

Dipartimento di Politiche Pubbliche e Scelte Collettive – POLIS Department of Public Policy and Public Choice – POLIS

Working paper n. 127

December 2008

Who likes circus animals?

**Roberto Zanola** 

UNIVERSITA' DEL PIEMONTE ORIENTALE "Amedeo Avogadro" ALESSANDRIA

Periodico mensile on-line "POLIS Working Papers" - Iscrizione n.591 del 12/05/2006 - Tribunale di Alessandria

# Who likes circus animals?

Roberto Zanola\*

University of Eastern Piedmont Department of Public Policy and Public Choice, Italy Rimini Center for Economic Analysis (RCEA), Italy

December 11, 2008

#### Abstract

Using a sample based on 268 questionnaires submitted to people attending the Acquatico Bellucci circus, Italy, this paper analyzes the circusgoers's preferences for circus animals. Results show that higher preferences for circus animals are related to frequency of consumption.

However, differently from what commonly expected, more educated and younger people seem to be less sensitive to the claims of animal welfare organizations.

JEL Classification: C2; D2; Z1.

Key Words: circus; demand; performing arts; Italy; attendance.

<sup>\*</sup>A first draft of this work was completed while I was visiting at University of York, Department of Economics. Thanks are due to Andrew Jones. The usual disclaimers apply.

### 1 Introduction

Animals play a vital role in the performances of traditional circuses. However, worldwide there is a growing movement against the use of animals in the circus shows. Many animal welfare compaigners want wild animals banned from circuses, claiming it is undignified and wrong. Although this appears a questionable issue [Paladino, 1990; ECA, 2005], animal lobbies have induced Parlaments to produce more and more stringent legislations on animal welfare. These regulations are likely to preclude smaller circuses from having numbers with animals, as well as imposing growing costs on bigger circus which will impact on future shows, undermining the possibility for traditionl circuses to exist in the future.

Without going into the debate on animal rights, which is out of the scope of this study, an implicit assumption in such a debate is that circusgoers like circus animals. Is it true? The purpose of this paper is to empirical investigate such a question. To this aim, a sample of 268 questionnaires submitted to people attending Acquatico Bellucci circus in Alessandria (Italy) were used.

### 2 Method and data

Circusgoers's preferences for circus animals are represented by a continuous latent variable  $Y^*$ . However, since these preferences are not directly observable, the questionaire responses, Y, are used as a proxy for such preferences. The impact of independent variables on preferences for animal circus are assessed by applying the generalized ordered logit model, which relaxes the assumption of the standard ordinal regression analysis that the explanatory variables have equal effects across the levels of preference.

The generalized ordered logit model estimates a set of coefficients for each of the M-1 points at which the dependent variable can be dichotomized. It can be shown that the probabilities that Y will take on each of the values 1, ..., M are equal to

$$P(Y_{i} = 1) = 1 - F\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}^{\prime}\beta_{1}\right)$$

$$P(Y_{i} = j) = F\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\beta_{j-1}\right) - F\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\beta_{j}\right) \quad j = 2, ..., M - 1$$

$$P(Y_{i} = M) = F\left(\mathbf{X}_{i}\beta_{M-1}\right)$$

$$(1)$$

where  $\beta$  is a  $K \times 1$  vector, X contains K explanatory variables, and F (.) is the cumulative logistic function.

The design for this study was based on a structured questionnaire survey conducted in Alessandria (Italy), between 1 and 11 March 2007. A sample of people attending Acquatico Bellucci circus, intended to be representative of Italian circusgoers [Zanola, 2008], received a self-reported questionnaire consisting of 12 questions. 268 questionnaires were completed. Table 1 summarizes the main statistics.

#### [Insert Table 1 about here]

The dependent variable, *anima*, measures the preferences of circusgoers for circus animals. It is measured on a four-point scale with categories 1 =no preference, 2 =

low preference, 3 = moderate preference, 4 = high preference. The independent variables are gender, *male*, a dummy variable which assumes value of 1 if male, 0 otherwise; education, *edu*, a dummy which assumes value of 1 if high school educated or higher, 0 otherwise; circusgoers age, *young*, a dummy which assume value of 1 if aged between 18 and 35 years old, 0 otherwise; younger than frequency, *freq*, a discrete continuous variable which registers how many times the individual went to circus within 3 years before.

#### 3 Results

To evaluate the proportional odds assumption for the multivariate model, Brant test is performed. It indicates that the proportional odds assumption did not hold for some covariates. Hence, a generalized ordered logit model is estimated by using the GOLOGIT2 routine [Williams, 2006] in STATA 10.0. Table 2 displays results.

