

"How do Consumption and Asset Returns React to Wealth Shocks? Evidence from the U.S. and the U.K."

Ricardo M. Sousa

NIPE^{*} WP 14/ 2010

URL: http://www.eeg.uminho.pt/economia/nipe

^{*} NIPE – *Núcleo de Investigação em Políticas Económicas* – is supported by the Portuguese Foundation for Science and Technology through the *Programa Operacional Ciência, Teconologia e Inovação* (POCI 2010) of the *Quadro Comunitário de Apoio III*, which is financed by FEDER and Portuguese funds.

How do Consumption and Asset Returns React to Wealth Shocks? Evidence from the U.S. and the U.K.

Ricardo M. Sousa^{\$}

April 2010

Abstract

In this work, I analyze the response of consumption and asset returns to unexpected wealth variation. Using data at quarterly frequency for the U.S. and the U.K., I show that: (*i*) while housing wealth shocks have a very persistent effect on consumption, financial wealth shocks only have transitory effects; and (*ii*) similarly, unexpected variation in housing wealth delivers a reasonably persistent response of real returns while financial wealth shocks have just a temporary effect.

Keywords: financial wealth, housing wealth, consumption, asset returns. JEL classification: E21, E44, D12.

^{\$} Department of Economics and Economic Policies Research Unit (NIPE), University of Minho, Campus of Gualtar, 4710-057 - Braga, Portugal; Financial Markets Group (FMG), London School of Economics, Houghton Street, London WC2 2AE, United Kingdom. Tel.: +351 253601936. Fax: +351 253601380. E-mail: rjsousa@eeg.uminho.pt, rjsousa@alumni.lse.ac.uk.

1. Introduction

There is a wide literature on the impact that wealth has on consumption (Case et al., 2005), and several explanations have been offered for the differences in its response to financial and housing wealth shocks, such as: (*i*) expected permanency of changes in asset prices; (*ii*) heterogeneity in the asset distribution across groups (Banks et al., 2004); (*iii*) housing as providing utility services or used for bequests (Poterba, 2000); (*iv*) liquidity (Pissarides, 1978; Muellbauer and Lattimore, 1999); (*v*) `psychological factors' (Shefrin and Thaler, 1988); and (*vi*) wealth mismeasurement (Sousa, 2003).

Similarly, substantial research has documented long-term predictability of asset returns and the linkages between macroeconomic aggregates and wealth variables (Fama and French, 1988; Campbell and Shiller, 1988).

More recently, authors have developed empirical proxies that are able to track time-variation in asset returns. In this spirit, Sousa (2010) argues that wealth composition is a driving force of market risk premium. The author derives an equilibrium relation between the transitory deviation from the common trend in consumption, housing wealth, financial wealth and labour income and expected future asset returns, *cday*, and shows that it helps predicting future returns. The rationale for such finding is that: *(i) cday* is able to track changes in the wealth composition; and *(ii)* the coefficients of the cointegrating relationship in *cday* converge to the "long-run equilibrium" parameters at a faster rate.

In the current work, I look at the response of *both* consumption and asset returns to unexpected wealth variation. Specifically, I use data for the U.S. and the U.K. and the period 1975:1-2008:4, and show that: (*i*) housing wealth shocks have a persistent effect on consumption, but financial wealth shocks produce only temporary effects; and (*ii*) unexpected variation in housing wealth generates a persistent response on real returns, while financial wealth shocks have lead to transitory effects.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, I present the data. In Section 3, I describe the model and discuss the results. Finally, in Section 4, I conclude.

2. Data

I use data at quarterly frequency for the U.S. and the U.K. and the sample period is 1975:1-2008:4. All variables are measured at constant prices and expressed in the logarithmic form of per capita terms.

For the U.S., I use data from the Flow of Funds Accounts of the Board of Governors of Federal Reserve System and the Bureau of Economic Analysis of the U.S. Department of Commerce. Consumption is defined as the expenditure in nondurable consumption goods and services excluding clothing and shoes. Data on wealth correspond to the end-of-period values, while data on income refer to labour income.

