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## CHAPTER 22

## TOTAL AMOUNT OF INCOME RECEIVED BY PERSONS HAVING OVER \$2,000 PER YEAR

8 22a. Introduction

The eatimate of the total income of persons receiving over $\$ 2,000$ por year is based on income-tax returns, on data regarding tax-exempt income, and on incomes of farmers having over $\$ 2,000$ per year. These three parts of the estimate are discussed in detail in the following sections. Table 22A presents the final results.

TABLE 29A
ESTIMATED TOTAL NUMBER OF PERSONS AND TOTAL AMOUNT OF INCOME FROM ALL SOURCES RECEIVED BY ALL PERSONS HAVING INCOMES OVER $\$ 2,000$

1910 to 1920

| Year | Income in millions of dollars |  |  |  | Number of persons |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | IIIFarmers'incomes $e$over$\$ 2,000$ | IV <br> Total | VNumberof peraong(excludingfarmers) | VINumberof farmers | VIITotalnumber of <br> persons |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1910. | 8 8,800 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 8792 | - 258 | \$ 9,850 | 1,300,000 | 111,000 | 1,411,000 |
| 1911. | 8,600 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 808 | 182 | 9,588 | 1,300,000 | 79,000 | 1,379,000 |
| 1912. | 8,800 d | 818 | 262 | 9,880 | 1,300,000 | 111,000 | 1,411,000 |
| 1913. | 9,000 | 830 | 340 | 10,170 | 1,300,000 | 143,000 | 1,443,000 |
| 1914. | 8,700 | 843 | 340 | 9,883 | 1,300,000 | 144,000 | 1,444,000 |
| 1915. | 10,000 | 858 | 516 | 11,374 | 1,800,000 | 208,000 | 2,008,000 |
| 1916. | 13,500 | 973 | 1,179 | 15,852 | 2,300,000 | 448,000 | 2,748,000 |
| 1917. | 16,000 | 1,008 | 3,886 | 20,894 | 2,900,000 | 1,313,000 | 4,213,000 |
| 1918. | 16,200 | 1,279 | 5,784 | 23,263 | 3,100,000 | 1,861,000 | 4,961,000 |
| 1919.. | 17,500 | 1,550 | 6,298 | 25,348 | 3,600,000 | 2,008,000 | 5,608,000 |
| 1920.. |  | 1,573 | 2,261 |  |  | 804,000 |  |

- See \& 22b.
b See $\$ 22 \mathrm{e}$.
- Eee Table 24K.
© Eatimated.
\$2\%b. Estimate from Income Tar Data
Two questions must be answered before the income-tax data as reported by the Bureau of Internal Revenue can be utilized. How much are re-
ported incomes understated and what is the amount of incomes that should be, but are not reported? For brevity we shall call these two defects of the data "understatement" and "failure to report." Failure to report is frequent in the lower income ranges where the exemptions allowed by law would nearly or entirely cover income received; understatement is prevalent in the higher income ranges where most elaborate methods are often resorted to in order to avoid payment of the tax.
Changes in the law itself and in the methods of collecting the tax make comparison between different years difficult. In the years 1913 to 1916, incomes above $\$ 3,000$ are reported and thereafter above $\$ 2,000 .{ }^{1}$ Further, the deduction of losses incurred in transactions outside of the particular business in which the tax payer was engaged was not permitted in the early years, but in 1917 this ruling was changed so as to permit the writing off of all losses.
It should be noted that profits made from sales of investments or property are included as income under the income-tax law. In Volume It is held that such profits are a part of individual, but not of National Income. ${ }^{2}$ It may be thought that gains of this sort were enormously increased after 1916 by the rise of prices. If all property had actually been sold at current quotations the tax returns would include a vast volume of clearly fictitious income. The fact is, however, that the increased income taxes were an effective deterrent from making realizing sales, so that only a negligible portion of this fictitious income was included in the tax returns. And this portion is probably more than offset by the volume of "loss-taking" sales of property which had declined in price-sales made purposely to reduce the amount of taxable income.
Another factor which must be considered in estimating both the amount of understatement and failure to report is the administration of the incometax law. This has naturally improved with experience so that the returns are far more accurate for the later than for the earlier years. In particular, an attempt has been made since 1918 to reduce the amount of evasion by "intensive drives" in the $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ range.
On the other hand, since the armistice technical means to reduce the iv. ome reported have been resorted to on a far larger scale than during the war. In particular, the practice of turning over a portion of incomebearing securities to members of the family in order to avoid or lessen taxation is more frequent. This practice may result only in dividing the same amount of income into smaller units; but it may also lessen total income because gifts are counted at their market value at the date of the gift and therefore do not include appreciation of value since their original 'No use is made of the data for incomes between $\$ 1,000$ and $\$ 2,000$, an they apply onty

purchase by the donor. This device makes it possible to sell securities without reporting appreciated value. This fact is not of much consequence, however, for the appreciation in the value of securities may in part represent the accumulation of corporate surplus which we include under a separate head, and in part an increase in the price of unchanged property, which we do not wish to include. In addition, the practice of forming investment corporations for the purpose of avoiding or lessening taxation has increased. By this means, surpluses above the current needs of the stockholders are retained by the corporation and hence are not reported as personal income. There is no way of ascertaining with exactness the extent of this practice. ${ }^{1}$

