

Der Open-Access-Publikationsserver der ZBW – Leibniz-Informationzentrum Wirtschaft
The Open Access Publication Server of the ZBW – Leibniz Information Centre for Economics

Frontczak, Robert; Schöbel, Rainer

Working Paper

On modified Mellin transforms, Gauss-Laguerre quadrature, and the valuation of American call options

Tübinger Diskussionsbeitrag, No. 320

Provided in cooperation with:

Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen

Suggested citation: Frontczak, Robert; Schöbel, Rainer (2009) : On modified Mellin transforms, Gauss-Laguerre quadrature, and the valuation of American call options, Tübinger Diskussionsbeitrag, No. 320, urn:nbn:de:bsz:21-opus-39215 , <http://hdl.handle.net/10419/40309>

Nutzungsbedingungen:

Die ZBW räumt Ihnen als Nutzerin/Nutzer das unentgeltliche, räumlich unbeschränkte und zeitlich auf die Dauer des Schutzrechts beschränkte einfache Recht ein, das ausgewählte Werk im Rahmen der unter

→ <http://www.econstor.eu/dspace/Nutzungsbedingungen> nachzulesenden vollständigen Nutzungsbedingungen zu vervielfältigen, mit denen die Nutzerin/der Nutzer sich durch die erste Nutzung einverstanden erklärt.

Terms of use:

The ZBW grants you, the user, the non-exclusive right to use the selected work free of charge, territorially unrestricted and within the time limit of the term of the property rights according to the terms specified at

→ <http://www.econstor.eu/dspace/Nutzungsbedingungen>
By the first use of the selected work the user agrees and declares to comply with these terms of use.

Wirtschaftswissenschaftliche Fakultät
der Eberhard-Karls-Universität Tübingen

**On Modified Mellin Transforms,
Gauss-Laguerre Quadrature, and the
Valuation of American Call Options**

Robert Frontczak
Rainer Schöbel

Tübinger Diskussionsbeitrag Nr. 320
Mai 2009

Wirtschaftswissenschaftliches Seminar
Mohlstraße 36, D-72074 Tübingen

On Modified Mellin Transforms, Gauss-Laguerre Quadrature, and the Valuation of American Call Options

Robert Frontczak Rainer Schöbel[†]

First Version: September, 2008.

This Version: May, 2009.

Abstract

We extend a framework based on Mellin transforms and show how to modify the approach to value American call options on dividend paying stocks. We present a new integral equation to determine the price of an American call option and its free boundary using modified Mellin transforms. We also show how to derive the pricing formula for perpetual American call options using the new framework. A recovery of a result due to Kim (1990) regarding the optimal exercise price at expiry is also presented. Finally, we apply Gauss-Laguerre quadrature for the purpose of an efficient and accurate numerical valuation.

Keywords: Modified Mellin transform, American call option, Integral representation.

JEL Classification: G13

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 44A15, 60H30

[†]Corresponding author: Rainer Schöbel, Eberhard Karls University Tuebingen, Faculty of Economics and Business Administration, Mohlstrasse 36, 72074 Tuebingen, Germany. E-mail: {rainer.schoebel;robert.frontczak}@uni-tuebingen.de.

1 Introduction

Analytical pricing of European-style derivatives has been made possible by the seminal results of Black and Scholes (1973) and Merton (1973). However, many of today's most common derivatives are American-style and are therefore subject to early exercise. The main difficulty in valuing these derivatives analytically is the presence of the early exercise boundary that specifies the conditions under which the contract should be exercised optimally prior to maturity. The optimal exercise policy is not known *ex ante* and must be determined simultaneously as part of the underlying valuation problem. This fact makes the pricing and hedging of American-style derivatives interesting and challenging.

Besides a great literature on numerical methods based on either finite differences, binomial trees or simulation techniques (see for example Brennan and Schwartz (1978), Cox et al. (1979), Boyle et al. (1997), Broadie and Glasserman (1997) or Longstaff and Schwartz (2001)) two main categories of analytical pricing approaches can be specified. These pricing approaches can be used to derive several but mathematically equivalent formulations of the American option pricing problem.

The first method, similar to the solution of the Stefan's problem from physics, expresses the price of the American option as the solution of a partial differential equation (PDE). The PDE formulation goes back to Merton (1973) who first gives an economic interpretation although McKean (1965) presents a first solution of the free boundary problem in form of an integral expression. Many alternative methods based on the PDE approach were proposed for the purpose of pricing the American option and the free boundary by approximation. These methods include the works of Barone-Adesi and Whaley (1987), Geske and Johnson (1984), Bunch and Johnson (1992), Allegretto et al. (1995) or Ju and Zhong (1999) among others.

The second set of methods comes from probability theory. It focuses on

expressing the current price of an American option as a discounted expectation of the specific option's pay-off under the risk-neutral measure. This optimal stopping characterization is perhaps the most intuitive description of the problem. A complete formulation goes back to Bensoussan (1984) and Karatzas (1988). See also Myneni (1992) for a reference.

At the beginning of the 1990s a breakthrough was achieved by characterizing the American option as the corresponding European option and the early exercise premium. These integral representations due to Kim (1990), Jacka (1991), and Carr et al. (1992) are exact solutions and were the starting point to derive new approximations for the American option price and/or the free boundary. Huang et al. (1996) for example use Richardson extrapolation to solve the integral expression. Ju (1998) approximates the early exercise boundary by a piece-wise exponential function and Bunch and Johnson (2000) derive new expressions for the early exercise boundary using a new characterization of the option's price in terms of its time derivative.

An approach closely related to the PDE formulation is the variational inequality formulation proposed by Jaillet et al. (1990). Yet other methods are those of Broadie and Detemple (1996), Carr (1998) and Ingersoll (1998). Broadie and Detemple (1996) provide a pricing method based on a lower and upper bound. Carr (1998) determines accurate prices using a randomization approach whereas Ingersoll (1998) approximates American options using barrier derivatives. Broadie and Detemple (2004) and Detemple (2006) give an excellent overview of existing tools and methods.

The purpose of this article is to extend the works of Panini and Srivastav (2004) and Frontczak and Schöbel (2008) and develop a new method for characterizing American call option prices and exercise boundaries using a modified version of the Mellin transform. The extension is non-trivial in the sense that the original Mellin transform will not work for call options due to convergence problems.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we develop a pric-

ing formula for European call options to demonstrate the new framework. In a second step the formula will be used to decompose the American call into the early exercise premium and its European counterpart. This is done in Section 3. Here we present a new integral representation of the American call option and its free boundary. Section 4 is devoted to further analysis and applications. We show how to use the new framework to derive the valuation formula for perpetual American call options on dividend-paying stocks. Theoretical results due to Kim (1990) regarding the optimal exercise price at expiry are also recovered. In Section 5 we make some numerical experiments. More precisely, we apply Gauss-Laguerre quadrature for the purpose of valuation of American call options and compare our results to other existing approaches. Section 5 concludes.

2 The European Call Option

In a first step we develop a valuation formula for European call options which will be used in the next section to decompose the American call price.

In our economy the dynamics of the asset price $S_t, t \in [0, T]$, are given by the stochastic differential equation (SDE):

$$dS_t = (r - q) S_t dt + \sigma S_t dW_t, \quad (2.1)$$

with initial value $S_0 \in (0, \infty)$, and where r is the riskless interest rate, q is the dividend yield, $\sigma > 0$ is the volatility, and W_t is a one-dimensional Brownian motion.

