

**CUSTOMS-RELATED TRANSACTION COSTS, FIRM SIZE AND
INTERNATIONAL TRADE INTENSITY**
ERNST VERWAAL AND BAS DONKERS

ERIM REPORT SERIES <i>RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT</i>	
ERIM Report Series reference number	ERS-2001-13-MKT
Publication	February 2001
Number of pages	31
Email address corresponding author	Verwaal@few.eur.nl
URL (electronic version)	http://www.eur.nl/WebDOC/doc/erim/erimrs20010226105731.pdf
Address	Erasmus Research Institute of Management (ERIM) Rotterdam School of Management / Faculteit Bedrijfskunde Erasmus Universiteit Rotterdam PoBox 1738 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands Phone: # 31-(0) 10-408 1182 Fax: # 31-(0) 10-408 9640 Email: info@erim.eur.nl Internet: www.erim.eur.nl

Bibliographic data and classifications of all the ERIM reports are also available on the ERIM website:
www.erim.eur.nl

ERASMUS RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MANAGEMENT

REPORT SERIES *RESEARCH IN MANAGEMENT*

BIBLIOGRAPHIC DATA AND CLASSIFICATIONS		
Abstract	<p>The costs of paperwork and delays needed to clear international customs are generally perceived as a time-consuming impediment to international trade. However, few studies have empirically examined the determinants and the impact of this type of government-imposed transaction costs. This paper analyses the role of firm size as a determinant of customs-related transaction costs, as well as the effect of firm size on the relationship between these costs and the international trade intensity of firms. We submit that economies of scale should be related to the size of the activities the firm is specialised in, and not directly linked to the size of a firm <i>per se</i>.</p> <p>The results of this study indicate that customs-related transaction costs repress international trade activities of firms, even at low levels of these costs. The paper identifies transaction-related economies of scale, simplified customs procedures and advanced information and communication technology as main determinants of customs-related transaction costs. When these factors are taken into account, firm size has no effect on customs-related transaction costs. Policy implications are considered for firm strategy and public policy.</p>	
Library of Congress Classification (LCC)	5001-6182	Business
	5410-5417.5	Marketing
	HJ 6603+	Customs Administration
Journal of Economic Literature (JEL)	M	Business Administration and Business Economics
	M 31	Marketing
	C 44	Statistical Decision Theory
	F12 H 32	Models of trade with Imperfect Competition and Scale Economies Fiscal Policies and Behavior of Economic Agents: Firm
European Business Schools Library Group (EBSLG)	85 A	Business General
	280 G	Managing the marketing function
	255 A	Decision theory (general)
	195 B	Trade theory
Gemeenschappelijke Onderwerpsontsluiting (GOO)		
Classification GOO	85.00	Bedrijfskunde, Organisatiekunde: algemeen
	85.40	Marketing
	85.03	Methoden en technieken, operations research
	83.42	Internationale handel
Keywords GOO	Bedrijfskunde / Bedrijfseconomie	
	Marketing / Besliskunde	
	Bedrijfs grootte, Internationale handel, Handelsbelemmeringen, Transactiekosten	
Free keywords	Firm size, international trade intensity, trade barriers, international business strategy	
Other information		

CUSTOMS-RELATED TRANSACTION COSTS, FIRM SIZE AND INTERNATIONAL TRADE INTENSITY

Ernst Verwaal

Erasmus University, P.O. Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands
verwaal@few.eur.nl

Bas Donkers

Erasmus University, P.O. Box 1738, 3000 DR Rotterdam, The Netherlands
donkers@few.eur.nl

ABSTRACT: The costs of paperwork and delays needed to clear international customs are generally perceived as a time-consuming impediment to international trade. However, few studies have empirically examined the determinants and the impact of this type of government-imposed transaction costs. This paper analyses the role of firm size as a determinant of customs-related transaction costs, as well as the effect of firm size on the relationship between these costs and the international trade intensity of firms. We submit that economies of scale should be related to the size of the activities the firm is specialised in, and not directly linked to the size of a firm *per se*.

The results of this study indicate that customs-related transaction costs repress international trade activities of firms, even at low levels of these costs. The paper identifies transaction-related economies of scale, simplified customs procedures and advanced information and communication technology as main determinants of customs-related transaction costs. When these factors are taken into account, firm size has no effect on customs-related transaction costs. Policy implications are considered for firm strategy and public policy.

Key words: Firm size, international trade intensity, trade barriers, international business strategy

JEL classification: F1, H3, K4

Acknowledgement: The authors gratefully acknowledge the useful comments of Hans Bruining and Peter Verhoef.

CUSTOMS-RELATED TRANSACTION COSTS, FIRM SIZE AND INTERNATIONAL TRADE INTENSITY

1. Introduction

Transaction costs are generally higher for international trade than for domestic transactions. Obvious differences are taxes and tariffs, but there are also higher transportation costs, as the goods have to be transported over longer distances. Another element of the costs of international transactions is the costs of dealing with the delays and the paperwork involved in customs clearance. Such government-imposed transaction costs can be an important determinant of international trade. In the last decades, visible trade barriers such as tariffs and import licensing systems have been reduced, but, at the same time, there has been an increase in the use of non-tariff trade barriers such as customs regulations as a hidden trade barrier (e.g. Krugman and Obstfeld, 2000; Biederman, 1999).

Recently, Obstfeld and Rogoff (2000) showed that even a small difference in transaction costs between home and foreign goods can be detrimental to international trade, resulting in a large home bias in trade. More importantly, their results indicate that the marginal effect of international trade costs increases with the level of these costs. Consequently, customs-related transaction costs might have a larger impact in a setting where there are substantial international trade costs, which is generally the case for international transactions. Therefore, customs-related transaction costs could be important, even though they are not the largest part of the transaction costs for international trade. Because of economies of scale in transaction costs and the limited

availability of resources, customs-related transaction costs might be even more relevant for small firms than for larger firms.

International trade activities provide employment, create backward and forward linkages, and ultimately increase the standard of living. When customs-related transaction costs repress international trade activities, this does not only harm firms but it might also slow down economic growth. Wagner (1995) finds that international trade increases the growth of firms. In addition, Roper (1999) reports that the development of new export markets increases a firm's profitability and growth. Furthermore, international trade can provide a competitive advantage, increase capacity utilisation and raise technological standards (e.g. Terpstra and Sarathy, 2000; Levy et al. 1999; Leonidou and Katsikeas, 1996).

