This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: The Cyclical Behavior of the Term Structure of Interest Rates

Volume Author/Editor: Reuben A. Kessel

Volume Publisher: UMI

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-405-7

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/kess65-1

Publication Date: 1965

Chapter Title: HOW SHORT- AND LONG-TERM INTEREST RATES

Chapter Author: Reuben A. Kessel

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c1664

Chapter pages in book: (p. 59 - 80)

HOW SHORT- AND LONG-TERM INTEREST RATES HAVE BEHAVED CYCLICALLY

THE BEHAVIOR of the term structure of interest rates during business cycles can be summarized by:

- 1. Relative yields of short- and long-term securities at cycle peaks and troughs.
- 2. Variance in yields over the cycle as a function of term to maturity.
- 3. Average yields over the cycle as a function of term to maturity.
- 4. Correspondence of peaks and troughs in yields with business cycle peaks and troughs.

In the first part of this chapter, the behavior of the term structure of interest rates since the end of World War II is described. Then the yields on government securities during the period between the two world wars are examined. Finally, the cyclical variation and relative level of yields on long- and short-term nongovernmental obligations since 1858 is reviewed.

Since the end of World War II, there have been pronounced specific cycles in interest-rate series. The peaks and troughs of these series have been closely associated with turning points in business conditions. For the first four complete business cycles following the war, intra-cyclical changes in interest rates were, on the average, 50 per cent greater than cycle-to-cycle changes. Although there was a strong trend upward in interest rates during this time, peak-totrough and trough-to-peak changes in rates were large relative to secular changes (see Table 5).

Relative to secular trends, peak-to-trough changes in short maturities were especially large. From the trough in the earliest of

	Bus	iness C	vcle		lute Val er cent)		Changes	
		_					Trough	Peak to
	Trough	Peak	Trough	Trough	Peak	Trough	to Peak	Trough
A.	Three-r	month Tr	easury bills					
	10/45	11/48	10/49	.38	1,12	1.05	.74	07
	10/49	7/53	8/54	1.05	2.10	.88	1.05	-1.22
	8/54	7/57	4/58	. 88	3.59	1.16	2.71	-2.43
	4/58	5/60	2/61	1.16	3.53	2.29	2.37	-1.24
в.	Nine- t	o twelve	e-month gover	nments				
	10/45	11/48	10/49	• 82	1.21	1.08	. 39	13
	10/49	7/53	8/54	1.08	2,40	.62	1.32	-1.78
	8/54	7/57	4/58	.62	3.89	1.40	3.27	-2.49
	4/58	5/60	2/61	1.40	4.32	2.79	2.92	-1.53
c.	Three-	to five-	-year governme	nts				
	10/45	11/48	10/49	1.15	1.67	1.36	• 52	31
	10/49	7/53	8/54	1.36	2.74	1,68	1.38	-1.06
	8/54	7/57	4/58	1.68	3.95	2.41	2.27	-1.54
	4/58	5/60	2/61	2.41	4.63	3.52	2.22	-1.11
D.	Twenty-	-year go	vernments					
	10/45	11/48	10/49	2.07	2.42	2.20	• 35	22
	10/49	7/53	8/54	2.20	3.09	2.52	.89	57
	8/54	7/57	4/58	2.52	3.62	3.11	1,10	51
	4/58	5/60	2/61	3.11	4.24	3.77	1.13	47
Av	erages,	Four Cy	cles, 1945-61					
	Three-r	nonth Tr	easury bills				1.72	-1.24
			e-month govern				1.98	-1.48
	Th ree-	to five	-year governme	nts			1.60	-1.00
	Twenty-	-year go	vernments				.87	44

CYCLICAL CHANGES IN YIELDS OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES, OCTOBER 1945-FEBRUARY 1961

TABLE 5

Source: Series are adjusted for seasonal variation by the National Bureau. All series, except the twenty-year government bond series, are compiled by the Federal Reserve Board and are reported monthly in the Federal Reserve Bulletin. The twenty-year government bond series is compiled by the Morgan Guaranty Trust Co.

