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#### Abstract

Transferring Fortran subroutines from one manufacturer's machine to another or from one operating system to another puts certain constrains on the construction of the Fortran statements that are used in the subroutines. The reliable performance of this mathematical software should be unaffected by the host envinonment in which the software is used or by the compiler from which the code is generated. In short, the algorithm is to be independent of the computing environment in which it is run.

The subroutines of ROSEPACK (Robust Statistics Estimation Package) are Fortran IV source code designed to be semi-portable where semi-portable is defined to mean transportable with minimum change.;
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[^0]Semi-portability of Fortran subroutines puts certain constraints on the construction of Fortran statements, the declaration of variables, and the representation of constants that are used in the subroutines. Many of these constraints are needed for Fortran subroutines that are to be imbedded in applications subsysters that are written in another language, say PL/1.

Inevitably, the numerical algebra algorithms themselves are strengthened when their performance is unaffected by the arithmetic of the machine on which they are used and the Fortran compiler by which their code is generated.

The rules fon structured programing $[1,2,3]$, and structured documentation (see Part Two, Section $X$ of this document) should be followed insofar as possible. The comments within the program on subroutine should be sufficient to inform the user about input parameters, output parameters, temporary storage parameters, error exits, and the algorithm that the program implements.

This document presents certain suggestions for programming that will tend toward requirements for semi-portability of ANSI Fortran IV (as described in CACM, Vol. 7, No. 10, October '64) subroutines and programs. We also suggest certain conventions for comments and general formatting of the Fortran cocie. By "formatting" we mean the spacing and indentation that determine the general appearance and readability of the code. Such formatting is suggested to help the reader or the user understand the algorithm, the program, and the flow of control within the program.

## Part One

The suggestions for programing are
I. CDMMON storage should not be used for arrays. This is not an ANSI restriction, but driver programs become simpler to write, and the use in a paged environment is enhanced if one does not use CDMMON.
II. All array arguments should have adjustable dimensions. These dimensions should be made explicit in the declarations of the formal parameters for each subroutine. For example,

REAL A(NM,N)
not
REAL A(NM,1)
III. EQUIVALENCE statements should not be used.
IV. Certain Fortran compilers do not distinguish more than six characters of an identifier. Hold identifiers to six characters or fewer.
V. Do not use miltiple entry points or non-standard returns.
VI. Be sure that the precision of any Fortran library routine or built-in function is explicit in all statements. For example, $D A B S$, not $A B S$, for absolute value for long precision computing. Do not use mixed mode arithmetic or assume there is an implied conversion anywhere, not even for constants. For example

DØUBLE PRECISION X
$X=X+10.0 D 0$
not
DØUBLE PRECISION X

$$
X=x+10.0
$$

VII. Constants that are used in iterations or convergence criteria should be functions of the machine's precision, i.e., the smallest floating point number, $\varepsilon$, representable in the machine for which the floating representation of $l+\varepsilon>1$. Certainly, a constant that cannot be converted precisely on the machine should never be used. For example, .l, is representative of such numbers.
VIII. Test cases must be devised so that data can be converted uniformly on all target machine. The word length of the machine determines the truncation of the internal representation of floating point numbers, and conversion routines do not treat floating point numbers uniformly. The integers are treated uniformly with respect to conversion so long as they lie within the precision range of arithmetic of the computing machine. One suggestion for portability for test cases used as input numbers is to read them in as integers and then DFLOAT to get the floating point representations.
IX. Obscune underflows can often produce side effects that give divide checks or overflows. This problem is particularly acute because the range of arithmetic on many machines is not symmetric about zero. For example, the range of arithmetic on the IBM 360/ 370 machines is about $10^{35}>|x|>10^{-78}$. That an algorithm will exhibit overflow, underflow, or divide cheak problems is often not known in advance. However, some linear systems routines have this problem when an inner product is formed or when multiple divides are encountered. Be prepared to isolate such problens.

