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#### Abstract

A number of papers have empirically investigated the rational addiction model proposed by Becker and Murphy (1988) by using data on different harmful drugs, like cigarettes, alcohol, caffeine, opium, cocaine; but also activities independent of a biological or pharmaceutical dependency have been analysed, such as gambling, calorie consumption, news, arts, and cinema. The purpose of this paper is to extend previous works on cinema demand by using pooled cross-section and time-series data on thirteen European countries over the period 1989-2002. The estimation results provide a strong evidence that cinema consumption conforms to a rational addiction hypothesis.
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## 1. Introduction

The rational addiction model proposed by Becker and Murphy (1988) has become a standard approach in the analysis of addictive goods. A number of papers have empirically investigated the model by using data on different harmful drugs, like cigarettes (Chaloupka, 1991; Becker et al., 1994), alcohol (Grossman et al., 1995; Waters and Sloan, 1995; Bentzen et al., 1999), caffeine (Olekalns and Bardsley, 1996), opium (Van Ours, 1995; Liu et al., 1999), cocaine (Grossman and Chaloupka, 1998).

As already stated in Becker and Murphy (1988), people can be addicted to most every product or activity independently of a biological or pharmaceutical dependency. Hence, the model has been tested with gambling (Mobilia, 1993), calorie consumption (Cawley, 1999; Levy, 2002), news (Dewenter, 2002), and arts (Villani, 1992). A further good that is suitable for empirical tests of the rational addiction model is cinema. A number of studies deal with this topic (Fernández Blanco and Banos Pino, 1997; Cameron, 1999; Macmillan and Smith, 2001; Dewenter and Westermann, 2002), but all these studies only focus on cinema attendance within a single country.

In this paper we extend the analysis of the demand for cinema to thirteen European countries (Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, Norway, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom) by using pooled cross-section and time-series data over the period 1989-2002. There are at least two good reasons for thinking that there are gains from an empirical dynamic study of state-disaggregated cinema markets. First, it is likely that geography matters for the cinema consumption of a country, such as to justify studying state-disaggregated models. Secondly, applying dynamic panel data models may control for all time-invariant variables or state-invariant variables, whose omission could bias the estimates in a typical cross-section or time-series study.

The proceeding of this paper is the following. Section 2 presents the theoretical framework of the rational addiction model. Section 3 describes the data set to be used. The econometric specification is shown in Section 4. Section 5 summarises the empirical findings. Section 6 concludes.

## 2. Theoretical Background

A consumer is said to be addicted to a consumption good if an increase in past consumption leads to an increase in present consumption ceteris paribus (Becker et al., 1994). This behaviour is usually assumed to involve reinforcement and tolerance (Bask and Melkersson, 2001). Reinforcement means that an increase in past level of consumption increases the craving for present consumption. On the other hand, tolerance means that the satisfaction from a given level of consumption is lower when past level is greater. However, some consumers, described as being 'myopic', may have such a high rate of preference for present consumption (and discount the future so heavily) that the impact of tolerance effects on current consumption are negligible, and positive reinforcement effects of previous consumption on the marginal utility of current consumption can cause the demand for a habit-forming good to soar to persistently high levels.

Following Becker et. al. (1994), utility of an individual at time $t$ depends on the consumption of two goods, a non-addictive good, $x_{t}$, and an addictive good, $c_{t}$, and on the impact of a set of unmeasured life-cycle variables, $e_{t}$. A simple way to distinguish the consumption of two goods is to assume that current utility for the addictive good also depends on a measure of past consumption of $c$. To this aim, Becker et al. (1994) introduced the habit stock, $S_{t}=c_{t-1}$, as a measure of the degree of addiction at time $t$.

Assuming a constant rate of time preference, $\sigma$, and a infinity lifetime the concave and timeseparable utility function (in $c_{t}, x_{t}$ and $c_{t-1}$ ), would be

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\sigma} u\left[x_{t}, c_{t}, c_{t-1}, e_{t}\right] d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Utility is negatively affected by $c_{t-1}$ in the case of harmful products; by contrast, in the case of harmless product, previous consumption can also have a positive effect on individual health, such as the case of cinema consumption.