^aDuring this time, there was a half cycle of experience with six-month Treasury bills. For this half cycle, May 1960 through February 1961, 182day bills decreased from 3.58 to 2.60, a change of 98 basis points. (A basis point is equal to .01 per cent.)

The three-month bill series is, strictly speaking, not directly comparable with the other series. Yields of bills are discount yields based on a 360-day, and not the usual 365-day year. Hence, bill yields understate correct yields, and the true yield differentials between bills and other securities is less than the differences reported here. In general, the higher the absolute level of bill rates, the greater the bias. For bill yields of 2.5 to 3 per cent, the bias is around eight basis points.

÷

these four cycles to the trough in the latest, a period of more than fifteen years, interest-rate changes for bills and nine- to twelvemonth governments were less than the trough-to-peak changes in the two latest cycles.

Since the trough-to-peak increases in short-term rates were greater than the corresponding increases in long-term rates, the former rose relative to the latter during expansions. Conversely, short-term rates fell relatively during contractions, since their peak-to-trough decreases were greater. Consequently, short-term rates were relatively high about cyclical peaks and low about troughs.

The relative changes in short- and long-term yields over the cycle imply systematic changes in yield differentials or spreads between maturity classes. Since short-term rates were typically below longterm rates, spreads between them narrowed during the course of an expansion and widened during a contraction. Absolute differences became smaller when rates increased and larger when rates decreased. For the three latest cycles (1949–61), an absolute increase in bill yields of one-hundred basis points was associated with an average decrease in the spread between bills and twenty-year government bonds of forty-three basis points.¹

This evidence also indicates that short-term rates were more variable absolutely over the cycle (see Table 6). However, the general belief that the longer the term to maturity, the less volatile the yield, is not entirely supported. In each of the three latest cycles, nine- to twelve-month governments were more variable absolutely than three-month Treasury bills. This suggests that the absolute variability in yields over the cycle first increased and then decreased with the term to maturity.

In contrast to the spreads between bills and long-term governments, the yield differential between bills and nine- to twelvemonth governments widened over the course of the post-World War II expansions and narrowed during the contractions. For the three latest cycles, an absolute increase of 1 per cent in the yields of bills was associated with an average increase of eighteen basis points in the differential.

¹ The slope of the regression equation relating the absolute size of the yield differential between bills and bonds to the absolute level of bill yields was .43.

I ADLE 0	TΑ	BL	E	6
----------	----	----	---	---

VARIATION IN YIELDS OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DURING FOUR BUSINESS CYCLES, OCTOBER 1945-FEBRUARY 1961

Business Cycle, Trough to Trough	Three-Month Bills	Nine- to Twelve-Month Governments	Three- to Five-Year Covernments	Twenty-Year Governments
Standard deviation				•
10/45 to 10/49	.334	.159	.197	.163
10/49 to 8/54	.375	.434	.405	.259
8/54 to 4/58	.817	. • 886	.605	.311
4/58 to 2/61	.874	1.031	.698	• 32 1
Coefficient of var	iation ^a			
10/45 to 10/49	44.59	16.19	14.43	7.40
10/49 to 8/54	25.41	27.45	20.51	10.04
8/54 to 4/58	35.58	35,44	20.78	10,10
4/58 to 2/61	31.87	30.72	18,15	8.22

^aStandard deviation stated as a percentage of the mean.

