

centrA:

Fundación Centro de Estudios Andaluces

Documento de Trabajo
Serie Economía E2003/32

Is the Budget Deficit Sustainable when Fiscal Policy is nonlinear? The Case of Spain, 1961-2001^{*}

Oscar Bajo-Rubio

U. de Castilla-La Mancha

Carmen Díaz-Roldán

U. de Castilla-La Mancha

Vicente Esteve

U. de Valencia

RESUMEN

Reexaminamos la sostenibilidad a largo plazo de los déficit presupuestarios cuando la política fiscal se instrumenta como un proceso no lineal. Nuestra metodología empírica utiliza desarrollos recientes sobre umbrales de cointegración, que consideran la posibilidad de una relación no lineal entre los ingresos y los gastos del gobierno. El análisis se aplica al caso de España, un país que ha llevado a cabo recientemente una importante consolidación fiscal. En general, nuestros resultados sugieren la presencia de efectos no lineales significativos en la política fiscal española, de modo que las autoridades fiscales habrían reducido los déficit sólo si éstos eran "grandes", garantizando su sostenibilidad a largo plazo.

Palabras clave: Política fiscal, sostenibilidad, umbral de cointegración, no linealidad.

ABSTRACT

In this paper we re-examine the long-run sustainability of budget deficits, when fiscal policy is conducted as a nonlinear process. Our empirical methodology makes use of recent developments on threshold cointegration that consider the possibility of a nonlinear relationship between government revenues and expenditures. The analysis is applied to the case of Spain, a country that has recently accomplished an important fiscal consolidation. Overall, our results suggest the presence of significant nonlinear effects in Spanish fiscal policy, so that fiscal authorities would cut deficits only if they are "large", and assuring their long-run sustainability.

Keywords: Fiscal policy, Sustainability, Threshold cointegration.

JEL classification: E62, H62.

^{*} The authors acknowledge financial support from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Technology, through the projects SEC2002-01892 (O. Bajo-Rubio and C. Díaz-Roldán) and SEC2002-03651 (V. Esteve), as well as from the Valencian Council of Education and Science, through the project GV01-127 (V. Esteve).

1 Introduction

The formation of the Economic and Monetary Union (EMU) by 12 member countries of the European Union (EU) means that fiscal policy becomes the main instrument of stabilization policies available to their national authorities. As a consequence, issues related with fiscal policy have gained a growing interest in last years, in both academic and policy circles. In particular, the long-run sustainability of budget deficits has become a matter of great concern. On the one hand, sustainability issues would mean in themselves a limit to the flexibility of fiscal policy as a stabilization tool; on the other hand, EMU might lead to relax fiscal discipline, so increasing the risks of default and bailout. In turn, all this was reflected in the Treaty of Maastricht, which defined budgetary rules that countries had to satisfy in order to enter EMU, later enforced in the clauses of the Pact for Stability and Growth (De Grauwe, 2000).

The traditional approach to analyze the sustainability of budget deficits has tested whether the government's intertemporal budget constraint (IBC) holds, that is, whether the current level of debt equals the present discounted value of primary surpluses. Empirical tests on sustainability, however, are still inconclusive due to differences in the econometric methodology, the particular specification of the transversality condition, and the sample period used.

Several procedures to test for the IBC have been proposed in the literature, which focus on the univariate properties of the government deficit and debt (Hamilton and Flavin, 1986; Wilcox, 1989), and on the presence of a long-run, lineal, cointegration relationship between government revenues and expenditures (Trehan and Walsh, 1988, 1991; Haug, 1991; Smith and Zin, 1991). Further on, the eventual occurrence of structural breaks in this cointegrating relationship has been examined by Hakkio and Rush (1991), who assumed the breakpoint as exogenously given; and by Haug (1995), Quintos (1995), Camarero, Esteve and Tamarit (1998), Makrydakis, Tsavalis and Balfoussias (1999), and Martin (2000), where the breakpoint was endogenously derived.

However, and unlike the above quoted papers, which assumed a linear relationship between government revenues and expenditures, several recent studies have suggested the possibility that fiscal policy may have non-linear effects, in the sense that both the size and the sign of the response of macroeconomic variables to fiscal policy actions could be different depending on the way and the initial conditions in which such policy actions are implemented; see, e.g., Giavazzi, Jappelli and Pagano (2000), and the references therein. More specifically, fiscal authorities would cut deficits only when they are very large, in order to meet the IBC (Bertola and Drazen, 1993).

