This PDF is a selection from an out-of-print volume from the National Bureau of Economic Research

Volume Title: Residential Real Estate: Its Economic Position as Shown by Values, Rents, Family Incomes, Financing, and Construction, Together with Estimates for All Real Estate

Volume Author/Editor: David L. Wickens

Volume Publisher: NBER

Volume ISBN: 0-87014-037-X

Volume URL: http://www.nber.org/books/wick41-1

Publication Date: 1941

Chapter Title: Note to Part Two

Chapter Author: David L. Wickens

Chapter URL: http://www.nber.org/chapters/c5644

Chapter pages in book: (p. 61 - 76)

as a whole was then distributed among the ten years in accordance with the distribution of the decade's construction, shown by these 82 cities. For years since 1929, construction in the nonfarm area outside the 257 cities has been estimated by other methods, too varied to detail here."

Although the estimates for unreported nonfarm areas are based on a rather small sample, 82 cities, they do not differ materially from the NBER estimates (col. 1) which are based on more cities and more detailed processes. The Brookings estimates, prepared by George Terborgh, pioneered in basing the estimates of construction upon the relation of building to the increase in the number of families.

The methods by which the Department of Commerce estimates (col. 3) were obtained are described in *Construction Activity in the United States*, 1915-37 (pp. 40-41):

"The estimation of the number of new family units involved two steps. First, by using the number of family units for which permits were issued in each size group of cities, classified according to their satellite and nonsatellite character, rates showing the number of units per 10,000 persons annually were computed. These rates formed the basis for estimating the number of family units in smaller cities, for which actual reports were not available. The actual rates used were determined after a careful study of the relationships between building rates in cities of different size and relationship to metropolitan areas. In calculating the rates for satellite cities, an adjustment based upon the Real Property Inventory was used. In the case of nonsatellite communities the building rates used as the basis of estimation were substantially less than those obtained directly from the larger reporting cities. The second step involved the extension of these rates to the cities in rural nonfarm areas from which actual reports were not received. This extension was based upon the population of the smaller cities and rural nonfarm areas."

Considerable uncertainty is introduced into the estimates of building in small cities and rural nonfarm areas, when their population is taken as a basis and building rates from larger cities are projected, without adjustment for differences in population growth as between reporting and unreported areas. Analyses made in connection with the present study indicate that per capita building rates of a town or city are closely related to population growth (see Ch. V, sec. 1 b).

Although not strictly comparable with the data on family units, the F. W. Dodge data on square feet of floor space in residential construction (col. 4) constituted the other chief series previously available that directly measured the trend of physical volume of residential building over a period of years. As mentioned in Note A it is difficult to translate the data on square feet of floor space into number of dwelling units.

Our estimates were based upon the number of families provided for, as reported in building permits in 257 cities and published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, (col. 5).

### C Fire, Flood, and Other Losses

Demolition permits are not usually issued for dwellings completely destroyed by fire, flood, windstorm, or earthquake. Fire losses in residential buildings alone are not available on a national basis, but reports from 7 states (Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Louisiana, Massachusetts, Oregon; President's Conference on Housing, 1931, Part VIII, p. 5) for 1930 indicate that 58 per cent of the total number and 32 per cent of the aggregate fire losses were in dwellings. On the basis of a ten-year average of approximately \$500 million aggregate property loss reported by the National Board of Fire Underwriters, a loss of \$160 million is indicated for dwellings. If this represented the complete destruction of dwellings averaging, say, \$5,000, it would mean a loss of some 32,000 dwellings a year. However, only a small portion of the aggregate dollar loss represents complete destruction of dwellings. (Average loss per fire in dwellings in the 7 reporting states in 1930 was only \$770.) Most of the loss is repaired and the property continued in use. Consequently 15,000 dwellings per year would probably be a generous estimate of the units completely destroyed by fire, even allowing for losses not covered by insurance and for relatively higher losses in rural than in urban areas. In the absence of specific data, it was assumed that losses due to floods, earthquakes, windstorms, and other causes would raise the total losses (other than by demolition) to 25,000 units per year, with a range of 20,000-30,000.

Note to Part Two: Supplementary material developed by the NBER, but not included in detail in this volume. The following bodies of data not reproduced in Part Three are in the form of tabulations unless otherwise designated.

I Supplementary Value and Rent Data

1) Values and rents for 139 cities from 1930 Census of Population (for complete list see Tables A 6 and B 5). The description of this tabulation in Part Two, Ch. II, sec. 2 indicates the character of the material.

Folder 32: Mis	cellaneou	s tables	on average ren	it
and	value, 1	39 cities	s, 1930	
Work-table Sh	eets	Work	-table Sheets	
C 3 1	.–5	С	36	
С9		С	37 1–4	
C 11 1	-4	С	40	
C 26 1	-4		18 miscellaneou	IS
Folder 33: Own	ner-occup	ied and	l rented dwellin	g
uni	ts by val	ue and	rent groups. Spe	e-
cial (fu	l Census 11 covera	tabulat ge).	ion of 1930 dat	a
Section A: 6	New Eng	land cit	ties, 77 sheets	
1–10 Summari	es of	17-1	9 Orange, Mass	
rent da	ata	20-33	Central Falls, R.	I.
11 Pittsfield	Me.	34 <b>-</b> 56 ]	Nashua, N. H.	
12_16 Greenwic	., .h	57-77	Burlington Vt	
Conn			burrington, vu	
Section B. 10	M:111	Atlantic	voiting 100 show	•
$1 \neq 20$ T			) Dooloolatii NI X	13
	n, r	10010	J Feekskiii, N. 1	Ľ.
IN. J		101-184	+ Latrope, Fa.	
69-127 Bingh	amton,	185-188	8 Corry, Pa.	-
N.	Y.	189-19	Dansville, N. Y	Ľ.
128–153 Willia	ms-	192–19	6 Hoosick Falls,	
por	t, Pa.		N. Y.	
154–167 Carbo City	ndale , Pa.	197–198	8 Canton, N. Y.	
Section C: I	12 East 1	North (	Central cities, 11	1
1. 26 Porter	nouth	87- 9	0 West Bend Wi	e
	o	01_0	5 Barnesville	.0.
97 - 54 I a Cr		91 J.	Ohio	
Zi – Ji La Ci Wia	0350,	96-10	III eilebrev 0	
55_ 68 Findle	·•	101_10	4 Mitchell Ind	
	ıy,	101-10	7 Crystal Falls	
60 72 Masor	0 	103-10	Mich	
74 91 Value	110, 111.	100 11	1 Franklin Dark	
14-01 valpa	raiso,	100-11		••
99_ 96 Ionia	Mich		111.	
02- 00 10ma,	19 W7 .	NT .1		07
sheets	15 West	INOPU	Central cities, a	57
1-36 Spring	field,	70–73	Richmond, Mo.	
Mo.		7478	Alexandria, Min	n.
37–55 Sioux I	Falls,	79–81	Gering, Neb.	
S. D	•	82	Forest City, Iowa	a
56-60 So. St.	Paul,	83	St. Paul, Neb.	
Mini	ı.	8485	Wilton, N. D.	
61-65 Abilen	e,	86	Belle Plaine, Kar	ıs.
Kans	8.	87	Avon, S. D.	
66-69 Charite	on,			
Iowa	L			
Section E:	13 South	Atlant	ic cities, 87 shee	ets
1–22 Wilmir	ngton,	7477	Milledgeville, G	ba.
N. C	• '	7881	Franklin, Va.	
23–50 Hagers	stown,	82	Calhoun, Ga.	
Md.		83	Jesup, Ga.	

