ECONSTOR

WWW.ECONSTOR.EU

Der Open-Access-Publikationsserver der ZBW – Leibniz-Informationszentrum Wirtschaft *The Open Access Publication Server of the ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics*

Fidrmuc, Jarko; Fidrmuc, Jan

Working Paper Integration, disintegration and trade in Europe: Evolution of trade relations during the 1990s

ZEI working paper, No. B 03-2000

Provided in cooperation with: Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn

Suggested citation: Fidrmuc, Jarko; Fidrmuc, Jan (2000) : Integration, disintegration and trade in Europe: Evolution of trade relations during the 1990s, ZEI working paper, No. B 03-2000, http://hdl.handle.net/10419/39641

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die ZBW räumt Ihnen als Nutzerin/Nutzer das unentgeltliche, räumlich unbeschränkte und zeitlich auf die Dauer des Schutzrechts beschränkte einfache Recht ein, das ausgewählte Werk im Rahmen der unter

→ http://www.econstor.eu/dspace/Nutzungsbedingungen nachzulesenden vollständigen Nutzungsbedingungen zu vervielfältigen, mit denen die Nutzerin/der Nutzer sich durch die erste Nutzung einverstanden erklärt.

Terms of use:

The ZBW grants you, the user, the non-exclusive right to use the selected work free of charge, territorially unrestricted and within the time limit of the term of the property rights according to the terms specified at

 $\rightarrow\,$ http://www.econstor.eu/dspace/Nutzungsbedingungen By the first use of the selected work the user agrees and declares to comply with these terms of use.



Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn



Jarko Fidrmuc and Jan Fidrmuc

Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's

orkinc **B** 03 2000

Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations During the 1990s^{*}

Jarko Fidrmuc[†] Jan Fidrmuc[‡]

December 1999

Abstract:

The gravity model of trade is used to assess the economic consequences of new borders, which arose in the wake of break-ups of multinational federations in Eastern Europe. The intensity of trade relations among the constituent parts of Czechoslovakia, Soviet Union and the Baltics was very high around the time of disintegration, exceeding the *normal* level of trade approximately 40 times. Disintegration has been followed by a sharp fall in trade intensity. On the other hand, the trade liberalization between East and West has lead to gradual normalization of trade relations, and liberalization within CEFTA has reversed the fall in trade intensity among Central European countries.

Keywords: Gravity Model, International Trade, European Integration, Disintegration

JEL Classification Numbers: F13, F15, F41

We benefited from comments and suggestions from Jana Fidrmucova, Franc Klaassen, Jürgen von Hagen, and Andreas Wörgöter, as well as seminar participants at the NAKE Research Day 1999 in Rotterdam and ZEI Research Breakfeast. Part of this research was completed while Jan Fidrmuc was affiliated with the CentER for Economic Research at Tilburg University, Tilburg, Netherlands, and Jarko Fidrmuc was affiliated with the Institute for Advanced Studies, Vienna, Austria, whose hospitality and stimulating research environments are gratefully acknowledged. The views expressed in this paper are those of the authors and do not represent the position of the Austrian National Bank, or the Center for European Integration Studies.

[†] Oesterreichische Nationalbank, Foreign Research Division, Schwarzspanier-Str. 5, A-1090 Vienna, Austria. E-mail: Jarko.Fidrmuc@oenb.co.at; Tel.: +431 40420-5218, Fax: +431 40420-5299.

[‡] <u>Corresponding author.</u> Center for European Integration Studies (ZEI), University of Bonn, Walter-Flex-Strasse 3, 53113 Bonn, Germany. Email: Fidrmuc@united.econ.uni-bonn.de. Phone: +49-228-73-1821, Fax: +49-228-73-1809.

1 Introduction

The 1990s were an eventful decade for Europe in the field of political and economic (dis)integration. In Western Europe, the process of integration within the EU deepened with the introduction of the Single Market, the entry of three new members (Austria, Finland, and Sweden), and finally the formation of the EMU. At the same time, the countries of Eastern Europe opened up to trade with Western European countries, and several of them¹ concluded association agreements (Europe Agreements) with the EU. In Eastern Europe, in contrast, this was a decade of disintegration, characterized by the collapse of the Council of Mutual Economic Assistance (CMEA) and the disintegration of the Soviet Union, Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia.

Economic consequences of the (dis)integration processes unfolding in Europe during the 1990s were undoubtedly substantial. Trade is one of the main channels for the realization of the gains from integration on the one hand and the costs of disintegration on the other hand. Integration, in the form of free-trade areas, customs unions or currency unions lowers or eliminates explicit barriers to trade, transactions costs and exchange rate uncertainty. Disintegration, on the other hand, brings about new national borders, and with them the creation of trade barriers where previously there were none.

However, the measurement of these effects is not a simple task. Nominal trade flows between a particular pair of countries fluctuate not only because of (dis)integration process affecting the two countries, but also because of business cycle effects in either country, price level changes, ongoing (dis)integration processes with respect to third countries, and global trade development. The gravity model of trade controls for these effects and therefore is particularly suited for measuring the economic effects of (dis)integration. The gravity model relates bilateral trade between two countries to the distance between them and their economic sizes proxied usually by their gross outputs. The presence of *abnormal* or *subnormal* trade relations is

¹ Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia and Slovenia.

detected by means of specific dummy variables. Countries can have preferential trade relations for various reasons—because they share a common border or a common language, belong to the same free trade area or currency union, or because they previously were parts of the same country. In order to capture the evolution of the (dis)integration processes in Europe, we estimate a separate gravity equation for each year over the period from 1990 to 1998. We can thus observe whether a particular pair or group of countries experienced intensification or deterioration of trade relations.

The processes of integration in Western Europe and on other continents received substantial attention in the literature (see, for example, Bayoumi and Eichengreen, 1995, Eichengreen and Irwin, 1996, and Soloaga and Winters, 1999). The liberalization of trade after the collapse of communist regimes in Eastern Europe is also steadily graining prominence (see Hamilton and Winters, 1992, and Baldwin, 1994). On the disintegration front, Cheikbosssian and Maurel (1998) analyze the intensity of trade within the CMEA over the period from 1980 to 1993. However, the economic consequences of disintegration of the former federations in Eastern Europe were so far left unexplored. Besides problems with obtaining reliable data, this lack of interest may reflect the predominant orientation of economists on integration rather than disintegration, as the former is seen as being more forward looking. Yet, history tells us that countries break-up much more often than they unite.²

The costs of disintegration in terms of trade can be potentially very high. Rose (1999) finds that two countries using the same currency trade three times more with each other than two comparable countries using separate currencies. The effect of complete (economic and political) integration on trade is even more profound. John McCallum (1995) and Helliwell (1997) find that Canadian provinces trade 20 times more intensively with each other than with US states, after controlling for distance and economic size, despite large extent of economic integration and the absence of linguistic and cultural barriers between these two countries. Anderson and Smith (1999) found a similar effect, using an improved dataset. Moreover, they found a strong border effect also with respect to trade in transport equipment, even though

² Past disintegration episodes were analyzed, among others, by Cohen (1993) who looks at six successful and unsuccessful currency unions, de Menil and Maurel (1994), Maurel (1995, 1998) and

US-Canadian trade in this commodity group has been liberalized for several decades. Shang-Jin Wei (1995) finds that for the OECD countries, internal trade is on average only two-and-half times greater than trade with other countries. Nitsch (1998) challenges Wei's results and argues that internal trade of the member countries of the EU trade is on average five to eight times greater than the trade across their national borders, after controlling for size, distance, common language, common border, and remoteness. Hence, even within closely integrated free trade areas, such as the EU and the NAFTA, national borders still play a very important role. In turn, the imposition of new borders can be expected to bring about a substantial deterioration of trade relations, even with sustained liberalization.

This paper is an empirical exploration of the economic effect of new borders. We analyze the development of trade flows between former constituent parts of three Eastern European federations that disintegrated during early 1990s: the Soviet Union, Yugoslavia and Czechoslovakia. Then, we compare these trade intensities with those obtained for Western and Eastern European preferential trade areas (PTA's) and for the liberalization of trade between Eastern and Western Europe.

We estimate the gravity model with trade flows among OECD countries and selected Central and Eastern European countries. However, data on bilateral trade flows between the entities of multinational federations are not available until well after the break-up (in some cases, such as Serbia, Monte Negro, and Bosnia-Herzegovina, reliable data are not available at all). We can therefore only assess the intensity of bilateral trade relationship after the break-up, without having a basis for comparison with the pre break-up period. The exception is the former Czechoslovakia, where we utilize a unique data set on enterprise deliveries between the two constituent Republics during two years before the break-up (although as explained below these data are not directly comparable with the official trade statistics available later).

We find that the trade flows between the Czech and Slovak Republics, among the Baltic states, and among Belarus, Russia and Ukraine, exceeded the *normal* trade levels approximately 40 times around the time of disintegration. For comparison, trade flows within the EU exceeded the *normal* level only by one-half throughout the 1990s.

Dornbusch (1992) who study the break-up the Austro-Hungarian Empire, and Fidrrmuc et al. (1999)

Disintegration was followed by a sharp fall in trade intensity in all former federations. Nonetheless, trade relations remain quite strong, still exceeding the *normal* level multiple times by 1998.

