







A NATURAL APPROACH TO OPTIMAL FORECASTING IN CASE OF PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS

Theo Nijman

1 10 518.981

FEW 404

A NATURAL APPROACH TO OPTIMAL FORECASTING

IN CASE OF PRELIMINARY OBSERVATIONS

Theo Nijman August 1989

Abstract

Several authors have proposed to use Kalman filtering techniques to compute MMSE forecasts if the most recent observations are known to be preliminary only. In this note we propose simpler and more natural approach to this problem. First we test for the rationality of the preliminary data and, if necessary, correct for irrationalities. Subsequently MMSE forecasts can be computed as if the most recent observations were final. Moreover, simple expressions which can be used to evaluate the variance of the prediction errors are available.

^{*} Department of Economics, Tilburg University, P.O.Box 90153, 5000 LE Tilburg, The Netherlands. Helpful comments by Franz Palm and Marno Verbeek are gratefully acknowledged.

1. Introduction

In many countries preliminary estimates of macro-economic variables and indicators are published several months before a final figure becomes available. In this note we consider the computation of minimum mean square error (MMSE) predictors if the most recent data are preliminary only. For simplicity we concentrate on univariate ARIMA models. The results can be straightforwardly extended to multivariate models.

Assume that a variable y_t is generated by the (possibly non-stationary) ARIMA model with AR(∞) representation

$$y_{t} = c + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i} y_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{t} \qquad \varepsilon_{t} \sim IN(0,\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2})$$
(1)

and assume that a data collecting agency observes and publishes y_{t-K} in period t. Assume moreover that the data collecting agency publishes preliminary estimates $_t y_{t-k}$ of y_{t-k} (k = 0,...,K-1) in period t. The problem to be considered is the computation of MMSE forecasts of y_{T+h} from information which is available in period T, i.e. the computation of

$$y_{T+h} = E[y_{T+h}|_T y_T, y_{T-1}, \dots, y_{T-K+1}, y_{T-K}, y_{T-K-1}, \dots].$$
 (2)

In Howrey (1978,1983), Harvey et.al. (1984) and Bordignon and Trivellato (1989) among others, this problem is addressed by specifying the distribution of the preliminary data conditional on the true values as

$$t^{y}t-k = \alpha_{k} + \beta_{k} y_{t-k} + w_{kt}, \quad (k = 0, \dots, K-1)$$
 (3)

with the vector of measurement errors $w_t = [w_{0t}, \dots, w_{K-1,t}]$ ' independent of y_s (vt,s) and with w_t generated by a vector ARMA model. The α_k 's and β_k 's in the observation equation (3) are typically treated as unknown parameters to be estimated. If this model is valid the recursive Kalman filter can be used to compute the maximum likelihood estimates of the parameters in (1) and (3) and to evaluate the expectation in (2) after the model has been written in state space form. Although (3) might be an attractive assumption if $_{t^yt-k}$ is simply a direct measurement of y_{t-k} , based e.g. on a small sample preliminary survey, it ignores the fact that the data collecting agency has an incentive to publish preliminary data which are rational in the sense that

$$\mathbb{E}[y_{t-k}|_{t}y_{t}, \dots, t_{t}y_{t-K+1}, y_{t-K}, y_{t-K-1}, \dots] = t_{t}y_{t-k} \quad (k=0, \dots, K-1) \quad (4)$$

which is not implied by (3). In section 4 we will show that the computation of MMSE forecasts and the associated prediction intervals is greatly simplified if (4) holds and does not require the use of recursive Kalman filters. Before we turn to the derivation of forecasts from rational preliminary data however, we consider the relation between (3) and (4) in more detail for the special case of only one revision (K = 1) in section 2 and discuss tests of assumption (4) in section 3.