With these general considerations in mind, the data may now be presented. Careful study, year by year, of the figures appearing in the following tables and in the charts in Volume I should enable us to make an estimate allowing for evasion and understatement due to the differences in the methods of collecting the tax in different years, and to changes in the law itself. Concerning the failure to make returns and the inaccuracy of many of the returns made, we have little information beyond a surmise that the omissions are considerable. It is hoped that there will shortly be available the results of audits which will furnish a better basis for an estimate.

The following table shows the total number of persons and amount of income reported by the Bureau of Internal Revenue. Figures are also given for the probable amount of income and number of persons having incomes over $\$ 2,000$ in 1913 to 1916. This estimate was made after comparison between the average number of persons and amount of income in the $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 3,000$ class in 1917,1918 , and 1919 with those having $\$ 3,000$ and over. It was found that about 30 per cent of the total income, and about 50 per cent, of income-tax payers fell within this class. These percentages were accordingly used in estimating the amount of income and number of persons having over $\$ 2,000$ in the earlier years, 1913 to 1916, as shown in columns II and IV of Table 22B. Doubtless, some degree of error is involved in this estimate because of the rise in prices and the steeper slope of the curve in the later years than in the earlier; but after considerable study no better method has been found.

In order to study the probable amount of failure to report and under-

[^0]
## Table 2eb

THE OFFICLAL INCOME TAX RETURNS ON THE BASIS OF A UNIFORM EXEMPTION LIMIT OF $\$ 2,000$
(No correction for failure to report, understatement, or tax-exempt income) 1913 to 1920

| Year | Number of persons |  | Amount of income (Millions of dollars) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I <br> Reported under the income-tax law a | II <br> Estimated incomes over $\$ 2,000$ | III <br> Reported under the Income-tax Law a | $\begin{gathered} \text { IV } \\ \text { Estimated } \\ \text { incomes } \\ \text { over } \$ 2,000 \end{gathered}$ |
| 1913. | 357,598 c | 715,000 ${ }^{6}$ | \$ 3,900 c |  |
| 1914. | 357,515 c | 715,000 b | 3,900 4,000 | \$5,100 b |
| 1915. | 336,652 c | 673,000 - | 4,600 | 5,5006 |
| 1916. | 437,036 e | 874,000 6 | 6,299 | 8,900 b |
| 1917. | 1,832,132d | 1,832,132 d | 11,191 d | 8,1100 ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ |
| 1918 | 2,008,176 d | 2,908,176 d | 13,692 d | 11,191d |
| 1919 | 3,407,888 d | 3,407, 8888 d | 17,030 d | 13,692 d |
| 1920 | 7,000,000 e |  | 17,030 | 17,030 d |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Annual Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, June 30, 1914, p. 628. Bureau of Internal Revenue, Statisics of Income, 1916, pp. 14, 23; 1917, pp. 28, 29; 1018, pp. 36, 37; Preliminary report for 1919, p. 8.
${ }^{6}$ On the basis of 1917, 1918, and 1919, see text.
c For incomes over $\$ 3,000$.
d Actual number or amount reported for incomes over $\$ 2,000$.

- Preliminary figure including incomes over \$1,000.
statement of income for each year, the income-tax data were plotted on a logarithmic scale. These charts are reproduced on pages 120 and 121 of Volume I. A chart on a logarithmic scale emphasizes small arithmetic increments or decrements in the lower numbers and minimizes them in the larger numbers. A slight change, therefore, in the shape of the curve at the left hand (lower income) end is of great importance, since it involves a large number of incomes. The advantage of charting the data in this form for analysis is illustrated in Part III of this volume.

It should be noted that the curves for each year show a general similarity in the direction and angle of slope. Reading toward the left from the right hand side of the chart, the curves for 1913 to 1916 fall off at the $\$ 5,000$ point, whereas the curve for 1917 is almost a straight line. In 1918 and 1919 the curves turn sharply upward at this point. These observations indicate (1) that the comparative number of incomes reported in the $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ ranges in the earlier years was less than in the later years; ${ }^{1}$

[^1]and suggest (2) that the reporting of incomes in the $\$ 5,000$ to, say, $\$ 50,000$ ranges was less complete than in the higher ranges.

To show more fully the situation in the lower income ranges in the years 1917 to 1919, the following table has been made.