51–58 Martinsburg,	84 Chesterfield, S. C.
W. Va.	85 Boca Raton, Fla.
59–68 Tallahassee,	86 Odessa, Del.
Fla.	87 Wilson Mills,
69–73 Richwood, W. Va.	N. C.
Section F: 7 East Sou	th Central cities, 30 sheets
1–13 Johnson City,	24–27 Andalusia, Ala.
Tenn.	28 Carbon Hill, Ala.
14–17 Paris, Tenn.	29 Durant, Miss.
18–23 Somerset, Ky.	30 Woodbury, Tenn.
Section G: 8 West Sou	th Central cities, 65 sheets
1-30 Austin. Texas	53-56 Hope, Ark.
31-46 Baton Rouge.	57-60 Conway, Ark.
La.	61-63 Chandler, Okla.
47-52 Greenville,	64 Vivian, La.
Texas	65 Lockney, Tex.
Section H · 10 Mounts	ain cities, 39 sheets
1-14 Casper Wyo.	33-34 Gooding Idaho
15-22 Bozeman	35 Salina, Utah
Mont	36–37 Florence, Ariz
23-29 Salida, Colo.	38 Lovelock, Nev
30 Boundun.	39 Los Lunas, N. M.
Mont.	
31-32 Bountiful.	
Utah	
Section I: 10 Pacific	cities 83 sheets
1 25 Santa Bar	63_67 Portorville Calif
1-25 Santa Dar-	68 75 Ashland Ora
26.37 Vancouver	76.70 Burns Ore
Wash	80-81 North Secremento
38-50 Modesto	Calif
Calif	82 Marcus Wash
51-62 Oregon City.	83 Grandview Wash
Ore.	
Section I: Peoria III	130 sheets
Section J. 1 corra, III.	
Jete for 00 sition (	mmaries of full coverage
data for 90 cities, 5	64 71 Sauth Atlantic
0 15 New England	72 75 East South Atlantic
8-15 New England	76 92 West South Central
10-55 Mildule Atlan-	70-05 west South Cen-
uc 36_51 Fast North	84–88 Mountain
Central	89–96 Pacific
52-63 West North	
Central	
Section I · Value av	immaries of full coverage
data for 00 cities	104 sheets
1 - 3 Owner-occupie	ed l.family dwellings ov-
areas value	by value groups for 120
cities	wy varao groups for 109
4- 7 Summary of	owner-occunied dwelling
units · num	ber. aggregate. and average
	,,,,,

sample coverage.

62

- 8-13 Summary of owner-occupied dwelling units; number, aggregate, and average value by value groups for 90 cities, full coverage.
- 14-15 Owner-occupied dwelling units, number and average value by value groups of 25 cities having populations less than 2,500 in 1930, full coverage.
  - 16 Summary of 1-family owner-occupied dwellings; number, aggregate, and average value for 86 cities.
- 17-104 Summaries by geographic divisions
- 17– 23 New England 78– 81 East South Central
- 24- 43 Middle At-<br/>lantic82- 89 West South Cen-<br/>tral44- 59 East North<br/>Central90- 95 Mountain<br/>96-104 Pacific
- 60– 69 West North Central
- 70- 77 South Atlan
  - tic
- Folder 34: Owner-occupied and rented dwellings by value and rent groups. Special Census tabulation of 1930 data (sample coverage)

Section A: 3 New England cities, 176 sheets

1-144 Providence, R. I. 163-176 Portland, Me. 145-162 Waterbury, Conn.

- Section B: 1 Middle Atlantic city, 20 sheets 1-20 Erie, Pa.
- Section C: 6 East North Central cities, 286 sheets
- 1–179 Cleveland, 256–267 Racine, Wis. Ohio 268–277 Decatur, Ill.
- 180–242 Indianapolis, Ind. 243–255 Lansing,
  - Mich.
  - Section D: 5 West North Central cities, 124 sheets
  - 1- 70 Minneapolis, 87-100 St. Joseph, Mo. Minn.
  - 71- 86 Wichita, 101-113 Lincoln, Neb. Kans. 114-124 Topeka, Kans.
  - Section E: 8 South Atlantic cities, 163 sheets
  - 1- 49 Atlanta, Ga.
     112-136 Wheeling, W.

     50- 81 Richmond,
     Va.

     Va.
     137-145 Greensboro,

     82- 98 Jacksonville,
     N. C.

     Fla.
     146-154 Columbia, S. C.

     99-111 Charleston,
     155-163 Asheville, N. C.
  - S. C. Section F: 2 East South Central cities, 62 sheets 1–47 Birmingham, 48–62 Knoxville, Tenn. Ala.

Section G: 4 West South Central cities, 90 sheets 1-33 Dallas, Texas 64-76 Little Rock, Ark. 34-63 Oklahoma 77-90 Shreveport, La. City, Okla. Section H: 7 Mountain cities, 59 sheets 43-48 Butte, Mont. 1-25 Salt Lake City, Utah 49-51 Albuquerque, 26-35 Pueblo, Colo. N. M. 36-42 Phoenix, 52-56 Boise, Idaho Ariz. 57-59 Reno, Nev. Section I: 4 Pacific cities, 192 sheets 1- 69 Seattle, 138-174 San Diego, Wash. Calif. 70-137 Portland, 175-192 Sacramento, Ore. Calif. Section J: 8 Miscellaneous cities, 133 sheets 1- 33 Worcester, 117-118 Frederick, Md., Mass., N. E. M. A. 34-68 Syracuse, 119-123 Jackson, Miss., N. Y., E. S. C. M. A. 124-127 Paducah, Ky., 69-112 St. Paul, E. S. C.

## Minn., 128–133 Wichita Falls, W. N. C. Texas, 113–116 Fargo, N. D., W. S. C.

W. N. C.

Folder 35: Des Moines, Iowa alone, Special Census tabulation of 1930 data (part sample and part full coverage) 87 sheets

2) Dwellings and dwelling units: detailed work tables, derived from 1930 Census of Population, showing the mechanics by which the number of units were obtained by states and geographic divisions, give the number of dwelling units by value and rent classes for the 3,165 cities with populations over 2,500 classified by states and geographic divisions and further subdivided into five population groups.

Folder 21: Work-table C 38, sheets 1-58

Folder 22: Work-table C 4, sheets 1-58

3) Dwellings and dwelling units by type, population group, state, and geographic division (Folder 25): Work-table C 1; C 2, sheets 1-61; C 15, sheets 1-9.

4) Dwelling units in New York City: a special tabulation to show distribution by type of structure, made by the Census Bureau for use in estimating the value of residential properties of various classes in New York City (Files on "Construction Correspondence," letters of March 9 and 24, 1937)

## II Family Income Data

1) Owner-occupant and tenant families: rent, income, and rent delinquency by personal enumeration and mail returns in 33 cities in 1929 and 1933 by 39 income groups, 8 tables for each of 33 cities. This material is designed for a monograph.

- Folder 39: Income data for 33 cities—special tabulation from Financial Survey of Urban Housing by 39 income groups for personal enumeration and mail returns by tenure
  - Table 3: Tenant families: mail returns by 39income groups for 1929
    - Annual rent bill, 1929

Number reporting, total rent, average rent per unit

Total family income, 1929, 1932, and 1933

Number reporting, total and average family income

Total family income, 1933, by 11 income groups

A cross tabulation of number reporting 1929 income by 39 groups and 1933 income by 11 groups

- Table 4: Tenant families: personal enumeration by 39 income groups for 1929 for same items as in Table 3
- Table 5: Owner occupant families: mail returns by 39 income groups for 1929
  - Total family income, 1929, 1932, and 1933 Number reporting, total and average family income

Total family income, 1933, by 11 income groups

A cross tabulation of number reporting 1929 income by 39 income groups and 1933 income by 11 groups

Table 6: Owner-occupant families: personal<br/>enumeration by 39 income groups for<br/>1929 for same items as in Table 5

Table 7: Tenant families: mail returns by 39 income groups for 1933

Annual rent bill, 1933

A cross tabulation of number reporting 1933 income for 39 income groups and number reporting 1933 rent for 11 rent groups, total and average rent per unit

Total family income, 1929, 1932, and 1933 Number reporting, total and average family income

Rent delinquency, 1933

Number reporting on rent status, number reporting rent not fully paid, percentage with rent not fully paid, number reporting months unpaid, total months unpaid, average months unpaid, number reporting amount unpaid, total and average amount unpaid

- Table 8: Tenant families: personal enumera-<br/>tion by 39 income groups for 1933 for<br/>same items as in Table 7
- Table 9: Owner-occupant families: mail returnsby 39 income groups for 1933
  - Total family income, 1929, 1932, and 1933 Number reporting, total and average family income

Number reporting value of all properties, January 1, 1934

A cross tabulation of number reporting 1933 income for 39 income groups and number reporting value Jan. 1, 1934 by 11 value groups

Table 10: Owner-occupant families: personal<br/>enumeration by 39 income groups for<br/>1933 for same items as in Table 9

## III Real Estate Financial Data

A Apartment Buildings: Value, Income, Operating Expenses, and Financing, by Years (Folder 28):

1) Sixteen apartment buildings in Indianapolis: gross income, vacancy and concessions, actual rentals; operating expenses, including taxes before interest, net operating income before interest; income expressed as number of times mortgage interest was earned before refunding capital invested, and interest. Annual data and trends of items, 1937-33 (5 sheets). Data obtained for this project through the cooperation of D. T. Rowlands, University of Pennsylvania.