2. The case of one revision

In order to illustrate the relation between (3) and (4) assume that K = 1 and that the data collecting agency receives in period t a direct measurement m_{+} of y_{+} based e.g. on some small sample preliminary survey,

$$\mathbf{m}_{t} = \mathbf{y}_{t} + \mathbf{u}_{t}. \tag{5}$$

Assume that the measurement error u_t is independent of past, present and future values of y_t and that it is generated by a stationary ARIMA model with AR(∞) representation

$$u_{t} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho_{i} u_{t-i} + e_{t}. \qquad e_{t} \sim IN(0,\sigma_{e}^{2}) \qquad (6)$$

Use of the recursive projection theorem (see e.g. Sargent (1979), p. 208) shows that a rational data collecting agency, which behaves similarly to the agency in the second model in Sargent (1989), will publish as their preliminary estimate of y_{+} the variable $_{+}y_{+}^{r}$ defined by

$$y_t^r = E[y_t | m_t, m_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots] =$$

$$= c + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i} y_{t-i} + E[\varepsilon_{t} | m_{t} - E[m_{t} | m_{t-1}, m_{t-2}, \dots, y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots]] =$$

$$= c + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i} y_{t-i} + E[\varepsilon_{t} | \varepsilon_{t} + e_{t}] =$$

$$= c + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i} y_{t-i} + \lambda \{m_{t} - c - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{i} y_{t-i} - \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \rho_{i} (m_{t-i} - y_{t-i}) \}, (7)$$

$$= \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} (\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2})^{-1}.$$

where $\lambda = \sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} (\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} + \sigma_{e}^{2})^{-1}$. Evidently, if m₊ is published as the

Evidently, if m_t is published as the preliminary estimate, (3) is satisfied by assumption and similarly (4) is satisfied if $t^y t = t^y t^r$. Although by construction $t^y t^r$ satisfies (4) this does not imply that (3) is misspecified if $t^y t = t^y t^r$ however. If y_t is stationary with variance σ_y^2 one can easily check that

$$\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}_{t} | \mathbf{y}_{t}] = \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{y}_{t}^{-(1-\lambda)\varepsilon} \mathbf{\varepsilon}_{t}^{+\lambda} \mathbf{e}_{t} | \mathbf{y}_{t}] = \{1 - (1-\lambda)\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2}\sigma_{y}^{-2}\} \mathbf{y}_{t} = \beta \mathbf{y}_{t}, \quad (8)$$

where β is defined by the last equality in (8). The corresponding error term w_{0+} in (3) can be written as

$$w_{0t} = t y_t - \beta y_t = (1-\beta) y_t + \lambda e_t - (1-\lambda) \varepsilon_t.$$
(9)

If y_t is generated by a stationary ARMA(p,q) model, the measurement error term w_{0t} will be generated by an ARMA(p,max(p,q)) process (see e.g. Harvey (1981), p. 43) as assumed in (3). Contrary to the assumption in (3) however, lagged values of y_t will not be independent of w_{0t} if the revision process is rational. Therefore if $_ty_t = _ty_t^r$ and if y_t is stationary, (3) probably fits the data reasonably well but is formally misspecified. If y_t is non-stationary on the other hand, which is probably the more interesting case from the point of view of applications, y_t and $_ty_t^r$ will be co-integrated implying that $\beta = 1$ and that (3) is correctly specified even if the revisions are rational and that actually w_{0t} is a white noise error. If $\beta = 1$ is not imposed a priori one should not be too surprised to find autocorrelation in w_{0t} using the autocorrelation in the residuals \hat{w}_{0t} of a

regression of $_t y_t^r$ on y_t . Assuming $c \neq 0$ and defining $v_t = \lambda e_t + (1-\lambda) e_t$ one can write

$$plim T^{-1} \sum_{t=k+1}^{T} \hat{w}_{0t} \hat{w}_{0t-k} = plim T^{-1} \Sigma \{(\beta - \hat{\beta})y_t + v_t\} \{(\beta - \hat{\beta})y_{t-k} + v_{t-k}\}$$
$$= plim \{T(\beta - \hat{\beta})\}^2 T^{-3} \Sigma y_t y_{t-k} \neq 0$$
(10)

because $T(\beta - \beta)$ and $T^{-3} \sum_{t=k+1}^{T} y_t y_{t-k}$ converge to a non-degenerate distribution (see e.g. Stock (1987)) and a non-zero constant respectively. Note that a similar problem does not arise if y_{t} is stationary.

