WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

ECONSTOR

Der Open-Access-Publikationsserver der ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft The Open Access Publication Server of the ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

González-König, Gabriel

Working Paper Remittances as investment in the absence of altruism

ZEI working paper, No. B 08-2005

Provided in cooperation with: Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Suggested citation: González-König, Gabriel (2005) : Remittances as investment in the absence of altruism, ZEI working paper, No. B 08-2005, http://hdl.handle.net/10419/39480

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die ZBW räumt Ihnen als Nutzerin/Nutzer das unentgeltliche, räumlich unbeschränkte und zeitlich auf die Dauer des Schutzrechts beschränkte einfache Recht ein, das ausgewählte Werk im Rahmen der unter

→ http://www.econstor.eu/dspace/Nutzungsbedingungen nachzulesenden vollständigen Nutzungsbedingungen zu vervielfältigen, mit denen die Nutzerin/der Nutzer sich durch die erste Nutzung einverstanden erklärt.

Terms of use:

The ZBW grants you, the user, the non-exclusive right to use the selected work free of charge, territorially unrestricted and within the time limit of the term of the property rights according to the terms specified at

 $\rightarrow\,$ http://www.econstor.eu/dspace/Nutzungsbedingungen By the first use of the selected work the user agrees and declares to comply with these terms of use.



Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Gabriel González-König

Remittances as investment in the absence of altruism

orkinc B 08

2005

Remittances as investment in the absence of altruism

Gabriel González-König^{*} Universidad de Guanajuato[†]

Abstract

In the absence of altruism, there is no obvious reason why a migrant should remit part of his income to his family for investment at the home location. If the family invests such income (in housing for example), why would they give it back to the migrant when he returns? This paper is based on the idea that certain people at a migrant's home location may punish those families who do not return those investments in order to prevent their own possibilities of receiving future remittances and investments from being adversely affected. We find that in equilibrium we can have remittances to be invested and given back to the migrant and remittances for private consumption by the migrant's family even in the complete absence of altruism on either the part of the migrant or his family.

JEL classification: J61.

Keywords: Remittances, Altruism, Migration.

^{*}This paper is based on a chapter of my PhD dissertation at Georgetown University, I would like to thank my dissertation advisors Susan Vroman and James Albrecht and to Kanişka Dam, Brian McDougall and two anonymous reviewers. All remaining errors are mine.

[†]School of Economics, Campus UCEA, C.P. 36250, Guanajuato, Gto, Mexico. ph. (52-473) 735-2925 ext. 2887, fax (52-473) 735-2925 ext. 2976 E-mail: ggkonig@ugto.org

1 Introduction

Remittances from migrants to their families are a common occurrence in rural-to-urban migration and international migration from less developed to industrialised countries. This paper proposes a new explanation as to why remittances exist where altruism is absent.

The motives for the migrant to send income home can be classified according to the following three groups:

Purely altruistic. This is the most common explanation for remittances. Migrants care about the well-being of their family members who remain in their place of origin ; that is, the well-being of the family enters into the utility function of the migrant. The migrants will remit to maximize their own utility taking into account the well-being of their families (LaLonde and Topel, 1997; Lucas and Stark, 1985).

Another way to look at pure altruism is to consider the whole family as a single economic agent. Lucas (1997) shows that if the risks in the rural and urban environments¹ are uncorrelated, then the rural family can decide to send someone to the city to diversify the risk. Anytime one of the parties (rural or urban) experience negative consequences, remittances take place to maximize family utility.

Partly altruistic. These are explanations for remittances that depend on some degree of altruism but have self-interest features.

Andreoni (1989) says that a person may find utility in giving a gift (in this case, remitting) per se, regardless of the well-being of the receiver. That is, people have a taste for giving and derive utility from it.² Remittances are, therefore, caused by altruism as well as by this taste for giving.

Self-enforcing agreements are also used to explain remittances (Lucas and Stark, 1985; Lucas and Stark, 1988; Stark, 1989). Migrants face most of their risk in the early stages of migration and their families face a constant risk.³ They insure each other, so that whenever there is a bad crop or the migrant faces unemployment, the other more stable party sends remittances. Another way of looking at self-enforcing agreements, is to see the family as a provider

¹In many models of remittances, rural-to-urban migration takes place.

²This assumption was first made by Becker (1974).

 $^{^3\}mathrm{Again},$ rural-to-urban migration is assumed to take place.

of insurance for the migrant in the early stages of migration, when he faces higher risks; the migrant, therefore, remits as a form of premium payments. The migrant finds optimal it to remit even after his risk of unemployment has passed because he cares about his family (altruism). Another two reasons that reinforce such an agreement are the aspiration to inherit and the ongoing risks for the migrant.