In the case of the U.K., data are taken from the Office for National Statistics (ONS), the Halifax plc, the Nationwide Building Society and the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister. Durable and semi-durable goods are excluded from the definition of consumption, while income and wealth' concepts are similar to those for the U.S..

Asset returns are computed using the U.S. and the U.K. Total Return Indexes of the Morgan Stanley Capital International (MSCI).

3. Empirical Methodology and Results

I estimate the following Bayesian Structural Vector Autoregressive Model (B-SVAR)

$$X_{t} = \theta + A(L)X_{t-1} + \zeta_{t}, \qquad (1)$$

where $\mathbf{X}_{t} = (r_{t}, \Delta c_{t}, \Delta f_{t}, \Delta u_{t}, \Delta y_{t})$ is the vector of real returns, consumption growth, financial wealth growth, housing wealth growth, and labour income growth, A(L) is a finite-order distributed lag operator, θ is a vector of constants, and ζ_{t} is a vector of error terms.

In order to assess the uncertainty about the posterior distribution of the impulseresponse functions, I follow Zellner (1971), Schervish (1995) and Bauwens et al. (1999) and factorize the estimated VAR as the product of a multivariate normal distribution (conditional on the covariance matrix) and an inverse Wishart,

$$\beta|_{\Sigma} \sim \mathcal{N}(\hat{\beta}, \Sigma \otimes (X'X)^{-1})$$
(2)

$$\Sigma^{-1} \sim Wishart((n\hat{\Sigma})^{-1}, n-m)$$
(3)

where β is the vector of VAR coefficients, Σ is the matrix of the variance-covariance of the residuals, X is the matrix of regressors, n is the sample size and m is the number of estimated parameters, and the variables with a hat denote estimates. Then, I compute 50,000 draws from the posterior distribution of the coefficients of the VAR and report 95 percent probability intervals generated via a Monte Carlo Markov-Chain (MCMC) algorithm.

Tables 1 and 2 present the results of the estimation of system (1) for the U.S. and the U.K., respectively.

Table 1 shows that the forecasting regression of real returns has no explanatory power. It can also be seen that asset returns are an important explanatory of financial wealth growth, as the coefficient associated to real returns is statistically significant. Moreover, the evidence supports the idea that a large component of the variation in financial wealth is transitory, given that the R^2 statistic of the corresponding equation is large (0.80). By its turn, the housing wealth growth equation confirms the persistence of this component of wealth: the lag of the dependent variable is highly significant and this equation explains 32% of the variation in housing wealth. These features corroborate the findings of Case and Shiller (1989) and Ortalo-Magné and Rady (2006), who highlight the strong autocorrelation of housing returns. The estimation of the consumption growth equation shows that: (*i*) it is predictable by its own lag, a sign of some delay in the adjustment process (Flavin, 1981; Campbell and Mankiw, 1989); and (ii) the lag of labour income growth also predicts consumption growth, suggesting the existence of habit-formation preferences or liquidity constraints.

Similar findings can be observed in Table 2. In fact, the lag of real returns does not help predicting future asset returns and variation in financial wealth is mainly transitory. In contrast, growth in housing wealth exhibits strong persistence.

Using the B-SVAR represented by (1), I also assess the change in consumption growth and future returns caused by shocks in the different components of asset wealth, that is, financial wealth and housing wealth.

Figure 1 reports the impulse-response functions of consumption growth and quarterly real returns to a one standard deviation impulse in, respectively, financial wealth and housing wealth in the case of the U.S.. Figure 2 replicates the findings for the the U.K.

Both Figures show that while housing wealth shocks have a very persistent effect on consumption, financial wealth shocks only have transitory effects. Similarly, unexpected variation in housing wealth delivers a reasonably persistent response of real returns while financial wealth shocks have just a temporary effect.

4

Table 1 – Estimates From the Bayesian Structural Vector-Autoregression (B-SVAR) Model: U.S. Evidence.

