Determinants of Women's Participation in Self-Help Group (SHG)-Led Microfinance Programme in Tamil Nadu*

M. Anjugam¹ and C. Ramasamy²

Abstract

Factors determining participation of women in microfinance programme in Tamil Nadu have been identified following the multi-stage purposive and random sampling technique and selecting Coimbatore and Ramanathapuram districts for the study. It has been observed that socially backward, landless and marginal farm households participate more in this programme. Possession of livestock and consumer goods by the member households has been found to deter the joining of group. However, the number of households having informal borrowings, especially from moneylenders has been recorded to be higher among the members before joining the group. Analysis of determinants of women's participation in microfinance programme using probit model has revealed that the age of women and value of productive assets other than land have a significant negative influence on their participation. However, social backwardness, indebtedness and presence of other microcredit programmes in the same or nearby villages have a significant positive influence on women's participation in this programme.

Introduction

Microfinance programme in India is growing rapidly and receiving increasing attention from the financial institutions, non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and the Government, as an instrument that can transform lives of the poor. A vibrant and developed microfinance sector

¹Associate Professor, Department of Agricultural Economics (CCPC Scheme) and

² Vice-Chancellor, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641 003

^{*}The paper is based on the Ph.D. thesis of the first author under the guidance of second author, submitted to Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore in the year 2006.

The authors thank the referee for his valuable suggestions.

can significantly influence the economic development and distribution of wealth in the country. Microfinance took roots in 1992-93 with the launching of the Self-Help Group (SHG)-Bank linkage programme by the National Bank for Agriculture and Rural Development (NABARD). Up to March 2005, over 16.18 lakh SHGs had been linked with banks with 24.25 million poor families being brought within the fold of formal banking services. The cumulative bank loan disbursed since the inception of the programme stood at Rs 6,898.46 crores. Over 90 per cent of the SHGs linked to banks under this programme comprise women groups. In this process, 35294 branches of 560 banks comprising 48 commercial banks, 316 cooperative banks and 196 RRBS have been involved in disbursing credits to SHGs (Bhatia and Bhatia, 2005). The new concept of microfinance emphasizes on targeting rural women, provision of finance for creation of assets and their maintenance and bringing in better quality in the financial services (Microcredit Summit, 1997). The World Development Report (2001) has recognized microfinance as a market-based formal mechanism to mitigate the risks faced by the poor, as against the informal group-based mechanism like savings and credit associations (Nair, 2001).

The success of group lending by Grameen Bank has led its adoption by credit institutions across the country. Studies on group lending have revealed that peer monitoring and group pressure had higher significance on performance of the groups, whereas social ties had little significance (Wydick, 1999). Small amount of loan for a variety of purposes disbursed at shorter intervals is practised in the case of group lending. It is a better mechanism to reduce poverty against giving one time loan for productive assets (Madheswaran and Dharmadhikary, 2001). Asset creation and proportion of production loans at the household were found to have increased. Repayment of loan was 95-98 per cent and the social conditions of members have considerably improved after joining the group. Moreover, the dependence on outside borrowings by the households was reduced to an appreciable extent after joining the SHGs (Mathews, 2001; Nedumaran *et al.*, 2001; Anjugam and Alagumani, 2001; Padia, 2002).

A household participates in microfinance programme, if it actually borrows from that source of credit (Diagne and Zeller, 2001). The increased rate of saving, regularity in attending meetings and higher share of production credit than consumption credit were the important factors contributing to the higher participation level. Lack of effective leadership, less involvement of NGOs and consumption-oriented credit were the factors that influenced the lower participation level (Puhazhendhi and Jayaraman, 1999). Women's participation was 23 per cent higher among the households headed by women than those headed by men. Landholdings and villages that did not have

active labour markets for women were associated with increased participation. Poorest of the poor did not participate in the programme. Wages of adult labour in the village, irrigation intensity and roads were found to have negative association with the participation of women in the microfinance programme (Khandker, 1998). Economically weaker sections, i.e. scheduled castes and scheduled tribes accounted for a sizeable proportion of SHG membership (Borbora and Mohanty, 2001). The composition of household assets was found to be the most important determinant of household's access to formal credit than the total value of assets or land size. Higher share of land and livestock in the total value of household assets was negatively correlated with the access to formal credit (Diagne, 1999). A study on the participation of a rural household in SHG in Andhra Pradesh and Uttar Pradesh revealed that the number of SHGs in the village and the households in the second income quintile were the most significant factors influencing the probability of participation of a household in SHG. The access to formal and informal finances by the households decreased the participation of the household in SHGs (Basu and Srivastava, 2005). With this background, the present study was undertaken to analyze the factors determining participation of women in the microfinance programme in Tamil Nadu.