2) Twenty-one apartment buildings in St. Paul: gross income, net income before interest; operating expenses, payrolls, commissions, fuel, gas and electricity, water, telephone, miscellaneous; repairs, plumbing and heating, painting, fixed charges, taxes, insurance. Data complete for 21 properties in 1935 and 1936; for 16 properties in 1934, 1935, and 1936; and for 10 properties in 1933, 1934, 1935, and 1936. Data obtained for this project through the cooperation of D. T. Rowlands (10 sheets)

3) Sixty-eight apartment buildings in Philadelphia: year built, number of rooms; gross income, total expense, operating expenses, net income, rental income, maintenance and repairs, management expenses, taxes, interest, net income before interest, assessment, senior, junior, and total financing, interest rate, interest charged, services included in rent, by years, 1925–35. Tabulations by gross income groups. Series not complete for all properties. Data collected by and used in project by permission of D. T. Rowlands (81 sheets) B Apartment and other buildings: Value and Financing (Folder 29):

1) 1,869 properties on Lower East Side, New York City: assessed value of land and of improvements; original debt, amount of first, second, and third mortgage; total payments of interest and principal; total payments of interest first year; total payments on principal, first year; outstanding debt, 1934, interest and principal, payments on outstanding loans in 1934, ratio of outstanding debt to assessed value of property, by Census tract and block number (1 sheet)

2) 1,301 indebted properties among the 1,869 properties: items under (1) (2 sheets)

3) 568 properties free from debt among the 1,869: items under (1) except financing (1 sheet)

4) Commercial and industrial buildings in Harlem: items under (1) (3 sheets)

5) 1,303 mortgaged properties among the 1,869 properties in (1) classified by 11 sources of credit and by first, second, and third mortgage: number of loans held, original amount, interest rate, total annual payments, total interest payments, and average term (1 sheet)

6) Same properties as in (5): distribution by debt-value ratios, by 11 classifications for each by Census tract and block number (2 sheets)

7) Same properties as in (5) classified by area: number, original mortgage, interest rates, payments, term, outstanding amount of first, second, third, and fourth mortgage by agency holding the mortgage (24 sheets)

8) 1,564 properties, Harlem, New York, for all properties, indebted properties, and properties free from debt, by Census tract and block number. Number, total and average value, original debt; outstanding debt, 1934, and as percentage of original debt; interest and principal payments, and payments as percentage of total debt, 1934; number and percentage of properties mortgaged, and properties free from debt (5 sheets)

9) Lower East Side area: number and percentage distribution of properties by type, age, condition, and Census tract (1 sheet)

10) Harlem: number and percentage distribution of properties by type, age, condition, and Census tract (1 sheet)

11) Harlem: number and percentage distribution of mortgaged properties by debt-value ratios, by Census tract and block number; by source of credit and priority, covering 1,198 first mortgages, 426 second mortgages, 75 third mortgages, and 5 fourth mortgages: number of mortgages held, average original mortgage, average mortgage outstanding; interest rate, amortization required in first year of mortgage, aggregate annual payments, annual interest payments for first year; average term, total outstanding debt, 1934 (3 sheets)

12) Harlem: distribution of mortgages by Census tract and block number, items in (11) by lending agency and priority of loan (31 sheets)

C Assessed values of urban real estate and their relation to sales or market values (Folder 19):
1) Schedules received from the tax assessors of 522 cities: assessed value of residential, commercial, industrial, and other taxable real estate, and real estate exempt from taxation, tabulated by states and geographic divisions. Work-table R 166, sheets 1-17

2) Original schedules used in C 1

3) 789 schedules received from commercial banks, savings banks, and real estate tax specialists, with their estimates of the percentage relation between assessed and market values in their respective cities, for residential, commercial, industrial, and other real estate taxed, June 30, 1936. Tabulated by states and geographic divisions. Work-table UR 167, sheets 1-21

4) Original schedules used in C 3 (Folder 29) 5) Several thousand properties in New York City, Borough of Manhattan, assessed and market values, 1935. Real estate foreclosure sales: assessed value of land and of improvements; selling price and ratio to assessed value; debt, taxes, total amount due, ratio of debt to assessed value, and ratio of market land value to assessed value (8 sheets)

6) Summary of the data referred to in C 5 by class of property: dwellings, apartments, commercial, and vacant (1 sheet)

7) Lower East Side and Harlem, voluntary sales, 1935: total value, value of land and of improvements, mortgage, value above mortgage, selling price, ratio of assessed value to selling price for residential and nonresidential properties (2 sheets)

8) Lower East Side and Harlem areas by Census tract and block number: number of properties, value and financing by type of property: residential, commercial, and industrial; value of land and of improvements, original and outstanding debt, payments by priority; properties held by institutions; and for vacant properties in Harlem, free and indebted: number, total value, value of land and of improvements, original mortgage, payments, outstanding debt by priority, ratio of outstanding debt to assessed value (3 sheets)

9) New York areas and the Bronx in 1935, distribution of number of foreclosure sales by class of property and of ownership (2 sheets)

D Real estate in relation to commercial banking and other financing agencies (Folder 31):

1) Principal provisions of state and federal laws governing lending regulations with respect to real estate loans by each main class of financial agency, digest and tabulation: insurance requirements for mortgaged property, issuance or mortgage participation certificates, construction loans, power to make and insure Federal Housing Administration loans, limitations on lending, on aggregate funds lent on real estate security (by states), location of real estate, type of lien, basis of valuation, and repayment provisions (29 sheets)

2) Real estate loans by national and state banks: amount of farm loans, loans on other real estate, total real estate loans, loans and investments, and total resources, with ratios for the United States and by states, by years, 1911-36 (50 sheets)

3) Estimate of total maximum real estate loans that are legal for banks (51 sheets)

IV Nonfarm Residential Construction: (A) Building permits, (B) Contracts awarded (Folder 3)

A Building permits

1) "Families provided for" (dwelling units, all types) as reported by the Bureau of Labor Statistics, with annual totals for each of 311 cities each year for which data were reported, 1920-36, by states and geographic divisions. Subtotals for each year by population group and geographic division, 257 cities.

Note: Until recent years, the Bureau of Labor Statistics published data for cities arranged alphabetically with subtotals for regions or population groups, and it has not yet published many data by population groups (Work-table UR 106, sheets 1-9)

2) "Cost of new residential building," including non-housekeeping dwellings: hotels, clubs, etc. Similar to material described in IV A 1, giving total cost of new residential construction as reported in building permits, by states and geographic divisions, subtotals for each year, by population group and geographic division, 257 cities (Work-table UR 51, sheets 1-13)

3) Cost of non-housekeeping dwellings only,

similar to IV A 2 for 257 cities, by population group, state, and geographic division (Worktable UR 151, sheets 1-3)

4) Number, total and average cost of one-family dwellings as reported in building permits for each of 257 cities by years, 1921-36, by population group, state, and geographic division. Tabulation from bulletins published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics during 1921-30 and from its office records for 1931-36. Average cost per dwelling computed for reporting cities in each population group and each region annually; averages compiled, computed for approximately half of the 257 cities (Work-table UR 119, sheets 1-12); see Table E 7 for summary of averages

5) Summary tabulation of number of buildings and estimated total cost of new construction, all types, as reported in Bureau of Labor Statistics building permit data, 1920-36, by type of building; annual totals for varying number of reporting cities (189 in 1920 to 1,689 in 1936) (Work-table UR 135, sheets 1-2)

#### Nonresidential Residential 1-family Amusement and recrea-2-family tion l- and 2-family Churches dwellings with Factories, shops, etc. Garages, public stores Garages, private Multi-family Gasoline and service sta-Multi-family dwellings with stores tions Institutions Hotels Office buildings Lodging houses Other Public buildings Public works and utilities Total new residen-Schools, libraries, etc. tial Sheds Stables and barns Stores, warehouses, etc. All other Total new nonresidential

Total, new building

Additions, alterations, and repairs

Residential Nonresidential Grand Total

6) Demolitions: number of units for which demolition permits were issued in 7 large cities during various periods from 1918 to 1936, summary of Bureau of Labor Statistics building permit survey data for 159 cities, 1929-35 (Work-table UR 155, UR 156, sheets 1-3, and UR 118, sheets 1-5)

7) Residential repairs: building permit data on repairs to housekeeping and non-housekeeping dwellings each year, 1921–36, in 257 cities by population group, state, and geographic division. Tabulation from bulletins published by Bureau of Labor Statistics for 1921–30 and from its office records (Work-table UR 195, sheets 1–10)

