

### MNB WORKING PAPER

## 2003/4

Viktor Várpalotai

### DISAGREGATED COST PASS-THROUGH BASED ECONOMETRIC INFLATION-FORECASTING MODEL FOR HUNGARY<sup>1</sup>

April, 2003

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> I am indebted to Zsolt Darvas, whose help was indispensable to construct the model. Also, I am grateful for every comment, recommendation and professional assistance that I received from the Economics Department staff of the MNB and from participants of the 2002 conference of the Economic Modelling Society. The remaining errors are those of the author.

Online ISSN: 1585 5600

ISSN 14195 178

ISBN 9 639 383 26 0

Viktor Várpalotai, Senior Economist of Magyar Nemzeti Bank E-mail: varpalotaiv@mnb.hu

The purpose of publishing the Working Paper series is to stimulate comments and suggestions to the work prepared within the Magyar Nemzeti Bank. Citations should refer to a Magyar Nemzeti Bank Working Paper.

The views expressed are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the official view of the Bank.

Magyar Nemzeti Bank H-1850 Budapest Szabadság tér 8-9. <u>http://www.mnb.hu</u>

# Contents

1	Intro	duction	1
<b>2</b>	Mode	el Framework	3
	2.1 Ie	dentifying Cost Weights	
	(	Long Run)	4
	2.2 I	dentifying Cost Pass-Through	
		Short-Run Dynamics)	9
	2	2.2.1 Non-Parametric Distributed Lag	12
3	Ex-A	nte Forecasting Ability of the Model	15
4	Furth	er Lessons to Be Drawn and Future Directions of Development	16
5	Refer	ences	18
$\mathbf{A}$	Appe	ndix: Detailed Model Description	19
	A.1 A	Aggregation of Consumer Price Indices	19
	A	A.1.1 Equations for Aggregating Market Price Goods	19
	A	A.1.2 Equations for Aggregating Regulated Price Goods	23
	A.2 I	Determining the Long-Run Cost Factor Structures	24
в	Appe	ndix: The Model of Non-Parametric Distributed Lag	37
	B.1 S	Some Basic Features of the Model of Non-Parametric Distributed Lag .	43

### Abstract

This paper presents one of the inflation forecasting models used by the Magyar Nemzeti Bank in its recent inflation forecasts.

The model attempts to integrate all the properties of the former models considered by the author as being advantageous and desirable into a unified framework. Thus, this model is based on disaggregated econometric estimates, complemented by expert assumptions. The model explains the prices of marketed goods using their cost factors, capturing an assumed process whereby costs gradually pass through into consumer prices. It is the empirical estimation of this slow cost-price pass-through that provides the uniqueness of the model in terms of economic and econometric theory.

### 1 Introduction

The Magyar Nemzeti Bank adopted the inflation targeting monetary system in June 2001. According to the textbook epitome of this regime, the Bank declares an inflation target for the future which it compares with its own forecast for the consumer price index (CPI), based on currently available information, and it revises monetary conditions, in order to eliminate any potential discrepancies between the announced target and its forecast for the CPI. With the adoption of the inflation targeting system, it became especially important for the Bank to produce reliable forecasts for the consumer price index. One of the possible ways to enhance the reliability of forecasts is to build new models, in addition to the forecasting techniques currently employed. This will allow the Bank to reduce uncertainties inherent in the forecasts. The model presented in this paper, which has by now become a member of the group of other inflation forecasting models<sup>1</sup> built by the Bank used to make official forecasts for the Bank's Inflation Report, was constructed as part of these efforts.

The model attempts to integrate all properties, considered by the author as advantageous and desirable, into a unified framework which the earlier models have already possessed individually.<sup>2</sup> Thus, this *model is based on disaggregated econometric estimates* which are *complemented by expert assumptions*.

The intention of the model is to forecast movements in the prices of goods included in the consumer price index which are determined by the market. On the forecast horizon, it explains movements in the prices of those goods with changes in their cost factors. More precisely, the *model attempts to capture an assumed process whereby costs gradually pass-through to consumer prices*. In our case, cost pass-through also means tracing the *spillover* of costs, as certain consumer prices themselves, which costs pass through to, constitute the costs of other goods.<sup>3</sup> It is the empirical estimation of this

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>For a full documentation of the inflation models constructed and used by the Bank, see Hornok–Jakab–Reppa–Villányi [2002]. The paper by Hornok–Jakab [2002] provides an overview of the inflation forecasting techniques and the procedures applied in the central banks of Central Eastern Europe.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Naturally, from this it does not follow that this model performs better in terms of forecasts than the earlier models.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Chart 1 on page 7. illustrates this.

slow cost-price pass-through process that provides the interesting feature of the model in terms of economics and econometrics.<sup>4</sup>

Performing calculations with cost pass-through means that, in its current state, the model posits cost factors (for example, the exchange rate and wages) as being given for the forecast horizon which are actually in a natural interaction with prices. For this reason, some of the cost factors of the model are exogenous, the exchange rate and wages accounting for the largest weights. In other words, different tools are required to forecast them. For the time being, in the model we are only able to simulate the impact of demand and supply shocks as well as of monetary and fiscal policies on the consumer price index by changing these exogenous cost factors. Naturally, this way we eliminate those simultaneous mechanisms which ensure co-movements in the major cost factors, the exchange rate and wages over the long term whose ultimate common determinant is monetary policy. Consequently, the model plays a role in answering two questions: (1) How do prices change as an effect of changes in the prices of their cost factors? (2) What happens during the transitory period when changes in costs and prices separate (the difference between the two is the profit, in which changes are reflected first)? This period is called the period of cost 'pass-through'. However, the model does not answer why and to what extent prices and costs separate as an effect of supply and demand shocks (and of monetary policy).

The remainder of the paper is structured as follows. The main section of the paper presents the model framework as well as the considerations which justified the use of Shiller's method to estimate the pass-through profiles. Then, the ex-ante model forecasts are presented in a nutshell. Finally, the possible directions of future development are discussed. The Appendix describes a procedure used for estimating the pass-through profiles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>After having developed the estimation technique, László Hunyadi drew my attention to the fact that, starting from similar assumptions, Shiller [1973] had basically developed the same technique.

### 2 Model Framework

As was referred to in the introduction, the model has been constructed to forecast the CPI. The source data on which the model relies are the monthly consumer price indices released by the Central Statistical Office (CSO) that are composed of 160 subgroups/components.

The model explains variations in consumer prices by changes in costs and their pass-through. In other words, it treats prices as being ultimately determined by costs. This assumption is defendable within the range of goods of the consumer basket, on the market of which numerous sellers compete with each other, as this way one can reasonably assume that sellers must adjust their prices to costs in the contest for customers. Explanation for this is that, if they set prices 'too high'<sup>5</sup> relative to costs, then other, new producers could potentially gain a share of the market by offering lower prices. Conversely, if costs were not covered by the selling price, then all producers would sooner or later abandon producing or dealing in a given piece of goods. In other words, there is a close relationship between prices and costs over both the short and long term. However, this very reasoning, and the completely different way of determining prices (the discretionary decision taken by the central government or local authorities), rules out the cost-based modelling of non-market-priced goods and public goods (regulated goods).<sup>6</sup> Due to this problem, the model attempts to forecast the price indices of market-priced goods and services.<sup>7</sup>

However, the large number of primary goods included in the potentially applicable market-priced consumer price index, the difference between the weights represented by the individual goods within the consumer price index as well as the aim of maintaining the model within a manageable framework justified it to apply some aggregation. For

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Naturally, selling prices must provide cover for traders' profit. Thus, a 'too high' price denotes a situation in which higher-than-average profit can be earned dealing in particular a piece of goods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>I treat 17 groups of goods within the consumer price index of the original 160 groups as regulated. These are the following: sewage disposal, meals at kindergartens and schools, natural and manufactured gas, pharmaceutical products, local transport, rent, travel to work and school, postal services, refuse disposal, gambling, purchased heat, other travels, telephone, TV fee, electricity and water charges.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Of the CSO's consumer price index composed of 160 groups, I categorised 143 groups into marketpriced goods, whose total weight was 81.2% within the 2002 consumer basked.

this reason, I attempted to create homogenous, aggregate groups which are similar in terms of usage and which can be assumed to have nearly identical cost structures. As a result, I aggregated the 143 individual groups into 43 sub-groups, as a result of which the weight ratios of sub-groups became more homogenous.<sup>8</sup>

In performing the cost-based modelling task, I applied the error-correction approach. Accordingly, I separated the problem of identifying the long-term equilibrium cost weights from the issue of identifying the dynamic (short-term) cost pass-though adjustment path leading to equilibrium.

# 2.1 Identifying Cost Weights (Long Run)

Prices of the groups of market goods represented in the consumer basket and aggregated according to the method noted above were assumed to be composed of various cost elements, such as labour costs, energy, basic materials, farm crops, imports as well as other costs which themselves are goods included in the consumer basket as well, such as flour in the case of bread, textiles in connection with clothing, etc. It was furthermore assumed that cost elasticities are constant and, therefore, over the long term prices are determined on the basis of the Cobb-Douglas cost function below:

$$P_{i,t} = \left(A_i e^{\lambda_i t} C_{1,t}^{\gamma_1} C_{2,t}^{\gamma_2} \cdot \dots \cdot C_{n-1,t}^{\gamma_{n-1}} C_{n,t}^{(1-\gamma_1-\gamma_2-\dots-\gamma_{n-1})}\right) H_i,\tag{1}$$

where  $P_{i,t}$  is the consumer price index of the *i*th good in *t*,  $C_{j,t}$  is the price index of the *j*th cost element in *t*,  $A_i$  is the factor normalising costs to price,  $\lambda_i$  is the rate of change of productivity and  $H_i$  is the profit margin assumed to be proportional to total costs. It is important to note that the price index of the cost factors is not projected to a unit of a piece of goods or services sold. Instead, I took its price index expressed as a 'natural unit' (index of monthly average wages, price index of 1 kWh of electricity,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>For a detailed description of the sub-groups generated, see point B. of the Appendix.

