

# Weak continuity of preferences with nontransitive indifference

Bosi, Gianni and Zuanon, Magalì Dipartimento di Scienze Economiche, Aziendali, Matematiche e Statistiche, Università di Trieste, Dipartimento di Metodi Quantitativi, Università di Brescia, Italy

17. October 2011

Online at http://mpra.ub.uni-muenchen.de/34182/ MPRA Paper No. 34182, posted 18. October 2011 / 14:41

# Weak continuity of preferences with nontransitive indifference

Gianni BOSI

Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata "Bruno de Finetti", Università di Trieste, Piazzale Europa 1, 34127 Trieste, Italy

#### Magalì E. ZUANON

Dipartimento di Metodi Quantitativi, Università degli Studi di Brescia, Contrada Santa Chiara 50, 25122 Brescia, Italy

#### Abstract

We characterize weak continuity of an interval order  $\preceq$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  by using the concept of a scale in a topological space.

#### JEL Classification: C60; D00.

**Keywords:** Weakly continuous interval order; continuous numerical representation.

# 1 Introduction

An interval order  $\preceq$  on a set X is in some sense the simplest kind of binary relation with nontransitive indifference since, under certain conditions, it can be represented by a pair (u, v) of real-valued functions on X (this means that, for all  $x, y \in X, x \preceq y$  if and only if  $u(x) \leq v(y)$ ). If in addition X is endowed with a topology  $\tau$ , then one may look for a pair (u, v) of continuous real-valued functions representing an interval order  $\preceq$  on  $(X, \tau)$  (see e.g. Bosi, Candeal and Induráin [2] and Bosi, Candeal, Campión and Induráin [3]).

With a view to possible general conditions guaranteeing the existence of such a continuous representation, Bosi [1] introduced the concept of a *weakly continuous* interval order. In this paper, we characterize weak continuity of an interval order by using the concept of a *scale* in a topological space.

# 2 Notation and preliminaries

We first recall that an *interval order*  $\preceq$  on an arbitrary nonempty set X is a binary relation on X which is *reflexive* and in addition verifies the following condition for all  $x, y, z, w \in X$ :

$$(x \preceq z) \text{ and } (y \preceq w) \Rightarrow (x \preceq w) \text{ or } (y \preceq z).$$

The *irreflexive part* of an interval order  $\preceq$  will be denoted by  $\prec$  (i.e., for all  $x, y \in X, x \prec y$  if and only if  $(x \preceq y)$  and  $\operatorname{not}(y \preceq x)$ ).

Fishburn [6] showed that if  $\preceq$  is an interval order on a set X, then each of the following two binary relations  $\preceq^*$  and  $\preceq^{**}$  on X is a *total preorder* (i.e., a *total* and *transitive* binary relation):

$$x \precsim^* y \Leftrightarrow (z \precsim x \Rightarrow z \precsim y) \text{ for all } z \in X,$$
$$x \precsim^{**} y \Leftrightarrow (y \precsim z \Rightarrow x \precsim z) \text{ for all } z \in X.$$

The irreflexive parts of  $\preceq^*$  and  $\preceq^{**}$  will be denoted by  $\prec^*$  and  $\prec^{**}$ .

If  $\preceq$  is an interval order on a set X, then denote by  $L_{\prec}(x)$   $(U_{\prec}(x))$  the strict lower (upper) section of any element  $x \in X$  (i.e., for every  $x \in X$ ,  $L_{\prec}(x) = \{y \in X : y \prec x\}$  and  $U_{\prec}(x) = \{y \in X : x \prec y\}$ ).

A pair (u, v) of real-valued functions on X is said to *represent* an interval order  $\preceq$  on X if, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow u(x) \le v(y).$$

We say that a pair (u, v) of real-valued functions on X almost represents an interval order  $\preceq$  on X if, for all  $x, y \in X$ ,

$$(x \precsim y \Rightarrow u(x) \le v(y))$$
 and  $(x \prec y \Rightarrow v(x) \le u(y))$ .

The following proposition holds which illustrates the importance of the concept of a pair of continuous real-valued functions almost representing an interval order in connection with the problem concerning the existence of a representation by means of a pair of continuous real-valued functions.

