Economics Letters 27 (1988) 141-143 North-Holland 141

# AUTOREGRESSIVE CONDITIONAL HETEROSCEDASTICITY A Comparison of ARCH and Random Coefficient Models

#### Christian C.P. WOLFF

London Business School, London NW1, UK University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637, USA Centre for Economic Policy Research, London SW1Y, UK

Received 16 December 1987 Accepted 12 February 1988

In this paper it is shown that the popular Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity (ARCH) models are closely related to more traditional random coefficient models. It is demonstrated that for every ARCH model a simple random coefficient model can be formulated which implies exactly the same conditional variance pattern for the variable of interest.

## 1. Introduction

In an influential paper, Engle (1982) presented a new class of stochastic processes called Autoregressive Conditional Heteroscedasticity (ARCH) processes. ARCH processes are mean zero, serially uncorrelated processes with non-constant variances. For these processes the recent past carries information about the one-period forecast variance.

The general ARCH model of the order p has the following conditional probability density function:

$$y_t | I_{t-1} \sim N(0, h_t),$$
 (1)

with

$$h_{t} = \alpha_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} y_{t-i}^{2}.$$
 (2)

Here  $y_t$  is our variable of interest,  $I_{t-1}$  is the information set that is available at time t-1 and the  $\alpha_i$ s are fixed parameters. The expression (2) for  $h_t$  captures the nature of the conditional heteroscedasticity that is allowed for in the family of ARCH models. In section 2 of this paper, the random coefficient model is introduced. In section 3, it will be shown that the two classes of models are closely related and that for every ARCH model a simple random coefficient model can be formulated which exhibits exactly the same conditional variance pattern. Section 4 contains some concluding remarks.

0165-1765/88/\$3.50 © 1988, Elsevier Science Publishers B.V. (North-Holland)

# 2. Random coefficient models

Hildreth and Houck (1968) considered the problem of estimating parameters in random coefficient models of the following general form:

$$y_t = x_t' \beta_t, \tag{3}$$

$$\beta_t = \overline{\beta} + u_t, \qquad u_t \sim \text{NID}(0, Q). \tag{4}$$

Here  $x_i$  is a vector of explanatory variables,  $\beta_i$  is a vector of random coefficients with mean  $\overline{\beta}$  and variance-covariance matrix Q. If the first element of  $x_i$  is defined to be unity, it is unnecessary to add a disturbance term to (3) since such a term is implicitly contained in (4), attached to the coefficient of the constant term. Random coefficient models can be used in a variety of contexts whenever parameters can be assumed to fluctuate randomly about a fixed, but unknown, mean.

#### 3. Comparing ARCH and random coefficient models

In the ARCH model presented in eqs. (1) and (2)  $y_t$  has the following conditional first and second moments:

$$E[y_t | I_{t-1}] = 0, (5)$$

$$\operatorname{var}[y_{t} | I_{t-1}] = \mathbb{E}[y_{t}^{2} | I_{t-1}] = \alpha_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{p} \alpha_{i} y_{t-i}^{2}.$$
(6)

Now consider a specialized version of the random coefficient model. The following restrictions are imposed on eqs. (3) and (4):

$$x'_{t} = \begin{bmatrix} 1, y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots, y_{t-p} \end{bmatrix},$$
(7)

$$\overline{\beta} = 0,$$
 (8)

and Q is a diagonal matrix of order p + 1 with the following diagonal elements:

$$Q_{11} = \sigma^2, \tag{9}$$

$$Q_{ii} = \sigma_{i-1}^2, \qquad i = 2, 3, \dots, p+1.$$
 (10)

In this specialized version of the random coefficient model  $y_t$  has the following conditional first and second moments:

$$\mathbf{E}[y_t | I_{t-1}] = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$\operatorname{var}[y_t | I_{t-1}] = \operatorname{E}[y_t^2 | I_{t-1}] = \sigma^2 + \sum_{i=1}^p \sigma_i^2 y_{t-i}^2.$$
(12)

Note that the conditional means in (5) and (11) are both zero and that the conditional variances in

(6) and (12) have the same linear structure. If we set  $\alpha_0 = \sigma^2$  and  $\alpha_i = \sigma_i^2$  for i = 1, 2, ..., p, the ARCH model has exactly the same conditional variance as the random coefficient model.

## 4. Concluding remarks

In this paper we have shown that the popular ARCH models are closely related to traditional random coefficient models. For every ARCH model a random coefficient model can be found which implies exactly the same pattern for the conditional variance of the variable of interest.

Engle (1982) shows that the *p*th order ARCH model satisfies certain regularity conditions if  $\alpha_0 > 0$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_p \ge 0$ . These inequalities are automatically satisfied in the context of the random coefficient model.

### References

Engle, Robert F., 1982, Autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity with estimates of the variance of United Kingdom inflation, Econometrica 50, 987-1007.

Hildreth, Clifford and James P. Houck, 1968, Some estimators for a linear model with random coefficients, Journal of the American Statistical Association 63, 584-595.