Consumer consumption's choices are constrained by the consumer's wealth, $W$, and the prices of $c$ and $x$ at time $t$, respectively $p_{c t}$ and $p_{x t}$. Assuming $p_{x t}$ as a numeraire, the consumer faces the following budget constraint.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{0}^{\infty}(1+r)^{-t}\left(p_{c t} c_{t}+x_{t}\right)=W \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{c t}$ the price of the addictive good and $W$ is the consumer's wealth. As consumer is forward looking, also discounted stream of future prices puts a constraints on the consumer's consumption choices. Maximizing equation (1) under this life-time budget constraint, one can obtain the optimal path of consumption for $c$ and $x$. A standard technique used in literature to derive a structural demand function for consumption of $c$ is to approximate the instantaneous utility function in the neighborhood of steady-state by a quadratic function in the arguments. Assuming a rate of time preferences equals to the market interest rate, i.e., $\sigma=r$, the demand equation for $c$ can be derived as
(3) ${ }^{1} c_{t}=\theta_{1} c_{t-1}+\theta_{2} c_{t+1}+\theta_{3} p_{c t}+\theta_{4} e_{t}+\theta_{5} e_{t+1}, \quad$ with $\theta_{2}=\delta \theta_{1} \quad$ and $\delta=\frac{1}{1+r}$,
where the $\theta$ s are parameters which depend on the underlying preferences. ${ }^{2}$ Equation (3) nests different behaviors. Since a good is addictive if and only if an increase in past consumption leads to an increase in current consumption, a zero value of $\theta_{1}$ implies a non-addicted consumer. Only when $\theta_{1}>0$, forces that increase past or future consumption, such as lower past or future prices of addictive goods, lead to an increase in current consumption, throughout an intertemporal substituting process, and past, present and future consumption are complements. Testing for rational addiction is testing for forward looking behavior. An addicted but myopic consumer is not farsighted, according to a simply backward looking consumer decision, while a rational addicted consumer takes into account consequences of past, current and future information. The rational model implies that the coefficient on future consumption should have the same sign as the coefficient on lagged consumption (the sign differ only by the term $\delta$ ). Only if $\theta_{2}>0$, a change in future events lead to an increase of current consumption.

Another advantage of this specification is that using the characteristic roots of the secondorder difference equation in (3), $\lambda_{1}$ and $\lambda_{2}{ }^{3}$, one can derive the short- and long-run relationship between consumption and prices in form of elasticities, respectively $\eta_{s}$ e $\eta_{t}$, computed, at sample means, as (Dewenter, 2002):

[^1](4) $\eta_{s}=\frac{\theta_{3}}{\theta_{1}\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right) \lambda_{2}} \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{c}}$
and
(5) $\quad \eta_{l}=\frac{\theta_{3}}{\theta_{1}\left(1-\lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}-1\right)} \frac{\bar{p}}{\bar{c}}$,
where $\bar{c}$ and $\bar{p}$ are the sample means, respectively of $c$ and $p_{c t}$.

## 3. Data

This section provides a brief description of the data sets used in this paper. The data consists of aggregate national annual time series from 1989 to 2001 for thirteen European countries. ${ }^{4}$ In particular, the theoretical framework is investigated using the following variables:
(i) Cinema consumption: cinema consumption, adm, is measured as the average cinema attendance per inhabitant per year. This variable is the quotient of the annual attendance in a year divided by the total population. The data are from European Cinema Yearbook, published by Mediasalles.
(ii) Price: the price of cinema consumption, pri, is measured as the deflated average annual ticket price at box-office. ${ }^{5}$ This variable is built as the ratio between the annual total receipts in a year and the number of tickets sold. The data are supplied by the above mentionated publication European Cinema Yearbook.
(iii) Other Determinants: this vector comprises additional factors that may exert some influence on cinema consumption. In particular, an increase in per capita income, inc, displays an ambiguous impact on cinema demand. In fact, cinema demand is expected to rise with income increase; but, at the same time, an increase in income level leads to a growth of opportunity costs. The per capita GDP has been deflated by CPI index. The data are from Annual Statistics, published by World Bank.