price index of flour, etc.). That is why the term  $A_i e^{\lambda_i t}$  is included in the function, in which  $A_i$  is intended to transform the cost-price indices, expressed in various units, to a consumer price index, while parameter  $\lambda_i$  of the term  $e^{\lambda_i t}$  captures all changes in productivity. The rate of change of productivity  $(\lambda_i)$  a priori is expected to be a negative parameter, as, if there is an improvement in productivity, then the consumer price index can be lower than the cost-price indices. However, the positivity of  $\lambda_i$  is not unexplainable either - in this case, we are talking about a good, on the market of which one can earn (temporarily) an increasing profit margin. The sum of cost elasticities  $(\gamma_i)$  was restricted to 1, in order that, with an unchanged mark-up, the price of goods in question also rises by 1%, provided that the prices of all their correspondent cost factors rise by 1%. In addition, a requirement against the parameters of cost elasticity is that all of them should have a positive value. For the econometric estimation of the long-term cost weights and other parameters, the logarithmised form of the cost function (1) above was used:

$$p_{i,t} = a_i + \lambda_i t + \gamma_1 c_{1,t} + \gamma_2 c_{2,t} + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} c_{n-1,t} + (1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \dots - \gamma_{n-1}) c_{n,t} + h_i + \varepsilon_{i,t},$$
(2)

where small case letters denote the logarithm of variables and price indexes and  $\varepsilon_{i,t}$ is the error term.<sup>9</sup>

In order that parameters could be provided for the above (2) equation, the main cost factors constituting the respective prices of the individual groups of goods had to be first identified. As shown in a detailed manner in A.2. of the Appendix, nearly each group of goods is assumed to include transportation, electricity, natural gas and wage costs.<sup>10</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>These long-term cointegration-type estimates were made with the method of ordinary least squares. The prices of fuel, transport and vehicles are determined simultaneously in the model. Though such prices would have required the application of a different estimation method, the standard OLS was adopted, as, eventually, each cost weight item in this simultaneous sub-system was calibrated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Transport, electricity, and natural and manufactured gas are also components of CPI themselves. Actually, it is their producer prices that would be needed. As they are unavailable, it is assumed that both producer and consumer prices *change* identically.

The inclusion of these cost factors was deemed as self-evident: goods must be delivered to shops, shops need heating and lighting and wages must be paid to shop assistants or service providers. It also seemed obvious that the forint equivalent (multiplication of the prevailing exchange rate and the relevant price in foreign currency) of the respective prices of similar foreign goods be considered as cost factors in the case of such groups of goods that are mainly imported or manufactured from imported raw materials. The weighted price indices of euro area countries, in particular, the price indexes of the groups of goods similar or identical to the groups of corresponding domestic goods were selected as relevant foreign prices.<sup>11</sup> In addition, further cost factors were also included in each group of goods when such inclusion was deemed as obvious and when data on them were available. In the case of food, such additional components included relevant agricultural purchase prices. Also, the input of other goods included in the consumer basket was also contemplated. e.g. flour in connection with bread, sugar within the category of sweets, fresh fruit and vegetables within the category of preserved food, raw meat, bakery products and preserved food within the category of meals outside the home and clothing materials within the category of clothing, etc.<sup>12</sup> Keeping track of a few effect mechanisms of the changes in crude oil prices, the chart below provides some insight into a diversity of pass-through and some further spillover effects.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>Except for, in the case of motor fuel, the price of the Brent crude oil serving as raw material. It was its London-listed price that was taken into consideration. For additional details on motor fuel, see further sections of this study.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>In fact, producer prices should have been had for these goods presented as cost factors.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Not the fullest diversity, of course, as price changes in transport pass-through to nearly every group of goods, triggering newer and newer spillover of price changes.





The grey area represents the unit of goods whose respective prices are determined simultaneously. The arrows denote the directions of pass-through.

In the case of consumer prices, both identifying cost factors and the appropriate handling of taxes pose problems. On the one hand, the price of each product and service in the CPI basket includes VAT. (Naturally, VAT rates differ from product/service to product/service.) Fortunately, VAT rates have remained unchanged in the past years.<sup>14</sup> No major shifts between VAT classifications have occurred, either. Thus, if this type of tax is considered as a fixed cost ratio, it only means a straightforward issue of price scaling, which is appropriately tackled with the  $A_i$  term of modelling. On the other hand, some excise goods (e.g. tobacco, coffee, motor fuel and oils) whose excise content has changed several times over the past years are also included in CPI. As excise duty accounts for a large portion of the respective consumer prices of such goods,<sup>15</sup> any change in it can and does in effect influence consumer prices.<sup>16</sup> Therefore, taxes were removed from the final consumer price of motor fuel, and the resulting series was considered as determined by its cost factors.<sup>17</sup>

 $<sup>^{14}</sup>$ The horizon that is relevant from out point of view starts in January 1996 as this is the very point of time since when all data have been available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup>In the case of motor fuels, for instance, excise content accounted for approximately 46% of the final consumer price in August 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup>As is well-known in macroeconomics, the extent to which changes in excise duty can be 'passed through' to consumers depends on the price elasticity of the demand for and supply of the product in question. It follows that if demand almost completely lacks price elasticity (as it is supposed to be the case in, for example, the motor fuel market), changes in taxes in their entirety are (may be) reflected in prices.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup>A similar method should be adopted also in the case of (alcoholic) beverages, tobacco and coffee

The cost factors of the individual group of goods thus having been a given, the task to be carried out was the estimation and parameterisation of the (2) model equation. (It follows from the (2) mode of modelling that  $a_i$  could not be separated from  $h_i$ ; only their sum could be assessed.) Seeing that, from a theoretical point of view, only positive cost weights, not necessarily guaranteed by the standard econometric estimation of the (2)-type equation, are acceptable, iterative expert considerations were made for both incorrect signs and cost weights deemed as extremely high/low, and equations were re-estimated. The respective sums of parameters having been continuously stipulated, this approach was followed until each parameter was either positive or acceptable. When both econometric estimates and other expert considerations failed to provide for a reliable basis, it was also a point of consideration that the cost factors which had been included in each group of goods (e.g. transportation, electricity and gas) be identically weighted everywhere. Accordingly, the majority of cost weights were calibrated and only a few estimated.<sup>18</sup> While selecting the sample period, periods deemed as 'quiet' from the point of view of pricing, were selected. The reason for doing so was that long-term equilibrium cost weights were justifiably expected to manifest themselves in prices during such periods. Thus, the period between the January 1995 and December 1995 (or even later when the need arose) was excluded. Behind this reason stands the distortional effect of the austerity package introduced in March 1995. Sub-groups including foreign prices (exchange rates) were investigated separately so that it could be ascertained whether the period following the widening of the band in May 2001 should be excluded. Having examined the data from the period that has elapsed since then, however, this idea was dismissed. For the final parameters calculated for long-term equations, see the tables in Section A.2. of the Appendix.

owing to the excise content of these goods. However, what prevents such treatment is that the market for such goods is price-sensitive, which means that changes in excise duty cannot be passed through consumers in their entirety.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup>Only 18 of the 268 cost factors included in the model were estimated. Conversely, both constant and trend parameters were estimated in each equation.

# 2.2 Identifying Cost Pass-Through (Short-Run Dynamics)

In accordance with the error correction approach, once long-run equilibrium has been parameterised, the task to be completed is defining short-run dynamics. As is customary, short-run dynamics consistent with long-run dynamics can be written as follows:

$$\Delta p_{i,t} = \lambda_i + \gamma_1 B_1(L) \Delta c_{1,t} + \gamma_2 B_2(L) \Delta c_{2,t} + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} B_{n-1}(L) \Delta c_{n-1,t} + (1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \dots - \gamma_{n-1}) B_n(L) \Delta c_{n,t} - \phi_i \varepsilon_{i,t-1} + \xi_{i,t},$$

$$(3)$$

where  $\triangle$  is difference, L is the lag operator,  $\varepsilon_{i,t}$  is the residual of long-term equation  $i, \xi_i$  is the error term of the short-term equation and  $\gamma_i$  is the estimated/calibrated cost weights of the long-term equation.  $B_j(L)$  polynomes are of  $B_j(L) = b_{j,0} + b_{j,1}L + b_{j,2}L^2 + \dots + b_{j,q_i}L^{q_i}$  shape, where the degree of the polynome (length of lag) is  $q_i$ , and where the sum of parameters is 1.  $(\sum_{k=0}^{q_i} b_{j,k} = 1)$ .  $B_j(L)$  polynomes represent the dynamics of the relevant cost pass-through.<sup>19</sup> However, the (3) approach applied to estimate cost pass-through processes cannot be adapted mechanically. The reason for this is that cost pass-through processes have (at least) four characteristics that render the usual econometric estimability of equation (3) impossible and dubious. Such characteristics are as follows:

- 1. Costs take a long time to pass-through into prices.
- 2. The pass-through speed of the individual cost factors is different.
- 3. The lagged coefficients of cost changes can only be non-negative.
- 4. The lagged coefficients of cost changes are interdependent.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup>Though no separate i index has been specified, the pass-through profile of a given cost factor may vary from group of goods to group of goods.

Ad (1) Cost pass-through is a slow gradual process, market competition being unable to enforce immediate price adjustments. The reason for this being the case is that producers, vendors or service providers and customers must first recognise and identify the relevant changes in prices (and expect such changes in prices to last long enough) to be willing to change their respective prices and consumer behaviour accordingly. In addition, owing to the existence of long-term contracts as well as the random nature of price revisions, such willingness and constraints manifest themselves even more slowly. Another factor that puts a brake on this process is that in the case of certain products and services that themselves serve as raw material or input for other products and services, a series of transmissions occur before changes appear in final consumer prices. Therefore, it is safe to assume that cost pass-through may well be several-year-long process, in the first phase of which no price effect is discernible.

Ad (2) We have, however, every reason to believe that price changes in the individual cost factors are manifest themselves in consumer prices relatively more rapidly than in other prices. For example, changes in the price of crude oil manifest themselves as quickly as a week or two; by contrast, the price of transport, of which motor fuel is a cost factor, is very likely not to respond to changes in the price of crude oil instantly. Differing market structures are likely to be responsible for differences in the speed of the pass-through effect: any rise in costs is likely to be quicker in manifesting itself in prices in a monopolistic market; also, the frequency of price revision in the underlying markets may affect the speed of the pass-through effect.