The empirical literature on fiscal sustainability has only recently begun to incorporate these new developments. Cipollini (2001) introduced a regime

shift in the adjustment towards a linear long-run (cointegrating) relationship between total government revenues and expenditures for the UK, using a smooth transition error correction model to test for nonlinearities or asymmetries in the adjustment process. More recently, Bajo, Díaz and Esteve (2003) have found strong evidence on nonlinearities in the Spanish budget deficit using a threshold autoregressive model, so that the deficit dynamics would be different depending on whether its change is below or above an endogenously estimated threshold; in particular, a mean-reverting dynamic behaviour of the budget deficit should be expected once such threshold is reached.

The objective of this paper is to provide some additional evidence on the sustainability of budget deficits, when fiscal policy is conducted as a nonlinear process. To this end, we make use of recent developments on threshold cointegration that consider the possibility of a nonlinear relationship between government revenues and expenditures. Our approach should be relevant for a number of EU countries that have faced problems of fiscal sustainability during the 1980s and 1990s. In particular, we analyze the case of Spain, a country traditionally experiencing high budget deficits, which has accomplished an important fiscal consolidation in last years that has allowed her to be able to participate in EMU since the outset.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. First, our empirical methodology is outlined in Section 2. Next, the tests on threshold cointegration between Spanish government revenues and expenditures are performed in Section 3. Finally, the main conclusions are summarized in Section 4.

2 Methodology

The concept of threshold cointegration was introduced by Balke and Fomby (1997) as a feasible way to combine nonlinearity and cointegration. As is well known, systems in which variables are cointegrated can be characterized by an error correction model (ECM), which describes how the variables respond to deviations from the equilibrium. In this way, the ECM can be characterized as the adjustment process through which the long-run equilibrium is maintained. The traditional approach, however, assumes that such a tendency to move towards the long-run equilibrium is present every time period.

Balke and Fomby (1997) stress the possibility that this movement towards the long-run equilibrium might not occur in every time period, due to the presence of some adjustment costs on the side of economic agents. In other words, there could be a discontinuous adjustment to equilibrium so that, only when the deviation from the equilibrium exceeds a critical threshold, the benefits of adjustment are higher than the costs, and economic agents move the system back to equilibrium. Threshold cointegration

characterizes this discrete adjustment as follows: the cointegrating relationship does not hold inside a certain range, but holds if the system gets ‘too far’ from the equilibrium; i.e., cointegration would hold only if the system exceeds a certain threshold.

This type of discrete adjustment could be particularly useful to describe the behaviour of fiscal authorities. More specifically, fiscal authorities would intervene by cutting budget deficits only when these are ‘too large’, in order to meet the IBC. The concept of threshold cointegration would capture the possibility of a nonlinear relationship between government revenues and expenditures, so that a mean-reverting dynamic behaviour of the budget deficit (or a cointegrating relationship between government revenues and expenditures) should be expected only when a certain threshold is reached.

When testing for threshold cointegration, Balke and Fomby (1997) propose applying several univariate tests previously developed in the literature, to the known cointegrating residual (i.e., the error-correction term). Lo and Zivot (2001) extend their approach to a multivariate threshold cointegration model with a known cointegrating vector, using Tsay’s (1998) and multivariate extensions of Hansen’s (1996) tests. More recently, Hansen and Seo (2002) contribute further to this literature by examining the case of a unknown cointegration vector. In particular, these authors propose a vector error-correction model (VECM) with one cointegrating vector and a threshold effect based on the error-correction term, and develop a Lagrange multiplier (LM) test for the presence of a threshold effect. This will be the approach followed in this paper.