Furthermore, the quality of product supplied seems to be an important determinant of admissions. To this aim, the number of screen per km squared, $s c r$, is introduced in the final specification of the model. In fact, during nineties the introduction of multiplex has improved the quality of cinema viewing throughout the use of a superior audiovisual technology. The data are from European Cinema Yearbook, published by Mediasalles.

Finally, as pointed out in Fernández Blanco and Prieto Rodriguez (2003), competition in movie industry is another important determinant to explain cinema demand. Both production and distribution side of European cinema market are controlled by Hollywood majors. Nevertheless, in some countries, such as France, Germany, Italy, Spain and UK, there is space for the co-existence

[^2]of a strong domestic movie industry, making an effective competition throughout the creation of a national star system or by specializing in those movie fields that American majors are less interested in, such as author's picture, or reflective films. The national domestic movie production, mov, is measured as the number of domestic movies per year as reported in the European Cinema Yearbook, published by Mediasalles.

Descriptive statistics are reported in Table 1.
[TABLE 1]

## 4. Empirical Specification

According to the theoretical model and the variables suggested in the previous sections, the empirical specification of the demand function for cinema is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
a d m_{i, t}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} a d m_{i, t-1}+\alpha_{2} a d m_{i, t+1}+\alpha_{3} \text { pri }_{i, t}+\alpha_{4} i n c_{i, t}+\alpha_{5} s c r_{i, t}+\alpha_{6} \text { mov }_{i, t}+\varepsilon_{i, t}, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where subscript $i$ and $t$ stand respectively for the country and the period considered and $\varepsilon_{i, t}$ is the error term.

The direct estimation of equation (6) may give rise to some misleading results and some caution is necessary. First step in the analysis is to test the hypothesis that data can be pooled. Following Levaggi and Zanola (2003), F-tests are performed on the null hypothesis that the coefficients for each variable in equation (6) are the same for each year. Results are reported in table 2. The null hypothesis of equal coefficients could not be rejected in either case, therefore we can pool the data.
[TABLE 2]

Next step is to test the stationarity of the variables included in the model. In order to determine the presence of panel unit root we employ the IPS t-bar tests (Breitung, 2000). The panel tests include a heterogeneous time trend in their specifications. Table 3 shows that the null of unit root could not be rejected and hence the series are $I(1)$ processes. When testing the series in first differences all time series appear to be stationary or $\mathrm{I}(0)$ processes.

## [TABLE 3]

Therefore, equation (6) is estimated in first differences, such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta a d m_{i, t}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} \Delta a d m_{i, t-1}+\alpha_{2} \Delta a d m_{i, t+1}+\alpha_{3} \Delta p r i_{i, t}+\alpha_{4} \Delta i n c_{i, t}+\alpha_{5} \Delta s c r_{i, t}+\alpha_{6} \Delta m o v_{i, t}+\varepsilon_{i, t} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the first difference operator.

## 5. Results

Taking first differences will induce a first-order moving average process into the trasformed residuals, and since we have potentially endogenous variables on the right hand side, we must rely on instrumental variable techniques to get consistent estimates ${ }^{6}$. Since the estimator that uses differences as instruments suffers from singularities as well as large variances (Arellano, 1989), we instrumented endogenous variables with lagged and led levels of each variables in (6). Due to overidentification, we adopt the generalized method of moments (GMM) which is proved to be an appropriate method to have a consistent estimator when the number of instruments is higher than exogenous variables, as is the case here (Hamilton, 1995). Instruments validity is checked using the difference in difference Sargan test for over-identifying restrictions ${ }^{7}$ (Sargan, 1958; Hensen, 1982). Table 4 summarizes the main results.