Ad (3) The non-negativity of the coefficients of the  $B_i(L)$  polynomes can be derived from the phenomenon of cost pass-through. The process of cost pass-through in its purest form is hypothesised as a path of price changes which would be discernible in the case of a one-off change in the price of a cost factor, with the respective prices of other cost factors remaining unchanged. This path is assumed to mean that one-off increases/decreases in costs lead to gradual increase/decrease in prices, without this gradual process being interrupted by potential increases/decreases in prices. Rephrasing the same with the  $b_{i,j}$  coefficients of the  $B_i(L)$  polynome would mean that any increase in costs in period t, for instance would result in decrease in prices in the t + j period. Though a few such negative parameters can certainly be attributed to overshooting, their appearance at any place and with any frequency rules out this explanation.

Ad (4) As was mentioned several times, cost pass-through is a gradual process. This means that, if the change in prices induced by a change in costs in a period is small, there cannot be a sudden large change in the subsequent period either. In other words, the successive coefficients of the polynome  $B_i(L)$  are not independent of each other - if, for example, the speed of pass-through is only slow in one period, it will also be slow in the subsequent period; if it is relatively fast in a given period, it will also remain fast in the subsequent period. Consequently, the coefficients of the pass-though polynome change only gradually. Plotting them on a chart, we would obtain a smooth curve. Due to these four characteristics, we are faced with the following problems when making the estimates. First, the slowness of the pass-though would make it necessary to estimate extremely many lagged parameters.<sup>20</sup> Second, it would be difficult to interpret both the large number of negative parameters appearing in estimating the long lags and the hectic changes in lag coefficients from one period to the other. The idea may arise that the parameter  $\phi_i$  of the error correction is able to partially handle these problems, as, provided that it is small enough, the pass-through will be gradual and slow in the model in the case of every cost factor (actually, with a geometric distributed lag structure), and it is enough to use the first few terms with non-negative parameters of acceptable size of the long lags. For us, however, this is not an acceptable compromise, as, due to what has been said, the speed of cost pass-though may differ, and the geometric distributed lag implied by the error-correction model implies exactly that the change in costs shows the greatest effect in the first periods. The problem to be solved, therefore, is represented by the requirement to estimate the pass-though profiles in a way that, first, the pass-through parameters should be non-negative and adequately smooth, i.e. they should not exhibit 'jig-saw shape' and, second, the varied forms of

 $<sup>^{20}</sup>$ Assuming a two-year pass-though horizon, this means estimating 5\*24-5=115 lag parameters in the case of 5 cost factors, aside from the rest of the parameters. Consequently, data for several decades would be required even in the case where the data are available at a monthly frequency, in order to make reliable estimates.

profiles should be captured easily, without the need to estimate too many parameters. I gave expression to this wide-ranging requirement by the idea below.<sup>21</sup>

### 2.2.1 Non-Parametric Distributed Lag

As the pass-through profiles may have a very diverse shape, an attempt to describe them using a function that can be flexibly changed by parameters, it would require a high number of parameters. Therefore, we have rejected this option and applied the following non-parametric technique in order to estimate flexible pass-through profiles. Equation (3) above has been estimated by defining a smoothness criterion for the estimated  $b_{i,j}$  parameters, using  $w_i$  weights, in the form of:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \sum_{j=1}^{q_i-1} \left( (b_{i,j} - b_{i,j-1}) - (b_{i,j} - b_{i,j+1}) \right)^2 \tag{4}$$

which is a 'punishment' for the variability of parameters  $b_{i,j}$ .

This smoothness criterion and the constraints for the non-negativity and appropriate sum of the parameters have been used to modify the standard ordinary least square estimation. As a result, the pass-through profiles (and the other parameters) have been estimated via solving the following quadratic programming problem:

$$\min_{\beta} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)' (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta) + \beta' \mathbf{S}\beta$$
(5)  
s.t. 
$$\mathbf{A}\beta = \mathbf{d}$$
$$\beta_{low} \le \beta \le \beta_{up},$$

where vector  $\mathbf{y}$  denotes the prices changes in the group of goods,  $\mathbf{X}$  the matrix of the explanatory (cost) variables,  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  the coefficients to be estimated, including the vector for the parameters of the pass-through profiles,  $\mathbf{S}$  the matrix version of the smoothness criterion, under the restriction  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$ , where  $\mathbf{A}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  denote the matrix

 $<sup>^{21}</sup>$ It was noted in the introduction that Shiller [1973] had also implemented a similar idea.

and vector of the restrictions made for the sum of the parameters of the pass-through profiles respectively, and finally  $\beta_{low}$  and  $\beta_{up}$  the lower and upper constraints of the parameters to be estimated. For the exact definition of the notations and the solution of the above problem, see the Appendix.

It may seem at first sight that this approach does not reduce the number of the parameters to be estimated. However, as demonstrated in the Appendix, changing the weights  $w_i$  will also change the system's degree of freedom. If every  $w_i = 0$ , then the problem is reduced to the principle of estimating least squares (ignoring other constraints). If, however,  $w_i \to \infty$ , then the distributed lag pass-through profile of that particular cost factor will be linear, which means that only the trend parameter of each pass-through profiles needs to be estimated. (Only the trend parameter, because the constant variable of the linear trend can be derived from the constraint imposed on the sum of the pass-through parameters.)

In the course of the estimations, we have found that the calibration of the weights  $w_i$  produces sufficiently smooth cost pass-through profiles that also satisfy the prior assumptions. Although this method is suitable for reducing the number of parameters to be estimated (and increasing the degree of freedom), the number of observations that can be actually used for the estimation is extremely low. In December 2002, there were only 60 observations, due to the two or even three-year long pass-through profiles of some cost factors. At the same time, our tests indicate that changing the length of the sample will cause hardly any change in the profiles, except for the profiles for imported goods and the exchange rate, as their shape is more significantly affected by sample periods used, due to the exchange rate appreciation seen in the period after May 2001. We have enclosed as an illustration a cost pass-through profile system of 'repairs of dwellings' obtained after the appropriate calibration of parameters  $w_i$ . The chart below shows the pass-through of the costs<sup>22</sup> of repairs of dwellings, showing lag structures  $B_i(L)$ .

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$ In this case, the costs included articles for dwelling (maintenance), transport, electricity, construction industry wages and market services wages.



Chart 2

It is clear from the chart that the speed of pass-through of the various cost factors are different. In this case, dwelling maintenance articles exhibited the fastest passthrough, in contrast to wages, which passed through after a six-month (construction) and one-year lag (market services).

### 3 Ex-Ante Forecasting Ability of the Model

The performance of a model constructed primarily for the purpose of forecasting can be tested by applying it to past data. We have therefore made two ex-ante simulations, one for the period from December 1999 and the other from December 2000. The longrun and short-run parameters of the models were estimated using only these shortened sample periods, and two dynamic simulations have been run taking the exogenous variables, such as wages, agricultural purchase prices, exchange rates, import prices, the crude oil price and regulated prices as a given.

We believe that the ex-ante dynamic simulations obtained with the model give good approximation of actual developments in the consumer price index.<sup>23</sup>



Actual and Ex-Ante Forecast (from Jan. 2000) of CPI

Chart 3

In the chart, CPITOTAL denotes the actual, and CPITOTAL\_0 the simulated year-on-year consumer price indices

 $<sup>^{23}</sup>$ Of course, knowledge of the crude oil price, agricultural purchase prices, and especially regulated prices, which account for 18.88% of the consumer goods basket, improves the forecasts by itself.



Chart 4

In the chart, CPITOTAL denotes the actual, and CPITOTAL\_0 the simulated year-on-year consumer price indices

# 4 Further Lessons to Be Drawn and Future Directions of Development

Estimating cost pass-through offers a number of interesting conclusions to be drawn. Wage costs have been generally found to begin to pass through into prices after a relatively long lag of between at least six months to one year. Another typical feature of pass-through profiles is that, without exception, foreign price changes pass through into prices much sooner than exchange rate changes, which is completely consistent with the general opinion found in the literature on exchange rate pass-through.

In the context of the possible directions of model development, the first that should be mentioned is the lessons to be drawn from the model's 'real-life' forecasting performance in the future, which will perhaps show whether the model monolithicly performs well or it has elements that result systematically in poor forecasts. However, we can suggest a few directions for future development even on the basis of the results obtained so far.

- 1. Improving the applicability of source data. Some of the detailed consumer price index data reported by the Central Statistical Office are burdened with outliers (the index of other services, for instance). This is presumably due to the effects of goods removed from or included in the observed group. The elimination of these breaks and outliers would seem to be warranted, as they might introduce a bias into the estimation of cost pass-through.
- 2. Eliminating excise duties and tax changes from consumer prices. We are contemplating a switch to modelling prices without taxes in respect of a few goods, such as tobacco and alcohol, just as in the case of fuels. The treatment of the problems arising from potential changes in VAT rates and the reclassification of certain products and services will be among future challenges.
- 3. Incorporating further cost components. In the estimation of the long-run equations of the model, prices for a few groups of goods behaved very differently from their costs we assumed. This may be because we have used inadequate cost components to account for the prices of the goods categories concerned. An example for this is 'vegetable fats', in respect of which the commodity exchange price of sunflowers may be the right cost factor.
- 4. Incorporating a demand variable. We have found in the estimation of long-run cost equations that the residuals of the equations, which can also be interpreted as differences from long-run profit margins, are cyclical. Therefore, it may be fruitful to examine whether these cycles are in correlation with consumption cycles.

To sum up, we are confident that this model is suitable for forecasting inflation even at this stage of development. We hope to improve the reliability of the forecasts by making further developments in the model.

# **5** References

- HORNOK C. JAKAB Z. [2002]: Forecasting Inflation: A Case Study on the Czech, Hungarian, Polish, Slovakian and Slovenian Central Banks. NBH Background Studies 2002/3.
- HORNOK C. JAKAB Z. REPPA Z. VILLÁNYI K. [2002]: Inflation Forecasting at the National Bank of Hungary. NBH mimeo
- SHILLER, R. [1973]: A Distributed Lag Estimator Derived from Smoothness Priors. Econometrica, 41. pp. 775-788.