When the migrant has some aspiration to inherit, assuming inheritance is conditional on behavior, the migrant may find it optimal to behave nicely remitting money home. The implication of this approach is that the larger the potential inheritance, the larger the remittances (Lucas and Stark, 1985). Altruism from the parents to the migrant is needed, otherwise they would not bequeath to him.

Stark and Falk (1998) explain remittances as a form of insurance against future risks. The idea is that the migrant is sending remittances causes the recipients to develop altruism towards him. Therefore, whenever the migrant faces unemployment, the recipient of the remittances will provide him with assistance. If the value of such an insurance is high enough, the migrant will remit even in the absence of altruism towards his family (or the recipient).

Pure Self-interest. Altruism is not needed in any of these explanations to obtain remittances as a result. It can, however, reinforce the result.

Lucas and Stark (1985) state that the intention to return home "may be suffice to promote remittance for investment in fixed capital such as land, livestock, or a house, in public assets to enhance prestige or political influence, and in what might be termed social assets—the relationship with family and friends."

Lucas and Stark (1985) and LaLonde and Topel (1997) point out that the migrant may want to invest part of his savings at home, trusting his family with the investment and maintenance decisions. Altruism from the family to the migrant "may underlie or enhance such a trust" (Lucas and Stark, 1985). The main problem of this idea is that there is no obvious reason, apart from altruism, for the family of the migrant to return the migrant's investment to him once he has returned. In this paper we show that altruism from the family is not necessary for this type of remittance to exist.

The idea is based on peer pressure literature (e.g., Kandel and Lazear, 1992). Not only might be people in the community be willing to punish families who do not return investments, they might also be willing to be

punished themselves. This is because, in this way, they can receive more remittances in the future and benefit more from better investments made by the migrants.

In section 2, we present a simple model: first within the migrant's family without social pressure, then with social pressure and finally with another families over time. In section 3, we present some empirical implications, followed in section 4 by conclusions and possible extensions.

2 Model

Let us assume that the migrant can make an investment at the beginning of the first period at home or at the foreign location. At the foreign location, for each unit invested he will receive r^* at the beginning of the second period. At home, he will receive $r(>r^*)$ but he is unable to make the investment without the help of a parent. His parent makes the investment for him and receive the returns at the beginning of the second period, at which time the parent decides how much to give the migrant who is then returning home.

We are assuming that the migrant can invest in a risk-free asset at both locations with a higher return at home. Since they are risk-free assets, this assumption may not seem realistic. However, since we are also assuming that the migrant returns home for the last period, we can think of some investments that may yield a higher economic value at home. One example is housing. Since building a house takes time, the migrant might prefer to buy a house at the time of his return but the supply of housing may be very scarce especially in small towns. So, the migrant might prefer to send remittances in order for a house to be built for him. Another example may be investment in his own business, which can yield a high return if the business has some market power. Both examples have the characteristic that the migrant has to return in order to receive the benefits of the investment.⁴

In the corresponding peer pressure literature there are two sources of pressure: internal (or guilt), and external (or shame) (Kandel and Lazear, 1992). Altruism can be interpreted as internal pressure since the individual has some disutility that results from behaving badly towards the other players, or from making other players worse off. In this model we will only deal

 $^{{}^{4}}$ We can think of the migrant selling his house or business, but there may be no good buyers for it in small towns.

with the external pressure or shame in the form of punishment (or reward) by the rest of the community.

We assume that wages are w^* in the foreign location, w_m in the home location for returning migrants (subscript m) and w_p in the home location for their parents (subscript p).

First we look at the decision process within a single family with no social pressure; we continue by adding social pressure at the family level, and, finally, we allow social pressure to come from sources beyond the family.

2.1 No social pressure

Each family consists of one migrant and his parent. The migrant lives the first period at the foreign location earning a wage w^* and returns to the home location for the second period in which he will earn a wage w_m . We assume that $w^* > w_m$ and that he returns for reasons exogenous to the model. Since the migrant's income is higher in the first period, he may save some of his income in the first period to increase his consumption in the second period. In this model we do not allow the migrant to borrow against future income because we assume that he migrated in order to get higher income at the foreign location.⁵

The parent lives both periods at the home location earning a wage of w_p . We can assume that $w_p < w_m$ to include the effect of the human capital that the migrant is likely to have acquired during his stay at the foreign location. We work without any restrictions on the home wages since it makes no sense to compare the wages of the migrant and his parent in the absence of altruism.

As stated above, the migrant can invest abroad or at home. Let $\rho^*(\geq 0)$ be the investment abroad and $\rho(\geq 0)$ be the remittance to be invested, so the consumption of the migrant in the first period is $w^* - \rho^* - \rho$. At the beginning of the second period the migrant will have $r^*\rho^*$ and the parent will have $r\rho$. The parent gives back $\alpha r\rho$ to the migrant, where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. That is, α is the proportion of the investment plus the return at home that the parent returns to the migrant, keeping the rest. Note that as the parent is playing the role of a financial intermediary, we would normally expect that the migrant has to pay that service (i.e., $\alpha < 1$).