Although the impact of customs-related transaction costs on international trade is highly relevant for economic theory and trade policy, there is only little empirical work on this topic. An exception is the empirical analysis of Cecchini et al. (1988).¹ Using a survey of 500 companies, they quantify the customs-related transaction costs in the EU before the introduction of the Single European Market. They report that the total of customs-related transaction costs (including transit delays and excluding opportunity costs) was estimated at about 8 billion Euro.² At that time, this was equal to 2 per cent of the value of cross-border trade in the EU. The opportunity costs (costs in terms of trade not undertaken) of customs procedures were estimated between 4.5 and 15 billion Euro. Furthermore, the study reports that the costs of customs procedures are very unevenly distributed across firms. The costs of customs procedures were between 30 and 45 per cent higher for companies with fewer than 250 employees than for companies with more than 250 employees. Although the

Cecchini Report was widely criticised for being written to justify the Single European Market programme (Harris, 1996, p. 70), the estimates nevertheless indicate that customs-related transaction costs impose a substantial burden on trade.

This paper identifies the determinants of customs-related transaction costs as well as the effect of these costs on the international trade intensity of firms. We start with an analysis of the relationship between firm characteristics and customs-related transaction costs. This information is also of strategic importance when firms decide on their import and export operations. We then continue with an analysis of the relationship between firm size, customs-related transaction costs and international trade intensity. To reduce the effects of other factors that influence international trade, we use information on firms from a single country, the Netherlands, so there is only one institutional setting. As the Netherlands is a member of the European Union (EU), we examine only international transactions with countries outside the EU. Customs regulations do not apply to cross-border transactions within the EU.

This paper continues as follows. The next section discusses the theory and develops hypotheses on the relationships between firm characteristics, customs-related transaction costs and international trade activities of firms. Section 3 describes the data and Section 4 presents the empirical results. The results indicate that customs-related transaction costs repress the international trade intensity of firms. We find that substantial differences in customs-related transaction costs among firms can be explained by economies of scale, the use of simplified customs procedures and the use of advanced information and communication technology. However, there is no independent effect of firm size on customs-related transaction costs. We conclude that firms that are small in international trade are the companies that suffer most from

customs-related transaction costs, not necessarily every small firm. The final section discusses how international firms can effectively deal with customs-related transaction costs and what can be done by government authorities to minimise the detrimental effect of customs regulations on the international trade activities of firms.

2. Background and hypotheses (H)

In this section, we start with a description of the customs procedures for import and export transactions in the EU. Extra attention is paid to the simplified customs procedures, which can reduce customs-related transaction costs substantially, as the results in Section 4 indicate. Section 2.2 develops hypotheses concerning the relationship between firm characteristics and customs-related transaction costs. Section 2.3 concludes with the development of two hypotheses about the relationship between firm size, international trade intensity and customs-related transaction costs.

2.1. Customs procedures in the EU

Customs law of the EU is codified in the Community Customs Code and applies from 1 January 1994.³ The Community Customs Code provides general customs rules and procedures to ensure consistent implementation of customs legislation in the EU. The system of customs procedures is highly consolidated in the EU, but considerable differences among the Member States still exist with regard to the completion of

declarations and the application of simplified and electronic customs procedures (European Commission, 1997, p. 117). There are ‘normal’ customs procedures for entry and exit of goods, but there also exist simplified customs procedures.

Normal customs procedures for import and export. Goods brought into the territory of the EU are subject to control by the customs authority and must be conveyed without delay by a route specified by the customs authorities. At the customs office, a summary declaration must be lodged, once the goods have been presented to customs. With the completion of the Single European Market, the movement of goods within the EU has been removed from customs control, and therefore entry of goods takes place only at the outer borders of the EU. The declaration to release goods into free circulation in the EU market must be made on the standard EU customs document, the Single Administrative Document (SAD). The SAD brings together in one set of forms the control, country, transport, fiscal and statistical data required for customs procedures. If the documentation is free of irregularities, the customs official will sign the document and the goods will be released into free circulation. If goods are exported outside the territory of the EU, the goods are placed under an export procedure. The export declaration must be lodged at the customs office that is responsible for supervising the place where the exporter is established or where the goods are packed and loaded for export shipment. After approval of the customs authorities, the goods must leave the territory of the EU.

Simplified customs procedures. The ‘normal’ customs procedure is that a declaration is made for each transport. However, various procedures exist that can reduce the burden of customs procedures. Such procedures simplify declarations or

facilitate the logistical planning of international shipments. For example, in the Netherlands, firms can obtain a licence to declare on a monthly basis or a licence to file electronic declarations 24 hours a day. In general, the conditions attached to such licences relate to the quality of the company's accounting and internal control systems. The availability of such procedures and the specific licence requirements differ across Member States of the EU.

2.2. Determinants of customs-related transaction costs

In this section, we develop hypotheses concerning a firm's characteristics and the customs-related transaction costs a firm faces. Every firm having international transactions is confronted with these costs in order to meet the requirements of customs regulations. Customs-related transaction costs are incurred to support international transactions, so they should be related to the value of these transactions. Therefore we consider customs-related transaction costs relative to the total value of international trade.

A recurrent finding in studies on compliance costs (costs incurred by companies and individuals in meeting legal requirements) is that these costs are higher for smaller firms (e.g. Hudson and Godwin, 2000; Sandford and Hasseldine, 1992; Sandford et al., 1981). Sandford et al. (1981, p. 53) provide a theoretical basis for this observed relationship by referring to Adam Smith's classical division-of-labour argument. Large companies can hire specialists who devote their time entirely to compliance activities. Other sources of economies of scale are indivisibilities of people and facilities and laws

of mathematics and physics (Nooteboom, 1993). Indivisibilities result in what are called ‘threshold costs’: no matter how small output may be, there is a minimum capacity of people or facilities. Such threshold costs are prominent in international trade where firms have to set up contacts, contracts and governance schemes in a distant and unfamiliar environment. As many of the costs of engaging in international trade are fixed by nature, economies of scale should play an important role.