The next section describes the gravity model and discusses the main methodological issues. Section 3 describes our data. Section 4 presents the results of our empirical analysis of the effects of (dis)integration on trade flows in Europe. The last section summarizes our conclusion.

2 The Gravity Model of Trade Relations

The gravity model (Linnemann, 1966, and Linder, 1961) relates the trade flows between two countries to the importer's demand, the exporter's supply and the trade costs. The importer's demand and the exporter's supply are proxied by aggregate outputs of the two countries (in addition, some studies use also the output per capita and/or the land area). Trade costs (transport and transaction costs) are proxied by geographical distance, typically measured as the distance between the capital cities of the two countries. Some studies use also additional measures of remoteness (see, e.g., Smarzynska, 1999).

Although the gravity model of trade is commonly used to assess trade patterns between countries or within preferential trade areas, its theoretical underpinnings are limited. Helpman and Krugman (1985) formulate the gravity relation in a model with differentiated products and increasing returns to scale. On the other hand, Deardorff (1995) derives the gravity model in the framework of the Heckscher-Ohlin model. He concludes that the gravity model characterizes many models and, therefore, it cannot be used for testing of trade theories. Evenett and Keller (1998) find empirical support for formulations of the gravity model based on both the Heckscher-Ohlin model and increasing returns to scale.

We estimate the gravity model in the following form:

$$M = \boldsymbol{b}_1 + \boldsymbol{b}_2 Y_{\scriptscriptstyle M} + \boldsymbol{b}_3 Y_{\scriptscriptstyle X} + \boldsymbol{b}_4 d + \boldsymbol{S}_i \boldsymbol{g} D_i + \boldsymbol{e}, \qquad (1)$$

who analyze the break-up of Czechoslovakia.

where *M* stands for bilateral imports,³ *Y* is the GDP of the exporting and the importing countries (denoted by *X* and *M*, respectively), *d* is the distance between the capital cities of both countries,⁴ and *e* is the disturbance term. All these variables are in logs. The intensity of non-standard trade relations is measured by means of dummy variables, D_i , for specific pairs or groups of countries. A positive coefficient estimate implies that the trade flows exceed the *normal* level, i.e. the level predicted by the countries' economic sizes and distance (preferential trade). A negative coefficient estimate estimate, in contrast, implies that the trade flows fall short of the *normal* level (discrimination).

We include dummies for three types of trade relations. First, as is quite standard in this literature, we use a dummy for countries sharing a common border, and a dummy for English speaking countries. Second, we use dummies for formal preferential trade areas in Europe. Specifically, we include dummies for the European Union (the 12 countries that formed the EU before the last enlargement), the EFTA, the Central European Free Trade Area (CEFTA, including Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia), the last EU enlargement (depicting trade flows between EU12 and Austria, Finland, and Sweden, which we denote as EFTA3), and the Europe Agreements between the EU and associated countries.⁵ To capture the evolution of trade relations, we use the same set of dummies for the entire period, i.e. also for the time before the formal agreement was concluded. Finally, we include dummies for successor states of former federations in Central and Eastern Europe. In particular, we assess trade intensity between the Czech Republic and Slovakia; the Baltic States; Belarus, Russia, and the Ukraine; and Slovenia and Croatia.⁶

Previous studies typically focus on assessment of the effect of formal PTA's, whether in Europe (the EU and EFTA) or elsewhere on trade flows. Bayoumi and Eichengreen (1995) differentiate between trade creation (intensification of trade

³ For various reasons, the data on bilateral trade flows as reported by the two respective countries often differ. To ensure consistency, we use trade flows as reported by the importing country.

⁴ We are grateful to Holzmann and Zukowska-Gagelmann (1996) for sharing with us their distance matrix. As in their paper, we use the center of a triangle defined by Frankfurt, Munich, and Berlin rather than the capital as the reference point for Germany.

⁵ The associated countries are Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia and Slovenia.

⁶ We were unable to include more successor countries of the former Soviet Union and Yugoslavia because of problems with availability and reliability of the data.

relations among the countries participating in a PTA) and trade diversion (reduction of trade with third countries) for a sample of 21 industrial countries in the post war period. They found that the EEC heavily promoted intra-bloc trade through a combination of trade creation and trade diversion, although the integration of Portugal and Spain, maybe due to their peripheral location, led to little trade diversion. On the other hand, the formation of EFTA was mainly associated with trade creation. Eichengreen and Irwin (1996), in turn, note that the dummies indicating the membership of both trade partners in a free trade area are highly significant already prior to the creation of a particular PTA. Moreover, the coefficients do not increase after the PTA's formation. Therefore, they conclude that the formation of PTA's reflects above-standard trade relations in the past. As a result, the exclusion of historical variables (trade levels in the past) may lead to an overstatement of the effects of PTA membership. Soloaga and Winters (1999) assess the effect of increased regionalism on several continents (formation of MERCOSUR and NAFTA and deepening of integration within ASEAN, CACM and ANDEAN) on trade during the 1990s. They find, however, that this new wave of regionalism did not boost intra-bloc trade significantly.

Other studies assess the progress of trade liberalization between Western and Eastern Europe and predict the extent of the East-West trade in the future. An early contribution is that by Hamilton and Winters (1992), who estimate the eventual volume and direction of Central and Eastern European countries' trade. This approach was later followed by Baldwin (1994), Holzmann and Zukowska-Gagelmann (1996), and others

3 The Data

Our data contain bilateral trade flows for OECD countries (excluding Iceland, Mexico and Korea), and selected Central and Eastern European countries. As we are interested in the evolution of trade relations during the processes of integration and disintegration that occurred during the last decade, we estimate equation (1) for each of the nine available years from 1990 to 1998. This data set provides between 600 and 1300 bilateral trade flows. The sample size changes because of data availability and especially because new countries emerged in Eastern Europe during the analyzed period. Hence, the data for Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland and Romania span the entire period. The data for Belarus, Croatia, Estonia, Latvia, Lithuania, Russia, Slovenia, and Ukraine start as of 1992, and the data for the Czech Republic and Slovakia start as of 1993. Additionally, we use data on Czech-Slovak trade flows during 1991-93 estimated on basis of inter-enterprise deliveries (described below).

In 1992 and 1993, ten of the countries included in our analysis emerged from the ruins of the Soviet Union, Yugoslavia, and Czechoslovakia. This nearly doubles the number of available observations on trade flows between 1990 and 1997. This expansion of our data set can have significant effect on the coefficient estimates of some parameters (e. g. European Union and Europe Agreements) which we estimate for the entire period. Therefore, we estimate the gravity model as defined by (1) first on a sample of 630 original observations of bilateral trade flows, which are available during the entire period from 1990 to 1998. We will refer to this data subset as the restricted data sample (see Table 1). Then, we estimate the gravity model on the full data sample (see Table 2).

The source of all data on trade flows and aggregate outputs of the selected countries is the IMF (Direction of Trade for trade flows and International Financial Statistics for GDP). Missing data on aggregate output for some CEECs were taken from the EBRD Transition Report 1998. We estimate the trade flows between the Czech Republic and Slovakia during 1991-1993 based on deliveries of medium-sized and large enterprises. Trade flows between Slovenia and Croatia in 1992 are taken from UN Trade Statistics.

Bilateral trade flows between constituent parts of former federations such as the Soviet Union, Yugoslavia, and Czechoslovakia were typically not officially reported, and therefore an assessment of the intensity of trade relations prior to the break-up is difficult.⁷ The exception is the trade between the Czech and Slovak Republics, where an alternative data set is available for 1991-1993, the two years before the break-up and the first post break-up year. These data are based on enterprise reports of

⁷ Boss and Havlik (1994) report several estimates of trade flows between selected FSU countries before the disintegration of the USSR. However, these data are hardly comparable to later trade flows due to high inflation rate in the successor countries. Furthermore, the range of listed estimates makes any comparisons questionable, although they generally confirm a significant decline of trade.

deliveries between the two entities.⁸ Two caveats apply to the use of these data. First, the data are based on enterprise reports, not customs statistics. Second, the data only include deliveries of enterprises with 25 and more employees. Therefore, the results based on these data and on official statistics are not directly comparable. Nonetheless, we believe it is instructive to use these data to assess the trade intensity before break-up. Moreover, the estimates obtained for 1993 based on the two types of data are almost identical and not statistically significantly different from each other.

4 Integration, Disintegration, and Trade Flows

Tables 1 and 2 present the results obtained for the restricted sample and the full sample, respectively. The gravity model gives very good explanation of trade patterns as evidenced by the high values of adjusted R^2 , all exceeding 0.8.

Insert Table 1 and Table 2 here.

4.1 The Basic Parameters of the Gravity Equation

Figure 1 shows the evolution of the coefficient estimates of basic explanatory variables for the restricted sample: the intercept (reflecting autonomous trade), coefficients on the importer's and exporter's outputs, distance, and the dummies for common border and English language. All estimated coefficients of the basic variables have been quite stable despite significant changes that took place in Europe between 1990 and 1998.

Insert Figure 1 here.

⁸ The sources of the data are: *Vzajomne dodavky medzi SR a CR: 1.-4. stvrtrok 1992*, Statistical Office of the Slovak Republic, 1993; and *Predaj tovarov medzi SR a CR v roku 1993 podla stvrtrokov*, Statistical Office of the Slovak Republic, 1994.

