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#### Abstract

: The aim of this paper is to develop an algorithm for calculating and plotting payoff of option strategies for a portfolio of path independent vanilla and exotic options. A general algorithm for calculating the vector matrix for any arbitrary combination strategy is also developed for some of the commonly option trading strategies.
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## 1. Introduction

Hull (2009) discusses the payoffs for long and short positions in Call and Put options by using algebraic techniques. J.S. Chaput and L.H. Ederington (2003), Natenberg (1994) and Hull (2009) contain the bibliographies and survey of literature on the theoretical background of option strategies for the path independent vanilla and exotic options such as European, Bermuda, Forward Start, Digital/Binary and Quanto options. There are various open source option strategy calculators like "Option" [4] that only rely on algebraic, analytical and graph superposition techniques to plot graphs for overall profit/loss. We in this paper develop an algorithm using vector terminology to plot the final profit/loss graph of various option strategies.

### 2.1. Option strategies using vector notation

For a spot price $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}$ at time T and a strike price K , the payoff for a long position in call option is given by $\operatorname{Max}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{K}, 0\right)$ and the payoff is $\operatorname{Min}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{K}, 0\right)$ for the short position in the call option. Similarly the payoff for a long position in put is Max $\left(\mathrm{K}-\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}, 0\right)$ whereas it is $\operatorname{Min}\left(\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{K}, 0\right)$ for a short position in the put option. We can represent a vector payoff matrix for any option strategy as a 2 xN matrix.

| Vector | $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\cdots$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Strike <br> Price | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\cdots \cdots$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |

In the above matrix the strike prices $\mathrm{K}_{1}, \mathrm{~K}_{2}, \ldots . . \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ for combination of options are in the ascending order, i.e., $\mathrm{K}_{1}<\mathrm{K}_{2}<\ldots . .<\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$. The vector $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}$ can be interpreted as slope of the payoff graph of option strategy. By default the smallest strike price is always taken to be zero i.e. $\mathrm{K}_{1}=0$. The vector is always an integer in the interval $(-\infty, \infty)$. We can interpret the above matrix in terms of slope of the profit/loss curve obtained for option strategies.

$$
\text { slope }=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i}}, \text { for } K_{i}<K<K_{i+1} \text { and } \mathrm{i}<\mathrm{n} \\
\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i}}, \text { for } \mathrm{K}>\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \text { and } \mathrm{i}=\mathrm{n}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Vector matrix for long and short position is given by

Long Position

| $\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\ldots$. | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\ldots .$. | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |

Short Position

| $-\mathrm{V}_{1}$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{2}$ | $\ldots$ | $-\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\ldots .$. | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |

Using the above vector notation we can represent long and short position in call option as under:
Long call

| 0 | +1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |

Short Call

| 0 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |

For long position in call, profit/loss curve has two slopes 0 and +1 whereas for a short position the slope of profit/loss curve has two slopes 0 and -1 .


Figure 1. Long Position in Call Option


Figure 2. Short position in Call Option

Similarly, the vector matrix for long and short position in put options are:
Long Put

| -1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |

Short Put

| +1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |

For long position in stock, the slope of profit/loss curve is +1 and strike price is assumed to be zero whereas for short position in stock, the slope of profit/loss curve is -1 and strike price is assumed to be zero. The vector matrix notation is given as:


When we trade in n units of options using a particular option strategy, the entire vector row is multiplied by n .

| $\mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{~V}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{~V}_{2}$ | $\ldots$. | $\mathrm{n}^{*} \mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\ldots .$. | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n}}$ |

The data set for a portfolio using n option strategies can be represented as

## Strategy 1



## Strategy 2

| $\mathrm{V}_{21}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{22}$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{21}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{22}$ |

...
...

## Strategy i

| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{i} 2}$ | $\ldots .$. | $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i} 1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{i} 2}$ | $\ldots .$. | $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{ij}}$ | $\ldots$ |

## Strategy n

| $\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{n} 1}$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{n} 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~V}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{n} 1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{n} 2}$ | $\ldots$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{\mathrm{nm}}$ |

Note that the number of columns in each option strategy can be different. We can use the above-derived vector matrices to form profit/loss function for any combination of option strategies using the following algorithm:

## Algorithm

To plot the overall payoff strategy we need the initial Y intercept of the strategy apart from the resultant vector matrix. This Y intercept can be calculated using matrices of length greater than one using the formula

```
Yint = \sum ( -1*Vector(A[j])*Strike_price(A[j+1]) )
Yint = Yint + Net_Premium_Paid
```

```
Step 1
For I < 1 to no_of_options
    For j < 1 to length_of_option_matrix
Insert A[j] in Result_matrix in sorted increasing order on the basis of
Strike_price(A[j]).
Step 2
For k < 1 to length_of_Result_matrix
    Vector (B[k])=0
    For I \leftarrow 1 to no_of_options
        For j \leftarrow 1 to length_of_option_matrix
            If Strike_price(B[k]) = S'Srike_price(A[j])
                    Vector(B[k]) = Vector(B[\overline{k}])+ Vector(A[j])
            ElseIf j < length_of_option_matrix
If Strike_price(A[j]) < Strike_price(B[k]) < Strike_price(A[j+1])
                        Vector(B[k]) = Vector(B[k])+ Vector(A[j])
        Else
            Vector(B[k]) = Vector(B[k])+ Vector(A[j])
Step 3
For I < 1 to no_of_options
    j=1
    If length_of_option_matrix > 1
Yint = Yint + -1 * Vector(A[j]) * Strike_price(A[j+1])
Yint = Yint + NetPremium
Step 4
For k < 1 to length_of_Result_matrix - 1
Plot line with slope Vector(B[k]) and Y Intercept Yint
between points Strike_price(B[k]) and Strike_price(B[k+1])
ypoint=Vector(B[k])*( Strike_price(B[k+1]) - Strike_price(B[k]) ) +
Yint
Yint = ypoint - Vector(B[k+1])* Strike_price(B[k+1]
k = length_of_Result_matrix
Plot line with slope Vector(B[k]) between points Strike_price(B[k]) and
infinity
```

The source code for the above algorithm is written and implemented on VC++.Net 2005 using open source graph plotting utility Gnuplot.