## TABLE 29C

COMPARISON OF REPORTED NET INCOME, INCOME FROM SALARIES, AND INCOME FROM BUSINESS OF PERSONS RECEIVING $\$ 2,000$ TO \&5,000 PER YEAR

1917 to 1919

| Year | Number of Persons | Net income | Income from salaries (Thousands of dollars) | Income from business |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1917 a. | 1,389,470 | 84,180,842 | \$1,853,048 | 31,802,641 |
| 1918 b. | 2,429,214 | 7,162,044 | 4,389,992 | 1,733,831 |
| 1919 c. | 2,750,229 | 8,320,550 | 5,445,578 | 1,860,148 |

a Statistics of Income, 1917, pp. 40, 41.

- Stalistics of Income, 1918, p. 44.
c Statistics of Income, 1910, Preliminary Report, pp. 8, 11.
Income returns of less than $\$ 5,000$ were checked in the field from 1918 on, ${ }^{1}$ while those of over $\$ 5,000$ were sent to Washington and checked by the central force. This system resulted in the greatest care being given to the smaller incomes and to the large ones of say $\$ 50,000$ or more. The Washington force has in the past been inadequate to audit all the returns, and in addition has been so far in arrears that its findings have been made too late to affect the staistical data, which are of necessity computed from the returns as they are originally reported. The dip in the curve between $\$ 5,000$ and $\$ 50,000$ in these years may thus reflect understatement of incomes and be due largely to the technique of the Bureau of Internal Revenue. The figures for incomes above $\$ 50,000$ are believed by competent authorities to be technically almost accurate, containing only such understatements as can be made within the letter of the law. The amount of such understatement is included in the estimate in $\S 22 \mathrm{c}$ on Tax-exempt Income.
Further light is apparently thrown on the general problem of understatement by a comparison of the average amounts of income reported in each year.
amounts over $\$ 1,000$ paid to any individual in wages. The result of this drive was that the amount of income reported between $\$ 2,000$ and $\$ 5,000$ as received from wages or salaries was greatly increasod. No such simple method has been devised for detecting delinquents among men doing business in a small way on their own account, however, and in 1918 the number of such persons filing income-tax returns actually declined. We cannot, therefore, believe that failure to report has yet been reduced within narrow limits.
${ }^{1}$ Returns for the year 1917.

TABLE 22D

AVERAGE INCOME OF PERSONS RECEIVING OVER $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 0 0 0}$
1913 to 1919

| Year | Number of persons (Thousands) | Total income (Millions of dollars) | Average income |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 357 a | \$ 3,900 b | \$10,906 |
| 1914. | $357{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,000 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 11,188 |
| 1915. | 336 b | 4,600 $b$ | 13,664 |
| 1916. | 437 b | 6,298 b | 14,415 |
| 1917. | 993 c | 9,126 c | 9,187 |
| 1918. | 1,411 d | 10,065 d | 7,132 |
| 1919 | 1,838 e | 13,223 e | 7,194 |

a Annuul Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, June 30, 1914, p. 628.
b Statistics of Income, 1916, p. 14.
e Slatistics of Income, 1917, p. 28.
d Slatixtics of Income, 1918, p. 36.
e Statistics of Income, 1919, Preliminary Report, p. 8.
According to this table the average income over $\$ 3,000$ increased rapidly from 1913 to 1916, dropped violently in 1917, and declined still further in 1918. These changes are the net resultant of several factors. (1) Incomes already large doubtless grew rapidly larger with the recovery from the depression of 1913-14, and the sudden uprush of prices after the middle of 1915. (2) On the other hand, these large incomes were probably reduced in 1917-19 by the encroachments made upon profits by increasing costs of doing business. (3) The war-time rise of wages, salaries, professional fees and of the gains of small business men carried many incomes for the first time in the lives of their recipients above the $\$ 3,000$ liue, and of course this rapid increase in the number of incomes in the $\$ 3,000-\$ 5,000$ intervals tended powerfully to reduce the average of all incomes above $\$ 3,000$. (4) After the effect of these three factors has been allowed for the figures still suggest that a considerable rôle was played by fuller reporting of small incomes. The reduction of the exemption limit from $\$ 3,000$ to $\$ 2,000$ in 1917, the patriotic spirit that made people more willing to pay taxes during the war and the "intensive drive" of 1918 all contributed to this result.
In so complex a situation it is impossible to attribute its due effect to each of the intermingled factors. Yet a rough series of corrections can be made to give approximations much closer to the whole truth than the official figures give. The method adopted is first to correct the official returns for 1918, and then to use these new estimates as a basis for correcting the figures for earlier years.

