

RATIONALITY IN THE JOINT ALLOCATION OF PRIVATE AND PUBLIC GOODS *

Bernarda Zamora Talaya

Abstract

This paper presents an extension of “collective models” that rationalize household demands as efficient allocations, allowing for the joint allocation of public and private goods. Starting from the problem of efficiency, and adding a separability assumption between public goods and exclusive private goods, we obtain two results. Firstly, we find some new restrictions upon the derivatives of the demand functions. Secondly, we predict how the sharing of household expenditures between the man and the woman changes when the exclusive good’s prices changes, and we measure the effect of the consumption of public goods on this change.

Keywords: collective choice; public goods; separability.

Zamora, Departamento de Economía, Universidad Carlos III de Madrid; E.mail:
zamora@eco.uc3m.es

* This paper is part of my Ph. D. Thesis. I wish to thank my advisor, Javier Ruiz-Castillo for many suggestions and comments. I also wish to thank Michael Jerison, Mikel Pérez-Nievas, Ana Montes and José Luis Moraga for their comments. The remaining shortcomings are my sole responsibility.

1. Introduction

Although people within the household exchange material and immaterial goods, we can only observe the material aggregate consumption that results from this exchange process. The difficulty, of course, is that aggregate household consumption equals individual consumption in only three cases: single-person households, public goods, and in the case of goods whose consumption is exclusive to a household member (exclusive goods).

Traditional models of household demand based on a representative consumer are called *unitary models*. Because empirical properties derived from them (aggregation, homogeneity, symmetry and negative semi-definiteness of the Slutsky matrix) have received little empirical support, we may conclude that individual rationality presents serious difficulties when attempting to explain household behavior.

Alternatively, household behavior can be modeled as a decision process in which several individuals are involved. These kinds of models, known as *collective models*, have two principal objectives. i) to derive empirical properties of household demand, and ii) to recover the intrahousehold allocations of goods and welfare.

Within collective models, there is a strand of the literature that is based on the idea that “*the household is modeled as a two-member collectivity taking Pareto-efficient decisions*” (Chiappori, 1988). According to the assumptions of the model, we distinguish between three groups of papers which, in chronological order, are:

1) Manser and Brown (1980) and McElroy and Horney (1981) model household decisions as the equilibrium from a Nash bargaining game where the threat point is a function of exogenous variables called *extrahousehold environmental parameters* (EEP). The Nash bargaining game results in efficient outcomes, so these models fit into Chiappori’s efficiency idea.

2) Chiappori (1988, 1992) provides the most general framework for the study of the intrahousehold allocation of private goods under the sole assumption of efficiency. Within this framework, there are two types of important results: those involving empirical properties of

labor supply functions for egoistic agents, and those referring to the recovery of the sharing rule between household members.

3) The third group of models also starts from the efficiency premise but adds the assumption of the existence of, at least, a *distribution factor* that affects the reserve utility of both agents but does not affect either their preferences for goods or the budget constraint. A distribution factor is, therefore, an EEP in McElroy's terminology. This methodology is applied, for example, in Bourguignon *et al.* (1993, 1995), Browning and Chiappori (1994), Browning *et al.* (1994), and Chiappori *et al.* (1997).

The aim of this paper is to derive empirical properties of the household demands, and to recover intrahousehold allocations in the presence of public goods. For this purpose, we extend Chiappori's (1988) parametric model allowing for the joint choice between public and private goods. The assumption of weak separability between private and public goods, implicit in Chiappori's model, does not lead to any new result. But under a different separability assumption between public goods and exclusive goods, we obtain the following results:

1) Efficiency necessary conditions on household demands for private and public goods. We prove that the conditions obtained in Chiappori (1988) are a particular case of ours when the consumption of public goods is zero.

2) We do not recover the intrahousehold allocation of private goods completely, but we do recover its variation with respect to prices. The corresponding results in Chiappori's model without public goods are nested into ours.

The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we introduce the notation and Chiappori's main results under weak separable preferences between private and public goods. In section 3 we introduce a different separability assumption and derive our results. In section 4 we apply the above results for a particular specification of household demands. In section 5 we discuss the main restrictions and contributions of our model to the empirical study of consumer behavior.

2. The Initial Model: Notation and Chiappori's Results

Assume that a household consists of two adults who decide on how to allocate the household endowment, X , among goods, which we classify into three groups. First, there are *private goods* like food, alcohol, tobacco, and goods linked to education or entertainment activities for which individual consumptions are unobservable. What we observe is the aggregate consumption of private goods $Z = Z^1 + Z^2$, where Z^i is the amount consumed by agent i for $i = 1, 2$. Second, we observe individual expenditures for some adult *exclusive goods*, like women's or men's clothing expenditures. Leisure is a special case of an exclusive good included in Chiappori (1988) but which is excluded from our model. We denote by q^i the exclusive good consumed by agent i . Finally, household *public goods* (Q) are goods that are characterized by non-rivalry and non-exclusion, for which individual consumption coincides with the aggregate amount. Expenditures on housing and furniture and their maintenance are household public goods, which we call "clean house" following Pollak and Wachter (1975).