According to transaction costs theory, the size and frequency of transactions determine the economies of scale of transactions. The idea is that the costs of transaction-specific investments will be easier to recover for large transactions of a recurring kind (Williamson, 1985, p. 60). Therefore, we hypothesise that customs-related transaction costs decrease with the frequency and the average size of international transactions.

In the above reasoning, the implicit assumption is made that when transaction frequency is increased, the average size of international transactions does not decrease. A firm that increases its transaction frequency without increasing its total international trade is likely to incur higher transaction costs. We therefore hypothesise that the effect of a relative change in transaction frequency should be smaller than the effect of a change in average transaction size of the same magnitude.⁴ The above discussion is summarised in the following hypotheses, which will be tested in our empirical application.

***H1a:** A higher frequency of international transactions results in lower customs-related transaction costs.*

H1b: *Larger transaction sizes in international transactions result in lower customs-related transaction costs.*

H1c: *The effect of a relative change in the average transaction size is larger than the effect of the same relative change in the frequency of international transactions.*

So far, we have argued that economies of scale are the main determinants of customs-related transaction costs. However, these economies of scale are related to the size of the business activities a firm is specialised in, and not directly linked to the size of a firm. Levy et al. (1999) argue that the effects of scale in international trade activities are reduced by the declining costs of information and communication technology. As a consequence, the minimum capacity required to realise significant economies of scale in customs compliance activities may well be within the reach of small firms that specialise in international trade. Larger firms that are not specialised in international trade may not necessarily realise these scale economies.

Following this line of reasoning, we argue that there is no effect of firm size on customs-related transaction costs, once transaction size and transaction frequency are taken into account properly. In a study on compliance costs of tax credits for research and development costs, Guntz et al. (1995) report that larger firms have lower compliance costs. However, this effect disappears when they control for the amount of research and development costs, showing that, although firm size is *associated* with research and development, economies of scale are related to the size of the activity and not to the size of the firm. Therefore, we hypothesise that

H2: Firm size has no independent effect on customs-related transaction costs.

Besides realising economies of scale to reduce customs-related transaction costs, firms can also try to increase efficiency in the business processes that deal with customs. One can think of the frequency with which the company exchanges information with the customs authorities, the way in which this information is exchanged and the way in which the necessary information is gathered inside the company. This section continues with a description of some of the possible efficiency gains and their influence on the level of customs-related transaction costs.

In the EU, the normal customs procedure is to file a declaration for each export or import transaction. However, the filing frequency of declarations can be reduced by using simplified customs procedures. The simplified procedures provide the opportunity to combine several transactions into a single declaration. When certain conditions are met, firms can declare international transactions on a monthly basis, thereby lowering the filing frequency of customs declarations to once every month. With a lower filing frequency, a firm needs less time to collect the data, prepare the customs documentation and process the declarations in the business information system. Empirical support for a negative relation between filing frequency and compliance costs has been reported by Cl  roux (1992) in a study of the Canadian goods and services tax.

H3: Reduced filing frequency decreases customs-related transaction costs.

Most international firms in the EU use more or less advanced computer information systems. Customs procedures require logistical, financial, fiscal and statistical data, which may be stored in different databases. Integration of the information system can reduce the labour time needed to produce the required information. For instance, the integration of the statistical and customs databases makes entry of transaction data into each database separately redundant. In addition, the integration of the inventory and financial administration will make it easier to identify the relation between physical and financial flows in the firm, which is required for customs declarations. Furthermore, external integration by electronic data interchange (EDI) between buyers, suppliers and customs authorities may reduce customs-related transaction costs. Senders of electronic messages do not have to record messages on paper and receivers do not have to enter the data into their database manually. EDI might also reduce the probability of mistakes. For instance, if firms submit declarations electronically, details in the declaration can be checked immediately by the receiving program. Therefore, we hypothesise that, in general,

***H4:** Integration of computerised business information systems reduces customs-related transaction costs.*

Knowing which factors determine the level of customs-related transaction costs is in itself interesting, but it might not deserve such a thorough investigation if it did not substantially influence the level of international trade activities firms are engaged in. We therefore continue with a discussion of the relationship between the level of

customs-related transaction costs and the intensity of a firm's international trade activities.

2.3. Customs-related transaction costs and international trade intensity

Economic theory suggests that differential transaction costs of international trade may cause a home bias in international trade. Obstfeld and Rogoff (2000) suggest that even small differences in differential transaction costs can induce a significant bias for domestic trade. The argument is based on the interaction between the differential costs of international trade and the elasticity of substitution between home and foreign goods. Empirical estimates of the average size of this substitution elasticity are rather high (between 5 and 6) and are most likely biased downwards, since data on goods that are not traded are not included (Hummels, 1999). Therefore, we expect that customs-related transaction costs, like differential transaction costs of international trade, reduce the intensity of international trade of firms, even at relatively low levels of these costs.

***H5:** Customs-related transaction costs have a negative effect on international trade intensity.*

As the available resources of small firms are more constrained than those of larger firms (Barnett and Amburgey, 1990), they may be more vulnerable to the detrimental effect of customs-related transaction costs on their international trade activities than

larger firms. Furthermore, Leonidou (1995) reports that the imposition of non-tariff barriers such as customs procedures was systematically perceived as more inhibiting by smaller firms. In addition, the availability of resources offers substantive support for the first phases of a firm's international trade intensity (Gomes and Ramaswamy, 1999). Thus, we expect that the impact of customs-related transaction costs on international trade intensity is smaller for larger firms, so:

***H6:** Increased firm size reduces the impact of customs-related transaction costs on international trade intensity.*

3. Data

Our main interest is in the determinants of customs-related transaction costs and the effect of these costs on international trade activities. For a proper analysis, it is important to have an institutional setting that is similar for all observations, but, at the same time, there should also be a substantial amount of variation in customs-related transaction costs. To make sure that the institutional setting is the same, we focus on a single country, the Netherlands. As a centre of European distribution networks, it has attracted a large variety of businesses with international trading activities, resulting in a substantial amount of variation in the level of customs-related transaction costs. Moreover, the companies and authorities in the Netherlands are experienced in the use of simplified and computerised customs procedures, and the customs authorities in the Netherlands are considered relatively efficient (European Commission, 1997).

Therefore, the size of customs-related transaction costs found for the Netherlands will generally be a conservative estimate of these costs in the EU.