## [TABLE 4]

The estimation results provide a strong evidence that cinema consumption conforms to a rational addiction hypothesis. Both the coefficient on past and future consumption are positive and significantly different from zero, so that past and future changes significantly impact present consumption. The evidence is inconsistent with the hypothesis of myopic behaviour. We also notice that $\theta_{l}>\theta_{2}$ as expected. This finding supports the hypothesis that a high rate of time preferences increases the effect of past consumption on a consumer's present consumption (reinforcement), but reduces the effects of future consumption on current consumption (tolerance).

The other coefficients estimated are statistically significant at all common level and carry the right "sign". In particular, the coefficient on price is negative and significantly different from zero. The coefficient on income variable, inc, shows a positive and significant impact on consumption. However, the model specification estimated don't allow us to compute the long-run relationship between disposable income and current consumption, so that nothing could be told about the nature of luxury good of cinema. The coefficient of screen variable, $s c r$, exhibits a positive and significant impact on present admission, leading to the conclusion that the change in distribution during nineties, with the introduction of multiplex, has effectively contributed to interrupted and partially

[^3]reversed the negative trend in demand. Finally, also the estimates on movie production variable, mov, shows a positive impact on cinema demand.

The estimates of table 4 have been used to compute the two characteristic roots of equation (7) and the relative short-run and long-run response to a permanent price change. Short-run elasticity, measured in terms of sample means, is -0.55 ; while the long-run price elasticity is -2.12 , a value that exceeds the short-run elasticity approximately four times. This result is consistent with Becker and Murphy's rational addiction theory. In fact, long-run effect of permanent price change exceeds the short-run response if and only if $\lambda_{2}>1$, which is equivalent to having $\theta_{l}>0$ (Becker et al., 1994).

## 6. Conclusion

The objective of this paper was to assess whether cinema consumption may be defined as a rational addicted behaviour. We depart from previous studies on cinema consumption as we focus on a state-disaggregated model by applying dynamic panel data analysis to control for all timeinvariant variables or state-invariant variables, whose omission could bias the estimates in a typical cross-section or time-series study. To this aim, a sample of cross-sectional and time series observations covering thirteen European countries over the period 1989-2002 has been used. The generalised method of moments estimates strongly suggest that cinema consumption conforms to a rational addiction hypothesis. Furthermore, other variables included in the regression have resulted significant in explaining the demand for cinema. The present result confirms previous researches extending evidence of the rational addicted behaviour to European countries.

To sum up, this paper provides empirical support to rational addiction model in that cinema consumption depends on past and future consumption. The future extension of this study will explore the rational addicted behavior to include two goods, cinema and television.
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Table 1. Definition of the variable used in the study

| Variable | Mean | Std.Dev. | MIN | MAX |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| adm | 1.9569 | 0.5700 | 0.8912 | 3.48 |
| pri | 6.0405 | 0.8954 | 4.0618 | 8.7556 |
| inc | 24433.82 | 5535.534 | 12125.05 | 39600 |
| scr | 7.6496 | 4.5504 | 0.9497 | 17.2691 |
| mov | 48.0439 | 46.5208 | 0 | 204 |

Table 2. F-tests for pooling the data

| Variable | F-test |
| :---: | :---: |
| Test for pooling $^{1}:$ |  |
| DYpri $_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}$ | 0.54 |
| DYinc $_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}$ | 0.19 |
| DYscri,t $^{\text {DYmovi,t }^{2}}$ | 0.14 |
| DY | 0.12 |

Notes: For testing the hypothesis of pooling the following augmented models have been estimated:
$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{adm}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}= & \beta_{0}+\beta_{1} \operatorname{pri}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}+\Sigma \beta_{1 \mathrm{i}} \text { Dpri }_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}+\beta_{2} \mathrm{inc}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}+\Sigma \beta_{2 \mathrm{i}} \operatorname{Dinc}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}+\beta_{3} \operatorname{scr}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}+\Sigma \beta_{3 \mathrm{i}} \operatorname{Dscr}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}+\beta_{4} \operatorname{mov}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}+ \\ & \Sigma \beta_{4 \mathrm{i}} \operatorname{Dmov}_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t}}+\varepsilon_{\mathrm{i}, \mathrm{t},}\end{aligned}$
by adding T-1 year dummy for each variable that take the value 1 if observation belongs to the year considered. The $F$ test is performed on the coefficient of these variables.