Household preferences are characterized by a pair of utility functions, $U^i(q^i, Z^i, Q)$ for $i = 1, 2$, which are assumed to be strictly monotonic, strongly quasi-concave, and twice continuously differentiable.

To sum up, the household is an economy, ξ , characterized by two consumption sets, $\{q^i, Z^i, Q\} \subset \mathbb{R}_+^3$, two utility functions $U^i : \{q^i, Z^i, Q\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $i = 1, 2$, and the income household endowment, X .

2.1. Chiappori's (1988) Results: A Reinterpretation

Chiappori's original model studies the following question: what conditions characterize the leisure (L^i) and private goods (Z) demands if they arise from an efficient allocation? The demand functions with this property are called **collectively rational for egoistic agents**.

Definition 1. Let T be the time endowment for both agents. For any wages, w_1, w_2 , and non-labor income, y , the household demand for leisure $(\bar{L}^1(w_1, w_2, y), \bar{L}^2(w_1, w_2, y))$ is said to be **collectively rational for egoistic agents (CREA)** if there exist two demand functions \bar{Z}^1 and \bar{Z}^2 from \mathbb{R}_+^3 to \mathbb{R}_+ , and two utility functions $U^1(L^1, Z^1)$ and $U^2(L^2, Z^2)$ such that the functions $\bar{L}^1, \bar{L}^2, \bar{Z}^1, \bar{Z}^2$ solve the following problem:

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{L^1, L^2, Z^1, Z^2}{Max} \quad U^1(L^1, Z^1) + \mu(w_1, w_2, y) U^2(L^2, Z^2) \\ & s.t. \quad Z^1 + Z^2 \leq y + w^1(T - L^1) + w^2(T - L^2) \end{aligned}$$

The first order conditions for this problem are:

$$U_{q^1}^1(L^1, Z^1) = w_1 U_{z^1}^1(L^1, Z^1), \quad (1)$$

$$U_{q^2}^2(L^2, Z^2) = w_2 U_{z^2}^2(L^2, Z^2), \quad (2)$$

$$Z^1 + Z^2 = y + w_1(T - L^1) + w_2(T - L^2). \quad (3)$$

One of the main reasons why adults decide to live together is to enjoy scale economies in the consumption of public goods. From this perspective, the above model can be reinterpreted as a model under the assumption of weakly separable preferences between private and exclusive goods, on the one hand, and public goods, on the other. If we let C be the price of a single public good and replace labor supply $(T - L^i)$ by $-q^i$, wages w_i by prices p_i , and non-labor income y by private and exclusive goods expenditures $x = X - CQ$, then the problem becomes:

$$\underset{q^1, q^2, Z^1, Z^2, Q}{Max} \quad U^1(v_1^1(q^1, Z^1), v_2^1(Q)) + \mu U^2(v_1^2(q^2, Z^2), v_1^2(Q)) \quad (P2)$$

$$s.t. \quad p_1 q^1 + p_2 q^2 + Z^1 + Z^2 + CQ \leq X.$$

The first order conditions for this new problem are:

$$v_{q^1}^1(q^1, Z^1) = p_1 v_{z^1}^1(q^1, Z^1), \quad (4)$$

$$v_{q^2}^2(q^2, Z^2) = p_2 v_{z^2}^2(q^2, Z^2), \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{U_2^1(v_1^1(q^1, Z^1), v_2^1(Q)) v_{2Q}^1(Q)}{U_1^1(v_1^1(q^1, Z^1), v_2^1(Q)) v_{1Z^1}^1(q^1, Z^1)} + \frac{U_2^2(v_1^2(q^2, Z^2), v_2^2(Q)) v_{2Q}^2(Q)}{U_1^2(v_1^2(q^2, Z^2), v_2^2(Q)) v_{1Z^2}^2(q^2, Z^2)} = c, \quad (6)$$

$$Z^2 + p_2 q^2 = (X - CQ) - p_1 q^1 - Z^1. \quad (7)$$

This new problem can be interpreted as a two stage problem. At the first stage, the household allocates total expenditure between private, exclusive and public goods, and at the second stage, expenditures on private and exclusive goods are allocated among household members. Conditions (4), (5) and (7) are the same as conditions (1) to (3), respectively, in the problem (P1) without public goods. Therefore, Chiappori's results obtained from that problem apply to the study of the allocation of the private and exclusive goods expenditures in the second stage of problem (P2).