The data we use consist of a sample of international traders drawn from the database of the Dutch tax and customs authorities in 1996. The database was constructed from a sample of 2988 firms involved in cross-border trade (including firms with intra-EU transactions and logistical services). The number of firms that responded to the survey and are included in the database is 642 (21,5 per cent). The response was tested for representativeness with respect to the size and economic activity of respondents. A comparison did not indicate significant differences, except that firms with more than 100 employees had a higher response rate than smaller firms.

The questionnaire was developed with the assistance of customs agents, customs officials, employer organisations and accountants. The first part of the questionnaire was concerned with the general characteristics of the firm, its information system and international transactions. The last part requested detailed information on activities that firms need to perform in order to meet the requirements of customs procedures. These activities include (1) the filing of customs declarations and the collection of required documents, (2) additional driving (via customs offices) and the handling of customs procedures, (3) the handling of simplified procedures and the activities needed to meet the additional requirements of these procedures (e.g. the specification of the accounting system and measures of internal control) and (4) the provision of data to the customs authorities and the time needed to clarify the requested information. Firms that outsource the handling of customs procedures were requested to indicate the costs involved. Time measurements of the various activities were

translated into monetary values using the firm's average hourly labour costs of accounting personnel, including a mark-up for overhead costs. Correlations of the variables used in this study are presented in the Appendix.

4. Empirical results

In this section, we start with a model that relates the characteristics of a firm to the customs-related transaction costs, which we measure by the ratio of these costs to the total value of the transactions for which these costs are incurred. This model is used to test the first four hypotheses in Section 2. In Section 4.2, the model for international trade intensity, measured by the total value of international trade divided by total sales of the firm, is presented. Here we test hypotheses 5 and 6.

4.1. Determinants of customs-related transaction costs

In this section, we consider the empirical relationship between total customs-related transaction costs, as a fraction of the total value of international transactions, and the characteristics of firms. The average size of customs-related transaction costs, as a percentage of international trade, is 2 per cent. The size of the standard deviation (4 per cent) suggests that there is an enormous amount of variation in customs-related compliance costs. In order to identify the determinants of this variation, we use an econometric model based on a log-log specification. This means that the natural logarithm of customs-related transaction costs is regressed on, for example, the

natural logarithm of firm size or average transaction size. Dummy variables are included in the usual way. This approach has been used in previous research: see, among others, Hudson and Godwin (2000), Blumenthal and Slemrod (1995) and Guntz et al. (1995). Various measures can be used to express firm size, such as the number of employees, total sales or total assets. We have chosen the number of employees as our size measure because economies of scale of compliance activities result from the benefits of specialisation of employees (see Section 2.2). The mathematical specification of the model is presented in Table I, together with a description of the variables that are used.

The model is estimated with ordinary least squares regression and the estimation results are presented in Table II. The F-value of 27 is substantially higher than the 99 per cent critical F-value. The regression equation is therefore statistically significant.⁵

Economies of scale (H1 and H2). Hypotheses 1a and 1b are concerned with the effect of transaction frequency and transaction size on customs-related transaction costs. The estimation results show that when the average transaction size (respectively transaction frequency) is increased by 1 per cent, average customs-related transaction costs decrease by 0.74 per cent (respectively 0.57 per cent). These effects are highly significant, supporting hypotheses 1a and 1b. Hypothesis 1c states that the effect of a relative change in average transaction size is stronger than the effect of the same relative change in transaction frequency. The parameter estimates support this hypothesis and a Wald test shows that the difference is highly significant. The strong support for hypothesis 1 in general indicates that measures of the scale of international trade activities are important.

TABLE I

Model specification of the determinants of customs-related transaction costs

$$\text{Log Customs-related transaction costs}_i = \hat{a}_0 + \hat{a}_1(\text{Log Frequency})_i + \hat{a}_2(\text{Log Average size})_i + \hat{a}_3(\text{Log Firm size})_i + \hat{a}_4(\text{Log SAD})_i + \hat{a}_5(\text{Log Procedures})_i + \hat{a}_6(\text{Log Transports})_i + \hat{a}_7(\text{Licence})_i + \hat{a}_8(\text{Integration})_i + \hat{a}_9(\text{EDI with buyers})_i + \hat{a}_{10}(\text{EDI with suppliers})_i + \hat{a}_{11}(\text{EDI with customs})_i + \hat{a}_{12}(\text{Manufacturing})_i + \hat{a}_{13}(\text{Trade})_i + \hat{a}_{14}(\text{Transport ratio})_i + \hat{a}_{15}(\text{Export ratio})_i + \hat{a}$$

Where for firm i:

Log Customs-related transaction costs	The log of customs-related transaction costs expressed as a percentage of the value of international trade
Log Frequency	The log of the number of international transactions
Log Average size	The log of the average size of international transactions
Log Firm size	The log of firm size expressed as the number of employees (in full-time equivalents)
Log SAD	The log of the number of lodged Single Administrative Documents
Log Procedures	The log of the number of handled customs procedures
Log Transports	The log of the number of transports via customs office
Licence	Licence for a monthly declaration (dummy variable)
Integration	Integrated inventory and invoice business information system (dummy variable)
EDI with buyers	Electronic data interchange with buyers (dummy variable)
EDI with suppliers	Electronic data interchange with suppliers (dummy variable)
EDI with customs	Electronic data interchange with customs authorities (dummy variable)
Manufacturing	Firms mainly active in manufacturing activities (dummy variable)
Trade	Firms mainly active in trading activities (dummy variable)
Transport ratio	Value of goods transported to the Netherlands without using the territory of other Member States divided by the total value of international transactions
Export ratio	Value of export transactions divided by the total value of international transactions