The effect of distance is negative, as expected, and strongly significant. The coefficient estimated for the foreign demand (GDP of the importing country) is not significantly different from that of the domestic supply (GDP of the exporting country). This is a general property of the gravity model—the home and foreign economies have the same effects on bilateral trade flows. However, the effect of the importer's income seems to reflect the underlying cyclical development, while the effect of income in the exporting country is more stable.

Countries sharing the same border, and English-speaking countries trade more intensely with each other. After transformation of logs to levels, trade between two neighboring countries exceeds the *normal* level of trade nearly 1.5 times, and trade between English-speaking countries exceeds the *normal* level nearly three times.

4.2 Integration in Western Europe

The integration process in Western Europe is the result of long and gradual trade liberalization. During the 1990s, the process of European integration deepened substantially, with the conclusion of the Single Market in 1992 and the run-up to the formation of the Economic and Monetary Union in 1999. Given its long-term nature, Western European integration gives a good basis for comparison with the more recent trade liberalization between Eastern and Western European countries as well as liberalization among Eastern European countries. In order to avoid potential biases due to adding additional observations as our data set expands (as discussed above), we focus on results from the restricted sample (Table 1). The results for the EU, EFTA and the last EU enlargement are summarized in Figure 2.

Insert Figure 2 here.

Formation of free trade areas in Western Europe apparently only had a moderate positive effect on trade flows. Trade between two EC12 countries exceeds trade between two comparable non-EU countries by one half on average. Despite deepening integration during the 1990s, in particular introduction of the Single Market in 1992, the effect of the EU on trade intensity remained stagnant. In fact, it appears that intra-

union trade intensity actually declined slightly over time. The coefficient estimate fell from 0.417 in 1990 to 0.355 in 1998, although this decline is not statistically significant. The accession of Austria, Finland and Sweden in 1995 had little if any effect on the trade intensity between the original EU members and the new members.

The effect of the EFTA on trade intensity is even smaller. Although the coefficient estimate is positive, it is not significant at all except for 1992-93. At its peak in 1993, trade intensity within EFTA exceeded the *normal* level by less than 30%. In contrast, the trade relations of Austria, Finland and Sweden (EFTA3) with the EU were much more intense than the trade relations within EFTA. By 1990, the EFTA3 countries traded by about one-fourth more with the EC countries than with the other countries in our sample. The main upward shift in the trade intensity occurred already in 1992 and preceded both the formation of the European Economic Area and the entry of these three countries to the European Union.

These results, indicating little effect of European integration on trade intensity, are confirmed by findings of Soloaga and Winter, (1999) who analyze an even longer period, ranging from 1980 to 1996. Nevertheless, they need not be interpreted as evidence of failure of the European integration process. Rather, they reflect the ongoing process of global liberalization, which, in turn, reduces the relative advantage of regional integration.⁹

4.3 Trade Relations between East and West

Our analysis of economic development in Eastern Europe is complicated by the emergence of new independent states at the beginning of the 1990s. Three out of the five countries selected to start membership negotiations in 1999 did not exist in 1990. The restricted sample omits many CEECs, whereas the results for the whole data sample may suffer from biases in 1992 and 1993, when these countries emerged from the former multinational federations. Therefore, we compare the estimates obtained with both the restricted sample and the full sample. The results are presented graphically in Figure 3.

⁹ Nonetheless, the results do appear disappointing, compared for example with the trade intensity among English-speaking countries, or the results reported for the CEFTA below.

Insert Figure 3 here.

Trade between Western European countries and CEECs was affected by many trade restrictions during the cold war period, and, unsurprisingly, was far below the *normal* level at the beginning of the 1990s. According to the restricted sample, the trade of the 12 member states of the European Community with the group of countries, with which it later concluded the Europe Agreements, was about 40 % below the *normal* level. The trade of Austria, Finland and Sweden with these countries was one-third below the *normal* level. According to the whole data sample, the trade intensity was even lower.

Trade liberalization following the opening-up of Eastern Europe boosted trade among the former cold-war adversaries. The results based on the restricted data sample indicate that trade between the EC12 and the associated countries reached the *normal* level by 1993. The EFTA3 countries (Austria, Finland and Sweden) liberalized their trade with CEECs even faster.

However, the restricted data sample includes only Bulgaria, Hungary, Poland, and Romania. These countries had better trade relations to the European Union than the former Soviet countries in the Baltic region. Czechoslovakia also traded less with the European Union than its Central European neighbors at the beginning of the transition. When we include also the newly created CEECs, we find that the trade relations of both the EC12 and EFTA3 with the associated countries did not reach the *normal* level until 1995.

4.4 Disintegration in Eastern Europe

Trade among Eastern European countries exceeded the *normal* level before the beginning of economic reforms in 1990 (see Figure 4).¹⁰ Both data samples indicate a

¹⁰ However, one should keep in mind that *exchange* among socialist economies was of different nature than trade among market economies.

fall in trade intensity that culminated in 1992, in the wake of the CMEA collapse.¹¹ During 1992 and 1993, the trade intensity was not significantly different from the *normal* level. The formation of the CEFTA (Central European Free Trade Area) encompassing initially the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland and Slovakia and subsequently extended to include also Slovenia,¹² resulted in a gradual increase of trade intensity. By 1997, both data samples indicate that the trade between two CEFTA countries was nearly double of the *normal* level. The CEFTA thus proved to be a rather successful preferential trade area, with trade intensity actually exceeding that among the EU countries. Nevertheless, CEFTA's success reflects not only the progress in trade liberalization among Central European countries, but perhaps more importantly the collapse of the traditional relations with Eastern European and FSU countries and relatively slow progress of trade liberalization with respect to Western Europe (as discussed in the previous section).

Insert Figure 4 here.

The extent of trade among the countries that have only recently disintegrated (the Czech and Slovak Republics; the Baltic States; Slovenia and Croatia; and Belarus-Russia-Ukraine) remain substantially above the *normal* level, although it is declining over time (see Figure 5). All newly independent countries in Eastern Europe trade much more intensively with their previous counterparts than with other countries.

Insert Figure 5 here.

The case of the former Czechoslovakia is particularly interesting for several reasons. First, using the data on enterprise deliveries, we can estimate the intensity of trade relations in the former Czechoslovakia as early as two years before the break-up.

¹¹ Maurel and Cheikbossian (1998) showed that the decline of trade among the CMEA countries started already in mid 1980s.

¹² Bulgaria and Romania recently joined the CEFTA too, however, in our analysis we only consider the trade flows among the four founding members and Slovenia

Therefore, for this country we can compare the pre-break-up trade relations with those after the break-up, and thus better infer the effect of disintegration on trade (with the caveat regarding the two data sources as discussed above). Second, the successor states of the former Czechoslovakia attempted to sustain relatively high degree of integration. Thus, after the disintegration of Czechoslovakia, the Czech and Slovak Republics implemented a customs union, a temporary clearing-account payment mechanism, and an agreement stipulating free movement of labor (see Dedek, 1996, and Fidrmuc et al., 1999). Despite these efforts, the Czech and Slovak Republics experienced a steep and uninterrupted fall in trade intensity. The coefficient estimate for 1991, the first year for which we have data, is 3.71. This implies (after transformation from logs) that the trade flows within Czechoslovakia exceeded the normal level nearly 40 times! The intensity of trade relations dropped sharply especially during the first two years after the division of Czechoslovakia in 1993. The estimated coefficient for former Czechoslovakia fell to 2.36 (corresponding to trade volume 10.5 times higher than the *normal* level) in 1994 and, finally, to only 1.94 (about seven times the normal level) in 1998. The trade intensity as measured by the estimated coefficient on trade flows between the Czech Republic and Slovakia declined continuously since 1993, although the bilateral trade volume recovered slightly between 1993 (minimum value) and 1998. Still, however, this trade intensity by far exceeds that measured within the EU, even though the custom union between the Czech Republic and Slovakia is largely comparable to trade liberalization within the European Union. A further decline in bilateral trade intensity is to be expected if the custom union is dissolved in the wake of the accession of the Czech Republic to the European Union and the exclusion of Slovakia from the first wave of the Eastern enlargement of the union.

The starting intensity of trade relations among the Baltic States in 1992 was comparable to that between the Czech and Slovak Republics, exceeding the *normal* level approximately 40 times (coefficient estimate 3.77) in 1992. However, although the trade intensity declined after the break-up of the Soviet Union, the fall was not as dramatic as in the case of the former Czechoslovakia. After falling to around 12 times the *normal* level in 1994, trade intensity actually increased again to more than 20 times the *normal* level between 1995 and 1997. The inclusion of Estonia in the first wave of

EU accession negotiations and the negative opinion of the European Commission regarding non-standard trade relations of potential new members with the 'left-outs' may be behind the reduction of the Baltic trade flows in 1998. Nonetheless, at about 13 times the *normal* level, the Baltic states trade much more intensively with each other than is the case in the former Czechoslovakia.

The trade relations among Belarus, Russia and Ukraine followed a U-shaped pattern. The initial trade intensity was also very high, approximately 40 times the *normal* level in 1992. The disintegration of the Soviet Union brought about a substantial deterioration of trade relations (see also Kandogan, 1999), reaching the bottom at eight times the *normal* level in 1997. However, 1998 brought about a sharp increase to more than 30 times the *normal* level. Besides potential political reasons, such as the Russian-Belorussian attempts at re-integration, this is probably the consequence of the Russian crisis. The crisis caused a breakdown of trade between the FSU and the developed countries. This was reflected in the rise of relative importance of trade within the FSU area.

