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## CHAPTER 26

## SUMMARY OF PART II

## § 26a. The Total Income of the United States, 1910 to 1919

The total income of the United States, as computed in the preceding sections, may now be summarized on page 331.

## § 26b. The Degree of Error in the Estimate

It is advisable to repeat the caution that these amounts are all estimates constructed from a large amount of data of varying reliability. Table 26B shows first the range within which the income for each year probably lies. This range was estimated in the same way that the mathematical "probable error" is computed, but it was not possible to do this, in mathematical terms. It is, therefore, to be regarded simply as a guessed at "probable error." Next, is shown the greatest error that could be reasonably expected, on the assumption that each item varied according to the widest indications from the figures as given, and that all these variations were in the same direction. Thus is indicated the outside limits within which the National Income must fall, if all the errors judged passible by the Bureau have been committed, and conmitted with no offsetting of one error by another. Evidently, the chances that this has actually occurred are extremely small.
TABLE 26A

| INCOME OF THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES ACCORDING TO TH$1910 \text { to } 1920$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Income of persons receiving under $\$ 2,000$ |  | Income of persons receiving over $\$ 2,000$ |  | Total number of persons (Thousands) | Total individual income (Billions of dollars) | Corporate surplus (Billions of dollars) | Total national income |
|  | Amount (Billions of dollars) | Persons (Thousands) | Amount (Billions of dollars) | Persons (Thousands) |  |  |  |  |
|  | \$20.2 | 32,412 | \$ 9.8 | 1,411 | 33,823 | \$30.0 | \$1.2 | 881.2 |
| 1911. | 20.6 | 32,973 | 9.6 | 1,379 | 34,352 | 30.2 | 0.9 | 31.1 |
| 1912. | 21.6 | 33,399 | 9.9 | 1,411 | 34,810 | 31.5 | 0.9 | 32.4 |
| 1913. | 22.3 | 33,635 | 10.2 | 1,443 | 35,078 | 32.5 | 1.0 | 33.5 |
| 1914. | 22.3 | 33,422 | 9.9 | 1,444 | 34,866 | 32.2 | 0.5 | 32.7 |
| 1915. . | 22.9 | 32,907 | 11.4 | 2,008 | 34,915 | 34.3 | 1.6 | 35.9 |
| 1916. | 26.2 | 34,006 | 15.6 | 2,748 | 37,654 | 41.8 | 3.9 | 45.7 |
| 1917 | 29.8 | 34,520 | 20.9 | 4,213 | 38,733 | 50.7 | 3.4 | 54.1 |
| 1918 | 37.0 | 35,961 | 23.3 | 4,961 | 40,922 | 60.3 64.8 | 1.7 2.0 | 62.0 66.8 |
| 1919 1920 | 39.5 43.8 | 33,913 34,076 | 25.3 | 5,608 | 39,521 | 64.8 | 1.0 | 66.8 |

TABLE 26B
THE RANGE WITHIN WHICH THE INCOME: OF THE PLOPLE OF THE
1910 to 1919
(Billions of dollars)

| Year | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Final } \\ & \text { estimate } \end{aligned}$ | Probable range within which the actual income falls | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eitimated range } \\ & \text { bevoond which } \\ & \text { aciual income } \\ & \text { cannot reasonably } \\ & \text { lie } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1910 | 31.2 | 30.0-32.4 |  |
| 1911. | 31.1 | 20.8-32.4 | $25.9-36.3$ |
| 1913. | 33.5 | 32.2-34.8 | ${ }_{27}^{27.0-37.6}$ |
| 1914. | 32.7 |  |  |
| 1915. | 35.9 | 31.4-34.0 | 26.7-38.7 |
| 1916. | ${ }_{45.7}$ | $34.66-37.2$ $44.3-47.1$ | 29.7-42.1 |
| 1917 | 54.1 | 52.5-55.6 | 40.5-50.9 |
| 1918. | 62.0 | 60.1-63.5 |  |
| 1919 | 66.8 | $64.2-68.5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53.5-70.2 \\ & 58.6-73.1 \end{aligned}$ |

## § 26c. Percentage Division of Number of Persons Having over $\$ 2,000$ and Under $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 0 0 0}$ Income per Year