TABLE II

Estimation results of the determinants of customs-related transaction costs

Explanatory variable		Estimated coefficient	Standard error	t-value	Significance
(Constant)	(\hat{a}_0)	3.3695	0.8177	4.121	P < 0.01
Log Frequency	(\hat{a}_1)	-0.5654	0.0678	-8.337	P < 0.01
Log Average size	(\hat{a}_2)	-0.7409	0.0663	-11.168	P < 0.01
Log Firm size	(\hat{a}_3)	-0.0041	0.0713	0.058	N.S.
Log SAD	(\hat{a}_4)	0.2775	0.0433	6.416	P < 0.01
Log Procedures	(\hat{a}_5)	0.2961	0.0417	-7.098	P < 0.01
Log Transports	(\hat{a}_6)	0.0921	0.0531	-1.736	P < 0.10
Licence	(\hat{a}_7)	-0.4377	0.2168	-2.019	P < 0.05
Integration	(\hat{a}_8)	-0.5545	0.3075	-1.743	P < 0.10
EDI with buyers	(\hat{a}_9)	0.7403	0.3801	1.948	P < 0.10
EDI with suppliers	(\hat{a}_{10})	-0.6298	0.3587	-1.756	P < 0.10
EDI with customs	(\hat{a}_{11})	-0.8469	0.5038	-1.681	P < 0.10
Manufacturing	(\hat{a}_{12})	-0.0720	0.3696	-0.195	N.S.
Trade	(\hat{a}_{13})	0.2637	0.3417	0.772	N.S.
Transport ratio	(\hat{a}_{14})	-0.5403	0.2411	-2.241	P < 0.05
Export ratio	(\hat{a}_{15})	-0.0511	0.2399	-0.213	N.S.
Model summary		Adjusted R ² = 0.74	F = 27	N = 145	

In line with the findings reported by Cecchini et al. (1988), we find a highly significant negative correlation between firm size and customs-related transaction costs (-0.40). However, the results in Table II indicate that – conditional on the scale of international trade activities – the effect of firm size itself is very small and highly insignificant, which supports hypothesis 2. Thus, the firms that are small in international trade are the firms that suffer most from customs-related transaction costs, not necessarily every small firm.

Filing frequency (H3). The positive and significant coefficients of the variables SAD ($\hat{\alpha}_4$), procedures ($\hat{\alpha}_5$) and transports ($\hat{\alpha}_6$) imply that if the frequency of these compliance activities increases by 1 per cent, then the customs-related transaction costs will increase by, respectively, 0.28, 0.30 and 0.09 per cent. The advantages of reducing filing frequency are mainly the reduced costs of administrative handling of customs procedures, while the effect of the reduced transport time spent on customs-related transaction costs is much smaller. One explanation of this result could be that the reduction in delays is not substantial. However, another explanation could be that the delays are anticipated in the logistical planning and therefore the costs of delays are small. The negative and significant effect of the variable customs licence ($\hat{\alpha}_7$) indicates that companies that reduce the filing frequency to a monthly basis have lower customs-related transaction costs. The coefficient indicates that companies with a monthly filing frequency have customs-related transaction costs that are approximately 36 per cent lower, everything else being equal. Our results strongly support hypothesis 3 and suggest that reducing the filing frequency by simplified customs procedures is an effective method of reducing customs-related transaction costs.

Information and communication technology (H4). The coefficient of the dummy

variable integration ($\hat{\alpha}_8$) confirms the importance of integrated computer information systems for reducing of customs-related transaction costs, as suggested by hypothesis 4. The coefficients of the variables EDI with suppliers ($\hat{\alpha}_{10}$) and EDI with customs ($\hat{\alpha}_{11}$) also indicate that information technology can reduce customs-related transaction costs. However, the value of the coefficient of the variable EDI with buyers ($\hat{\alpha}_9$) is positive and statistically significant. This result seems to contradict the cost savings predicted by hypothesis 4. One explanation for this difference may be that additional legal requirements of electronic invoicing cause serious problems that are difficult and costly to overcome. This is particularly true for international transactions where two authorities are involved with different sets of requirements – see Schmidt (1997). An alternative explanation is that the additional costs are caused by implementation problems that may be solved in the future. In light of the experimental stage of electronic interchange of data for international business purposes, this possibility certainly cannot be excluded.

Remaining variables. The small and insignificant values of the coefficients of the variables manufacturing ($\hat{\alpha}_{12}$), trade ($\hat{\alpha}_{13}$) and export ratio ($\hat{\alpha}_{15}$) indicate that the types of transaction (import or export) or business activity do not have an independent influence on the customs-related transaction costs. The significant coefficient of the variable transport ratio ($\hat{\alpha}_{14}$) suggests that goods transported via other Member States of the EU to the Netherlands have higher customs-related transaction costs than goods transported directly to the Netherlands. One reason could be the efficiency of the Dutch implementation of European customs regulations, but language or cultural differences can also play a role. However, it is difficult to reconcile the idea of a Single European Market with the finding that the level of customs-related transaction costs

depends on the entry location in that market.

Summarising our results so far, the size of international trade activities is an important determinant of the level of customs-related transaction costs, while firm size has no independent effect on the level of international activity. Besides economies of scale, reducing the burden of customs-related transaction costs, information technology also provides many opportunities for cost reductions. Finally, substantial efficiency gains can be made by using simplified customs procedures.

4.2. Customs-related transaction costs and international trade intensity

The second part of our analysis concerns the impact that customs-related transaction costs have on a firm's international trade intensity, controlling for the possible influences of firm size and the type of industry.⁶ We measure a firm's international trade intensity by the total value of international transactions divided by the total sales of the firm. If customs-related transaction costs cause a bias for domestic trade, international trade intensity will decrease when customs-related transaction costs are increased. We examine this relationship with a regression model, where international trade intensity is the dependent variable and the log of customs-related transaction costs is one of the independent variables. The log of firm size and dummies for industry type are included to control for the influence of firm size and industry characteristics. An interaction term⁷ is included between the variables customs-related transaction costs and firm size. This controls for the influence of firm size on the relationship between customs-related transaction costs and international trade

intensity. The resulting model is presented in Table III.

The estimation results of the model are presented in Table IV. The F-value of 8.5 is above the 99 per cent critical F-value, so the regression equation is statistically significant.

Customs-related transaction costs (H5). Hypothesis 5 concerns the impact of customs-related transaction costs on international trade intensity. From Table IV, it is clear that customs-related transaction costs repress the international trade intensity of firms and that this effect is significant at the 5 per cent level.