### A Appendix: Detailed Model Description

### A.1 Aggregation of Consumer Price Indices

In this section we expand on how we have aggregated the original 160 components of the consumer price index. Here, we first enumerate the equations of market price goods, then those of price regulated products and services. As we have mentioned in the main part of this paper, we have tried to aggregate the different goods into homogeneous groups regarding their cost structures. First, we have seasonally adjusted the price indices of market price goods. But we have not adjusted the price indices of regulated goods, as the changes in their price are not regular e.g. they occurred in different months in the last decade. After the adjustment, the aggregation process was carried out on 'previous December = 100' type indices. We used this index type, as the Central Statistic Office aggregates it in the same way.

We used the weights of year 2002 for aggregation. As the price index of the 'Cost of owner occupied dwellings', which has a 5.869% weight in the CPI index, is a 50%-50% composite index of 'Household repairing and maintenance goods' and 'Repairs and maintenance of dwellings', we have decomposed the price index of the 'Cost of owner occupied dwellings' into its original part, adding a 2.9345%-2.9345% extra weight to 'Household repairing and maintenance goods' and 'Repairs and maintenance of dwellings' into its original part, adding a 2.9345%-2.9345% extra weight to 'Household repairing and maintenance goods' and 'Repairs and maintenance of dwellings' in our model.

As one can see, among regulated price goods we made aggregation only on 'Meals at schools' and 'Meals at kindergartens, nurseries'.

In the equations below, the labels relate to 'previous December = 100' type indices and the weights are measured in percentages.

### A.1.1 Equations for Aggregating Market Price Goods

1. UNPROCMEAT =  $1.320^{\circ}$  pork +  $0.162^{\circ}$  beef and veal +  $0.031^{\circ}$  mutton, rabbit and other meat +  $0.090^{\circ}$  edible offals +  $1.100^{\circ}$  poultry meat

- 2. PROCMEAT = 0.765\*salami, sausages, ham + 1.071\*other meat preparations + 0.112\*canned meat + 0.211\*pork fat + 0.261\*bacon
- 3. FISH = 0.106\*fish + 0.053\*canned fish
- 4. EGG =  $0.416^*$ egg
- 5. MILK =  $1.619^*$  milk
- 6. MILKPROD = 0.431\*cheese + 1.334\*milk products (excl. cheese) + 0.086\*butter
- 7. VEGETFAT = 0.412\*edible oil + 0.290\*margarine
- 8. FLOUR = 0.303\*flour, groats
- 9. BREADROLLS = 1.497\*bread + 0.392\*rolls
- 10. SUGAR = 0.603\*sugar
- 11. SWEETS =  $0.230^{\circ}$  other confectionery products +  $0.191^{\circ}$  candies, honey
- 12. OTHERCEREAL = 0.148\*rice, other cereals + 0.294\*pasta products + 0.612\*chocolate, cocoa + 0.453\*confectionery and ice-cream
- FRESHVEGETAB = 0.384\*potatoes + 0.822\*fresh vegetables + 0.992\*fresh domestic and tropical fruit + 0.045\*dried vegetables + 0.073\*nuts, poppy-seed
- 14. PRESERVFOOD = 0.573\*fruit and vegetable juice + 0.205\*preserved and frozen vegetables + 0.085\*preserved and frozen fruit + 0.134\*preserved meat products + 0.325\*preserved meals without meat + 0.745\*spices
- 15. MEALS = 0.606\*meals at restaurants not by subscription + 1.339\*meals at canteens and meals by subscription + 0.526\*buffet products + 0.132\*cup of coffee in catering
- 16. COFFETEA = 0.846\*coffee at shops + 0.093\*tea

- 17. NONALCBEVER = 1.409\*non-alcoholic beverages
- 18. ALCBEVER = 1.288\*wine + 2.662\*beer + 2.449\*spirits
- 19. TOBACCO = 2.655\*tobacco
- 20. CLOTHMAT = 0.150\*clothing materials made of cotton and cotton type + 0.129\*clothing materials made of wool and woolen type + 0.046\*other clothing materials
- 21. SHOES = 0.445\*men's footwear + 0.544\*women's footwear + 0.238\*children's footwear
- 22. CLOTHING = 0.198\*men's coats + 0.140\*men's suits + 0.431\*men's slacks and jackets + 0.182\*men's pullovers, cardigans + 0.238\*women's coats + 0.148\*women's dress, costume + 0.331\*women's skirts and trousers + 0.184\*women's pullovers, cardigans + 0.119\*children's coats + 0.283\*children's overwear + 0.162\*children's pullovers, cardigans
- 23. UNDERWEAR = 0.310\*men's underwear incl. shirts + 0.177\*men's socks + 0.210\*women's underwear + 0.237\*women's hose, socks + 0.053\*children's underwear + 0.110\*children's socks + 0.219\*infant's clothing + 0.235\*clothing accessories + 0.148\*haberdashery + 0.123\*suitcases, leather goods
- 24. FURNITURE = 0.799\*living, dining- room furniture + 0.314\*kitchen and other furniture
- 25. DURHOUSGOOD = 0.381\*refrigerators, freezers + 0.263\*washing-machines, spindryers + 0.589\*heating and cooking appliances + 0.266\*vacuum cleaners, sewing machines + 0.150\*bicycle + 0.292\*jewellery
- 26. VEHICLES =  $2.065^{*}$  passenger cars, new +  $0.685^{*}$  passenger cars, second-hand +  $0.060^{*}$  motorcycle +  $0.759^{*}$  tyres, parts and accessories for vehicles
- 27. DURRECREAGOOD = 0.033\*radio sets + 0.405\*tv sets + 0.397\*videos, tape recorders + 0.341\*cameras, watches etc.

- 28. COALWOOD = 0.258\*coal + 0.157\*briquettes, coke + 0.430\*firewood
- 29. BPGAS = 0.613\*butane and propane gas
- 30. HREPMAINGOOD = 3.539\*household repairing and maintenance goods
- 31. HOUSEGOOD = 0.405\*furnishing fabrics, carpets, curtains + 0.263\*bed and table linen + 0.278\*cooking utensils, cutlery + 0.413\*parts and accessories of housing + 0.090\*parts and accessories of 'do it yourself' + 0.627\*houshold paper and other products
- 32. DETERGOODS = 1.154\*detergents + 1.397\*toilet articles
- 33. FUEL = 5,227\*motor fuels and oils
- 34. NEWSPBOOK = 1.187\*newspapers, periodicals + 0.560\*books + 0.317\*schoolbooks
- 35. RECREGFLOW = 0.316\*school and stationery supplies + 0.327\*sport and camping articles, toys + 0.215\*records, tapes, cassettes + 0.153\*photographic supplies + 0.326\*video cassettes ect. + 0.357\*flowers, ornamental plants + 0.223\*pets
- 36. MAINTCOST = 1.617\*maintenance cost at private houses
- 37. REPAIRDWELL = 4.498\*repairs and maintenance of dwellings
- 38. TRANSPORT = 0.160\*transport of goods
- 39. RECREINLAND = 1.073\*recreation in the country
- 40. RECREABROAD = 0.965\*recreation abroad
- 41. REPAIR = 0.227\*repairs and make clothing and footwear etc. + 0.265\*rent, services for dwellings + 1.138\*repairs, maintenance of vehicles + 0.180\*repairs of recreational goods
- 42. CULTSERVICE = 1.004\*educational services + 0.066\*theatres, concerts + 0.120\*cinemas + 0.556\*other public entertainment + 0.352\*photographic services

43. OTHERSERVICE = 0.156\*cleaning, washing + 0.647\*personal care services + 0.673\*health services + 0.157\*rent a car, garage services + 0.225\*taxi + 1.253\*services n.e.c.

### A.1.2 Equations for Aggregating Regulated Price Goods

- 1. REGMEALS =  $0.386^*$  meals at schools +  $0.122^*$  meals at kindergartens, nurseries
- 2. PURCHHEAT =  $1.720^{*}$  purchased heat
- 3. ELECTRICITY = 3.148\*electricity
- 4.  $GAS = 2.001^*$  natural and manufactured gas
- 5. DRUGS =  $2.137^*$  pharmaceutical products
- 6. RENT =  $0.117^{*}$ rent
- 7. DISPOSAL = 0.754\*refuse disposal, etc.
- 8. WATER = 1.114\*water charges
- 9. SEWER = 0.584\*sewage disposal
- 10. LOCTRANSP = 0.902\*local transport excluding taxi
- 11. TRAVELWORK = 0.456\*travel to work, school
- 12. OTHERTRAVEL = 0.489\*other travels
- 13. TELEPHONE = 3.832\*telephone
- 14. POSTALSER = 0.151\*postal services
- 15. TV = 0.860\*tv fee
- 16. GAMBLING = 0.493\*gambling

### A.2 Determining the Long-Run Cost Factor Structures

The vast majority of cost factors were calibrated based on expert information and a few of them were estimated. This can be see in the next four tables presented below that show the long-run cost factor structures of our model. From the tables below one can easily identify which cost factors were used for each group of goods and their weights. In the tables, each equation has three rows. The names of cost factors are presented in the first row. In the second row, the mark 'E' means that the given parameter was estimated, the mark 'R' appears when the parameter was estimated under restriction, in order to ensure that the sum of cost factor parameters equals to 1. Lastly, the final parameters used by our model can be found in the third row. The sample period of estimation is reported in the second column of the table. To make our tables more transparent, the estimated parameters are marked with a grey background. The trend and constant parameters were estimated in each equation.