In contrast, Slovenia and Croatia traded substantially less with each other already in 1992, with trade intensity exceeding the *normal* level 11 times. The trade intensity declined sharply in the wake of Yugoslavia's disintegration, to about three times the *normal* level in 1994. After increasing moderately between 1995 and 1997, it fell even further in 1998, to only twice the *normal* level. Similarly as in the case of Estonia, this decline may reflect Slovenia's inclusion in the first wave of accession negotiations. The disintegration of the former Yugoslavia thus had much more profound consequences on trade than in other former federations in Eastern Europe. Gligorov (1998) argues that the Balkans as a trading region is currently non-existent. Slovenia and Croatia are the only countries with important bilateral trade relations.

In summary, the empirical evidence suggests that disintegration in Eastern Europe was followed by a substantial declines in the trade relations. Nevertheless, the trade intensity continues to be relatively high, even when controlling for common border and membership in free trade areas such as CEFTA. Fidrmuc (1999) notes that Western European countries with common history and/or the same or similar languages also trade substantially above the *normal* level. For example, based on an analysis of trade flows among the OECD countries, he reports that Austrian trade with Germany is

approximately twice higher than the *normal* level, trade between Sweden and Norway, and the UK and Ireland exceeds the *normal* level 2.5 times, and trade between Belgium and the Netherlands is the triple of the *normal* level. Accordingly, given the obvious cultural, social and linguistic links among the CEECs included in our analysis, it is reasonable to expect that, absent further exogenous shocks, their relations will eventually stabilize between two and three times the *normal* level.

3 Conclusions

This paper documents the evolution of trade relations in the wake of integration and disintegration processes in Europe throughout the 1990s. This decade was characteristic for deepening integration in Western Europe and liberalization of trade between East and West on the one hand, and disintegration of the CMEA and multinational federations in Eastern Europe on the other hand.

Our results indicate, somewhat surprisingly but consistently with previously reported findings (cf. Soloaga and Winters, 1999), that EU membership has only moderate positive effect on trade flows and the effect of EFTA membership is insignificant. The trade intensity between East and West rose substantially over the 1990s. However, much of this trade growth is accounted for by a *normalization* of trade relations. As of 1998, the trade intensity between the associated countries and the EU only slightly exceeds the *normal* level of trade flows, i.e. the level as predicted by the economic potential of the respective countries and the distance between them. On the other hand, the trade intensity within the CEFTA (Central European Free Trade Area) followed a U-shaped pattern, initially decreasing and then rising again. As of 1998, the effect of CEFTA on trade is positive and quite substantial.

The initial intensity of trade relations within the former multinational federations in Eastern Europe was very high. The trade flows between the Czech and Slovak Republics, the Baltic States, or Belarus, Russia and Ukraine, exceeded the *normal* level 40 times around the time of disintegration. This is twice larger than the border effect found by McCallum (1995) and others for inter-provincial trade in Canada. Disintegration was associated with a sharp decline in the trade intensity among the affected countries, albeit starting from a very high level. Indeed, borders do matter for

bilateral trade flows, even when they do not imply the imposition of explicit barriers to trade directly. Nevertheless, despite this decline, trade intensity among the former constituent parts of a single state remains far above the *normal* level several years after disintegration.

The decline of trade intensity among the disintegrating countries may in part reflect political developments, besides explicit barriers to trade. The most striking example is the deterioration of trade relations between Slovenia and Croatia. Slovenia was selected as a front runner for the next EU enlargement, whereas Croatia was engaged in the protracted military conflicts in the Balkans. This most likely contributed to the much lower level of trade intensity between Slovenia and Croatia compared to the other former federations in Central and Eastern Europe. Similarly, the decline of trade intensity between the Czech and Slovak Republics and among the Baltics in late 1990s may be motivated by the selection of the Czech Republic and Estonia into the first wave of accession negotiations. The prospect of (relatively) early EU membership makes these countries more attractive as trading partners and locations for investment for both the current EU members as well as third countries, which in turn reduced the importance of the traditional trading partners. In contrast, the trade intensity among Belarus, Russia and Ukraine actually increased sharply in 1998.

References

Anderson, Michael A. and Stephen L.S. Smith (1999), "Do National Borders Really Matter? Canada-US Regional Trade Reconsidered," *Review of International Economics* 7 (20), 219-227.

Baldwin, R. E. (1994), Towards an Integrated Europe, CEPR, London.

- Bayoumi, Tamim, and Eichengreen, Barry (1995), Is Regionalism Simply a Diversion? Evidence from the Evolution of the EC and EFTA, CEPR Discussion Paper No. 1294.
- Boss, Helen and Peter Havlik (1994), "Slavic (Dis)Union: Consequences for Russia, Belarus, and Ukraine, *Economics of Transition* **2** (2), 233-254.
- Cheikbossian, Guillaume and Mathilde Maurel (1998), "The New Geography of East European Trade," *Kyklos* **51** (1), pp. 45-71.

- Cohen, B. (1993), "Beyond EMU: The Problem of Sustainability." *Economics and Politics* **5** (2), 187-203.
- Deardorff, Allan V. (1995), Determinants of Bilateral Trade: Does Gravity Work in a Neoclassical World?, NBER Working Paper No. 5377.
- Dedek, Oldrich, Ed. (1996), *The Break-up of Czechoslovakia: An In-depth Economic Analysis*. Avebury, UK: Aldershot.
- De Ménil, Georges and Maurel, Mathilde (1994), "Breaking up a Customs Union: The Case of the Austro-Hungarian Empire in 1919," *Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv*; 130 (3), 553-575.
- Dornbusch, Rudiger (1992), "Monetary Policy of Post-Communism: Lessons from the End of the Austro-Hungarian Empire." *Weltwirschaftliches Archiv* **128** (3), 389-424.
- Eichengreen, Barry, and Douglas A. Irwin (1996), *The Role of History in Bilateral Trade Flows*, CEPR Discussion Paper No. 5565.
- Evenett, S. J., and W. Keller (1998), *On the Theories Explaining the Success of the Gravity Equation*, NBER Working Paper No. 6529.
- Fidrmuc, Jan, Julius Horvath, and Jarko Fidrmuc (1999), "Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break Up of Czechoslovakia," *Journal of Comparative Economics* 27 (December 1999).
- Fidrmuc, Jarko (1999), "Trade Diversion in 'Left-Outs' in Eastward Enlargement of European Union, The Case of Slovakia," *Europe-Asia Studies* 51 (4), .
- Gligorov, Vladimir (1998), "Trade and Investments in the Balkans," in Gligorov, Vladimir and Vidovic, Hermine (Eds) On the Way to Normality, The States on the Territory of Former Yugoslavia in the Postwar Period, WIIW Research Report No. 250, Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies, Vienna, 1-24.
- Hamilton, Carl B. and Alan L. Winters (1992), "Opening up International Trade with Eastern Europe," *Economic Policy* (April), 78-115.
- Helliwell, John F. (1997), National Borders, Trade and Migration, NBER Working Paper No. 6027.
- Helpman, E. and P. R. Krugman (1985), Market Structure and Foreign Trade: Increasing Returns, Imperfect Competition, and the International Economy, MIT Press, Cambridge.

- Holzmann, R. and K. Zukowska-Gagelmann (1996), "Exportchancen und Importschocks: Eine Untersuchung zur Asymetrie der Handelsanpassung der OECD-Länder im Gefolge der Marktöffnung in Mittel- und Osteuropa," in Holzmann, R. and R. Neck (Eds.), Ostöffnung: Wirtschaftliche Folgen für Österreich, Manzsche Verlags- Universitätsbuchhandlung, Wien.
- Kandogan, Y. (1999), "Lingering Effects of Central Planning on Trade? Evidence from Current CIS Trade Patterns", Weltwirtschaftliches Archiv 135 (3), 501-521.
- Leamer, E. E. (1994), "Testing Trade Theory," in David Greenaway and L. A, Winters (eds.), *Surveys in International Trade*, Blackwell, Cambridge, 66-106.
- Linder, S. (1961), An Essay on Trade and Transformation, Almqvist and Wiksells, Uppsala.
- Linnemann, Hans (1966), An Econometric Study of International Trade Flows, Amsterdam.
- Maurel, Mathilde (1998), "Le régionalisme: les enseignements de l'Est européen," Economie Internationale, N°74, 2ième trimestre, 43-63.
- Maurel, Mathilde (1995), "The Cost of Disintegration of the Ex Austro-Hungarian Empire in the Inter-war Period," DELTA Document No. 95-25, DELTA, Paris.
- McCallum, John (1995), "National Borders Matter: Canada-U.S. Regional Trade Patterns," *American Economic Review* **85** (3), 615-623.
- Nitsch, Volker (1998), National Borders and International Trade: Evidence from the European Union, Humbolt University Berlin, mimeo.
- Rose, Andrew K. (1999), "One Money, One Market: Estimating the Effect of Common Currencies on Trade," *Economic Policy: A European Forum*, forthcoming.
- Smarzynska, Beata K. (1999), "Does Relative Location Matter for Bilateral Trade Flows? An Extension of the Gravity Model," The World Bank, mimeo.
- Soloaga, Isidro and L. Alan Winters (1999), *Regionalism in the Nineties: What Effect* on Trade?, CEPR Discussion Paper No. 2183, London.
- Wei, Shang-Jin (1996), Intra-national Versus International Trade: How Stubborn Are Nations in Global Integration?, NBER Working Paper No. 5531.