The specific objectives of the study were to (i) analyze the household characteristics, assets and liabilities of the members and non-members of self-help groups, (ii) identify the reasons for joining self-help group by the members, and (iii) analyze the factors determining the women's participation in the microfinance programme.

Data Sources and Methodology

Sampling

The multi-stage purposive and random sampling was followed in the selection of districts, blocks, SHGs and respondents for the present study. Two districts in Tamil Nadu, viz. Coimbatore and Ramanathapuram, representing more and less number of groups linked with bank to the state average under SHG-Bank Linkage programme were selected. Two blocks per district, i.e. blocks with the highest number of SHGs having more than three years of existence and linked with banks were purposively selected. In each block, five SHGs were selected based on the above criteria. In addition, two SHG-Bank linkage models (LM) were chosen for the study, as mentioned below:

- (1) LM-I: BANK-NGO- SHG;
- (2) LM-II: BANK (NGO + DRDA / MATHI)- SHG

Tools of Analysis

Average and percentage analysis was carried out to draw meaningful interpretation of the results. To analyze the reasons for joining the group by the member households, all the possible reasons were made known to the members of self-help groups. They were asked to rank the reasons in the order of their importance. The ranks given by them were quantified using the Garrett Ranking Technique (Garrett, 1969) using formula (1):

Per cent position =
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} [(R_{ij} - 0.5) / N_j] \times 100$$
 ...(1)

where,

 R_{ii} = Rank given for the ith item by the jth individual, and

 N_i = Number of items ranked by the jth individual.

Modelling the Determinants of Women's Participation

In the present study, probit model was applied to identify the factors influencing women's participation in the microfinance programme. All the households in a village did not participate in the programme. Thus, the dependent variable was the participation of women in the microfinance programme and it took the value one or zero (participation or non-participation in the programme).

Probit model was explained on the basis of utility theory or rational choice perspective on the behaviour (Mc Fadden, 1981; Gujarati, 2003). It was assumed that participation or non-participation in the microfinance programme depended on an unobservable utility index (Ii) that was explained by an explanatory variable (X_i) in such a way that larger the value of index

Ii, greater was the probability of participation in the microfinance programme. The index Ii was expressed as per Equation (2):

$$Ii = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + U \qquad \dots (2)$$

where, X_i is the vector of explanatory variable; β_1 is the constant; β_2 is the coefficient; and U is the random disturbance.

There was a critical threshold level of the index Ii such that if Ii exceeded Ii*, households will have participation in the microfinance programme, otherwise not. Though the threshold Ii* as if Ii was not observable, it was possible to estimate the parameters of the index if we assumed that Ii was normally distributed with the same mean and variance. Given the assumption of normality, the probabilities that Ii* was less than or equal to Ii could be computed from the standardized normal cumulative distributive function (cdf) as given by Equation (3):

$$P_i = P_r (Y=1) = P_r (I_i^* < I_i) = F (I_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{T_i} e^{-t^2/2} dt$$
 ...(3)

$$P_{i} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\beta_{i} + \beta_{2} X_{i}} e^{-t^{2}/2} dt \qquad ...(4)$$

where, 't' is a standardized normal variable, i.e. N(0,1).

To obtain information on Ii, the utility index, as well as the coefficients β_1 and β_2 , inverse was taken.

$$I_i = F^{-1}(I_i) = F^{-1}(P_i) = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i$$
 ...(5)

where, F⁻¹ is the inverse of the normal cdf.

In probit analysis, the unobservable utility index Ii was simply known as Normal Equivalent Deviate (NED) or simply Normit. Since the Ii would be negative, i.e. Pi < 0.5 in practice, the number 5 was added to the NED and the result was called probit [Equation (6)]:

Probit = N.E.D. + 5 =
$$Ii + 5$$
 ...(6)

To estimate β_1 and β_2 , it was written as Equation (7):

$$Ii = \beta_1 + \beta_2 X_i + U_i \qquad \dots (7)$$

where, U_i is the stochastic disturbance-term.