Names of the CPI	Sample							Cost factors				
subgroups	period			1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	6
1 UnProcMeat		_Const	_Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Agr_Meat				
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,150	0,750				
To:	2002:12	-0,1803	-0,0005	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,150	0,750				
2 ProcMeat		_Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	Agr_Meat(-4)			
From:	1996:01	ш	ц Ш	0;050	0,035	0,035	0,150	0,100	0,630			
To:	2002:12	-0,6976	0,0004	0,050	0,035	0,035	0,150	0,100	0,630			
3 Fish		_Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	EU_Fish	huf_EUR		
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0;050	0,035	0,015	0,150	0,150	0,600	0,600		
To:	2002:12	-3,8883	-0,0023	0,050	0,035	0,015	0,150	0,150	0,600	0,600		
4 Egg		_Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Agr_Egg				
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,100	0,800				
To:	2002:12	-0,0679	-0,0009	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,100	0,800				
5 Milk		_Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Agr_Milk				
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,100	0,025	0,025	0,200	0,650				
To:	2002:12	-0,5249	0,0017	0,100	0,025	0,025	0,200	0,650				
6 MilkProd		_Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	Agr_Milk			
From:	1998:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,100	0,100	0,700			
To:	2002:12	-0,4515	0,0003	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,100	0,100	0,700			
7 VegetFat		_Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	EU_Fat	huf_EUR		
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,100	0,400	0,400	0,400		
To:	2002:12	-4,2507	-0,0032	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,100	0,400	0,400	0,400		
8 Flour		_Const	_Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	Agr_Wheat			
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,100	Я	Я			
To:	2002:12	-2,2885	-0,0029	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,100	0,404	0,396			
9 BreadRolls		_Const	_Trend	Flour	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food			
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	R	0,050	0,100	0,100	0,100	Я			
To:	2002:12	-1,9638	0,0010	0,410	0,050	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,240			
10 Sugar		_Const	_Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	EU_Sugar	huf_EUR		
From:	2001:07	ш	ш	0,050	0,025	0;050	0,150	0,400	0,325	0,325		
To:	2002:12	-4,2617	-0,0028	0,050	0,025	0,050	0,150	0,400	0,325	0,325		
11 Sweets		_Const	_Trend	Sugar	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	EU_Sugar	huf_EUR	
From:	2001:01	ш	ш	0,250	0,050	0,100	0;050	0,250	0,250	0;050	0,050	
To:	2002:12	-3,2230	0,0009	0,250	0,050	0,100	0,050	0,250	0,250	0,050	0,050	

Names of the CPI	Sample							Cost factors				
subgroups	period			1	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6
OtherCereal		_Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	EU_BreadCere	huf_EUR		
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,030	0,030	0,250	0,350	0,290	0,290		
To:	2002:12	-4,6405	-0,0012	0,050	0,030	0,030	0,250	0,350	0,290	0,290		
FreshVegetab		_Const	_Trend	TransPort	Labc_Sale	Agr_VegFruit						
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,150	0,200	0,650						
To:	2002:12	-0,4586	-0,0001	0,150	0,200	0,650						
PreservFood		Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	Frisszgyum	EU_OthFood	huf_EUR	Agr_Meat
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,050	0,050	0,200	0,200	0,100	0,330	0,330	0,020
To:	2002:12	-3,5432	-0,0018	0,050	0,050	0,050	0,200	0,200	0,100	0,330	0,330	0,020
Meals		_Const	_Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Hotel	UnProcMeat	BreadRolls	PreservFood		
From:	1997:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,050	0,050	0,300	Ъ	0,050	к		
To:	2002:12	-1,6968	0,0024	0,050	0,050	0,050	0,300	0,075	0,050	0,425		
CoffeTea		_Const	_Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	EU_CoffTeaCo	huf_EUR			
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,250	0,650	0,650			
To:	2002:12	-3,7789	-0,0029	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,250	0,650	0,650			
AlcBever		_Const	_Trend	Sugar	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	EU_Beverage	huf_EUR	
From:	1997:01	ш	ш	0,025	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,200	£	ъ	ъ	
To:	2002:12	-4,9770	-0,0012	0,025	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,200	0,636	0,039	0,039	
NonAlcBever		_Const	Trend	Sugar	PreservFood	TransPort	Electricity	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	Water	EU_Softdrink	huf_EUR
From:	1999:04	ш	ш	0,025	0,050	0,100	0,025	0,150	0,150	0,100	0,400	0,400
To:	2002:12	-3,5557	0,0001	0,025	0,050	0,100	0,025	0,150	0,150	0,100	0,400	0,400
Tobacco		_Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Food	EU_Tobacco	huf_EUR		
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,025	0,025	0,025	0,150	Ъ	Ъ	ъ		
To:	2002:12	-4,9569	0,0028	0,025	0,025	0,025	0,150	0,467	0,308	0,308		
ClothMat		_Const	_Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Cloth	EU_Textil	huf_EUR		
From:	1998:01	ш	ш	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,150	0,150	0,400	0,400		
To:	2002:12	-3,2209	-0,0032	0,100	0,100	0,100	0,150	0,150	0,400	0,400		
Shoes		_Const	Trend	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Cloth	EU_Shoe	huf_EUR		
From:	1996:01	ш	ш	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,150	0,190	0,560	0,560		
To:	2002:12	-4,3632	-0,0008	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,150	0,190	0,560	0,560		
Clothing		_Const	Trend	ClothMat	TransPort	Electricity	Gas	Labc_Sale	Labc_Cloth	EU_Garment	huf_EUR	
From:	1997:01	ш	ш	0,100	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,150	0;050	0,600	0,600	
To:	2002:12	-3,8455	0,0005	0,100	0,050	0,025	0,025	0,150	0,050	0,600	0,600	

	6																																	
	8	huf_EUR	0,300	0,300																														
	7	EU_UnderWear	0,300	0,300	huf_EUR	0,650	0,650	huf_EUR	0,500	0,500	huf_EUR	0,550	0,550	huf_EUR	0,475	0,475							huf_EUR	0,100	0,100	huf_EUR	0,300	0,300	huf_EUR	0,400	0,400	usd_OILB_m2	0,650	0,650
	6	Labc_Cloth	0,150	0,150	EU_Furniture	0,650	0,650	EU_DurHousG	0,500	0,500	EU_Car	0,550	0,550	EU_DurEntG	0,475	0,475							EU_RepHouseG	0,100	0,100	EU_RepHouse	0,300	0,300	EU_Chemicals	0,400	0,400	huf_USD	0,650	0,650
Cost factors	5	Labc_Sale	0,200	0,200	Labc_Wood	0,100	0,100	Lapc_Indust	0,125	0,125	Lapc_Indust	0,175	0,175	Lapc_Indust	0,200	0,200	huf_EUR	0,550	0,550				Lapc_Indust	0,400	0,400	Labc_Sale	0,300	0,300	Labc_Sale	0,250	0,250	Labc_Sale	0,100	0,100
	4	Gas	0,025	0,025	Labc_Sale	0,150	0,150	Labc_Sale	0,250	0,250	Labc_Sale	0,175	0,175	Labc_Sale	0,200	0,200	EU_SolEnerg	0,550	0,550	huf_EUR	006'0	0,900	Labc_Sale	0,400	0,400	Labc_Manuf	0,300	0,300	Labc_Manuf	0,250	0,250	Labc_Manuf	0,150	0,150
	3	Electricity	0,025	0,025	Gas	0,025	0,025	Gas	0,025	0,025	Gas	0,025	0,025	Gas	0,025	0,025	Labc_Sale	0,150	0,150	EU_Gas	0,900	0,900	Gas	0,025	0,025	Gas	0,025	0,025	Gas	0,025	0,025	Gas	0,025	0,025
	2	TransPort	0,100	0,100	Electricity	0,025	0,025	Electricity	0;050	0,050	Electricity	0,025	0,025	Electricity	0;050	0,050	Labc_Mining	0,100	0,100	Labc_Sale	0;050	0,050	Electricity	0,025	0,025	Electricity	0,025	0,025	Electricity	0,025	0,025	Electricity	0,025	0,025
	1	ClothMat	0,200	0,200	TransPort	0,050	0,050	TransPort	0;050	0,050	TransPort	0,050	0,050	TransPort	0;050	0,050	TransPort	0,200	0,200	TransPort	0;050	0,050	TransPort	0,050	0,050	TransPort	0;050	0,050	TransPort	0;050	0,050	TransPort	0,050	0,050
		_Trend	ш	-0,0002	_Trend	Ш	-0,0014	_Trend	ш	-0,0029	_Trend	ш	-0,0045	_Trend	ш	-0,0046	_Trend	ш	0,0020	_Trend	ш	0,0006	_Trend	ш	-0,0029	_Trend	ш	-0,0031	_Trend	ш	-0,0012	_Trend	0,000	0,0000
		_Const	ш	-3,2212	_Const	ш	-4,1735	_Const	ш	-4,4648	_Const	ш	-4,3110	_Const	ш	-4,4726	_Const	ш	-4,2081	_Const	ш	-3,3885	_Const	ш	-5,0778	_Const	ш	-4,6641	_Const	ш	-4,4802	_Const	ш	-4,8878
Sample	period		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1998:06	2002:12		2001:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1997:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12
Names of the CPI	subgroups	3 Underwear	From:	To:	4 Furniture	From:	To:	5 DurHousGood	From:	To:	6 Vehicles	From:	To:	7 DurRecreaGood	From:	To:	8 CoalWood	From:	To:	9 BPGas	From:	To:	0 HRepMainGood	From:	To:	1 HouseGood	From:	To:	2 DeterGoods	From:	To:	3 Fuel_Net	From:	To:

	6																														
	8																														
	7																huf_EUR	0,200	0,200												
	9	<b>PPI_WoodPap</b>	Я	0,133	huf_EUR	Ъ	0,282										EU_Recreation	0,200	0,200				Labc_Service	к	0,407						
Cost factors	5	Labc_Wood	Я	0,517	EU_OthFlower	R	0,282	Sewer	0,324	0,324	Labc_Service	Я	0,280				Labc_Service	0,300	0,300				Gas	0,025	0,025				Labc_Service	0,500	0,500
	4	Labc_Sale	0,150	0,150	Labc_Sale	ъ	0,618	Labc_Service	0,200	0,200	Labc_Constr	0,150	0,150				Labc_Hotel	0,300	0,300				Electricity	0,025	0,025				Gas	0,050	0,050
	с	Gas	0;050	0,050	Gas	0,025	0,025	Water	0,189	0,189	Electricity	0,025	0,025	Labc_Service	0,500	0,500	Gas	0,050	0,050	huf_EUR	0,250	0,250	TransPort	0,050	0,050	Labc_Service	0,900	0,900	Electricity	0,050	0,050
	2	Electricity	0,050	0,050	Electricity	0,025	0,025	Disposal	0,162	0,162	TransPort	0,100	0,100	Fuel	0,300	0,300	Electricity	0,050	0,050	EU_Recreation	0,250	0,250	DurRecreaGood	0,100	0,100	Gas	0,050	0,050	Fuel	0,100	0,100
	Ţ	TransPort	0,100	0,100	TransPort	0,050	0,050	RepairDwell	0,125	0,125	HouseGood	ч	0,445	Vehicles	0,200	0,200	Meals	0,100	0,100	Labc_Service	0'750	0,750	DurHousGood	Ъ	0,393	Electricity	0,050	0,050	ChemGoods	0,300	0,300
		_Trend	ш	-0,0003	_Trend	ш	-0,0030	Trend	ш	-0,0007	Trend	ш	0,0017	Trend	ш	0,0029	_Trend	<u>.</u> Ш	0,0026	_Trend	ш	-0,0023	Trend	ш	0,0050	Trend	ш	0,0014	_Trend	ш	0,0008
		_Const	ш	-2,9870	_Const	ш	-4,7304	_Const	ш	-1,0430	_Const	ш	-2,6909	_Const	ш	-3,1019	_Const	ш	-4,4837	_Const	ш	-5,6635	_Const	ш	-2,3972	Const	ш	-5,3491	_Const	ш	-2,8634
Sample	period		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1998:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1996:01	2002:12		1998:07	2002:12
Names of the CPI	subgroups	34 NewspBook	From:	To:	35 RecreGFlow	From:	To:	36 MaintCost	From:	To:	37 RepairDwell	From:	To:	38 TransPort	From:	To:	39 Recreinland	From:	To:	40 RecreAbroad	From:	To:	41 Repair	From:	To:	42 CultService	From:	To:	43 OtherService	From:	To:

The next graphs depict the fit of our estimated long run equations. As we can see there is a strong co-movement among the final prices of services and their presumed cost factors. Moreover, there are groups where the fits of equations are relatively poor (e.g. Fish, Vegetable Fat). In the graphs the variable are logarithmised, thus the residuals can be interpreted as a relative (percentage) errors.