One solution is to reorder arithmetic expression. Another solution is to resort to extended precision arithmetic for those critical sections of code.
X. The usual rules for separate sections for error handling and input-output that are required for applications subsystems are equally applicable for semi-portable Fortran programs. The error handling from the subroutines should be uniform. The input-output should be confined to main programs or special I- $\emptyset$ subroutines; that is to say, computation subroutines should be I- $\varnothing$ free. The goal of the error recovery is to permit computation to continue without resorting to system termination.
XI. We expect the subroutines in ROSEPACK to be compiled with Fortran compilers with the highest level of optimization. We have not used hand optimization in the subroutines

Part Two
The suggestions for formatting are
I. Identifiers

Identifiers, i.e., variable names, should correspond to default declarations in Fortran. However, explicit declarations should be written for each identifier.

Variables from the calling sequence, internal variables, and function names should all be declared separately. For a suggestion on how to accomplish this see X Internal Documentation Section B (PARAMEIERS), Section C (LOCAL VARIABLES), and Section $D$ (FUNCTIONS) of the description of the Prologue.
II. Labels

If the order of labels within a subroutine is not linear the convention used should be explicitly described. This ordering of statement labels should be linear and could proceed in multiples of 10 for interior program sections. The next level of program section could proceed as 100 , and perhaps the next as 1000 .

Do not use unreferenced labels.
Code for error exits should be surrounded by corments and located at the end of the program or subroutine. The labels for error exits should be 2 or 3 digits, the first of which is 9 , the last non-zero.
One format statement may be used by more than one print statement in a program. Therefore we suggest that all format statements be labeled with 4 digit numbers the last of which is nonzero and placed after RETURN and before END of the program.

Preferably all input-output should be written in subroutine form. We suggest that a DATA statement be used to fix units of $I-\varnothing$ and that this DATA statement be made particular to a given installation.
The variables containing this I- $\varnothing$ unit information should be passed as parameters to all subroutines using these I- $\emptyset$ units. This device allows a global change of an I- $\varnothing$ unit without recompiling individual subroutines.
III. Use of blank spaces

There should not be extra blank spaces around dunmy variables or constants in DO loops. Blank spaces should delimit = symbols in assignment statements. Blank spaces should be used wherever such use will enhance readability of elements of expressions or statements.
IV. Tab Spacings

Throughout this document we are assuming tab setting in colums $1,7,10,15,20,25$, etc.
V. Continuation Characters

Second and subsequent lines of all continuation statements should be numbered 1 through 9 , then $A$ through $Z$ in colum 6 . The text of each continuation statement should be indented one tab space from the initial line of the statement.
VI. $D \varnothing$ loops

All D $\emptyset$ loops should be surrounded by conment statements which may be blank. Text corments should follow a blank comment statement. If more than one statement is in the range of a DO loop, the closing statement of the DO loop should be a CONTINUE. This CONTINUE should be unambiguous. Statements between DO and CONTINUE should be indented one additional tab space to correspond to a block structure.
Inner loops should be indented one tab space to the right of their surrounding outer loop.
For examples of indentation of DO loops see the examples in Appendix I.

## VII. DATA Statements

Data statements should be used to set installation-dependent constants, such as data-set numbers for I/O, and machine precisions, underflow tolerances, or other machine-dependent constants. See X, Internal Documetnation, Section L, for more details.
If a non-numeric character string must be used in a DATA statement, it should be packed as one character per machine word and always stored in an array.
VIII. Structured progranming

The progranming and formatting conventions that we describe are similar to structured programming in the following ways:

1. format for readability and understanding
2. indentation for major and minor loops
3. array dimensions are adjustable
4. temporary storage arrays are passed as parameters
5. documentation is structured such that it is contained within the routine.
IX. Printed output

All printed output should be formatted such that it is not greater than $81 / 2$ inches in width. Most line printers print 10 characters per inch, and 80 characters per line allows anple margins. This will greatly aid in reproduction of the output.