Hansen and Seo (2002) consider a two-regime threshold cointegration model, or a nonlinear VECM of order $l + 1$, such as:

$$\Delta x_t = \begin{cases} A_1' X_{t-1}(\beta) + u_t & \text{if } w_{t-1}(\beta) \leq \gamma \\ A_2' X_{t-1}(\beta) + u_t & \text{if } w_{t-1}(\beta) > \gamma \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

with

$$X_{t-1}(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ w_{t-1}(\beta) \\ \Delta x_{t-1} \\ \Delta x_{t-2} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta x_{t-l} \end{pmatrix}$$

where x_t is a p -dimensional $I(1)$ time series which is cointegrated with one $p \times 1$ cointegrating vector β , $w_t(\beta) = \beta' x_t$ is the $I(0)$ error-correction term, u_t is an error term, A_1 and A_2 are coefficient matrices that describe the dynamics in each of the regimes, and γ is the threshold parameter.

As can be seen, the threshold model (1) has two regimes, defined by the value of the error-correction term. As long as deviations from the equilib-

rium are lower or equal than the threshold, there is no tendency for the variables x_t to revert to an equilibrium (i.e., the variables would not be cointegrated); on the contrary, if deviations from the equilibrium are greater than the threshold, there is a tendency for the variables x_t to move towards some equilibrium (i.e., the variables would be cointegrated).

Next, Hansen and Seo (2002) propose two heteroskedastic-consistent LM test statistics for the null hypothesis of linear cointegration (i.e., there is no threshold effect), against the alternative of threshold cointegration (i.e., model (1)). The first test would be used when the true cointegrating vector is known a priori, and is denoted as:

$$\sup LM^0 = \sup_{\gamma_L \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_U} LM(\beta_0, \gamma) \quad (2)$$

where β_0 is the known value of β (in the case analyzed below, $\beta_0 = 1$); whereas the second test would be used when the true cointegrating vector is unknown, and is denoted as:

$$\sup LM = \sup_{\gamma_L \leq \gamma \leq \gamma_U} LM(\tilde{\beta}, \gamma) \quad (3)$$

where $\tilde{\beta}$ is the null estimate of β . In both tests, $[\gamma_L, \gamma_U]$ is the search region set so that γ_L is the π_0 percentile of \tilde{w}_{t-1} , and γ_U is the $(1 - \pi_0)$ percentile; Andrews (1993) suggests setting π_0 between 0.05 and 0.15. Finally, Hansen and Seo (2002) develop two bootstrap methods to calculate asymptotic critical values and p -values.

3 Results

In this section, we re-examine the issue of sustainability of the Spanish budget deficit using the new approach of Hansen and Seo (2002), described in the last section. We use data on Spanish total government revenues, and total (i.e., inclusive of debt interest) government expenditures, both of them as a ratio to GDP, and denoted by rev_t and gr_t , respectively. The data are annual, cover the years 1964 to 2001, and come from the Spanish National Institute of Statistics. The evolution of the two series is shown in Figure 1.

As a first step of the analysis, we have tested for the order of integration of the two series. To this end, we have used a modified version of the Phillips and Perron (1988) tests proposed by Ng and Perron (2001), which try to solve the main problems present in the conventional tests for unit roots. Table 1 shows the results of the three tests, $\bar{M}Z_\alpha^{GLS}$, $\bar{M}Z_t^{GLS}$, and ADF^{GLS} . As shown in the table, the null hypothesis of nonstationarity for the two series in levels cannot be rejected, independently of the test; and the presence of two unit roots is clearly rejected at the usual significance levels. Accordingly, both series would be I(1).

Next, we have applied the tests of threshold cointegration proposed by Hansen and Seo (2002), namely, $\sup LM^0$ (for a given $\beta = 1$) and $\sup LM$ (for an estimated β). In both cases, the p -values are calculated using a parametric bootstrap method (with 5,000 simulation replications), as proposed by Hansen and Seo (2002). To select the lag length of the VAR, we have used the AIC and BIC criteria, both of them leading to $l = 1$; we also report the results for $l = 2$ for the sake of comparison. The results of the tests are reported in Table 2.

Threshold cointegration would only appear (at the 1% level) when $l = 1$, and β is fixed at unity, so that the null hypothesis of linear cointegration would be strongly rejected. The estimated threshold is $\hat{\gamma} = -5.30$, with the error-correction term defined as $w_t = rev_t - gr_t$. Hence, the first regime would occur when government expenditures are more than 5.30 percentage points above revenues, as a ratio to GDP; in other words, when the government deficit as a ratio to GDP is above 5.30%. This would be the relatively unusual regime, including only 13% of the observations (in particular, the years 1982, 1984, 1985, 1993, and 1995). In turn, the second or usual regime (with 87% of the observations) would occur when the government deficit as a ratio to GDP is below 5.30%.