A European call option is an option that can be only exercised at maturity and has a linear payoff given by the difference between the terminal asset price and the strike price of the option

$$C^E(S, T) = \max(S(T) - X, 0). \quad (2.2)$$

Standard arbitrage arguments show that any derivative $V = V(S, t)$ written on S must satisfy the partial differential equation (PDE) (see for example Wilmott et al. (1993)):

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + (r - q)S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} - rV = 0. \quad (2.3)$$

This is the fundamental PDE due to Black/Scholes and Merton whose solutions depend on boundary and terminal conditions. If V is a European call option, i.e. $V(S, t) = C^E(S, t)$, we have the conditions

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} C^E(S, t) = \infty \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T), \quad (2.4)$$

$$C^E(S, T) = \theta(S) = \max(S(T) - X, 0) \quad \text{on} \quad [0, \infty), \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$C^E(0, t) = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad [0, T). \quad (2.6)$$

The celebrated solution is known as the (extended) Black-Scholes-Merton valuation formula and is given by

$$C^E(S, t) = S e^{-q(T-t)} N(d_1(S, X, T-t)) - X e^{-r(T-t)} N(d_2(S, X, T-t)) \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$d_1(S, X, T-t) = \frac{\ln \frac{S}{X} + (r - q + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)(T-t)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}, \quad (2.8)$$

$$d_2(S, X, T-t) = d_1(S, X, T-t) - \sigma\sqrt{T-t}, \quad (2.9)$$

and $N(x)$ denotes the cumulative standard normal distribution function at the point x .

The objective of this section is to derive a valuation formula for European call options using Mellin transform techniques. Recall that for a locally Lebesgue integrable function $f(x)$ defined over positive reals the Mellin transform $M(f(x), \omega)$ is defined by the equation

$$M(f(x), \omega) := \tilde{f}(\omega) = \int_0^\infty f(x) x^{\omega-1} dx.$$

The Mellin transform is a complex valued function defined on a vertical strip in the ω -plane, whose boundaries are determined by the asymptotic behavior of $f(x)$ as $x \rightarrow 0^+$ and $x \rightarrow \infty$. The largest strip (a, b) in which the integral converges is called the fundamental strip. The conditions

$$f(x) = O(x^u) \quad \text{for} \quad x \rightarrow 0^+$$

and

$$f(x) = O(x^v) \quad \text{for} \quad x \rightarrow \infty$$

when $u > v$, guarantee the existence of $M(f(x), \omega)$ in the strip $(-u, -v)$. Thus, the existence is granted for locally integrable functions, whose exponent of the order at 0 is strictly larger than the exponent of the order at infinity. Conversely, if $f(x)$ is an integrable function with fundamental strip (a, b) , then if c is such that $a < c < b$ and $f(c + it)$ is integrable, the equality

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \tilde{f}(\omega) x^{-\omega} d\omega$$

holds almost everywhere. Moreover, if $f(x)$ is continuous, then the equality holds everywhere on $(0, \infty)$. For a proof see for example Titchmarsh (1986) or Sneddon (1972). The functions $f(x)$ and $\tilde{f}(\omega)$ are called a Mellin transform pair. Now, observe that since

$$C^E(S, t) = O(1) \quad \text{for} \quad S \rightarrow 0^+$$

and

$$C^E(S, t) = O(S) \quad \text{for} \quad S \rightarrow \infty$$

the Mellin transform for call options does not exist since the integral does not converge. Therefore we propose the modified Mellin transform for call options defined by

$$M(C^E(S, t), -\omega) = \tilde{C}^E(\omega, t) := \int_0^\infty C^E(S, t) S^{-\omega-1} dS, \quad (2.10)$$

where $1 < \text{Re}(\omega) < \infty$. Conversely, the inverse of the modified Mellin transform is given by

$$C^E(S, t) = M^{-1}(\tilde{C}^E(\omega, t)) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \tilde{C}^E(\omega, t) S^\omega d\omega, \quad (2.11)$$

with $1 < c < \infty$.

Applying the modified Mellin transform to PDE (2.3) gives

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{C}^E(\omega, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega) \tilde{C}^E(\omega, t) = 0 \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$Q(\omega) = \omega^2 - \omega(1 - \kappa_2) - \kappa_1, \quad (2.13)$$

and $\kappa_1 = \frac{2r}{\sigma^2}$ and $\kappa_2 = \frac{2(r-q)}{\sigma^2}$. The general solution of this ODE is given by

$$\tilde{C}^E(\omega, t) = c(\omega) \cdot e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)t} \quad (2.14)$$

where $c(\omega)$ a constant depending on the boundary conditions. Now, the terminal condition gives

$$c(\omega) = \tilde{\theta}(\omega, t) \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)T} \quad (2.15)$$

where

$$\tilde{\theta}(\omega, t) = \tilde{\theta}(\omega) = X^{-\omega+1} \left(\frac{1}{\omega-1} - \frac{1}{\omega} \right) \quad (2.16)$$

is the modified Mellin transform of the terminal condition (2.5). Finally, using (2.11), we see that the price of a European call option equals

$$\begin{aligned} C^E(S, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \tilde{C}^E(\omega, t) S^\omega d\omega \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \tilde{\theta}(\omega, t) \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(T-t)} S^\omega d\omega \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

with $(S, t) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, T)$, $c \in (1, \infty)$ a constant, $\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid 1 < \text{Re}(\omega) < \infty\}$, and $\tilde{\theta}(\omega, t)$ and $Q(\omega)$ as defined in equations (2.16) and (2.13), respectively. The next proposition summarizes the results and gives the connection to the BSM-formula.

Proposition 2.1 *Equations (2.17) and (2.7) are equivalent.*

Proof: First, using (2.16), observe that

$$C^E(S, t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} S \left(\frac{S}{X} \right)^{\omega-1} \frac{1}{\omega-1} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(T-t)} d\omega \\ - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} X \left(\frac{S}{X} \right)^{\omega} \frac{1}{\omega} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(T-t)} d\omega.$$

Now write $\omega = c + iy$, $1 < c < \infty$ and $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2(T-t)$ to get

$$C^E(S, t) = I_1(S, X, T-t) - I_2(S, X, T-t),$$

with

$$I_1(S, X, T-t) = S e^{-r(T-t) + \zeta c^2 + c(\alpha - 2c\zeta) - \ln(S/X)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c-1-iy}{(c-1)^2 + y^2} e^{-\zeta y^2 + iy\alpha} dy,$$

where we have set

$$\alpha = \ln \left(\frac{S}{X} \right) + \zeta(2c + \kappa_2 - 1).$$

Similarly,

$$I_2(S, X, T-t) = X e^{-r(T-t) + \zeta c^2 + c(\alpha - 2c\zeta)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c-iy}{c^2 + y^2} e^{-\zeta y^2 + iy\alpha} dy.$$

Using Euler's theorem for the complex valued exponential function $e^{ix} = \cos(x) + i \sin(x)$ we can simplify further and get

$$I_1(S, X, T-t) = X e^{-r(T-t) + \zeta c^2 + c(\alpha - 2c\zeta)} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\zeta y^2} \frac{(c-1)\cos(\alpha y) + y\sin(\alpha y)}{(c-1)^2 + y^2} dy,$$

and

$$I_2(S, X, T-t) = r X e^{-r(T-t) + \zeta c^2 + c(\alpha - 2c\zeta)} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-\zeta y^2} \frac{c\cos(\alpha y) + y\sin(\alpha y)}{c^2 + y^2} dy,$$

where we have used that $\cos(x)$ and $\sin(x)$ are even and odd functions, respectively. From Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (2007), p.504 we have: For $a > 0$, $Re(\beta) > 0$, and $Re(\gamma) > 0$:

$$\int_0^{\infty} e^{-\beta x^2} \sin(ax) \frac{x dx}{\gamma^2 + x^2} = -\frac{\pi}{4} e^{\beta\gamma^2} \left[2 \sinh a\gamma + e^{-\gamma a} \Phi \left(\gamma\sqrt{\beta} - \frac{a}{2\sqrt{\beta}} \right) \right. \\ \left. - e^{\gamma a} \Phi \left(\gamma\sqrt{\beta} + \frac{a}{2\sqrt{\beta}} \right) \right] \quad (2.18)$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\beta x^2} \cos(ax) \frac{dx}{\gamma^2 + x^2} = \frac{\pi}{4\gamma} e^{\beta\gamma^2} \left[2 \cosh a\gamma - e^{-\gamma a} \Phi\left(\gamma\sqrt{\beta} - \frac{a}{2\sqrt{\beta}}\right) - e^{\gamma a} \Phi\left(\gamma\sqrt{\beta} + \frac{a}{2\sqrt{\beta}}\right) \right] \quad (2.19)$$

where $\Phi(x)$ is the error function defined by

$$\Phi(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^x e^{-t^2} dt.$$

Inserting $\beta = \zeta, a = \alpha, \gamma = c - 1$ and $\gamma = c$, respectively, and simplifying yields to