Under our assumptions, the demand functions q^1, q^2 from \mathbb{R}_+^3 to \mathbb{R}_+ are twice differentiable. We use the following notation from Chiappori (1988):

$$M_k = \frac{\partial M}{\partial K}, \text{ where } M = q^1, q^2, Z^1, Z^2, \text{ etc., and } K = p_1, p_2, x$$

$$A = \frac{q_{p_2}^1}{q_x^1}, \quad B = \frac{q_{p_1}^2}{q_x^2}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \left[1 - \frac{BA_x - Ap_1}{AB_x - Bp_2} \right]^{-1} & \text{if } AB_x - Bp_2 \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta = 1 - \alpha.$$

Chiappori (1988) arrives to the following result:

Proposition 1. *Let the demand functions q^1 and q^2 satisfy the two following regularity conditions for each (p_1, p_2, x) :*

$$q_x^1 \cdot q_x^2 \neq 0 \quad (\text{R1})$$

$$AB_x - B_{p_2} \neq BA_x - B_{p_2}. \quad (\text{R2})$$

For q^1, q^2 to be collectively rational for egoistic agents in the sense of Definition 1, the following conditions are necessary:

$$\alpha_x A + \alpha A_x - \alpha_{p_2} = 0 \quad (\text{CREA a})$$

$$\beta_x B + \beta B_x - \beta_{p_1} = 0. \quad (\text{CREA b})$$

If these conditions are satisfied, Z^1 and Z^2 are unique up to an additive constant, and Z^i depends only on $q^i(p_1, p_2, x)$ and p_i ($i = 1, 2$).

The conditions (CREA a, b) are empirical properties on first and second demand derivatives. In view of Proposition 1, if these properties are not satisfied, then we know that household outcomes are not efficient.

We are also interested in recovering the unobserved intrahousehold allocation of private goods. We have interpreted that the private goods expenditures in the second stage of problem (P2) are allocated between the two household members. Then, conditional on that allocation, each one solves his/her own utility maximization problem. From the Second Welfare Theorem, we know that if $(q^{1*}, q^{2*}, Z^{1*}, Z^{2*})$ is an efficient allocation and $x > 0$ is the household expenditure on private and exclusive goods, there exists a price vector (p_1^*, p_2^*) in \mathbb{R}_+^2 , such that $(q^{1*}, q^{2*}, Z^{1*}, Z^{2*}; p_1^*, p_2^*)$ is the competitive equilibrium that solves the pair of individual problems:

$$\begin{cases} \underset{q^i, Z^i}{\text{Max}} & v^i(q^i, Z^i) \\ \text{s.t.} & p_i q^i + Z^i = \phi^{i*} \quad i = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\phi^{i*} = p_i^* q^{i*} + Z^{i*}$. Based on this Theorem we define the existence of a sharing rule function.

Definition 2. Let $q^1(p_1, p_2, x)$ and $Z^1(p_1, p_2, x)$ be two demand functions that solve the problem

$$\begin{aligned} \underset{q^1, Z^1}{\text{Max}} \quad & v^1(q^1, Z^1) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & p_1 q^1 + Z^1 = \phi(p_1, p_2, x). \end{aligned}$$

Then the function $\phi: \mathbb{R}_+^3 \rightarrow]0, X[$ is the **sharing rule**, where

$$\phi(p_1, p_2, x) = p_1 q^1(p_1, p_2, x) + Z^1(p_1, p_2, x).$$

The conditions (CREA a, b) of Proposition 1 imply the existence of such a sharing rule.

Proposition 2. (Chiappori, 1988 and 1992). Given two demand functions q^1 and q^2 satisfying conditions (CREA a, b) of Proposition 1, a sharing rule is defined up to an additive constant; specifically, its partial derivatives are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_x &= \alpha \\ \phi_{p_1} &= -\beta B \\ \phi_{p_2} &= \alpha A \end{aligned}$$

The derivative of the sharing rule with respect to x , α , is the share of marginal expenditure received by member 1. $\beta = 1 - \alpha$, is the share of marginal expenditure received by member 2. ϕ_{p_i} is the marginal change in expenditures when there is a change in the price p_i . We are interested in the sign of these derivatives for specific demand functions (see below the example in section 4).

Because of the weak separability assumption, this model does not allow us to analyze the effect of public goods on the intrahousehold allocation of private goods. In the following section we introduce another separability assumption between public and exclusive goods in order to

obtain an expression for the relationship between the amount of public goods and the sharing rule which guides the allocation of the private good expenditures.

3. The Extended Model: Public Good Effect

In general, we expect that the price of a public good affects the demand for both private and exclusive goods. In this paper, however, we only allow for the public good effect on the private good demand but not on the exclusive good demand. For this purpose, we make the following separability assumption between household public goods and exclusive goods:

Assumption S. The public good price does not enter the exclusive good demand and the exclusive good price does not enter the public good demand. Mathematically:

$$q_c^i = 0, \quad Q_{p_i} = 0 \quad i = 1, 2. \quad (\text{S})$$

The advantage of this assumption is that it is testable. For example, if we estimate three demand functions for women's clothing, men's clothing and the clean house, we can test if clothing prices affect the clean house demand, and if the clean house price affects clothing demands. Intuitively, the wage rate may very well affect the demand for public goods. This is why we exclude leisure from the list of exclusive goods in what follows.