The table reports the estimated coefficients from the Bayesian Structural Vector-Autoregression (B-SVAR) specified in system (1). Symbols ***, **, and * represent, respectively, significance level of 1%, 5% and 10%. Newey-West (1987) corrected tstatistics appear in parenthesis. The sample period is 1975:1-2008:4.

			Equation		
Dep. Variable	r_t^{US}	Δc_t^{US}	Δf_t^{US}	Δu_t^{US}	Δy_t^{US}
r_{t-1}^{US}	-0.017	0.010**	0.288***	0.003	0.014
(t-stat)	(-0.190)	(2.465)	(21.789)	(0.184)	(1.596)
Δc_{t-1}^{US}	0.931	0.247***	0.358	-0.033	0.376**
(t-stat)	(0.462)	(2.790)	(1.209)	(-0.088)	(1.976)
Δf_{t-1}^{US}	0.149	-0.001	-0.014	-0.062	-0.056**
(t-stat)	(0.523)	(-0.047)	(-0.329)	(-1.167)	(-2.089)
Δu_{t-1}^{US}	-0.506	0.022	0.103*	0.510***	0.008
(t-stat)	(-1.213)	(1.204)	(1.673)	(6.577)	(0.198)
Δy_{t-1}^{US}	-1.817**	0.086**	0.024	0.596***	-0.281***
(t-stat)	(-2.124)	(2.296)	(0.190)	(3.752)	(-3.488)
heta	0.026**	0.004***	-0.001	0.001	0.004***
(t-stat)	(1.982)	(6.534)	(-0.404)	(0.525)	(2.871)
<i>R</i> ²	[0.01]	[0.17]	[0.80]	[0.32]	[0.12]

Table	2	-	Estimates	From	the	Bayesian	Structural	Vector-
Autoreg	gres	sion	(B-SVAR)	Model:	U.K.	Evidence.		

The table reports the estimated coefficients from the Bayesian Structural Vector-Autoregression (B-SVAR) specified in system (1). Symbols ***, **, and * represent, respectively, significance level of 1%, 5% and 10%. Newey-West (1987) corrected tstatistics appear in parenthesis. The sample period is 1975:1-2008:4.

			Equation		
Dep. Variable	r_t^{UK}	$\Delta c_t^{ UK}$	Δf_t^{UK}	Δu_t^{UK}	Δy_t^{UK}
r_{t-1}^{UK}	-0.042	0.015*	0.504***	0.019	0.031***
(t-stat)	(-0.458)	(1.910)	(15.827)	(1.358)	(2.597)
Δc_{t-1}^{UK}	-0.960	-0.252***	0.767**	0.449***	-0.301**
(t-stat)	(-0.926)	(-2.723)	(2.109)	(2.876)	(-2.185)
Δf_{t-1}^{UK}	-0.008	0.006	0.050	0.004	0.013
(t-stat)	(-0.055)	(0.465)	(0.997)	(0.189)	(0.698)
Δu_{t-1}^{UK}	-0.210	0.064**	-0.246**	0.762***	0.154***
(t-stat)	(-0.635)	(2.166)	(-2.118)	(15.322)	(3.504)
Δy_{t-1}^{UK}	-0.080	0.104*	0.237	0.199**	-0.076
(t-stat)	(-0.123)	(1.780)	(1.035)	(2.031)	(-0.876)
θ	0.030***	0.005***	-0.001	-0.001	0.004***
(t-stat)	(2.989)	(6.124)	(-0.225)	(-0.477)	(3.322)
R^2	[0.00]	[0.07]	[0.68]	[0.70]	[0.11]

.

Figure 1. Impulse-Response Functions of Consumption and Real Returns (U.S. Evidence). The figure depicts the impulse-response functions of consumption and real returns to, respectively, a shock to financial wealth and housing wealth based on the Bayesian Structural Vector Auto-Regressive (B-SVAR) model estimated in system (1).



Figure 2. Impulse-Response Functions of Consumption and Real Returns (U.K. Evidence). The figure depicts the impulse-response functions of consumption and real returns to, respectively, a shock to financial wealth and housing wealth based on the Bayesian Structural Vector Auto-Regressive (B-SVAR) model estimated in system (1).