1996

1997

1998

Residual

1999

2000

Actual



2001

Fitted

2002



1996

1997

1998

Residual

1999

2000

Actual

2001

Fitted

2002





6.5

6.4

6.3

6.2

6.1

6.0

5.9

2002

-.15 1996 1997 1998 1999 2000 2001 2002 - Fitted Residual Actual -

**Breads And Rolls** 









6.4

6.2

6.0

5.8

5.6

5.4



**Preserved Food** 



Meals

Actual -

1999 2000 2001 2002

- Fitted

.15

.10

.05

.00

-.05

-.10 -.15-

1996

1997 1998

Residual











**Durable Household Goods** 



Vehicles

5.6

5.5



Durable Recreation Goods



Coal and Wood





Household Goods



Net Price of Fuel





**Detergent Goods** 



Newspapers and Books











# B Appendix: The Model of Non-Parametric Distributed Lag

In this appendix, we present the method of estimating the short-run dynamics of cost pass-through, paraphrasing the quadratic programming problem (5) and its notations.

Before doing this, it seemed useful to compare our estimation method to the 'smoothness prior' based Bayesian estimation approach developed by *Shiller* [1973]. The non-parametric treatment of *a priori* knowledge of 'smooth shaped' distributed lag and the modification on ordinary least square technique in order to make the model estimable are common. But, while Shiller in his paper deals with the distributed lag of only one explanatory variable, our model can have different distributed lag structures of several explanatory variables with parameter restrictions at the same time. A further difference is the sign-restrictions of our parameters that yield a real quadratic programming problem, while Shiller's approach only requires a standard econometric software which implements the ordinary least squares regression.

Our starting equation for estimating short-run dynamics – without any parameter restriction for the present – is the (3) formula presented in the main part of our paper:

$$\Delta p_{i,t} = \lambda_i + \gamma_1 B_1(L) \Delta c_{1,t} + \gamma_2 B_2(L) \Delta c_{2,t} + \dots + \gamma_{n-1} B_{n-1}(L) \Delta c_{n-1,t} + (1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \dots - \gamma_{n-1}) B_n(L) \Delta c_{n,t} - \phi_i \varepsilon_{i,t-1} + \xi_{i,t},$$
(6)

In order to clarify the similarities and differences between the ordinary least square method and our estimation approach of non-parametric distributed lag, firstly, we manipulate the (6) formula into a more concise form. Let:

$$\mathbf{y} = \begin{bmatrix} \Delta p_{i,t} \\ \Delta p_{i,t-1} \\ \vdots \\ \Delta p_{i,t-m} \end{bmatrix}, \dots \boldsymbol{\xi} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,t} \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,t-1} \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\xi}_{i,t-m} \end{bmatrix}$$
(7)

$$\mathbf{X}' = \begin{bmatrix} \gamma_{1} \bigtriangleup c_{1,t} & \gamma_{1} \bigtriangleup c_{1,t-1} & \dots & \gamma_{1} \bigtriangleup c_{1,t-m} \\ \gamma_{1} \bigtriangleup c_{1,t-1} & \gamma_{1} \bigtriangleup c_{1,t-2} & \dots & \gamma_{1} \bigtriangleup c_{1,t-m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \gamma_{1} \bigtriangleup c_{1,t-q_{1}} & \gamma_{1} \bigtriangleup c_{1,t-q_{1}-1} & \dots & \gamma_{1} \bigtriangleup c_{1,t-m-q_{1}} \\ \gamma_{2} \bigtriangleup c_{2,t} & \gamma_{2} \bigtriangleup c_{2,t-1} & \dots & \gamma_{2} \bigtriangleup c_{2,t-m} \\ \gamma_{2} \bigtriangleup c_{2,t-1} & \gamma_{2} \bigtriangleup c_{2,t-2} & \dots & \gamma_{2} \bigtriangleup c_{2,t-m-1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \gamma_{2} \bigtriangleup c_{2,t-q_{2}} & \gamma_{2} \bigtriangleup c_{2,t-q_{2}} & \dots & \gamma_{2} \bigtriangleup c_{2,t-m-q_{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\ \gamma_{n} \bigtriangleup c_{n,t-1} & \gamma_{n} \bigtriangleup c_{n,t-1} & \dots & \gamma_{n} \bigtriangleup c_{n,t-m} \\ \gamma_{n} \bigtriangleup c_{n,t-q_{n}} & \gamma_{n} \bigtriangleup c_{n,t-q_{n}} & \dots & \gamma_{n} \bigtriangleup c_{n,t-q_{n}-1} \\ \vdots & & & & \vdots \\ \gamma_{n} \bigtriangleup c_{n,t-q_{n}} & \gamma_{n} \bigtriangleup c_{n,t-q_{n}} & \dots & \gamma_{n} \bigtriangleup c_{n,t-q_{n}-1} \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ \varepsilon_{i,t-1} & \varepsilon_{i,t-2} & \dots & \varepsilon_{i,t-1-m} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{\beta} = \begin{bmatrix} b_{1,1} \\ b_{1,2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{1,q_{1}} \\ b_{2,1} \\ b_{2,2} \\ \vdots \\ b_{2,q_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ b_{n,q_{n}} \\ \lambda_{i} \\ \phi_{i} \end{bmatrix},$$

where we have data for m + 1 periods,  $\gamma_n$  denotes  $(1 - \gamma_1 - \gamma_2 \dots - \gamma_{n-1})$  and we defined the transposed matrix of **X** to make the print more readable. The vectors  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  and  $\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\xi}$  have  $2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i$  and m+1 rows respectively and the matrix **X** has a dimension of  $2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i \times m + 1$ . Using (8)-(7) the equation (6) can be summarized into form  $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\xi}$ , where the least square estimation of  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  vector-parameter is the solution of the quadratic programming problem below:

$$\min_{\beta} \boldsymbol{\xi}' \boldsymbol{\xi} = \min_{\beta} (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta)' (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\beta).$$
(9)

Obviously, it is quadratic, as  $(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta})'(\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}) = \mathbf{y}'\mathbf{y} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X}\boldsymbol{\beta}.$ 

For our non-parametric smooth distributed lag estimation process we defined a smoothness criterion that measures the smoothness of cost pass-through profiles (See formula (4) in the main part of this paper):

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i \sum_{j=1}^{q_i-1} \left( (b_{i,j} - b_{i,j-1}) - (b_{i,j} - b_{i,j+1}) \right)^2.$$
(10)

The lower value of this expression is the more smooth pass-through profiles (the less variance in  $b_{i,j}$ ). To write this expression in matrix arithmetric form, let **W** a matrix with a dimension of  $(2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i) \times (2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i)$ :

	[	(				q	12 			_	<i>q</i>	<i>n</i>			-	]
	$w_1$	0		0	0	0		0	•	0	0		0	0	0	
	0	$w_1$		÷	÷	÷		÷		÷	÷		÷	÷	÷	
	:		·	0	÷	÷		÷		÷	÷		÷	÷	÷	
	0		0	$w_1$	0	÷		÷		÷	÷		÷	÷	÷	
	0			0	$w_2$	0		÷		÷	÷		÷	÷	÷	
	0				0	$w_2$		÷		÷	÷		÷	÷	÷	
	:						·	0		÷	÷		÷	÷	:	
$\mathbf{W} =$	0						0	$w_2$		÷	÷		÷	÷	÷	. (11)
	:								·	0	÷		÷	÷	÷	
	0								0	$w_n$	0		:	÷	÷	
	0									0	$w_n$		:	÷	÷	
	:											·	0	÷	÷	
	0											0	$w_n$	0	0	
	0	•••											0	0	0	
	0	•••											0	0	0	

Let  $\mathbf{Q}^{k \times k}$  a symmetric square matrix with a dimension of  $k \times k$ :

$$\mathbf{Q}^{k \times k} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ -2 & 5 & -4 & 1 & 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 \\ 1 & -4 & 6 & -4 & 1 & & \vdots \\ 0 & 1 & -4 & 6 & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \ddots & 6 & -4 & 1 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & -4 & 5 & -2 \\ 0 & \dots & \dots & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

With the help of above defined different sized  $\mathbf{Q}^{k \times k}$  matrices let  $\mathbf{Q}$  the following matrix with a dimension of  $(2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i) \times (2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i)$ :

$$\mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q}^{q_1 \times q_1} & \mathbf{0} & \dots & \mathbf{0} & 0 & 0 \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{Q}^{q_2 \times q_2} & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & \dots & \mathbf{Q}^{q_n \times q_n} & \vdots & \vdots \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \dots & \dots & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}.$$
(12)

Then the smoothness criteria (10) can be transcribed into a  $\beta' S \beta$  form, where S = WQ. Combining this expression with (9) yields a modified formula of least square estimation:

$$\min_{\beta} \boldsymbol{\xi}' \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \tag{13}$$

where  $\boldsymbol{\xi}' \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta} = (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})' (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{y} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}' (\mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S}) \boldsymbol{\beta}$ . If we neglect the parameter restrictions of the main part of our paper on  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  again for a moment, then the solution of the problem (13) can be derived from the first order  $condition:^{24}$ 

$$\frac{\partial \left(\boldsymbol{\xi}'\boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\beta}'\mathbf{S}\boldsymbol{\beta}\right)}{\partial \boldsymbol{\beta}} = -2\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y} + \mathbf{2}\left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S}\right)\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{0}.$$
 (14)

If the inverse of  $(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S})$  exists, then the solution for  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  can be expressed as follows:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta} = \left(\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S}\right)^{-1}\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{y},\tag{15}$$

that is analogous to the ordinary least square approach, the appearance of matrix  $\mathbf{S}$  forms a dissimilarity only.