In this framework, we define a collectively rational behavior.

Definition 3. Household demands for the exclusive goods $(\bar{q}^1(p_1, p_2, X), \bar{q}^2(p_1, p_2, X))$ and for the public good $\bar{Q}(c, X)$ are said to be **collectively rational** (CR*) if there exist two demand functions \bar{Z}^1 and \bar{Z}^2 from \mathbb{R}_+^4 to \mathbb{R}_+ , and two utility functions $U^1(L^1, Z^1, Q)$ and $U^2(L^2, Z^2, Q)$ such that, for all (p_1, p_2, c, X) in \mathbb{R}_+^4 and $\mu \in \mathbb{R}_+$, the functions $\bar{q}^1, \bar{q}^2, \bar{Z}^1, \bar{Z}^2, \bar{Q}$, solve the problem

$$\underset{q^1, q^2, Z^1, Z^2, Q}{Max} \quad U^1(q^1, Z^1, Q) + \mu U^2(q^2, Z^2, Q) \quad (\text{P3})$$

$$s.t. \quad p_1 q^1 + p_2 q^2 + Z^1 + Z^2 + cQ \leq X.$$

The first order conditions are:

$$U_{q^1}^1 (q^1, Z^1, Q) = p_1 U_{z^1}^1 (q^1, Z^1, Q), \quad (8)$$

$$U_{q^2}^2 (q^2, Z^2, Q) = p_2 U_{z^2}^2 (q^2, Z^2, Q), \quad (9)$$

$$\frac{U_Q^1 (q^1, Z^1, Q)}{U_z^1 (q^1, Z^1, Q)} + \frac{U_Q^2 (q^2, Z^2, Q)}{U_z^2 (q^2, Z^2, Q)} = c, \quad (10)$$

$$p_1 q^1 + p_2 q^2 + Z^1 + Z^2 + cQ = X. \quad (11)$$

In what follows, we keep Chiappori's notation (A, B, α, β) , but introduce new notations to include the public good demand:

$$M_k = \frac{\partial M}{\partial K}, \quad M = q^1, q^2, Q, Z^1, Z^2, \text{ etc. and } K = p_1, p_2, C, X.$$

$$A = \frac{q_{p_2}^1}{q_x^1}, \quad B = \frac{q_{p_1}^2}{q_x^2}, \quad D = \frac{Q_c}{Q_x}, \quad \delta = \frac{D + Q}{D},$$

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} \left[1 - \frac{BA_x - Ap_1}{AB_x - Bp_2} \right]^{-1} & \text{if } AB_x - Bp_2 \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma = \begin{cases} \frac{AB(\delta_x - \frac{\delta_x}{D})}{A_{p_1} - BA_x - (B_{p_2} - AB_x)} & \text{if } A_{p_1} - BA_x \neq B_{p_2} - AB_x \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$\beta = 1 - \alpha.$$

When a term is multiplied by δ , we denote it with $'$; for example, $\alpha' = \alpha\delta$, $\alpha'_x = (\alpha\delta)_x = \alpha_x\delta + \delta_x\alpha$.

The following result is based on conditions (8), (9) and (11).

Proposition 3. *Let the demand functions q^1 , q^2 and Q satisfy the two following regularity conditions. For each (p_1, p_2, c, X) in \mathbb{R}_+^4 ,*

$$q_x^1 \neq 0, q_x^2 \neq 0 \text{ and } Q_c \neq 0, \quad (\text{R}^*1)$$

$$AB_x - B_{p_2} \neq BA_x - B_{p_2}. \quad (\text{R}^*2)$$

For q^1, q^2, Q to be *collectively rational* in the sense of Definition 3, the following conditions are necessary:

$$(\alpha' + \gamma) A_x + A(\alpha'_x + \gamma_x) = \frac{A}{D} (\alpha'_c + \gamma_c) + (\alpha'_{p_2} + \gamma_{p_2}), \quad (\text{CR}^* \text{ a})$$

$$(\beta' - \gamma) B_x + B(\beta'_x - \gamma_x) = \frac{B}{D} (\beta'_c - \gamma_c) + (\beta'_{p_1} - \gamma_{p_1}). \quad (\text{CR}^* \text{ b})$$

If these conditions are satisfied, then we can recover the functions $Z_{p_i}^1$ and $Z_{p_i}^2$, and Z^i only depends on $q^i(p_1, p_2, X)$, $Q(c, X)$ and p_i ($i = 1, 2$).

See the proof in Appendix 1.