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(S, X, T - t) &= X e^{-r(T-t) + \zeta c^2 + c(\alpha - 2c\zeta)} \frac{1}{2} e^{\zeta(c-1)^2} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\cosh((c-1)\alpha) - \sinh((c-1)\alpha) - e^{-(c-1)\alpha} \Phi\left((c-1)\sqrt{\zeta} - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{\zeta}}\right) \right) \\ &= X e^{-r(T-t) + \zeta c^2 + c(\alpha - 2c\zeta)} e^{\zeta(c-1)^2 - (c-1)\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \Phi\left((c-1)\sqrt{\zeta} - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{\zeta}}\right) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where in the last step we have used the relation $\cosh(x) - \sinh(x) = e^{-x}$. In the same manner we obtain for $I_2(S, X, T - t)$

$$I_2(S, X, T - t) = r X e^{-r(T-t) + \zeta c^2 + c(\alpha - 2c\zeta)} e^{\zeta c^2 - c\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \Phi\left(c\sqrt{\zeta} - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{\zeta}}\right) \right).$$

Now, the exponentials can be simplified further to get

$$I_1(S, X, T - t) = S e^{-q(T-t)} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \Phi\left((c-1)\sqrt{\zeta} - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{\zeta}}\right) \right),$$

and

$$I_2(S, X, T - t) = r X e^{-r(T-t)} \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \Phi\left(c\sqrt{\zeta} - \frac{\alpha}{2\sqrt{\zeta}}\right) \right).$$

The final step in our proof is to use the connection between the error function $\Phi(x)$ and the normal distribution function $N(x)$ given by the relation

$$\Phi(x) = 2N(\sqrt{2}x) - 1,$$

and observing that

$$\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\zeta}} - (c-1)\sqrt{2\zeta} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S}{X}\right) + \zeta(\kappa_2 + 1)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} = d_1(S, X, T-t),$$

and

$$\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{2\zeta}} - c\sqrt{2\zeta} = \frac{\ln\left(\frac{S}{X}\right) + \zeta(\kappa_2 - 1)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}} = d_2(S, X, T-t).$$

This completes the proof. \square

3 The American Call Option

The main difference between European and American options is that an American option can be exercised by its holder at any time before and including expiry. This early exercise feature makes the pricing (and hedging) of American-styled derivatives mathematically more complex, and became a prominent problem in finance and applied mathematics throughout the last thirty years. Nevertheless, analytical closed-form solutions turned out to be rare except in very few cases. For instance, the perpetual American put option problem was separately solved by McKean (1965) and Merton (1973). Samuelson (1965) derived a closed-form expression for the perpetual American warrant. McKean (1965) presented a first solution to the free boundary problem inherent in American option pricing. His form is a valid mathematical representation, however, it allows no economic interpretation for the early exercise premium. Merton (1973) also showed that the American call option on a non-dividend paying stock equals its European counterpart, since the privilege of early exercise is worthless.

The pricing of American options can be seen under several mathematical aspects, leading to different but equivalent mathematical formulations of the problem. The most prominent are

- Optimal stopping formulation

- Free boundary formulation
- Integral equation formulation
- Linear complementarity formulation
- Primal-dual formulation
- Viscosity solution formulation.

For a detailed survey of the different formulations the reader is referred to Firth (2005). As indicated above, the early exercise feature creates a free boundary problem. The free boundary is given by the critical stock price $S^*(t)$ which specifies the conditions under which the option should be exercised prematurely. Formally, it can be defined as an optimal solution of a problem of first passage through a boundary, see for example Bunch and Johnson (2000). The set of critical stock prices is a function of time and separates the domain $(0, \infty) \times [0, T)$ into a continuation region and an exercise region. At any time $t \in [0, T]$ it is optimal to exercise the option prematurely and receive the payoff $S(t) - X$ if $S^*(t) \leq S(t) < \infty$. On the other hand, it is optimal to hold the option if $0 < S(t) < S^*(t)$. Then the option price is the solution to the fundamental BSM PDE from (2.3). Following Kwok (1998) we extend the domain of the PDE by setting $C^A(S, t) = S(t) - X$ for $S^*(t) \leq S(t) < \infty$. Then $C^A = C^A(S, t)$ satisfies the non-homogeneous PDE:

$$\frac{\partial C^A}{\partial t} + (r - q) S \frac{\partial C^A}{\partial S} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 S^2 \frac{\partial^2 C^A}{\partial S^2} - r C^A = f \quad (3.1)$$

with

$$f = f(S, t) = \begin{cases} rX - qS, & \text{if } S^*(t) \leq S(t) < \infty \\ 0, & \text{if } 0 < S(t) < S^*(t) \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

on $(0, \infty) \times [0, T)$. Furthermore, we have the boundary conditions

$$\lim_{S \rightarrow \infty} C^A(S, t) = \infty \quad \text{on } [0, T), \quad (3.3)$$

$$C^A(S, T) = \theta(S) = \max(S(T) - X, 0) \quad \text{on } [0, \infty) \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$C^A(0, t) = 0 \quad \text{on } [0, T). \quad (3.5)$$

Arbitrage arguments show that the option's price must also satisfy the "smooth pasting conditions" at $S^*(t)$ (see Wilmott et al. (1993)):

$$C^A(S^*, t) = S^*(t) - X \quad \text{and} \quad \left. \frac{\partial C^A}{\partial S} \right|_{S(t)=S^*(t)} = 1. \quad (3.6)$$

The modified Mellin transform of (3.1) is given by

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{C}^A(\omega, t)}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega) \tilde{C}^A(\omega, t) = \tilde{f}(\omega, t) \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\tilde{f}(\omega, t) = \frac{rX}{\omega} (S^*(t))^{-\omega} - \frac{q}{\omega - 1} (S^*(t))^{-\omega+1}, \quad (3.8)$$

and $Q(\omega)$ is defined in equation (2.13). The general solution to this non-homogeneous ODE is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}^A(\omega, t) &= c(\omega) e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega) t} - \int_t^T \tilde{f}(\omega, t) e^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx \\ &= \tilde{\theta}(\omega) e^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega)(T-t)} \\ &\quad + \int_t^T \frac{q}{\omega - 1} (S^*(x))^{-\omega+1} e^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx \\ &\quad - \int_t^T \frac{rX}{\omega} (S^*(x))^{-\omega} e^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $Q(\omega)$ is defined in equation (2.13) and $\tilde{\theta}(\omega)$ is the terminal condition given in equation (2.16). Once again, the application of the modified Mellin inversion yields

$$\begin{aligned} C^A(S, t) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \tilde{\theta}(\omega) \cdot e^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega)(T-t)} S^\omega d\omega \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \int_t^T \frac{q S^*(x)}{\omega - 1} \left(\frac{S}{S^*(x)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx d\omega \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \int_t^T \frac{rX}{\omega} \left(\frac{S}{S^*(x)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2} \sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx d\omega. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Notice that the first term in equation (3.9) is the European call price from (2.17) and the last two terms capture the early exercise premium. Therefore, we finally arrive at the new integral representation

$$\begin{aligned}
C^A(S, t) &= C^E(S, t) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \int_t^T \frac{qS^*(x)}{\omega - 1} \left(\frac{S}{S^*(x)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx d\omega \\
&- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \int_t^T \frac{rX}{\omega} \left(\frac{S}{S^*(x)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx d\omega. \tag{3.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where $(S, t) \in (0, \infty) \times [0, T)$, $c \in (1, \infty)$, $\{\omega \in \mathbb{C} \mid 1 < \text{Re}(\omega) < \infty\}$, and

$$Q(\omega) = \omega^2 - \omega(1 - \kappa_2) - \kappa_1$$

with $\kappa_1 = \frac{2r}{\sigma^2}$ and $\kappa_2 = \frac{2(r-q)}{\sigma^2}$. The free boundary is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
S^*(t) - X &= C^E(S^*(t), t) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \int_t^T \frac{qS^*(x)}{\omega - 1} \left(\frac{S^*(t)}{S^*(x)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx d\omega \\
&- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \int_t^T \frac{rX}{\omega} \left(\frac{S^*(t)}{S^*(x)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx d\omega. \tag{3.11}
\end{aligned}$$