## X. Internal Documentation

All Fortran programs should be well documented by liberal use of conment statements. Proper documentation will enhance readability and appearance of the code, improve understanding of the algorithm used, help ensure proper use of the program, and aid in future modifications. When semi-portability is also considered, proper documentation serves to isolate those portions of the code which are installation-dependent.

In-line documentation of Fortran programs can be considered in two major sections, the Prologue and the Program-flow comments. The latter consists of the comment statements embedded within the code describing how the algorithm is being carried out as the flow of control passes from statement to statement. The former consists of certain non-executable FORTRAN statements found at the beginning of the subprogram which fully describe the proper use of the software, as well as information concerning its development. Any user familiar with the guidelines has the added advantage of knowing where to find specific information concerning the program. The Prologue also identifies and isolates installation-dependent aspects of the program and thus enhances semi-portability. The Prologue is the major documentation for the use of the program subroutine.
Program-flow comments should be delimited by special characters to enhance their readability and appearance. In ROSEPACK the colon (:) is used. Such comments should also follow the rules for statement indentation described elsewhere in this document.

In most cases, the text of the comment should be preceded and followed by a string of 10 special characters (colons). At least
one blank space, but not more than three blank spaces, should be put between the special character strings and the text of the comment. If this method is used for a comment extending over several lines, all lines should have a " $C$ " in column 1 , and all but the first line should be indented one additional tab (beyond the current level of indentation).
Building on the suggestions of Boyle and Cody, an alternative method of delimiting conments, recommended for important comments or those extending over several lines, is to surround them by a "box" of special characters. The following is an example of what is meant by a "box":
C

```
c c ll:::::::::::::::::::::
```

Blank conment statements (i.e., conment statements containing blanks in columns 2 through 72) may be used wherever their use enhances the readability of the program.
The statement inmediately before the END statement should always be a comment statement delimiting the end of the program and containing the name of the program. An example follows:

RETURN
C $\quad \because:::::::$ : LAST CARD OF (NAME OF SUBROUTINE) $::::::::::$
END
The Prologue consists of the declarations of the calling sequence and variable names of the subprogram, a number of sections of text on the subprogram, and any DATA or EQUIVALENCE statements. It contains a number of headings denoting the different logical sections of the Prologue. The headings are comment statements with the character "*" in colums 7 through 11 and the heading name beginning in column 12 and followed by a colon (:). A blank conment statement should not immediately follow a heading. If the section denoted by the heading line is empty, the heading should be followed by a comment statement containing "NONE" in columns 7 through 10 and then a blank comment statement.
The different headings, in the order they should appear, are:

```
-PARAMEIERS
-LOCAL VARIABLES
-FUNCTIONS
-PURPOSE
-PARAMETER DESCRIPIION
-APPLICATION AND USAGE RESTRICIIONS
-ALGORITHM NOTES
-REFERENCES
-HISTORY
-GENERAL
-BODY OF PROGRAM
```

Three delimiter lines, consisting of a blank conment statement, a comment statement consisting of the special character colon (:) in columns 7 through 72, and then a second blank conment statement, should occur inmeidately before the purpose heading and immediately after the GENERAL section. All lines between these deliniters should be conment statements. When columns 73 through 80 of each card contain serialization or identification characters, this gives a box-like appearance to the part of the Prologue containing text.
An example of two programs following these guidelines is in Appendix I.
What follows is a brief description of each section of the Prologue. Note that no blank comment statements should occur until after the FUNCIIONS section.
A. CALLTNG SEQUENCE

The SUBROUTINE or FUNCTION statement should be the first line of the subprogram. Blanks should be used to enhance readability.
B. PARAMEIERS

Declaration statements should be grouped by type, i.e., first INTEGER, then REAL, then DOUBLE PRECISION, or REAL*8, then REAL*16, then CØIPLEX, CDMPLEX*32, then LDGICAL. Within each type grouping, variable names should be listed in the order they occur in the calling sequence. By parameters, we mean all of the variable names appearing in the calling sequence.
C. LOCAL VARTABLES

