

POSTBOOLE IN BUTHER WAS







nr 350

DEPARIMENT OF ECONOMICS

RESEARCH MEMORANDUM





ESTIMATION OF THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN PROJECT ATTRIBUTES AND THE IMPLEMENTATION OF ENGINEERING MANAGEMENT TOOLS

K.A. Bubshait, W.J. Selen

FEW 350

657.35

Introduction

The importance of Project Management emerged after the successful trial by Dupont in 1958 to reduce the time required to perform routine plant overhaul, maintenance and construction work. The contribution to the field continues and the practices become a contractual item, especially in most of the construction projects.

An issue that has recently emerged concerns with the establishment of a relationship between project characteristics and the implementation of various project management techniques and tools, as stated by Webster (20):

There is criticism of project management literature in regard to the inability to find guidance as to which tool and which variant to use under what circumstances.

Largely absent in project management research are studies of the relationships between specific project characteristics (uncertainty, complexity, high indirect costs, duration, etc.) and the application of project management techniques.

Tso (19) attempted to examine this area, but his research was limited to educational projects. Tso expressed the problem by stating,

...., the question of what aspects of techniques need to be classed under one set of project conditions has not been answered.

Avots (1) elaborated on the importance of project characteristics. According to his research, one of the reasons for project failure is that management techniques used on a project may not always suit the project's require-

ments or project characteristics. Bu-Bushait (2), studied the relationship between the implementation of project management techniques and some project characteristics and found a significant relationship with characteristics such as project costs, duration and number of employees directly involved in the project. Furthermore, a statistically significant difference was found between the average number of techniques used on large projects versus small projects. The above mentioned studies indicate the importance of project characteristics and their relationship to project management techniques implementation.

This study does not aim at classifying techniques for various project characteristics, but rather will elaborate on earlier work by Bu-Bshait (2,3) in identifying which project characteristics, as stated in Table 1, significantly intensify the need for a more elaborate use of project management techniques, listed in Table 2, for various project types. A regression model will be developed to estimate the number of project management techniques used, based upon a set of project characteristics. As such, this study will provide further insight in the understanding of the missing link between project attributes and the implementation of engineering management tools.

TABLE 1 PROJECT CHARACTERISTICS

- 1. Project Duration
- 2. Project Type
- 3. Project Total Cost
- 4. Number of activities
- 5. Resources Limitation
- 6. Contractual Deadline
- 7. Number of Employees Directly involved
- 8. Project Managerial Complexity

TABLE 2

EXAMINED PROJECT MANAGEMENT TECHNIQUES

1. Planning/scheduling techniques

- Work breakdown structure
- b. Gantt (bar) charts
- c. Milestones
- Project Networks d.
 - 1) Activities-on-Arrows
 - 2) Activities-on-Nodes
 - 3) Precedence Diagrams
- e. Critical Path Method (CPM)
- f. PERT statistical approach
- g. GERT/simulation
- Time/cost tradeoff analysis h.
- i. Resource leveling/allocation
- Computer applications (planning)
- k. Linear responsibility chart

2. Control Techniques

- Progress Measures
 - 1) Percent Complete
 - 2) Estimate to Complete
 - 3) Remaining duration.
- b. PERT/COST
- Structuring of costs by work type C.
 - 1) By type of work
 - 2) By resource type
 - 3) By contract
- d. Trend analysise. Earned value
- f. Regular meetings and status reports

Sample Size and Selection

The sample consisted of projects that could be expected to call upon project management techniques as listed in Table 2. The majority of these projects were being conducted in the southeastern and Mid-Atlantic regions of the United States.

Forty-eight projects were selected to represent different industrial sectors. Forty-two usable responses were obtained, as six projects were excluded due to the fact that they required job shop scheduling, not project scheduling. The sample contained a wide variety of project types and project characteristics, as is shown in Table 3.

Structured interviewing was used as the data collection methodology to ensure correct interpretation of some of the research questions, due to the variety in terminology used in the field of project management.