Table 3. Im-Pesaran-Shin test for unit roots

| Variable | t-bar | p-value | Trend |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| adm | -2.307 | 0.307 | Yes |
| pri | -1.838 | 0.887 | Yes |
| inc | -2.287 | 0.333 | Yes |
| scr | -1.738 | 0.942 | Yes |
| mov | -1.897 | 0.839 | Yes |
| $\Delta$ adm | -4.309 | 0.000 | Yes |
| $\Delta$ pri | -3.265 | 0.000 | Yes |
| $\Delta$ inc | -2.377 | 0.095 | Yes |
| $\Delta$ scr | -2.083 | 0.019 | Yes |
| $\Delta$ mov | -3.612 | 0.000 | Yes |

Notes: The Im-Pesaran-Shin test involves the null hypothesis that each series has a unit roots against the alternative hypothesis that the series have different persistence. The null hypothesis is tested using the average of the t-ratios for each time series. $\Delta$ is the first difference operator.

Table 4. Rational addiction model

| Variables | Estimated coefficient | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| adm(t-1) | $0,5505^{*}$ | 0,1770 |
| adm(t+1) | $0,3483^{* *}$ | 0,1441 |
| pri | $-0,0695^{*}$ | 0,0245 |
| inc | $0,0000653^{* * *}$ | 0,0000363 |
| scr | $0,1094^{*}$ | 0,0418 |
| mov | $0,0052^{*}$ | 0,0011 |
| $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ | 0,5513 |  |
| Sargan test | 22,473 |  |
| Arellano and Bond residual test | p -value |  |
| AR(1) | $-2,37$ | 0,0180 |
| AR(2) | 0,98 | 0,3289 |
| $\lambda_{1}$ | 0,4698 |  |
| $\lambda_{2}$ | 1,3466 |  |
| $\eta_{\mathrm{s}}$ | $-0,545789$ |  |
| $\eta_{1}$ | $-2,120481$ |  |

Notes: Instruments used are 3 lagged and 2 led levels of both explanatory variables and dependent variable. Regression includes $T-1$ time and $N-1$ country dummy variables but their estimates are non reported to save space. Arellano and Bond (1991) residual tests are performed on the null hypothesis of zero auto-covariance in residual (of order $1^{\mathrm{A}}$ e $2^{\mathrm{B}}$ ).
$* / * * / * * *$ indicates significance at $0.01 / 0.05 / 0.10$, respectively.

## Appendix. Instruments validity

| Instruments | C-stat | P-value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| adm $(t-3)$ | 0.222 | 0.63749 |
| $\operatorname{adm}(t-4)$ | 1.587 | 0.20782 |
| adm $(\mathrm{t}-5)$ | 0.356 | 0.55092 |
| adm $(\mathrm{t}+3)$ | 2.474 | 0.11572 |
| adm $(\mathrm{t}+4)$ | 1.568 | 0.21049 |
| $\operatorname{pri}(\mathrm{t}-3)$ | 3.054 | 0.08055 |
| $\operatorname{pri}(\mathrm{t}-4)$ | 0.206 | 0.64964 |
| $\operatorname{pri}(\mathrm{t}-5)$ | 0.672 | 0.41242 |
| $\operatorname{pri}(\mathrm{t}+3)$ | 1.101 | 0.29394 |
| $\operatorname{pri}(\mathrm{t}+4)$ | 1.164 | 0.28065 |
| $\operatorname{inc}(\mathrm{t}-3)$ | 1.576 | 0.20934 |
| $\operatorname{inc}(\mathrm{t}-4)$ | 0.312 | 0.57632 |
| $\operatorname{inc}(\mathrm{t}-5)$ | 0.098 | 0.75402 |
| $\operatorname{inc}(\mathrm{t}+3)$ | 0.131 | 0.71714 |
| $\operatorname{inc}(\mathrm{t}+4)$ | 0.635 | 0.42566 |
| $\operatorname{scr}(\mathrm{t}-3)$ | 0.911 | 0.33982 |
| $\operatorname{scr}(\mathrm{t}-4)$ | 1.327 | 0.24932 |
| $\operatorname{scr}(\mathrm{t}-5)$ | 0.174 | 0.67662 |
| $\operatorname{scr}(\mathrm{t}+3)$ | 0.159 | 0.68964 |
| $\operatorname{scr}(\mathrm{t}+4)$ | 0.636 | 0.42534 |
| $\operatorname{mov}(\mathrm{t}-3)$ | 1.275 | 0.25890 |
| $\operatorname{mov}(\mathrm{t}-4)$ | 0.164 | 0.68551 |
| $\operatorname{mov}(\mathrm{t}-5)$ | 0.467 | 0.49420 |
| $\operatorname{mov}(\mathrm{t}+3)$ | 4.621 | 0.03159 |
| $\operatorname{mov}(\mathrm{t}+4)$ | 0.833 | 0.36142 |