The estimated two-regime threshold VAR is (heteroskedasticity-consistent standard errors in parentheses):

$$\Delta rev_t = \begin{cases} \begin{matrix} 13.04 + 1.41 w_{t-1} + 2.32 \Delta rev_{t-1} - 3.29 \Delta gr_{t-1} + u_{1t}, & w_{t-1} \leq - \\ (3.11) & (0.50) & (0.70) & (0.87) \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 0.43 - 0.04 w_{t-1} - 0.19 \Delta rev_{t-1} + 0.32 \Delta gr_{t-1} + u_{2t}, & w_{t-1} > - \\ (0.22) & (0.09) & (0.26) & (0.10) \end{matrix} \end{cases}$$

$$\Delta gr_t = \begin{cases} \begin{matrix} 22.04 + 2.85 w_{t-1} + 3.10 \Delta rev_{t-1} - 4.26 \Delta gr_{t-1} + u_{1t}, & w_{t-1} \leq - \\ (2.04) & (0.33) & (0.46) & (0.57) \end{matrix} \\ \begin{matrix} 0.41 - 0.007 w_{t-1} - 0.17 \Delta rev_{t-1} + 0.70 \Delta gr_{t-1} + u_{2t}, & w_{t-1} > - \\ (0.23) & (0.07) & (0.26) & (0.17) \end{matrix} \end{cases}$$

where significant error-correction effects (estimated at 1.41 and 2.85, respectively) appear only in the first regime, i.e., when either government expenditures are well above revenues or government deficit is relatively high. On the contrary, in the second regime error-correction effects and dynamics are minimal, both in terms of significance and size of the coefficients.

Figure 2 plots the error-correction effect, i.e. the estimated response of government revenues and expenditures to the discrepancy between them (i.e., to the size of the government deficit) in the previous period, holding the other variables constant. As can be seen, for a ‘small’ deficit (i.e., lower than 5.30% of GDP), the response of both revenues and expenditures would be nearly zero. However, if the deficit was ‘large’ (i.e., greater than 5.30% of GDP), both revenues and expenditures would decrease sharply with the size

of the deficit. And, since the response of expenditures would be larger than that of revenues (notice that the estimated error-correction term is twice for expenditures than for revenues), government deficit would fall accordingly.

Overall, the above evidence, taken together with that from a previous paper (Bajo, Díaz and Esteve, 2003), suggests that Spanish fiscal policy would have shown significant nonlinear effects. In particular, the outstanding fiscal consolidation experienced in recent years would have occur only once the budget deficit exceeded a certain threshold and became ‘too large’. As a consequence, budget deficits would have shown a mean-reverting dynamic behaviour after such threshold was reached, which in turn would assure their long-run sustainability.

4 Conclusions

This paper has re-examined the long-run sustainability of budget deficits, when fiscal policy is conducted as a nonlinear process. The empirical methodology has made use of Hansen and Seo’s (2002) recent contribution, based on a threshold cointegration model that considers the possibility of a nonlinear relationship between government revenues and expenditures. Our analysis should be relevant for a number of EU countries that have faced problems of fiscal sustainability in last years, and has been applied to the case of Spain, a country traditionally experiencing high budget deficits, which has recently accomplished an important fiscal consolidation.

According to our results, the null hypothesis of linear cointegration would be strongly rejected in favour of a two-regime threshold cointegration model, with the threshold parameter estimated at -5.30 . In particular, if the budget deficit was ‘small’ (i.e., lower than 5.30% of GDP), the response of both revenues and expenditures would be nearly zero. However, if the deficit was ‘large’ (i.e., greater than 5.30% of GDP), both revenues and expenditures would experience a substantial decrease, but stronger for the latter, so that the budget deficit would fall accordingly.

In the end, these results would suggest the presence of significant nonlinear effects in Spanish fiscal policy. This in turn would support the theoretical insights put forward by Bertola and Drazen (1993) and other authors, who conclude that fiscal authorities would cut deficits only if they are large enough, which would allow to assure their sustainability in the long run.

References

- [1] Andrews, D.W.K. (1993): “Tests for parameter instability and structural change with unknown change point”, *Econometrica*, 61, 821-856.