Figure 1: Stability of the Parameters of Gravity Models, Restricted Sample^{*}

Note: The solid line shows the development of coefficient estimated as defined by (1). The confidence bands (dotted lines) were computed as estimated coefficient \pm 1.95 times standard errors indicating whether coefficients are significant at 5% level. The covariance matrices of the coefficients are corrected for possible heteroscedasticity. ^{*} The restricted sample only contains bilateral trade flows available during the whole period 1990-1998.



Figure 2: Free Trade Areas in Western Europe, Restricted Sample^{*}

Note: ^{*} The restricted sample only contains bilateral trade flows that are available during the whole period 1990-1998.



Figure 3: Trade Relations between East and West A: Restricted Sample

Note: * The restricted sample only contains bilateral trade flows that are available during the whole period 1990-1998.



Note: * The restricted sample only contains bilateral trade flows that are available during the whole period 1990-1998.



Figure 5: Disintegration in Eastern Europe, Full Sample

Note: We use estimates for trade flows between the Czech Republic and Slovakia according to delivery statistics of large enterprises in Slovakia (1991-1993), which are not fully comparable to later custom statistics (1993-1997) causing a discontinuity in our estimates in 1993.

Table 1 Gravity Model of Trade Flows, Restricted Data Sample

	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
No. of observations	630	630	630	630	630	630	630	630	630
Adjusted R ²	0.8698	0.8853	0.8358	0.8029	0.8361	0.8479	0.8478	0.8407	0.8161
Constant	3.346	3.659	3.796	3.765	3.519	3.285	3.480	3.604	3.552
	(8.742)	(9.540)	(10.251)	(10.358)	(9.962)	(9.128)	(10.121)	(10.519)	(10.242)
GDP of importing country	0.875	0.839	0.838	0.838	0.850	0.868	0.861	0.857	0.845
	(36.485)	(35.237)	(36.879)	(36.946)	(36.663)	(37.394)	(39.104)	(37.823)	(35.882)
GDP of exporting country	0.913	0.894	0.909	0.925	0.911	0.922	0.921	0.909	0.902
	(40.054)	(44.008)	(43.995)	(45.415)	(45.092)	(42.083)	(44.140)	(44.257)	(40.754)
Distance	-0.866	-0.872	-0.884	-0.900	-0.881	-0.876	-0.888	-0.875	-0.868
	(-21.313)	(-21.950)	(-22.333)	(-22.616)	(-22.308)	(-21.557)	(-22.887)	(-22.214)	(-21.796)
Dummy: Common border	0.406	0.445	0.427	0.398	0.421	0.385	0.389	0.397	0.386
-	(3.617)	(3.606)	(3.257)	(3.084)	(3.241)	(3.185)	(3.359)	(3.589)	(3.332)
Dummy: English speaking countries	1.136	1.109	1.105	1.174	1.180	1.251	1.154	1.130	1.276
	(6.178)	(5.953)	(5.469)	(6.122)	(6.262)	(6.946)	(6.397)	(6.065)	(7.001)
Dummy: EC12	0.417	0.370	0.391	0.389	0.357	0.388	0.361	0.375	0.355
	(5.459)	(4.892)	(5.134)	(5.134)	(4.779)	(4.961)	(4.669)	(4.836)	(4.517)
Dummy: CEFTA	0.418	0.451	0.164	0.217	0.378	0.557	0.554	0.641	0.626
-	(1.249)	(2.960)	(1.353)	(1.825)	(3.257)	(3.213)	(3.159)	(3.094)	(2.997)
Dummy: Europe Agreements	-0.528	-0.201	-0.240	-0.052	0.025	0.124	0.140	0.132	0.259
	(-4.832)	(-1.626)	(-2.027)	(-0.484)	(0.237)	(1.220)	(1.466)	(1.310)	(2.632)
Dummy: EFTA	0.189	0.094	0.228	0.253	0.198	0.195	0.116	0.083	0.165
-	(1.845)	(0.900)	(2.041)	(2.138)	(1.592)	(1.463)	(0.823)	(0.578)	(1.147)
Dummy: EC12- EFTA3	0.240	0.196	0.281	0.342	0.319	0.299	0.279	0.275	0.297
	(2.836)	(2.374)	(3.550)	(4.267)	(3.924)	(3.379)	(3.180)	(3.224)	(3.201)
Dummy: EFTA3-Associated	-0.400	0.034	0.091	0.137	0.271	0.112	0.090	0.092	0.219
countries	(-1.975)	(0.225)	(0.628)	(0.959)	(1.671)	(0.759)	(0.659)	(0.652)	(1.749)

Notes: T-statistics (heteroscedasticity robust) in parentheses. Estimated on bilateral trade flows among OECD countries (excl. Mexico and Korea), and Central and Eastern European countries. ^{*} Bilateral trade flows available during the whole period 1990-1998. CEFTA includes the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia. EC12 refers to the 12 countries who were the members of the European Community until 1995. EFTA includes EFTA3 (Austria, Finland, and Sweden) and Norway and Switzerland. Associated countries are Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia and Slovenia.

25

Table 2 Gravity Model of Trade Flows, Whole Data Sample

	1990	1991 ^{cs}	1992 ^{co}	1993 ^{cs}	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998
No. of observations	670	645	838	1140	1140	1219	1248	1247	1238	1247
Adjusted R ²	0.8698	0.8855	0.8360	0.8028	0.8029	0.8361	0.8479	0.8478	0.8407	0.8161
Constant	3.372	3.663	4.426	4.691	4.691	4.786	4.206	4.136	4.311	4.776
	(8.400)	(9.613)	(10.137)	(13.123)	(13.123)	(15.977)	(14.610)	(14.887)	(15.281)	(15.036)
GDP of importing country	0.873	0.852	0.903	0.838	0.838	0.845	0.873	0.853	0.855	0.823
	(35.596)	(36.005)	(38.752)	(43.195)	(43.194)	(47.957)	(51.761)	(50.953)	(51.032)	(40.779)
GDP of exporting country	0.924	0.899	0.865	0.872	0.872	0.860	0.939	0.959	0.958	0.935
	(40.777)	(44.510)	(36.787)	(44.071)	(44.072)	(48.944)	(55.925)	(57.897)	(54.998)	(45.399)
Distance	-0.877	-0.886	-0.976	-0.988	-0.988	-1.007	-1.024	-1.016	-1.025	-1.040
	(-21.689)	(-21.920)	(-20.744)	(-24.005)	(-24.005)	(-26.899)	(-28.098)	(-28.010)	(-27.599)	(-23.753)
Dummy: Common border	0.317	0.454	0.608	0.537	0.537	0.760	0.664	0.631	0.595	0.670
	(2.552)	(3.706)	(3.575)	(2.251)	(2.251)	(5.691)	(5.567)	(5.712)	(5.364)	(5.009)
Dummy: English speaking	1.169	1.128	1.179	1.342	1.342	1.360	1.536	1.479	1.493	1.535
countries	(6.381)	(6.048)	(5.828)	(7.333)	(7.333)	(7.557)	(8.713)	(8.273)	(7.980)	(8.498)
Dummy: EC12	0.437	0.364	0.288	0.377	0.377	0.289	0.396	0.447	0.471	0.309
	(5.650)	(4.821)	(3.498)	(4.570)	(4.570)	(3.852)	(5.070)	(5.815)	(6.143)	(3.730)
Dummy: CEFTA	0.842	0.466	0.050	0.195	0.195	0.163	0.537	0.613	0.681	0.344
	(2.783)	(3.051)	(0.334)	(0.901)	(0.901)	(0.965)	(3.627)	(4.227)	(4.653)	(2.387)
Dummy: Europe Agreements	-0.580	-0.190	-0.303	-0.351	-0.351	-0.337	0.015	0.141	0.193	0.014
	(-5.114)	(-1.564)	(-2.329)	(-3.573)	(-3.573)	(-3.875)	(0.191)	(1.889)	(2.524)	(0.177)
Dummy: EFTA	0.230	0.089	0.070	0.158	0.158	-0.022	0.099	0.120	0.110	-0.011
	(2.100)	(0.876)	(0.637)	(1.104)	(1.104)	(-0.209)	(0.881)	(0.985)	(0.880)	(-0.087)
Dummy: EC12- EFTA3	0.245	0.190	0.233	0.344	0.344	0.294	0.375	0.409	0.411	0.306
	(2.896)	(2.310)	(2.771)	(4.448)	(4.448)	(3.684)	(4.230)	(4.714)	(4.803)	(3.174)
Dummy: EFTA3-Associated	-0.364	0.057	0.350	-0.207	-0.207	-0.119	0.127	0.181	0.237	0.055
countries	(-1.982)	(0.392)	(1.879)	(-1.344)	(-1.344)	(-0.828)	(0.966)	(1.393)	(1.766)	(0.415)
Dummy: Baltic States			3.767	3.188	3.188	2.485	3.024	3.088	3.142	2.556
			(13.130)	(9.454)	(9.454)	(8.241)	(14.533)	(16.165)	(11.585)	(11.202)
Dummy: Russia-Belarus-			3.771	3.467	3.467	3.480	2.407	2.207	2.057	3.427
Ukraine			(15.741)	(9.596)	(9.596)	(16.561)	(18.024)	(18.347)	(9.807)	(8.663)
Dummy: Slovenia-Croatia			2.382	2.021	2.021	1.075	1.413	1.404	1.231	0.708
			(10.535)	(6.124)	(6.124)	(7.004)	(7.823)	(8.872)	(5.695)	(2.810)
Dummy: Former CSFR		3.713	3.466	2.798	2.905	2.359	2.245	2.099	2.006	1.939
		(22.106)	(16.628)	(12.568)	(12.968)	(14.550)	(15.885)	(15.472)	(12.224)	(12.350)

Notes: T-statistics (heteroscedasticity robust) in parentheses. CEFTA includes the Czech Republic, Hungary, Poland, Slovakia and Slovenia. EC12 refers to the 12 countries who were the members of the European Community until 1995. EFTA includes EFTA3 (Austria, Finland, and Sweden) and Norway and Switzerland. Associated countries are Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Hungary, Latvia, Lithuania, Poland, Romania, Slovakia and Slovenia. ^{CS} We use enterprise delivery statistics to estimates the trade flows between the Czech Republic and Slovakia for 1991-1993.