⁵In many international migration cases, the migrants move from a low-wage country to a high-wage country and borrowing money while they are earning a higher wage makes little sense if they eventually have to return with a lower income.

The model assumes that the parent consumes his share of the investment in the second period. Optimally, the parent would like to consume part of his share in the first period, since w_p is the same both periods. One of the reasons the parent may not keep all the remittance is that, if there are more periods with the migrant at the foreign location, the parent would want to behave well by investing in order to receive future remittances. We could assume that the migrant assigns a payment to the parent for his financial intermediation, which he can spend at any time. We did not assume this because it makes the model less clear and our results do not depend on this. So we are assuming that the parent waits until the second period to consume his share of the investment $((1 - \alpha)r\rho)$.

Let the utility functions of the migrant (u_m) and the parent (u_p) be :

$$u_m = u_m(x_m^1) + \beta u_m(x_m^2)$$
$$u_p = u_p(x_p^1) + \beta u_p(x_p^2)$$

where x_m^i is the consumption of a composite good by the migrant in period i and x_p^i is the consumption of the composite good by the parent in period i. The discount factor is $\beta \in [0, 1]$. Marginal utilities are positive and both $u_m(\cdot)$ and $u_p(\cdot)$ are quasi-concave.

The timing of the game is as follows:

- 1 At the beginning of the first period, the migrant decides the amount to invest at the foreign location, ρ^* , and the amount to remit home to be invested, ρ . Both quantities are non-negative.
- 2 At the beginning of the second period, the migrant returns to the home location and the parent decides how much of the investment to keep $((1-\alpha)r\rho)$ and how much to return to the migrant $(\alpha r\rho)$.

The payments are:

$$u_m(w^* - \rho^* - \rho) + \beta u_m(w_m + r^*\rho^* + \alpha r\rho) \quad \text{for the migrant and} \\ u_p(w_p) + \beta u_p(w_p + (1 - \alpha)r\rho) \quad \text{for the parent.}$$

The unique subgame perfect equilibrium is given by the backwards induction equilibrium: in the second period, the parent decides to keep all the investment ($\alpha = 0$) and, knowing this, the migrant decides to invest only abroad. Then $\rho = 0$ and ρ^* satisfies:

$$-u'_m(w^* - \rho^*) + \beta r^* u'_m(w_m + r^* \rho^*) = 0.$$

In the absence of altruism, even if the migrant is willing to pay part of the extra return of the investment to the family in order to earn a higher return, the family has no incentive to return part of the investment to the migrant when he comes back. Given this, the migrant only invests at the foreign location. Obviously this outcome is not efficient since investments at home yield a higher return.

2.2 Social pressure at the family level

Let us assume now that the players can punish or reward each other. To make the model as simple as possible, each individual has to assign a value of θ equal to one (reward) or zero (punish) to any other individual. The average of all θs assigned to an individual is his social acceptance index, Θ_j for $j = e, p.^6$ There are still only two players, the migrant and his parent, and therefore Θ_j is the θ assigned by the other player to player j = e, p.

The utility functions of the migrant (u_m) and the parent (u_p) are now:

$$u_m = u_m(x_m^1, \Theta_m^1) + \beta u_m(x_m^2, \Theta_m^2) \tag{1}$$

$$u_p = u_p(x_p^1, \Theta_p^1) + \beta u_p(x_p^2, \Theta_p^2).$$

$$\tag{2}$$

As before, x_m^i is the consumption of a composite good by the migrant in period *i* and x_p^i is the consumption of the composite good by the parent in period *i*. Likewise, Θ_m^i is the social acceptance index for the migrant in period *i* and Θ_p^i is the social acceptance index for the parent in period *i*. Marginal utilities are positive and both utility functions are quasi-concave as before.

In the first period, there is no punishment for either player, since the players are in different locations (i.e., $\Theta_m^1 = \Theta_p^1 = 1$). In the second period players can reward or punish the other player. We allow for a revision of the players θs when a player rewards a player who punished him. This seems reasonable since whenever a player finds out that he is being punished by another player, he is likely to punish back. The timing of the game is as follows:

1 At the beginning of the first period, the migrant decides the amount to invest at the foreign location, ρ^* , and the amount to remit home to be invested, ρ . Both quantities are non-negative.

 $^{^6\}mathrm{We}$ use the average because this way Θ is in [0,1] for any number of players in the game.

- **2a** At the beginning of the second period, the migrant returns to the home location and the parent decides how much of the investment to keep $((1 \alpha)r\rho)$ and how much to return to the migrant $(\alpha r\rho)$.
- **2b** Having seen α , they simultaneously assign each other θ .
- 2c If one of the players decides to punish the other one while the other rewards him, the punished one can change his decision and punish in return.