TABLE 3

Classification of Selected Projects

- A. Construction Projects
 - Hotel
 - Water Treatment Facility
 - University Library
 - Rapid Transit System
 - Office Building
 - Railroad Infrastructure
 - Rapid Rail Station
 - Fabric Manufacturing Plant
 - Warehouse and Service Building
 - Paper Manufacturing Plant
 - Airplane Hangar
 - Highway Intersection
 - Park Facility
 - Federal Exhibit
- B. Research and Development Projects
 - Automated Tube Factory Design
 - Foreign Nuclear Reactor Study
 - Cable Investigation
 - Educational/Research Computer Facility
 - Cellular Car Phone System
 - Addressable Transmitter
 - High Temperature Material Testing
 - Laser System Training Program
 - New Product Development
 - Technology Alternative for Aircraft Deployment
 - Advanced Digital Flight Station Simulator
 - Automated Assemblies Management System
 - Development of Computer Graphic Software
 - Innovation Incentive Programs
 - Integrated Circuit Measurement Standard
 - Space Telescope Programs
- C. Maintenance Projects
 - Highway Resurfacing
 - Product Modification
 - Major Equipment Replacement
- D. Administrative Projects
 - Retail Marketing Planning System
 - Innovation Program Evaluation
 - Conference Arrangement

Model Specification

A regression model will be developed to relate the number of project management techniques used to a number of relevant project characteristics. As such the number of project management techniques used is defined as the dependent variable.

The explanatory variables to be considered for possible inclusion in the model were defined as follows:

zi = a dichotomous indicator variable which classifies the project either as:

construction (i=1)

- research and development (R & D) (i=2)

administrative (i=3)

- maintenance (reference group)1

NACT = number of activities in the project

DUR = duration of the project in years

COSTPM = actual cost of the project (in million

dollar)

NEMPL = number of employees directly involved with

the project

DEADL = a dummy variable, indicating whether or not

the project has a contractual deadline

SC = a dummy variable to classify the project as

either complex or simple

RESLIM = an indicator variable denoting whether or not

resources such as labor and equipment were

limited in their availability

¹Since a regression model with intercept is used, (m-1) dummies were used to model m classifications, due to the "dummy variable trap"

The complexity of the project denoted by SC, was determined on the response of the following survey question:

"How much managerial/administrative complexity (not technical complexity) was involved in the project with respect to:"

Relatively Relatively Simple Simple Complex Complex

- a. The number of a organizational units involved
- b. The amount of communication and coordination required due to inter-dependencies among activities.

If either response to a or b fell in the "complex" category or if both responses fell in the "relatively complex" category, the project was classified as complex (SC = 1); otherwise the project was classified as simple (SC = 0).

The dependent variable, project analysis complexity, is quantified as the number of project management techniques (CPM, PERT, Bar Charting, Resources Leveling and the like) the company uses to analyze the project of interest.

Analysis

The first model to be investigated was the full, non-interaction, model incorporating all regressors. As can be seen from the results, displayed in Table 4, only construction projects differ significantly from the reference category, maintenance projects. In addition, variables like number of activities, project cost, number of employees directly involved and whether or not the project has a deadline, showed up statistically non-significant. The cut-off value used in this study for determining statistical significance is a PR >|t|-value of 0.10 or less. In other words, when claiming that a regressor is significant we are willing to take a risk of being wrong of up to 10 percent; or being at least 90 percent confident, that is.

These initial results prompted questions like why variables as important as project cost and number of activities showed up non-significant, looking towards a full scale investigation of possible interaction effects. Interaction effects allow the partial relationships between the various regressors and the dependent variable to be different among various classifications of projects as denoted by their respective indicator variable values. Table 5 provides a list of the interaction effects that were investigated, as well as their statistical significance.

TABLE 4
Full non-interaction Model

Variable	Estimated Coefficient	Standard Error	t-value	PR > t
Intercept	0.57624			
z1 z2 z3 NACT DUR SC RESLIM COSTPM NEMPL DEADL	1.86652 1.00060 -0.41377 0.002173 0.716313 1.61384 2.08926 -0.00116 -0.00030 0.12594	1.93687 1.41630 1.11528 0.99151 0.36113 0.72474 0.66851 0.00168 0.000483 0.70727	1.91 0.71 -0.37 1.44 1.98 2.23 3.13 -0.69 -0.62 0.18	0.0659 0.4852 0.7132 0.1609 0.0562 0.0334 0.0038 0.4935 0.5367 0.8598