26

2008		
B01-08 2007	Euro-Diplomatie durch gemeinsame "Wirtschaftsregierung"	Martin Seidel
B03-07	Löhne und Steuern im Systemwettbewerb der Mitgliedstaaten der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B02-07	Konsolidierung und Reform der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B01-07	The Ratification of European Treaties - Legal and Constitutio-	Martin Seidel
2006	nal Basis of a European Referendum.	
2000 R03.06	Financial Frictions, Capital Reallocation, and Aggregate Fluc	lürgen von Hagen, Haining Zhang
D03-00	tuations	
B02-06	Financial Openness and Macroeconomic Volatility	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
B01-06	A Welfare Analysis of Capital Account Liberalization	Jürgen von Hagen, Haiping Zhang
2005		
B11-05	Das Kompetenz- und Entscheidungssystem des Vertrages von Rom im Wandel seiner Funktion und Verfassung	Martin Seidel
B10-05	Die Schutzklauseln der Beitrittsverträge	Martin Seidel
B09-05	Measuring Tax Burdens in Europe	Guntram B. Wolff
B08-05	Remittances as Investment in the Absence of Altruism	Gabriel González-König
B07-05	Economic Integration in a Multicone World?	Christian Volpe Martincus, Jenni- fer Pédussel Wu
B06-05	Banking Sector (Under?)Development in Central and Eastern Europe	Jürgen von Hagen, Valeriya Din- ger
B05-05	Regulatory Standards Can Lead to Predation	Stefan Lutz
B04-05	Währungspolitik als Sozialpolitik	Martin Seidel
B03-05	Public Education in an Integrated Europe: Studying to Migrate and Teaching to Stay?	Panu Poutvaara
B02-05	Voice of the Diaspora: An Analysis of Migrant Voting Behavior	Jan Fidrmuc, Orla Doyle
B01-05 2004	Macroeconomic Adjustment in the New EU Member States	Jürgen von Hagen, Iulia Traistaru
B33-04	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability On Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
	Direct Investment Inflows: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B32-04	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Coun- tries: A Mulitnominal Panal Analysis	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B31-04	Fear of Floating and Fear of Pegging: An Empirical Anaysis of De Facto Exchange Rate Regimes in Developing Countries	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B30-04	Der Vollzug von Gemeinschaftsrecht über die Mitgliedstaaten und seine Rolle für die EU und den Beitrittsprozess	Martin Seidel
B29-04	Deutschlands Wirtschaft, seine Schulden und die Unzulänglich- keiten der einheitlichen Geldnelitik im Eurosystem	Dieter Spethmann, Otto Steiger
B28-04	Fiscal Crises in U.S. Cities: Structural and Non-structural Cau-	Guntram B. Wolff
B27-04	Firm Performance and Privatization in Ukraine	Galvna Grygorenko. Stefan Lutz
B26-04	Analyzing Trade Opening in Ukraine: Effects of a Customs Uni- on with the EU	Oksana Harbuzyuk, Stefan Lutz
B25-04	Exchange Rate Risk and Convergence to the Euro	Lucian T. Orlowski
B24-04	The Endogeneity of Money and the Eurosystem	Otto Steiger
B23-04	Which Lender of Last Resort for the Eurosystem?	Otto Steiger
B22-04	Non-Discretonary Monetary Policy: The Answer for Transition Economies?	Elham-Mafi Kreft, Steven F. Kreft
B21-04	The Effectiveness of Subsidies Revisited: Accounting for Wage	Volker Reinthaler, Guntram B.
	and Employment Effects in Business R+D	Wolff
B20-04	Money Market Pressure and the Determinants of Banking Crises	Jürgen von Hagen, Tai-kuang Ho
B19-04	Die Stellung der Europäischen Zentralbank nach dem Verfas- sungsvertrag	Martin Seidel

B18-04	Transmission Channels of Business Cycles Synchronization in	Iulia Traistaru
B17 04	an Enlarged EMU Foreign Exchange Regime the Real Exchange Rate and Current	Sübidey Togan, Hasan Ersel
D17-04	Account Sustainability: The Case of Turkey	Subluey Togan, Masan Ersei
B16-04	Does It Matter Where Immigrants Work? Traded Goods. Non-	Harry P. Bowen, Jennifer Pédussel
510 01	traded Goods, and Sector Specific Employment	Wu
B15-04	Do Economic Integration and Fiscal Competition Help to Ex-	Christian Volpe Martincus
	plain Local Patterns?	
B14-04	Euro Adoption and Maastricht Criteria: Rules or Discretion?	Jiri Jonas
B13-04	The Role of Electoral and Party Systems in the Development of	Sami Yläoutinen
	Fiscal Institutions in the Central and Eastern European Coun-	
	tries	
B12-04	Measuring and Explaining Levels of Regional Economic Inte-	Jennifer Pédussel Wu
	gration	
B11-04	Economic Integration and Location of Manufacturing Activi-	Pablo Sanguinetti, Iulia Traistaru,
D10.04	ties: Evidence from MERCOSUR	Christian Volpe Martincus
B10-04	Economic Integration and Industry Location in Transition	Laura Resmini
R00.04	Countries Testing Creditor Moral Hazard in Souvereign Bond Markets: A	Auro V Europeol Ali M Kuton
D09-04	Inified Theoretical Approach and Empirical Evidence	Ayse T. Evrensel, All M. Rulall
B08-04	Furge an Integration Productivity Growth and Real Conver-	Taner M. Yigit Ali M. Kutan
000 01	gence	
B07-04	The Contribution of Income. Social Capital. and Institutions to	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz. Stefan H.
	Human Well-being in Africa	Lutz
B06-04	Rural Urban Inequality in Africa: A Panel Study of the Effects	Mina Baliamoune-Lutz, Stefan H.
	of Trade Liberalization and Financial Deepening	Lutz
B05-04	Money Rules for the Eurozone Candidate Countries	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-04	Who is in Favor of Enlargement? Determinants of Support for	Orla Doyle, Jan Fidrmuc
	EU Membership in the Candidate Countries' Referenda	
B03-04	Over- and Underbidding in Central Bank Open Market Opera-	Ulrich Bindseil
	tions Conducted as Fixed Rate Tender	
B02-04	Iotal Factor Productivity and Economic Freedom Implications	Ronald L. Moomaw, Euy Seok
D01 04	for EU Enlargement Die neuen Schutzklauseln der Artikel 29 und 20 des Bei	Yang Martin Saidal
D01-04	trittsvertrages: Schutz der alten Mitgliedstaaten vor Störungen	Martin Seider
	durch die neuen Mitgliedstaaten	
2003		
B29-03	Macroeconomic Implications of Low Inflation in the Euro Area	Jürgen von Hagen. Boris Hofmann
B28-03	The Effects of Transition and Political Instability on Foreign	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Ta-
	Direct Investment: Central Europe and the Balkans	ner M. Yigit
B27-03	The Performance of the Euribor Futures Market: Efficiency and	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	the Impact of ECB Policy Announcements (Electronic Version	gen
	of International Finance)	
B26-03	Souvereign Risk Premia in the European Government Bond	Kerstin Bernoth, Juergen von Ha-
	Market (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterladen)	gen, Ludger Schulknecht
B25-03	How Flexible are Wages in EU Accession Countries?	Anna Iara, Iulia Traistaru
B24-03	Monetary Policy Reaction Functions: ECB versus Bundesbank	Bernd Hayo, Boris Hofmann
B23-03	terns: Evidence from Mercosur	Martincus
B22-03	Reformzwänge innerhalb der EU angesichts der Osterweiterung	Martin Seidel
B21-03	Reputation Flows: Contractual Disputes and the Channels for	William Pyle
	Inter-Firm Communication	
B20-03	Urban Primacy, Gigantism, and International Trade: Evidence	Konald L. Moomaw, Mohammed
D10 02	from Asia and the Americas	A. AIWOSADI Bonald I. Maamau Mahamurud
019-02	macy Evidence from Asia and the Americas	A Alwosahi
	macy Evidence nom Asia and the Americas	/ 1. / 1///05001