It is pointed out that equation (3.10) is equivalent to the early exercise representation due to Kim (1990)¹. More explicitly, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 *Equation (3.10) is equivalent to the following integral rep-*

¹For a survey of integral representations for American call options see Chiarella et al. (2004).

resentation derived by Kim (1990)

$$\begin{aligned}
C^A(S, \tau) &= C^E(S, \tau) \\
&+ \int_0^\tau qS e^{-q(\tau-\xi)} N(d_1(S, S^*(\xi), \tau - \xi)) d\xi \\
&- \int_0^\tau rX e^{-r(\tau-\xi)} N(d_2(S, S^*(\xi), \tau - \xi)) d\xi \quad (3.12)
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tau = T - t$, $S = S(\tau)$, $S \leq S^*(\tau)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
d_1(x, y, t) &= \frac{\ln \frac{x}{y} + (r - q - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t}{\sigma\sqrt{t}}, \\
d_2(x, y, t) &= d_1(x, y, t) - \sigma\sqrt{t}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof: A direct proof of the equivalence is very similar to that one presented in the previous section so we just give the main idea. First we use $\tau = T - t$ and $\xi = \tau - x$ and write for the American call price in (3.10)

$$C^A(S, \tau) = C^E(S, \tau) + \int_0^\tau I_1(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^\tau I_2(\xi) d\xi, \quad (3.13)$$

with

$$I_1(\xi) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{qS^*(\tau - \xi)}{\omega - 1} \left(\frac{S(\tau)}{S^*(\tau - \xi)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)\xi} d\omega \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$I_2(\xi) = \frac{rX}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\frac{S(\tau)}{S^*(\tau - \xi)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)\xi} d\omega. \quad (3.15)$$

Now, with $\omega = c + iy$, $1 < c < \infty$ and $\zeta = \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2\xi$ we have

$$I_1(\xi) = qS^*(\tau - \xi) e^{-r\xi + \zeta c^2 + c(\alpha - 2c\zeta)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c - 1 - iy}{(c - 1)^2 + y^2} e^{-\zeta y^2 + iy\alpha} dy, \quad (3.16)$$

where we have set

$$\alpha = \ln \left(\frac{S(\tau)}{S^*(\tau - \xi)} \right) + \zeta(2c + \kappa_2 - 1). \quad (3.17)$$

Similarly,

$$I_2(\xi) = rX e^{-r\xi + \zeta c^2 + c(\alpha - 2c\zeta)} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{c - iy}{c^2 + y^2} e^{-\zeta y^2 + iy\alpha} dy. \quad (3.18)$$

From now on the argumentation goes along the same lines as in the proof from the previous section and straightforward calculations establish the result. \square

4 Further Analysis and Applications

In this section we extend our analysis of the new integral representation for the American call option and its free boundary. As special cases of equations (3.10) and (3.11), respectively, we recover theoretical properties of the option's price and the early exercise boundary using the new approach. First we consider the infinite living American call option. We derive the closed-form (and well-known) expressions for the free boundary and the price of the option. Next, we show how the new framework can be used to recover a result derived by Kim (1990) regarding the optimal exercise price of American call options at expiry.

Proposition 4.1 *If $T \rightarrow \infty$ the free boundary of the perpetual American call option is given by*

$$S_{\infty}^* = X \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_1 - 1}, \quad (4.1)$$

where

$$\omega_1 = \frac{1 - \kappa_2}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{(1 - \kappa_2)^2 + 4\kappa_1}}{2}, \quad (4.2)$$

and the closed-form solution for the perpetual American call option equals

$$C_{\infty}^A(S, t) = \left(\frac{S}{S_{\infty}^*} \right)^{\omega_1} (S_{\infty}^* - X). \quad (4.3)$$

Proof: The roots of $Q(\omega)$ defined in (2.13) are given by

$$\omega_{1/2} = \frac{1 - \kappa_2}{2} \pm \frac{\sqrt{(1 - \kappa_2)^2 + 4\kappa_1}}{2}.$$

Thus, we have $Q(\omega) = (\omega - \omega_1)(\omega - \omega_2)$ with $-\kappa_1 \leq \omega_2 \leq 0$ and $1 \leq \omega_1 < \infty$. The limiting cases $\omega_1 = 1$ and $\omega_2 = -\kappa_1$ are special roots for $q = 0$. We will determine the unknown critical stock price $S^*(t)$ using the second smooth pasting condition from equation (3.6).

Notice, that for the valuation formula (3.10) to hold as $T \rightarrow \infty$, it is necessary that $Re(Q(\omega)) < 0$, i.e. $1 < Re(\omega) < \omega_1$.

Using the second smooth pasting condition we obtain as $T \rightarrow \infty$

$$1 = \frac{\partial C^A}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S^*} = \frac{\partial C^E}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S^*} + \frac{\partial C_1}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S^*} + \frac{\partial C_2}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S^*} \quad (4.4)$$

where the free boundary $S^* = S_\infty^*$ is now independent of time, and C_1 and C_2 denote the second and third term in the valuation formula (3.10), respectively.

Now, the delta of a European call option on a dividend-paying stock is determined as

$$\frac{\partial C^E}{\partial S} = e^{-q(T-t)} N(d_1(S, X, T-t))$$

with $d_1(S, X, T-t)$ given in equation (2.8). It follows² that as $T \rightarrow \infty$

$$\frac{\partial C^E}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S_\infty^*} \rightarrow 0.$$

Now consider the C_1 term. The limit $T \rightarrow \infty$ gives

$$\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial S} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \int_t^\infty \frac{q\omega}{\omega-1} \left(\frac{S}{S_\infty^*}\right)^{\omega-1} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx d\omega.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S_\infty^*} = \frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{\omega}{(\omega-1)(\omega-\omega_1)(\omega-\omega_2)} d\omega. \quad (4.5)$$

²Observe that this is not true if $q = 0$. In this case we have

$$\frac{\partial C^E}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S_\infty^*} \rightarrow 1.$$

Similarly, the C_2 term is determined as

$$\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial S} = -\frac{rX}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \int_t^\infty \frac{1}{S} \left(\frac{S}{S_\infty^*}\right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(x-t)} dx d\omega,$$

and we have

$$\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S_\infty^*} = \kappa_1 \frac{X}{S_\infty^*} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{(\omega - \omega_1)(\omega - \omega_2)} d\omega. \quad (4.6)$$

An application of the residue theorem (see Freitag and Busam (2000)) gives

$$\frac{\partial C_1}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S_\infty^*} = (\kappa_2 - \kappa_1) \left(\frac{1}{(1 - \omega_1)(1 - \omega_2)} + \frac{\omega_2}{(\omega_2 - 1)(\omega_2 - \omega_1)} \right) \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial C_2}{\partial S} \Big|_{S=S_\infty^*} = \kappa_1 \frac{X}{S_\infty^*} \frac{1}{(\omega_2 - \omega_1)}. \quad (4.8)$$

Finally, we get for the critical stock price

$$S_\infty^* = X \frac{\kappa_1}{\omega_2 + \kappa_1} = X \frac{\omega_1}{\omega_1 - 1}. \quad (4.9)$$

Now, the perpetual American call can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} C_\infty^A(S, t) &= \frac{\kappa_2 - \kappa_1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \left(\frac{S}{S_\infty^*}\right)^\omega \frac{S_\infty^*}{(\omega - 1)(\omega - \omega_1)(\omega - \omega_2)} d\omega \\ &\quad + \kappa_1 \frac{X}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \left(\frac{S}{S_\infty^*}\right)^\omega \frac{1}{\omega(\omega - \omega_1)(\omega - \omega_2)} d\omega. \end{aligned}$$

Another application of the residue theorem gives us the closed-form solution for the perpetual American call option:

$$\begin{aligned} C_\infty^A(S, t) &= \left(\frac{S}{S_\infty^*}\right)^{\omega_1} \frac{X}{\omega_1 - 1} \\ &= \left(\frac{S}{S_\infty^*}\right)^{\omega_1} (S_\infty^* - X). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

Remark 4.2 *Observe that for $q = 0$ the critical stock price of the perpetual American call option becomes infinite and the $C_\infty^A(S, t) = S(t)$.*

Next, we show that Kim's (1990) result concerning the behavior of the free boundary at expiry is a special case of (3.11). The first part of the proof partially follows Chiarella et al. (2004).