8) Building permits, 1908–20: tabulation of U. S. Geological Survey building permit data on number of buildings (in some cities number of permits) and total cost (including repairs) in varying number of cities (43 in 1908 to 134 in 1920) by states and geographic divisions. Subtotals by regions for each year for identical groups of cities reporting in current and preceding year (Work-table UR 46, sheets 1–3)

9) Building permits, 1910–20, by type of construction: tabulation of U. S. Geological Survey building permit data on number and cost of new wooden buildings (96–120 cities), and new brick or hollow tile buildings (87–110 cities), by states and geographic divisions (tabulation for 1910–13 not completed) (Work-table UR 183, sheets 1–7)

B Contracts awarded (F. W. Dodge data)

1) Residential: tabulation of value of residential contracts awarded (thousands of dollars): states and geographic divisions, 37 states, 1925– 36, and 27–36 states, 1919–24 (Work-table UR 149)

2) Apartments: tabulation similar to (1) of value of apartment contracts awarded (Worktable UR 183)

3) 1-family dwellings: summary of average value by states and geographic divisions, average values for each state annually, 1919–33, computed on basic tabulation sheets furnished by F. W. Dodge Corporation. Data for 11 cities: Boston, Providence, New York, Philadelphia, Pittsburgh, Cleveland, Detroit, Chicago, Miami, Atlanta, and Houston (Work-table UR 150)

4) New York City Tenement House data: tabulation of plans filed, buildings completed, demolitions, conversions, 1918–36, by boroughs, number of buildings, apartments, and rooms completed each year, average costs per building, apartment, and room, and average number of rooms per apartment, by boroughs, 1902–36; a tabulation of 11 vacancy surveys, various dates, 1919–33, by type of tenement (old or new law), by boroughs. Data from New York City Tenement House Department annual reports and special tabulations obtained by correspondence. (Work-table UR 52, sheets 1–5, UR 53, sheets 1–2, and UR 105) · · · ·

## TABLE EM IO

Dwelling Units Built by Class of City, 1920-1936

			,						
		Source	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925	1926
Đwe (	elling Units Built, Preliminary, Not Corrected for Bias in 257 City Series <sup>1</sup>								
•	117 control altica	21	07 610	100 710	714 809	700 000	<b>700 004</b>	410 010	704 070
		21	93, 619	188,319	314,720	367,023	389,624	412,712	384,932
2	120 central citles	21	95, 427	192,414	318,504	393, 212	403,868	431,002	395,536
3	64 satellite cities	<u></u>	10, 382	19,510	32,713	39, 953	39,637	40,912	37,928
4	184 met. dist. cities	lines 2 + 3	105,809	211, 924	351,217	433, 165	443,505	471,914	433, 464
5	Total environs based on 64 cities	5.875 x line 3	60,994	114,621	192, 189	234,724	232, 867	240,358	222,827
6	Total environs based on 184 cities	0.545 x line 4	57,666	115, 499	191, 413	236,075	241,710	257,193	236,238
7	Total environs, average	(lines 5 <del>1</del> 6)/2	59,330	115,060	191,801	235, 400	237,289	248,776	229, 533
8	Urban environs (2,500 and over) <sup>3</sup>	0.669 x line 7	39,692	76,975	128, 315	157,483	158,746	166, 431	153, 558
9	Rural environs (under 2,500) <sup>3</sup>	0.331 x line 7	19,638	38,085	63,486	77, 917	78,543	82,345	75,975
10	96 metropolitan districts	lines 2 + 7	154,757	307,474	510,305	628,612	641, 157	679, 778	625,069
11	80 BLS nonmet, dist, cities	2	10, 472	15,526	21, 486	23,762	23,809	23, 168	19,019
12	Unreported nonmet, dist, urban	lines 13 - 11	59, 502	88.219	122.083	135,016	135, 283	131.641	108,066
13	Total nonmet. dist. urban <sup>3</sup>	6.682 x line 11	69.974	103.745	143, 569	158,778	159.092	154.809	127.085
			-			·	-	× ·	-
14	257 cities	2	114,473	223,355	368, 927	450.738	453.070	476, 792	441.879
15	Unreported urban	lines 16 - 14	110,258	187.864	284.947	336.652	347.179	357.795	310,275
16	Total urban	lines 10 + 13	224,731	411, 219	653,874	787, 390	800, 249	834, 587	752, 154
17 18	Rural nonfarm based on total urban <sup>3</sup> Rural nonfarm based on 80 nonmet.	0.129 x line 16	28,990	53,047	84,350	101, 573	103, 232	107,662	97,028
	dist. cities <sup>3</sup>	4.236 x line 11	44,359	65,768	91,015	100, 656	100,855	98,140	80,564
19	Rural nonfarm, average	(lines 17+18)/2	36, 674	59,408	87,682	101, 114	102,044	102, 901	88,796
20	Total nonfarm	lines 16 + 19	261,405	470, 627	741, 556	888,504	902, 293	937,488	840,950
21	Total nonfarm outside 120 central								
	Citles	lines 20 - 2	165,978	278, 213	423,052	495, 292	498, 425	506,486	445,414
Dwe	elling Units Built, Corrected for Bias								
22	Factor "A"	4	0.945	0.955	0.965	0.980	0.990	1.000	1.010
23 24	Total nonfarm, corrected for bias	lines 20 x 22	247,028	449, 449	715, 602	870,734	893, 270	937, 488	849,360
	cities	lines 23 - 2	151,601	257,035	397,098	477,522	489,402	506, 486	453, 824
25	Correction for areas outside 120 central cities	lines 24/21	0,913,380	0.923,878	0.938,651	0.964,122	0.981,897	1,000,000	1.018,881
Red	listribution of Corrected Nonfarm								
04		14 0				707 040	407 000		705 578
60 077	LEU CERLINAL CITLES	$1100 \times 10^{-100}$	95,427	192,414	318,504	383,212	403,868	431,002	395, 536
27	Urban environs (2,500 and over)	lines 8 x 25-	36,254	71,116	120,443	151,833	155, 872	166,431	156,457
20	Rurai environs (under 2,500)	11nes 29 - 27	17,937	35,185	59,591	75,121	77,121	82,345	77,410
29	TOTAL ENVIRONS	11nes 7 x 25	54, 191	108,301	180,034	226, 954	232,993	248,776	233,867
30	so metropolitan districts	11nes 26 + 29	149,618	298,715	498,538	620,166	636,861	679,778	629,403
01 80	NOILHEL, GIST, UTDAN	lines 13 X 25	63, 913	95, 848	134,761	153,082	156,212	154,809	129, 484
32	TOTAL UTDAN	lines $30 + 31$	213, 531	394, 563	633, 299	773, 248	793,073	834,587	758,887
33	Rurai nonfarm	lines 19 x 25'	33, 497	54,886	82, 303	97,486	100, 197	102,901	90,473
34	TOTAL NONFARM	11nes 32 + 33	247,028	449, 449	715,602	870,734	893,270	937,488	849,360

<sup>1</sup>Unreported refers to all citles or nonfarm areas not included in the Bureau of Labor Statistics list of 257 cities reporting continuously since 1921, even though reports may be available for some years for portions of the areas designated as unreported.

<sup>2</sup>Source of basic data: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Building Permits. Corrected for 'plans filed' on apartments in New York City but not executed; also for effect of increased coverage due to annexations.

<sup>3</sup>Pactors based on Table C 9. See text.

<sup>4</sup>Based on estimates for 1935 and 1936 (Tables C 17 and C 18) and data for 31 metropolitan districts included in federal Real Property Inventory of 64 cities, 1934. See text.

<sup>5</sup>Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<sup>6</sup>Nodified correction for bias applied 1931-35. See text for method.

 $7_{Except 1931-35} = lines 34 - 32$ . Line 34 same as line 23.