Tests for the rationality of the revisions and corrections for irrationalities

The crucial assumption which simplifies the computation of MMSE forecasts in a way to be described in section 4 is that revisions are rational, i.e. that (4) holds. Howrey (1984), Mankiw et.al. (1984), Mankiw and Shapiro (1986), Mork (1987) and de Jong (1987) among others have considered procedures to test this assumption. A simple test is to estimate

$$y_{t-k} = \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} y_{ki} y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{L} \delta_{ki} y_{t-K-i} + y_{kt}$$
(11)

by OLS for k = 0,...,K-1 and a sufficiently large value of L and to test the hypothesis H_0 : $\gamma_{kk} = 1$, $\gamma_{ki} = 0$ (i \neq k) and $\delta_{ki} = 0$. Note however that γ_{kt} is autocorrelated under H_0 if k < K-1 so that the test statistic can not be based on the standard estimate of the variance-covariance matrix of parameters in a regression model (see e.g. Mork (1987)).

Data collecting agencies have an incentive to publish data which are not subject to forecastable revisions. On the other hand, as recently stressed by Mork (1987), government agencies might have an incentive to conservatism to avoid criticism for "false signals" in which case (4) would be violated. If the tests reject it is straightforward however to correct for irrationalities by treating

$$y_{t-k}^{c} = \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \hat{y}_{ki} y_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{L} \hat{\delta}_{ki} y_{t-K-i}$$
(12)

where \hat{y}_{ki} and $\hat{\delta}_{ki}$ denote the regression estimates form (11) as the corrected preliminary estimate of y_{t-k} which satisfies (4) by construction.

4. Forecasts based on rational preliminary data

If a data collecting agency publishes rational preliminary data, or if these have been constructed using the procedures described in the previous section, the computation of MMSE forecasts and the associated prediction intervals is straightforward and does not require the use of recursive Kalman filters. In order to derive the predictor and the prediction interval write

$$y_{T+h} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{hi} y_{T-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \alpha_i \varepsilon_{T+h-i}$$
(13)

which defines the coefficients φ_{hi} . These coefficients can easily be computed recursively using the fact that $\varphi_{hi} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \varphi_j \varphi_{h-j,i}$ where the recursions are started up setting $\varphi_{hi} = 0$ if $h \leq 0$ and $h \neq -i$ and $\varphi_{h,-h} = 1$ if $h \leq 0$. If (4) holds the MMSE forecast is

$$E[y_{T+h}|_{T}y_{T}, y_{T-1}, \dots, y_{T-K+1}, y_{T-K}, y_{T-K-1}, \dots] = (14)$$

$$E[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{hi}y_{T-i}|_{T}y_{T}, \dots, y_{T-K+1}, y_{T-K}, y_{T-K-1}, \dots] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \varphi_{hi} y_{T-i},$$

where we defined $_{T}y_{T-i} = y_{T-i}$ ($i \ge K$) for notational simplicity. The predictor in (14) can of course be computed using standard software by treating the preliminary data as if they are final. Although this procedure is referred to as "naive" by e.g. Harvey et.al. (1983) and Bordignon and Trivellato (1989) it is optimal if the revisions are rational. Standard software will compute an estimate of $\sigma_{\epsilon}^{2} \frac{h-1}{\sum_{i=0}^{2} \alpha_{i}^{2}}$ as the variance of the prediction error. The correct result in case of rational preliminary data is