TABLE 5
One-way interactions

Model(a)	Interaction Effect	Significance Level PR > t
1	z1*NACT	0.3730
1	z2*NACT	0.0008
į	z2*NACT	0.3728
	-1 *DUD	0.4584
2	z1*DUR	0.0041
}	z2*DUR z3*DUR	0.7214
1	23*DUR	0.7214
3	z1*COSTPM	0.8886
	z2*COSTPM	0.2407
	z3*COSTPM	0.9869
4	z1*NEMPL	0.2265
*	z2*NEMPL	0.2671
	z3*NEMPL	0.2609
5	SC*COSTPM	0.9352
6	SC*NACT	0.0259
7 SC*DUR		0.0401
8	SC*NEMPL	0.0211
9	RESLIM*NACT	0.0259
10	RESLIM*DUR	0.6971
11	RESLIM*COSTPM	0.7185
12	RESLIM*NEMPL	0.0719
13	DEADL*NACT	0.4722
14	DEADL*DUR	0.009
15	DEADL*NEMPL	0.1019
16	DEADL*COSTPM	0.5949

Each model included the significant regressors of the full non-interaction model as well as the variables needed to estimate the one-way inter-actions one at a time. These models did not include various interaction effects among different variables simultaneously because of the loss of degrees of freedom in estimation. This preliminary study only identifies possible strong interaction effects for future inclusion in a more comprehensive model, allowing for simultaneous interactions among variables.

Since none of the interactions with the z3-dummy showed any significance and the z3-dummy in the original model was non-significant, the administrative and maintenance classifications were pooled and the z3-variable was dropped from any future model. Doing so we also gained one more degree of freedom for estimation of the remaining (and more important) parameters. From the original non-interaction model and the interaction analysis, the following variables showed explanatory potential in a one-way interaction model:

- z1 and z2
- NACT
- DUR
- SC
- NEMPL
- RESLIM
- DEADL
- z2*NACT and z2*DUR
- SC*NACT, SC*DUR and SC*NEMPL
- RESLIM*NACT and RESLIM*NEMPL
- DEADL*DUR and DEADL*NEMPL

Note that the variables NACT, NEMPL and DEADL also have to appear in the model because of the respective interaction effects, although these variables by themselves were originally non-significant.

Next, a forward and backward selection stepwise regression was performed on the above model variables, resulting in the final model as displayed in Table 6, based upon a 10 percent significance level.

TABLE 6.
Final Model

Variable	Estimated Coefficient	Standard Error	Partial(a) F-Value	PR > F
Intercept z1 z2 NACT DUR SC RESLIM DEADL z2*DUR SC*NACT SC*NEMPL RESLIM*NACT RESLIM*NEMPL DEADL*DUR	-0.53830 1.78981 7.41187 0.00387 1.41470 1.64162 1.74233 2.43492 -1.62744 0.00644 -0.00710 -0.00492 0.00658 -1.39414	0.38915 1.90778 0.00179 0.27351 0.64796 0.54532 0.85135 0.57060 0.00173 0.00205 0.00184 0.00205 0.39682	21.15 15.09 4.65 26.75 6.42 10.21 8.18 8.13 13.83 12.01 7.12 10.27 12.34	0.0001 0.0006 0.0398 0.0001 0.0172 0.0035 0.0079 0.0081 0.0009 0.0125 0.0034 0.0015

R-Square = 0.90796

⁽a) Note the statistical relationship t $a^2 = F_{1,a}$ or PR>|t|=PR>F

CONCLUSIONS

The results indicate the importance of some of the project characteristics to the implementation of project management techniques, as is shown in table 6. Construction projects call for more techniques than non construction projects. This result is consistent with previous research (2) that shows the familiarity of the construction industry with project management techniques.

Also R&D projects require substantial more techniques than any other type of projects. Futhermore, R&D projects tend to implement relatively fewer techniques as the projects duration increases. This could be explained by: 1) the unfamiliarity of many R&D managers with the importance of project management techniques in tracking the duration of the project; 2) In most cases R&D projects are kept with the company and f—unded internally, which makes the duration a secondary factor. In addition, absence of contractual agreements usually make the use of project management techniques optional.