Shiller derives essentially the same expression in his paper. (See *Shiller* [1973] equation (8) on page 778.) However, for the issues reviewed in the main part of our paper, some elements of  $\beta$  must be non-negative, moreover we have to impose some restrictions on the sums of elements of  $\beta$ . Therefore the formula (15) cannot be used, and we have to solve a true quadratic programming problem instead. In order to get the same closed form of the problem as in problem (5), we have to take some further steps. Denote:

$$\boldsymbol{\beta}_{low} = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\0\\\vdots\\0\\-\infty\\-1 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \boldsymbol{\beta}_{up} = \begin{bmatrix} 1\\1\\\vdots\\1\\\infty\\0 \end{bmatrix}, \qquad (16)$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup>Our assumptions on matrices guarantee the fulfilment of second order condition of optima. Namely, one can easily justify that  $\mathbf{X}'\mathbf{X} + \mathbf{S}$  is a positive definit matrix that is a sufficient condition of optima.



where vector  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{low}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{up}$  have  $2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i$  rows, vector **d** has *n* rows, and finally matrix **A** has a dimension of  $n \times (2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} q_i)$ .

Using expressions (16)-(17) and the problem of type (13), our programming problem of estimation of cost pass-through profiles can be written as:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\beta} \boldsymbol{\xi}' \boldsymbol{\xi} + \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta} &= (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta})' (\mathbf{y} - \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}) + \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \\ \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\beta} &= \mathbf{d} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_{low} \leq \boldsymbol{\beta} \leq \boldsymbol{\beta}_{up}. \end{split}$$
(18)

In programming problem (18), the restriction  $\mathbf{A}\boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$  ensures that the sums of each pass through profiles equal to 1. The non-negativity of pass-through parameters guaranteed by inequality  $\boldsymbol{\beta}_{low} \leq \boldsymbol{\beta}$ .

# B.1 Some Basic Features of the Model of Non-Parametric Distributed Lag

We have already made some comment on the relationship between the number of estimated parameters in problem (18) (i.e. the degree of freedom of the problem) and the weights  $w_i$ . In this short section, we review this relation presenting some other statistical feature of this problem (18). We analyze whether our estimation converges to a better known estimation approach when the values of  $w_i$  becomes infinitely high or low.

Firstly, let us start with investigating the case when every weight parameter measuring the smoothness of pass through profiles equals zero. ( $\forall i : w_i = 0$ ) Then using definition (11) the W matrix becomes  $\mathbf{W} = \mathbf{0}$  yielding  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{0}$ , hence  $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{WQ}$ . Thus, the estimation problem (18) reduces to the next form:

$$\begin{split} \min_{\beta} \boldsymbol{\xi}' \boldsymbol{\xi} &= \mathbf{y}' \mathbf{y} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{y} + \boldsymbol{\beta}' \mathbf{X}' \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta}, \\ \mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\beta} &= \mathbf{d} \\ \boldsymbol{\beta}_{low} \leq \boldsymbol{\beta} \leq \boldsymbol{\beta}_{up}, \end{split}$$
(19)

which is *ex-post* an restricted least square estimation. We say ex-post because if some of the inequalities are not binding, then they can be regarded as being not present, and if some of the inequalities are really binding, then they can be regarded as common constraints. Nevertheless, this kind of estimation has different statistical properties compared to least square estimation, because *ex-ante* we don't know which inequalities are going to be binding.

Secondly, let us investigate the case when every  $w_i$  weight parameter goes to infinity.  $(\forall i : w_i \to \infty)$  Then in optimum problem (18) grad  $(\mathbf{y'y} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta'X'y} + \boldsymbol{\beta'X'X\beta}) \ll \text{grad}(\boldsymbol{\beta'S\beta})$ for every  $\boldsymbol{\beta} \ge \mathbf{0}$ , i.e. the value of object function in the programming problem is very sensitive to  $\boldsymbol{\beta'S\beta}$ , thus the tag  $\mathbf{y'y} - 2\boldsymbol{\beta'X'y} + \boldsymbol{\beta'X'X\beta}$  can be neglected. Therefore the optimal  $\boldsymbol{\beta}$  will be close to  $\boldsymbol{\beta^*}$  where the value  $\boldsymbol{\beta^{*'S\beta^*}}$  is minimal. This latter is minimal when the pass-through profiles are arithmetic progressions, in other words, the profiles follow a linear distributed lag structure  $(b_{i,j} = d_i + b_{i,j-1})$ . In this case  $\beta' \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta} = 0$  equals zero, as it can be easily seen from formula  $(10)^{.25}$  The minimum value of  $\beta' \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta}$  is in fact zero, as  $\mathbf{S}$  is positive semi definite thus  $\beta' \mathbf{S} \boldsymbol{\beta} \ge 0$  for  $\forall \boldsymbol{\beta} : \boldsymbol{\beta} \in \Re^n$ . Hence, the pass-through profiles are going to be non-negative arithmetic progression where the restriction  $\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mathbf{d}$  holds. (i.e.  $\sum_{j=0}^{q_i} b_{i,j} = 1$  for  $\forall i$ ) Practically, only one parameter has to be estimated for each pass-through profile of each cost element, because profiles forming arithmetic progression and the rest of parameters can be derived from the restrictions posed on the sum of weights. To illustrate, this let us consider  $b_{i,0}$ . Then using lags of length  $q_i$  and marking the increment of arithmetic progression with  $d_i$  we can write:

$$\sum_{j=0}^{q_i} b_{i,j} = b_{i,0} + (b_{i,0} + d_i) + (b_{i,0} + 2d_i) + \dots + (b_{i,0} + q_i d_i) = 1,$$

that rearranging yields:

$$d_i = \frac{2}{q_i} \left( \frac{1}{1+q_i} - b_{i,0} \right).$$
(20)

As we restricted our pass-through profiles to be non-negative, we can not choose an arbitrary  $b_{i,0}$ , as on the one part  $b_{i,0}$  must  $b_{i,0} \ge 0$ , on the other part  $b_{i,0}$  has an upper limit ensuring that the last element of profiles (in our case arithmetic progressions) is non-negative:

$$b_{i,0} + q_i d_i \ge 0. \tag{21}$$

25

$$w_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{q_{i}-1} \left( (b_{i,j} - b_{i,j-1}) - (b_{i,j} - b_{i,j+1}) \right)^{2} =$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{q_{i}-1} \left( (d_{i} + b_{i,j-1} - b_{i,j-1}) - (b_{i,j} - (d_{i} + b_{i,j}))^{2} =$   
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{q_{i}-1} (d_{i} - d_{i})^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} \sum_{j=1}^{q_{i}-1} 0 = 0$ 

Substituting (20) into (21) we get:



The Chart depicts three possible pass-through profiles strating from different  $b_{i,0}$ .

Summing up the case where the weight parameters go to infinity in problem (18), the estimation reduces to least square approach where the pass-through profiles are linear, and it requires to estimate only one parameter for each cost element with an upper and a lower limit.

But between these two extreme cases we do not know anything about the statistical properties of our estimation, nor does Shiller's paper give guidance for this, unfortunately.

#### **MNB Füzetek / MNB Working Papers**

#### 1995/1

SIMON András: Aggregált kereslet és kínálat, termelés és külkereskedelem a magyar gazdaságban 1990-1994

Aggregate Demand and Supply, Production and Foreign Trade in the Hungarian Economy, 1990-1994 (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1995/2

NEMÉNYI Judit: A Magyar Nemzeti Bank devizaadósságán felhalmozódó árfolyamveszteség kérdései Issues of Foreign Exchange Losses of the National Bank of Hungary (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1995/3

DR. KUN János: Seignorage és az államadóság terhei Seigniorage and the Burdens of Government Debt (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1996/1

SIMON András: Az infláció tényezői 1990-1995-ben Factors of Inflation, 1990-1995 (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1996/2

NEMÉNYI Judit: A tőkebeáramlás, a makrogazdasági egyensúly és az eladósodási folyamat összefüggései a Magyar Nemzeti Bank eredményének alakulásával The Influence of Capital Flows, Macroeconomic Balance and Indebtedness on the Profits of the National Bank of Hungary (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1996/3

SIMON András: Sterilizáció, kamatpolitika az államháztartás és a fizetési mérleg *Sterilization, Interest Rate Policy, the Central Budget and the Balance of Payments* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1996/4

DARVAS Zsolt: Kamatkülönbség és árfolyam-várakozások Interest Rate Differentials and Exchange Rate Expectations (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1996/5

VINCZE János – ZSOLDOS István: A fogyasztói árak struktúrája, szintje és alakulása Magyarországon 1991-1996-ban; Ökonometriai vizsgálat a részletes fogyasztói árindex alapján The Structure, Level and Development of Consumer Prices in Hungary, 1991-1996 – An Econometric Analysis Based on the Detailed Consumer Price Index (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1996/6

CSERMELY Ágnes: A vállalkozások banki finanszírozása Magyarországon 1991-1994 Bank Financing of Enterprises in Hungary, 1991-1994 (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1996/7

DR. BALASSA Ákos: A vállalkozói szektor hosszú távú finanszírozásának helyzete és fejlődési irányai *The Development of Long-term Financing of the Enterprise Sector* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1997/1

CSERMELY Ágnes: Az inflációs célkitűzés rendszere The Inflation Targeting Framework (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1997/2

VINCZE János: A stabilizáció hatása az árakra, és az árak és a termelés (értékesítés) közötti összefüggésekre