$$E[(y_{T+h}-\Sigma_{i} \varphi_{ki} T^{y_{T-i}})^{2} | T^{y_{T}}, \dots, T^{y_{T-K+1}}, y_{T-L}, y_{T-L-1}, \dots] = E[\{\sum_{i=0}^{h-1} \alpha_{i} \varepsilon_{t-i} + \sum_{i=0}^{K-1} \varphi_{hi} (y_{T-i}-T^{y_{T-i}})\}^{2}]_{T}y_{T}, \dots T^{y_{T-L+1}}, y_{T-L}, y_{T-L-1},] = \frac{h^{-1}}{\sum_{i=0}^{L} \alpha_{i}^{2}} \sigma_{\varepsilon}^{2} + \sum_{i,j=0}^{K-1} \varphi_{hi}\varphi_{hj} r_{ij}, \qquad (15)$$

where $r_{ij} = E (y_{t-i} t^y_{t-i})(y_{t-j} t^y_{t-j})$. Because the φ_{hi} can be computed recursively for h = 1, 2, ... and because r_{ij} can be estimated consistently from historical revisions, the standard estimate of the variance of the prediction error can easily be corrected for the presence of preliminary data.

5. Concluding remarks

Several authors have proposed to use Kalman filtering techniques to compute MMSE forecasts if the most recent observations are known to be preliminary only. In this note we proposed a more natural and simpler approach to this problem which was based on the distribution of the true value conditional on the preliminary estimates instead of the other way around. First we test for the rationality of the preliminary data and if necessary correct for irrationalities. Subsequently MMSE forecasts can be computed as if the most recent observations were final. Moreover, simple expressions which can be used to evaluate the variance of the prediction errors are available. References

- Bordignon, S. and U. Trivellato (1989), "The optimal use of provisional data in forecasting with dynamic models", <u>Journal of Business and</u> <u>Economic Statistics</u>, 7, 2, p. 275 - 286.
- Jong, P. de (1987), "Rational Economic Data Revisions", <u>Journal of</u> Business and Economic Statistics, 5, 4, p. 539 - 548.

Harvey, A.C. (1981), "Time Series Models", Philip Allan, Oxford.

- Harvey, A.C., McKenzie, C.R., Blake, D.P.C., and M.J. Desai (1983), "Irregeluar data revisions", in <u>Applied Time Series Analysis of Economic</u> <u>Data</u>, ed. A. Zellner, U.S. Dept. of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington D.C.
- Howrey, E.P. (1978), "The use of preliminary data in econometric forecasting", The Review of Economics and Statistics, 60, p. 193-200.
- Howrey, E.P. (1984), "Data revision, reconstruction and prediction: an application to inventory investment", <u>The Review of Economics and Statistics</u>, 60, p. 386 393.
- Mankiw, N.G., Runkle, D.E. and M.D. Shapiro (1984), "Are preliminary announcements of the money stock rational forecasts ?", <u>Journal of</u> Monetary Economics, 14, p. 15 - 27.
- Mankiw, N.G. and M.D. Shapiro (1986), "News or noise? An analysis of GNP revisions", Survey of Current Business, 66, p. 20-25.
- Mork, K.A. (1987), "Ain't behavin': Forecast errors and measurement errors in early GNP estimates", <u>Journal of Business and Economic Statistics</u>, 5, 2, p. 165 - 175.

Sargent, T.J. (1979), "Macroeconomic Theory", Academic Press, New York.

8

- Sargent, T.J. (1989), "Two models of measurements and the investment accelerator", Journal of Political Economy, 97, 2, p. 251 287.
- Stock, J. (1987), "Asymptotic properties of least squares estimators of cointegrating vectors", <u>Econometrica</u>, 55, p. 1035-1056.