The results indicate a positive relationship between the number of project management techniques used and the level of complexity involved in the project. Projects with many activities usually imply more interrelationships (precedence relationships) and more multi-organizational involvement in the decision process. As such, additional project management techniques are required to support the management process.

Limitation of resources imposes additional constraints on projects. The results indicate a need for more techniques when such limitations are present. The relationship is strengthened even more for projects that are labor intensive; although the number of activities in a project has a minor dampening effect.

Projects with a well defined deadline (and possible contractual penalty clauses) call for more project management techniques very early in the life of the project, as can be seen from the interaction effect with the duration explanatory variable.

In general the model highlights the importance of three main project characteristics, project type, complexity, and resources limitation. Furthermore, the model displays a strong explanatory power with 91 percent of the variation in the dependent variable explained by the variation in the regressor values.

These results suggest possible future research on:

- The development of project management models suggesting specific management methodologies and techniques for managing projects with different characteristics.
- Research on more effective pedagogic approaches for training project managers.
- Research on the identification of specific techniques commonly used to manage a particular project characteristic.

REFERENCES

- Avots, Ibars. "Why Does Project Management Fail?" <u>California Management Review</u>, 12 (Fall 1969) pp. 77-82).
- 2. Bu-Bshait, K.A. "Relationship between the application of Project Management Techniques and Project Characteristics," Unpublished Dissertation: Georgia State University 1984.
- 3. ______, "Survey of Project Management Techniques in different industries," Project Management Proceedings, Montreal, Canada 1986.
- Cable, D., and J. Adams. <u>Organizing for Project Management</u>. Boston, Massachusetts: Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1982.
- 5. Child, John, "Organizational Structure, Environments and Performance: The Role of Strategic Choice," Sociology, June 1972, p. 2).
- 6. Davis , Edward W. "CPM Uses in Top 400 Construction Firms." Journal of Construction Division ASCE 100 (March 1974).
- 7. Davis, Edward W. "Networks Resources Allocation." Industrial Engineering, 24 (April 1974).
- 8. Izanhour, P.L. "How to Determine When Project Management Techniques Are Required." <u>Project Management Quarterly</u> 13 (March 1982).
- 9. Kelley, J.E. "Critical Path Planning and Scheduling Mathematical Basis." Operation Research 9 (January-February 1961).
- Kerzner, Harold. <u>Project Management A System Approach</u> to <u>Planning. Scheduling and Controlling.</u> New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1979.
- 11. ______. "Project Management in the Year 2000." Journal of System Management 32 (October 1981).
- 12. ______. "Tradeoff Analysis in a Project Environment-Part I", <u>Journal of System Management</u> 33 (October 1982).
- 13. _____. "Tradeoff Analysis in a Project Environment-Part 2", <u>Journal of System Management</u> 33 (November 1982).

- 14. Liberatore, M.J. & George J. Titus. "The Practice of Management Science in R&D Project Management." <u>Management</u> <u>Science</u> 29 (August 1983).
- 15. Martin, M.D. and K. Miller. "Project Planning as the Primary Management Function, "Project Management Quarterly," March, 1982, p. 36.
- Moder, J.C. Phillips & E. DAvis., <u>Project Management With CPM</u>, <u>PERT and Precedence Diagramming</u>. New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold Company, 1983.
- 17. Pekar, Peter P., Jr. & Elmer H. Burack. "New Directions for Management Control of Project Plans." <u>Project Management Quarterly</u> 7 (September 1976).
- 18. Schendel, D. & Charles W. Hofer. <u>Strategic Management:</u>
 <u>A New View of Business Policy and Planning</u>. Boston:
 Little, Brown and Company, 1979.
- 19. Tso, A. "Factor Affecting the Use of Planning and Controlling Techniques on Educational Projects, <u>Dissertation</u>, Ohio State University, 1976.
- 20. Webster, Francis M. "Tools for Managing Projects." Project Management Quarterly 13 (June 1982).