Proposition 4.3 *If $t \rightarrow T$ it follows from equation (3.11) that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} S^*(t) = \max\left(X, \frac{r}{q} X\right). \quad (4.10)$$

Proof: Change the time variable in (3.11), $\tau = T - t$, to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} S^*(\tau) - X &= C^E(S^*(\tau), \tau) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\tau \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{qS^*(x)}{\omega - 1} \left(\frac{S^*(\tau)}{S^*(x)}\right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(\tau-x)} d\omega dx \\ &- \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\tau \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{rX}{\omega} \left(\frac{S^*(\tau)}{S^*(x)}\right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(\tau-x)} d\omega dx. \end{aligned}$$

Straightforward manipulations give an implicit equation for $S^*(\tau)$:

$$\frac{S^*(\tau)}{X} = \frac{1 - e^{-r\tau} N(d_2(S^*(\tau), X, \tau)) - r \cdot I_1(\tau)}{1 - e^{-q\tau} N(d_1(S^*(\tau), X, \tau)) - q \cdot I_2(\tau)} \quad (4.11)$$

where

$$I_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\tau \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\frac{S^*(\tau)}{S^*(x)}\right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(\tau-x)} d\omega dx \quad (4.12)$$

and

$$I_2(\tau) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\tau \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{\omega - 1} \left(\frac{S^*(\tau)}{S^*(x)}\right)^{\omega-1} e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(\tau-x)} d\omega dx. \quad (4.13)$$

Notice first that the critical stock price satisfies $S^*(\tau) \geq X, \forall \tau > 0$. To find the value $S^*(0^+) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} S^*(\tau)$, in a first step we evaluate the limits involving d_1 and d_2 . We have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} d_1(S^*(\tau), X, \tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } S^*(0^+) = X \\ \infty, & \text{for } S^*(0^+) > X. \end{cases}$$

Similarly,

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} d_2(S^*(\tau), X, \tau) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{for } S^*(0^+) = X \\ \infty, & \text{for } S^*(0^+) > X. \end{cases}$$

If $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} S^*(\tau) = X$ we have

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} N(d_1(S^*(\tau), X, \tau)) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} N(d_2(S^*(\tau), X, \tau)) = \frac{1}{2}$$

and

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S^*(\tau)}{X} = \frac{\frac{1}{2} - r \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} I_1(\tau)}{\frac{1}{2} - q \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} I_2(\tau)}.$$

It is easily verified that both expressions $I_1(\tau)$ and $I_2(\tau)$ tend to zero as $\tau \rightarrow 0^+$. As a result we have $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} S^*(\tau) = X$ being a possible solution.

In the second case where

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} S^*(\tau) > X,$$

the implicit equation for $S^*(\tau)$ reads

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S^*(\tau)}{X} = \frac{r}{q} \cdot \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{I_1(\tau)}{I_2(\tau)}. \quad (4.14)$$

But

$$I_1(\tau) = \int_0^\tau \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\frac{S^*(\tau)}{S^*(x)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(\tau-x)} d\omega dx$$

and a simple application of the residue theorem (see for example Freitag and Busam (2000)) shows that the inner integral equals

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{1}{\omega} \left(\frac{S^*(\tau)}{S^*(x)} \right)^\omega e^{\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 Q(\omega)(\tau-x)} d\omega = e^{-r(\tau-x)} \quad (4.15)$$

and thus

$$I_1(\tau) = \frac{1}{r} (1 - e^{-r\tau}). \quad (4.16)$$

In the same manner we apply the residue theorem to the second integral to get

$$I_2(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} (1 - e^{-q\tau}). \quad (4.17)$$

Obviously, the above calculations can be used to prove the limits in the first case, i.e. for $\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} S^*(\tau) = X$, as well. Putting the results together we arrive at

$$\lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{S^*(\tau)}{X} = \frac{r}{q} \cdot \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\frac{1}{r}(1 - e^{-r\tau})}{\frac{1}{q}(1 - e^{-q\tau})} = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1 - e^{-r\tau}}{1 - e^{-q\tau}}. \quad (4.18)$$

Now, use the rule of d'Hospital to establish the second assertion. Recalling that the result holds only when $S^*(0^+) > X$, it follows that $r > q$. Combining both results confirms Kim's formula. \square

Remark 4.4 *We see that when the dividend yield q tends to zero the critical stock price becomes infinite and from equation (3.10) we observe that early exercise becomes worthless, i.e. for $q = 0$ the American call equals its European counterpart. This is a recovery of Merton's (1973) result on American calls.*

5 Numerical Experiments

In this section we show how to use Gauss-Laguerre quadrature for an efficient and accurate pricing of American call options. From (3.13), (3.16) and (3.18) we have

$$C^A(S, \tau) = C^E(S, \tau) + \int_0^\tau I_1(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^\tau I_2(\xi) d\xi, \quad (5.1)$$

with

$$I_1(\xi) = qS^*(\tau - \xi)e^{-r\xi - \zeta c^2 + c\alpha} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} \frac{(c-1)\cos(\alpha y) + y\sin(\alpha y)}{(c-1)^2 + y^2} dy, \quad (5.2)$$

and

$$I_2(\xi) = rXe^{-r\xi - \zeta c^2 + c\alpha} \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} \frac{c\cos(\alpha y) + y\sin(\alpha y)}{c^2 + y^2} dy, \quad (5.3)$$

where again we have set

$$\alpha = \ln \left(\frac{S(\tau)}{S^*(\tau - \xi)} \right) + \zeta(2c + \kappa_2 - 1). \quad (5.4)$$

From Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (2007), p.228 and p.229 we have:

$$\int e^{ax} \sin(bx) dx = \frac{e^{ax}(a \sin(bx) - b \cos(bx))}{a^2 + b^2} \quad (5.5)$$

and

$$\int e^{ax} \cos(bx) dx = \frac{e^{ax}(a \cos(bx) + b \sin(bx))}{a^2 + b^2} \quad (5.6)$$

so the equations for $I_1(\xi)$ and $I_2(\xi)$ become, respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\xi) &= qS^*(\tau - \xi)e^{-r\xi - \zeta c^2 + c\alpha} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} e^{-(c-1)x} \cos(\alpha y) \cos(xy) dx dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} e^{-(c-1)x} \sin(\alpha y) \sin(xy) dx dy \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} I_2(\xi) &= rX e^{-r\xi - \zeta c^2 + c\alpha} \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} e^{-cx} \cos(\alpha y) \cos(xy) dx dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} e^{-cx} \sin(\alpha y) \sin(xy) dx dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we use product rules for the sine and cosine function, respectively,

$$\sin(x) \sin(y) = \frac{1}{2} (\cos(x - y) - \cos(x + y))$$

$$\cos(x) \cos(y) = \frac{1}{2} (\cos(x - y) + \cos(x + y))$$

to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1(\xi) &= A_1 \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} e^{-(c-1)x} \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} (\cos(y(\alpha - x)) + \cos(y(\alpha + x))) dy dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} e^{-(c-1)x} \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} (\cos(y(\alpha - x)) - \cos(y(\alpha + x))) dy dx \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_2(\xi) = A_2 \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} e^{-cx} \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} (\cos(y(\alpha - x)) + \cos(y(\alpha + x))) dy dx \right. \\ \left. + \int_0^\infty \frac{1}{2} e^{-cx} \int_0^\infty e^{-\zeta y^2} (\cos(y(\alpha - x)) - \cos(y(\alpha + x))) dy dx \right)$$

where we have set

$$A_1 = qS^*(\tau - \xi) e^{-r\xi - \zeta c^2 + c\alpha}$$

and

$$A_2 = rX e^{-r\xi - \zeta c^2 + c\alpha}.$$

Again, from Gradshteyn and Ryzhik (2007), p.488 we have for $Re(\beta) > 0$:

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-\beta x^2} \cos(bx) dx = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\beta}} e^{b^2/4\beta}, \quad (5.7)$$

and the last equations for I_1 and I_2 can be simplified to

$$I_1(\xi) = A_1 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\zeta}} \int_0^\infty e^{-(c-1)x} e^{-\frac{(\alpha-x)^2}{4\zeta}} dx \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$I_2(\xi) = A_2 \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi\zeta}} \int_0^\infty e^{-cx} e^{-\frac{(\alpha-x)^2}{4\zeta}} dx. \quad (5.9)$$

Finally, observe that the last integrals can be approximated accurately using Gauss-Laguerre quadrature, i.e.