Corollary 1. *The conditions (CREA a) and (CREA b) from the model without public goods are nested in conditions (CR* a) and (CR* b) for the case $Q = 0$.*

Proof. If $Q = 0$, then $\delta = \left(\frac{D+Q}{D}\right) = \frac{Q_c+Q Q_x}{Q_c} = 1$. This implies that $\gamma = 0$, $\alpha' = \alpha\delta = \alpha$, $\beta' = \beta\delta = \beta$, and $\alpha_c = 0$. Therefore, replacing these in the expression (CR* a):

$\left\{ (\alpha' + \gamma) A_x + A(\alpha'_x + \gamma_x) - \frac{A}{D} (\alpha'_c + \gamma_c) - (\alpha'_{p_2} + \gamma_{p_2}) = 0 \right\}$, becomes expression (CREA a): $\{\alpha A_x + A\alpha_x - \alpha_{p_2} = 0\}$. Analogously, expression (CR* b) becomes (CREA b) when $Q = 0$. ■

The conditions (CR* a) and (CR* b) are empirical properties of the demand functions formulated in terms of their first and second derivatives with respect to prices and household expenditures. Thus, for a particular system of demand functions, these conditions will appear as parameter restrictions.

We are interested in the effect of public goods on the sharing rule which gives us the individual expenditure on private goods.

Definition 4. Let $q^i(p_1, p_2, X)$, $Z^i(p_1, p_2, c, X)$ and $Q(c, X)$, for $i = 1, 2$, be the demand functions that solve the problem

$$\begin{aligned} & \underset{q^1, q^2, Z^1, Z^2, Q}{Max} \quad U^1(q^1, Z^1, Q) + \mu U^2(q^2, Z^2, Q) \\ & s.t. \quad p_1 q^1 + p_2 q^2 + Z^1 + Z^2 + CQ \leq X. \end{aligned}$$

Then the function $\Phi : \mathbb{R}_+^4 \rightarrow]0, X[$ is the **sharing rule for private goods**, where

$$\Phi(p_1, p_2, c, X) = p_1 q^1(p_1, p_2, X) + Z^1(p_1, p_2, c, X).$$

As before, the efficiency conditions of Proposition 3 are sufficient for the existence of the sharing rule for private goods.

Proposition 4. Given the demand functions q^1 , q^2 and Q , satisfying conditions (CR* a) and (CR* b) of Proposition 3, the derivatives of the sharing rule for private goods with respect to prices are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{p_1} &= B(-\beta\delta + \gamma) = \phi_{p_1}\delta + B\gamma, \\ \Phi_{p_2} &= A(\alpha\delta + \gamma) = \phi_{p_2}\delta + A\gamma, \end{aligned}$$

where ϕ is the sharing rule in the model without public goods.

The proof is in Appendix 2.

Corollary 2. *In the case of zero consumption of public goods, the derivatives of the sharing rule with respect to prices in the model without public goods, ϕ_{p_i} , coincides with the derivatives of the sharing rule for private goods in the model with public goods, Φ_{p_i} .*

Proof. If $Q = 0$, $\delta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$, then

$$\Phi_{p_1} = \phi_{p_1} \delta + B\gamma = \phi_{p_1},$$

$$\Phi_{p_2} = \phi_{p_2} \delta + A\gamma = \phi_{p_2}.$$

■

Remark 1. We observe two components in the derivatives of the **sharing rule** with respect to prices. If public goods are normal goods, then the first component, $\phi_{p_i} \delta$, is smaller in absolute value than ϕ_{p_i} because $\delta \in [0, 1]$.

We have $\delta = \frac{Q_c + Q Q_x}{Q_c}$. In the optimal allocation, the numerator is the Slutsky equation, i.e., the substitution effect calculated from the Hicksian demand for public good. The denominator is the total effect calculated from the Marshallian demand. If public goods are normal goods, then the substitution effect has an absolute value smaller than the total effect, and we therefore have $\delta \in [0, 1]$ and $|\phi_{p_i} \delta| \leq |\phi_{p_i}|$.

4. An Illustrative Example

We take the functional form of Chiappori's example (1988 and 1992) for exclusive goods demand, we add a public good demand, and we assume that such demands satisfy assumption (S) :

$$q^1(X, p_1, p_2) = a_1 + b_1 X + c_1 X \log X + d_1^1 p_1 + d_1^2 p_2, \quad (4.1)$$

$$q^2(X, p_1, p_2) = a_2 + b_2 X + c_2 X \log X + d_2^1 p_1 + d_2^2 p_2, \quad (4.2)$$

$$Q(X, C) = a_3 + b_3X + c_3X \log X + d_3C. \quad (4.3)$$

We calculate the terms used in Proposition 3:

$$q_{p_i}^i = d_i^j, \quad Q_c = d_3, \quad q_x^i = b_i + c_i + c_i \log X, \quad q_{xx}^i = c_i/X,$$