## TABLE EM IO (Cont'd)

## Dwelling Units Built by Class of City, 1920-1936

					Subtotal				
		1927	1928	1929	1920-29	1930	1931	1932	Source .
Dwe	lling Units Built, Preliminary, Not								
C	corrected for Bias in 257 City Series <sup>1</sup>								
1	113 central cities	352, 358	311,732	201,991	3,037,038	112, 538	82,057	23, 908	_2/
2	120 central cities	355,217	313, 481	203,143	3,101,804	113, 159	82,535	24,150	2/
3	64 satellite cities	39,792	35,686	20,604	317,117	9,865	6,968	2,231	<u>2</u> /
4	184 met. dist. cities	395,009	349, 167	223,747	3, 418, 921	123,024	89,503	26,381	11nes 2 + 3
5	Total environs based on 64 cities $^3$	233,778	209,655	121,049	1,863,062	57,957	40, 937	13, 107	5.875 x line 3
6	Total environs based on 184 cities <sup>3</sup>	215,280	190,296	121,942	1,863,312	67,048	48,779	14,378	0.545 x line 4
7	Total environs, average	224,529	199,976	121,496	1,863,190	62,503	44,858	13,743	(lines 5+8)/2
8	Urban environs (2.500 and over) $\frac{3}{6}$	· 150, 210	133,784	81,281	1, 246, 475	41.815	30,010	9.194	0.669 x line 7
9	Rural environs (under 2.500) <sup>3</sup>	74,319	66,192	40.215	616.715	20.688	14.848	4.549	0.331 x line 7
10	96 metropolitan districts	579,746	513,457	324,639	4,964,994	175,662	127, 393	37,893	11nes 2 + 7
11	80 BLS nonmet. dist. cities	18,784	19,238	14,350	189,614	7, 116	5,089	2,342	_2/
12	Unreported nonmet. dist. urban	106,731	109,310	81,537	1,077,388	40,433	28,916	13,307	lines 13 - 11
13	Total nonmet. dist. urban <sup>3</sup>	125,515	128, 548	95,887	1,287,002	47,549	34,005	15,649	6.682 x line 11
••	OFR eiting	410 074	766 650		7 547 760	100 510	04 114		2/
14	257 citles	410,934	300,050	236,945	3, 543, 769	129,519	94,114	28,481	
15	Unreported urban	294, 327	275,349	183,581	2,688,227	93,692	67,284	25,061	lines $16 - 14$
16	Total urban	705,261	642,005	420, 526	6,231,996	223, 211	161,398	53, 542	lines 10 + 15
17	Rural nonfarm based on total urban $^3$	90, 979	82, 819	54,248	803,928	28,794	20,820	6,907	0.129 x line 16
18	Rural nonfarm based on 80 nonmet.								
	dist. cities <sup>3</sup>	79,569	81,492	60,787	803,205	30,143	21, 557	9,921	4.236 x line 11
19	Rural nonfarm, average	85,274	82,156	57, 518	803,567	29,469	21, 188	8,414	(lines 17†18)/2
20	Total nonfarm	790,535	724,161	478,044	7,035,563	252,680	182, 586	61,956	lines 16 + 19
21	Total nonfarm outside 120 central								
	cities	435, 318	410,680	274,901	3,933,759	139, 521	100,051	37,806	lines 20 - 2
Dwe	elling Units Built, Corrected for Bias								•
22	Factor "A"	1, 025	1,040	1.065		1,130	1,160	1,190	4/
23	Total nonfarm, corrected for blas	810, 298	753, 127	509, 117	7,035,473	285, 528	211.800	73.728	lines 20 x 22
24	Total nonfarm outside 120 central	0107.200	,						
~-	cities	455.081	439.646	305.974	3,933,669	172.369	129.265	49.578	lines 23 - 2
25	Correction for areas outside 120							,	
	· central cities	1.045,399	1.070,532	1.113,033		1.235,434	1.291,991	1.311,379	lines 24/21
Rea	distribution of Corrected Nonfarm								
26	120 central cities	355,217	313,481	203, 143	3, 101, 804	113,159	82,535	24,150	line 2
27	Urban environs (2,500 and over)	157,029	143, 220	90,468	1,249,123	51,660	38,235	11,782	lines 8 x 25 $^{6}$
28	Rural environs (under 2,500)	77,694	70,861	44,761	618,026	25, 558	19,721	6,240	lines 29 - 27
29	Total environs	234,723	214,081	135, 229	1, 869, 149	77,218	57,956	18,022	lines 7 x 25
30	96 metropolitan districts	589,940	527,562	338, 372	4,968,953	190,377	140, 491	42,172	lines 26 + 29
31	Nonmet. dist. urban	131,213	137,615	106,725	1,263,662	58,744	43,017	19,641	lines 13 x 25 <sup>6</sup>
32	Total urban	721,153	665, 177	445,097	6,232,615	249,121	183, 508	61,813	lines 30 + 31_
33	Rural nonfarm	89, 145	87,950	64,020	802,858	36,407	28, 292	11, 915	lines 19 x 25 <sup>7</sup>
34	Total nonfarm	810,298	753, 127	509,117	7,035,473	285,528	211,800	73,728	lines 32 + 33

<sup>1</sup>Unreported refers to all cities or nonfarm areas not included in the Bureau of Labor Statistics list of 257 cities reporting continuously since 1921, even though reports may be available for some years for portions of the areas designated as unreported.

<sup>2</sup>Source of basic data: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Building Permits. Corrected for 'plans filed' on apartments in New York City but not executed; also for effect of increased coverage due to annexations.

<sup>3</sup>Factors based on Table C 9. See text.

<sup>4</sup>Based on estimates for 1935 and 1936 (Tables C 17 and C 18) and data for 31 metropolitan districts included in federal Real Property Inventory of 64 cities, 1934. See text.

<sup>5</sup>Bureau of Labor Statistics.

.

<sup>6</sup>Modified correction for bias applied 1931-35. See text for method.

<sup>7</sup>Except 1931-35 = lines 34 - 32. Line 34 same as line 23.

## TABLE EM IO

Dwelling Units Built by Class of City, 1920-1936

Source	1933	1934	1935	1936	Subtotal 1930-36	Grand total 1920-36		
							Dwelling Units Built, Preliminary, Corrected for Bias in 257 City Series	Not
_2/	16,964	19,014	49,472	101,057	405,010	3, 442, 048	113 central cities	1
2/	17,140	19, 391	50,765	103,887	411,027	3, 512, 831	120 central cities	2
2/	1,615	1,182	2,439	6,693	30,993	348,110	64 satellite cities	3
lines 2 + 3	18,755	20, 573	53,204	110, 580	442,020	3,860,941	184 met. dist. citles	4
5.875 x line 3	9,488	6,944	14,329	39, 321	182,083	2,045,145	Total environs based on 64 cities	5
0.545 x line 4	10, 221	11,212	28,996	60,266	240, 900	2, 104, 212	Total environs based on 184 cities	6
(lines 5 <del>1</del> 6)/2	9, 855	9,078	21,663	49,794	211, 494	2,074,684	Total environs, average	7
0.669 x line 7	6,593	6,073	14,493	33,312	141,490	1,387,965	Urban environs (2,500 and over)	8
0.331 x line 7	3,262	3,005	7,170	16,482	70,004	686,719	Rural environs (under 2,500)	9
11nes 2 + 7	26,995	28,469	72, 428	1 <b>53,</b> 681	622,521	5, 587, 515	96 metropolitan districts	10
_2/	1,631	1, 500	3,907	7, 615	29,200	218,814	80 BLS nonmet. dist. cities	11
lines 13 - 11	9,267	8,523	22,200	43,268	165,914	1,243,302	Unreported nonmet. dist. urban	12
6.682 x line 11	10,898	10,023	26, 107	50,883	195, 114	1,462,116	Total nonmet. dist. urban	13
2	20, 210	21, 696	55, 818	115, 365	465, 203	4,008,972	257 citles	14
lines 16 - 14	17,683	16,796	42,717	89,199	352,432	3,040,659	Unreported urban	15
lines 10 + 15	37,893	38,492	98,535	204, 564	817,635	7,049,631	Total urban	16
0.129 x line 16	4,888	4,965	12, 711	26, 389	105, 474		Rural nonfarm based on total urban $^{3}$	17
					•		Rural nonfarm based on 80 nonmet.	18
4.236 x line 11	6,909	6,354	16,550	32,257	123,691		dist. cities <sup>3</sup>	
(lines 17+18)/2	5,899	5,660	14,630	29, 323	114, 583		Rural nonfarm, average	19
lines 16 + 19	43,792	44, 152	113, 165	233, 887	932, 218	,	Total nonfarm	20
							Total nonfarm outside 120 central	21
lines 20 - 2	26,652	24,761	62,400	130,000	521, 191		cities	
							Dwelling Units Built, Corrected for I	dias
<u> </u>	1,225	1,255					. Factor "A"	22
lines 20 x 22	53,645	55,411	144,015 <sup>5</sup>				Total nonfarm, corrected for bias	23
							Total nonfarm Outside 120 central	24
lines 23 - 2	36,505	36,020	93,250	•••••			cities	
lines 24/21	1.369,691	1.454,707	1.494,391				Correction for areas outside 120 central cities	25
							Redistribution of Corrected Non	farm
1476 0	17 140	10 701		107 0075	411 005	7 510 074		
11000 B - 056	17,140	19,991	00,700	103, 087	411,0%/	1 400 400	Unban anvirons (2 500 and area)	20 07
THES O X SD.	0,071	0,008	12 115	40,000	100 000	1,460,406	Bural environe (under 2 500)	67 90
111105 60 - 67	4,027	4,000 13,000	10,110	67 688	270 020	2.147 089	Total anvirona	20 20
$11000 26 \pm 20$	30 838	32 507	83 138	171.553	690 966	5 859 010	96 metronolitan districts	30
lines 13 x $25^{\circ}$	13.959	13.315	34, 813	60, 587	244.078	1.507.738	Nonmet. dist. urban	31
lines $30 + 31$	44.597	45.912	117.951	232. 140	935.042	7.167.657	Total urban	32
lines 19 x 25 <sup>7</sup>	9.048	9.499	26.064	50,087	171.312	974.170	Rural non farm	33
lines 32 + 33	53,645	55,411	144,015	282, 227	1,106,354	8, 141, 827	Total nonfarm	34