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-(c-1)x} e^{-\frac{(\alpha-x)^2}{4\zeta}} dx = \frac{1}{c-1} \int_0^\infty e^{-x} f\left(\frac{x}{c-1}\right) dx \quad (5.10) \\ \approx \frac{1}{c-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i f\left(\frac{x_i}{c-1}\right),$$

and

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-cx} e^{-\frac{(\alpha-x)^2}{4\zeta}} dx = \frac{1}{c} \int_0^\infty e^{-x} f\left(\frac{x}{c}\right) dx \quad (5.11) \\ \approx \frac{1}{c} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i f\left(\frac{x_i}{c}\right),$$

where f equals

$$f(x) = e^{-\frac{(\alpha-x)^2}{4\zeta}} \quad (5.12)$$

and ω_i and $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, correspond to the weights and abscissa of the Gauss-Laguerre quadrature. As a final result we have the following approximation for the American call option:

$$C^A(S, \tau) = C^E(S, \tau) + \int_0^\tau I_1(\xi) d\xi - \int_0^\tau I_2(\xi) d\xi, \quad (5.13)$$

with

$$I_1(\xi) = qS^*(\tau - \xi)e^{-r\xi - \zeta c^2 + c\alpha} \frac{1}{2(c-1)\sqrt{\pi\zeta}} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i f\left(\frac{x_i}{c-1}\right) \quad (5.14)$$

and

$$I_2(\xi) = rXe^{-r\xi - \zeta c^2 + c\alpha} \frac{1}{2c\sqrt{\pi\zeta}} \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i f\left(\frac{x_i}{c}\right), \quad (5.15)$$

with $1 < c < \infty, \zeta = 1/2\sigma^2\xi$, and α and f given in equations (5.4) and (5.12), respectively. The weights $\omega_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, are determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_i &= \frac{1}{x_i(L'_n(x_i))^2} \\ &= \frac{x_i}{(n+1)^2(L_{n+1}(x_i))^2}, \end{aligned}$$

with $L_n(x)$ the n -th Laguerre polynomial defined by

$$L_n(x) = \frac{e^x}{n!} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} (e^{-x} x^n).$$

The integrals in equation (5.13) are determined using the trapezoidal rule. Additionally, in equation (5.13) we assume that the critical stock price $S^*(\tau)$ is known for all τ . The calculation is performed using equation (3.11) where the complex integrals are approximated recursively using an n -point Gauss-Laguerre scheme and the time integral is evaluated using the trapezoidal rule. As a specific numerical example, we value a six months American call option

with strike price $X = 100$. The parameters S , r , q , and σ are varied. For the valuation we use a 16-point Gauss-Laguerre scheme combined with a 300 time step approximation of the time integral. Furthermore we fix the parameter $c = 4$. The results are shown in Table 1 at the end of this article. We compare our results to nine other numerical and analytical approaches known in the literature. The numerical results show that our method provides accurate comparable prices and that the new framework can be regarded as a good alternative to existing methods.

6 Conclusion

We have extended a technique proposed by Panini and Srivastav (2004) and introduced a modified version of Mellin transforms for the purpose of valuing American call options. Using the new framework we have derived a new integral representation for European and American call options on dividend-paying stocks. To emphasize the generality of our results, we have shown the equivalence of the new integral representation and a classical integral characterization due to Kim (1990). Additionally, we have recovered important theoretical properties of American call options using the new method. Finally, we have proposed Gauss-Laguerre quadrature for an accurate pricing and showed that the numerical scheme is a good alternative to other approaches existing in the literature.

The analysis presented in this contribution is based on the Black/Scholes and Merton framework. The valuation formulas for the American call option and its free boundary may be used to derive new approximations of the option's price and the free boundary. Also, the method can be extended to pricing more complex European- and American-styled derivatives. Extensions are also possible to other stochastic price processes, stochastic volatility models, and jump diffusions.

(S, r, q, σ)	True	BAW	GJ4	BJ2	HSY4	LUBA	RAN4	EXP3	JZ	GALA
(80, 0.03, 0.07, 0.2)	0.2194	0.2300	0.2191	0.2186	0.2199	0.2195	0.2188	0.2196	0.2216	0.2185
(90, 0.03, 0.07, 0.2)	1.3864	1.4050	1.3849	1.3818	1.3898	1.3862	1.3802	1.3872	1.3857	1.3851
(100, 0.03, 0.07, 0.2)	4.7825	4.7821	4.7851	4.7862	4.8044	4.7821	4.7728	4.7837	4.7682	4.7835
(110, 0.03, 0.07, 0.2)	11.0978	11.0409	11.0889	11.2553	11.0686	11.0976	11.0893	11.0993	11.0794	11.1120
(120, 0.03, 0.07, 0.2)	20.0004	20.0000	20.0073	20.0000	20.0531	20.0000	20.0000	20.0005	20.0000	20.0000
(80, 0.03, 0.07, 0.4)	2.6889	2.7108	2.6864	2.6827	2.6897	2.6893	2.6787	2.6899	2.6871	2.6788
(90, 0.03, 0.07, 0.4)	5.7223	5.7416	5.7212	5.7163	5.7361	5.7231	5.7113	5.7237	5.7110	5.7195
(100, 0.03, 0.07, 0.4)	10.2385	10.2417	10.2451	10.2351	10.2752	10.2402	10.2205	10.2404	10.2143	10.2265
(110, 0.03, 0.07, 0.4)	16.1812	16.1520	16.1831	16.2107	16.2012	16.1817	16.1629	16.1831	16.1456	16.1756
(120, 0.03, 0.07, 0.4)	23.3598	23.2883	23.3419	23.4771	23.3288	23.3574	23.3389	23.3622	23.3211	23.3828
(80, 0.07, 0.03, 0.3)	1.6644	1.6645	1.6644	1.6644	1.6644	1.6644	1.6604	1.6644	1.6644	1.6644
(90, 0.07, 0.03, 0.3)	4.4947	4.4950	4.4946	4.4947	4.4947	4.4947	4.4959	4.4947	4.4947	4.4947
(100, 0.07, 0.03, 0.3)	9.2504	9.2513	9.2509	9.2506	9.2506	9.2506	9.2513	9.2506	9.2507	9.2506
(110, 0.07, 0.03, 0.3)	15.7977	15.7988	15.7973	15.7975	15.7975	15.7975	15.7994	15.7975	15.7977	15.7980
(120, 0.07, 0.03, 0.3)	23.7061	23.7086	23.7082	23.7062	23.7062	23.7062	23.7027	23.7062	23.7066	23.7060

Table 1: This table provides American call option prices computed ten different ways: The "True" value is based on a binomial tree method with $N = 10000$ time steps. The following columns represent the method proposed by Barone-Adesi and Whaley (1987) (BAW), the four-point method of Geske and Johnson (1984) (GJ4), the modified two-point Geske-Johnson approach of Bunch and Johnson (1992) (BJ2), the four-point schemes of Huang et al. (1996) (HSY4), the lower and upper bound approximation of Broadie and Detemple (1996) (LUBA), the four-point randomization method of Carr (1998) (RAN4), the three-point multi-piece exponential boundary approximation of Ju (1998) (EXP3), an approximation of Ju and Zhong (1999) (JZ), and the numerical procedure based on Gauss-Laguerre quadrature of this article, respectively.