- [2] Bajo-Rubio, O., Díaz-Roldán, C. and Esteve, V. (2003): “Searching for threshold effects in the evolution of budget deficits: An application to the Spanish case”, Working Paper, Fundación Centro de Estudios Andaluces, forthcoming.
- [3] Balke, N.S. and Fomby, T.B. (1997): “Threshold cointegration”, *International Economic Review*, 38, 627-645.
- [4] Bertola, G. and Drazen, A. (1993): “Trigger points and budget cuts: Explaining the effects of fiscal austerity”, *American Economic Review*, 83, 11-26.
- [5] Camarero, M., Esteve, V. and Tamarit, C.R. (1998): “Cambio de régimen y sostenibilidad a largo plazo de la política fiscal: El caso de España”, Working Paper WP-EC 98-15, Instituto Valenciano de Investigaciones Económicas.
- [6] Cipollini, A. (2001): “Testing for government intertemporal solvency: A smooth transition error correction model approach”, *The Manchester School*, 69, 643-655.
- [7] De Grauwe, P. (2000): *Economics of Monetary Union* (4th edition), Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- [8] Giavazzi, F., Jappelli, T. and Pagano, M. (2000): “Searching for non-linear effects of fiscal policy: Evidence from industrial and developing countries”, *European Economic Review*, 44, 1259-1289.
- [9] Hakkio, C.S. and Rush, M. (1991): “Is the budget deficit ‘too large’?”, *Economic Inquiry*, 29, 429-445.
- [10] Hamilton, J.D. and Flavin, M.A. (1986): “On the limitations of government borrowing: A framework for empirical testing”, *American Economic Review*, 76, 808-819.
- [11] Hansen, B.E. (1996): “Inference when a nuisance parameter is not identified under the null hypothesis”, *Econometrica*, 64, 413-430.
- [12] Hansen, B.E. and Seo, B. (2002): “Testing for two-regime threshold cointegration in vector error-correction models”, *Journal of Econometrics*, 110, 293-318.
- [13] Haug, A.A. (1991): “Cointegration and government borrowing constraints: Evidence for the U.S.”, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 9, 97-101.
- [14] Haug, A.A. (1995): “Has the federal deficit policy changed in recent years?”, *Economic Inquiry*, 33, 104-118.

- [15] Lo, M. and Zivot, E. (2001): “Threshold cointegration and nonlinear adjustment to the law of one price”, *Macroeconomic Dynamics*, 5, 533-576.
- [16] Makrydakis, S., Tsavalis, E. and Balfoussias, A. (1999): “Policy regime changes and the long-run sustainability of fiscal policy: An application to Greece”, *Economic Modelling*, 16, 71-86.
- [17] Martin, G. (2000): “US deficit sustainability: A new approach based on multiple endogenous breaks”, *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 15, 83-105.
- [18] Ng, S. and Perron, P. (2001): “Lag length selection and the construction of unit root tests with good size and power”, *Econometrica*, 69, 1529-1554.
- [19] Perron, P. and Ng, S. (1996): “Useful modifications to some unit root tests with dependent errors and their local asymptotic properties”, *Review of Economics Studies*, 63, 435-465.
- [20] Phillips, P.C.B. and Perron, P. (1988): “Testing for a unit root in time series regression”, *Biometrika*, 75, 335-346.
- [21] Quintos, C.E. (1995): “Sustainability of the deficit process with structural shifts”, *Journal of Business and Economic Statistics*, 13, 409-417.
- [22] Smith, G.W. and Zin, S.E. (1991): “Persistent deficits and the market value of government debt”, *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 6, 31-44.
- [23] Trehan, B. and Walsh, C.E. (1988): “Common trends, the government’s budget balance, and revenue smoothing”, *Journal of Economic Dynamics and Control*, 12, 425-444.
- [24] Trehan, B. and Walsh, C.E. (1991): “Testing intertemporal budget constraints: Theory and applications to U.S. federal budget and current account deficits”, *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, 23, 206-223.
- [25] Tsay, R.S. (1998): “Testing and modeling multivariate threshold models”, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 93, 1188-1198.
- [26] Wilcox, D.W. (1989): “The sustainability of government deficits: Implications of the present-value borrowing constraint”, *Journal of Money, Credit, and Banking*, 21, 291-306.