Dwelling Units Built, Aggregate Value, 1920-1936

		Source	1920	1921	1922	1923	1924	1925	1926
Uni	t Costs, Basic Data						•		
35 36	Average, all types, 257 cities Average, all types, 113 central	1		\$ 3,947	\$ 4,005	\$ 4,127	\$ 4,352	\$ 4,464	\$ 4,422
37	Cities	2/	\$ 4,216	4,008	4,055	4,147	4,378	4,450	4,376
	cities	2	4,729	4,038	3, 945	4,363	4,715	5, 019	5,097
38	Average, 1-fam. dwellings, 64 satellite cities	3/	, 6,773	4,771	5, 183	5,273	5, 399	5,695	5,972
39	Average, 1-fam. dwellings, 80 non- metropolitan district cities	3/	3,456	2,946	3, 323 <sup>-</sup>	3, 454	3, 484	3,794	3,973
Uni	t Costs, as Applied <sup>4</sup>								
40	Central cities, assigned	1.18 x line 36	4.975	4.729	4.785	4, 893	5, 166	5, 251	5, 164
41	Urban environs, assigned	1.24 x line 37	5,864	5,007	4,892	5,410	5,847	6,224	6, 320
42	Rural environs, assigned	0.76 X line 38	5,147	3,626	3,939	4,007	4,103	4, 328	4, 539
43	Total environs, derived	lines 52/29	5,627	4,550	4, 577	4,946	5,270	5,596	5,730
44	96 metropolitan districts.								
	derived	lines 53/30	5, 211	4,665	4,710	4,912	5,204	5,377	5,374
45	Nonmetropolitan districts			,					
	urban, assigned	0.97 x line 39	3, 352	2,858	3, 223	3,350	3,379	3,680	3,854
46	Total urban, derived	lines 55/32	4,655	4,226	4,393	4,603	4, 844	5,063	5,115
47	Rural nonfarm, assigned	0.66 X line 45	2, 212	1,886	2,127	2,211	2,230	2,429	2, 544
48	Total nonfarm, derived	lines 57/34	4, 323	3,940	4,133	4,335	4,551	4,773	4,841
Est (	imated Value, Housekeeping Units, thousands of dollars)								
	,				÷				
49	120 central cities	lines 26* X 40	474,749	909,926	1,524,042	1,923,986	2,086,382	2, 263, 192	2,042,548
50	Urban environs (2,500 and over)	lines 27* X 41	212, 593	356,078	589,207	821,417	911, 384	1,035,867	988,808
51	Rural environs (under 2,500)	lines 28* x 42	92, 322	127,581	234,729	301,010	316,427	356,389	351,364
52	Total environs	lines 50 + 51	304, 915	483,659	823,936	1, 122, 427	1,227,811	1,392,256	1, 340, 172
63	96 metropolitan districts	lines 49 + 52	779,664	1,393,585	2,347,978	3,046,413	3, 314, 193	3,655,448	3,382,720
54	Nonmetropolitan districts urban	lines 31* X 45	214,236	273,934	434,335	512,825	527,840	569,697	499,031
55	Total urban	lines 53 🕇 54	993, 900	1,667,519	2,782,313	3,559,238	3,842,033	4,225,145	3,881,751
56	Rural nonfarm	lines 33* x 47	74,095	103, 515	175,058	215, 542	223, 439	249,947	230,163
67	Total nonfarm	lines 55 + 56	1,067,995	1,771,034	2,957,371	3,774,780	4,065,472	4, 475, 092	4, 111, 914
Est	imated Value, Total New								
( <sup>1</sup>	thousands of dollars)								
60		5/	E4 100	60 901	157 014	205-689	178.580	278,603	202, 326
00 50	Total new residential building	ســــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــــ	04,166 1,122,161	1.840.835	3.114.585	3,980,449	4, 244, 052	4,753,695	4.314.240
09	TOTAL HEW LESTACHOUST DUITATHR	*11163 0/ T 00	4, 100, 101	1,040,000	0,114,000	0,000,408		.,,	.,,

"Line numbering refers to Table EM 10, as Table EM 11 is a continuation of EM 10.

<sup>1</sup>Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Building Construction</u> (Feb. 1937), p. 68. <sup>2</sup>Source of basic data: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Building Permits.

<sup>3</sup>Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<sup>4</sup>See text for method of deriving factors used in lines 40, 41, 42, 45, and 47.

<sup>5</sup>Hotels, clubs, lodging houses. Preliminary, subject to revision of basic data for 257 cities by Bureau of Labor Statistics.

## Dwelling Units Built, Aggregate Value, 1920-1936

1927	1928	1929	1920-29	1930	1931	1932	Source		
			Arithmetic						
			average			I		Unit Costs, Basic D	ata
							1/ ·		
\$ 4,449	\$ 4,407	\$ 4,566	\$ 4,304	\$ 4,385	\$ 4,225	\$ 3,705	<u>.</u>	Average, all types, 257 citles Average, all types, 113 central	35 36
4,416	4,357	4,597	4,300	4,385	4,225	3,705	2/	cities	
5. 023	5,063	5,068	4.706	5, 392	5.366	4.670	2/	Average, all types, 64 satellite cities	37
,	-,	-,	-,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	-,	-,	-,	-	Average, 1-fam. dwellings, 64	38
6,239	6,471	6,429	5,820	6,779	6,784	5, 312	3/	satellite cities	39
3,864	3,969	3, 901	3,616	3,820	3,669	3,004	_3/	metropolitan district cities	
	•		Weighted					Unit Costs, as Appli	ed <sup>4</sup>
			average					<b>,,</b>	
5, 211	5, 141	5, 424	5,074	5, 174	· <b>4,</b> 986	4, 372	1.18 x line 36	Central cities, assigned	40
6, 229	6,278	6,284	5,835	6,686	6,654	5,791	1.24 X line 37	Urban environs, assigned	41
4,742	4, 918	4,886	4, 423	5,152	5,156	4,037	0.76 x line 38	Rural environs, assigned	42
5,737	5,828	5, 821	5, 391	6, 178	6,144	5, 184	lines 52/29	Total environs, derived	43
					· .			96 metropolitan districts,	44
5,420	5,420	5, 583	5,205	5, 581	5,464	4,719	lines 53/30	derived	•
								Nonmetropolitan districts	45
3,748	3,850	3,784	3,508	· 3 <b>,</b> 705	3,559	2,914	0.97 x line 39	urban, assigned	
5,116	5,095	5, 151	4,865	5,139	5,017	4,145	lines 55/32	Total urban, derived	46
2,474	2, 541	2,497	2, 315	2, 445	2,349	1,923	0.66 x line 45	Rural nonfarm, assigned	47
4,825	4,797	4,818	4,577	4,795	4,661	3,786	lines 57/34	Total nonfarm, derived	48
			Subtotal					Estimated Value, Housekeeping Uni	ts,
			1920-29					(thousands of dollars)	)
1,851,036	1,611,606	1,101,848	15,789,315	585 <b>, 48</b> 5	411, 520	105,584	11nes 26* X 40	120 central cities	49
978,134	899, 135	568, 501	7,361,124	345, 399	254, 416	68,230	lines 27* X 41	Urban environs (2,500 and over)	50
368,425	348, 494	218,702	2,715,443	131,675	101,681	25, 191	lines 28* X 42	Rural environs (under 2,500)	51
1,346,559	1,247,629	787,203	10,076,567	477,074	356,097	93, 421	lines 50 + 51	Total environs	· 52
3, 197, 595	2,859,235	1,889,051	25, 865, 882	1,062,559	767,617	199,005	lines 49 + 52	96 metropolitan districts	53
491,786	529,818	403,847	4, 457, 349	217,647	153,098	57,234	lines 31* X 45	Nonmetropolitan districts urban	54
3, 689, 381	3,389,053	2, 292, 898	30, 323, 231	1,280,206	920,715	256, 239	lines 53 + 54	Total urban	55
220, 545	223, 481	159,858	1,875,643	89,015	66,458	22,913	lines 33* x 47	Rural nonfarm	56
3,909,926	3, 612, 534	2,452,756	32, 198, 874	1,369,221	987,173	279, 152	11nes 55 + 58	Total nonfarm	57
								Estimated Value, Total N Residential Building	ew
								• (thousands of dollars)	
154.381	200.234	170.619	1.671.613	86.407	17.402	2.990	_5/	Nonhousekeeping dwellings	58
4,064,307	3,812,768	2,623,375	33, 870, 487	1,455,628	1,004,575	282,142	 lines 57 + 58	Total new residential building	59
								Ŭ	