$$Q_x = b_3 + c_3 + c_3 \log X, \quad Q_{xx} = c_3/X,$$

$$A = \frac{d_1^2}{q_x^1}, \quad B = \frac{d_2^1}{q_x^2}, \quad D = \frac{d_3}{Q_x},$$

$$\alpha = \frac{c_2}{c_2b_1 - c_1b_2} q_x^1, \quad \beta = \frac{c_1}{c_1b_2 - c_2b_1} q_x^2,$$

$$\delta = 1 + \frac{QQ_x}{d_3}, \quad \gamma = \frac{c_3}{d_3(c_1b_2 - c_2b_1)} q_x^1 q_x^2 Q,$$

$$\alpha_x = \frac{c_2}{c_2b_1 - c_1b_2} q_{xx}^1 = \alpha \frac{q_{xx}^1}{q_x^1}, \quad \alpha_{p_2} = \alpha_c = 0,$$

$$\gamma_x = \gamma \left(\frac{q_{xx}^1}{q_x^1} + \frac{q_{xx}^2}{q_x^2} + \frac{Q_x}{Q} \right), \quad \gamma_c = \gamma \frac{Q_c}{Q}, \quad \gamma_{p_i} = 0,$$

$$\delta_x = \frac{Q_x Q_x}{Q_c} + \frac{Q Q_{xx}}{Q_c}, \quad \delta_c = Q_x.$$

4.1. The Initial Model without Public Goods

In this example, the regularity conditions (R1) $q_x^1 q_x^2 \neq 0$ and (R2) $(AB_x - B_{p_2} \neq BA_x - B_{p_2})$ are satisfied for all x when $c_1 b_2 \neq c_2 b_1$.

The demand functions are linear in prices, so that $\alpha_{p_2} = \beta_{p_1} = 0$. The necessary conditions imposed by collective rationality for egoistic agents are:

$$\alpha_x A + \alpha A_x = 0, \quad (\text{CRAE a})$$

$$\beta_x B + \beta B_x = 0. \quad (\text{CRAE b})$$

Since $\alpha_x A + \alpha A_x = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \alpha A = \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left(\frac{c_2}{c_2 b_1 - c_1 b_2} q_x^1 \frac{d_1^2}{q_x^2} \right) = 0$, these conditions are always satisfied for all values of the parameters $a_i, b_i, c_i, d_i^i, d_i^j, i, j = 1, 2$. Consequently, we cannot test efficiency for this functional form. We can, however, recover the derivatives of the sharing rule:

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_x &= \alpha = \frac{c_2 (b_1 + c_1 + c_1 \log X)}{H} \\ \phi_{p_1} &= -\beta B = \frac{c_1 d_2^1}{H} \\ \phi_{p_2} &= \alpha A = \frac{c_2 d_1^2}{H}\end{aligned}$$

where $H = c_2 b_1 - c_1 b_2$.

We want to know the sign of these derivatives in order to predict whether a change in private and exclusive goods expenditures, x , or a change in exclusive goods prices, will produce either an increase or a decrease in individual expenditures. If we assume that all goods are normal, then $q_x^i > 0, Q_x > 0$ for all X , ($b_i > 0$ and $c_i > 0$) and $q_{p_i}^i < 0, Q_c < 0$ ($d_i^i < 0, d_3 < 0$). The cross-price effect, $q_{p_j}^i$, is negative if the good q^i is a gross complement of good q^j ($d_i^j < 0$) and it is positive if q^i is a gross substitute for q^j ($d_i^j > 0$). The denominator, H , can be positive or negative. If $H > 0$ then, $sign(\phi_{p_1}) = sign(d_2^1)$. Thus, if p_1 increases and q^2 is a gross substitute for q^1 , we then predict an increase in the share of expenditure received by member 1. In Chiappori's example, q^1 and q^2 are leisure demands, p_1 and p_2 are the wages, and the sharing rule is defined for non-labor income. In this case, we observe an increase in the man's wage and leisure is a normal good, and the man's labor supply will therefore increase. If the woman's leisure is a gross substitute (gross complement) of the man's leisure, $\phi_{p_1} > 0$ ($\phi_{p_1} < 0$), then we predict an increase (decrease) of the man's share of non-labor income.

4.2. The Extended Model with Public Goods

The regularity conditions (R^*1) and (R^*2) are satisfied if $d_3 \neq 0$ and $c_1b_2 \neq c_2b_1$. Since, for this example, $\alpha'_{p_2} = \gamma_{p_2} = \beta_{p_1} = \gamma_{p_1} = 0$, the conditions (CR^*a) and (CR^*b) of Proposition 3 are:

$$(\alpha' + \gamma) A_x + A(\alpha'_x + \gamma_x) = \frac{A}{D} (\alpha'_c + \gamma_c), \quad (CR^* a)$$

$$(\beta' - \gamma) B_x + B(\beta'_x - \gamma_x) = \frac{B}{D} (\beta'_c - \gamma_c). \quad (CR^* b)$$