TABLE 7

AVERAGE YIELD OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DURING FOUR BUSINESS CYCLES, OCTOBER 1945-FEBRUARY 1961 (per cent)

Business Cycle, Frough to Trough	Three-Month Bills	Nine- to Twelve-Month Governments	Three- to Five-Year Governments	Twenty-Year Governments
10/45 to 10/49	.749	•982	1.365	2.203
10/49 to 8/54	1.476	1.581	1.975	2.580
8/54 to 4/58	2,296	2.500	2,912	3.079
4/58 to 2/61	2.742	3.356	3.846	3.904
Jnweighted average of the cycle ave				
10/45 to 2/61	1.816	2.105	2.524	2.942

Unlike the variability in yields over the cycle, average yields varied monotonically with term to maturity (see Table 7). The longer the term to maturity, the higher the yield. This suggests that yield curves were, on the average, positively sloped during the four 1945-61 cycles.² Slopes were invariably positive from the end

TIMING OF SHORT- AND LONG-TERM YIELDS OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES AT BUSINESS CYCLE PEAKS AND TROUGHS, OCTOBER 1943-FEBRUARY 1961

TABLE 8


		Lead (-) or L	ag (+)	in Mon and Tr		t Busi	ness C	ycle F	'eaks
		10/45 T	11/48 P	10/49 T	7/53 P	8/54 T	7/57 P	4/58 T	5/60 P	2/61 T
1.	Three-month bills	a	a	a	-1	-2	-1	+2	-5	-2
2.	Nine- to twelve- month governments	а	+4	-3	-1	0	0	+2	4	-1
3.	Three- to five- year governments	+5	-3	-3	-1	0	0	+2	5	-5
4.	Twenty-year governments	+4	-1	+2	-1	0	-1	0	-4	-5

^aNo specific cycle.

of the war through 1955, but in more recent years, curves with negatively sloped segments have been observed.

In general, the steepness or the degree to which yield curves were positively inclined decreased from trough-to-peak. Only about peaks could one observe yield curves with negative slopes (see Table 5 and Charts 5 and 6). Negatively sloped yield curves, or more

² Yield curves depict, at one point in time, average rates of interest as a function of term to maturity. They portray the average yield of securities that are homogeneous with respect to credit-worthiness and vary only in term to maturity. Marginal rate of interest curves bear the same relation to yield curves that marginal cost curves bear to average cost curves. These show marginal rates of interest as a function of term to maturity and are implied by yield curves. A one-to-one correspondence exists between points on marginal rate of interest curves and yield curves. Marginal rate of interest curves are usually referred to as forward rates; they are the incremental or marginal costs of borrowing for two years instead of one year, etc. The marginal cost of extending a one-year maturity for an additional year is the forward rate for one-year money one year hence. Estimates of current yield curves for government securities are reported monthly in the *Treasury Bulletin*.



correctly yield curves with negatively sloped segments, occurred about the 1957 and 1960 cyclical peaks.

During the 1945–61 business cycles, the peaks and troughs in the specific cycles of governments were roughly synchronous with those in business activity. For bills, the degree of synchronization is poorest for the earliest cycle and roughly on a par with longer-term governments for the three later cycles (see Table 8, and Charts 5 and 6). The striking coincidence of timing in specific and business cycles suggests that the forces that determine the peaks and troughs of business cycles must also play a role in determining those in the specific cycles of time series of government obligations.³

Seasonally adjusting the time series used had relatively little effect on the dating of specific cycle peaks and troughs. If anything the correspondence of specific with business cycle peaks was closer after adjustment (see Table 9).

TABLE 9

TIMING OF PEAKS AND TROUGHS IN BILL RATES USING SEASONALLY ADJUSTED AND UNADJUSTED DATA

	Business Cycle Turns								
Bill Rates	Р	Т	Р	т	P	т			
Unadjusted									
Date of specific cycle turn Lead (-) or lag (+) in months,	4/53	6/54	10/57	6/58	12/59	1/61			
relative to business cycle turn	-3	-2	+3	+2	5	-1			
Adjusted									
Date of specific cycle turn Lead (-) or lag (+) in months,	6/53	6/54	6/57	6/58	12/59	12/60			
relative to business cycle turn	-1	-2	-1	+2	-5	-2			

The time series upon which these uniformities in the cyclical behavior of interest rates are based appear in Charts 5 and 6. These time series unfortunately do not go back before World War II. In the 1920's and 1930's, the interest on virtually all of the longterm governments outstanding was partially tax exempt, and on short-term governments wholly tax exempt. The issuance of Treas-

³ Of the thirty-two specific cycle turning points, nineteen preceded the corresponding business cycle turning point, seven succeeded, and six were coinciclental. On average, specific cycle turning points led by 0.9 months. At peaks, the average lead was 1.6 months; at troughs, 0.3 months.