Illustration 1: An investor buys $\$ 3$ put with strike price $\$ 35$ and sells for $\$ 1$ a put with a strike price of $\$ 30$. (Example 10.2, page 224 given in Hull [1])

The above data can be represented as

| Buy Put |  | + | Sell Put |  | $=$ | Payoff(Bear Spread) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 0 |  | +1 | 0 |  | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| 0 | 35 |  | 0 | 30 |  | 0 | 30 | 35 |

Initial Y intercept is $-1 *(-1 * 35)+-1 *(1 * 30)-3+1=35-30-3+1=3$
One can use the following form to input the data of his/her option strategy:


Figure 3. Input Screen
The following is the output of the final payoff of combination of option strategy in vector notation as discussed above.


Figure 4. Vector Payoff Matrix
The algorithm gives the following resultant profit/loss graph of the above combination of option strategies in the form of a bear put spread.


Figure 5. Payoff Graph
The loss is $\$ 2$ if stock price is above $\$ 35$ and the profit is $\$ 3$ if stock price below $\$ 30$.

### 2.2. Some More Complex Strategies

The following are the vector matrices for some of the commonly traded strategies:
Long Combo

| $\left(0<\mathrm{K}_{1}<\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)$ |  | + |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sell Put |  |  | Buy Call |  | $=$ | Long Combo |  |  |
| +1 | 0 |  | 0 | +1 |  | +1 | 0 | +1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |

## Long Straddle

| Buy Put |  | + | Buy Call |  | $=$ | Long Straddle |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 0 |  | 0 | +1 |  | -1 | +1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |

## Short Straddle

The vector matrix of short straddle is negative of that of long straddle

| +1 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |


| Strip |
| :--- |
| Buy call |$\quad+$| Buy 2 puts |
| :--- | :--- |$\quad=$| -2 | 0 | Strip |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | +1 |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |  | | -2 | +1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |

Strap
Buy 2 calls

| 0 | +2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |

+ Buy put

| -1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |

$=$
Strap

| -1 | +2 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |

Long Strangle
$\left(0<\mathrm{K}_{1}<\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)$

| Buy put |  | + | Buy call |  | $=$ | Long Strangle |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| -1 | 0 |  | 0 | +1 |  | -1 | 0 | +1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |

## Short Strangle

The vector matrix of short strangle is negative of that of short strangle. $\left(0<\mathrm{K}_{1}<\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)$

| +1 | 0 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ |

## Collar

$\left(0<\mathrm{K}_{1}<\mathrm{K}_{2}\right)$
Long Stock

| +1 |
| :---: |
| 0 |

Buy Put

| -1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ |

Sell call

| 0 | -1 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ |


| Collar |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | +1 | 0 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ |

Box Spread


Box Spread

| 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ |

Long Call Butterfly
$\left(0<\mathrm{K}_{1}<\mathrm{K}_{2}<\mathrm{K}_{3}\right)$

| Buy Call |  | $+$ | Sell 2 call |  | $+$ | Buy Call |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | +1 |  | 0 | -2 |  | 0 | +1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ |

Long Call Butterfly

| 0 | +1 | -1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{3}$ |

## Short Call Butterfly

The vector matrix of short call butterfly is negative of that of long call butterfly $\left(0<\mathrm{K}_{1}<\mathrm{K}_{2}<\mathrm{K}_{3}\right)$

| 0 | -1 | +1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{3}$ |

## Long Call Condor

$\left(0<\mathrm{K}_{1}<\mathrm{K}_{2}<\mathrm{K}_{3}<\mathrm{K}_{4}\right)$

| Buy Call |  | + | Sell call |  | + | Sell Call |  | + | Buy Call |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | +1 |  | 0 | -1 |  | 0 | -1 |  | 0 | +1 |
| 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{1}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{2}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{3}$ |  | 0 | $\mathrm{K}_{4}$ |


| Long Call Condor |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 0 | +1 | 0 | -1 |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{3}$ |  |
| $\mathrm{~K}_{4}$ |  |  |  |  |

## Short Call Condor

The vector matrix of short call condor is negative of that of long call condor ( $0<\mathrm{K}_{1}<\mathrm{K}_{2}<\mathrm{K}_{3}<\mathrm{K}_{4}$ )

| 0 | -1 | 0 | +1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | $\mathrm{~K}_{1}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{2}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{3}$ | $\mathrm{~K}_{4}$ |

Illustration 2: Let a certain stock be selling at $\$ 77$. An investor feels that significant change in price is un-likely in the next 3 months. He observes market price of 3 month calls as

| Strike Price(\$) | Call Price(\$) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 75 | 12 |
| 80 | 8 |
| 85 | 5 |

The investor decided to go long in two calls each with strike price $\$ 75$ and $\$ 85$ and writes two calls with strike price $\$ 80$. Payoff for different levels of stock prices is given as:


Figure 6. Payoff Graph


Figure 7. Vector Payoff Matrix
The profit /loss when stock price is at maturity is

| Stock Price(\$) | Profit/Loss(\$) |
| :--- | ---: |
| 65 | -1 |
| 68 | -1 |
| 73 | -1 |
| 78 | 2 |
| 83 | 1 |
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