}_{[X_i \leq t]})$ form an exchangeable Bernoulli sequence.

Remark 3.2 shows that k -extendibility is not enough to ensure the existence of a k -alternating ψ with the same property.

Remark 3.3. It is possible for arbitrary dimension d to have an Archimedean copula of a binary exchangeable random vector \mathbf{X} such that $P(\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{0}) = 0$. For instance take

$$C(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^d (x_i)^{\frac{1}{d-1}} - (d-1) \right)_+^{d-1}$$

References

- AVEROUS, J. and DORTET-BERNADET, J.-L. (2000) LTD and RTI dependence orderings. *Canad. J. Statist.* **28**, 151–157.
- BAGDONAVIČIUS, V., MALOV, S., and NIKULIN, M. (1999) Characterizations and semi-parametric regression estimation in Archimedean copulas. *J. Appl. Statist. Sci.* **8**, 137–153.
- BALLERINI, R. (1994) Archimedean copulas, exchangeability, and max-stability. *J. Appl. Probab.* **31**, 383–390.

- BARLOW, R. E. and PROSCHAN, F. (1975) *Statistical Theory of Reliability and Life Testing*. Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York.
- BASSAN, B. and SPIZZICHINO, F. (2002) Relations among univariate aging, bivariate aging and dependence for exchangeable lifetimes. Mimeo.
- CUCULESCU, I. and THEODORESCU, R. (2003) Are copulas unimodal? *J. Multivariate Anal.* **86**, 48–71.
- DEHEUVELS, P. (1978) Caractérisation complète des lois extrêmes multivariées et de la convergence des types extrêmes. *Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris* **23**, 1–37.
- EMBRECHTS, P., HÖING, A., and JURI, A. (2003) Using copulae to bound the Value-at-Risk for functions of dependent risks. *Finance Stoch.* **7**, 145–167.
- FREES, E. W. and VALDEZ, E. (1998) Understanding relationships using copulas. *North Amer. Actuar. J.* **2**, 1–25.
- FREY, R. and MCNEIL, A. J. (2001) Modelling dependent defaults. Mimeo.
- GENEST, C. and MACKAY, R. J. (1986) Copules archimédiennes et familles de lois bidimensionnelles dont les marges sont données. *Canad. J. Statist.* **14**, 145–159.
- GENEST, C. and RIVEST, L.-P. (1993) Statistical inference procedures for bivariate Archimedean copulas. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **88**, 1034–1043.
- HENNESSY, D. A. and LAPAN, H. E. (2002) The use of Archimedean copulas to model portfolio allocations. *Math. Finance* **12**, 143–154.
- JOE, H. (1997) *Multivariate Models and Dependence Concepts*. Chapman & Hall, London.
- JURI, A. and WÜTHRICH, M. V. (2002) Copula convergence theorems for tail events. *Insurance Math. Econom.* **30**, 405–420.
- KARLIN, S. and RINOTT, Y. (1980) Classes of orderings of measures and related correlation inequalities. I. Multivariate totally positive distributions. *J. Multivariate Anal.* **10**, 467–498.
- KIMBERLING, C. H. (1974) A probabilistic interpretation of complete monotonicity. *Aequationes Math.* **10**, 152–164.

- KIMELDORF, G. and SAMPSON, A. (1975) Uniform representations of bivariate distributions. *Comm. Statist.* **4**, 617–627.
- LEHMANN, E. L. (1966) Some concepts of dependence. *Ann. Math. Statist.* **37**, 1137–1153.
- MARSHALL, A. W. and OLKIN, I. (1988) Families of multivariate distributions. *J. Amer. Statist. Assoc.* **83**, 834–841.
- MÜLLER, A. and SCARSINI, M. (2001) Stochastic comparison of random vectors with a common copula. *Math. Oper. Res.* **26**, 723–740.
- NELSEN, R. B. (1999) *An Introduction to Copulas*. Springer-Verlag, New York.
- OAKES, D. (1994) Multivariate survival distributions. *J. Nonparametr. Statist.* **3**, 343–354.
- ROBERTS, A. W. and VARBERG, D. E. (1973) *Convex Functions*. Academic Press, New York-London.
- RONCALLI, T. (2001) Gestion des risques multiples. Technical report, Groupe de Recherche Opérationnelle, Crédit Lyonnais.
- SCHWEIZER, B. and SKLAR, A. (1983) *Probabilistic Metric Spaces*. North-Holland Publishing Co., New York.
- SKLAR, M. (1959) Fonctions de répartition à n dimensions et leurs marges. *Publ. Inst. Statist. Univ. Paris* **8**, 229–231.