IN 1987 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 242 Gerard van den Berg Nonstationarity in job search theory
- 243 Annie Cuyt, Brigitte Verdonk
 Block-tridiagonal linear systems and branched continued fractions
- 244 J.C. de Vos, W. Vervaat Local Times of Bernoulli Walk
- 245 Arie Kapteyn, Peter Kooreman, Rob Willemse Some methodological issues in the implementation of subjective poverty definitions
- 246 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, M.C.H.M. Lafleur, J.H.F. Pardoel Sampling for Quality Inspection and Correction: AOQL Performance Criteria
- 247 D.B.J. Schouten
 Algemene theorie van de internationale conjuncturele en strukturele
 afhankelijkheden
- 248 F.C. Bussemaker, W.H. Haemers, J.J. Seidel, E. Spence On (v,k,λ) graphs and designs with trivial automorphism group
- 249 Peter M. Kort The Influence of a Stochastic Environment on the Firm's Optimal Dynamic Investment Policy
- 250 R.H.J.M. Gradus
 Preliminary version
 The reaction of the firm on governmental policy: a game-theoretical approach
- 251 J.G. de Gooijer, R.M.J. Heuts Higher order moments of bilinear time series processes with symmetrically distributed errors
- 252 P.H. Stevers, P.A.M. Versteijne Evaluatie van marketing-activiteiten
- 253 H.P.A. Mulders, A.J. van Reeken
 DATAAL een hulpmiddel voor onderhoud van gegevensverzamelingen
- 254 P. Kooreman, A. Kapteyn On the identifiability of household production functions with joint products: A comment
- 255 B. van Riel Was er een profit-squeeze in de Nederlandse industrie?
- 256 R.P. Gilles Economies with coalitional structures and core-like equilibrium concepts

- 257 P.H.M. Ruys, G. van der Laan Computation of an industrial equilibrium
- 258 W.H. Haemers, A.E. Brouwer Association schemes
- 259 G.J.M. van den Boom Some modifications and applications of Rubinstein's perfect equilibrium model of bargaining
- 260 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell Competition, Risk Neutrality and Loan Commitments
- 261 A.W.A. Boot, A.V. Thakor, G.F. Udell Collateral and Borrower Risk
- 262 A. Kapteyn, I. Woittiez
 Preference Interdependence and Habit Formation in Family Labor Supply
- 263 B. Bettonvil
 A formal description of discrete event dynamic systems including perturbation analysis
- 264 Sylvester C.W. Eijffinger A monthly model for the monetary policy in the Netherlands
- 265 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw
 Conflict over arms accumulation in market and command economies
- 266 F. van der Ploeg, A.J. de Zeeuw Perfect equilibrium in a model of competitive arms accumulation
- 267 Aart de Zeeuw Inflation and reputation: comment
- 268 A.J. de Zeeuw, F. van der Ploeg Difference games and policy evaluation: a conceptual framework
- 269 Frederick van der Ploeg Rationing in open economy and dynamic macroeconomics: a survey
- 270 G. van der Laan and A.J.J. Talman Computing economic equilibria by variable dimension algorithms: state of the art
- 271 C.A.J.M. Dirven and A.J.J. Talman
 A simplicial algorithm for finding equilibria in economies with
 linear production technologies
- 272 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm Consistent estimation of regression models with incompletely observed exogenous variables
- 273 Th.E. Nijman and F.C. Palm Predictive accuracy gain from disaggregate sampling in arima - models

- 274 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus
 The net present value of governmental policy: a possible way to find the Stackelberg solutions
- 275 Jack P.C. Kleijnen A DSS for production planning: a case study including simulation and optimization
- 276 A.M.H. Gerards
 A short proof of Tutte's characterization of totally unimodular matrices
- 277 Th. van de Klundert and F. van der Ploeg Wage rigidity and capital mobility in an optimizing model of a small open economy
- 278 Peter M. Kort

 The net present value in dynamic models of the firm
- 279 Th. van de Klundert A Macroeconomic Two-Country Model with Price-Discriminating Monopolists
- 280 Arnoud Boot and Anjan V. Thakor

 Dynamic equilibrium in a competitive credit market: intertemporal contracting as insurance against rationing
- 281 Arnoud Boot and Anjan V. Thakor