The payoffs are given by the utility functions:

$$u_m(w^* - \rho^* - \rho, 1) + \beta u_m(w_m + r^*\rho^* + \alpha r\rho, \theta_{pm}) \quad \text{for the migrant and} \\ u_p(w_p, 1) + \beta u_p(w_p + (1 - \alpha)r\rho, \theta_{mp}) \quad \text{for the parent,}$$

where θ_{ij} is the social acceptance given by player *i* to player *j*.

Let the strategy of any player in [2c] be that if they are punished, then they punish back. This means that is $\theta_{ij} = 0$ then $\theta_{ji} = 0$ also. It does make sense that if one is punished by another member of the community, the natural thing to do is punish to him back. We continue to make this assumption throughout the rest of the paper.

To find the subgame perfect equilibrium of this game, we have to look at the subgame starting in [2b]. Given the above assumption, for any value of ρ and α the subgame is similar to the following static game in normal form:

		blackParent	
black	black_	blackReward	blackPunish black
Migrant	Rewardblack	1,1black	0,0black
wiigram	Punishblack	0,0black	0,0black

There are two Nash equilibria in this game (*Reward*, *Reward*) and (*Punish*, *Punish*). However, (*Punish*, *Punish*) is not a trembling-hand perfect equilibrium⁷ and we can eliminate it as a likely equilibrium. Note that the strategy *Punish* is weakly dominated for both players and both players would be better off with the (*Reward*, *Reward*) equilibrium.

 $^{^{7}}$ This basically means that if we allow a small probability of the players making a mistake in their actions, then they would not play that equilibrium. See definition 8.F.1 on page 258 of Mas-Colell et al., 1995.

Given that the only robust equilibrium of the subgame starting in [2b] is $(\theta_{ep} = 1, \theta_{pe} = 1)$. Then, as in section 2.1, the only subgame perfect equilibrium⁸ is, $\alpha = 0$, $\rho = 0$ and ρ^* satisfying the equation:

$$-\frac{\partial u_m(w^* - \rho^*, 1)}{\partial x_m} + \beta r^* \frac{\partial u_m(w_m + r^* \rho^*, 1)}{\partial x_m} = 0.$$
(3)

So the equilibrium of this game will be again that the migrant does not send remittances because the parent would keep them if he does and every player rewards the other player.

2.3 Social pressure at the community level

We have found that, in the absence of altruism, we cannot find a robust equilibrium in which the migrant will send remittances for investment. We now introduce new families over time. To avoid problems of coordination, we are shall have only two families coexisting in each period. The composition of each family and the timing within the family are the same as in section 2.2.

Each family (except for the very first one) has to coexist with two other families: in the first period for the family (while the migrant is abroad), they have to coexist with a family made up of the returning migrant and his parent. For the second period (when the migrant has returned), they have to coexist with a younger family made up of the migrant abroad and his parent. That is, in each period there are going to be four players: A returning migrant and his parent, and a migrant abroad and his parent. A family that has played for two periods disappears and it is replaced by a new family.

The game is played ad infinitum by an infinite number of families. If we number the families by the first period they play (family n is the family whose migrant is at the foreign location in period n), we will add a subscript n to our notation. For example, for migrant n, consumption in his first period in the game (that is, when the migrant is abroad) is x_{en}^1 . Also migrant n (or M_n) is the migrant of the n^{th} family and parent n (or P_n) is the parent of the n^{th} family.

Utility functions are given equation (2), where Θ_i is the average of the social acceptances (θs) given to the player *i*.

⁸That survives trembling-hand perfection.

As before, when at the foreign location, the migrant has $\Theta = 1$ since there is no reason (nor way) to punish him, and he does not reward or punish anyone.

Using n = 2, 3, 4, 5, ... we can describe the timing of the game as follows:

- 1 At the beginning of first period, there is only one family. M_1 decides the amount to invest at the foreign location, ρ_1^* , and the amount to remit home to be invested, ρ_1 . Both quantities are non-negative.
- **n** a At the beginning of each period, M_{n-1} returns to the home location and P_{n-1} decides how much of the investment to keep $((1 \alpha_{n-1})r\rho_{n-1})$ and how much to return to the migrant $(\alpha_{n-1}r\rho_{n-1})$.
- **n b** Having seen α_{n-1} , M_{n-1} and P_{n-1} simultaneously assign θs .
- **n** c Having seen all actions up to [n b], P_n assigns θs .
- **n d** If one of the players decides to punish another while the other rewards him, the punished one can change his decision and punish in return.
- **n** e Having seen all θs awarded, M_n has to decide the amount to invest at the foreign location, ρ_n^* , and the amount to remit home to be invested, ρ_n . Again, both quantities are non-negative.⁹

Payoffs for family n are:

$$u_m(w^* - \rho_n^* - \rho_n, 1) + \beta u_m(w_m + r^* \rho_n^* + \alpha_n r \rho_n, \Theta_{m_n}^{(n+1)}) \quad \text{for } M_n \text{ and} \\ u_p(w_p, \Theta_{p_n}^n) + \beta u_p(w_p + (1 - \alpha_n) r \rho_n, \Theta_{p_n}^{(n+1)}) \quad \text{for } P_n.$$

A subgame perfect equilibrium of this game is, as before, no punishments, no remittances, $\alpha_n = 0 \forall n$ and ρ_n^* satisfying equation (3).