The model specified in the present study was given by Equation (8):

$$PAWMF = \beta_0 + \beta_1 AW + \beta_2 D_1 + \beta_3 LH + \beta_4 VPA + \beta_5 PI + \beta_6 D_2 + U_i$$
(8)

where,

PAWMF = Participation of women in microfinance programme, it was 1

if the women participated in the microfinance programme; 0,

otherwise

AW = Age of women (years)

 D_1 = Dummy variable indicating 1 if of socially backward caste; 0,

otherwise

LH = Landholding (acres)

VPA = Value of productive assets other than land (Rs)

PI = Prior indebtedness (Rs)

 D_2 = Dummy variable indicating 1 if the presence of other

microcredit programme / SHGs in the same or nearby village;

0, otherwise

 β_0 = Constant and

 $\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5$ and β_6 = Respective coefficients

Results and Discussion

(a) General Characteristics of Sample Households

The family composition of member and non-member households in the study area is described in Table 1. The average size of family was bigger (around 4.3) in the Ramanathapuram district than Coimbatore district (less than four) across the models. The same pattern was observed in the case of non-member households also. This might be due to the higher rural population in Ramanathapuram (75 per cent) than Coimbatore (34 per cent). On an average, the age of the women in SHGs was around 37 years, indicating that the middle-aged women represented the SHGs across models. The age of women in non-member households also varied from 36 years to 40 years. The literacy rate was higher (73 per cent) in Ramanathapuram than Coimbatore (69 per cent). Though the literacy rate was high, the level of education among the women was middle school level only, irrespective of the member and non-member households.

The number of dependents in the family was higher, i.e. 1.07 and 1.25, in the Ramanathapuram district under LM-I and LM-II, whereas in the Coimbatore district, it was found to be equal to one in both the models. This might be due to the bigger family size and more number of children in the Ramanathapuram district. In the case of non-member households, it was on

Table 1. General characteristics of members and non-members of SHGs

Particulars	LM-I*	*I -	LM-II**	Π^{**}	Non-members	nbers
	Ramanathapuram	Coimbatore	Ramanathapuram	Coimbatore	Ramanathapuram	Coimbatore
Average family size (Number)	4.30	3.95	4.43	3.78	3.98	3.73
Age of the women (Years)	33.58	36.75	36.90	39.15	35.80	39.90
Literacy of women	6.63	7.05	4.73	5.10	3.70	5.90
Earner-dependent ratio	1:1.07	1:0.99	1:1.25	1:0.85	1:1.01	1:1.22
Percentage of scheduled caste	40	5	45	12.50	45	37.50
to total members						
Percentage of female-headed family	5	5	:	15	10	5
Landholdings (per cent)						
Owner	17.5	:	17.5	2.50	22.5	22.5
Tenants	10.0	5.0	12.5	7.50	:	:
Owner-cum-tenants	:	:	5.0	:	7.5	2.5
Landless	72.5	95.0	65.0	95.0	72.5	75.0
Occupation (per cent)						
Agricultural labour households	9	15	20	25	12.5	50
Non-farm households	53	70	99	50	62.5	45
Farm households	7	:	15	:	20.0	:
Households engaged in organized sector	sector	15	5	25	5.0	5.0
TICE OF THE PRIME STILL OF THE PRIME TO THE	ODIO MENGLINIA	TITY Y GAG	Sile and			

Note: *LM-1: BANK-NGO-SHG; **LM-1I: BANK- (NGO+DRDA+MATHI) - SHG

the reverse side, i.e. higher in Coimabtore than Ramanathapuram. Scheduled caste population accounted for 45 per cent, irrespective of the member and non-member households to the total sample. In addition, the share of femaleheaded family in the total SHG member households was five per cent in both the districts, whereas only Coimbatore district under LM-II had about 15 per cent. It showed that a substantial portion of economically and socially backward people participated in this programme. Landless households accounted for the major share in the total sample, irrespective of the member and non-member of SHGs. Of the total sample households, landowners accounted for 17.5 and 2.5 per cent, respectively in Ramanathapuram district and Coimbatore district; only 2.5 per cent of them owned less than one hectare of land, irrespective of the models. It clearly showed that the landless households and marginal farm households participated in this programme to the maximum extent.

Based on the economic activity, which gave more than 50 per cent of the total household income, households were classified into four categories. The households who derived major share of income from non-farm activity accounted for the larger share, followed by agricultural labour households across models, member and non-member households. The households who derived the major share of income from the farming activity accounted for less than 15 per cent in the Ramanathapuram district, whereas it was nil in the case of Coimbatore district. Since majority of the households belonged to landless households, they depended more on non-farm activity, followed by agriculture for deriving income.