The percentage of persons having incomes over and under $\$ 2,000$ and the percentage of the total income which they received is next shown:-

TABLE 28C
PERCENTAGE OF PERSONS HAVING INCOMES OYER AND UNDER $\$ 2000$ AND PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL INCOME WHICH THEY RECEIVED

1910 to 1919

| Year | Per cent of total persons having incomes |  | Per cent of income received by persons having |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Over \$2,000 | Under $\$ 2.090$ | Over \$2,000 | Linder \$2,000 |
| 1910.. | $4 \%$ | 96\% | $33{ }^{\text {ct }}$ |  |
| 1912. | 4 | 96 | $32^{\circ}$ | ${ }_{68} 6$ |
| 1913. | 4 | 96 | 31 | 69 |
| 1914. | 4 | 96 | 31 | 69 |
|  |  |  | 31 | 69 |
| 1915. 1916. | 6 | 94 | 33 |  |
| 1916. | 71 | 93 | 37 | 67 63 |
| 1918. | 11 | 89 | 41 | 59 |
| 1919. | 14 | 88 86 | 39 | 61 |
| 1920. | 14 | 86 | 39 | 61 |

It will be seen that while the percentage of persons having incomes over $\$ 2,000$ increased from about 4 per cent in 1910 to 1914, to about 14 per cent in 1919, the percentage of the income which they received increased only from about 32 per cent to about 40 per cent during this period. The increase in the number of persons having incomes over $\$ 2,000$ is cloubtless closely connected with the rise in prices, and does not denote a corresponding improvement in their well-being.

## § 26d. The Percentage of the Total Income Obtained by the Highest 5 Per Cent of Income Receivers

Using this table as a basis, and checking with the work in Part III, it is possible to make a conjectural estimate of the percentage of the total income which the highest 5 per cent of income receivers obtained.

The method employed has been to subtract from the number of persons having incomes over $\$ 2,000$ such a number as will reduce the remainder to 5 per cent of the gainfully employed. The average income of those who are subtracted is then computed in accordance with the distribution of the entire income as shown in Part III. While no claim to strict accuracy can be made for the results, the error is probably not sufficient to alter the trend shown. This percentage is shown including and excluding fanners because for many purposes farmers make a class apart from the remainder of the industrial community. Corporate surplus is left out of this computation for it is not possible to locate the recipients with any degree of accuracy (sce Table 26D).

## § 26 e . The Position of the Farmer

The per cent of the National Income which was received by farmers each year is next shown. The number of farmers was reported in 1910 as $6,361,000$ and in 1920 as $6,460,000-$ a gain which is so small as to be practically negligible (see Table 26 E ).

## § 26f. Income in Each Year in Terms of Constant Purchasing Power

Did the income of the country increase during the decade when measured in terms of serviceable goods? In order to answer this question satisfactorily a rather elaborate computation has been found necessary. There is no satisfactory price index which can be applied indiscriminately to all products; indeed, the variations of price changes in different classes of commodities is a striking feature of the decade. In order to meet this difficulty, the income of the country was divided into four classes:-

1. Expenditures by persons having incomes over $\$ 2,000$.
2. Expenditures by persons having incomes under $\$ 2,000$.
TABLE 26D

| Year | Including farmers |  | Per cent of total | Excluding farmers |  | Per cent of total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total individual income | Fstimated income recoived by highest 5 per cent of income receivers |  | Total individual income | Estimated income received by highest 5 per cent of income receivers |  |
|  | (Billions of dollars) |  |  | (Billions of dollars) |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1913 . \\ & 1914 . \end{aligned}$ | \$32.5 | \$10.6 | 33\% | \$28. 3 | $\$ 9.9$ |  |
| 1915. | 32.2 | 10.3 | 32 | 28.0 | 9.6 9.6 | $34^{3} \%$ |
| 1916. | 34.8 | 11.1 | 31 | 29.6 | 10.4 | 35 |
|  |  | 14.3 | 34 | 36.0 | 12.8 | 36 |
| 1917 | 50.7 | 14.7 | 29 | 41.9 | 13.6 |  |
| 1919 | 64.2 | 15.4 | 25 | 49.7 | 13.6 13.9 | 33 28 |
| 1920 | 64.7 | 15.5 | 24 | 53.8 | 14.4 | 27 |