There are, however, incentives for the parent of the migrant who is abroad $(P_n \text{ at time } n)$ to punish the parent of the returning migrant (P_{n-1}) if they keep too much of the investment (low value of α_{n+1}). This is because the parent of the migrant abroad wants the migrant to remit as much as possible.

To find a subgame perfect equilibrium in which the migrant abroad sends a positive remittance, we first propose the strategies that each player has

⁹Even though time [n d] is after all other decisions are made, we assume times [n a] to [n d] are fast and [n e] takes place at the beginning of the n^{th} period.

to follow and then proceed to find the conditions necessary for the subgame perfect equilibrium to exist. All the equilibrium we are going to look at are symmetric in the sense that all migrants choose the same strategy and all parents choose the same strategy also.

We begin with the case in which migrants do not invest any amount at the foreign location; i.e., $\rho_n^* = 0 \forall n$.

Using again n = 2, 3, 4, 5, ..., the proposed strategies are as follows:

- M_1 Sends ρ^o to his parent at the beginning of the first period for it to be invested at home. At time [2b], if $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha^o$, then rewards his parent, otherwise punishes his parent. He also rewards P_2 . At time [2d], if a player punished him while he rewarded that player in [2b], then he punishes back.
- M_n He sends ρ^o at the beginning of the nth period to his parent for it to be invested at home (at time [n e]) unless three things happen together:
 - 1. $\alpha_{n-1} < \alpha^{o}$,
 - 2. P_n didn't punished P_{n-1} , and
 - 3. either P_n didn't punish M_{n-1} or M_{n-1} didn't punish P_{n-1} .

At time [(n+1) b], if $\alpha_n \geq \alpha^o$, then he rewards his parent, otherwise he punishes his parent. Rewards P_{n+1} . At time [(n+1) d], if a player punished him while he rewarded that player in [(n+1) b], then he punishes back.

- P_1 At time [2a], chooses $\alpha_1 = \alpha^o$. At time [2b], he rewards everyone. At time [2d], he punishes back anyone who punished him at [2b].
- P_n At time [n c], if $\alpha_{n-1} < \alpha^o$, then he punishes P_{n-1} , otherwise he rewards P_{n-1} . If he punished P_{n-1} and M_{n-1} didn't punish his own parent in [n b], then he punishes M_{n-1} also, otherwise he rewards P_{n-1} . At time [(n+1) a], he chooses $\alpha_1 = \alpha^o$. At time [(n+1) b], he rewards everyone. At time [(n+1) d] he punishes back anyone who punished him at [(n+1) b].

First we check if the strategy of P_1 is consistent. P_1 will set $\alpha_1 = \alpha^o$ only if:

$$u_p(w_p + (1 - \alpha^o)r\rho^o, 1) \ge u_p(w_p + r\rho^o, 0).$$
(4)

Since the strategies of the other players are to punish him if $\alpha_1 < \alpha^o$, then he would have to be punished if he deviates from his strategy. It is clear that if he deviates, then he will return nothing to M_1 . Note that if inequality (4) is satisfied, the rest of his strategy is consistent.

If the strategy of P_1 is consistent, that means that if everyone follows their strategy, P_1 will not deviate from his own, and will choose $\alpha_1 = \alpha^o$. For M_1 to be willing to follow his strategy, we need that:

$$\alpha^o r \ge r^*. \tag{5}$$

Note that he is willing to punish his parent (and punished by his parent in return) because otherwise he will be punished by P_2 and he is indifferent towards both punishments. Inequality (4) is less likely to hold for larger values of ρ^{o} . This means that if the migrant wants to invest a large enough quantity in the first period, he will find it optimal to invest also at the foreign location. The migrant determines ρ^{o} based on the first order conditions of his maximization problem and the restriction he has in (4).

The rest of the parents $(P_n \text{ for } n = 2, 3, 4, 5, ...)$ have to be willing to punish any parent that wants to deviate by keeping all the investment. Given the other strategies, P_n is willing to punish P_{n-1} if:

$$u_p(w_p, 1/2) + \beta u_p(w_p + (1 - \alpha^o) r \rho^o, 1) \ge u_p(w_p, 1) + \beta u_p(w_p, 1).$$
(6)

That is, if (6) is satisfied, then the parent is better off punishing (and being punished by) P_{n-1} today and receive part of the investments tomorrow, than not being punished but receiving zero remittances. We also need that the parents not to want to keep all the investment. It is clear that the parent is better off if he does not have to punish another parent, in which case he will be in the same situation as the P_1 . So, if P_1 does not want to deviate and if P_n is willing to punish the deviant parent, then P'_n s strategy is also consistent.