Notes: The C test involves the null hypothesis of exogeneity of each instruments tested. The statistic is computed as the difference between the Sargan statistic computed using the instruments tested in the set of exogenous instruments (restricted model) and the Sargan statistic computed excluding the variable checked from the set of instruments (unrestricted model). Under the null of exogeneity of instrument, C-statistic has a chi-sq. distribution with degree of freedom equal to the difference of the two Sargan statistics.

## Working Papers

The full text of the working papers is downloadable at http://polis.unipmn.it/
*Economics Series **Political Theory Series $\quad{ }^{\varepsilon}$ Al.Ex Series

2004 n. $41^{*} \quad$| Andrea Sisto, Roberto Zanola, Rational Addiction to Cinema? A Dynamic Panel |
| :--- |
| Analisis of European Countries |

2004 n. $40^{* *} \quad$ Francesco Ingravalle, Stato, große Politik ed Europa nel pensiero politico di F. W. Nietzsche

2003 n. $39^{\varepsilon} \quad$ Marie Edith Bissey, Claudia Canegallo, Guido Ortona and Francesco Scacciati, Competition vs. cooperation. An experimental inquiry
2003 n. $38^{\varepsilon}$ Marie-Edith Bissey, Mauro Carini, Guido Ortona, ALEX3: a simulation program to compare electoral systems
2003 n.37* Cinzia Di Novi, Regolazione dei prezzi o razionamento: l'efficacia dei due sistemi di allocazione nella fornitura di risorse scarse a coloro che ne hanno maggiore necessita'
2003 n. 36* Marilena Localtelli, Roberto Zanola, The Market for Picasso Prints: An Hybrid Model Approach
2003 n. 35* Marcello Montefiori, Hotelling competition on quality in the health care market.

2003 n. 34* Michela Gobbi, A Viable Alternative: the Scandinavian Model of "Social Democracy"

2002 n. 33* Mario Ferrero, Radicalization as a reaction to failure: an economic model of islamic extremism

2002 n. $32^{\varepsilon} \quad$ Guido Ortona, Choosing the electoral system - why not simply the best one?

2002 n. 31** Silvano Belligni, Francesco Ingravalle, Guido Ortona, Pasquale Pasquino, Michel Senellart, Trasformazioni della politica. Contributi al seminario di Teoria politica

2002 n. 30* Franco Amisano, La corruzione amministrativa in una burocrazia di tipo concorrenziale: modelli di analisi economica.