The probable amount of understatement and failure to report income in 1918 was estimated as follows:

1. The failure to report incomes from business in the $\$ 2,000$ to $\$ 5,000$ range was considerable. The doubling of incomes from salaries owing to the "drive" in 1918 indicates that an equally successful effort to find small business incomes would have had large results. For our purposes, the best guess is to double the amount reported under this head-a correction which adds $\$ 1,298$ million to the total.
2. The understatement of income from salaries in 1918 was probably very much less. This item had been increased very largely over 1917, and the manner in which the work was conducted indicates that nearly all salaries were found. However, since this item showed a still further increase of over a billion dollars in 1919, it is probable that there was still some understatement in 1918. This amounted to perhaps one-tenth of the reported total, or $\$ 370$ billion.
3. The understatement of the incomes between $\$ 5,000$ and $\$ 50,000$ is very difficult to estimate. In these ranges, there were reported in 1917, 415,000 incomes, totalling $\$ 4,560$ million; in $1918,465,000$ incomes, totalling $\$ 4,860$ million; and in $1919,640,000$ incomes, totalling $\$ 6,645$ million. It is known that this class escaped attention in 1918 to a greater degree than either the larger or smaller incomes, and this point is graphically illustrated by the "dip" shown in the preceding charts. It is noteworthy that in 1919 the "dip" was to some extent reduced. An elimination of the "dip" and a reading of the points on the redrawn curve for 1918 indicates a possible increase of from $\$ 1$ to $\$ 3$ billion; the points are so fine at this stage of a logarithmetic chart that the slightest variation causes an enormous difference in the readings. A flat increase of $\$ 2$ billion for the understatement in these ranges in 1918, then, appears to be as close a correction as can be made.
A summary of these corrections in tabular form follows:-
TABLE 22E
ESTIMATED AMOUNT OF INCOME SUBJECT TO TAXATION IN 1918
(Excluding iarmers)
(Millions of dollars)
Income reported over $\$ 2,000$ \$13,692 a
Less Farmers' Income. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . .1,123 b

|  | \$12,569 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Add Estimated Business delinquencies ( $\mathbf{5 2 , 0 0 0 - 8 5 , 0 0 0 \text { ). }}$ | 1,298 |

Eatimated Salary delinquencies ( $\$ 2,000-\$ 5,000$ ) . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 370 c
Estimated General delinquencies $(\$ 5,000-\$ 50,000) \ldots . .$. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . 2,000 e
Total Fstimated Income . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $\$ 16,237$
a Statiadict of Income, 1918, pp. 36, 37. b Statistics of Income, 1918, p. 11.
c See text.

When the curve for 1918 is redrawn on the basis of $\$ 16.2$ billion instead of $\$ 13.7$ billion total income, it shows only a small "dip" in place of the very pronounced one shown in Chart 22B. If a similar computation is made for earlier years, based on the results for 1918, and if the general information contained in the preceding charts and tables is utilized, then the approximation to the income over $\$ 2,000$ for each of these years is as follows:-

## TABLE 22F

ESTIMATED TOTAL NUMBER OF PERSONS AND AMOUNT OF TAXABLE
INCOME OF PERSONS RECEIVING INCOMES OVER income of persons receiving incomes over \$2,000 PER year (Excluding farmers) 1913 to 1920

|  | Number of persons (Millions) | Total income (Billions) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Minimum and } \\ \text { maximum estimates } \\ \text { (Billions) } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1913. | 1.3 | \$ 9.0 |  |
| 1914. | 1.3 | 8.8 | \$ 7-10 |
| 1915. | 1.8 | 10.0 | 8-12 |
| 1916. | 2.3 | 13.5 | 12-15 |
| 1917. | 2.9 | 16.0 |  |
| 1918. | 3.1 | 16.0 16.2 | $\begin{aligned} & 15-19 \\ & 15-10 \end{aligned}$ |
| 1919. | 3.6 | 17.5 | 17-19 |

Needless to say, any method of estimating money income that ought to be, but is not, reported to the taxing authorities yields merely rough approximations. It cannot be pointed out too often that the exactness of the figures used is purely technical. However, they are the result of an exhaustive scrutiny and analysis of the existing data, and a careful study of the best means of interpreting them. No final solution of the income distribution problem can be reached until we have an accurate census of the incomes of all the people in the country, or at least of a large and well selected sample.

## 8 22c. Tax-exempt Income

In addition to the kind of incomes which are or should be reported by persons under the income-tax law, there are some forms of income which are not reported because they are exempt from taxation. Certain items of this tax-exempt income can be said with some assurance to accrue almost entirely to those having incomes over $\$ 2,000$, for example, income from state or local bonds and Federal Farm Loan Bonds. Other types of income, such as the interest on Liberty Bonds, state and local salaries, and income
from homes owned by their occupants, are known to be divided between those having incomes over and under $\$ 2,000$. Where no statistical information was obtainable, we have apportioned such incomes in the manner which seemed, all things considered, most probable.
The following table is presented to show the amount of income which accrues to the persons falling under the income-tax law and for which they are not required to make any return.