The effect of firm size (α_2) is negative and highly significant. This means that – conditional on customs-related transaction costs – firm size is negatively related to international trade intensity. The relationship between firm size and international trade intensity can be examined with regard to two aspects: (1) the propensity of being a firm with international trade activities and (2) the intensity of international trade activities among firms with international trade. There is general consensus in the literature that the probability of being a firm with international trade activities increases with firm size (e.g. Wagner, 1995; Calof, 1994; Bonaccorsi, 1992). As our results are based on a sample of firms that are engaged in international trade activities, our results only have implications for the effect of firm size on the intensity of international trade activities. Here, the empirical findings have been mixed in the literature, suggesting the influence of an intervening variable or variables on this relationship. However, most studies indicate that firm size is not a barrier to specialisation in international trade *per se* (e.g. Moen, 1999; Calof, 1994; Bonaccorsi, 1992). This is supported by the negative coefficient of firm size in Table IV.

TABLE III
**Model specification of the relationship between customs-related transaction costs,
firm size and international trade intensity**

$$\text{International trade intensity}_i = \hat{\alpha}_0 + \hat{\alpha}_1(\text{Log Customs-related transaction costs})_i + \hat{\alpha}_2(\text{Log Firm size})_i + \hat{\alpha}_3(\text{Log Firm size})_i \times (\text{Log Customs-related transaction costs})_i + \hat{\alpha}_4(\text{Manufacturing})_i + \hat{\alpha}_5(\text{Trade})_i + \hat{\alpha}$$

Where for firm i:

International trade intensity	The total value of international transactions divided by the total sales
Log Customs-related transaction costs	The log of customs-related transaction costs of international transactions expressed as a percentage of the value of international transactions
Log Firm size	The log of firm size expressed as the number of employees (in full-time equivalents)
Manufacturing	Firms mainly active in manufacturing activities (dummy variable)
Trade	Firms mainly active in trading activities (dummy variable)

TABLE IV
**Estimation results of the relationship between customs-related transaction costs,
firm size and international trade intensity**

Explanatory variable		Estimated coefficient	Standard error	t-value	Significance
(Constant)	($\hat{\alpha}_0$)	0.702	0.172	4.081	P < 0.01
Log Customs-related transaction costs	($\hat{\alpha}_1$)	-0.027	0.013	-2.062	P < 0.05
Log Firm size	($\hat{\alpha}_2$)	-0.108	0.036	-3.033	P < 0.01
Interaction size-costs	($\hat{\alpha}_3$)	-0.004	0.007	-0.634	N.S.
Manufacturing	($\hat{\alpha}_4$)	-0.047	0.100	-0.470	N.S.
Trade	($\hat{\alpha}_5$)	-0.033	0.097	-0.340	N.S.
Model summary		Adjusted R ² = 0.21	F = 8.5	N = 145	

Initially, we included the quadratic terms for the effect of firm size and customs-related transaction costs, but these proved to be insignificant and were omitted in the specification in Table IV. The insignificance of these terms indicates that the influence of firm size on international trade intensity is not decreasing or increasing with firm size. And, more importantly, the insignificant effect of the quadratic term in customs-related transaction costs indicates that changes in these costs reduce international trade intensity independent of the level of customs-related transaction costs. This

supports the proposition of Obstfeld and Rogoff (2000) that even relatively small differences in differential transaction costs can induce a significant bias for domestic trade.

The moderating role of firm size (H6). Hypothesis 6 states that the effect of customs-related transaction costs is moderated by the size of the firm. The estimation results in Table IV, however, indicate that the interaction effect between firm size and these costs has no statistically significant influence on the international trade intensity of a firm. Thus, the data do not support the hypothesis that the impact of these costs on international trade intensity is moderated by firm size. Although small firms perceive customs procedures as a more inhibiting factor than larger firms, this does not affect the intensity of their international trade activities. The negative sign of the estimated coefficient even suggests that customs-related transaction costs are more influential for larger firms. An explanation for this could be that larger firms have higher sales volumes with lower contribution margins. This makes larger firms more vulnerable to the detrimental effect of customs-related transaction costs. Thus, if smaller firms operate in markets with higher profit margins, this could counterbalance the limited resources argument, which motivated hypothesis 6.

5. Policy implications

The results presented in this paper suggest that the burden of customs-related transaction costs is mainly determined by transaction-related economies of scale, the use of simplified customs procedures and the use of advanced information and

communication technology. The economic relevance of customs-related transaction costs is shown by the repressive effect they have on international trade activity. In addition, this repressive effect of customs-related transaction costs on international trade may negatively influence the growth of firms. This follows from Wagner (1995), who finds a positive effect of export intensity on firm growth, and Roper (1999), who reports positive effects of the development of new export markets on both a firm's profitability and a firm's growth. Such dynamic effects could reinforce the negative effect found in this cross-sectional study. Furthermore, it is surprising that the effect of changes in customs-related transaction costs is not smaller for firms that face lower levels of these costs. This indicates that even low levels of customs-related transaction costs can induce a significant bias for domestic trade.

Our findings have implications for firm strategy as well as for public policy. The two important questions that our analysis raises are (1) how can international firms effectively deal with customs-related transaction costs? and (2) what can be done by government authorities to minimise the detrimental effect of customs regulations on the international trade activities of firms?

Firm strategy. The results of this study show that firms can substantially reduce customs-related transaction costs by using simplified customs procedures and advanced information and communication technology. Furthermore, firms can reduce costs by consolidating shipments, thereby increasing transaction-related economies of scale. Such policies will become more important in the near future with the growth of online markets, decreasing inventory levels and increasing product variety. These developments will decrease the average size of transactions, which leads to substantial increases in the burden of customs-related transaction costs.

Our results indicate that economies of scale in international trade are determined by the size of the international trade activities and that firm size has no independent influence on the level of customs-related transaction costs. Since the correlation between firm size and international trade volume is positive and significant but rather low, this suggests that small firms with a focus on international markets can successfully realise economies of scale in customs procedures.

Small firms can also outsource customs-related activities to trading partners, logistical service providers or specialised international trade intermediaries. Besides the cost savings from a more efficient business information system, an outsourcing strategy enables small firms to enjoy the benefits of a licence that permits them to reduce their filing frequency. As our study indicates, the cost savings of a reduced filing frequency are substantial. A disadvantage of outsourcing these activities is the specific investments, which may increase switching costs, thereby creating a lock-in situation for these firms.

Public policy. Customs authorities should be aware that they are part of complex international supply chains. The performance of these supply chains is determined by their weakest parts. The results of this study show that customs-related transaction costs repress international trade activities of firms. Customs authorities can reduce this barrier by facilitating business logistical and administrative processes. Our results suggest that the various facilities provided by customs authorities in the EU effectively reduce customs-related transaction costs. A note of concern relates to the conditions attached to these facilities. Usually, a reduction in filing frequency is used to reduce the effect of scale economies of compliance activities;⁸ however, the conditions for obtaining a licence for simplified customs procedures generally favour larger firms.