Finally, we have to check if M_n 's strategy is consistent. If he knows that P_n is not willing to deviate, then he can play his strategy even in the case of P_{n-1} having deviated, unless P_{n-1} was not punished. If the rest of the players' strategies are consistent then M_n 's strategy is also consistent.

Note that ρ^o is determined by the migrants and α^o by the parents, with the restriction that relations (4) to (6) hold. A high value of ρ^o makes relation (4) less likely to hold but relation (6) more likely to hold, this means that the values that ρ^o can take are bounded. The same happens with α^o , since relationships (4) and (6) are less likely to hold for a large α^{o} but relationship (5) is more likely to hold.

The migrants can invest more than relationships (4) to (6) allow, but it will have to be at the foreign location. So, it is possible for us to observe remittances for investment and savings at the foreign location. In that case, the outcome of the game is not efficient. If the migrants only invest at home, then the outcome is efficient since they are investing where the returns are higher and there are no punishments.

These strategies form a subgame perfect equilibrium if relations (4) to (6) hold. In that case, the outcome we will see is as follows:

- Migrants remit to their parents for investment and for *parent's con*sumption.
- There are no punishments in equilibrium and the parents give back most of the investment to the returning migrant.
- If the wage differential between both locations is large enough, then the migrant may find it optimal to invest in both locations.
- Better investment opportunities (larger r), will result in larger remittances but not always in more investment.¹⁰ This is because $(1 - \alpha^o)$, the proportion kept by the parent, can increase when investments at the home location are better.

3 Empirical implications

This model has a number of empirical implications. The first is that, even in the absence of altruism, we might observe remittances for investment but also remittances for the families to spend on whatever they wish.

The model is also consistent with seeing returning migrants who sent remittances for investment and go back with savings.

This model fits best in communities where ties with the rest of the community are important. We might expect the model to apply better to smaller communities where losing friendships might be worse than in larger communities where people may create new relationships more easily. Also, the importance of the extended family might be important for larger remittances.

 $^{^{10}}$ As we mentioned above, we are assuming that the parent also invests his share, but he could also consume it in the first period.

Note that all the empirical implications of the model can also be interpreted as the presence of altruism, even though they are the result of a model without it.

This model's results depend on the return of the migrant. One possible implication of the model is that the results are more likely to appear in situations where there is a high probability of return migration. Hence we could conclude that our results are more likely in international migration than in internal migration, or in illegal migration than legal migration.

4 Conclusions and possible extensions

We have shown that, even if no altruism is present, it is possible that remittances for investment and for consumption by the family of the migrant can be seen in equilibrium. This, of course, does not rule out altruism which would make it easier for remittances of this type to be seen but shows that it is not necessary in all cases for the family to display altruism towards the migrant.

The main assumption in the model is that people in a town can put pressure on other people in the town in order to obtain some benefits. Our results show that, in the absence of altruism, we can have a game in which we observe people behaving as if they where altruistic in equilibrium.

There are some possible extensions for this paper. One is to look at how social pressure in the foreign communities can affect remittances and return migration. Another is to model how peer pressure can affect the migrant's behaviour to possibly make him send remittances for present consumption even in the absence of altruism.

References

- Andreoni, James, 1989, "Giving with Impure Altruism: Applications to Charity and Ricardian Equivalence", *Journal of Political Economy* 97(6):1447–58.
- [2] Becker, Gary S., 1974, "A Theory of Social Interactions", Journal of Political Economy, 82:1063–93.

- [3] Kandel, Eugene and Lazear, Edward P., 1992, "Peer Pressure and Partnerships", Journal of Political Economy, 100(4):801–17.
- [4] LaLonde, R.J. and Topel, R.H., 1997, "Economic Impact of International Migration and of Migrants", in *Handbook of Population and Family Economics*, Vol. 1B, ed. by M.R. Rozenzweig and O. Stark, Amsterdam: Elseiver Science B.V., Ch. 14: 799–850.
- [5] Lucas, Robert E.B., 1997, "Internal Migration in Developing Countries" in *Handbook of Population and Family Economics*, Vol. 1B, ed. by M.R. Rozenzweig and O. Stark, Amsterdam: Elseiver Science B.V., Ch. 13: 721–798.
- [6] Lucas, Robert E.B. and Stark, Oded, 1985, "Motivations to Remit: Evidence from Botswana", in *The migration of Labor*, by Oded Stark, 1991, Basil Blackwell, Oxford and Cambridge, MA, Ch. 16:236–254.
- [7] Lucas, Robert E.B. and Stark, Oded, 1988, "Migration, Remittances, and the Family", in *The migration of Labor*, by Oded Stark, 1991, Basil Blackwell, Oxford and Cambridge, MA, Ch. 15:216–35.
- [8] Mas-Colell, Andreu et al., 1995, *Microeconomic Theory*, Oxford University Press, New York.
- [9] Stark, Oded, 1989, "Altruism and the Quality of Life", American Economic Review 79(2):86–90.
- [10] Stark, Oded and Falk, Ita, 1998, "Transfers, Empathy Formation, and Reverse Transfers", American Economic Review 88(2):271–76.