CHART 6






NOTE: Shaded areas represent business cycle contractions; unshaded areas, expansions.

68 How Interest Rates Have Behaved Cyclically ury bills began in December 1929, but offerings in the following two years were so infrequent and irregular that a continuous series does not begin until 1931. Before 1931, yields on short-term governments could be measured by a series on three- to six-month Treasury notes and certificates that began in 1920 and ended in 1933. The income derived from holding these notes and certificates was fully tax exempt. In summary, prewar data that depict the relative yields of short- and long-term governments over the cycle are not directly comparable to postwar data, and the short-term data for







NOTE: Shaded areas represent business cycle contractions; unshaded areas, expansions.

the 1920's are not directly comparable to those of the 1930's. Despite these limitations, this body of data constitutes an important and fruitful source of knowledge. It can reveal how the yields of short- and long-term securities varied cyclically, and the extent to which specific and reference cycles coincided.

Between 1920 and 1956, there were two subperiods when the rate of interest for three- to six-month Treasury notes and certificates was higher than the rate on long-term governments. These were from June of 1920 through March of 1921, and from January 1928 through November 1929. For the balance of this period, short-term

government yields were always below long-term yields. The 1920-21 reversal of the usual relationship was both shorter and less pronounced than the later reversal. The maximum yield differential during the 1920-21 reversal was sixty-seven basis points; the average





Nore: Shaded areas represent business cycle contractions; unshaded areas, expansions.

differential was thirty-three basis points. For the later period, 1928–29, the maximum differential was 145 basis points; the average was ninety-one. For nine months in 1957 and eight months in 1959 and 1960, nine- to twelve-month government yields were above the

.....

CYCLICAL CHANGES IN YIELDS OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES, 1921-45

						Char	ges
	Business			ute Va		Trough	Peak to
_	Trough Pea	k Trough	Trough	Peak	Trough	to Peak	Trough
Α.	Long-term gover	nments ^a	5.26	4.37	3.94	89	43
	July 1921-May 1	.923-July 1924	3.94	3.68	3.23	26	45
	Nov. 1927-Aug.1	929-Mar. 1933	3.23	3.71	3.42	•48	29
	Mar. 1933-May 1	937-June 1938	3.42	2.80	2.58	62	22
	June 1938-Feb.1	945-Oct. 1945	2.58	2.38	2.35	~.20	03
3.	Short-term gove	rnments ^b					
	July 1921-May 1	923-July 1924	4.60	3.95	1,92	65	-2.03
	July 1926-Oct.1	926-Nov. 1927	1,92	3.58	3.04	1.66	54
	Nov. 1927-Aug.1	929-Mar. 1933	3.04	4.70	1.34	1.66	-3.36
	Mar. 1933-May 1	937-June 1938	2.29	.65	.02	-1.64	63
	June 1938-Feb.1	945-Oct. 1945	.05	. 38	. 38	. 33	0

^aFirst Three Cycles: Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System, Banking and Monetary Statistics, Washington, D.C., 1943, Table 128, p. 468. Last Two Cycles: Federal Reserve Board bill dealer quotations series (average yields on all outstanding fully taxable bonds due or callable after 12 years for March 1933 and after 15 years for May 1937, June 1938, Feb. 1945, and Oct. 1945).

^bFirst Three Cycles: Three- to six-month Treasury notes and certificates, Banking and Monetary Statistics, Table 122, p. 460. Fourth Cycle: Treasury bill new issues, Banking and Monetary Statistics,

Table 122, p. 460.

Fifth Cycle: Three-month Treasury bill dealer quotations series from the Federal Reserve Bulletin.