(b) Assets and Liabilities

The assets including livestock and other material or consumer assets owned by the sample households prior to group formation are provided in Tables 2 and 3.

Livestock Ownership

Livestock ownership by the member households prior to joining SHG was less than 7.5 per cent in the Ramanathapuram district, and 25-30 per cent in the Coimbatore district. The higher livestock ownership in the Coimbatore district among the member households might be due to more availability of fodder and better health care facilities in this district. Goat and sheep population accounted for the major share in the total livestock ownership among the member households. In the case of non-members, the percentage of households owning livestock was 40 in Ramanathapuram and 36 in Coimbatore districts. It showed that the livestock ownership prior

Table 2. Livestock ownership by sample households prior to group formation

Particulars	LM-	I	LM-]	II	Non-mem	bers
	Ramanath- apuram	Coimb- atore	Ramanath- apuram	Coimb- atore	Ramanath- apuram	Coimb- atore
Milch animals- Herd size (No.)		0.50	0.29	0.29	0.23	0.40
Value (Rs)		4475 (20.0)	2537 (10.0)	2927 (20.00)	1975 (4.00)	3463 (32.5)
Calves - Herd size (No.)		0.25	0.15	0.1	0.25	0.08
Value (Rs)		460 (15.0)	268 (10.0)	122 (10.00)	500 (45.0)	56 (7.5)
Goat / sheep - Herd size (No.)	0.45	3.45	0.98	0.54	1.03	0.3
Value (Rs)	575	3600	1181	444	1165	275
	(10.0)	(15.0)	(12.5)	(20.00)	(45.00)	(5.00)
Total livestock	575	8535	3985	3493	3640	3794
value (Rs)	(7.5)	(25.0)	(5.0)	(30.00)	(40.00)	(35.5)

Note: Figures within the parentheses indicate the per cent of ownership

Table 3. Asset ownership by member and non-member households

(per cent)

Particulars	LM-	I	LM- I	I	Non-members	
	Ramanath- apuram	Coimb- atore	Ramanath- apuram	Coimb- atore	Ramanath- apuram	Coimb- atore
Type of house						
Thatched	52.5	5.0	20.0	22.5	15.0	10.0
Tiled	12.5	55.0	47.5	45.0	42.5	75.0
Concrete	35.0	40.0	32.5	32.5	32.5	15.0
Material assets ^a	5.0	12.5		20.0	12.5	25.0
Amount per capi	ita 2150	2850		1850	2550	3200
(Rs)						
LPG gas connect	tion	5.0	15.0	10.0	15.0	20.0

Note: ^a Material assets included television set, mixer, grinder, steel items and gold ornaments.

to group formation was low by member households than non-members of SHG.

Material or Consumer Assets

Table 3 reveals that 60 per cent of the households possessed thatched/tiled houses and the possession of material goods ranged from 5 to 20 per

cent only. The members having LPG gas connection for cooking purpose were less than 20 per cent, which showed that a majority of the households met their fuel requirement on their own, mainly from the nearby areas and they did not have the capacity to purchase LPG connection. In the case of non-members, more than 65 per cent of the households possessed thatched/tiled houses. Households owning consumer durables were 12.5 per cent in Ramanathapuram and 25.0 per cent in Coimbatore; possession of LPG connection was found to be 15 per cent and 10 per cent, respectively.

(c) Indebtedness of Sample Households

The members who had previous debts from the informal sources were categorized into loans availed from moneylenders in cash, mortgaging their material assets to the pawnbrokers and relatives or friends. The rate of interest charged by the moneylenders was found to be higher than other sources. In the case of moneylenders, it came to 120 per cent and it was collected in weekly installments, whereas in the case of pawnbrokers, the rate of interest was 60 per cent, and from relatives/friends, it varied from 24 to 60 per cent. Of the total number of loans availed from informal sources, borrowings from moneylenders accounted for a major share of 50 per cent in both the districts, followed by relatives. The details are given in Table 4.

It showed that the dependence on informal sources for credit was 78 per cent and 60 per cent in Ramanathapuram and Coimbatore districts, respectively under LM-I, whereas it was around 43 per cent each under LM-II. The per capita loan was found to be higher in Ramanathapuram than Coimbatore, which might be due to the prevalence of more poverty in this district. Loan from moneylenders formed the major source of borrowing, followed by relatives in both the member and non-member households. It clearly showed that the member households depended more on informal sources for meeting their credit needs than the non-members of SHG, which might be the reason for the members to participate in the microfinance programme in order to get relieved from the clutches of moneylenders.

