Public's Willingness to Pay for Ecosystem Service Improvements From Agriculture

Huilan Chen¹, Frank Lupi^{1,2} and Scott M. Swinton¹

 Department of Agricultural Food and Resource Economics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, 48824
Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Michigan State University

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2010 AAEA,CAES, & WAEA Joint Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado, July 25-27, 2010

Copyright 2010 by Huilan Chen, Frank Lupi and Scott M. Swinton. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.



Public's Willingness to Pay for Ecosystem Service Improvements From Agriculture

Huilan Chen¹, Frank Lupi^{1,2}, Scott M, Swinton¹

1 Department of Agricultural Food and Resource Economics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, 48824 2 Department of Fisheries and Wildlife, Michigan State University

Introduction

Agriculture is the world's largest managed ecosystem. Apart from producing food, fiber and fuel, agriculture can provide various other ecosystem services

Mitigated greenhouse gas (GHG) emission and reduced lake eutrophication are two ecosystem services that the public would get from low input cropping practices. Research at the Long-term Ecological Research site (LTER) in row crop agriculture at the Michigan Kellogg Biological Station has identified several low-input cropping systems that maintain comparable yields to conventional farming practices, yet provide better carbon sequestration, less nitrate leaching, phosphorus retention in soil and less soil erosion.

Rationale

Payments for Environmental Services (PES) are widely used to provide enhanced ecosystem services from agriculture.

Most empirical studies of PES programs focus on the supply-side, measuring costs to land owners for changing land management practices.

Information on public demand is necessary to give a complete picture of the potential market for ecosystem services.

Objective

Estimate the public's willingness to pay (WTP) for a set of ecosystem service improvements, including GHG reduction and eutrophic lakes reduction.

Method

A mail survey was conducted in July 2009 with 6000 randomly selected Michigan residents in July yielding a 41% response rate.

Five contacts were made with respondents using a modified version of Dillman's tailored design method.

The contingent valuation (CV) question was posed as a dichotomous choice referendum with income taxes as the payment vehicle. The proposed programs provide GHG and eutrophic lake reductions by paying for changes in land management practices.

Fourteen guestionnaire versions were generated from an experimental design with three CV questions per respondent.

The data were analyzed using the random effects Probit model with a spike in zero willingness to pay.



Proposed model

Respondents' willingness to pay for \triangle X is estimated as a function of 4 sets of explanatory variables: cost of the hypothetical program to be voted on (C), the proposed reductions in eutrophic lakes and GHG (ΔX), people's attitude towards global warming (A), demographics (Z),

$$WTP_{i} = \exp(\alpha + \beta \Delta X_{i} + \delta A_{i} + \varphi \Delta X_{i} * A_{i} + \gamma Z_{i} + \varepsilon_{ij})$$

The GHG reduction did not pass the scope test in the sample. Therefore an interaction term was added to see whether the scope test would pass for those who cared about global warming.

The vast majority of the sample were concerned about lakes, but only 67% were "concerned" about global warming. Thus, an interaction term for concern was only included for GHG.

Results

Table 1. Model estimation on the probability of voting yes

Variable Group	Specific variables	coefficie nt	P> z	Lakes had a significant
С	log of program cost	-1.3	0	effect on the WTP of all respondents. The marginal WTP for clean-up of one eutrophic lake was \$0.54 per person per year.
ΔX	Reduction in lakes with excess nutrients	0.0033	0 <	
	% reduction in greenhouse gas emission (GHG)	-0.14	0.58	
A	Concerned about global warming (=1 if concerned)	1.4	0	GHG reduction only affected the WTP of those who were concerned about global warming. The marginal WTP for a 1% GHG reduction of the 2000 emission level was \$100 per person.
∆ X* A	Interaction of Concern & GHG	0.62	0.059	
z	Age	0.026	0.003	
	Household pretax income	0.013	0	
	Education level	0.19	0.012	
	Voter (=1 if yes)	1.5	0.003	

Table 2. Model estimation on the probability of zero WTP (spike probability)

Category	Description of variables	coefficient	P> z	The probability a
ΛX	Reduction in lakes with excess nutrients	-0.0040	0	(the spike) was modeled, and it depended on the
	% reduction in GHG	-0.38	0.032	scope of the policy (△ X), annual household pretax
А	Concerned about global warming (=1 if concerned)	-1.3	0	are concerned about glob warming.
Z	Househd pretax income	-0.0042	0.031	

Comparison of Sample mean WTP as a function of ΔX under fixed spike and endogenous spike probabilities



Conclusions

Despite the poor economy, an income tax payment vehicle only resulted in an anti-tax protest rate of 10%.

Ecosystem services of global warming mitigation and eutrophic lake reduction were of value to people. The value depended on the scope of environmental changes, people's attitude towards global warming, age, income, education and whether they are voters.

Because the probability of a spike response (i.e., zero willingness to pay) decreases as the scope of the policy increases, the results illustrate the importance of modeling the spike as a function of the scope of the policy.

The results can be coupled with estimates of farmers' willingness to supply these services by adopting low-input cropping practices to see if a "market" for these services exists.