Table 1
Ng-Perron tests of unit roots

I(2) vs. I(1)		Case: $p = 0, \bar{c} = -7.0$		
<i>Variable</i>	$\bar{M}Z_{\alpha}^{GLS}$	$\bar{M}Z_t^{GLS}$	ADF^{GLS}	
Δrev_t	-17.9***	-2.99***	-6.12***	
Δgr_t	-15.8***	-2.79***	-4.14***	
I(1) vs. I(0)		Case: $p = 1, \bar{c} = -13.5$		
<i>Variable</i>	$\bar{M}Z_{\alpha}^{GLS}$	$\bar{M}Z_t^{GLS}$	ADF^{GLS}	
rev_t	-3.16	-1.07	-1.13	
gr_t	-0.48	-0.20	-0.20	

Notes:

^a *, **, and *** denote significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively. The critical values are taken from Ng and Perron (2001), Table 1.

^b The autoregressive truncation lag, k , has been selected using the *MAIC* information criterion, as proposed by Perron and Ng (1996).

Table 2
Hansen-Seo tests of threshold cointegration


	sup LM^0		sup LM	
	$l = 1$	$l = 2$	$l = 1$	$l = 2$
Test statistic value	341.9	20.3	6.51	14.5
Calculated p -values	0.021***	0.567	0.490	0.186
Threshold parameter	-5.30	-5.30	1.87	2.54
Estimate of the cointegrating vector	1.00	1.00	0.87	0.80


Notes:

^a *, **, and *** denote significance at the 10%, 5% and 1% levels, respectively.

Figure 1

Spanish government revenues and expenditures (ratio to GDP), 1964-2001





centrA:

Fundación Centro de Estudios Andaluces

Documentos de Trabajo

Serie Economía

- E2001/01** "The nineties in Spain: so much flexibility in the labor market?", J. Ignacio García Pérez y Fernando Muñoz Bullón.
- E2001/02** "A Log-linear Homotopy Approach to Initialize the Parameterized Expectations Algorithm", Javier J. Pérez.
- E2001/03** "Computing Robust Stylized Facts on Comovement", Francisco J. André, Javier J. Pérez, y Ricardo Martín.
- E2001/04** "Linking public investment to private investment. The case of the Spanish regions", Diego Martínez López.
- E2001/05** "Price Wars and Collusion in the Spanish Electricity Market", Juan Toro y Natalia Fabra.
- E2001/06** "Expedient and Monotone Learning Rules", Tilman Börgers, Antonio J. Morales y Rajiv Sarin.
- E2001/07** "A Generalized Production Set. The Production and Recycling Function", Francisco J. André y Emilio Cerdá.
-
- E2002/01** "Flujos Migratorios entre provincias andaluzas y entre éstas y el resto de España", J. Ignacio García Pérez y Consuelo Gámez Amián.
- E2002/02** "Flujos de trabajadores en el mercado de trabajo andaluz", J. Ignacio García Pérez y Consuelo Gámez Amián.
- E2002/03** "Absolute Expediency and Imitative Behaviour", Antonio J. Morales Siles.
- E2002/04** "Implementing the 35 Hour Workweek by means of Overtime Taxation", Victoria Osuna Padilla y José-Víctor Ríos-Rull.
- E2002/05** "Landfilling, Set-Up costs and Optimal Capacity", Francisco J. André y Emilio Cerdá.
- E2002/06** "Identifying endogenous fiscal policy rules for macroeconomic models", Javier J. Pérez y Paul Hiebert.
- E2002/07** "Análisis dinámico de la relación entre ciclo económico y ciclo del desempleo en Andalucía en comparación con el resto de España", Javier J. Pérez, Jesús Rodríguez López y Carlos Usabiaga.