As with the preceding section, declaration statements are grouped by type, and in the same order. Within each type, variable names should be listed alphabetically.
ALJ variables used in the program should be explicitly declared.
D. FUNCTIONS

All functions called by the program should be explicitly declared. Declaration statements are grouped by type.
E. PURPOSE

Briefly describe the purpose of this subprogram. Give references when necessary. More detail can be given in later sections.
F. PARAMEIER DESCRIPTION

This section contains 3 subsections. The first describes input parameters, the second describes output parameters, and the third subsection describes parameters used for temporary storage by the subprogram. If the contents of any parameter variable can be changed by the subprogram,
it should be considered an output parameter. See the examples in Appendix I for the format, keywords, punctuation and indentation used in this section.
G. APPLICATION AND USAGE RESTRICTIONS

If any other programs in this package can call this subprogram, or are called by it, they should be desscribed here. If this subprogram is part of a group of programs which are called in some specified order, this should also be included. Give references except when a reference is implicit, as with another member of the same package.
Also included in this section are any warnings about special cases or possible errors which can occur if there are errors in the subprogram call. Warnings about misuse of tolerance parameters belong here. The entry in PARAMEIER DESCRIPTION should refer the reader to this section where applicable.

## H. ALGORITHM NOTES

Anything special about the algorithm used or its implementation should be listed here. Any special conventions regarding statement labeling or conmenting should be mentioned. If there is anything special about error handling which has not yet been mentioned, it should be described here.

## I. REFERENCES

References from elsewhere in the documentation, as well as any other references pertaining to the subprogram, should be listed.

## J. HISTORY

The author of this subprogram, as well as the date and place of origin should be listed. If the subprogram is a translation of a program in another language or is based on another program, a reference should be given. If the program has been modified since it was written, the date and person making the modification should be noted. If this subprogram has been released as part of a subroutine library, the current release date of the library should be given.

## K. GENERAL

If this subprogram was developed under research supported by a grant requiring acknowledgment, the required information should occur here. The person to contact concerning conments and problems with the subprogram should have his address in this section.
L. DATA and EQUIVALANCE Statements

Following the second occurrence of delimiting comment statements (a blank comment statement, a conment statement with colons in colums 7 through 72, and a second blank comment statement) is where all DATA and EQUIVAIENCE statements should occur. If a DATA statement contains an instaliation-dependent constant, comment statements explaining its value and mentioning the installation's designation, should precede the DATA statement. Those comment statements should conform to the standards of program-flow comments.

All DATA statements should precede any EQUIVALENCE staements.
M. BODY OF PROGRAM

This heading denotes the end of the Prologue and the beginning of the program body.
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## Appendix

This appendix contains listings of two subroutines that are samples of candidates for inclusion in ROSEPACK. The reader is reminded that we are relying on Fortran compiler optimization of sub-expressions within loops.

MIN00060
INTEGER I,J,K
REAL*8 RKTOL1, X,Z
11IN00070
*****FUNCTIONS:
NONE
112N00080
No0090
MINOO100
MIN00110
:: :: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : M N N 120
MINOO130
*****PURPOSE:
MINOO140
THIS SUBROUTINE DETERMINES A CANDIDATE SOLUTION TO THE LINEAR MINOO 150
SYSTEM AX=B, AFTER THE SINGULAR VALUE DECOMPOSITION A=USV OF A :IINOO160

REAL M BY N RECTANGULAR MATRIX, FORMING U 3 RATHER THAN U,HAS MINOD 190 ALREADY BEEN PERFORMED. THIS CANDIDATE SOLUTION IS BASED ON THE MINOO2OO RANK TOLERANCE PARAMETER, RKTOL, OR THE DEFAULT, 2.ODO**(-26), MINOO210 WHICH IS THE SQUARE ROOT OF THE MACHINE PRECISION 2.ODO** (-52). MINOO220