The reason is that these conditions – such as the specification of the accounting information system and measures of internal control – are likely to increase the costs of small firms more than the costs of larger firms. Measures of internal control may include the division of administrative activities among different employees, thereby increasing the threshold costs of such a licence significantly. Very small firms may even find it impossible to comply with such requirements. Thus, applying these conditions to small firms without additional support may be at the cost of fair terms of competition.

A limitation of this study is that it is based on a database of international traders in the Netherlands only. Future studies could validate the results in other Member States of the EU and explore the effect of new simplified procedures and innovations in information and communication technology. It would also be interesting to see whether the patterns found in this study apply under systems of customs controls in other parts of the world. International firms report increased use of customs as a concealed non-tariff trade barrier (Biederman, 1999). Firms often lack the resources to take complaints to the World Trade Organisation or are afraid of retaliation by the foreign government. In order to avoid the misuse of customs as a non-tariff trade barrier, the World Trade Organisation could carry out surveys of business costs under different systems of customs controls. The results of these studies could determine whether a country's system of customs controls is relatively efficient and non-discriminatory. Thus, more extensive research in a variety of institutional settings is needed in order to reveal the impact of this hidden barrier in international trade.

Endnotes

¹ For a detailed version of this report, see European Commission (1988).

² The monetary values in the Cecchini Report are denominated in ECU. All monetary units in this paper have been converted into Euro.

³ Regulation (EEC), No. 2913/92, Pb.EC 1992.

⁴ This hypothesis follows from the fact that to keep international trade volume constant, a relative change in the transaction frequency has to be accompanied by the same relative change in the average transaction size, but in the opposite direction.

⁵ The Goldfeld-Quandt test was used to identify possible heteroscedasticity, and variance inflation factors and matrix decomposition were used to detect multicollinearity. The results did not indicate any problem, and plots of the error term of the regression model suggest a normal distribution.

⁶ We disregard a number of other factors that interact to determine international trade intensity, such as the firm's strategic considerations and domestic market size. However, this restriction should not be a cause of great concern since we are focusing on firms that have already decided to be active in international trade and operate in a very large domestic market (the European Union).

⁷ The variables involved in the interaction were mean-centred, a procedure commonly recommended to reduce multicollinearity and provide unbiased parameter estimates (Aiken and West, 1996). To check if this was successful, we employed two widely used measures of multicollinearity. The maximum variance inflation factor and the maximum condition index were well below the levels (10 and 30 respectively) that commonly signal detrimental multicollinearity.

⁸ For instance, in the Netherlands, small firms have a lower filing frequency for VAT returns than larger firms.

References

- Aiken, L.S. and S.G. West, 1996, *Multiple Regression: Testing and Interpreting Interactions*, Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.
- Barnett, W.P. and T.L. Amburgey, 1990, 'Do Larger Organizations Generate Stronger Competition?', in J. Singh (ed.), *Organizational Evolution*, Beverly Hills, CA: Sage, pp. 78–102.
- Biederman, D., 1999, 'Foreign Customs Clamp Down', *Traffic World* **15**, 20.
- Blumenthal, M. and J.B. Slemrod, 1995, 'The Compliance Cost of Taxing Foreign-Source Income: Its Magnitude, Determinants, and Policy Implications', *International Tax and Public Finance* **2**, 37–53.
- Bonaccorsi, A., 1992, 'On the Relationship between Firm Size and Export Intensity', *Journal of International Business Studies* **23**, 605–635.
- Calof, J.L., 1994, 'The Relationship between Firm Size and Export Behaviour Revisited', *Journal of International Business Studies* **25**, 367–386.
- Cecchini, P., M. Catinat and A. Jacquemin, 1988, *The European Challenge: 1992*, Aldershot, Hants: Wildwood House.
- Cléroux, P., 1992, *The GST and Compliance Costs: A Small Business Perspective*, Toronto: Canadian Tax Foundation.
- European Commission, 1988, *The Research on the 'Cost of Non-Europe'*, Vol. 4, Brussels: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- European Commission, 1997, *Customs and Fiscal Formalities at Frontiers*, Luxembourg: Office for Official Publications of the European Communities.
- Gomes, L. and K. Ramaswamy, 1999, 'An Empirical Examination of the Form of the Relationship between Multinationality and Performance', *Journal of International*

Business Studies **30**, 173–188.

Guntz, S., A. MacNaughton and K. Wensley, 1995, 'Measuring the Compliance Costs of Tax Expenditures: The Case of Research and Development Incentives', *Canadian Tax Journal / Revue Fiscale Canadienne* **43**, 2008–2034.

Harris, N., 1996, *European Business*, Chatham, Kent: MacMillan Business.

Hudson, J. and M. Godwin, 2000, 'The Compliance Costs of Collecting Direct Tax in the UK: An Analysis of PAYE and National Insurance', *Journal of Public Economics* **77**, 29–44.

Hummels, D., 1999, 'Toward a Geography of Trade Costs', University of Chicago, Graduate School of Business, mimeo.

Krugman, P.R. and M. Obstfeld, 2000, *International Economics: Theory and Policy*, New York: HarperCollinsCollegePublishers.

Leonidou, C.L., 1995, 'Export Barriers: Non-Exporters' Perception', *International Marketing Review* **12**, 4–25.

Leonidou, L.C. and C.S. Katsikeas, 1996, 'The Export Development Process: An Integrative Review of Empirical Models', *Journal of International Business Studies* **27**, 517–551.

Levy, B., A. Berry and J.B. Nugent, 1999, *Fulfilling the Export Potential of Small and Medium Firms*, Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Moen, O., 1999, 'The Relationship between Firm Size, Competitive Advantages and Export Performance', *International Small Business Journal* **18**, 53–72.

Nooteboom, B., 1993, 'Firm Size Effects on Transaction Costs', *Small Business Economics* **5**, 283–295.

Obstfeld, M. and K. Rogoff, 2000, *The Six Major Puzzles in International Macroeconomics: Is There a Common Cause?*, National Bureau of Economic Research, Working Paper 7777; forthcoming in National Bureau of Economic Research, *Macroeconomics Annual*, 2000,

MIT Press.