By replacing the equality terms in (CR^*a) and simplifying, we have:

$$(\alpha\delta + \gamma) A_x + A((\alpha\delta)_x + \gamma_x) = A \left(\alpha \left(\frac{Q_x Q_x}{Q_c} + \frac{Q Q_{xx}}{Q_c} \right) + \gamma \frac{q_{xx}^2}{q_x^2} + \gamma \frac{Q_x}{Q} \right), \quad (4.4)$$

$$\frac{A}{D} ((\alpha\delta)_c + \gamma_c) = A \frac{Q_x}{Q_c} \left(\alpha Q_x + \gamma \frac{Q_c}{Q} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

So, the expression (CR^*a) that results equating the right hand sides of (4.4) and (4.5) is:

$$\frac{\alpha Q Q_{xx}}{Q_c} + \gamma \frac{q_{xx}^2}{q_x^2} = 0. \quad (4.6)$$

And on replacing (4.6) from the demand functions, we obtain:

$$\frac{c_2}{c_2b_1 - c_1b_2} \frac{c_3}{X d_3} q_x^1 Q - \frac{c_3}{d_3 (c_2b_1 - c_1b_2)} \frac{c_2}{X} q_x^1 Q = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Since condition (4.7) is always satisfied by these demand functions, we can not test efficiency in this example.

We calculate the derivatives of the sharing rule for private goods and obtain:

$$\Phi_{p_1} = \phi_{p_1} \delta + B\gamma = \frac{c_1 d_2^1}{H} \left(\frac{d_3 + Q Q_x}{d_3} \right) - \frac{c_3 d_2^1}{H d_3} Q q_x^1, \quad (4.8)$$

$$\Phi_{p_2} = \phi_{p_2} \delta + A\gamma = \frac{c_2 d_1^2}{H} \left(\frac{d_3 + Q Q_x}{d_3} \right) - \frac{c_3 d_1^2}{H d_3} Q q_x^2. \quad (4.9)$$

Since $|\phi_{p_i} \delta| \leq |\phi_{p_i}|$ (see Remark 1), the first effect of public good consumption is the reduction of the amount of the sharing rule change with respect to price variation. The second component is $\left(-\frac{c_3 d_1^2}{H d_3} Q q_x^2\right)$, whose sign depends on those of H and d_2^1 . If $H > 0$, $\text{sign}\left(-\frac{c_3 d_1^2}{H d_3} Q q_x^2\right) = \text{sign}(d_2^1)$.

When we consider the two components, we conclude that, if $H > 0$, $\text{sign}(\Phi_{p_1}) = \text{sign}(d_2^1)$ and the effect in the sharing rule produced by a change in p_1 has the same sign as in the model without public goods: the sign is positive if q^2 is a gross substitute of q^1 and it is negative if q^2 is a gross complement of q^1 .

Summing up, the sign of a sharing rule change caused by a price variation does not depend on public goods consumption, but the presence of the public good does, in fact, modify the amount of this sharing rule change.

5. Conclusions

The main restriction of the model is our separability assumption which does not allow any effect of the exclusive good's prices on the demand for the public good. Since the public good demand depends on wages, our model cannot be used for the study of the empirical properties of labor supply, considered as an exclusive good. In contrast to the weak separability, however, our separability assumption allows the prices of public goods to enter the private good demand. Hence, our collective model allows the study of the effect of public goods on the allocation of private expenditures.

With regard to the contributions of this paper, we have derived new testable restrictions on observable behavior (the demand for public and exclusive goods). These restrictions are necessary conditions for Pareto efficiency. We show that the parametric restrictions derived by

Chiappori (1988) for the case without public goods are nested in our conditions for the case with public goods. On the other hand, the collective setting developed here allows us to learn about the allocation of household expenditures in private goods between the two agents: the man and the woman. In particular, we can predict how the sharing of household expenditures between the man and the woman changes when the exclusive goods' prices change. This change depends on the amount of the public good. In the context of our model, we can then study the effect of any tax policy that changes the exclusive goods' prices on the distribution of household expenditures in private goods between the husband and the wife, and we can measure how the extent of this effect depends on the amount of public goods that exists in the home.