2008		
2006 B01-08	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
2007	Laro Diplomatic durch gemeinsame "vintschartsregierung	
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutio-	Martin Seidel
	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
2006	Figure is brieflage Control Deally action and Assesses Figure	1
B03-06	Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluc- tuations	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005 B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von	Martin Seidel
D11 05	Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe	Guntram B. Wolff
B08-05	Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni-
		fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din- ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?	Panu Poutvaara
B02-05	Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle
B01-05	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
2004		
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
_	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun- tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
201 0.	De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries	
B30-04	Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten	Martin Seidel
	und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich-	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
	keiten der einheitlichen Geldpolitik im Eurosystem	
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Causes	Guntram B. Wolff
B27-04	Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine	Galyna Grygorenko, Stefan Lutz
B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Uni-	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
	on with the EU	5 .
B25-04	Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition Economies?	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B.
	and Employment Effects in Business R+D	Wolff
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Cri-	Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
	ses	-
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas-	Martin Seidel
	sungsvertrag	

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in	Iulia Traistaru
_	an Enlarged EMU	
B17-04	Foreign Exchange Regime, the Real Exchange Rate and Current	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
	Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	
B16-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods, Non-	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel
B15-04	traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	Wu Christian Value Martineus
D13-04	Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Explain Local Patterns?	Christian Volpe Martincus
B14-04	Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?	Jiri Jonas
B13-04	The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of	Sami Yläoutinen
010 01	Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Coun-	
	tries	
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Inte-	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
	gration	
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activi-	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru,
	ties: Evidence from MERCOSUR	Christian Volpe Martincus
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition	Laura Resmini
	Countries	
B09-04	Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Souvereign Bond Markets: A	Ayse Y. Evrensel, Ali M. Kutan
D a a a	Unified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	—
B08-04	European Integration, Productivity Growth and Real Conver-	Taner M. Yigit, Ali M. Kutan
	gence	Mine Delienseure Lute Stafen II
B07-04	The Contribution of Income, Social Capital, and Institutions to Human Well-being in Africa	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H. Lutz
B06-04	Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
D00 04	of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	Lutz
B05-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-04	Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for	Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc
	EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Opera-	Ulrich Bindseil
	tions Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	
B02-04	Total Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok
_	for EU Enlargement	Yang
B01-04	Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 38 und 39 des Bei-	Martin Seidel
	trittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen	
2003	durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten	
B29-03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	lürgen von Hagen, Boris Hofmann
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
020 00	Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version	gen
	of International Finance)	
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	gen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna lara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann
B23-03	Economic Integration and Manufacturing Concentration Pat-	Iulia Traistaru, Christian Volpe
D00.00	terns: Evidence from Mercosur Reference innerhalb der Ell angesichte der Octomusiterung	Martincus Martin Saidal
B22-03	Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung Reputation Elewe: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for	Martin Seidel William Pula
B21-03	Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for Inter-Firm Communication	William Pyle
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence	Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed
520 05	from Asia and the Americas	A. Alwosabi
B19-03	An Empirical Analysis of Competing Explanations of Urban Pri-	Ronald L. Moomaw, Mohammed
	macy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	A. Alwosabi