TABLE 11

AVERAGE YIELD AND STANDARD DEVIATION IN YIELDS OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES DURING FIVE BUSINESS CYCLES, 1921-45

			Long-Term	Governments	Short-Term Governments			
Business Cycle, Trough to Trough		Average Yield	Standard Deviation	Average Yield	Standard Deviation			
June	1921-July	1924	4.39	.29	3.71	. 56		
July	1924-Nov.	1927	3.68	.23	3.04	. 42		
Nov.	1927-March	1933	3.44	.24	2.44	1.63		
March	1933-June	1938	2.89	.26	• 26	. 32		
June	1938-Oct.	1945	2.36	.18	.22	. 16		

Source: See Table 10.

twenty-year bond rate. The maximum differential in 1959-60 was twice that in 1957; seventy-eight basis points compared with thirty-eight (see Charts 6 and 7).

For the prewar cycles, the trough-to-peak and peak-to-trough movements in short-term rates were typically greater than the movements in long-term rates (see Table 10). In this respect, the cyclical behavior of interest rates before and after World War II are similar. Only for the wartime cycle, 1938–45, when the Treasury bill rate was constant for long periods as a result of the government support program, is the variation in the long-term rate greater than in the short-term rate. This seems to be directly attributable to the pegging of the rate on three-month bills by the government.

TABLE 12


TIMING OF SHORT- AND LONG-TERM YIELDS OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES AT BUSINESS CYCLE PEAKS AND TROUGHS, 1921-45


	Lead	(-) or	Lag(+) in Mc	onths, a	t Busi	ness (ycle P	eaks a	nd Tro	ugh s
Government Securities											10/45 T
Short-term	+13	+5	+1	-11	-2	-3	-4	-1	+19	а	a
Long-term	+13	+5	a	a	+4	-5	+47	+5	+40	-7	+6

"No specific cycle.

For each of the five prewar cycles shown in Table 11, short-term government yields were, on average, below long-term yields (see Table 11). Hence, for each of the nine complete cycles in the 1921– 61 period for which yields of long- and short-term governments are currently available, yield curves for governments probably had a positive slope, on the average. Similarly, the yield variance of shortterm governments, with the exception of the wartime cycle, was greater than that of long-term governments.

The association of specific with business cycle turning points is stronger for the postwar cycles than for the five cycles from 1921 to 1945 (see Table 12). Between 1921 and 1945, unlike the later period, there are turning points of interest rate cycles whose association with business cycle peaks and troughs is tenuous at best. In the






SOURCE: See notes following Chart 12.

CHART 10

Average Pattern of Long-Term and Short-Term Interest Rates in the United States During Five Business Cycles, 1914–33



SOURCE: See notes following Chart 12.

NOTE: The following series cover shorter periods: long-term U.S. bonds yields and Moody's Aaa corporate bond yields, four cycles, 1919-33; yields on three- to six-month Treasury notes and certificates, three cycles, 1921-33.

1930's and early 1940's, specific cycles are less well defined than they were during either the 1920's or the post-World War II era. Nevertheless, the generalization that the gap between long- and short-term rates is small when rates are high and large when rates are low still seems to be supported by the data (see Charts 7 and 8).

Yields of nongovernmental obligations can provide insights into the cyclical behavior of interest rates before World War I. Since the issuers of long maturities are not the same as the issuers of short maturities, one hesitates to use these data for comparing the yields on different maturities. The series appear to be more useful for examining the cyclical changes in relative yields. Chart 9 summarizes these data from about the beginning of the Civil War until World War I. For the fourteen cycles in this period, short-term rates rose relative to long-term rates during expansions and fell during contractions. The peaks in the long-term rate occurred about midway in the business contraction, and the troughs occurred about midway in the expansion. The same data are carried forward from 1914 through 1933 in Chart 10. Again, short-term rates rose relative to long-term rates during expansions and fell during contractions. In this period, the peaks in the long-term rate more nearly matched business peaks, although troughs continued to occur after those in business. The same implications for the relative movements of longand short-term rates during the business cycle may be drawn from these series for the 1945-61 period (see Chart 11). Only for 1933 through 1945, when the yields of governments also behaved anomalously, is the pattern-the relative rise of short-term rates during expansions and their fall during contractions-broken⁴ (see Chart 12). This is a period when specific cycles conformed least with peaks and troughs in business conditions.