2002 n. 29* Marcello Montefiori, Libertà di scelta e contratti prospettici: l'asimmetria informativa nel mercato delle cure sanitarie ospedaliere

2002 n. 28* Daniele Bondonio, Evaluating the Employment Impact of Business Incentive Programs in EU Disadvantaged Areas. A case from Northern Italy

2002 n. 27** Corrado Malandrino, Oltre il compromesso del Lussemburgo verso l'Europa federale. Walter Hallstein e la crisi della "sedia vuota"(1965-66)

2002 n. 26** Guido Franzinetti, Le Elezioni Galiziane al Reichsrat di Vienna, 1907-1911
2002 n. $25^{\varepsilon} \quad$ Marie-Edith Bissey and Guido Ortona, A simulative frame to study the integration of defectors in a cooperative setting

2001 n. 24* Ferruccio Ponzano, Efficiency wages and endogenous supervision technology
2001 n. 23* Alberto Cassone and Carla Marchese, Should the death tax die? And should it leave an inheritance?

2001 n. 22* Carla Marchese and Fabio Privileggi, Who participates in tax amnesties? Self-selection of risk-averse taxpayers

2001 n. 21* Claudia Canegallo, Una valutazione delle carriere dei giovani lavoratori atipici: la fedeltà aziendale premia?

2001 n. 20* Stefania Ottone, L'altruismo: atteggiamento irrazionale, strategia vincente o amore per il prossimo?

2001 n. 19* Stefania Ravazzi, La lettura contemporanea del cosiddetto dibattito fra Hobbes e Hume

2001 n. 18* Alberto Cassone e Carla Marchese, Einaudi e i servizi pubblici, ovvero come contrastare i monopolisti predoni e la burocrazia corrotta
2001 n. 17* Daniele Bondonio, Evaluating Decentralized Policies: How to Compare the Performance of Economic Development Programs across Different Regions or States.

2000 n. 16* Guido Ortona, On the Xenophobia of non-discriminated Ethnic Minorities

2000 n. 15* Marilena Locatelli-Biey and Roberto Zanola, The Market for Sculptures: An Adjacent Year Regression Index

2000 n. 14* Daniele Bondonio, Metodi per la valutazione degli aiuti alle imprse con specifico target territoriale

2000 n. 13* Roberto Zanola, Public goods versus publicly provided private goods in a two-class economy

2000 n. 12** Gabriella Silvestrini, Il concetto di «governo della legge» nella tradizione repubblicana.

2000 n. 11** Silvano Belligni, Magistrati e politici nella crisi italiana. Democrazia dei guardiani e neopopulismo

2000 n. 10* Rosella Levaggi and Roberto Zanola, The Flypaper Effect: Evidence from the Italian National Health System

1999 n. 9* Mario Ferrero, A model of the political enterprise
1999 n. 8* Claudia Canegallo, Funzionamento del mercato del lavoro in presenza di informazione asimmetrica

1999 n. 7** Silvano Belligni, Corruzione, malcostume amministrativo e strategie etiche. Il ruolo dei codici.
n. 6* Carla Marchese and Fabio Privileggi, Taxpayers Attitudes Towaer Risk and Amnesty Partecipation: Economic Analysis and Evidence for the Italian Case.

1999 n. 5* Luigi Montrucchio and Fabio Privileggi, On Fragility of Bubbles in Equilibrium Asset Pricing Models of Lucas-Type

1999 n. $4^{* *} \quad$ Guido Ortona, $A$ weighted-voting electoral system that performs quite well.
1999 n. 3* Mario Poma, Benefici economici e ambientali dei diritti di inquinamento: il caso della riduzione dell'acido cromico dai reflui industriali.

1999 n. 2* Guido Ortona, Una politica di emergenza contro la disoccupazione semplice, efficace equasi efficiente.

1998 n. 1* Fabio Privileggi, Carla Marchese and Alberto Cassone, Risk Attitudes and the Shift of Liability from the Principal to the Agent

## Department of Public Policy and Public Choice "Polis"

The Department develops and encourages research in fields such as:

- theory of individual and collective choice;
- economic approaches to political systems;
- theory of public policy;
- public policy analysis (with reference to environment, health care, work, family, culture, etc.);
- experiments in economics and the social sciences;
- quantitative methods applied to economics and the social sciences;
- game theory;
- studies on social attitudes and preferences;
- political philosophy and political theory;
- history of political thought.

The Department has regular members and off-site collaborators from other private or public organizations.