## TABLE 26E

PER CENT OF THE NATIONAL INCOME IRECEIVED BY FARMERS

| Year | Total national income | Farmers' income | Percentage of national income received by farmers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Billions of dollars) |  |  |
| 1910. | $\$ 31.2$ | \$3.95 | 12.7 |
| 1911. | 31.1 | 3.70 | 11.9 |
| 1912. | 32.4 | 4.00 | 12.3 |
| 1913. | 33.5 | 4.20 | 12.5 |
| 1914. | 32.7 | 4.20 | 12.8 |
| 1915. | 35.9 | 4.70 | 13.1 |
| 1916. | 45.7 | 5.80 | 12.7 |
| 1917. | 54.1 | 8.80 | 16.3 |
| 1918. | 62.0 | 10.45 | 16.9 |
| 1919. | 66.8 | 10.85 | 16.2 |
| 1920.... |  | 7.20 |  |

3. War expenditures.
4. Construction expenditures.

For each of these divisions, the most plausible index number was found:

1. An index number of costs of living of persons having incomes over $\$ 2,000$ was constructed by the Bureau. (Chap. 2, § 2c.)
2. For persons with incomes less than $\$ 2,000$, the index number of the cost of living of the Bureau of Labor Statistics, Monthly Labor Review, June, 1920, p. 79, was used. The figures used are for June of each year. The years 1910 to 1912 have been compiled by this Bureau on a basis comparable to that used by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. (Chap. 2, § 2b.)
3. An index number was constructed from selected items of materials used in war, as estimated by the War Industries Board. (History of Prices During the War, 1919.)
4. An index number of construction was obtained from the American Telephone and Telegraph Company.

These index numbers cannot well be carried back of the year 1913. Prior to that year, the data are too uncertain to permit of even the crude methods employed for the later years. However, the general price level did not alter greatly during the years 1910 to 1913, so that an application of any legitimate index number of prices and any reasonable subdivision of the income would not alter the final result to any marked degree.
Taking 1913 as the basic year, computation gives the Natioual Income for each year in terms of dollars of constant purchasing power as shown in Table 26G.
TABLE 26F

| Y'ar | Total income | lixpenditures by permons havink inemmes over $\$ 2,060$ |  | lixpenditunes by presons having incomes under $\$ 2,000$ |  | Exprenditures for war |  | Expenditures for construction |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Billions of dollarm | Price ind $x$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { billions } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Price: index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Billions } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Price index | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Billions } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { dollars } \end{aligned}$ | Price index |
| 1910 | \$31.2 | 86.0 | 99 |  | 98 |  |  | 556 | 97 |
| 1911 | 31.1 | 6.0 | 93 | 20.1 | \% |  |  | 50 | 97 |
| 1912 | :32.4 | 6.0 | 100 | 20 \% | 10 |  |  | 56 | 99 |
| 1913 | 33.5 | 6.1 | 100 | 21.6 | 100 |  | 100 | 5.8 | 100 |
| 1914 | 32.7 | 5.8 | 101 | 21.8 | 101 | 50.7 | 93 | 44 | 100 |
| 1915 | 35.9 | 7.0 | $1(0)$ | 22.5 | 10:3 | 2.0 | 101 | 4.4 | 111.3 |
| 1916 | 45.7 | 10.0 | $10 \times$ | 24.7 | 110 | 4.5 | 1335 | 6.5 | 126 |
| 1017 | 54.1 | 14.0 | 123 | 27.6 | 129 | 9.5 | 188 | 3.0 | 150 |
| 1918 | \$2.0 | 15.0 |  | 30.7 |  | 14.6 | 195 | 1.7 |  |
| 1919 | 66.8 | 16.5 | 165 $191 a$ | 34.8 | 177 205 | 9.0 | 200 | 6.5 | 191 292 |

a Same ratio of increase as shown in price index for expenditures by persons having under $\$ 2,000$.
$b$ A verage of December, 1919 , June and December, 1920 , June being the high point for the year.