## TABLE 29G

ESTIMATED TAX-EXEMPT INCUME a
1910 to 1920
(Tax-exempt Agricultural Income Omitted)
(Millions of dollars)

| Year |  |  | III <br> on <br> Federal Farm Loan Bonds | IV <br> Interest on obligations of possessions of U.S. $b$ | V <br> Income excmpt through nature of 00 cupation c | VI <br> Rental value of homes owned | VII |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1910. | \$134 | \$ | \% | $\$ 3$ | \$155 | \$500 | \$ 792 |
| 1911. | 148 |  |  | 3 | 155 | 500 | 806 |
| 1912 | 160 |  |  | 3 | 155 | 500 | 818 |
| 1913. | 172 |  |  | 3 | 155 | 500 | 831 |
| 1914. | 185 |  |  | 3 | 155 | 500 | 843 |
| 1915. | 200 |  |  | 3 | 155 | 500 | 858 |
| 1916. | 215 |  |  | 3 | 155 | 600 | 973 |
| 1917. | 228 | 20 | 1 | 4 | 155 | 600 | 1.098 |
| 1918. | 236 | 180 | 4 | 4 | 155 | 700 | 1,279 |
| 1919. | 259 | 380 | 7 | 4 | 200 | 703 | 1,550 |
| 1920. | 282 | 380 d | $7{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 4 | 200 | 703 | 1,573 |

${ }_{a}$ See the following tables for references.

- And also U. S. bonds issued prior to 1917.
c State, city and county salaries.
d Estimated.
The items of this table are taken up in the following divisions.


## 1. Local Debts.

The next table gives the amount of state, municipal and local debts in 1913.

The security issues subsequent to 1913 are recorded by the Bond Buyer which has the most complete records available. An estimate of the amount of refunding may be obtained by comparing the total issues reported by the Bond Buyer with the actual increase as shown by successive Census reports. In 1902, the Census of Wealth, Debt and Taxation reported a

## TABLE 28H

## TOTAL AMOUNT OF STATE, MUNICIPAL, COUNTY, AND LOCAL BONDS OUTSTANDING a

1913

|  | 1913 |
| :---: | :---: |
| State | \$ 345,942,305 |
| Municipal | 2,884,882,726 |
| County | 371,528,298 |
| Specified Civil Divisions. | 100,672,758 |
| School Districts. | 118,870,601 |
| Total | \$3,821,896,658 |

a U. S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Wealth, Debt, and Taxalion, 1913. Sinking funds excluded.
total of $\$ 1,865$ million for debts of states, municipalities, and localities as compared with $\$ 3,822$ million in 1913 . This increase of $\$ 1,967$ million compares with total issues of about $\$ 3,100$ million reported during the same period in the Bond Buyer. Accordingly, the issues reported annuslly by the Bond Buyer have been reduced by one-third to represent the approximate net increase in indebtedness.

The following table shows the new issues of securities for each year, the estimated increase of indebtedness, and the total estimated interest payments.

## TABLE 291

| ESTIMATED TOTAL DEBT AND INTEREST PAYMENTS OF STATES, MUNICIPALITIES, COUNTIES, AND LOCALITIES <br> 1910 to 1920 (Millions of dollars) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Fatimated } \\ \text { net increase } \\ \text { of debt } \end{gathered}$ | III <br> Estimated total debt | $\underset{\substack{\text { Iotal } \\ \text { interest at at } \\ 4.5 \%^{c}}}{\text { cos. }}$ |
| 1910 | \$324 | $\$ 216$ | \$2,983 | \$134 |
| 1911. | 452 | 301 | 3,284 | 148 |
| 1912 | 399 | 266 | 3,550 | 160 |
| 1913 | 408 | 272 | 3,822 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 172 |
| 1914. | 446 | 297 | 4,119 | 185 |
| 1915. | 493 | 329 | 4,448 | 200 |
| 1916. | 497 | 331 | 4,779 | 215 |
| 1917. | 445 | 297 | 5,076 | 228 |
| 1918. | 263 | 175 | 5,251 | 236 |
| 1919. | 770 | 513 | 5,764 | 259 |
| 1920. | 745 | 497 | 6,261 | 282 |

[^2]2. Liberty Bonds.