2. Reasons for Joining Self-help Group

For carrying out this analysis, the members of SHGs were asked to prioritize the specific reasons for joining the groups. The data were analyzed using Garrett ranking technique and the results have been presented in Table 5. It was observed that of the four reasons identified by the sample households, getting loan from the group or bank, followed by promotion of incomegenerating activities were the major reasons for joining self-help groups in Ramanathapuram and Coimbatore districts under both the models. Two

Table 4. Indebtedness of member and non-member households

Sources of borrowing	LM-I	1-I	LN	LM-II	Non-members	bers
	Ramanathapuram	Coimbatore	Ramanathapuram	Coimbatore	Ramanathapuram	Coimbatore
Moneylenders						
No. of loans	18	10	12	17	20	41
	(45.00)	(50.00)	(30.00)	(42.50)	(50.00)	(35.00)
Per capita loan (Rs)	2210	1250	2500	1868	026	750
•	(38.04)	(62.5)	(61.70)	(100.00)	(20.65)	(27.27)
Pawnbrokers						
No. of loans	3	:	2	:	2	10
	(7.50)		(24.7)		(5.00)	(25.00)
Per capita loan (Rs)	1600	:		:	2450	1200
•	(27.54)				(53.26)	(43.64)
Relatives						
No. of loans	10	7	3	:	8	3
		(10.00)	(13.60)		(20.00)	(7.50)
Per capita loan (Rs)	2000	750	:	:	1200	800
	(34.42)	(37.50)			(26.09)	(29.09)
Total						
No. of loans	31	12	17	17	30	27
	(77.50)	(00:09)	(42.50)	(42.50)	(75.00)	(67.50)
Per capita loan (Rs)	5810	2000	3500	1868	4600	2750
	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)	(100.00)

Note: Figures within the parentheses indicate the per cent to respective totals

Table 5. Rank order of reasons for joining self-help group by member households

	0		•							N=140
Reasons		LM-I	Į-I			LM-II	1		All	
	Ramanathapurar	apuram	Coimbatore	tore	Ramanathapuran	apuram	Coimbatore	tore	members	ers
	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score	Rank	Score Rank	Rank
To get loan from the group	58.75	П	62.00	I	63.80	П	58.35	L	57.69	-
To take up income-generating activity	49.45	П	57.80	П	53.70	Π	53.85	Π	53.10	П
To mobilize savings	46.35	2	47.60	Ш	44.00	\geq	47.60	Ш	47.62	Ш
To reduce old debts	46.70	Ш	36.10	N	51.40	Ħ	42.20	N	43.22	N

other reasons, viz. mobilization of savings and redemption of old debts were ranked III and IV in Coimbatore and IV and III in Ramanathapuram districts.

3. Determinants of Participation of Women in Microfinance Programme

The factors determining the participation of women in the microfinance programme were analyzed using the probit regression function and the results are given in Table 6. The results indicated that the age of women, caste position, value of productive assets other than land, households having indebtedness prior to group formation and the presence of other microcredit programmes or SHGs in the same or nearby villages were the most significant factors influencing the women's participation in the microfinance programme. All the variables included in the analysis had the expected signs.

As the age of women increased by one per cent, the probability of participation of women in the microfinance programme decreased by 0.60 per cent, indicating that as the age of women increased, they could not participate in the programme effectively.

The dummy variable indicating social backwardness of the households had the significant positive relationship with the probability of participation of women. It indicated that socially backward people were more likely to participate in the programme because of lower income and asset position. This was borne out by the fact that the proportion of scheduled caste members to the total membership was 45 per cent in the selected districts.

Table 6. Determinants of women's participation in microfinance programme — Estimates of probit function

N=220Variables Expected Sign Coefficient Elasticity 't' ratio Constant 2.44 1.1510** 0.6230 -0.0310** Age of women (-) -0.6038-2.65Social backwardness (+)0.6591** 0.1184 3.07 Landholding (-) 0.0730 0.0148 0.67 Value of productive assets (-) -0.0339** -0.0731 -2.88other than land Prior indebtedness (+)0.7247** 3.52 0.2335 Presence of other micro-(+)0.8188** 0.1612 2.44 credit programmes

log-likelihood function = -115.59; Likelihood ratio test = 57.24

^{**} Refers to significance at one per cent level

The value of productive assets other than land was found to have the significant negative impact on the participation of women in the microfinance programme. As the value of productive assets increased by one per cent, the probability of participation of women in the microfinance programme decreased by 0.07 per cent. If the value of productive assets in the household increased, they might keep off from participating in the programme because they might have access to formal credit by giving collateral security against the loan.