IN 1988 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 297 Bert Bettonvil Factor screening by sequential bifurcation
- 298 Robert P. Gilles On perfect competition in an economy with a coalitional structure
- 299 Willem Selen, Ruud M. Heuts Capacitated Lot-Size Production Planning in Process Industry
- 300 J. Kriens, J.Th. van Lieshout Notes on the Markowitz portfolio selection method
- 301 Bert Bettonvil, Jack P.C. Kleijnen Measurement scales and resolution IV designs: a note
- 302 Theo Nijman, Marno Verbeek Estimation of time dependent parameters in lineair models using cross sections, panels or both
- 303 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus A differential game between government and firms: a non-cooperative approach
- 304 Leo W.G. Strijbosch, Ronald J.M.M. Does Comparison of bias-reducing methods for estimating the parameter in dilution series
- 305 Drs. W.J. Reijnders, Drs. W.F. Verstappen Strategische bespiegelingen betreffende het Nederlandse kwaliteitsconcept
- 306 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, H. Timmermans and H. Van den Wildenberg Regression sampling in statistical auditing
- 307 Isolde Woittiez, Arie Kapteyn A Model of Job Choice, Labour Supply and Wages
- 308 Jack P.C. Kleijnen Simulation and optimization in production planning: A case study
- 309 Robert P. Gilles and Pieter H.M. Ruys Relational constraints in coalition formation
- 310 Drs. H. Leo Theuns Determinanten van de vraag naar vakantiereizen: een verkenning van materiële en immateriële factoren
- 311 Peter M. Kort Dynamic Firm Behaviour within an Uncertain Environment
- 312 J.P.C. Blanc A numerical approach to cyclic-service queueing models

- 313 Drs. N.J. de Beer, Drs. A.M. van Nunen, Drs. M.O. Nijkamp Does Morkmon Matter?
- 314 Th. van de Klundert Wage differentials and employment in a two-sector model with a dual labour market
- 315 Aart de Zeeuw, Fons Groot, Cees Withagen On Credible Optimal Tax Rate Policies
- 316 Christian B. Mulder Wage moderating effects of corporatism Decentralized versus centralized wage setting in a union, firm, government context
- 317 Jörg Glombowski, Michael Krüger A short-period Goodwin growth cycle
- 318 Theo Nijman, Marno Verbeek, Arthur van Soest The optimal design of rotating panels in a simple analysis of variance model
- 319 Drs. S.V. Hannema, Drs. P.A.M. Versteijne De toepassing en toekomst van public private partnership's bij de grote en middelgrote Nederlandse gemeenten
- 320 Th. van de Klundert Wage Rigidity, Capital Accumulation and Unemployment in a Small Open Economy
- 321 M.H.C. Paardekooper An upper and a lower bound for the distance of a manifold to a nearby point
- 322 Th. ten Raa, F. van der Ploeg A statistical approach to the problem of negatives in input-output analysis
- 323 P. Kooreman Household Labor Force Participation as a Cooperative Game; an Empirical Model
- 324 A.B.T.M. van Schaik Persistent Unemployment and Long Run Growth
- 325 Dr. F.W.M. Boekema, Drs. L.A.G. Oerlemans De lokale produktiestructuur doorgelicht. Bedrijfstakverkenningen ten behoeve van regionaal-economisch onderzoek
- 326 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, M.C.H.M. Lafleur, J.H.F. Pardoel Sampling for quality inspection and correction: AOQL performance criteria

- 327 Theo E. Nijman, Mark F.J. Steel Exclusion restrictions in instrumental variables equations
- 328 B.B. van der Genugten Estimation in linear regression under the presence of heteroskedasticity of a completely unknown form
- 329 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus The employment policy of government: to create jobs or to let them create?
- 330 Hans Kremers, Dolf Talman Solving the nonlinear complementarity problem with lower and upper bounds
- 331 Antoon van den Elzen Interpretation and generalization of the Lemke-Howson algorithm
- 332 Jack P.C. Kleijnen Analyzing simulation experiments with common random numbers, part II: Rao's approach
- 333 Jacek Osiewalski Posterior and Predictive Densities for Nonlinear Regression. A Partly Linear Model Case
- 334 A.H. van den Elzen, A.J.J. Talman A procedure for finding Nash equilibria in bi-matrix games
- 335 Arthur van Soest Minimum wage rates and unemployment in The Netherlands
- 336 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman, Arie Kapteyn Coherent specification of demand systems with corner solutions and endogenous regimes
- 337 Dr. F.W.M. Boekema, Drs. L.A.G. Oerlemans De lokale produktiestruktuur doorgelicht II. Bedrijfstakverkenningen ten behoeve van regionaal-economisch onderzoek. De zeescheepsnieuwbouwindustrie
- 338 Gerard J. van den Berg Search behaviour, transitions to nonparticipation and the duration of unemployment
- 339 W.J.H. Groenendaal and J.W.A. Vingerhoets The new cocoa-agreement analysed
- 340 Drs. F.G. van den Heuvel, Drs. M.P.H. de Vor Kwantificering van ombuigen en bezuinigen op collectieve uitgaven 1977-1990
- 341 Pieter J.F.G. Meulendijks An exercise in welfare economics (III)