Roper, S., 1999, 'Modelling Small Business Growth and Profitability', *Small Business Economics* **13**, 235–252.

Sandford, C.T., M. Godwin, P.J.W. Hardwick and M.I. Butterworth, 1981, *Costs and Benefits of VAT*, London: Heinemann Educational Books.

Sandford, C.T. and J. Hasseldine, 1992, *The Compliance Costs of Business Taxes in New Zealand*, Wellington: Institute of Policy Studies, Victoria University.

Schmidt, A., 1997, 'TEDIS II – EDICON: Final Report', *The EDI Law Review* **4**, 5–49.

Terpstra, V. and R. Sarathy, 2000, *International Marketing*, Fort Worth: The Dryden Press.

Wagner, J., 1995, 'Exports, Firm Size, and Firm Dynamics', *Small Business Economics* **7**, 29–39.

Williamson, O.E., 1985, *The Economic Institutions of Capitalism*, New York: The Free Press.

Appendix: Correlations of the variables of interest in this study (*Significance at P < 0.05)

	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
Log Customs-related transaction costs (1)	1	-0.25*	-0.56*	-0.40*	0.20*	0.17*	0.09	-0.27*	-0.13	-0.06	-0.18	-0.14*	-0.15*	0.10	-0.28*	0.16*
Log Frequency (2)		1	-0.13	0.58*	0.52*	0.42*	0.33*	0.36*	0.22*	0.24*	0.30*	0.25*	-0.10	-0.12	0.04	-0.03
Log Average size (3)			1	0.22*	-0.10	0.12	0.18*	0.10	0.16*	-0.07	0.04	0.06	0.17*	-0.16*	0.26*	-0.22*
Log Firm size (4)				1	0.19*	0.27*	0.21*	0.25*	0.26*	0.24*	0.32*	0.20*	0.38*	-0.40*	0.05	-0.07*
Log SAD (5)					1	0.25*	0.29	-0.12*	0.01	0.17*	0.18*	0.10	0.10	-0.15	-0.05	0.16
Log Procedures (6)						1	0.60*	0.15*	0.05	0.08	0.12	0.16*	0.17*	0.19*	0.14*	-0.08
Log Transports (7)							1	0.28*	0.28*	-0.06	-0.04	0.22*	0.22*	-0.24*	-0.01	-0.09
Licence (8)								1	0.28*	0.14*	0.11	0.18*	-0.10	0.05	-0.03	-0.11
Integration (9)									1	0.13*	0.18*	0.07	0.19*	-0.17*	-0.08	-0.06
EDI with buyers (10)										1	0.60*	0.19*	0.11	-0.13*	-0.02	-0.20*
EDI with suppliers (11)											1	0.19*	0.05	-0.06	0.05	-0.16*
EDI with customs (12)												1	-0.02*	-0.02	0.07	-0.20*
Manufacturing (13)													1	-0.80	0.04	0.20
Trade (14)														1	-0.06	-0.20
Transport ratio (15)															1	0.01
Export ratio (16)																1

Publications in the Report Series Research* in Management

ERIM Research Program: "Decision Making in Marketing Management"

2001

Predicting Customer Potential Value. An application in the insurance industry

Peter C. Verhoef & Bas Donkers

ERS-2001-01-MKT

Modeling Potentially Time-Varying Effects of Promotions on Sales

Philip Hans Franses, Richard Paap & Philip A. Sijthoff

ERS-2001-05-MKT

Firm Size and Export Intensity: A Transaction Costs and Resource-Based Perspective

Ernst Verwaal & Bas Donkers

ERS-2001-12-MKT

Customs-Related Transaction Costs, Firm Size and International Trade Intensity

Ernst Verwaal & Bas Donkers

ERS-2001-13-MKT

2000

Impact of the Employee Communication and Perceived External Prestige on Organizational Identification

Ale Smidts, Cees B.M. van Riel & Ad Th.H. Pruyn

ERS-2000-01-MKT

Forecasting Market Shares from Models for Sales

Dennis Fok & Philip Hans Franses

ERS-2000-03-MKT

The Effect of Relational Constructs on Relationship Performance: Does Duration Matter?

Peter C. Verhoef, Philip Hans Franses & Janny C. Hoekstra

ERS-2000-08-MKT

Informants in Organizational Marketing Research: How Many, Who, and How to Aggregate Response?

Gerrit H. van Bruggen, Gary L. Lilien & Manish Kacker

ERS-2000-32-MKT

The Powerful Triangle of Marketing Data, Managerial Judgment, and Marketing Management Support Systems

Gerrit H. van Bruggen, Ale Smidts & Berend Wierenga

ERS-2000-33-MKT

Consumer Perception and Evaluation of Waiting Time: A Field Experiment

Gerrit Antonides, Peter C. Verhoef & Marcel van Aalst

ERS-2000-35-MKT

Broker Positions in Task-Specific Knowledge Networks: Effects on Perceived Performance and Role Stressors in an Account Management System

* A complete overview of the ERIM Report Series Research in Management:

<http://www.ers.erim.nl>

ERIM Research Programs:

LIS Business Processes, Logistics and Information Systems

ORG Organizing for Performance

MKT Decision Making in Marketing Management

F&A Financial Decision Making and Accounting

STR Strategic Renewal and the Dynamics of Firms, Networks and Industries

David Dekker, Frans Stokman & Philip Hans Franses
ERS-2000-37-MKT

Modeling Unobserved Consideration Sets for Household Panel Data
Erjen van Nierop, Richard Paap, Bart Bronnenberg, Philip Hans Franses & Michel Wedel
ERS-2000-42-MKT

A Managerial Perspective on the Logic of Increasing Returns
Erik den Hartigh, Fred Langerak & Harry Commandeur
ERS-2000-48-MKT

The Mediating Effect of NPD-Activities and NPD-Performance on the Relationship between Market Orientation and Organizational Performance
Fred Langerak, Erik Jan Hultink & Henry S.J. Robben
ERS-2000-50-MKT

Sensemaking from actions: Deriving organization members' means and ends from their day-to-day behavior
Johan van Rekom, Cees B.M. van Riel & Berend Wierenga
ERS-2000-52-MKT