The Effects of Stabilization on Prices and on Relations Between Prices and Production (Sales) (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1997/3

BARABÁS Gyula – HAMECZ István: Tőkebeáramlás, sterilizáció és pénzmennyiség *Capital Inflow, Sterilization and the Quantity of Money* 

#### 1997/4

ZSOLDOS István: A lakosság megtakarítási és portfolió döntései Magyarországon 1980-1996 Savings and Portfolio Decisions of Hungarian Households, 1980-1996 (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1997/5

ÁRVAI Zsófia: A sterilizáció és tőkebeáramlás ökonometriai elemzése An Econometric Analysis of Capital Inflows and Sterilization (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1997/6

ZSOLDOS István: A lakosság Divisia-pénz tartási viselkedése Magyarországon Characteristics of Household Divisia Money in Hungary (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1998/1

ÁRVAI Zsófia – VINCZE János: Valuták sebezhetősége: Pénzügyi válságok a '90-es években Vulnerability of Foreign Currency: Financial Crises in the 1990s (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1998/2

CSAJBÓK Attila: Zéró-kupon hozamgörbe becslés jegybanki szemszögből Zero-coupon Yield Curve Estimation from a Central Bank Perspective

#### 1998/3

Kovács Mihály András - SIMON András: A reálárfolyam összetevői Components of the Real Exchange Rate in Hungary

#### 1998/4

P.Kiss Gábor: Az államháztartás szerepe Magyarországon The Role of General Government in Hungary

#### 1998/5

BARABÁS Gyula – HAMECZ István – NEMÉNYI Judit: A költségvetés finanszírozási rendszerének átalakítása és az eladósodás megfékezése; Magyarország tapasztalatai a piacgazdaság átmeneti időszakában

Fiscal Consolidation, Public Debt Containment and Disinflation; Hungary's Experience in Transition

#### 1998/6

JAKAB M. Zoltán – SZAPÁRY György: A csúszó leértékelés tapasztalatai Magyarországon *Hungary's Experience of the Crawling Peg System* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1998/7

TÓTH István János – VINCZE János: Magyar vállalatok árképzési gyakorlata *Pricing Behaviour of Hungarian Firms* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1998/8

Kovács Mihály András: Mit mutatnak? Különféle reálárfolyam-mutatók áttekintése és a magyar gazdaság ár- és költség-versenyképességének értékelése The Information Content of Real Exchange Rate Indicators (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1998/9

DARVAS Zsolt: Moderált inflációk csökkentése; Összehasonlító vizsgálat a nyolcvanas-kilencvenes évek dezinflációit kísérő folyamatokról *Moderate Inflations: a Comparative Study* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1998/10

ÁRVAI Zsófia: A piaci és kereskedelmi banki kamatok közötti transzmisszió 1992 és 1998 között The Interest Rate Transmission Mechanism between Market and Commercial Bank Rates

#### 1998/11

P. Kiss Gábor: A költségvetés tervezése és a fiskális átláthatóság aktuális problémái *Topical Issues of Fiscal Transparency and Budgeting* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1998/12

JAKAB M. Zoltán: A valutakosár megválasztásának szempontjai Magyarországon *Deriving an Optimal Currency Basket for Hungary* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1999/1

CSERMELY Ágnes – VINCZE János: Leverage and foreign ownership in Hungary *Tőkeáttétel és külföldi tulajdon* (csak angol nyelven)

#### 1999/2

TÓTH Áron: Kísérlet a hatékonyság empirikus elemzésére a magyar bankrendszerben An Empirical Analysis of Efficiency in the Hungarian Banking System (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1999/3

DARVAS Zsolt – SIMON András: A növekedés makrogazdasági feltételei; Gazdaságpolitikai alternatívák *Capital Stock and Economic Development in Hungary* 

#### 1999/4

LIELI Róbert: Idősormodelleken alapuló inflációs előrejelzések; Egyváltozós módszerek Inflation Forecasting Based on Series Models. Single-Variable Methods (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1999/5

FERENCZI Barnabás: A hazai munkaerőpiaci folyamatok Jegybanki szemszögből – Stilizált tények Labour Market Developments in Hungary from a Central Bank Perspective – Stylized Facts

#### 1999/6

JAKAB M. Zoltán – Kovács Mihály András: A reálárfolyam-ingadozások főbb meghatározói Magyarországon

Determinants of Real-Exchange Rate Fluctuations in Hungary

#### 1999/7

CSAJBÓK Attila: Information in T-bill Auction Bid Distributions Az aukciós kincstárjegyhozamok információs tartalma (csak angol nyelven)

#### 1999/8

BENCZÚR Péter: A magyar nyugdíjrendszerben rejlő implicit államadósság-állomány változásának becslése

Changes in the Implicit Debt Burden of the Hungarian Social Security System

#### 1999/9

VIGH-MIKLE Szabolcs – ZSÁMBOKI Balázs: A bankrendszer mérlegének denominációs összetétele 1991-1998 között

Denomination Structure of the Balance Sheet of the Hungarian Banking Sector, 1991-1998 (available only in Hungarian)

#### 1999/10

DARVAS Zsolt – SZAPÁRY György: A nemzetközi pénzügyi válságok tovaterjedése különböző árfolyamrendszerekben

Financial Contagion under Different Exchange Rate Regimes

#### 1999/11

OSZLAY András: Elméletek és tények a külföldi működőtőke-befektetésekről *Theories and Facts about Foreign Direct Investment in Hungary* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 2000/1

JAKAB M. Zoltán – Kovács Mihály András – OszLAY András: Hová tart a külkereskedelmi integráció? Becslések három kelet-közép-európai ország egyensúlyi külkereskedelmére How Far has Trade Integration Advanced? An Analysis of Actual and Potential Trade by Three Central and Eastern European Countries

#### 2000/2

VALKOVSZKY Sándor – VINCZE János: Estimates of and Problems with Core Inflation in Hungary *A maginfláció becslése és problémái* (csak angol nyelven)

#### 2000/3

VALKOVSZKY Sándor: A magyar lakáspiac helyzete Situation of the Hungarian Housing Market (available only in Hungarian)

#### 2000/4

JAKAB M. Zoltán – Kovács Mihály András – LŐRINCZ Szabolcs: Az export előrejelzése ökonometriai módszerekkel

Forecasting Hungarian Export Volume

#### 2000/5

FERENCZI Barnabás – VALKOVSZKY Sándor – VINCZE János: Mire jó a fogyasztói-ár statisztika? What are Consumer Price Statistics Good for?

#### 2000/6

ÁRVAI Zsófia – VINCZE János: Financial Crises in Transition Countries: Models and Facts *Pénzügyi válságok átmeneti gazdaságokban: modellek és tények* (csak angol nyelven)

#### 2000/7

György SZAPÁRY: Maastricht and the Choice of Exchange Rate Regime in Transition Countries during the Run-Up to EMU

Maastricht és az árfolyamrendszer megválasztása az átmeneti gazdaságokban az EMU csatlakozást megelőzően (csak angol nyelven)

#### 2000/8

ÁRVAI Zsófia – MENCZEL Péter: A magyar háztartások megtakarításai 1995 és 2000 között Savings of Hungarian Households, 1995-2000

#### 2000/9

SIMON András – DARVAS Zsolt: A potenciális kibocsátás becslése a gazdaság nyitottságának felhasználásával

Potential Output and Foreign Trade in Small Open Economies

#### 2001/1

SIMON András – VÁRPALOTAI Viktor: Eladósodás, kockázat és óvatosság Optimal Indebtedness of a Small Open Economy with Precautionary Behavior

#### 2001/2

Tóth István János - Árvai Zsófia: Likviditási korlát és fogyasztói türelmetlenség Liquidity constraints and consumer impatience

#### 2001/3

Sándor Valkovszky – János Vincze: On Price Level Stability, Real Interest Rates and Core Inflation Árszintstabilitás, reálkamat és maginfláció (csak angol nyeleven)

#### 2001/4

János Vincze: Financial Stability, Monetary Policy and Integration: Policy Choices for Transition Economies

Pénzügyi stabilitás, monetáris politika, integráció: az átmeneti gazdaságok előtt álló választási lehetőségek (csak angol nyelven)

#### 2001/5

György Szapáry: Banking Sector Reform in Hungary: Lessons Learned, Current Trends and Prospects *A bankrendszer reformja Magyarországon: tanulságok, aktuális folyamatok és kilátások* (csak angol nyelven)

#### 2002/1

Tóth István János: Vállalati és lakossági konjunktúra felmérések *Magyarországon Cyclical Surveys of the Hungarian Corporate and Household Sectors* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 2002/2

Benczúr Péter: A szuverén kötvényekben rejlő kockázatok azonosítása *Identifying Sovereign Bond Risks* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 2002/3

Jakab M. Zoltán – Kovács Mihály András: Magyarország a NIGEM modellben *Hungary in the NIGEM model* 

#### 2002/4

Benczúr Péter – Simon András – Várpalotai Viktor: Dezinflációs számítások kisméretű makromodellel *Disinflation Simulations with a Small Model of an Open Economy* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 2002/5

On the estimated size of the Balassa-Samuelson effect in five Central and Eastern European countries Edited by Mihály András Kovács (avaible only in English)

#### 2002/6

Gyomai György - Varsányi Zoltán Máté: Az MNB átlal használt hozamgörbe-becslő eljárás felülvizsgálata

A Comparison of Yield-curve Fitting Methods for Monetary Policy Purposes in Hungary (available only in Hungarian)

#### 2003/1

*Péter Benczúr: The behavior of the nominal exchange rate at the beginning of disinflations* (available only in English)

#### 2003/2

Várpalotai Viktor: Numerikus módszer gazdasági adatok visszabecslésére Numerical Method for Estimating GDP Data for Hungary (available only in Hungarian)

#### 2003/3

Várpalotai Viktor: Dezinflációs számítások dezaggregált kibocsátási résekre alapzó makromodellel *Disinflation Simulations with a Disaggregated Output Gap Based Model* (available only in Hungarian)

#### 2003/4

Várpalotai Viktor: Dezaggregált költségbegyűrűzés-alapú ökonometriai infláció-előrejelző modell Disaggregated Cost Pass-Through Based Econometric Inflation-Forecasting Model for Hungary