- 342 W.J. Selen and R.M. Heuts A modified priority index for Günther's lot-sizing heuristic under capacitated single stage production
- 343 Linda J. Mittermaier, Willem J. Selen, Jeri B. Waggoner, Wallace R. Wood Accounting estimates as cost inputs to logistics models
- 344 Remy L. de Jong, Rashid I. Al Layla, Willem J. Selen Alternative water management scenarios for Saudi Arabia
- 345 W.J. Selen and R.M. Heuts Capacitated Single Stage Production Planning with Storage Constraints and Sequence-Dependent Setup Times
- 346 Peter Kort The Flexible Accelerator Mechanism in a Financial Adjustment Cost Model
- 347 W.J. Reijnders en W.F. Verstappen De toenemende importantie van het verticale marketing systeem
- 348 P.C. van Batenburg en J. Kriens E.O.Q.L. - A revised and improved version of A.O.Q.L.
- 349 Drs. W.P.C. van den Nieuwenhof Multinationalisatie en coördinatie De internationale strategie van Nederlandse ondernemingen nader beschouwd
- 350 K.A. Bubshait, W.J. Selen Estimation of the relationship between project attributes and the implementation of engineering management tools
- 351 M.P. Tummers, I. Woittiez A simultaneous wage and labour supply model with hours restrictions
- 352 Marco Versteijne Measuring the effectiveness of advertising in a positioning context with multi dimensional scaling techniques
- 353 Dr. F. Boekema, Drs. L. Oerlemans Innovatie en stedelijke economische ontwikkeling
- 354 J.M. Schumacher Discrete events: perspectives from system theory
- 355 F.C. Bussemaker, W.H. Haemers, R. Mathon and H.A. Wilbrink A (49,16,3,6) strongly regular graph does not exist
- 356 Drs. J.C. Caanen Tien jaar inflatieneutrale belastingheffing door middel van vermogensaftrek en voorraadaftrek: een kwantitatieve benadering

- 357 R.M. Heuts, M. Bronckers A modified coordinated reorder procedure under aggregate investment and service constraints using optimal policy surfaces
- 358 B.B. van der Genugten Linear time-invariant filters of infinite order for non-stationary processes
- 359 J.C. Engwerda LQ-problem: the discrete-time time-varying case
- 360 Shan-Hwei Nienhuys-Cheng Constraints in binary semantical networks
- 361 A.B.T.M. van Schaik Interregional Propagation of Inflationary Shocks
- 362 F.C. Drost How to define UMVU
- 363 Rommert J. Casimir Infogame users manual Rev 1.2 December 1988
- 364 M.H.C. Paardekooper A quadratically convergent parallel Jacobi-process for diagonal dominant matrices with nondistinct eigenvalues
- 365 Robert P. Gilles, Pieter H.M. Ruys Characterization of Economic Agents in Arbitrary Communication Structures
- 366 Harry H. Tigelaar Informative sampling in a multivariate linear system disturbed by moving average noise
- 367 Jörg Glombowski Cyclical interactions of politics and economics in an abstract capitalist economy