## Instructions to Authors

Please ensure that the final version of your manuscript conforms to the requirements listed below:

The manuscript should be typewritten single-faced and double-spaced with wide margins.

Include an abstract of no more than 100 words.

Classify your article according to the Journal of Economic Literature classification system.

Keep footnotes to a minimum and number them consecutively throughout the manuscript with superscript Arabic numerals. Acknowledgements and information on grants received can be given in a first footnote (indicated by an asterisk, not included in the consecutive numbering).

Ensure that references to publications appearing in the text are given as follows: COASE (1992a; 1992b, ch. 4) has also criticized this bias....
and
"...the market has an even more shadowy role than the firm" (COASE 1988, 7).

List the complete references alphabetically as follows:

## Periodicals:

KLEIN, B. (1980), "Transaction Cost Determinants of 'Unfair' Contractual Arrangements," American Economic Review, 70(2), 356-362.
KLEIN, B., R. G. CRAWFORD and A. A. ALCHIAN (1978), "Vertical Integration, Appropriable Rents, and the Competitive Contracting Process," Journal of Law and Economics, 21(2), 297-326.

## Monographs:

NELSON, R. R. and S. G. WINTER (1982), An Evolutionary Theory of Economic Change, 2nd ed., Harvard University Press: Cambridge, MA.

## Contributions to collective works:

STIGLITZ, J. E. (1989), "Imperfect Information in the Product Market," pp. 769-847, in R. SCHMALENSEE and R. D. WILLIG (eds.), Handbook of Industrial Organization, Vol. I, North Holland: Amsterdam-London-New York-Tokyo.

## Working papers:

WILLIAMSON, O. E. (1993), "Redistribution and Efficiency: The Remediableness Standard," Working paper, Center for the Study of Law and Society, University of California, Berkeley.


[^0]:    * Corrisponding author: Roberto Zanola, University of Eastern Piedmont, Department of Public Policy and Public Choice, Via Cavour, 84, 15100 Alessandria, Italy, e-mail: zanola@sp.unipmn.it

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ From the rational addiction model a parameter restriction is imposed on eq. (2), i.e. $\theta_{2}=\delta \theta_{1} .{ }^{1}$ Testing this parameter restriction the null hypothesis of reasonable values of $\delta$ implied by the estimated parameters - has been used in the literature as a 'test' of the validity of the rational addiction model (Cameron, 1998).
    ${ }^{2}$ An alternative to the rational addiction model - known as 'habit persistence' - allows for the effect of past consumption on current consumption, but ignores the effects of future addictive behaviour on current consumption (McGuiness and Cowling, 1975; Fujii, 1980; Baltagi and Levin, 1986).
    ${ }^{3}$ The characteristic roots are derived as $\lambda_{1,2}=\frac{1 \pm\left(1-4 \theta_{1} \theta_{2}\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 \theta_{1}}$. As they are conditions for a maximum, they measure the response of current consumption on variation in future or past consumption respectively. As long the utility function is concave in $c_{t}$ and $c_{t-l}$, the signs of the roots are positive, if addictive behavior is present.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ Austria, Belgium, Denmark, Finland, France, Germany, Italy, Luxembourg, Norway, Netherlands, Spain, Sweden, United Kingdom.
    ${ }^{5}$ Due to the lack of data, we do not take account of a second component of price, basically, expenses for transport, as in FernándezBlanco and Baños Pino (1997).

[^3]:    ${ }^{6}$ Differencing produces the disturbance term $u_{i, t}-u_{i, t-1}-\rho\left(u_{i, t-1}-u_{i, t-2}\right)$ which displays second-order serial correlation. Given this type of serial correlation, $y_{i, t-2}$ is not valid as an instrument since it will be correlated with $u_{i, t-2}$ in the differenced disturbance term.
    ${ }^{7}$ The statistic is the difference between the Sargan statistic computed using the instruments tested in the set of exogenous instruments (restricted model) and the Sargan statistic computed excluding the variable checked from the set of instruments (unrestricted model). The results, together with a complete list of instruments are reported in Appendix.