- E2002/08** "Provisión eficiente de inversión pública financiada con impuestos distorsionantes", José Manuel González-Páramo y Diego Martínez López.
- E2002/09** "Complete or Partial Inflation convergence in the EU?", Consuelo Gámez y Amalia Morales-Zumaquero.
- E2002/10** "On the Choice of an Exchange Regime: Target Zones Revisited", Jesús Rodríguez López y Hugo Rodríguez Mendizábal.
- E2002/11** "Should Fiscal Policy Be Different in a Non-Competitive Framework?", Arantza Gorostiaga.
- E2002/12** "Debt Reduction and Automatic Stabilisation", Paul Hiebert, Javier J. Pérez y Massimo Rostagno.
- E2002/13** "An Applied General Equilibrium Model to Assess the Impact of National Tax Changes on a Regional Economy", M. Alejandro Cardenete y Ferran Sancho.
- E2002/14** "Optimal Endowments of Public Investment: An Empirical Analysis for the Spanish Regions", Óscar Bajo Rubio, Carmen Díaz Roldán y M. Dolores Montávez Garcés.
- E2002/15** "Is it Worth Refining Linear Approximations to Non-Linear Rational Expectations Models?" , Alfonso Novales y Javier J. Pérez.
- E2002/16** "Factors affecting quits and layoffs in Spain", Antonio Caparrós Ruiz y M.^a Lucía Navarro Gómez.
- E2002/17** "El problema de desempleo en la economía andaluza (1990-2001): análisis de la transición desde la educación al mercado laboral", Emilio Congregado y J. Ignacio García Pérez.
- E2002/18** "Pautas cíclicas de la economía andaluza en el período 1984-2001: un análisis comparado", Teresa Leal, Javier J. Pérez y Jesús Rodríguez.
- E2002/19** "The European Business Cycle", Mike Artis, Hans-Martin Krolzig y Juan Toro.
- E2002/20** "Classical and Modern Business Cycle Measurement: The European Case", Hans-Martin Krolzig y Juan Toro.
- E2002/21** "On the Desirability of Supply-Side Intervention in a Monetary Union", M^a Carmen Díaz Roldán.
- E2003/01** "Modelo Input-Output de agua. Análisis de las relaciones intersectoriales de agua en Andalucía", Esther Velázquez Alonso.
- E2003/02** "Robust Stylized Facts on Comovement for the Spanish Economy", Francisco J. André y Javier Pérez.

- E2003/03** "Income Distribution in a Regional Economy: A SAM Model", Maria Llop y Antonio Manresa.
- E2003/04** "Quantitative Restrictions on Clothing Imports: Impact and Determinants of the Common Trade Policy Towards Developing Countries", Juliette Milgram.
- E2003/05** "Convergencia entre Andalucía y España: una aproximación a sus causas (1965-1995). ¿Afecta la inversión pública al crecimiento?", Javier Rodero Cosano, Diego Martínez López y Rafaela Pérez Sánchez.
- E2003/06** "Human Capital Externalities: A Sectoral-Regional Application for Spain", Lorenzo Serrano.
- E2003/07** "Dominant Strategies Implementation of the Critical Path Allocation in the Project Planning Problem", Juan Perote Peña.
- E2003/08** "The Impossibility of Strategy-Proof Clustering", Javier Perote Peña y Juan Perote Peña.
- E2003/09** "Plurality Rule Works in Three-Candidate Elections", Bernardo Moreno y M. Socorro Puy.
- E2003/10** "A Social Choice Trade-off Between Alternative Fairness Concepts: Solidarity versus Flexibility", Juan Perote Peña.
- E2003/11** "Computational Errors in Guessing Games", Pablo Brañas Garza y Antonio Morales.
- E2003/12** "Dominant Strategies Implementation when Compensations are Allowed: a Characterization", Juan Perote Peña.
- E2003/13** "Filter-Design and Model-Based Analysis of Economic Cycles", Diego J. Pedregal.
- E2003/14** "Strategy-Proof Estimators for Simple Regression", Javier Perote Peña y Juan Perote Peña.
- E2003/15** "La Teoría de Grafos aplicada al estudio del consumo sectorial de agua en Andalucía", Esther Velázquez Alonso.
- E2003/16** "Solidarity in Terms of Reciprocity", Juan Perote Peña.
- E2003/17** "The Effects of Common Advice on One-shot Traveler's Dilemma Games: Explaining Behavior through an Introspective Model with Errors", C. Monica Capra Susana Cabrera y Rosario Gómez.
- E2003/18** "Multi-Criteria Analysis of Factors Use Level: The Case of Water for Irrigation", José A. Gómez-Limón, Laura Riesgo y Manuel Arriaza.
- E2003/19** "Gender Differences in Prisoners' Dilemma", Pablo Brañas-Garza, Antonio J. Morales-Siles.
- E2003/20** "Un análisis estructural de la economía andaluza a través de matrices de contabilidad social: 1990-1999", M. Carmen Lima, M. Alejandro Cardenete y José Vallés.