Liberty Bond issues outstanding at different dates were of the following amounts:

TABLE 22J
APPROXIMATE VALUE OF LIBERTY BONDS OUTSTANDING
1917 to 1919
(Millions of dollars)

|  | 1917 a | 1918 b | 1919 c | 1920 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1st ( $31 / 2 \%$ ) | \$1,466 | \$1,989 | \$1,985 | \$1,953 d |
| 3rd (41/0\%) . . . . . . . |  | 3,747 $\mathbf{3}$ $\mathbf{3} 208$ | 3,566 | 3,325 d |
|  |  | 3,228 | 3,959 | 3,463 d |
| Victory ( $33 \%$ \& $43 \% \%$ ) |  |  | 6,794 $\mathbf{3 , 4 6 8}$ | 6,394 d |
| W.S.S. (4\%) ... . . . . |  | 350 | -954 | 4,246 ${ }^{\text {954 }}$ e |
| Total. . . . . . . . . . | 1,466 | 9,314 | 20,726 | 20,535 |

a Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, 1917, p. 56.
${ }^{6}$ Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, 1918, p. 157.
c Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, 1919, p. 214.
d Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, 1920, p. 451.
e 1919 figure carried forward.

Of these issues, the First Liberty Loan and part of the Victory Loan are entirely tax-exempt. The others are exempt only within rather narrow limits.

The income from these bonds is divided between banks, corporations and individuals. The following table shows the estimated amounts held by banks on the one hand and by corporations and individuals on the other.
In order to estimate roughly the income from Liberty Bonds received by individuals having incomes over $\$ 2,000$, estimates of the interest received by corporations, and by individuals having incomes under $\$ 2,000$ must be made. An examination of corporation statements leads to the conclusion that about $\$ 40$ millions of such interest was paid to corporations in 1918 and 890 millions in 1919. Subtracting these amounts from the figures shown in the last column of Table 22K, we get a total received by individuals of about $\$ 27$ millions in 1917, $\$ 262$ millions in 1918 and $\$ 683$ millions in 1919. Seventy per cent of these amounts ${ }^{1}$ may be considered

[^3]
## TABLE 28K

## ESTIMATED DIVISION OF THE INCOME FROM LIBERTY BONDS BETWEEN BANKS, CORPORATIONS AND INDIVIDUALS

1917 to 1919
(Millions of dollars)

| Year | Bonds outstanding |  |  | Income from bonds |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | I <br> Total amount outstanding | II <br> Amount held by banks a | III <br> Amount held by corporations and individusls | IV Estimated total income | V <br> Estimated income of banks | VI <br> Estimated income of corporations and individuala |
| 1917. | \$ 1,466 | \$ 703 | \$ 763 | \$ 51 | 824 | 827 |
| 1918 | 19,314 | 1,374 | 7,941) | 357 | 55 | 302 |
| 1919. | 20,726 | 1,450 | 19,276 | 834 | 61 | 773 |

a Reports of Comptroller of the Currency, 1917, v. I, p. 11; 1918, v. I, p. 16; 1918,
I, p. 40. v. I, p. 40.
a fair guess at the proportion held by individuals with incomes over $\$ 2,000$; which suggests as the probable amount of tax-exempt personal income from this source, about $\$ 20$ millions in 1917, $\$ 180$ millions in 1918, and $\$ 480$ millions in 1919. The later issues were more narrowly tax-exempt, so that a considerable portion of the interest received in 1919 had to be reported in the income-tax returns. The actual exemption in that year was then less than $\$ 480$ millions by perhaps $\$ 100$ millions, or say $\$ 380$ millions. No change of any moment from this amount is warranted for 1920, and the same figure is used for that year.

## 3. Federal Farm Loans.

The following table gives the amount of Federal Farm Loan Bonds outstanding in recent years. The Farm Loan Board estimates that practically the entire issue is in the hands of the public and not in the hands of commercial banks.

[^4]
## TABLE 22L

## FEDERAL FARM LOAN BONDS OUTSTANDING

1917 to 1919 (Thousauds of dollars)

|  | 1917 | 1918 | 1919 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bonds outstanding a | \$21,447 | \$140,122 | \$285,500 |
| Bonds held by the Treasury $b$. | 0 | 56,865 | 136,885 |
| Bonds held by the Public. | \$21,447 | \$83,257 | \$148,615 |
| Income from Bonds held by the Public ${ }^{\text {c }}$. | 992 | 3,851 | 6,873 |

a On October 31.
b Unpublished figures furnished by the Assistant Sccretary of the Federal Farm Loan Bureau.
c These loans pay from $41 / 2$ per cent to 5 per cent and the interest rate is computed on the basis of $4^{5} / \mathrm{s}$ per cent.
4. U. S. Bond Issues prior to 1917 and Bonds of U. S. Possessions.
U. S. Bonds issued prior to the year 1917 consisted on June 30, 1919 of the following:

## TABLE 28M

## U. S. BONDS ISSUED PRIOR TO 1917 a

|  | Rate of interest | Amount | Principal holder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consols of 1930 | 2\% | \$599,724,050 | Banks |
| Loans of 1925. | 4 | 118,849,900 | Banks |
| Panama Canal Loan. | 2 | 74,901,580 | Banks |
| Panama Canal Loan. | 3 | 50,000,000 | Public |
| Conversiou Bonds. | 3 | 28,894,900 | Banks |
| Postal Savings Bonds | 21/2 | 11,349,960 | Public |

a Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, 1919, p. 113.
With slight changes, reported annually by the Secretary of the Treasury, the interest on these amounts is about $\$ 22$ million. A large number of these bonds are held by banks; the best estimates obtainable show that only the Panama 3 per cent bonds and the Postal Savings Bonds are to any extent in the hands of the public. ${ }^{1}$ The annual income from the bond issues which are held largely by the public accordingly amounts to $\mathbf{\$ 1 , 7 8 4 , -}$ 000. To these issues must be added the interest on bonds of the possessions of the United States (Hawaii, Philippines and Porto Rico). The amounts involved are small. In 1910 the total debt was $\$ 22$ million and mounted gradually to $\$ 41$ million in $\mathbf{1 9 2 0}$. The interest at the earlier date, therefore, was approximately $\$ 880,000$ and at the later date, 1 Estimate made by Commission of Public Debt, August 25, 1920.
$\$ 1,640,000$. These two amounts add up to about $\$ 3$ million per year for the earlier years and $\$ 4$ million per year for the later years.
5. State, City and County Salaries.

The expenditures for salaries by states, cities and counties are not subject to the Federal income tax, and such salaries as are above $\$ 2,000$ should, therefore, be added to the income which is known to accrue to certain individuals. The data are mainly taken from the Census publications, Financial Statistics of States, 1918, Financial Statistics of Cities, 1918, and County Revenues, Expenditures and Public Properties, 1913. From the data given in these reports, other expenses, such as payments for upkeep, interest, and general expenses, have been excluded in Column II of Table 22 N , and the final estimate has been a rough paring-down of the remainder. A number of items included both salaries and upkeep, so that an itemized division is not practicable and no more elaborate method is warranted under the circumstances. A check on the salaries paid by states was, however, possible. The reports of six States were scrutinized with great care, and an eatimate for the entire country was made on the basis of each state. This estimate varied from $\$ 159$ to $\$ 270$ million, the average being $\$ 202$ million. In view of this, the final estimate of $\$ 200$ million for state salaries appears reasonable. City and county estimates are reduced in an approximately similar ratio.

TABLE 29K
ESTIMATED TOTAL SALARIES PAID TO STATE OFFICLALS
1918

| State | I Actual amount of total salaries paid | II <br> Eatimated amount of total salariea paid | III <br> Per cent of population of U. S. residing in State | IV <br> Estimated amount of salaries paid by all States on the basis of one State |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| California a . |  | \$7,898,591 | 2.98 | \$270,499,090 |
| Indians b. . |  | 5,744,887 | 2.76 | 208,148,000 |
| Louisiana c. |  | 2,849,546 | 1.79 | 200,148, |
| New York d. | \$16,092,581 |  | 9.91 | 159,477,477 |
| Wisconsin $f$. |  | $3,792,240$ $\mathbf{5 , 7 2 8 , 2 3 1}$ | 2.135 2.426 | $177,623,410$ $238,118,340$ |
| Average of six preceding estimatea |  |  |  | \$201,842,235 |

[^5]In order to determine the percentage of state salaries larger than $\$ 2,000$, 1,500 samples were taken from the U. S. Official Register for 1911, 1917, and 1919 by choosing the top right hand amount on each page. These samples indicated that about 8 per cent of government employees receiving about 20 per cent of the total salaries fell in the class having salaries of over $\$ 2,000$, the percentage being about the same for each year. This percentage is used in Column III of Table 220. Since government salaries did not increase in any marked degree until 1919, when the average increase was about 30 per cent, the same total of $\$ 155$ million is kept from 1913 to 1918 , and $\$ 200$ million is estimated for 1919 and 1920.

## TABLE 220

## ESTIMATED TOTAL SALARIES PAID TO STATE, CITY AND COUNTY OFFICLALS

(Thousands of dollars)

|  | I <br> Total expeases of general departments 2 | II <br> Estimated total salaries | III <br> Estimated salaries over $\$ 2,000$ (20 per cent of Col. II) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Btate (1818) | \$297,801 ${ }^{5}$ | \$200,000 | \$ 40,000 |
| Cities over 2,500 (1913) | 666,384 c | 400,000 | 80,000 |
| Counties (1913) . . . . . . | 277,735 d | 175,000 | 35,000 |
| Total. |  | \$775,000 | \$155,000 |

a Expenses of General Departments include administiative and other expenses for (a) General Government, (b) Protection to Persons and Property, (c) Conservation of Health and Sanitation, (d) Highways, (e) Charities, Hospitals and Corrections, (f) Sehools and Libraries, (g) Recreation (h) Miscellaneous.
${ }^{6}$ Bureau of the Census, Financial Statistics of States, 1918, Table 10.
c Census of Muricipal Revenues, Expenditures and Public Properties, 1913, p. 182, Table 5.
d Bureau of the Census, Wealth, Debl and Taxation, 1913, v. II, Table 5, p. 210.,

## 6. Rental Value of Homes Owned by their Occupants.

To estimate the value of the rentals of homes owned by persons in the income class above $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 0 0 0}$, it is necessary to fall back on broad generalizations. No definite body of data exists. The number of persons excluding farmers falling into this group and their total incomes are shown in Table 22A.