B18-03	The Effects of Regional and Industry-Wide FDI Spillovers on Export of Ukrainian Firms	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave-
D17 02	Determinants of Inter Designal Migration in the Policie States	Mibaile Hazane
D17-03	South Fost European Food and Sufference Deven estimated and	Willians Mazans
B10-03	Policy Challenges	Iulia Traistaru, Jurgen von Hagen
B15-03	Employed and Unemployed Search: The Marginal Willingness to Pay for Attributos in Lithuania, the US and the Notherlands	Jos van Ommeren, Mihails Hazans
R1/ 02	ECIs and Economic Activity Some International Evidence	Charles Coodhart Boris Hofmann
D14-03	The IS Curve and the Transmission of Monetary Believe Is there	Charles Goodhart, Boris Hofmann
D13-03	a Duzzlo?	Charles Goodhart, Dons Honnann
B12-03	What Makes Regions in Eastern Europe Catching Up? The	Gabriele Tondl, Goran Vuksic
	Role of Foreign Investment, Human Resources, and Geography	
B11-03	Die Weisungs- und Herrschaftsmacht der Europäischen Zen-	Martin Seidel
	tralbank im europäischen System der Zentralbanken - eine	
	rechtliche Analyse	
B10-03	Foreign Direct Investment and Perceptions of Vulnerability to	Josef C. Brada, Vladimír Tomsík
	Foreign Exchange Crises: Evidence from Transition Economies	
B09-03	The European Central Bank and the Eurosystem: An Analy-	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
	sis of the Missing Central Monetary Institution in European	
	Monetary Union	
B08-03	The Determination of Capital Controls: Which Role Do Ex-	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
	change Rate Regimes Play?	
B07-03	Nach Nizza und Stockholm: Stand des Binnenmarktes und	Martin Seidel
	Prioritäten für die Zukunft	
B06-03	Fiscal Discipline and Growth in Euroland. Experiences with the	Jürgen von Hagen
	Stability and Growth Pact	
B05-03	Reconsidering the Evidence: Are Eurozone Business Cycles	Michael Massmann, James Mit-
	Converging?	chell
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz. Oleksandr Talave-
B04-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI?	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra
B04-03 B03-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn Ashok Kaul
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debaivoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28 02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality. Politics and Economic Growth	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Dabajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27 02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Bowerty Trans and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Manstern Convergence and Bick Dramiums in the EU Condi	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Deling, Institutional Van Economia Enstern	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B25-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Pference	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B25-02 B24-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steirer
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29A-02 B29A-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B25-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B22-02 B24-02 B24-02 B23-02 B22-02 B22-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran-	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B26-02 B22-02 B22-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B22-02 B24-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit
B04-03 B03-03 B02-03 B01-03 2002 B30-02 B29B-02 B29A-02 B28-02 B27-02 B26-02 B22-02 B22-02 B21-02 B21-02	Do Ukrainian Firms Benefit from FDI? Europäische Steuerkoordination und die Schweiz Commuting in the Baltic States: Patterns, Determinants, and Gains Die Wirtschafts- und Währungsunion im rechtlichen und poli- tischen Gefüge der Europäischen Union An Adverse Selection Model of Optimal Unemployment Ass- urance Trade Agreements as Self-protection Growth and Business Cycles with Imperfect Credit Markets Inequality, Politics and Economic Growth Poverty Traps and Growth in a Model of Endogenous Time Preference Monetary Convergence and Risk Premiums in the EU Candi- date Countries Trade Policy: Institutional Vs. Economic Factors The Effects of Quotas on Vertical Intra-industry Trade Legal Aspects of European Economic and Monetary Union Der Staat als Lender of Last Resort - oder: Die Achillesverse des Eurosystems Nominal and Real Stochastic Convergence Within the Tran- sition Economies and to the European Union: Evidence from Panel Data The Impact of News, Oil Prices, and International Spillovers	Stefan H. Lutz, Oleksandr Talave- ra Stefan H. Lutz Mihails Hazans Martin Seidel Marcus Hagedorn, Ashok Kaul, Tim Mennel Jennifer Pédussel Wu Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Debajyoti Chakrabarty Lucjan T. Orlowski Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Stefan Lutz Martin Seidel Otto Steiger Ali M. Kutan, Taner M. Yigit

B19-02	East Germany: Transition with Unification, Experiments and Experiences	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch Guntram B Wolff
B18-02	Regional Specialization and Employment Dynamics in Transi-	Iulia Traistaru. Guntram B. Wolff
	tion Countries	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
B17-02	Specialization and Growth Patterns in Border Regions of Ac- cession Countries	Laura Resmini
B16-02	Regional Specialization and Concentration of Industrial Activity in Accession Countries	lulia Traistaru, Peter Nijkamp, Si- monetta Longhi
B15-02	Does Broad Money Matter for Interest Rate Policy?	Matthias Brückner, Andreas Scha- ber
B14-02	The Long and Short of It: Global Liberalization, Poverty and Inequality	Christian E. Weller, Adam Hersch
B13-02	De Facto and Official Exchange Rate Regimes in Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B12-02	Argentina: The Anatomy of A Crisis	Jiri Jonas
B11-02	The Eurosystem and the Art of Central Banking	Gunnar Heinsohn, Otto Steiger
B10-02	National Origins of European Law: Towards an Autonomous System of European Law?	Martin Seidel
B09-02	Monetary Policy in the Euro Area - Lessons from the First Years	Volker Clausen, Bernd Hayo
B08-02	Has the Link Between the Spot and Forward Exchange Rates Broken Down? Evidence From Rolling Cointegration Tests	Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B07-02	Perspektiven der Erweiterung der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B06-02	Is There Asymmetry in Forward Exchange Rate Bias? Multi- Country Evidence	Su Zhou, Ali M. Kutan
B05-02	Real and Monetary Convergence Within the European Union and Between the European Union and Candidate Countries: A Rolling Cointegration Approach	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan, Su Zhou
B04-02	Asymmetric Monetary Policy Effects in EMU	Volker Clausen. Bernd Havo
B03-02	The Choice of Exchange Rate Regimes: An Empirical Analysis for Transition Economies	Jürgen von Hagen, Jizhong Zhou
B02-02	The Euro System and the Federal Reserve System Compared: Facts and Challenges	Karlheinz Ruckriegel, Franz Seitz
B01-02	Does Inflation Targeting Matter?	Manfred J. M. Neumann, Jürgen von Hagen
2001		
B29-01	Is Kazakhstan Vulnerable to the Dutch Disease?	Karlygash Kuralbayeva, Ali M. Ku- tan, Michael L. Wyzan
B28-01	Political Economy of the Nice Treaty: Rebalancing the EU Council. The Future of European Agricultural Policies	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B27-01	Investor Panic, IMF Actions, and Emerging Stock Market Re- turns and Volatility: A Panel Investigation	Bernd Hayo, Ali M. Kutan
B26-01	Regional Effects of Terrorism on Tourism: Evidence from Three Mediterranean Countries	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku- tan
B25-01	Monetary Convergence of the EU Candidates to the Euro: A Theoretical Framework and Policy Implications	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B24-01	Disintegration and Trade	Jarko and Jan Fidrmuc
B23-01	Migration and Adjustment to Shocks in Transition Economies	Jan Fidrmuc
B22-01	Strategic Delegation and International Capital Taxation	Matthias Brückner
B21-01	Balkan and Mediterranean Candidates for European Union Membership: The Convergence of Their Monetary Policy With	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
Dac a:	That of the Europaen Central Bank	
В20-01	An Empirical Inquiry of the Efficiency of Intergovernmental Transfers for Water Projects Based on the WRDA Data	Anna Rubinchik-Pessach
B19-01	Detrending and the Money-Output Link: International Evi- dence	R.W. Hafer, Ali M. Kutan