4. Conclusion

This paper analyzes the response of consumption and asset returns to shocks to wealth. Using data at quarterly frequency for the U.S. and the U.K., I show that while housing wealth shocks have a very persistent effect on *both* consumption and asset returns, financial wealth shocks only produce transitory effects.

These results suggest that when consumption deviations from its equilibrium level are better understood as representing temporary movements in financial wealth. As for housing wealth changes, they do not explain the short-run dynamics but may impact on the long-run behaviour of consumption and asset returns. That is, the empirical findings suggest that not all components of asset wealth are transitory (as Lettau and Ludvigson (2001) argue), but only the financial wealth counterpart.

References

- Banks, J., Blundell, R. and Smith, J., 2004, Wealth Portfolios in the United Kingdom and the United States, NBER Chapters, In Perspectives on the Economics of Aging, 205-246, National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc.
- Bauwens, L., M. Lubrano and J. Richard, 1999, Bayesian Inference in Dynamic Econometric Models, Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Campbell, J. and G. Mankiw, 1989, Consumption, Income and Interest rates: Re-Interpreting the Time Series Evidence, In Blanchard, O. and S. Fischer (eds.), NBER Macroeconomics Annual, MIT Press, Cambridge, MA.
- Campbell, J. and R. Shiller, 1988, The Dividend Price Ratio and Expectation of Future Dividends and Discount Factors, Review of Financial Studies, 1, 195-227.
- Case, K. and R. Shiller, 1989, The Efficiency of the Market for Single-Family Homes, American Economic Review, 79, 125-137.
- Case, K., R. Shiller and J. Quigley, 2005, Comparing Wealth Effects: The Stock Market Versus the Housing Market, Advances in Macroeconomics, 5(1), 1-32.
- Fama, E. and K. French, 1988, Dividend Yields and Expected Stock Returns, Journal of Financial Economics, 22, 3-25.
- Flavin, M., 1981, The Adjustment of Consumption to Changing Expectations About Future Income, Journal of Political Economy, 89(5), 974-1009.
- Lettau, M. and S. Ludvigson, 2001, Consumption, Aggregate Wealth, and Expected Stock Returns, Journal of Finance, 56(9), 815-849.
- Muellbauer, J. and R. Lattimore, 1999, The Consumption Function: A Theoretical and Empirical Overview, In Pesaran, M. and M. Wickens (eds.), Handbook of Applied Econometrics, I, Blackwell Handbooks in Economics, Oxford.
- Newey, W. and K. West, 1987, A Simple Positive Semi-Definite, Heteroskedasticity, and Autocorrelation Consistent Covariance Matrix, Econometrica, 55, 703-708.
- Ortalo-Magné, F. and S. Rady, 2006, Housing Market Dynamics: On the Contribution of Income Shocks and Credit Constraints, Review of Economic Studies, 73, 459-485.
- Pissarides, C., 1978, Liquidity Considerations in the Theory of Consumption, Quarterly Journal of Economics, 92(2), 279-296.
- Poterba, J. M., 2000, Stock Market Wealth and Consumption, Journal of Economic Perspectives, 13, 91-118.
- Schervish, M., 1995, Theory of Statistics, Springer, New York.

- Shefrin, H. and R. Thaler, 1988, The Behavioural Life-Cycle Hypothesis, Economic Inquiry, 26, 609-643.
- Sousa, R. M., 2003, Property of Stocks and Wealth Effects on Consumption, University of Minho, NIPE Working Paper No. 2.
- Sousa, R. M., 2010. Consumption, (Dis)Aggregate Wealth and Asset Returns, Journal of Empirical Finance, forthcoming.
- Zellner, A., 1971, An Introduction to Bayesian Inference in Econometrics, Wiley, New York.