MINOO230
11 INOO240
*****PARAMETER DESCRIPNTION:
ON INPUT:
NM MUST BE SET TO THE ROW DIUENSION OE THE TWO DIIENSIONAL
MINOO250
HINOO260
1INOO270 ARRAY PARAMETERS AS DECLARED IN THE CALLING PROGRA:! DIMENSION STATEMENT;

N IS THE NUMBER OF ROWS OF B, AND THE ORDER OE $V$;
$V$ CONTAINS TiE SQUARE MATRIX $V$ (ORTHOGANAL) OF THE SINGULAF VALUE DECOMPOSITION;

W CONTAINS THE N (NON-NEGATIVE) SINGULAR VALUES OF A (THE DIAGONAL ELEMENTS OF S). THEY ARE UNORDERED;

IP IS THE NUIBER OF COLULINS OF B;
!11NOO280
HINOO290
4INOO300
MINOO310
111100320
!1INOO330
11INOO340
:1INOO350
11 Iiv00360
:11N00370
11IN00380
1IINOO390
11INOO400
!1INOO 40
MINOO420
MINOO430
11INOO440
RKTOL IS THE RANK TOLERANCE WHICH WILL BE USED. IF RKTOL IS NOT POSITIVE, THEN THE DEFAULT WILL BE USED.

ON OUTPUT:
$V$ REMAINS UNCHANGED;
W CONTAINS THE PSEUDOINVERSE OE THE DIAGONAL MATRIX S. ANY SINGULAR VALUES THAT ARE LESS THAN RKTOL TIMES THE LARGEST SINGULAR VALUE ARE SET TO ZERO IN THE PSEUDOINVERSE;

B HAS BEEN OVERWRITTEN BY THE SOLUTION X;
IERR IS SET TO ZERO EOR NORMAL RETURN, -1 IF THE MAXIMUI SINGULAR VALUE IS ZERO (INDICATING A ZERO A-MATRIX IN THE SINGULAF VALUE DECOHPOSITION).


```
--- - - 
    IF (Z .EQ. O) GO TO }99
                                    MIN01340
C :::::::::: FORM PSEUDO INVERSE OF DIAG(W) ::::::::::: MIN01350
    DO 800 J m 1, N
        X = W(J)/ Z
        IF (X .LE. RKTOL) GO TO 790
        W(J) = 1.0DO / W(J)
        GO TO }80
    790 W(J) = 0.000
        MINO1370
    800 CONTINUE
C :::::::::: FORM X (RETURNED IN B) :::::::::::
    DO 900 J = 1, IP
C
        DO 810 I = 1,N
                RV1(I) = W(I) * B(I,J)
    810 CONTINUE
C
    DO 890 I = 1, N
                X=0.0DO
C
                DO 850 K = 1, N
                X=X + V(I,K) * RV1(K)
    850 CONTINUE
C
                B(I,J)=X
    890 CONTINUE
C
    900 CONTINUE
C
    GO TO 1000
C :::::::::: ERROR IF MAX SINGULAR VALUE = 0 :: :: :: :: ::
    999 IERR = -: 
        ::::::::::: RETURN TO CALLING PROGRAM ::: :: :: :: :
    1000 RETURN
C :::::::::: LAST CARD OF MINSOL ::::::::::
    END
MINO1380
MIN01390
MINO1400
MINO1410
```