# TABLE EM II (Cont'd)

Dwelling Units Built, Aggregate Value, 1920-1936

		Source	1933	1934	1935	1936	1930-36	1920-36
							Arithm	netic
Uni	t Costs, Basic Data						aver	age
35	Average, all types, 257 cities	1/	\$ 3,494	\$ 3,381	\$ 3.759	\$ 4,073	\$ 3,860	\$ 4,082
36	Average, all types, 113 central		,	• •,•••	• 0,700	¢ 4000	* 0,000	• 4,005
	cities	2	3, 494	3, 381	3,759	4,073	3,860	4,080
37	Average, all types, 64 satellite	21						
-	cities	ك	5, 325	5,597	5, 521	5,226	5,342	5,024
38	Average, 1-Tam. dwellings, 64	3/	E 40E	5 840	5 754	c 805	E 097	E 000
39	Average, 1-fam, dwellings, 80 non-		5, 625	5, 640	5,754	5,785	5,963	5,902
	metropolitan district cities	3/	2,804	2,816	3, 224	3,410	3,250	3, 433
lin i	t Costs as Annlied <sup>4</sup>						Weigh	ited
0111							ävera	age
40	Central cities, assigned	1.18 x line 36	4,123	3,990	4.436	4.806	4,555	4,814
41	Urban environs, assigned	1.24 X line 37	6,603	6,940	6,846	6,480	6,624	6,230
42	Rural environs, assigned	0.76 x line 38	4,275	4, 438	4, 373	4, 397	4, 547	4, 486
43	Total environs, derived	lines 52/29	5,770	6,023	5, 921	5, 638	5, 919	5,465
44	96 metropolitan districts,					·		
	derived	lines 53/30	4,849	4, 814	5,014	5, 134	5, 256	5,212
45	Nonmetropolitan districts							
	urban, assigned	0.97 x line 39	2,720	2, 732	3, 127	3,308	3,153	3,330
46	Total urban, derived	lines 55/32	4,182	4,210	4,457	4,657	4,752	4,851
47	Rural nonfarm, assigned	0.66 X line 45	1,795	1,803	2,064	2, 183	2,081	2, 198
48	Total nonfarm, derived	lines 57/34	3,780	3,797	4,024	4,218	4,355	4, 547
Est	imated Value, Housekeeping Units,						Subtotal	Grand
(	thousands of dollars)						1930-36	total 1920-36
49	120 central cities	lines 26* X 40	70,668	77.370	. 225, 194	499.281	1,975,102	17,764,417
50	Urban environs (2,500 and over)	lines 27* X 41	57,255	58,074	138,686	261,176	1, 183, 236	8, 544, 360
51	Rural environs (under 2,500)	11nes 28* X 42	20,635	21,471	52,979	120, 306	473,938	3, 189, 381
52	Total environs	lines 50 + 51	77,890	79,545	191,665	381,482	1,657,174	11,733,741
53	96 metropolitan districts	lines 49 + 52	148, 558	156, 915	416, 859	880.763	3,632,276	29.498.158
54	Nonmetropolitan districts urban	lines 31* X 45	37,968	36, 377	108,860	200, 422	811,606	5, 268, 955
55	Total urban	lines 53 + 54	186, 526	193, 292	525,719	1,081,185	4, 443, 882	34,767,113
56	Rural nonfarm	lines 33* X 47	16,241	17, 127	53,796	109,340	374,890	2,250,5 <b>33</b>
57	Total nonfarm	lines 55 + 58	202,767	210, 419	579, 515	1, 190, 525	4,818,772	37,017,646
Est T	imated Value, otal New Residential Buildino							
(	thousands of dollars)						,	
50	Nonhousekeeping dwellinge	5/	1 6077	3 040	5.052	11.025	127.645	1,799.258
50	Total new residential building	یے 1ines 57 + 58	204.274	213.681	584.587	1,201,550	4,946,417	38,816,905
00	total new representation and and					_,,	-,,	

\*Line numbering refers to Table EM 10, as Table EM 11 is a continuation of EM 10.

<sup>1</sup> Bureau of Labor Statistics, <u>Building Construction</u> (Feb. 1937), p. 68. <sup>2</sup> Source of basic data: Bureau of Labor Statistics, Building Permits.

<sup>2</sup>Source of basic aau. Dureum 0, 2000 <sup>3</sup>Bureau of Labor Statistics. <sup>4</sup>See text for method of deriving factors used in lines 40, 41, 42, 45, and 47. <sup>5</sup>Botels, clubs, lodging houses. Preliminary, subject to revision of basic data for 257 cities by Bureau of Labor Statistics.

#### TABLE EM 12

#### Dwelling Units Built, Estimated Aggregate for 1920-1929

#### Mean Minimum Maximum (thousands of dwelling units) Occupied dwelling units, January 1 1 1930 23.097 . . . . . . 1920 17.556 . . . . . . 10-year increase 5.541 . . . ... Dwelling units standing, January 1<sup>2</sup> 1930 24,313 24,313 24, 571 1920 17,733 17,914 17.733 6.838 6.580 6.399 10-year increase 1.297 10-year change in vacancy 1,039 858 580 450 715 Losses, total Demolitions 3 330 250 415 Fire, flood, wind, and other Ъ losses 250 200 300 . 7,160 6.849 7.553 Total units built Units added by conversions, net 5 125 166 83 7,035 Total new units built 6.683 7.470

<sup>1</sup>Based on Census of Population, 1930, VI, 11. The Census figure 23,235,982 for nonfarm families (private) April 1, 1930 was adjusted to a January 1 basis by straight line in-terpolation. Nonfarm families (including quasi-families) January 1, 1920 numbered 17,600,472. In estimating the number of private families in 1920, the relationship be-tween private and quasi-families in 1930 was assumed to abaly. apply.

<sup>2</sup>Estimated vacancy, percentage: Mean change - from 1 per cent in 1920 to 5 per cent in 1930 Minimum change - from 2 per cent in 1920 to 5 per cent in 1930 Maximum change - from 1 per cent in 1920 to 6 per cent in 1930

Minimum change - from 2 per cent in 1920 to 5 per cent in 1930 Maximum change - from 2 per cent in 1920 to 6 per cent in 1930 These data are based on the following sources: weighted average of vacancies, early 1930, all types of dwellings in 16 large cities, was 6.0 per cent (Residential Vacancy Sur-tration, March 9, 1935). Apartment vacancies, New York City, probably 10 per cent (7.8 per cent, Nou. 1928, and 12.0 per cent, June 1932), New York City Tenement House Department reports. Vacancies in dwellings, only those fit for oc-cupancy, in Philadelphia, 4.0 per cent (1.65 in 1917 and 0.67 in 1921). On the other hand, some cities were temporarily overbuilt following cessation of uur-time activity in principal industries, e.g., Chester, Pa., and Camden, N.J. (shtybuilding), Charleston, W.Ya. (armor plate, chemicals), Gary, Mammond, and East Chicago, Ind. (steel), Peoria, III. (tractors), but since duellings are predominantly of the 1-family type and vacancies in this type are generally lower than for other types, vacancies probably are relatively low in any event. <sup>9</sup>Estimated units demolished annually per 10.000 population: mean 4, minimum 3, maximum 5 (see Table EN 8). <sup>4</sup>See text accompanying Table EM 8.