INTERNATIONAL CENTRE FOR ECONOMIC RESEARCH
APPLIED MATHEMATICS WORKING PAPER SERIES

1. Luigi Montrucchio and Fabio Privileggi, "On Fragility of Bubbles in Equilibrium Asset Pricing Models of Lucas-Type," *Journal of Economic Theory* 101, 158-188, 2001 (ICER WP 2001/5).
2. Massimo Marinacci, "Probabilistic Sophistication and Multiple Priors," *Econometrica* 70, 755-764, 2002 (ICER WP 2001/8).
3. Massimo Marinacci and Luigi Montrucchio, "Subcalculus for Set Functions and Cores of TU Games," *Journal of Mathematical Economics* 39, 1-25, 2003 (ICER WP 2001/9).
4. Juan Dubra, Fabio Maccheroni, and Efe Ok, "Expected Utility Theory without the Completeness Axiom," *Journal of Economic Theory*, forthcoming (ICER WP 2001/11).
5. Adriana Castaldo and Massimo Marinacci, "Random Correspondences as Bundles of Random Variables," April 2001 (ICER WP 2001/12).
6. Paolo Ghirardato, Fabio Maccheroni, Massimo Marinacci, and Marciano Siniscalchi, "A Subjective Spin on Roulette Wheels," *Econometrica*, forthcoming (ICER WP 2001/17).
7. Domenico Menicucci, "Optimal Two-Object Auctions with Synergies," July 2001 (ICER WP 2001/18).
8. Paolo Ghirardato and Massimo Marinacci, "Risk, Ambiguity, and the Separation of Tastes and Beliefs," *Mathematics of Operations Research* 26, 864-890, 2001 (ICER WP 2001/21).
9. Andrea Roncoroni, "Change of Numeraire for Affine Arbitrage Pricing Models Driven By Multifactor Market Point Processes," September 2001 (ICER WP 2001/22).
10. Maitreesh Ghatak, Massimo Morelli, and Tomas Sjöström, "Credit Rationing, Wealth Inequality, and Allocation of Talent", September 2001 (ICER WP 2001/23).
11. Fabio Maccheroni and William H. Ruckle, "BV as a Dual Space," *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico dell'Università di Padova*, 107, 101-109, 2002 (ICER WP 2001/29).
12. Fabio Maccheroni, "Yaari Dual Theory without the Completeness Axiom," *Economic Theory*, forthcoming (ICER WP 2001/30).
13. Umberto Cherubini and Elisa Luciano, "Multivariate Option Pricing with Copulas," January 2002 (ICER WP 2002/5).
14. Umberto Cherubini and Elisa Luciano, "Pricing Vulnerable Options with Copulas," January 2002 (ICER WP 2002/6).
15. Steven Haberman and Elena Vigna, "Optimal Investment Strategies and Risk Measures in Defined Contribution Pension Schemes," *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 31, 35-69, 2002 (ICER WP 2002/10).
16. Enrico Diecidue and Fabio Maccheroni, "Coherence without Additivity," *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, forthcoming (ICER WP 2002/11).
17. Paolo Ghirardato, Fabio Maccheroni, and Massimo Marinacci, "Ambiguity from the Differential Viewpoint," April 2002 (ICER WP 2002/17).

18. Massimo Marinacci and Luigi Montrucchio, "A Characterization of the Core of Convex Games through Gateaux Derivatives," *Journal of Economic Theory*, forthcoming (ICER WP 2002/18).
19. Fabio Maccheroni and Massimo Marinacci, "How to Cut a Pizza Fairly: Fair Division with Decreasing Marginal Evaluations," *Social Choice and Welfare*, 20, 457-465, 2003 (ICER WP 2002/23).
20. Erio Castagnoli, Fabio Maccheroni and Massimo Marinacci, "Insurance Premia Consistent with the Market," *Insurance: Mathematics and Economics* 31, 267-284, 2002 (ICER WP 2002/24).
21. Fabio Privileggi and Guido Cozzi, "Wealth Polarization and Pulverization in Fractal Societies," September 2002 (ICER WP 2002/39).
22. Paolo Ghirardato, Fabio Maccheroni, and Massimo Marinacci, "Certainty Independence and the Separation of Utility and Beliefs," December 2002 (ICER WP 2002/40).
23. Salvatore Modica and Marco Scarsini, "The Convexity-Cone Approach to Comparative Risk and Downside Risk", January 2003 (ICER WP 2003/1).
24. Claudio Mattalia, "Existence of Solutions and Asset Pricing Bubbles in General Equilibrium Models", January 2003 (ICER WP 2003/2).
25. Massimo Marinacci and Luigi Montrucchio, "Cores and Stable Sets of Finite Dimensional Games", March 2003 (ICER WP 2003/7).
26. Jerome Renault, Sergio Scarlatti, and Marco Scarsini, "A Folk Theorem for Minority Games", April 2003 (ICER WP 2003/10).
27. Peter Klibanoff, Massimo Marinacci, and Sujoy Mukerji, "A Smooth Model of Decision Making under Ambiguity", April 2003 (ICER WP 2003/11).
28. Massimo Marinacci and Luigi Montrucchio, "Ultramodular Functions", June 2003 (ICER WP 2003/13).
29. Erio Castagnoli, Fabio Maccheroni, and Massimo Marinacci, "Choquet Insurance Pricing: a Caveat", June 2003 (ICER WP 2003/14).
30. Thibault Gajdos and Eric Maurin, "Unequal Uncertainties and Uncertain Inequalities: an Axiomatic Approach, June 2003 (ICER WP 2003/15).
31. Thibault Gajdos and John A. Weymark, "Multidimensional Generalized Gini Indices", June 2003 (ICER WP 2003/16).
32. Thibault Gajdos, Jean-Marc Tallon, and Jean-Christophe Vergnaud, "Decision Making with Imprecise Probabilistic Information", June 2003 (ICER WP 2003/18).
33. Alfred Müller and Marco Scarsini, "Archimedean Copulae and Positive Dependence", July 2003 (ICER WP 2003/25).
34. Bruno Bassan, Olivier Gossner, Marco Scarsini, and Shmuel Zamir, "Positive Value of Information in Games", *International Journal of Game Theory*, forthcoming (ICER WP 2003/26).
35. Marco Dall'Aglio and Marco Scarsini, "Zonoids, Linear Dependence, and Size-Biased Distributions on the Simplex", *Advances in Applied Probability* forthcoming (ICER WP 2003/27).