 <u>Appendix</u>: "Dynamic equilibrium in a competitive credit market: intertemporal contracting as insurance against rationing
- 282 Arnoud Boot, Anjan V. Thakor and Gregory F. Udell Credible commitments, contract enforcement problems and banks: intermediation as credibility assurance
- 283 Eduard Ponds Wage bargaining and business cycles a Goodwin-Nash model
- Prof.Dr. hab. Stefan Mynarski
 The mechanism of restoring equilibrium and stability in polish market
- 285 P. Meulendijks An exercise in welfare economics (II)
- 286 S. Jørgensen, P.M. Kort, G.J.C.Th. van Schijndel Optimal investment, financing and dividends: a Stackelberg differential game
- 287 E. Nijssen, W. Reijnders Privatisering en commercialisering; een oriëntatie ten aanzien van verzelfstandiging
- 288 C.B. Mulder Inefficiency of automatically linking unemployment benefits to private sector wage rates

- 289 M.H.C. Paardekooper A Quadratically convergent parallel Jacobi process for almost diagonal matrices with distinct eigenvalues
- 290 Pieter H.M. Ruys
 Industries with private and public enterprises
- 291 J.J.A. Moors & J.C. van Houwelingen
 Estimation of linear models with inequality restrictions
- 292 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman Vakantiebestemming en -bestedingen
- 293 Rob Alessie, Raymond Gradus, Bertrand Melenberg
 The problem of not observing small expenditures in a consumer expenditure survey
- 294 F. Boekema, L. Oerlemans, A.J. Hendriks Kansrijkheid en economische potentie: Top-down en bottom-up analyses
- 295 Rob Alessie, Bertrand Melenberg, Guglielmo Weber Consumption, Leisure and Earnings-Related Liquidity Constraints: A Note
- 296 Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman
 Estimation of the indirect translog demand system with binding nonnegativity constraints

IN 1988 REEDS VERSCHENEN

- 297 Bert Bettonvil
 Factor screening by sequential bifurcation
- 298 Robert P. Gilles
 On perfect competition in an economy with a coalitional structure
- 299 Willem Selen, Ruud M. Heuts Capacitated Lot-Size Production Planning in Process Industry
- 300 J. Kriens, J.Th. van Lieshout Notes on the Markowitz portfolio selection method
- 301 Bert Bettonvil, Jack P.C. Kleijnen Measurement scales and resolution IV designs: a note
- 302 Theo Nijman, Marno Verbeek
 Estimation of time dependent parameters in lineair models
 using cross sections, panels or both
- 303 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus
 A differential game between government and firms: a non-cooperative approach
- 304 Leo W.G. Strijbosch, Ronald J.M.M. Does Comparison of bias-reducing methods for estimating the parameter in dilution series
- 305 Drs. W.J. Reijnders, Drs. W.F. Verstappen Strategische bespiegelingen betreffende het Nederlandse kwaliteitsconcept
- 306 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, H. Timmermans and H. Van den Wildenberg Regression sampling in statistical auditing
- 307 Isolde Woittiez, Arie Kapteyn A Model of Job Choice, Labour Supply and Wages
- 308 Jack P.C. Kleijnen Simulation and optimization in production planning: A case study
- 309 Robert P. Gilles and Pieter H.M. Ruys
 Relational constraints in coalition formation
- 310 Drs. H. Leo Theuns

 Determinanten van de vraag naar vakantiereizen: een verkenning van
 materiële en immateriële factoren
- 311 Peter M. Kort

 Dynamic Firm Behaviour within an Uncertain Environment
- 312 J.P.C. Blanc A numerical approach to cyclic-service queueing models