B18-01	Monetary Policy in Unknown Territory. The European Central	Jürgen von Hagen, Matthias
D17 01	Bank in the Early Years	Brückner Mark Hallarbarg, Datriak Mariar
D17-01	in Latin American and Carribean Countries	Wark Hallerberg, Fatrick Warler
B16-01	Sources of Inflation and Output Fluctuations in Poland and	Selahattin Dibooglu, Ali M. Kutan
	Hungary: Implications for Full Membership in the European	<u> </u>
	Union	
B15-01	Programs Without Alternative: Public Pensions in the OECD	Christian E. Weller
B14-01	Pormal Fiscal Restraints and Budget Processes As Solutions to	Rolf R. Strauch, Jurgen von Hagen
	ence and Possible Lessons for EMU	
B13-01	German Public Finances: Recent Experiences and Future Chal-	Jürgen von Hagen, Rolf R. Strauch
	lenges	
B12-01	The Impact of Eastern Enlargement On EU-Labour Markets.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
D11 01	Pensions Reform Between Economic and Political Problems	schaftspolitisches Forum
D11-01	re Setters	Lilla Cavallar
B10-01	Integration of the Baltic States into the EU and Institutions	Ali M. Kutan. Niina Pautola-Mol
	of Fiscal Convergence: A Critical Evaluation of Key Issues and	
	Empirical Evidence	
B09-01	Democracy in Transition Economies: Grease or Sand in the	Jan Fidrmuc
B08-01	The Functioning of Economic Policy Coordination	lürgen von Hagen Susanne
000 01		Mundschenk
B07-01	The Convergence of Monetary Policy Between Candidate	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan
Doc of	Countries and the European Union	
B00-01	Upposites Attract: The Case of Greek and Turkish Financial Markets	Konstantinos Drakos, Ali M. Ku-
B05-01	Trade Rules and Global Governance: A Long Term Agenda.	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt-
	The Future of Banking.	schaftspolitisches Forum
B04-01	The Determination of Unemployment Benefits	Rafael di Tella, Robert J. Mac-
D02 01		Culloch
D03-01	Surveys of Happiness	Culloch Andrew I Oswald
B02-01	The Konstanz Seminar on Monetary Theory and Policy at Thir-	Michele Fratianni. Jürgen von Ha-
	ty	gen
B01-01	Divided Boards: Partisanship Through Delegated Monetary Po-	Etienne Farvaque, Gael Lagadec
	licy	
2000		
B20-00	Breakin-up a Nation, From the Inside	Etienne Farvaque
B19-00	Income Dynamics and Stability in the Transition Process, ge-	Jens Hölscher
	neral Reflections applied to the Czech Republic	
B18-00	Budget Processes: Theory and Experimental Evidence	Karl-Martin Ehrhart, Roy Gardner,
D17 00	Diel-Cibuure des Leudwisterbeftenelitik is die Verentwertung	Jürgen von Hagen, Claudia Keser
B17-00	der Mitgliedsstaaten? - Rechts- und Verfassungsfragen des Ge-	Martin Seidei
	meinschaftsrechts	
B16-00	The European Central Bank: Independence and Accountability	Christa Randzio-Plath, Tomasso
		Padoa-Schioppa
B15-00	Regional Risk Sharing and Redistribution in the German Fede-	Jürgen von Hagen, Ralf Hepp
R1/ 00	ration Sources of Roal Exchange Rate Eluctuations in Transition Eco	Selabattin Diboogly Ali M Kutan
014-00	nomies: The Case of Poland and Hungary	Seianattin Diboogiu, Ali Wi. Kulan
B13-00	Back to the Future: The Growth Prospects of Transition Eco-	Nauro F. Campos
	nomies Reconsidered	-

B12-00	Rechtsetzung und Rechtsangleichung als Folge der Einheitli- chen Europäischen Währung	Martin Seidel
B11-00	A Dynamic Approach to Inflation Targeting in Transition Eco- nomies	Lucjan T. Orlowski
B10-00	The Importance of Domestic Political Institutions: Why and How Belgium Qualified for EMU	Marc Hallerberg
B09-00	Rational Institutions Yield Hysteresis	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B08-00	The Effectiveness of Self-Protection Policies for Safeguarding Emerging Market Economies from Crises	Kenneth Kletzer
B07-00	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in The EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B06-00	The Demand for Money in Austria	Bernd Hayo
B05-00	Liberalization, Democracy and Economic Performance during Transition	Jan Fidrmuc
B04-00	A New Political Culture in The EU - Democratic Accountability of the ECB	Christa Randzio-Plath
B03-00	Integration, Disintegration and Trade in Europe: Evolution of Trade Relations during the 1990's	Jarko Fidrmuc, Jan Fidrmuc
B02-00	Inflation Bias and Productivity Shocks in Transition Economies: The Case of the Czech Republic	Josef C. Barda, Arthur E. King, Ali M. Kutan
B01-00	Monetary Union and Fiscal Federalism	Kenneth Kletzer, Jürgen von Ha- gen
1999		
B26-99	Skills, Labour Costs, and Vertically Differentiated Industries: A General Equilibrium Analysis	Stefan Lutz, Alessandro Turrini
B25-99	Micro and Macro Determinants of Public Support for Market Reforms in Eastern Europe	Bernd Hayo
B24-99 B23-99	What Makes a Revolution? Informal Family Insurance and the Design of the Welfare State	Robert MacCulloch Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B22-99	Partisan Social Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch
B21-99 B20-99	The End of Moderate Inflation in Three Transition Economies? Subnational Government Bailouts in Germany	Josef C. Brada, Ali M. Kutan Helmut Seitz
B19-99 B18-99	The Evolution of Monetary Policy in Transition Economies Why are Eastern Europe's Banks not failing when everybody	Ali M. Kutan, Josef C. Brada Christian E. Weller, Bernard Mor- zuch
B17-99	Stability of Monetary Unions: Lessons from the Break-Up of Czechoslovakia	Jan Fidrmuc, Julius Horvath and Jarko Fidrmuc
B16-99	Multinational Banks and Development Finance	Christian E.Weller and Mark J. Scher
B15-99	Financial Crises after Financial Liberalization: Exceptional Cir- cumstances or Structural Weakness?	Christian E. Weller
B14-99	Industry Effects of Monetary Policy in Germany	Bernd Hayo and Birgit Uhlenbrock
B13-99	Fiancial Fragility or What Went Right and What Could Go Wrong in Central European Banking?	Christian E. Weller and Jürgen von Hagen
B12 -99	Size Distortions of Tests of the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity: Evidence and Implications for Applied Work	Mehmet Caner and Lutz Kilian
B11-99	Financial Supervision and Policy Coordination in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B10-99	Financial Liberalization, Multinational Banks and Credit Supply: The Case of Poland	Christian Weller
B09-99 B08-99	Monetary Policy, Parameter Uncertainty and Optimal Learning The Connection between more Multinational Banks and less Real Credit in Transition Economies	Volker Wieland Christian Weller

B07-99	Comovement and Catch-up in Productivity across Sectors: Evi- dence from the OECD	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens- Uwe Wächter
B06-99	Productivity Convergence and Economic Growth: A Frontier	Christopher M. Cornwell and Jens-
	Production Function Approach	Uwe Wächter
B05-99	Tumbling Giant: Germany's Experience with the Maastricht Fiscal Criteria	Jürgen von Hagen and Rolf Strauch
B04-99	The Finance-Investment Link in a Transition Economy: Evi- dence for Poland from Panel Data	Christian Weller
B03-99	The Macroeconomics of Happiness	Rafael Di Tella, Robert Mac- Culloch and Andrew I Oswald
B02-99	The Consequences of Labour Market Flexibility: Panel Evidence Based on Survey Data	Rafael Di Tella and Robert Mac- Culloch
B01-99	The Excess Volatility of Foreign Exchange Rates: Statistical Puzzle or Theoretical Artifact?	Robert B.H. Hauswald
1998		
B16-98	Labour Market + Tax Policy in the EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B15-98	Can Taxing Foreign Competition Harm the Domestic Industry?	Stefan Lutz
B14-98	Free Trade and Arms Races: Some Thoughts Regarding EU- Russian Trade	Rafael Reuveny and John Maxwell
B13-98	Fiscal Policy and Intranational Risk-Sharing	Jürgen von Hagen
B12-98	Price Stability and Monetary Policy Effectiveness when Nomi-	Athanasios Orphanides and Volker
	nal Interest Rates are Bounded at Zero	Wieland
B11A-98	Die Bewertung der "dauerhaft tragbaren öffentlichen Finanz- lage"der EU Mitgliedstaaten beim Übergang zur dritten Stufe	Rolf Strauch
B11-98	Exchange Rate Regimes in the Transition Economies: Case Stu- dy of the Czech Republic: 1990-1997	Julius Horvath and Jiri Jonas
B10-98	Der Wettbewerb der Rechts- und politischen Systeme in der Europäischen Union	Martin Seidel
B09-98 B08-98	U.S. Monetary Policy and Monetary Policy and the ESCB Money-Output Granger Causality Revisited: An Empirical Ana- lysis of EU Countries (überarbeitete Version zum Herunterla-	Robert L. Hetzel Bernd Hayo
B07-98	den) Designing Voluntary Environmental Agreements in Europe: So- me Lessons from the LLS_EPA's 33/50 Program	John W. Maxwell
B06-98	Monetary Union, Asymmetric Productivity Shocks and Fiscal Insurance: an Analytical Discussion of Welfare Issues	Kenneth Kletzer
B05-98	Estimating a European Demand for Money (überarbeitete Ver- sion zum Herunterladen)	Bernd Hayo
B04-98	The EMU's Exchange Rate Policy	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B03-98	Central Bank Policy in a More Perfect Financial System	, Jürgen von Hagen / Ingo Fender
B02-98	Trade with Low-Wage Countries and Wage Inequality	Jaleel Ahmad
B01-98	Budgeting Institutions for Aggregate Fiscal Discipline	Jürgen von Hagen
1997	Macrossonomic Stabilization with a Common Currency Doos	Kannath Klatzar
004-91	European Monetary Unification Create a Need for Fiscal Ins- urance or Federalism?	
B-03-97	Liberalising European Markets for Energy and Telecommunica- tions: Some Lessons from the US Electric Utility Industry	Tom Lyon / John Mayo
B02-97	Employment and EMU	Deutsch-Französisches Wirt- schaftspolitisches Forum
B01-97	A Stability Pact for Europe	(a Forum organized by ZEI)

ISSN 1436 - 6053

Zentrum für Europäische Integrationsforschung Center for European Integration Studies Rheinische Friedrich-Wilhelms-Universität Bonn Walter-Flex-Strasse 3 Tel.: +49-228-73-1732 D-53113 Bonn Fax: +49-228-73-1809 Germany www.zei.de