**Proposition 2.1** An interval order  $\preceq$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is representable by means of a pair (u, v) of continuous real-valued functions with values in [0, 1] if and only if there exists a countable family  $\{(u_n, v_v)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$  of pairs of continuous real-valued functions on  $(X, \tau)$  with values in [0, 1] almost representing  $\preceq$  such that for every  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \prec y$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $v_n(x) < u_n(y)$ .

Proof. The "only if" part is clear. Hence, assume that there exists a countable family  $\{(u_n, v_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}}$  of pairs of continuous real-valued functions on  $(X, \tau)$  with values in [0, 1] almost representing  $\preceq$  such that for every  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \prec y$  there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$  with  $v_n(x) < u_n(y)$ . Define functions u and v on X as follows:

$$u(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} u_n(x), \quad v(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n} v_n(x) \qquad (x \in X)$$

in order to immediately verify that (u, v) is a continuous representation of the interval order  $\preceq$  on the topological space  $(X, \tau)$ .

An interval order  $\preceq$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be *continuous* if  $L_{\prec}(x)$  and  $U_{\prec}(x)$ ) are both open subsets of X for every  $x \in X$ . Further, we say that it is *strongly continuous* if it is continuous and in addition the associated total preorders  $\preceq^*$  and  $\preceq^{**}$  are both continuous.

We now recall the definition of a *weakly continuous interval order* presented by Bosi [1].

**Definition 2.2 (weakly continuous interval order)** We say that an interval order  $\preceq$  on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is *weakly continuous* if for every  $x, y \in X$  such that  $x \prec y$  there exists a pair  $(u_{xy}, v_{xy})$  of continuous real-valued functions on  $(X, \tau)$  satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $(u_{xy}, v_{xy})$  almost represents  $\preceq$ ;

(ii)  $v_{xy}(x) < u_{xy}(y)$ .

The concept of weak continuity described in Definition 2.2 is reminiscent of the concept of *weak continuity* of a preorder on a topological space (see e.g. Bosi and Herden [5]). Every interval order that is representable by means of a pair of continuous functions (u, v) and at same time is such that the associated total preorders  $\preceq^*$  and  $\preceq^{**}$  are not continuous provides an example of a weakly continuous interval order which is continuous but not strongly continuous. For example, this is the case of the interval order  $\preceq$  on  $X = [3, 5] \cup [9, 25]$  defined by  $x \preceq y \Leftrightarrow x \leq y^2$  (see Bosi, Candeal and Induráin [2, Example 3.2]) when X is endowed with the induced Euclidean topology on the real line.

# 3 Weak continuity of interval orders

In the sequel, we shall refer to the well known notion of a *scale* in a topological space (see e.g. Gillman and Jerison [7]).

**Definition 3.1** If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space and S is a dense subset of [0, 1] such that  $1 \in S$ , then a family  $\{G_r\}_{r \in S}$  of open subsets of X is said to be a *scale* in  $(X, \tau)$  if the following conditions hold:

(i)  $G_1 = X$ ; (ii)  $\overline{G_{r_1}} \subseteq G_{r_2}$  for every  $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{S}$  such that  $r_1 < r_2$ .

We are now ready to characterize the weak continuity of an interval order on a topological space.

**Proposition 3.2** Let  $\preceq$  be an interval order on a topological space  $(X, \tau)$ . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (i)  $\preceq$  is weakly continuous;
- (ii) For every pair  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  such that  $x \prec y$  there exist two scales  $\{G_r^{*(xy)}\}_{r\in\mathbb{S}}$  and  $\{G_r^{**(xy)}\}_{r\in\mathbb{S}}$  in  $(X, \tau)$  such that the family  $\{(G_r^{*(xy)}, G_r^{**(xy)})\}_{r\in\mathbb{S}}$  satisfies the following conditions:
  - (a)  $z \preceq w$  and  $w \in G_r^{*(xy)}$  imply  $z \in G_r^{**(xy)}$  for every  $z, w \in X$  and  $r \in \mathbb{S}$ ;
  - (b)  $z \prec w$  and  $w \in G_r^{**(xy)}$  imply  $z \in G_r^{*(xy)}$  for every  $z, w \in X$  and  $r \in \mathbb{S}$ ;

(c) 
$$x \in G_r^{*(xy)}$$
 and  $y \notin G_r^{**(xy)}$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{S} \setminus \{1\}$ .