IN 1989 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 368 Ed Nijssen, Will Reijnders "Macht als strategisch en tactisch marketinginstrument binnen de distributieketen"
- 369 Raymond Gradus Optimal dynamic taxation with respect to firms
- 370 Theo Nijman The optimal choice of controls and pre-experimental observations
- 371 Robert P. Gilles, Pieter H.M. Ruys Relational constraints in coalition formation
- 372 F.A. van der Duyn Schouten, S.G. Vanneste Analysis and computation of (n,N)-strategies for maintenance of a two-component system
- 373 Drs. R. Hamers, Drs. P. Verstappen Het company ranking model: a means for evaluating the competition
- 374 Rommert J. Casimir Infogame Final Report
- 375 Christian B. Mulder Efficient and inefficient institutional arrangements between governments and trade unions; an explanation of high unemployment, corporatism and union bashing
- 376 Marno Verbeek On the estimation of a fixed effects model with selective nonresponse
- 377 J. Engwerda Admissible target paths in economic models
- 378 Jack P.C. Kleijnen and Nabil Adams Pseudorandom number generation on supercomputers
- 379 J.P.C. Blanc The power-series algorithm applied to the shortest-queue model
- 380 Prof. Dr. Robert Bannink Management's information needs and the definition of costs, with special regard to the cost of interest
- 381 Bert Bettonvil Sequential bifurcation: the design of a factor screening method
- 382 Bert Bettonvil Sequential bifurcation for observations with random errors

- 383 Harold Houba and Hans Kremers Correction of the material balance equation in dynamic input-output models
- 384 T.M. Doup, A.H. van den Elzen, A.J.J. Talman Homotopy interpretation of price adjustment processes
- 385 Drs. R.T. Frambach, Prof. Dr. W.H.J. de Freytas Technologische ontwikkeling en marketing. Een oriënterende beschouwing
- 386 A.L.P.M. Hendrikx, R.M.J. Heuts, L.G. Hoving Comparison of automatic monitoring systems in automatic forecasting
- 387 Drs. J.G.L.M. Willems Enkele opmerkingen over het inversificerend gedrag van multinationale ondernemingen
- 388 Jack P.C. Kleijnen and Ben Annink Pseudorandom number generators revisited
- 389 Dr. G.W.J. Hendrikse Speltheorie en strategisch management
- 390 Dr. A.W.A. Boot en Dr. M.F.C.M. Wijn Liquiditeit, insolventie en vermogensstructuur
- 391 Antoon van den Elzen, Gerard van der Laan Price adjustment in a two-country model
- 392 Martin F.C.M. Wijn, Emanuel J. Bijnen Prediction of failure in industry An analysis of income statements
- 393 Dr. S.C.W. Eijffinger and Drs. A.P.D. Gruijters On the short term objectives of daily intervention by the Deutsche Bundesbank and the Federal Reserve System in the U.S. Dollar -Deutsche Mark exchange market
- 394 Dr. S.C.W. Eijffinger and Drs. A.P.D. Gruijters On the effectiveness of daily interventions by the Deutsche Bundesbank and the Federal Reserve System in the U.S. Dollar - Deutsche Mark exchange market
- 395 A.E.M. Meijer and J.W.A. Vingerhoets Structural adjustment and diversification in mineral exporting developing countries
- 396 R. Gradus About Tobin's marginal and average q A Note
- 397 Jacob C. Engwerda On the existence of a positive definite solution of the matrix equation $X + A^T X^{-1} A = I$

- 398 Paul C. van Batenburg and J. Kriens Bayesian discovery sampling: a simple model of Bayesian inference in auditing
- 399 Hans Kremers and Dolf Talman Solving the nonlinear complementarity problem
- 400 Raymond Gradus Optimal dynamic taxation, savings and investment
- 401 W.H. Haemers Regular two-graphs and extensions of partial geometries
- 402 Jack P.C. Kleijnen, Ben Annink Supercomputers, Monte Carlo simulation and regression analysis
- 403 Ruud T. Frambach, Ed J. Nijssen, William H.J. Freytas Technologie, Strategisch management en marketing

viii