- 313 Drs. N.J. de Beer, Drs. A.M. van Nunen, Drs. M.O. Nijkamp Does Morkmon Matter?
- 314 Th. van de Klundert Wage differentials and employment in a two-sector model with a dual labour market
- 315 Aart de Zeeuw, Fons Groot, Cees Withagen On Credible Optimal Tax Rate Policies
- 316 Christian B. Mulder
 Wage moderating effects of corporatism
 Decentralized versus centralized wage setting in a union, firm,
 government context
- 317 Jörg Glombowski, Michael Krüger A short-period Goodwin growth cycle
- 318 Theo Nijman, Marno Verbeek, Arthur van Soest
 The optimal design of rotating panels in a simple analysis of
 variance model
- 319 Drs. S.V. Hannema, Drs. P.A.M. Versteijne De toepassing en toekomst van public private partnership's bij de grote en middelgrote Nederlandse gemeenten
- 320 Th. van de Klundert Wage Rigidity, Capital Accumulation and Unemployment in a Small Open Economy
- 321 M.H.C. Paardekooper An upper and a lower bound for the distance of a manifold to a nearby point
- 322 Th. ten Raa, F. van der Ploeg
 A statistical approach to the problem of negatives in input-output
 analysis
- 323 P. Kooreman Household Labor Force Participation as a Cooperative Game; an Empirical Model
- 324 A.B.T.M. van Schaik Persistent Unemployment and Long Run Growth
- Dr. F.W.M. Boekema, Drs. L.A.G. Oerlemans De lokale produktiestructuur doorgelicht. Bedrijfstakverkenningen ten behoeve van regionaal-economisch onderzoek
- 326 J.P.C. Kleijnen, J. Kriens, M.C.H.M. Lafleur, J.H.F. Pardoel Sampling for quality inspection and correction: AOQL performance criteria

- 327 Theo E. Nijman, Mark F.J. Steel Exclusion restrictions in instrumental variables equations
- 328 B.B. van der Genugten Estimation in linear regression under the presence of heteroskedasticity of a completely unknown form
- 329 Raymond H.J.M. Gradus
 The employment policy of government: to create jobs or to let them create?
- 330 Hans Kremers, Dolf Talman
 Solving the nonlinear complementarity problem with lower and upper
 bounds
- 331 Antoon van den Elzen
 Interpretation and generalization of the Lemke-Howson algorithm
- 332 Jack P.C. Kleijnen Analyzing simulation experiments with common random numbers, part II: Rao's approach
- 333 Jacek Osiewalski Posterior and Predictive Densities for Nonlinear Regression. A Partly Linear Model Case
- 334 A.H. van den Elzen, A.J.J. Talman A procedure for finding Nash equilibria in bi-matrix games
- 335 Arthur van Soest Minimum wage rates and unemployment in The Netherlands
- Arthur van Soest, Peter Kooreman, Arie Kapteyn Coherent specification of demand systems with corner solutions and endogenous regimes
- Dr. F.W.M. Boekema, Drs. L.A.G. Oerlemans
 De lokale produktiestruktuur doorgelicht II. Bedrijfstakverkenningen
 ten behoeve van regionaal-economisch onderzoek. De zeescheepsnieuwbouwindustrie
- 338 Gerard J. van den Berg Search behaviour, transitions to nonparticipation and the duration of unemployment
- 339 W.J.H. Groenendaal and J.W.A. Vingerhoets The new cocoa-agreement analysed
- 340 Drs. F.G. van den Heuvel, Drs. M.P.H. de Vor Kwantificering van ombuigen en bezuinigen op collectieve uitgaven 1977-1990
- 341 Pieter J.F.G. Meulendijks An exercise in welfare economics (III)

- 342 W.J. Selen and R.M. Heuts A modified priority index for Günther's lot-sizing heuristic under capacitated single stage production
- 343 Linda J. Mittermaier, Willem J. Selen, Jeri B. Waggoner, Wallace R. Wood
 Accounting estimates as cost inputs to logistics models
- 344 Remy L. de Jong, Rashid I. Al Layla, Willem J. Selen Alternative water management scenarios for Saudi Arabia
- 345 W.J. Selen and R.M. Heuts Capacitated Single Stage Production Planning with Storage Constraints and Sequence-Dependent Setup Times
- 346 Peter Kort
 The Flexible Accelerator Mechanism in a Financial Adjustment Cost
 Model
- 347 W.J. Reijnders en W.F. Verstappen De toenemende importantie van het verticale marketing systeem
- 348 P.C. van Batenburg en J. Kriens E.O.Q.L. - A revised and improved version of A.O.Q.L.
- 349 Drs. W.P.C. van den Nieuwenhof Multinationalisatie en coördinatie De internationale strategie van Nederlandse ondernemingen nader beschouwd

Bibliotheek K. U. Brabant

17 000 01065969 7