*Proof.* Consider a pair  $(x, y) \in X \times X$  such that  $x \prec y$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Since  $\preceq$  is weakly continuous, there exists a pair  $(u_{xy}, v_{xy})$  of continuous real-valued functions on  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $(u_{xy}, v_{xy})$  almost represents  $\preceq$  and in addition  $v_{xy}(x) < u_{xy}(y)$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume that both  $u_{xy}$  and  $v_{xy}$  take values in [0, 1] and that  $v_{xy}(x) = 0$ ,  $u_{xy}(y) = 1$ . Define  $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$ ,  $G_r^{*(xy)} = v_{xy}^{-1}([0, r[), G_r^{**(xy)} = u_{xy}^{-1}([0, r[)$  for every  $r \in \mathbb{S}$ , and  $G_1^{*(xy)} = G_1^{**(xy)} = X$  in order to immediately verify that  $\{G_r^{*(xy)}\}_{r\in\mathbb{S}}$  and  $\{G_r^{**(xy)}\}_{r\in\mathbb{S}}$  are two scales in  $(X, \tau)$  such that the family  $\{(G_r^{*(xy)}, G_r^{**(xy)})\}_{r\in\mathbb{S}}$  satisfies the above conditions (a), (b) and (c).

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). From the assumptions, there exist two scales  $\{G_r^{*(xy)}\}_{r\in\mathbb{S}}$  and  $\{G_r^{**(xy)}\}_{r\in\mathbb{S}}$  such that the family  $\{(G_r^{*(xy)}, G_r^{**(xy)})\}_{r\in\mathbb{S}}$  satisfies the above conditions (a), (b) and (c). Define two functions  $u_{xy}, v_{xy} : X \to [0, 1]$  as follows:

$$u_{xy}(z) = \inf\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : z \in G_r^{**(xy)}\} \quad (x \in X),$$
$$v_{xy}(z) = \inf\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \cap ]0, 1] : z \in G_r^{*(xy)}\} \quad (x \in X).$$

We have that  $u_{xy}$  and  $v_{xy}$  are both continuous functions on  $(X, \tau)$  with values in [0, 1] (see e.g. the proof of the lemma on pages 43-44 in Gillman and Jerison

4

[7]). We claim that the pair  $(u_{xy}, v_{xy})$  almost represents the interval order  $\preceq$  and satisfies the condition  $v_{xy}(x) < u_{xy}(y)$ .

From condition (c), we have that  $v_{xy}(x) = 0$  and  $u_{xy}(y) = 1$ . It remains to show that the pair  $(u_{xy}, v_{xy})$  almost represents the interval order  $\preceq$ . First consider any two elements  $z, w \in X$  such that  $z \prec w$ . Then, by condition (b), we have that  $v_{xy}(z) \leq u_{xy}(w)$ . Finally, observe that if  $z, w \in X$  are any two elements such that  $z \preceq w$ , then we have that  $u_{xy}(z) \leq v_{xy}(w)$  by condition (a). This consideration completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

# References

- [1] G. Bosi, A note on continuity and continuous representability of interval orders, *International Mathematical Forum* **3** (2008), no. 32, 1563-1568.
- [2] G. Bosi, J.C. Candeal and E. Induráin, Continuous representability of interval orders and biorders, *Journal of Mathematical Psychology*, 51 (2007), 122-125.
- [3] G. Bosi, J.C. Candeal, M. J. Campión and E. Induráin, Interval-valued representability of qualitative data: the continuous case, *International Journal of Uncertainty*, Fuzziness, and Knowledge-Based Systems, 15 (2007), 299-319.
- [4] G. Bosi, J.C. Candeal, E. Induráin, E. Oloriz and M. Zudaire, Numerical representations of interval orders, Order 18 (2001), 171–190.
- [5] G. Bosi and G. Herden, On a possible continuous analogue of the Szpilrajn theorem and its strengthening by Dushnik and Miller, Order 23 (2006), 271-296.
- [6] P.C. Fishburn, Interval Orders and Interval Graphs, Wiley, New York, 1985.
- [7] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, Princeton, D. Van Nostrand Company, 1960.