|  | SUBROUTINE LUDCMP (MN,M,N,A, IHTTC, ICOL, IROW, IERR, ICMAX) PARAMETERS: | LUD00010 LUD00020 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C | INTEGER MN, M, N, IHTTC(N), ICOL (N), IROW (M), IERR, ICMAX (N) | LUD00030 |
|  | REAL* 8 A (MN, N) | LUD00040 |
| C | *****LOCAL VARIABLES: | LUD00050 |
|  | INTEGER I, IMIN, IPIVOT, ITEMP, J, MAXCOL | LUDO0060 |
|  | REAL* ${ }^{\text {c }}$ AMAX, RATIO, TEMP | LUD00070 |
| C | *****FUNCTIONS: | LUD00080 |
|  | INTEGER MINO | LUD00090 |
|  | REAL*8 DABS | LUDO0 100 |
| C |  | LUD00110 |
| C | : | LUUD00120 |
| C |  | LUD00130 |
| C | *****PURPOSE: | LUD00140 |
| C | THIS SUBROUTINE DOES AN LU DECOMPOSITION ON THE REAL M BY N | LUDO0.150 |
| C | RECTANGULAR MATRIX A, WITH MODIFIED COMPLETE PIVOTING, AND | LUDOO 160 |
| C | RETURNS BOTH THE STRICT LOWER TRIANGLE OF L AND THE FULL | LUD00170 |
| C | UPPER TRIANGLE OF U. | LUDO0180 |
| C |  | LUDOO 190 |
| C | *****PARAMETER DESCRIPTION: | LUDOO200 |
| C | ON INPUT: | LUD00210 |
| C |  | LUD00220 |
| C | NM IIUST BE SET TO THE ROW DIMENSION OF THE TWO-DIIIENSIONAL | LUD00230 |
| C | ARRAY PARAIIETERS AS DECIARED IN THE CALLIMG PROGRAM | LUDOO? 40 |
| C | DIMENSION STATEIENT; | LUDO0250 |
|  |  | LUD00260 |
| C | H MUST be set to the number of rows in the matrix; | LUDOO270 |
| CCC |  | LUDOO280 |
|  | N MUST be sem to the mulber of columis in the matrix; | LUDOO290 |
|  |  | LUDOO 300 |
| CCC | A CONTAINS THE MATRIX TO BE FACTORED BY THE LUMDECOMPOSITION | LUDO0310 |
|  | WII'H COMPLETE PIVOTING; | LUDOO320 |
| C |  | LUD00330 |
| C | IHTTC IS SET SUCH THAT IF IHTTC (J) IS LESS THAN ZERO THEN THE | LUDOO340 |
| C | J-TH COLUMN OF THE INPUT MATRIX CANNOT BE PIVOTED INTO | LUD00350 |
| C | THE FIRST N COLUMNS OF ITS LU-DECOMPOSITION. ALL ELEMENTS | LUDOO360 |
| C | OF THIS VECTOR SHOULD BE SET TO ZERO TO INSURE NOMMAL | LUD00370 |
| C | PIVOTIING; | $\text { LUDOO } 380$ |
| C |  | $\text { LLDOO } 390$ |
| C | ON OUTPUI': | LUDOO400 |
|  |  | LUDOO +10 |
| C | A CONTAINS THE L-MATRIX AND THE U-MATRIX AS EOLLOWS: | LUDOO420 |
| C | A ( ROW (I), COL(J) ) FOR I LESS THAN OH EQUAL TO J CONTAINS | LUDOD 40 |
| C | THE (I,J) ELEMENTS OE THE UPPER TRIANGULAH U-MATRIX; | LUDOO440 |
| C | A ( ROW (I), COL (J) ) FOA I GREATER THAN J CONTAINS THE | LUDOO450 |
| C | SUB-DIAGONAL ( $I, J$ ) ELEMENTS OF THE L-MATRIX. THE DIAGONAL | LUDOO 460 |
| C | OF THE L-MATRIX CONTAINS ALL ONES; | LUDOO +70 |
|  |  | LUDOO 480 |
| C | IROW REELECTS THE ROW PIVOTING PERFORMED. IF IROW (J) IS | LUDOO490 |
|  | EQUAL TOK THEN THE J-TH ROW WAS PIVOTED INTO THE K-TH | LUDO0500 |
| C | ROW-POSITION. SEE ALSO THE OUTPUT DESCRIPTION OF A; | LUD00510 |
|  |  | LUD00520 |
| C | ICOL REFLECTS THE COLUMN PIVOTING PERFGRMED. SEE ALSO THE | LUDOD530 |
| C | OUTPUT DESCRIPTION OF IROW; | LUDO0540 |
| C |  | LUD00550 |
| C | IERR IS SET TO ZERC EOR NORMAL EXITS. | LUDOO560 |
|  | IT IS SET TOK, WHERE K IS AN INTEGER DENOTING THE | LUD00570 |
| C | CURRENT ITERATION, IF NO ACCEPTABLE PIVOTS COULD BE EOUND | LUD00580 |
| C | (AN ACCEPTABLE PIVOT IS A NON-ZERO ONE WITH THE | LUDO0590 |
| C | CORRESPONDING ELEMENT OF IHTTC NON-NEGATIVE). | LUD00600 |
| C | IF AN ERROR EXIT IS TAKEN, THE DECOMPOSITION HAS ONLY | LUD00610 |
| C | BEEN PERFORMED FOR K-1 ITERATIONS. | LUD00620 |
| C |  | LUD00630 |

