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**INTRODUCTION**

- The threshold autoregressive (TAR) model by Enders and Granger (1998) and Enders and Siklos (2001) is a popular econometric model that estimates asymmetric price transmission (APT) with non-stationary time series data.
- However, empirical studies have not considered much the arbitrariness of sample period selection and possible temporal variation of parameters or asymmetry.
- The U.S. has been the largest producer and exporter of soybeans.
- Pick and Park (1991) showed that the U.S. had no market power in soybean exports over any major importers except for the Netherlands in 1978-1988.
- Song et al. (2009) pointed out that importing companies in China had more market power than did exporters in the U.S. from 1999 to 2005.

**OBJECTIVE**

- A purpose of this study is to estimate the APT from the U.S. domestic soybean prices to the export prices using the TAR model, and to trace the changes of APT using rolling window methodology of TAR.
- Another purpose is to analyze the relation between the APT and the market structure in the world soybean trade.
- The hypothesis is that the APT was positive, which means that the U.S. enjoyed long-lasting positive margins, when the share of the U.S. in the world soybean exports was high, but it changed to negative, which means that the importers enjoyed long-lasting positive margins, when the share of the U.S. decreased and the concentration of importers increased.

**MARKET STRUCTURE**

- The share of the U.S. in soybean exports has been the largest but has been decreasing since the 1970s.
- Meanwhile, the shares of Brazil and Argentina have increased since the 1970s and drastically since the 2000s.
- The concentration of exporters has decreased since the 1970s.
- On the other hand, the concentration of importers has sharply increased in the early 2000s due to the surge in the Chinese soybean imports.

**RESULTS**

- Price transmission is said to be asymmetric if the speed of adjustment of the output price is different after the input price increases or decreases.
- Positive (negative) APT indicates that the squeezed margin between domestic and export prices are restored more quickly (slowly) than the stretched margin.

**MODEL & METHODOLOGY**

- The TAR model is written as follows, using residuals ($\hat{\eta}_t$) of the OLS regression of $p_{0t}$ on $p_t$:

  \[
  \Delta p_t = \begin{cases} 
  \eta_{t+1} + (1 - \eta_{t})p_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\tau} \eta_{t-i} \Delta p_{t-i} + \epsilon_t 
  
  \end{cases}
  \]

- For TAR, $\lambda_0 = \{1$ if $\mu_0 \geq \tau \}
- For M(momentum)-TAR, $\lambda_0 = \{1$ if $\mu_0 \geq \tau \}$
- $\tau$: super-constant estimator of threshold (Chan, 1993).
- If $p_{1t} = p_0 = 0$ is rejected, $p_t$ and $p_{0t}$ are cointegrated.
- If $p_{1t} = p_0$ is rejected and $|p_{1t}| < |p_0|$, APT is positive.
- If $p_{1t} = p_0$ is rejected and $|p_{1t}| > |p_0|$, APT is negative.

**Data**

- $p_0$: U.S. average domestic soybean prices, USDAN-NASS.
- $p_t$: U.S. soybean export price (FOB) prices to major 6 countries or regions (weighted average of China, EU, Japan, Mexico, South Korea, and Taiwan) and the ROW, USDAGATS.
- Monthly data from January 1967 to September 2010 (max., sample).
- Prices are in logarithmic form.
- According to the unit root tests (ADF and KPSS), prices are consistent with this study because they targeted only limited periods.

**Indexes of APT**

- The results of rolling (MM)TAR with 100 window using $p_0$ as weighted average of Major 6.
- The movements of APT are similar with some differences: APT was positive in earlier periods, changed to no APT or slightly negative in the 1980s, returned to positive a bit, and then became negative to a larger degree in the 2000s.
- According to BIC in each regression, M-TAR is preferred in any indexation of APT.

**CONCLUSIONS**

- There is high correlation between the indexes of APT and those of market structure.
- Therefore, the hypothesis presented at first is considered to have been verified: that is, the U.S. enjoyed long-lasting positive margins when the share of the U.S. in the world soybean exports was high, but the importers enjoyed long-lasting positive margins, when the share of the U.S. decreased and the concentration of importers increased.
- However, the result is not applicable to some countries. The U.S. might have more power to set prices over Japan throughout the periods.
- The window size of 100 may be moderate, because it captures detailed changes of APT but disregards its too detailed movements.
- Because the APT was changed as shown in this study, (M-)TAR estimations with total sample or any subsamples in which the total sample is separated must bring unreliable results.
- Previous studies on the U.S. market power may be consistent with this study because they targeted only limited periods.