Similarly, prior indebtedness among households had a significant positive impact on the participation of women in the microfinance programme. As the outside loan amount in the household increased by one per cent, the probability of participation of women in the microfinance programme increased by 0.23 per cent.

Finally, the presence of other micro credit programmes or self-help groups in the same or nearby villages had a significant positive influence on the participation of women in the microfinance programme. It implied that the participation of women in microfinance programme was influenced by "demonstration effect" of the programme.

Conclusions

The study has revealed that landless and marginal farm households and socially backward households participate more in the SHG-led microfinance programme. Livestock and the material goods ownership by the member households have been found to be less prior to the group formation. Moreover, households having informal borrowings have been found to have higher priority to the group formation. Analysis on the determinants of women's participation in the microfinance programme has revealed that the age of women and value of productive assets other than land have negative relationship with the participation of women, whereas social backwardness, indebtedness and presence of other micro credit programmes in the same or nearby villages have positive association with women's participation in the microfinance programme. It has been suggested that higher number of self-help groups may be formed among the economically and socially disadvantaged households in order to relieve them from the clutches of moneylenders and at the same time to bring the poor under the fold of formal banking institutions.

References

Anjugam, M and T. Alagumani, (2001) Impact of microfinance through self-help groups – A case study, *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **56** (3): 458.

- Basu, P. and P. Srivastava, (2005) Exploring possibilities: Microfinance and rural credit access for the poor in India, *Economic and Political Weekly*, **XL** (17): 1747-1756.
- Bhatia, Navin and Anju Bhatia, (2005) Microfinance Overcoming the mismatches, *The Hindu Business Line*, 18 November.
- Borbora, S. and R. Mohanty, (2001) Microfinance through self-help groups and its Impact: A case of Rashtriya Gramin Bank Vikas Nidhi Credit and Saving Programme in Assam, *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **56**(3): 449-450.
- Diagne, A., (1999) Determinants of household access to and participation in formal and informal credit markets in Malawi, *FCND Discussion Paper* No.67, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC, USA.
- Diagne, A. and M. Zeller, (2001) Access to credit and its impact on welfare in Malawi, *Report No.116*, International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC, USA.
- Garrett, E. and R.S. Woodworth, (1969) *Statistics in Psychology and Education*, Bombay: Vakils Feffer and Simons Private Limited, 329p.
- Gujarati, N. Damodar, (2003) *Basic Econometrics*, New York: McGraw Hill Companies Inc.
- Khandker, R.S. (1998) Fighting Poverty with Microcredit: Experience in Bangladesh, New York: Oxford University Press, World Bank, 228p.
- Madeshwaran, S. and A. Dharmadhikary, (2001) Empowering rural women through self-help groups: Lessons from Maharastra rural credit project, *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **56** (3): 427-443.
- Mathews, S., (2001), A Comparative Study on Working of Women SHGs in Kerala and Tamil Nadu, M.Sc. (Ag). Thesis. Department of Agriculture and Rural Management, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, Tamil Nadu.
- Mc Fadden, M. L., (1981) *Econometric Models of Probabilistic Choice Structural Analysis of Discrete Data*, Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Microcredit Summit Report, (1997), Washington, DC, USA.
- Nair, S. Tara, (2001) Institutionalizing microfinance in India An overview of strategic issues, *Economic and Political Weekly*, special articles, 27 January.
- Nedumaran, S., K. Palanisami and L.P. Swaminathan, (2001) Performance and impact of self-help groups in Tamil Nadu, *Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics*, **56** (3): 471-472.

- Padia, Veena, (2002) Study on Microfinance and Social Mobilization for Empowerment of Women: A Case Study of Dhan Foundation, UNDP Report, New Delhi.
- Puhazhendhi, V. and B. Jayaraman, (1999) Increasing women's participation and employment generation among rural poor: An approach through informal groups, Indian Journal of Agricultural Economics, 54(3):287-295.
- Wydick, Bruce, (1999) Group lending: The significance of microcredit as a tool of the third world development, Economic Journal, 109(457):463-475.