References

- Allegretto, W., Barone-Adesi, G. and Elliott, R.: 1995, Numerical Evaluation of the Critical Price and American Options, *The European Journal of Finance* **1**, 69–78.
- Barone-Adesi, G. and Whaley, R.: 1987, Efficient Analytic Approximation of American Option Values, *The Journal of Finance* **42**, 301–320.
- Bensoussan, A.: 1984, On the theory of option pricing, *Acta Applic. Math.* **2**, 139–158.
- Black, F. and Scholes, M.: 1973, The Pricing of Options and Corporate Liabilities, *Journal of Political Economy* **81(3)**, 637–659.
- Boyle, P., Broadie, M. and Glasserman, P.: 1997, Monte Carlo methods for security pricing, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **21**, 1267–1321.
- Brennan, M. and Schwartz, E.: 1978, Finite Difference Methods and Jump Processes Arising in the Pricing of Contingent Claims: A Synthesis, *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis* **13(3)**, 461–473.
- Broadie, M. and Detemple, J.: 1996, American Option Valuation: Approximations, and a Comparison of Existing Methods, *Review of Financial Studies* **9(4)**, 1211–1250.
- Broadie, M. and Detemple, J.: 2004, Option Pricing: Valuation Models and Applications, *Management Science* **50(9)**, 1145–1177.
- Broadie, M. and Glasserman, P.: 1997, Pricing American-style securities using simulation, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control* **21**, 1323–1352.

- Bunch, D. and Johnson, H.: 1992, A Simple and Numerically Efficient Valuation Method for American Puts Using a Modified Geske-Johnson Approach, *The Journal of Finance* **47(2)**, 809–816.
- Bunch, D. and Johnson, H.: 2000, The American Put Option and Its Critical Stock Price, *The Journal of Finance* **55(5)**, 2333–2356.
- Carr, P.: 1998, Randomization and the American Put, *The Review of Financial Studies* **11(3)**, 597–626.
- Carr, P., Jarrow, R. and Myneni, R.: 1992, Alternative characterizations of American put options, *Mathematical Finance* **2**, 87–105.
- Chiarella, C., Ziogas, A. and Kucera, A.: 2004, A Survey of the Integral Representation of American Option Prices, *working paper*, pp. 1–54.
- Cox, J., Ross, S. and Rubinstein, M.: 1979, Option Pricing: A Simplified Approach, *Journal of Financial Economics* **7**, 229–263.
- Detemple, J.: 2006, *American-Style Derivatives, Valuation and Computation*, 1st edn, Chapman and Hall/CRC.
- Firth, N.: 2005, *High dimensional American options*, PhD thesis, University of Oxford.
- Freitag, E. and Busam, R.: 2000, *Funktionentheorie 1*, 3rd edn, Springer Verlag.
- Frontczak, R. and Schöbel, R.: 2008, Pricing American Options with Mellin Transforms. Working Paper.
- Geske, R. and Johnson, H.: 1984, The American put option valued analytically, *The Journal of Finance* **39**, 1511–1524.
- Gradshteyn, I. and Ryzhik, I.: 2007, *Table of Integrals, Series, and Products*, 7th edn, Academic Press.

- Huang, J., Subrahmanyam, M. and Yu, G.: 1996, Pricing and Hedging American Options: A Recursive Integration Method, *The Review of Financial Studies* **9**, 277–300.
- Ingersoll, J.: 1998, Approximating American options and other financial contracts using barrier derivatives, *Journal of Computational Finance* **2(1)**, 85–112.
- Jacka, S.: 1991, Optimal Stopping and the American Put, *Journal of Mathematical Finance* **1,2**, 1–14.
- Jaillet, P., Lamberton, D. and B., L.: 1990, Variational Inequalities and the Pricing of American Options, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* **21**, 263–289.
- Ju, N.: 1998, Pricing an American option by approximating its early exercise boundary as a multipiece exponential function, *Review of Financial Studies* **11**, 627–646.
- Ju, N. and Zhong, R.: 1999, An Approximate Formula for Pricing American Options, *Journal of Derivatives* .
- Karatzas, I.: 1988, On the pricing of American options, *Appl. Math. Optimization* **17**, 37–60.
- Kim, I.: 1990, The Analytic Evaluation of American Options, *Review of Financial Studies* **3**, 547–572.
- Kwok, Y.: 1998, *Mathematical Models of Financial Derivatives*, 1st edn, Springer-Verlag, Singapore.
- Longstaff, F. and Schwartz, E.: 2001, Valuing American Options by Simulation: Simple Least-Squares Approach, *The Review of Financial Studies* **14**, 113–147.

- McKean, H.: 1965, Appendix: A free boundary problem for the heat equation arising from a problem in mathematical economics, *Industrial Management Review* **6**, 32–39.
- Merton, R.: 1973, Theory of Rational Option Pricing, *Bell Journal of Econom. Management Science* **4**, 141–183.
- Myneni, R.: 1992, The Pricing of the American Option, *The Annals of Applied Probability* **2(1)**, 1–23.
- Panini, R. and Srivastav, R.: 2004, Option Pricing with Mellin Transforms, *Mathematical and Computer Modelling* **40**, 43–56.
- Samuelson, P.: 1965, Rational theory of warrant pricing, *Industrial Management Review* **6**, 13–31.
- Sneddon, I.: 1972, *The Use of Integral Transforms*, 1st edn, McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Titchmarsh, E.: 1986, *Introduction to the Theory of Fourier Integrals*, 2nd edn, Chelsea Publishing Company.
- Wilmott, P., Dewynne, J. and Howison, S.: 1993, *Option Pricing, Mathematical Models and Computation*, Oxford Financial Press.

Die Liste der hier aufgeführten Diskussionsbeiträge beginnt mit der Nummer 252 im Jahr 2003. Die Texte können direkt aus dem Internet bezogen werden. Sollte ein Interesse an früher erschienenen Diskussionsbeiträgen bestehen, kann die vollständige Liste im Internet eingesehen werden. Die Volltexte der dort bis Nummer 144 aufgeführten Diskussionsbeiträge können nur direkt über die Autoren angefordert werden.

252. **McKinnon, Ronald und Gunther Schnabl:** The East Asian Dollar Standard, Fear of Floating, and Original Sin, Januar 2003.
253. **Schulze, Niels und Dirk Baur:** Coexceedances in Financial Markets – A Quantile Regression Analysis of Contagion, Februar 2003.
254. **Bayer, Stefan:** Possibilities and Limitations of Economically Valuating Ecological Damages, Februar 2003.
255. **Stadler, Manfred:** Innovation and Growth: The Role of Labor-Force Qualification, März 2003.
256. **Licht, Georg und Manfred Stadler:** Auswirkungen öffentlicher Forschungsförderung auf die private F&E-Tätigkeit: Eine mikroökonomische Evaluation, März 2003.
257. **Neubecker, Leslie und Manfred Stadler:** Endogenous Merger Formation in Asymmetric Markets: A Reformulation, März 2003.
258. **Neubecker, Leslie und Manfred Stadler:** In Hunt for Size: Merger Formation in the Oil Industry, März 2003.
259. **Niemann, Rainer:** Wie schädlich ist die Mindestbesteuerung? Steuerparadoxa in der Verlustverrechnung, April 2003.
260. nicht erschienen
261. **Neubecker, Leslie:** Does Cooperation in Manufacturing Foster Tacit Collusion?, Juni 2003.
262. **Buchmüller, Patrik und Christian Macht:** Wahlrechte von Banken und Aufsicht bei der Umsetzung von Basel II, Juni 2003.
263. **McKinnon, Ronald und Gunther Schnabl:** China: A Stabilizing or Deflationary Influence in East Asia? The Problem of Conflicted Virtue, Juni 2003.
264. **Thaut, Michael:** Die individuelle Vorteilhaftigkeit der privaten Rentenversicherung – Steuervorteile, Lebenserwartung und Stornorisiken, Juli 2003.
265. **Köpke, Nikola und Jörg Baten:** The Biological Standard of Living in Europe During the Last Two Millennia, September 2003.
266. **Baur, Dirk, Saisana, Michaela und Niels Schulze:** Modelling the Effects of Meteorological Variables on Ozone Concentration – A Quantile Regression Approach, September 2003.
267. **Buchmüller, Patrik und Andreas Marte:** Paradigmenwechsel der EU-Finanzpolitik? Der Stabilitätspakt auf dem Prüfstand, September 2003.
268. **Baten, Jörg und Jacek Wallusch:** Market Integration and Disintegration of Poland and Germany in the 18th Century, September 2003.
269. **Schnabl, Gunther:** De jure versus de facto Exchange Rate Stabilization in Central and Eastern Europe, Oktober 2003.
270. **Bayer, Stefan:** Ökosteuern: Versöhnung von Ökonomie und Ökologie?, Oktober 2003.
271. **Köhler, Horst:** Orientierungen für eine bessere Globalisierung, November 2003.
272. **Lengsfeld, Stephan und Ulf Schiller:** Transfer Pricing Based on Actual versus Standard Costs, November 2003.
273. **Lengsfeld, Stephan und Thomas Vogt:** Anreizwirkungen kostenbasierter Verrechnungspreise bei externen Effekten –Istkosten– versus standardkostenbasierte Verrechnungspreise bei Kreuzinvestitionen -, November 2003.

