Optimum Land Allocation for Species Protection and Military Training on DoD Installations

Sahan T. M. Dissanayake^{1*}, Hayri Önal¹, James D. Westervelt², and Harold E. Balbach²

¹Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign,

²U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center-Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (ERDC-CERL) , Champaign Illinois.

*Corresponding Author: Sahan T. M. Dissanayake, Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois, 405 Mumford Hall, 1301 West Gregory Drive, Urbana, IL 61801-3605. Email: sdissan2@illinois.edu. Phone: (217) 419-0452, Fax: (217) 244-7088

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2010 AAEA,CAES, & WAEA Joint Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado, July 25-27, 2010

Copyright 2010 by Sahan T. M. Dissanayake. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Optimum Land Allocation for Species Protection and Military Training on DoD Installations





Sahan T. M. Dissanayake, Hayri Önal

Department of Agricultural and Consumer Economics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

The minimum distance relocation model places the CMAs closer to original habitat sites (in red) compared to the base model The CMAs are shown in dark colors within black circle



♦ Selected CMAs are meta-clustered Smaller meta-cluster distances result in one cluster



The model selects clustered CMAs

are also close to ponds (The ponds are shown in dark blue)

The model selects GT CMAs that



 Row-1: Clustered military and CMAs Row-2: Training areas and CMAs are located away from each other

*Optimization models can be used to identify land for conservation given military land use *Spatial and ecological criteria can be incorporated into integer programming models Multiple land uses, both conservation and military, can be solved simultaneously

5. Conclusions

* Adding spatial requirements can lead to

CMAs made up from less suitable parcels

James D. Westervelt, Harold E. Balbach

U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center-Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (ERDC-CERL)

- Less compact or contiguous CMAs
- Larger CMAs to meet the minimum population
- Complex models that are computationally harder to solve



6. Acknowledgments

Installation area

The authors express their gratitude to the participants in the AERE session (15H) at the Annual Meeting of the Southern Economics Association in 2008 and to the participants of the PERE workshop at University of Illinois for valuable comments and suggestions. This research was partially supported by the U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center-Construction Engineering Research Laboratory (EPCC-ERL) project No.WBTEWF-720-4330 and Cooperative State Research, Education, and Extension Service (CREES) Project No. LLU 05-0361.

7 References

Benton, N., J.D. Ripley, and F. Powledge, eds. Conserving Biodiversity on Military Lands: A Guide for Natural Resources Managers. 2008 edition. Adington, Virginia: NatureServe. Available at http://www.dodbiodiversity.org.
Cocks, K.D., and I.A. Baird. (1989). Using mathematical programming to address the multiple reserve selection problem: an example from the Perve Peninsus. South Australia. Biological Conservation 49: 113–30.
Williams, J.C., Pervelle, C.S., and Lewin, S.A. (2005). Spatial attitudue and reserve design models: a review. Environmental Modeling & Assessment 10:163–181.
Biological Conservation 49: 113–30.
Biological Links and the Pervelle and Conservation 49: 113–30.
Biological Conservation 40: 113–30.
Biological Conservation 49: 113–30.
Biological Conservation 49: 113–30.
Biological Conservation 49: 113–30.
Biological Conservation 49: 113–30.
Biolog