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
	Export of Ukrainian Firms	ra, Sang-Min Park
B17-03	Determinants of Inter-Regional Migration in the Baltic States	Mihails Hazans
B16-03	South-East Europe: Economic Performance, Perspectives, and Policy Challenges	lulia Traistaru, Jürgen von Hagen
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness to Pay for Attributes in Lithuania, the US and the Netherlands	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
B14-03	FCIs and Economic Activity: Some International Evidence	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
B13-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Policy: Is there a Puzzle?	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen- tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	Martin Seidel
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex- change Rate Regimes Play?	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und Prioritäten für die Zukunft	Martin Seidel
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the Stability and Growth Pact	Jürgen von Hagen
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles Converging?	Michael Massmann, James Mit- chell
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
B03-03	Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	Stefan H. Lutz
B02-03	Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	Mihails Hazans
B01-03	Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
2002		
B30-02	An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Assurance	Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B29B-02	Trade Agreements as Self-protection	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B29A-02	Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets	Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B28-02	Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth	Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B27-02	Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference	Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B26-02	Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B25-02	Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors	Stefan Lutz
B24-02	The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	Stefan Lutz
B23-02	Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	Martin Seidel
B22-02	Der Staat als <i>Lender of Last Resort</i> - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	Otto Steiger
B21-02		Ali M. Kutan. Taner M. Yigit
B21-02	Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch, Guntram B. Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transi- tion Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Guntram B. Wolff
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Accession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	Iulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Scha- ber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B08-02	Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi- Country Evidence	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Re- turns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-01	That of the Europaen Central Bank An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
520 01	Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evi- dence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias
_	Bank in the Early Years	Brückner
B17-01	Executive Authority, the Personal Vote, and Budget Discipline	Mark Hallerberg, Patrick Marier
D1C 01	in Latin American and Carribean Countries	
B16-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
	Union	
B15-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD	Christian E. Weller
B14-01	Formal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to	Rolf R. Strauch, Jürgen von Hagen
01101	a Deficit and Spending Bias in Public Finances - U.S. Experi-	non n. ettaden, surgen von nagen
	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU	
B13-01	German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
	lenges	
B12-01	The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
	Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	schaftspolitisches Forum
B11-01	Inflationary Performance in a Monetary Union With Large Wa-	Lilia Cavallar
	ge Setters	
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions	Ali M. Kutan, Niina Pautola-Mol
	of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and	
B09-01	Empirical Evidence Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the	Jan Fidrmuc
D09-01	Wheels of Growth?	Jan Flumnuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	Jürgen von Hagen, Susanne
200 01		Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
	Countries and the European Union	
B06-01	Opposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku-
	Markets	tan
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
D04.01	The Future of Banking.	schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac- Culloch
B03-01	Preferences Over Inflation and Unemployment: Evidence from	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac-
200 01	Surveys of Happiness	Culloch, Andrew J. Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thir-	Michele Fratianni, Jürgen von Ha-
	ty	gen
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Po-	Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
	licy	
0000		
2000 B20-00	Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside	Etienne Farvaque
B20-00 B19-00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, ge-	Jens Hölscher
D19-00	neral Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner,
		Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	Rückführung der Landwirtschaftspolitik in die Verantwortung	Martin Seidel
	der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Ge-	
	meinschaftsrechts	
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso
		Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Fede-	Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
B14-00	ration Sources of Real Exchange Rate Fluctuations in Transition Eco-	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
D14-00	SUBJES OF NEAL EXCHANGE NALE FINCTUATIONS IN TRANSITION ECO-	JEIAHALLIH DIDUUYIU, AH IVI, NULAH
B13-00	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Eco-	Nauro F. Campos

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitli-	Martin Seidel
B11-00	chen Europäischen Währung A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Eco- nomies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	How Belgium Qualified for EMU Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	Bernd Hayo
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during	Jan Fidrmuc
D03-00	Transition	San Fiumuc
B04-00	A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Ha- gen
1999		800
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A	Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini
B25-99	General Equilibrium Analysis Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
B24-99	What Makes a Revolution?	Robert MacCulloch
B24-99 B23-99	Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac-
D2J-99	mormal ranny insurance and the Design of the Wenare State	Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac-
B21-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies?	Culloch
B21-99 B20-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies? Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
B20-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz
B20-99 B19-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada
B20-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor-
B20-99 B19-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are?	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J.
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir-	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness?	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99 B14-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99 B14-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99 B14-99 B13-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking?	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99 B14-99 B13-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking? Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity:	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99 B14-99 B13-99 B12 -99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking? Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller and Mark J. Scher Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99 B14-99 B13-99 B12 -99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking? Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99 B14-99 B13-99 B12-99 B11-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking? Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99 B14-99 B13-99 B12-99 B11-99 B10-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking? Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Sup- ply: The Case of Poland	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum Christian Weller
B20-99 B19-99 B18-99 B17-99 B16-99 B15-99 B14-99 B13-99 B12 -99 B11-99 B11-99 B10-99 B09-99	Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody else's are? Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia Multinational Banks and Development Finance Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness? Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking? Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Sup- ply: The Case of Poland Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning	Culloch Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller and Mark J. Scher Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum Christian Weller

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evi- dence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier Production Function Approach	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evi- dence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew J. Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B16-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU- Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98 B12-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi- nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Jürgen von Hagen Athanasios Orphanides and Volker Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe der EWWU	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Stu- dy of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB	Robert L. Hetzel
B08-98	Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Ana- lysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterla- den)	Bernd Hayo
B07-98	Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: So- me Lessons from the U.S. EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B01-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
1997 B04-97	Macroeconomic Stabilization with a Common Currency: Does European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Ins-	Kenneth Kletzer
	urance or Federalism?	
B-03-97	Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunica- tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Walter-Flex-Strasse 3 D-53113 Bonn Germany

Tel.: +49-228-73-1732 Fax: +49-228-73-1809 www.zei.de