*****APPLICATION AND USAGE RESTRICTIONS:
LUDCMP CAN BE USED IN SOLVING A LINEAR SYSTEM AX=B.
LUD00670
SPECIAL CARE SHOULD BE EXERCISED IN THE USE OF THE PARAMETER IHTTC.
*****ALGORITHI1 NOTES:
LUD00700

LUDCMP USES INDIRECTION IN FORMING THE L-MATRIX AND THE U-MATRIX LUDOO730 TO AVOID ACTUALLY INTERCHANGING ROWS AND COLUMNS IN MAIN STORAGE. LUDOO740

## *****REFERENCES:

LUD00760
NONE
*****HISTORY:
LUD00770

LRWHESTORY: LUDOO790
(NBER/COMPUTER RESEARCH CENTER) JULY 31, 1974.

LUDOO800
LUDOO8 10
LUD00820
DATE LAST MODIFIED: JUNE 17, 1975.
LUDOO8 30
LUD00840
*****GENERAL:
LUD00850
QUESTIONS AND COMMENTS SHOULD BE DIRECTED TO: LUDOO\&60
SUPPORT STAFF MANAGER
COMPUTER RESEARCH CENTER FOR ECONOMICS AND MANAGEMENT SCIENCE
LUDOO870
NATIONAL BUREAU OF
NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH LUDOO890
575 TECHNOLOGY SQUARE LUDOO900
CAMBRIDGE, HASS. $02139 . \quad$ LUDOO910
DEVELOPMENT OF THIS PROGRAM SUPPORTED IN PART BY LUDOO930
NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION GRANT GJ-1154X3 LUDOO940
TO NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH, INC. LUDOO950
LUD00960
:: :: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : LUD00970
LUDOD980
*****BODY OE PROGRAIA:
LUD00990
IMIN $=\operatorname{IIINO}(M, N)$
LUD01000
$I E R R=0$ LUDO1010
DO $10 \mathrm{I}=1, \mathrm{M} \quad$ LUDO 1030
IROW (I) $=I$
10 CONTINUE
LUD01040

DO $20 \quad I=1, N$
:: :: :: :: :: BEGINNING QF OUTER LOOP:: : : : : : : : :
DO 110 IPIVOT $=1$, IMIN
DO $40 \mathrm{~J}=$ IPIVOT, $N$