Most Recent Working Paper

NIPE WP	Sousa, Ricardo M., "How do Consumption and Asset Returns React to Wealth Shocks? Evidence
14/2010	from the U.S. and the U.K", 2010
NIPE WP	Monteiro, Natália., Miguel Portela e Odd Rune Straume, "Firm ownership and rent sharing",
13/2010	2010
NIPE WP	Afonso, Oscar, Sara Monteiro e Maria Thompson., "A Growth Model for the Quadruple Helix
12/2010	Innovation Theory ", 2010
NIPE WP	Veiga, Linda G.," Determinants of the assignment of E.U. funds to Portuguese municipalities",
11/2010	2010
NIPE WP	Sousa, Ricardo M., "Time-Varying Expected Returns: Evidence from the U.S. and the U.K",
10/2010	2010
NIPE WP	Sousa, Ricardo M., "The consumption-wealth ratio and asset returns: The Euro Area, the UK and
9/2010	the US", 2010
NIPE WP	Bastos, Paulo, e Odd Rune Straume, "Globalization, product differentiation and wage
8/2010	inequality", 2010
NIPE WP	Veiga, Linda, e Francisco José Veiga, "Intergovernmental fiscal transfers as pork barrel", 2010
7/2010	
NIPE WP	Rui Nuno Baleiras, "Que mudanças na Política de Coesão para o horizonte 2020?", 2010
6/2010	
NIPE WP	Aisen, Ari, e Francisco José Veiga, "How does political instability affect economic growth?",
5/2010	2010
NIPE WP	Sá, Carla, Diana Amado Tavares, Elsa Justino, Alberto Amaral, "Higher education (related)
4/2010	choices in Portugal: joint decisions on institution type and leaving home", 2010
NIPE WP	Esteves, Rosa-Branca, "Price Discrimination with Private and Imperfect Information", 2010
3/2010	
NIPE WP	Alexandre, Fernando, Pedro Bação, João Cerejeira e Miguel Porteia, Employment, exchange
2/2010	Arrier Conversion Luía Dadus C. Marselliãos e Marie Laure Second "On Wayes in War and
NIPE WP $1/2010$	Aguar-Conraria, Luis, Pedro C. Magainaes e Maria Joana Soares, On waves in war and
1/2010	Elections - wavelet Analysis of Political Time-Series , 2010
NIPE WP	Mallick, Sushanta K. e Ricardo M. Sousa, "Monetary Policy and Economic Activity in the
27/2009	BRICS", 2009
NIPE WP	Sousa, Ricardo M., "What Are The Wealth Effects Of Monetary Policy?", 2009
26/2009	
NIPE WP	Alonso, Antonio., Peter Claeys e Ricardo M. Sousa, "Fiscal Regime Shifts in Portugal",
25/2009	2009
NIPE WP	Aidt, Toke S., Francisco José Veiga e Linda Gonçalves Veiga, "Election Results and
24/2009	Opportunistic Policies: A New Test of the Rational Political Business Cycle Model", 2009
NIPE WP	Esteves, Rosa Branca e Hélder Vasconcelos, "Price Discrimination under Customer
23/2009	Recognition and Mergers ", 2009
NIPE WP	Bleaney, Michael e Manuela Francisco, "What Makes Currencies Volatile? An Empirical
22/2009	Investigation", 2009
NIPE WP	Brekke, Kurt R. Luigi Siciliani e Odd Rune Straume, "Price and quality in spatial
21/2009	competition", 2009
NIPE WP	Santos, José Freitas e J. Cadima Ribeiro, "Localização das Actividades e sua Dinâmica", 2009
20/2009	
NIPE WP	Peltonen, Tuomas A., Ricardo M. Sousa e Isabel S.Vansteenkiste "Fundamentals, Financial
19/2009	Factors and The Dynamics of Investment in Emerging Markets", 2009
NIPE WP	Peltonen, Tuomas A., Ricardo M. Sousa e Isabel S.Vansteenkiste "Asset prices, Credit and
18/2009	Investment in Emerging Markets", 2009
NIPE WP	Aguiar-Conraria, Luís e Pedro C. Magalhães, "How quorum rules distort referendum
17/2009	outcomes: evidence from a pivotal voter model", 2009