An independent body of data that reflects the term structure of interest rates from 1900 to date was initiated by Durand and sub-


Hawtrey reports that interest rates have varied cyclically in England, with short-term rates relatively low during depressions and high during booms. See Ralph G. Hawtrey, A Century of Bank Rates, London, 1938, pp. 167 ff.


⁴ Hicks reports that short-term rates averaged less than long-term rates in England from 1850 through 1930. He uses risk premiums as the explanation for the observed yield differential. See John R. Hicks, "Mr. Hawtrey on Bank Rates and the Long Term Rate of Interest," *The Manchester School of Economic and Social Studies*, October 1939, p. 28.

CHART 11

Average Pattern of Long-Term and Short-Term Interest Rates in the United States During Four Business Cycles, 1945-61







SOURCE TO CHARTS 9 THROUGH 12

Call money rates

1858–1936: Frederick R. Macaulay, Some Theoretical Problems Suggested by the Movements of Interest Rates, Bond Yields and Stock Prices in the United States since 1856, New York, NBER, 1938, Appendix A.

1937-61: Survey of Current Business, U.S. Department of Commerce.

Commercial paper rates

1858-Jan. 1937: Macaulay, Movements of Interest Rates.

Feb. 1937-61: Compiled by NBER from weekly rates in Bank and Quotation Record, William B. Dana Co.

Railroad bond yields

Macaulay, Movements of Interest Rates. The series used is adjusted for "economic drift."

Moody's Aaa, corporate bond yields

Moody's Industrial Manual, Moody's Investors' Service

Long-term U.S. bond yields

Federal Reserve Bulletin, Board of Governors of the Federal Reserve System

TABLE 13

BASIC YIELDS ON CORPORATE BONDS DURING BUSINESS CYCLES, 1900-61 (per cent)

	.ness C				eld at ess Cy			in Yield	
-	cal ye		Term to Maturity	Trough	Peak	Trough	Trough to	Peak to	Cycle
Trough	Peak	Trough	(years)	(T)	(P)	(T)	Peak	Trough	Average
1901	1903	1904	1	3.25	3.45	3.60	+,20	+.15	3.39
			5	3.25	3.45	3.60	+.20	+.15	3.39
			20	3.25	3.45	3.60	+.20	+.15	3.39
1904	1907	1908	1	3.60	4.87	5.10	+1.27	+.23	4.37
			5	3.60	3.87	4.30	+.27	+.43	3.75
			20	3.60	3.80	3,95	+.20	+.15	3:66
1908	1910	1911	1	5,10	4.25	4.09	85	16	4.29
			5	4.30	4.10	4.05	20	05	4.08
			20	3.95	3.87	3.94	08	+.07	3.88
1911	1913	1915	1	4.09	4.74	4.47	+.65	27	4.42
			5	4.05	4.31	4.39	+.26	+.08	4.24
			20	3.94	4.02	4.20	+.08	+.18	4.04
1915	1918	1919	1	4.47	5.48	5.58	+1.01	+.10	4.51
			5	4.39	5.25	5.16	+.86	09	4.53
			20	4.20	4.82	4.81	+.62	01	4.36
1919	1920	1922	1	5,58	6.11	5.31	+.53	80	6.16
			5	5.16	5.72	5.19	+.56	53	5.70
			20	4.81	5.17	4.85	+.36	32	5.10
1922	1923	1924	1	5.31	5.01	5.02	30	+.01	5.09
			· 5	5,19	4.90	4.90	29	0	4.97
			20	4.85	4.68	4.69	17	+.01	4.72
1924	1927	1928	1	5.02	4.30	4.05	72	25	4.27
			5	4.90	4.30	4.05	60	25	4.41
			20	4.69	4.30	4.05	39	25	4.39
1928	1929	1933	1	4.05	5.27	2.60	+1.22	-2.67	4.01
			5	4.05	4.72	3.68	+.67	-1.04	4.29
			20	4.05	4.45	4.11	+.40	34	4.35
1933	1937	1939	1	2.60	.69	.57	-1.91	12	1.23
			5	3.68	1.68	1.55	-2.00	13	2.33
			20	4.11	2.90	2.65	-1.21	25	3.25
1939	1945	1946	1	.57	1.02	.86	+.45	16	0.80
			5	1.55	1.53	1.32	02	21	1.46
			20	2.65	2.55	2.35	10	20	2,56