The Census of 1910 reports about five and one-quarter million houses owned by occupiers, excluding farmers. This is about 40 per cent of the fourteen million families in the country. Since home ownership has increased among the wealthy, especially in recent years, because of the
income-tax exemption, it is not improbable that one-half of the incometax payers own their homes.

There does not appear to be any information in regard to the relation of income to rent for the higher class incomes. The Bureau of Labor Statistics finds 13.4 per cent to be the proportion of rent to income for working class families. ${ }^{1}$ These data, which include incomes up to $\$ 2,500$, show that the percentage decreases with a rise in the incomes; for incomes above $\$ 2,500$ we have no data regarding the relation between rent and income. Without a complete Census, or at least a typical sample of incomes, rents, and ownership of homes of the different income classes of the country, any estimate must be mere conjecture.

The proportion of 13.4 per cent above mentioned, when applied to onehalf the total amount of income, estimated for the class above $\$ 2,000$, yields results ranging from one-half billion to three-fourths billion dollars. In view of the increase in the number of income-tax payers between 1913 and 1919, together with the increase in the amount of income, it is concluded that the total value of rents increased from about one-half to threefourths billion dollars. Because of the need of placing a definite amount in Table 22 G , amounts varying from $\$ 500$ to $\$ 700$ million are inserted, but their accuracy is only nominal.

[^6]
[^0]:    1 Probaby, 90 per cent of the corporations in the United Itates represent merrely individuals or a small group of individuals doing burinese through the medimen of a corporation. In these cases, there is a strong tendency to withbold dividends. Additions to corporate sur-
     or cole traders.

    It is to be noted that the war madditions to corporate surplus (after taxes) have been wiped out in lar memure by (1) the 1020 to 1921 depretsion and (2) by invertments in plant ficelitites that are unrealisable through either operution of malo.-J. E. Sternet.

[^1]:    1 In order to discover tar delimquents in 1918, all employers were required to roport the

[^2]:    a Bond Buyer, Jan. 3, 1920, p. 34, and Jan. 8, 1921, p. 32.
    ${ }^{6}$ Bureau of the Census, Wralth, Debe and Taxation, 1913.
    cThe average weighted rate of interest of samples of loans issued at various dates was 4.47 per cent. The increase in interest rates in 1919 affects only a small fraction of the total debt.

[^3]:    The original amounts of the bonds issued in the different denominations are as follows:-
    
    $5,000 \ldots$
    $1,000 \ldots$.
    500. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . $2,095,775,000$
    
    Secretary of the Treasury, 1920 , p. $435,924,850$
    (Annual Report of the Secretary of the Treasury, 1920, p. 435.) The amounts owned by

[^4]:    banks and corporations must have been in the neighborhood of four billion dollars-probably for the most part in large denominations. Few prople having incomes of less than $\mathbf{5 2 , 0 0 0}$ could be expected to own bonds of 5500 ; and some of the persons having incomes of over $\mathbf{8 2 , 0 0 0}$ would own bonds of $\mathbf{\$ 5 0}$ or $\$ 100$. The divinion of the bonds between persons havina more than $\$ 2,000$ and less than $\$ 2,000$ wrould be approximately ad followa:
    To persons having over $\$ 2,000$; $\$ 16.566$ million. beins the Eunm of the denominationn of $\$ 500$ to $\$ 10,000$, plua onetenth of the 850 and $\$ 100$ ( $\$ 320$ million), a total of 817.3 billion; less $\$ 4$ billion, tho amount hedd by bantry and corporntions giving a final atmount of $\$ 13.3$ billion. To persons having lem than $\$ 2,000$; nime-teaths of the $\$ 50$ mad 3100 bonde, or 35,584 million. This would give 70 per cent of tho boed interent evedited to incividuale to persons

[^5]:    a Califoraia Biennial Report of the State Comptroller, 1017 to 1018.
    b Indiana Year Book, 1919.
    e Louisiana Biennial Report of Auditor, 1918.
    ${ }^{d}$ New York Report of Comptroller, 1917, Part 3, p. 11.

    - Virginia Annual Report of Treasurer, Auguat 3, 1018.
    $j$ Wisconsin Report of Treasary, Jụe 30, 1917.

[^6]:    ${ }^{2}$ Monthly Labor Review, August, 1919, p. 118.