<sup>4</sup>See text accompanying Table EM 8.

<sup>4</sup>See text accompanying Table EM 8. <sup>5</sup>Estimated units added by conversions annually per 10,000 population: mean 14, minimum 1, maximum 2. (The maximum number of conversions uas subtracted from the minimum number of conversions uas subtracted from the minimum number of new units built. Likewise, the minimum number of units built to estimate the maximum number of new units built). Based on: (a) 1921-27 data for 25-68 cities over 25,000 in population reported in building permits (BLS) indicat-ing an annual increase of 3.5 to 6.0 units per 10,000 population; (b) 1923-35 data for Philadelphia averaging 3.7 units increase per 10,000 population (Philadelphia Housing Association); (c) conversions in apartment duild-ings, New York City, indicating a small net decrease (N.Y. City Penement House Department); (d) allouance for conversion of residential buildings to non-residential use in areas not covered by permits, and for a probably lower rate of conversions, and an increasing number of units in small cities and rural areas.

TABLE EM 13

#### Demolitions, Selected Cities, 1918-1935

		Dwelling	Units De	molished
		Annually	per 10,	000 Pop.
•			Prior to	
		Entire	& inc.	Since
		period	1929	1929
Dwellings, all types				
Philadelphia <sup>1</sup>	1923-35	6.4	4.1	6.9
Minneapolis	1923-32	4.7	•••	•••
Cleve land <sup>3</sup>	1932-35	8.3	•••	8.3
Denver <sup>4</sup>	1931-35	4.7	•••	4.7
Manhattan, New York City <sup>5</sup>	1918-32	19.3	15.8	29.0
78 central cities <sup>6</sup>	1929-35	3.2		3.27
44 satellite cities	1929-35	2.2	•••	2.27
37 nonmetropolitan cities $^{6}$	1929-35	1.3	•••	1.37
Apartments only <sup>5</sup>				
Manhattan	1918-35	16.0	13.0	23.1
Bronx	1918-35	0.8	0.4	1.5
Brooklyn	1918-35	2.3	1.8	3.1
Queens	1918-35	0.7	0.3	1.2
Richmond	1918-35	0.4	0.3	0.4
Total New York City	1918-35	6.2	5.3	7.9

<sup>1</sup>Philadelphia Housing Association, <u>Housing in Philadelphia, 1932–35</u> <sup>2</sup>University of Xinnesota, Employment Stabilization Research Insti-tute, <u>The Construction Industry in Minnesota</u>, June 1834, p.30

<sup>3</sup>H. W. Green, Real Property Inventory, Cleveland, 1935.

<sup>4</sup>University of Denver, <u>Business Review</u>, Nov. 1931, 1933, 1934, 1935. oniversity of Denver, <u>mastness Review</u>, Not. 1931, 1933, 1933, 1933. <sup>5</sup>Apartment demolitions from New York City Tenement House Department, annual reports; 1- and 2-family duelling units demolished in Man-hattan, estimated from number of buildings demolished (2-family not segregated) in annual report, Borough President, 1932-33, Borough of Kanhattan.

of manualian.  $^{6}Special$  tabulation furnished by Bureau of Labor Statistics, Di-vision of Construction and Public Employment. Partial list from 810 cities with populations over 10,000; does not include large cities listed separately above. <sup>7</sup>Includes 1929.

#### TABLE EM 14

Dwelling Units Built, 1935, Based on Bll Bureau of Labor Statistics reporting Cities. 10,000 and over in Population

	POP. 1930	DWELLING	LLING UNITS BUILT, 1935		
	(000's)	Number	Per $10,000$ population (3)=(2)+(1)10		
·	(1)	(2)	(3)		
257 cities, pop. 25,000 and over	44,909	55.810 <sup>1</sup>	12.44		
554 citles, pop. 10,000-25.000 811 citles, pop. 10,000 and under	10,515 55,424	20,705 <sup>-</sup> 76,515 <sup>1</sup>	19.68		
Nonfarm outside 811 cities	38,906	67, 500 <sup>3</sup>	18.30 <sup>2</sup>		
Total nonfarm	92, 330	144,015	15.62		

<sup>1</sup>Source: Bureau of Labor Statistics, 'Families Provided For', 811 cities.

<sup>2</sup> sased on average of 19.53 units per 10,000 population for 554 reporting cittes with populations of from 10,000 to 25,000, but modified to conform to relationships found in 1936 estimate based on 1,689 cities having populations over 2,500. Ratio of building rates for first two groups of cities for 1935: <u>554 cities 19.68</u>; but for 1936, ratio is: <u>32.21</u>\_1.258, in-dicating that the 1935 rate for cities with populations of from 10,000 to 25,000 is too high to use unchanged for estimating un-reported nonfarm, since population and building in unreported areas were over three times as large as for sample cities with populations from 10,000 to 25,000. On the other hand, the 1936 estimate indicates that the building rate in unreported nonfarm areas under 10,000 uus 1.17 times as great as the reporting group of cities with populations from 10,000 to 25,000  $(\frac{37.60}{32.21}-1.79)$ . Thus 19.68x1.17x $\frac{238}{1.582}$ 18.30 units per 10,000 popu-lation. This building rate may be an underestimate to a minor lation. This building rate may be an underestimate to a minor degree since the nonfarm population outside 811 cities was probably a slightly larger percentage of the total population in 1935, than in 1930.

<sup>3</sup> Col. (1)+10 xcol. (2).

#### TABLE EM 15

Dwelling Units Built, 1936<sup>1</sup>

							←	DWEI	LING UNIT	S BUILT, 1	936 ——	<b>→</b>
	NUMBER OF CITIES		TIES	POPULATION, 1930 (thousands)		.930 )	FER 10,000 POPULATION			UNRE-		
	B.L.S. <sup>2</sup>	ported	Total	B.L.S. <sup>2</sup>	ported	Total	B.L.S. <sup>2</sup>	ported	Total	B.L.S. <sup>2</sup>	PORTED	TOTAL
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7) $(10) \times 10$ (4)	<sup>رو</sup> (8)	(9) (12) x10 (6)	(10)	(11) (5)x(8) 10	(12)
Metropolitan districts					,							
Central cities <sup>3</sup>	120		120	37.815		37,815	27.47		27.47	103,887		103, 887
Urban environs, 2,500 and over <sup>3</sup>	613	252	865	9,580	1,747	11,327	35.39	(36.62)	35.58	33,906	6.399	40, 305
Rural environs, under 2,500		•••	•••	•••	5,645	5,645	•••	(48. 47) <sup>4</sup>	48. 47 <sup>4</sup>		27, 381	27, 361
Total environs	613	252	865	9,580	7,392	16, 972	35.39	(45.67)	39.86	33,906	33,760	67,666
Total metropolitan districts	733	252	985	47,395	7,392	54,787	29.07	(45.67)	31.31	137,793	33,760	171,553
Nonmetropolitan   urban <sup>3</sup>	956	1,224	2, 180	13, 185	6,628	19,813	29.61	(32.50)	30.58	39,045	21, 542	60, 587
Total urban	1,689	1,476	3,165	60,580	14,020	74,600	29.19	(39.45)	31.12	176,838	55,302	232, 140
Rural nonfarm				•••	17, 730	17, 730		(28.25) <sup>5</sup>	28.25 <sup>5</sup>	· · ·	50,087	50,087
Total nonfarm '	1,689			60,580	31.750	92,330	29.19	(33.19)	30.57	176, 838	105, 389	282, 227

<sup>1</sup>Preliminary. Subject to revision when basic data for reporting cities are available in sufficient detail to make possible estimating totals by region and population group, and for rural environs and other rural areas on the basis of current empirical data. <sup>2</sup>Cities reporting building permits to the Bureau of Labor Statistics.

<sup>3</sup>Derived from totals for cities by size of population groups, thus: 500,000 and over, 100,000-500,000, 50,000-100,000, 25,000-50,000, 10,000-25,000, 5,000-100,000, 25,000-50,000, 25,000-25,000, 5,000-10,000, 25,000-25,000, 2,500-50,000, 2,500,000, 2,500,000, 2,500,

 $^{4}$ Estimated on basis of average for all urban environs [col. (9)], corrected for differences in rates of growth of population in urban and <sup>5</sup>Estimated on basis of average for reporting nonmetropolitan cities [col. (7]], corrected for differences in rates of growth of population.

<sup>6</sup>Parentheses indicate derived data.

76