

Comments on “Oil Shocks in a DSGE Model for the Korean Economy” by An and Kang.

Warwick J. McKibbin

This interesting paper develops a DSGE model for Korea based on the approach of Del Negro and Schorfheide (2004) but including oil in production and consumption. In addition to exploring the impact of oil price shocks and monetary shocks on the Korean economy, the paper also explores whether excluding oil as an intermediate input alone or as final demand alone results in misspecification.

My comments can be divided into questions about the model specification and some comments on the empirical results which require greater elaboration.

The model specification is what is becoming a conventional DSGE model with households, firms and government making intertemporal decisions. One feature of the model is that money is in the utility function. This is conventional in many DSGE model but it does create a demand for money that depends on wealth rather than transactions (or income) which tends to be rejected by the data in standard econometric analysis of money demand. A transactions demand for money specification would probably fit the data better. An extension of the standard model is that consumption is allocated between one composite good and oil. In addition firms choose production based on a CES production function of labor and a Cobb Douglas nesting of capital and oil. The restriction of a unitary substitutability between oil and capital is a strong assumption. On US data when estimated on a time series of input output tables this assumption can be rejected (see McKibbin and Wilcoxon (1998)). There is no obvious reason for this specification and in future work on the model production could easily be extended to a CES KLEM production structure as in the G-Cubed model of McKibbin and Wilcoxon (1998).

Another assumption which needs further discussion is the assumption of the law of one price for the composite good but less than perfect substitutability of domestic and foreign oil. The opposite is more likely to be the case given the composite good is an aggregate of many different goods where oil is more uniquely defined.

The fiscal closure is very simple. The authors note that tax revenue from oil is not included (which is a large revenue source in Korea) and I agree with them that this would be a useful future extension of the model.

The model is estimated using a DSGE-VAR framework which is another strength of the paper. This technique balances the contribution of the theoretical restrictions of the DSGE with the data in the VAR specification. As far as I am aware this is the first time that this approach has been applied to a model of the Korean economy.

It is not clear why the authors test for the mis-specification of only having oil as an input versus only having oil as final demand. From the data in the early part of the paper it is clear that oil enters in both parts of the model. It is not surprising that the two extreme

specifications are rejected by the data in favor of a specification that has oil used for final demand and as an input in production.

The most interesting part of the paper is the impulse responses to an oil price shock. Unfortunately the discussion of the oil price impulse response consists of a single paragraph which is surprising given it is the theme of the paper. A longer discussion of the economics of the results to this shock would be very helpful and would be an important contribution of the paper.

The results for pass-through of oil prices and the discussion is puzzling and needs further elaboration. The discussion of the reason for the lack of complete pass through via government tax changes is compelling but it should be included in the model specification in order to avoid model mis-specification.

There is a lot of potential in this paper and the estimated model is an important contribution to modeling the Korean economy. Unfortunately the paper does not give a convincing answer to the question of how oil prices impact on the Korean economy.

References

Del Negro, M., and F. Schorfheide (2004): "Priors from General Equilibrium Models for VARs," *International Economic Review*, 45(2), 643–673.

McKibbin W. and P. Wilcoxon (1998) "The Theoretical and Empirical Structure of the G-Cubed Model" *Economic Modelling* , 16, 1, pp 123-148