TABLE 26G

## NATIONAL INCOME AT PRICE LEVEL OF 1913

(Base Year: 1913)
1910 to 1919

| Year | Total income (Billions of dollars) | Weighted index number of prices | Income at price level of 1913 (Billions of dollars) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1910. | \$31.2 | 98.0 | \$31.8 |
| 1911. | 31.1 | 98.0 | 31.7 |
| 1912. | 32.4 | 99.1 | 32.7 |
| 1913. | 33.5 | 100.0 | 33.5 |
| 1914. | 32.7 | 100.6 | 32.5 |
| 1915. | 35.9 | 102.3 | 33.1 |
| 1916. | 45.7 | 113.7 | 40.2 |
| 1917. | 54.1 | 136.1 | 39.7 |
| 1918. | 62.0 | 160.7 | 38.6 |
| 1919. | 66.8 | 177.7 | 37.6 |
| 1920. |  |  |  |

## § 26g. The Average Income per Capita in Each Year

The next table shows the average income per capita of the entire popupation and of the gainfully employed. The entire population has been interpolated according to a method devised by Mr. King, of which the details are shown in Chapter 2, § 2a.

The number of the "gainfully employed" is uncertain, owing to the divergent ways of counting the persons included under agricultural labor. It seems certain that different standards have been used by the Census enumerators in determining whether a housewife or member of the family who helps in the fields intermittently or only for a few days during the harvest season should or should not be included. On account of this difficulty (and it appears to invalidate any close comparison between the totals of 1910 and the estimated totals of 1920), the number of farm laborers has been kept at a constant figure. In a measure, this comes nearer expressing the truth than any other arbitrary interpretation, for there is much evidence to indicate that during 1916 to 1919, when farm laborers were drafted into other industries, their places were taken by members of the family who are normally not included among the gainfully employed. This view was taken by Mr. Gray Silver in explaining the large increase of farmers' income in the years 1917 to 1919. ${ }^{1}$

The number of gainfully employed which is presented is the number actually employed in each year, as distinguished from the number attached

[^0]to the industry. The former number is always smaller than the latter, and in times of depression is apt to be considerably smaller. It reflects in a broad way the changes in degree of unemployment. ${ }^{1}$

The results are presented in several ways: (1) per capita income for the whole population, (2) per capita income for the gainfully employed, and (3) both sets of per capita figures are given first in money of current and second in money of constant purchasing power. Needless to say, changes in economic welfare are best approximated by the figures from which the price fluctuations have been eliminated.

TABLE 26R

PER CAPITA INCOME AND ITS PURCHASING POWER AT THE PRICE LEVEL OF 1913

| Year | Income per capita of the entire population |  |  | Income per eapita of the gainfully employed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Number } \\ & \text { of } \\ & \text { persons } \end{aligned}$ | Income per capita | Purchasing power at 1913 price level | Number of persons | Income per capita | Purchasing power at 1913 price level |
|  | (Millions) | (Dollars) |  | (Millions) | (Dollars) |  |
| 1910 | 92.23 | \$338 | \$345 | 33.82 | \$ 923 | \$ 940 |
| 1911 | 93.81 | 332 | 338 | 34.35 | 907 | 923 |
| 1912 | 95.34 | 340 | 343 | 34.81 | 931 | 939 |
| 1913 | 97.28 | 344 | 344 | 35.08 | 955 | 955 |
| 1914 | 99.19 | 330 | 328 | 34.87 | 936 | 932 |
| 1915 | 100.43 | 357 | 349 | 34.91 | 1,028 | 1,005 |
| 1916 | 101.72 | 449 | 395 | 37.65 | 1,214 | 1,068 |
| 1917 | 103.06 | 525 | 385 | 38.73 | 1,397 | 1,025 |
| 1918 | 104.18 | 595 | 371 | 40.92 | 1,515 | 943 |
| $1919 \ldots$ | 104.85 | 637 | 359 | 39.52 | 1,690 | 951 |

[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Vol. I, page 38.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ In comparing it to the Census figures our figures for the number of gainfully employed for 1910 and in 1920, two items should be kept in nind: (1) The Census includes $3.100,000$ farm laborers working on home farms. who are not included here. (2) The Census figures include all those attached to an industry. whereas only those actually at work are included here. We estimated that about 3 per cent are normally unemployed. that is to say. about one million persons.