[Insert Table 2 about here]

The empirical evidence clearly shows that covariates that we have identified play a crucial role in shaping preferences for circus animals. In fact, positive coefficients indicate that higher values on the covariate make it more likely that respondent will be in a higher category of Y than current one. Not surprisingly, gender is a good predictor of preferences for circus animals. Culture and age are also good predictors of preferences. Yet interestingly, the positive sign of both coefficients is not the one that we would expected. In fact, it is commonly assumed that younger and more educated people are more sensitive to animal welfare claims, whereas positive coefficients indicate that higher value of the explanatory variables increase the likelihood of being in the a higher category of preferences. For the unconstrained explanatory variable, individuals become more supportive of circus animals with increasing frequency, but the greatest effect of frequency was to push individuals towards the most extremely positive judgement.

Although the analysis of the impact of a change in covariate on the response variable distribution using marginal probabilities is interesting in its own, the analysis of marginal probabilities may reveal a subtler insight. To this aim, the MFX2 routine in STATA 10.0 is used to estimate the marginal probability effects for a typical consumer, defined for every covariate by fixing the rest of the covariates at their mean (or their mode for categorial covariates). The results are summarized in Table 3.

#### [Insert Table 3 about here]

Examining Table 3, we find that a typical male consumer's probability is 3.7% less probable to report the preference for animal circus as low, and 8.6% more probable to report high preference. Furthermore, individuals perceive significantly higher values of preferences whether more educated and younger. For instance, a typical educated individual is 4,7% less likely to show low preferences, and 11% more likely to find the preference value high. Analogous results for the typical young consumer. Finally, a typical user is 11% more likely to love circus animals, supporting the notion that circus animal 'consumption' is an experience goods, for which future demand relies heavily on the perceived value of the experience after consumption.

## 4 Conclusion

This paper analyzed the preferences for circus animals by individuals who attended circus. Empirical findings suggest some interesting insights. Higher preferences for circus animals are related to frequency of consumption. However, differently from what commonly expected, more educated and younger people seem to be less sensitive to the claims of animal welfare organizations.

The market for circus is substantial worldwide. An understanding of the characteristics of circusgoers' preferences is undoubtedly useful to circus suppliers looking to preserve and expand their markets. All together these results could be a key concern for implementing such marketing strategies.

## References

- ECA (2005), Animals in the circus. Telling the truth, European Circus Association.
- Paladino, E. (1990), Circo e Animali, Ente Nazionale Circhi.
- Pierre, G., Scarpetta, S. (2006), Employment protection: Do firms' perceptions match with legislation?, *Economics Letters*, 328-334.
- Williams, R. (2006), Generalized ordered logit/partial proportional odds models for ordinal dependent variables, *Stata Journal* 6(1), 58-82.
- Zanola, R. (2008), Major influences on circus attendance, *Empirical Economics* (forthcoming).

## Table 1. Preferences for circus animals

Variable	Obs	Mean	Std. Dev.	Min	Max
anima	233	2.7555	1.0928	1	4
male	268	.4589	.4992	0	1
edu	268	.6641	.4732	0	1
young	268	.4179	.4941	0	1
freq	260	1.1231	1.1425	0	3

## Table 2. Preferences for circus animals

anima	Covariate	Coef.	Std.Dev.
1+	male	.4100***	.24551
	edu	.5570**	.2656
	young	.5957**	.2498
	freq	.2552	.1625
	cons	.4165	.3320
2++	male	.4100***	.2455
	edu	.5570**	.2656
	young	.5957**	.2498
	freq	.2285***	.1259
	cons	5904***	.3126
3+++	male	.4100***	.2455
	edu	.5570**	.2656
	young	.5957**	.2498
	freq	.5314*	.1285
	cons	-2.3328*	-3532
		- · · · ·	
Wald $\chi^2$	28.61		
Number of Obs	230		
McFadden Pseudo R <sup>2</sup>	.049		

+ The first panel contrasts category 1 with categories 2,3, and 4; ++ the second panel contrast category 1 and 2 with categories 3 and 4; +++ the third panel contrasts category 1, 2, and 3 with category 4. \*p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \*\*\* p<0.1.