## ICMAX(J) $=$ IPIVOT

```
MAXCOL = O
    AMAX = 0.0DO
    ::::::::::FIND PIVOT::::::::::
    DO 50 J=IPIVOT,N
        IF (IHTTC(ICOL(J)) .NE. 0) GO TO 50
        IF (TEMP .LE. AMAX) GO TO 50
        AMAX = TEMP
        MAXCOL = J
    CONTINUE
        IF (MAXCOL .EQ. 0) GO TO 99
        IF (MAXCOL .EQ. IPIVOT) GO TO 60
        ::::::::::COLUMN EXCHANGE::::::::::
        ITEMP = ICOL(IPIVOT)
        ICOL(IPIVOT) = ICOL(MAXCOL)
        ICOL(MAXCOL) = ITEMP
        CONTINUE
        IF (ICMAX(MAXCOL) .EQ. IPIVOT) GO TO 70
        ::::::::::ROW EXCHANGE::::::::::
        ITEMP = IROW(IPIVOT)
        IROW (IPIV OT) = IROW(ICMAX(MAXCOL))
        IROW(ICMAX(MAXCOL)) = ITEMP
        CONTINUE
        ::::::::::PIVOTING ACCOMPLISHED::::::::::
        IF (IPIVOT .EQ. M) GO TO 110
            ITEMP = IPIVOT + 1
        DO 90 I=ITEMP,M
        RATIO = A(IROW(I),ICOL(IPIVOT)) / A(IROW(IPIVOT),ICOL(
                IPIVOT))
        A(IROW(I),ICOL(IPIVOT)) = RATIO
        IF (IPIVOT .EQ. N) GO TO 90
C
        1
        DO 80 J=ITEMP,N
                A(IROW(I),ICOL(J)) = A(IROW(I),ICOL(J))-RATIO*A(
                IROW(IPIVOT), ICOL(J))
        80
        90 CONTINUE
        CONTINUE
C
    110 CONTINUE
    ::::::::::END OF OUTER LOOP::::::::::
    GO TO 100
    99 IERR = IPIVOT
C
100 RETURN
    ::::::::::LAST CARD OF LUDCMP::::::::::
    END
AMAX \(=0.0 \mathrm{DO}\)
C
C :::::: : : : : COLUMN EXCHANGE: : : : : : : : : : :
ITEMP = ICOL(IPIVOT)
ICOL (IPIVOT) \(=\) ICOL (MAXCOL)
= ITEMP
IF (ICMAX(MAXCOL) .EQ. IPIVOT) GO TO 70
C : :: : : : : : : : ROW EXCHANGE: : : : : : : : : :
ITEMP = IROW(IPIVOT)
IROW (IPIVOT) \(=\) IROW (ICMAX (MAXCOL))
CONTINUE
::::::: : PIVOTING ACCOMPLISHED::::::::
ITEMP \(=\) IPIVOT +1
DO \(90 \mathrm{I}=\mathrm{IT}\) EMP, M
RATIO \(=A(I R O W(I)\), ICOL(IPIVOT)) / A(IROW(IPIVOT), ICOL( IPIVOT))
A(IROW(I), ICOL(IPIVOT)) = RATIO
DO \(80 \mathrm{~J}=I T \mathrm{TEMP}, \mathrm{N}\) IROW (IPIVOT), ICOL(J))
CONTINUE
CONTINUE
110 CONTINUE
::::::::::END OF OUTER LOOP::::::::
:::::::::NO NON-ZERO PIVOT FOUND::::::::
99 IERR = IPIVOT
100 RETURN
::::::::: LAST CARD OF LUDCMP:::::::: :
```

LUDO. 1260
LUDO 1270
LUD0 1280
LUD01290
LUDO1300
LUDO1310
LUD01320
LUDO1330
LUD01340
LUD01350
D01360
LUD01370
LUDO1380
LUDO1390
LUDO1400
LUD01410
LUD01420
LUDO1430
LUDO 1440
LUDO1450
LUDO1460
LUDO1470
LUDO 1480
LUD01490
LUDO1500
LUDO 1510
LUD01520
LUDO1530
LUDO1540
LUDO1550
LUD01560
LUD01570
LUDO1580
LUD01590
LUDO1600
LUD01610
LUDO1620
LUD01630
LUDO 1640
LUD01650
LUD01660
LUDO1670
LUDO1680
LUD01690
LUDO1700
LUDO1710
IUDO1720
LUDO1730
LUD01740
LUD01750


[^0]:    *Cody, W.J., "The Construction of Numerical Subroutine Libraries," SIAM Review, Vol. 16, No. 1, pp. 36-46, January (1974).