274. **Eisele, Florian und Andreas Walter:** Kurswertreaktionen auf die Ankündigung von Going Private-Transaktionen am deutschen Kapitalmarkt, Dezember 2003.
275. **Rall, Wilhelm:** Unternehmensstrategie für den globalen Wettbewerb, Februar 2004.
276. **Niemann, Rainer:** Entscheidungswirkungen von Verlustverrechnungsbeschränkungen bei der Steuerplanung grenzüberschreitender Investitionen, Februar 2004.
277. **Kirchner, Armin:** Verringerung von Arbeitslosigkeit durch Lockerung des Kündigungsschutzes – Die entscheidende Einflussgröße, März 2004.
278. **Kiesewetter, Dirk und Andreas Lachmund:** Wirkungen einer Abgeltungssteuer auf Investitionsentscheidungen und Kapitalstruktur von Unternehmen, April 2004
279. **Schanz, Sebastian:** Die Auswirkungen alternativer Gewinnverwendung von Kapitalgesellschaften im Rahmen des Halbeinkünfteverfahrens auf die Vermögenspositionen Residualanspruchsberechtigter, Mai 2004.
280. **Stadler, Manfred:** Bildung, Innovationsdynamik und Produktivitätswachstum, Mai 2004.
281. **Grupp, Hariolf und Manfred Stadler:** Technological Progress and Market Growth. An Empirical Assessment Based on the Quality Ladder Approach, Mai 2004.
282. **Güth, Werner und Manfred Stadler:** Path Dependence without Denying Deliberation. An Exercise Model Connecting Rationality and Evolution, Mai 2004.
283. **Duijm, Bernhard:** Offener Regionalismus als pareto-verbessernde Integrationsform, Juni 2004.
284. **Pitterle, Ingo und Dirk Steffen:** Welfare Effects of Fiscal Policy under Alternative Exchange Rate Regimes: The Role of the Scale Variable of Money Demand, Juni 2004.
285. **Molzahn, Alexander:** Optimale Fiskalpolitik und endogenes Wachstum, Juli 2004.
286. **Jung, Robert, Kukuk, Martin und Roman Liesenfeld:** Time Series of Count Data: Modelling and Estimation, August 2004.
287. **De Grauwe, Paul und Gunther Schnabl:** Nominal versus Real Convergence with Respect to EMU Accession. EMU Entry Scenarios for the New Member States, August 2004.
288. **Kleinert, Jörn und Farid Toubal:** A Structural Model of Exports versus Production Abroad, Dezember 2004.
289. **Godart, Olivier und Farid Toubal:** Cross the Border and Close the Gap? How do Migrants Enhance Trade, Januar 2005.
290. **Schnabl, Gunther und Christian Danne:** The Changing Role of the Yen/Dollar Exchange Rate for Japanese Monetary Policy, Februar 2005.
291. **Schnabl, Gunther:** Der Festkurs als merkantilistische Handelspolitik – Chinas Währungs- und Geldpolitik im Umfeld globaler Ungleichgewichte, Februar 2005.
292. **Starbatty, Joachim:** Anmerkungen zum Woher und Wohin der Europäischen Union, Februar 2005.
293. **Wagner, Franz W.:** Steuervereinfachung und Entscheidungsneutralität - konkurrierende oder komplementäre Leitbilder für Steuerreformen?, April 2005.
294. **Yu, Peiyi und Werner Neus:** Market Structure, Scale Efficiency, and Risk as Determinants of German Banking Profitability, Juni 2005.
295. **Schüle, Tobias und Manfred Stadler:** Signalling Effects of a Large Player in a Global Game of Creditor Coordination, Juni 2005.
296. **Zaby, Alexandra:** Losing the Lead: Patents and the Disclosure Requirement, August 2005.
297. **Hager, Svenja und Rainer Schöbel:** A Note on the Correlation Smile, Dezember 2005.
298. **Starbatty, Joachim:** Zum Zusammenhang von Politik, Ethik und Ökonomik bei Aristoteles, Dezember 2005.
299. **Rostek, Stefan und Rainer Schöbel:** Risk Preference Based Option Pricing in a Fractional Brownian Market, Januar 2006.
300. **Hager, Svenja und Rainer Schöbel:** Deriving the Dependence Structure of Portfolio Credit Derivatives Using Evolutionary Algorithms, Februar 2006.

301. **Töpfer, Klaus:** Offene Fragen und wissenschaftliche Herausforderungen der Entwicklungs- und Umweltpolitik, Februar 2006.
302. **Stadler, Manfred:** Education and Innovation as Twin-Engines of Growth, März 2006.
303. **Schüle, Tobias:** Forbearance Lending and Soft Budget Constraints in a Model of Multiple Heterogeneous Bank Financing, März 2006.
304. **Buch, Claudia und Jörn Kleinert:** Exchange Rates and FDI: Goods versus Capital Market Frictions, February 2006.
305. **Felbermayr, Gabriel und Toubal Farid:** Cultural Proximity and Trade, März 2006.
306. **Schöbel, Rainer und Jochen Veith:** An Overreaction Implementation of the Coherent Market Hypothesis and Option Pricing, April 2006.
307. **Schüle, Tobias:** Creditor Coordination with Social Learning and Endogenous Timing of Credit Decisions, November 2006.
308. **Starbatty, Joachim:** Sieben Jahre Währungsunion: Erwartungen und Realität, November 2006.
309. **Dymke, Björn M. und Andreas Walter:** Insider Trading in Germany – Do Corporate Insiders Exploit Inside Information?, Dezember 2006.
310. **Brandes, Julia und Tobias Schüle:** IMF's Assistance: Devil's Kiss or Guardian Angel?, Februar 2007.
311. **Goerke, Laszlo und Markus Pannenberg:** Trade Union Membership and Works Councils in West Germany, März 2007.
312. **Yalcin, Erdal:** The Proximity-Concentration Trade-Off in a Dynamic Framework, August 2007.
313. **Kleinert, Jörn und Farid Toubal:** Gravity for FDI, Oktober 2007.
314. **Kleinert, Jörn und Farid Toubal:** The Impact of Locating Production Abroad on Activities at Home: Evidence from German Firm-Level Data, November 2007.
315. **Felbermayr, Gabriel J. und Benjamin Jung:** Sorting it Out: Technical Barriers to Trade and Industry Productivity, Februar 2008.
316. **Fischer, Michaela:** Können Studiengebühren eine Signalling- und Screeningfunktion ausüben?, März 2008
317. **Felbermayr, Gabriel J. und Benjamin Jung:** Trade Intermediaries, Incomplete Contracts, and the Choice of Export Modes, Mai 2008
318. **Maier, Ramona und Michael Merz:** Credibility Theory and Filter Theory in Discrete and Continuous Time, Oktober 2008
319. **Frontczak, Robert und Rainer Schöbel:** Pricing American Options with Mellin Transforms, Dezember 2008
320. **Frontczak, Robert und Rainer Schöbel:** On Modified Mellin Transforms, Gauss-Laguerre Quadrature, and the Valuation of American Call Options, Mai 2009