- E2003/21** "Análisis de multiplicadores lineales en una economía regional abierta", María Llop y Antonio Manresa.
- E2003/22** "Testing the Fisher Effect in the Presence of Structural Change: A Case Study of the UK", Óscar Bajo-Rubio, Carmen Díaz-Roldán y Vicente Esteve.
- E2003/23** "On Tests for Double Differencing: Some Extensions and the Role of Initial Values", Paulo M. M. Rodrigues y A. M. Robert Taylor.
- E2003/24** "How Tight Should Central Bank's Hands be Tied? Credibility, Volatility and the Optimal Band Width of a Target Zone", Jesús Rodríguez López y Hugo Rodríguez Mendizábal.
- E2003/25** "Ethical implementation and the Creation of Moral Values", Juan Perote Peña.
- E2003/26** "The Scoring Rules in an Endogenous Election", Bernardo Moreno y M. Socorro Puy.
- E2003/27** "Nash Implementation and Uncertain Renegotiation", Pablo Amorós.
- E2003/28** "Does Familiar Environment Affect Individual Risk Attitudes? Olive-oil Producer vs. no-producer Households", Francisca Jiménez Jiménez.
- E2003/29** "Searching for Threshold Effects in the Evolution of Budget Deficits: An Application to the Spanish Case", Óscar Bajo-Rubio, Carmen Díaz-Roldán y Vicente Esteve.
- E2003/30** "The Construction of input-output Coefficients Matrices in an Axiomatic Context: Some Further Considerations", Thijs ten Raa y José Manuel Rueda Cantuche.
- E2003/31** "Tax Reforms in an Endogenous Growth Model with Pollution", Esther Fernández, Rafaela Pérez y Jesús Ruiz.
- E2003/32** "Is the Budget Deficit Sustainable when Fiscal Policy is nonlinear? The Case of Spain, 1961-2001", Óscar Bajo-Rubio, Carmen Díaz-Roldán y Vicente Esteve.

centrA: Fundación Centro de Estudios Andaluces

Normas de publicación de Documentos de Trabajo centrA Economía

La Fundación Centro de Estudios Andaluces (**centrA**) tiene como uno de sus objetivos prioritarios proporcionar un marco idóneo para la discusión y difusión de resultados científicos en el ámbito de la Economía. Con esta intención pone a disposición de los investigadores interesados una colección de Documentos de Trabajo que facilita la transmisión de conocimientos. La Fundación Centro de Estudios Andaluces invita a la comunidad científica al envío de trabajos que, basados en los principios del análisis económico y/o utilizando técnicas cuantitativas rigurosas, ofrezcan resultados de investigaciones en curso.

Las normas de presentación y selección de originales son las siguientes:

1. El autor(es) interesado(s) en publicar un Documento de Trabajo en la serie de Economía de centrA debe enviar su artículo en formato PDF a la dirección de email: wpecono@fundacion-centra.org
2. Todos los trabajos que se envíen a la colección han de ser originales y no estar publicados en ningún medio de difusión. Los trabajos remitidos podrán estar redactados en castellano o en inglés.
3. Los originales recibidos serán sometidos a un breve proceso de evaluación en el que serán directamente aceptados para su publicación, aceptados sujetos a revisión o rechazados. Se valorará, asimismo, la presentación de; trabajo en seminarios de **centrA**.
4. En la primera página deberá aparecer el título del trabajo, nombre y filiación del autor(es), dirección postal y electrónica de referencia y agradecimientos. En esta misma página se incluirá también un resumen en castellano e inglés de no más de 100 palabras, los códigos JEL y las palabras clave de trabajo.
5. Las notas al texto deberán numerarse correlativamente al pie de página. Las ecuaciones se numerarán, cuando el autor lo considere necesario, con números arábigos entre corchetes a la derecha de las mismas.
6. La Fundación Centro de Estudios Andaluces facilitará la difusión electrónica de los documentos de trabajo. Del mismo modo, se incentivará económicamente su posterior publicación en revistas científicas de reconocido prestigio.