# Table 3. Marginal Probability Effects for Covariates

anima	Covariate	Coef.	Std.Dev.
No preference	male	0585***	.03495
	edu	08621**	.0442
	young	0844*	-0351
	freq	0369	.0231
Low preference	male	03681***	.0227
	edu	0467**	.0221
	young	0532**	.0236
	freq	0167	.0245
Moderate preference	male	.0096	.0090
	edu	.0228	.0177
	young	.0127	.0114
	freq	0567**	.0266
High preference	male	.0857***	.0516
	edu	.1101**	.0497
	young	.1248**	.0529
	freq	.1103*	.0263

\*p<0.01, \*\* p<0.05, \*\*\* p<0.1

# **Recent working papers**

The complete list of working papers is can be found at <u>http://polis.unipmn.it/pubbl</u>

*Economics Ser	ries **Political Theory Series	<sup>ε</sup> Al.Ex Series
2008 n.127*	Roberto Zanola: Who likes circus animals?	
2008 n.126*	Michele Giuranno: Regional income disparity of	und the size of the Public Sector
2008 n.125*	Giorgio Brosio and Roberto Zanola: <i>The welfar</i> contribution to the debate on the outcomes of d	
2008 n.124 <sup>ε</sup>	Guido Ortona, Stefania Ottone, Ferruccio Ponz Some differences in revealed behaviour under d	
2008 n.123*	J. Stephen Ferris, Soo-Bin Park and Stanley L. <i>political competition in the evolution of govern</i>	
2008 n.122**	Stefano Parodi: Il funzionalismo di D. Mitrany: politica	Dall'economia alla scienza
2008 n.121**	Joerg Luther: L'antinegazionismo nell'esperien	za giuridica tedesca e comparata
2008 n.120*	Roberto Zanola: Consumer preferences for circ	us: a cluster approach
2008 n.119*	Roberto Ippoliti: L'incentivazione economica n dell'Azienda Sanitaria Pubblica	ei problemi di agenzia: Il caso
2008 n.118*	Piermassimo Pavese and Roberto Zanola: Autoo objective and sensory characteristics matter?	chthon vs. blended wines: Do
2008 n.117*	Andrea Vindigni: Uncertainty and the politics of	of employment protection
2008 n.116*	Carla Marchese: The limits to growth then and	now
2008 n.115**	Guido Ortona: Perché in Italia le elezioni viola	no la legge di Duverger?
2008 n.114*	Cinzia Di Novi: From theory to implementation protect human health: a brief overview	n of the best policy instrument to
2008 n.113*	Cinzia Di Novi: Adverse selection in the U.S. h evidence from the MEPS	ealth insurance markets:
2008 n.112*	Giovanni B. Ramello: Semiotica, diritto e merc terzo millenio	ato. Economia del marchio nel

2008	n.111 <sup>ε</sup>	Stefania Ottone and Ferruccio Ponzano: <i>How people perceive the welfare state. A real effort experiment</i>
2008	n.110*	Daron Acemoglu, Davide Ticchi and Andrea Vindigni: A theory of military dictatorships
2008	n.109*	Marcello Montefiori and Marina Resta: Social influence and neighbourhood effects in the health care market
2007	n.108*	Davide Ticchi and Andrea Vindigni: War and endogenous democracy
2007	n.107*	Fabio Privileggi: The cutoff policy of taxation when CRRA taxpayers differ in risk aversion coefficients and income: a proof
2007	n.106*	Daniele Bondonio: La valuazione d'impatto della riforma universitaria 3+2: un'analisi empirica sui dati dell'Ufficio Statistica del MIUR
2007	n.105*	Franco Amisano and Alberto Cassone: Proprietà intellettuale ed industria farmaceutica: ricerche nel campo della proprietà intellettuale dei farmaci
2007	n.104*	Gianna Lotito: Resolute Choice in interaction: a qualitative experiment
2007	n.103*	Daniele Bondonio: La distribuzione dei finanziamenti europei sul territorio regionale: un'analisi su micro-dati 2000-2006
2007	n.102*	Stefania Ottone and Ferruccio Ponzano: Non-self-centered inequity aversion matters. A model
2007	n.101*	Daniele Bondonio: <i>Gli effetti occupazionali delle politiche di aiuto alle imprese</i> una valutazione comparativa tra diverse modalità di agevolazione
2007	n.100*	Giovanni B. Ramello: Access to vs. exclusion from knowledge: Intellectual property, efficiency and social justice
2007	n.99*	Roberto Zanola: Major influences on circus attendance
2007	n.98**	Corrado Malandrino: Pre-modern covenant and covenantalism in Daniel Judah Elazar's federalist elaboration
2007	n.97 <sup>ε</sup>	Stefania Ottone, Ferruccio Ponzano and Roberto Ricciuti: Simulating voting rule reforms for the Italian parliament. An economic perspective