(continued)

Buod	ness C	vela			ield a ness (Change	_	
	(fiscal years)		Term to Maturity	Trough			Trough to	Peak to	Cycle .
Trough	Peak	Trough	(years)	(T)	(P)	Trough (T)	Peak	Trough	Average
1946	1948	1950	1	. 86	1.60	1.42	+.74	18	1.35
			5	1.32	2.03	1.90	+.71	13	1.80
			20	2.35	2.73	2.48	+.38	25	2.54
1950	1953	1954	1	1.42	2.62	2.40	+1.20	22	2.33
			5	1.90	2.75	2.52	+.85	23	2.48
			20	2.48	3.05	2.88	+.57	17	2.80
1954	1957	1958	1	2.40	3.50	3.21	+1.10	29	2.90
			5	2.52	3.50	3.25	+.98	25	2.97
			20	2.88	3.50	3.47	+.62	03	3.15
1958	196 0	1961	1	3.21	4.95	3,10	+1.74	-1.85	3.92
			5	3.25	4.73	3.75	+1.48	98	4.01
			20	3.47	4.55	4.12	+1.08	43	4.15

TABLE 13 (concluded)

Source: 1900-42, David Durand, Basic Yields of Corporate Bonds, 1900-1342 New York, NBER, Technical Paper 3, 1942, pp. 5-6. 1943-47, David Durand and Willis J. Winn, Basic Yields of Bonds,

1943-47, David Durand and Willis J. Winn, Basic Yields of Bonds, 1926-1947: Their Measurement and Pattern, New York, NBER, Technical Paper 6, 1947, p. 14.

1948-61, National Industrial Conference Board, The Economic Almanac 1962, p. 353.


The business cycle peak and trough dates are from the National Bureau's fiscal year chronology. The basic yields are available only for the first quarter of each calendar year; the yield for the first quarter of 1901 is entered in the fiscal year ended June 30, 1901, etc.

^aThe initial and terminal trough years each receive a weight of 1/2; the intervening years, a weight of 1.


sequently maintained by other observers. These data show yields as of the first quarter of every year for high-grade or default-free corporate bonds as a function of term to maturity. They were assembled by plotting yields of high-grade corporate bonds, fitting curves to the lower bounds of these data, and subsequently observing the points on these yield curves that correspond to particular terms to maturity. These data are summarized in Table 13 and Chart 13.

Durand's observations suggest that the swings in short-term rates are typically greater than the swings in long-term rates. When rates conformed to the business cycle, the term structure was less steeply inclined at peaks and troughs. During the early part of this period, conformity with the cycle was poorer than in the later part.

Durand's observations are consistent with the time series already



Per cent



Note: Shaded areas represent business cycle contractions based on quarterly reference dates; unshaded areas, expansions.

presented, except for the 1920's. During this decade, time series for governments indicate that the average yields of short maturities were below that of long maturities. Durand's findings indicate just the opposite. Durand recognizes the existence of this inconsistency; indeed, for the same year he reports yield curves with opposite slopes but offers no explanation.⁵

⁵ Two possible lines of explanation, other than errors of observation, come to mind. (1) At this time, long governments were partially tax exempt, short governments totally tax exempt. (2) Transactions costs for short, relative to long, governments are lower than they are for short, relative to long, corporates.

80