References

- [1] Bourguignon, F., Browning, M., Chiappori, P.A. and Lechene, V. (1993), "Intra Household Allocation of Consumption: A Model and some Evidence from French Data.", *Annales D'Économie et de Statistique*, N. 29, pp.137-156.
- [2] Bourguignon, F., Browning, M. and Chiappori, P.A., (1995) "The Collective Approach to Household Behaviour", *Working Paper 95-04*, Paris:DELTA.
- [3] Browning, M., Bourguignon, F., Chiappori, P.A. and Lechene, V. (1994), "Incomes and Outcomes: A Structural Model of Intrahousehold Allocation", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol 102, N. 6, pp.1067-1096
- [4] Browning, M. and Chiappori, P.A., (1998), "Efficient Intra-Household Allocations: A General Characterization and Empirical Tests", *Econometrica*, Vol 66, N. 6, pp.1241-1278
- [5] Chiappori, P.A., (1988), "Rational Household Labor Supply", *Econometrica*, Vol. 56, N.1, pp. 63-90.
- [6] Chiappori, P.A., (1992), "Collective Labor Supply and Welfare ", *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 100, N.3, pp. 437-467.
- [7] Chiappori, P.A., Fortin, B. and Lacroix, G. (1997), "Household Labor Supply, Sharing Rule and the Marriage Market", *Mimeo*.
- [8] Fortin, B. and Lacroix, G. (1997), "A Test of the Unitary and Collective Models of Household Labour Supply", *The Economic Journal*, Vol. 107, pp. 933-955.
- [9] Manser, M. and Brown, M. (1980), "Marriage and Household Decision-making: A Bargaining Analysis." *International Economic Review*, Vol 21, pp.31-44.
- [10] McElroy, M.B., (1990), "The Empirical Content of Nash-Bargained Household Behavior.", *The Journal of Human Resources*, Vol. 25, N.4, pp.561-583.

- [11] McElroy, M. and Horney, J. (1981), "Nash-Bargained Household Decisions: Toward a Generalization of the Theory of Demand." *International Economic Review*, Vol. 22, N. 2, pp. 333-349.
- [12] Pollak, R.A. and Wachter, M.L. (1975), "The Relevance of the Household Production Function and its Implications for the Allocation of Time." *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 68, N. 2, pp. 349-359.

6. Appendix 1

Let us consider Chiappori's Lemma, generalized for $m + 1$ functions.

Lemma 1. *Let $\rho, X^1, X^2, \dots, X^m$ be any $m+1$ C^∞ functions from some open, non-empty subset B of \mathbb{R}^n to \mathbb{R} , with $n \geq m$ and such that $\overline{\text{grad}X^1}, \overline{\text{grad}X^2}, \dots, \overline{\text{grad}X^m}$ are noncolinear. For a function θ from \mathbb{R}^m to \mathbb{R} , such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for all } (x_1, \dots, x_n) &\in B, \\ \rho(x_1, \dots, x_n) &= \theta [X^1(x_1, \dots, x_n), X^2(x_1, \dots, x_n), \dots, X^m(x_1, \dots, x_n)] \end{aligned}$$

to exist in a neighborhood of any point of B , it is necessary and sufficient that the vectors $\overline{\text{grad}\rho}, \overline{\text{grad}X^1}, \overline{\text{grad}X^2}, \dots, \overline{\text{grad}X^m}$ are always colinear.

Applying the above lemma to the first order conditions of the efficiency problem, we obtain the conditions for collective rationality and the expressions for the derivatives of the sharing rule. The first order conditions for the efficiency problem are:

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad &U_{q^1}^1(q^1, Z^1, Q) = p_1 U_{z^1}^1(q^1, Z^1, Q), \\ (2) \quad &U_{q^2}^2(q^2, Z^2, Q) = p_2 U_{z^2}^2(q^2, Z^2, Q), \\ (3) \quad &\frac{U_Q^1(q^1, Z^1, Q)}{U_z^1(q^1, Z^1, Q)} + \frac{U_Q^2(q^2, Z^2, Q)}{U_z^2(q^2, Z^2, Q)} = c, \\ (4) \quad &p_1 q^1 + p_2 q^2 + Z^1 + Z^2 + CQ = X. \end{aligned}$$

Consider any three functions q^1, q^2, Q . For these functions to be CR^* demand functions, it is necessary that there exist two functions Z^1 and Z^2 such that the first order conditions are satisfied.

Let (p_1, p_2, C, X) be a point in \mathbb{R}^4 such that q^1, q^2 and Q are not corner solutions and such that $q_x^1 \neq 0, q_x^2 \neq 0$ and $Q_c \neq 0$. Relation (1) can be written (and symmetrically relation (2)):

$$(U_{q_1}^1 - p_1 U_{Z_1}^1) \overline{\text{grad} q^1} + (U_{q_2}^1 - p_2 U_{Z_2}^1) \overline{\text{grad} Z^1} + (U_{q_c}^1 - U_{z_c}^1) \overline{\text{grad} Q} - U_z^1 \overline{\text{grad} p_1} = 0$$

Therefore, $\overline{\text{grad} Z^i}$, $\overline{\text{grad} q^i}$, $\overline{\text{grad} Q}$, $\overline{\text{grad} p_1}$, are colinear. The lemma applies directly here, with $n = 4$ and $X^1(\cdot) = q^1(p_1, p_2, c, X)$, $X^2(\cdot) = Q(p_1, p_2, c, X)$, $X^3(\cdot) = p_1$, $\rho(\cdot) = Z^1(p_1, p_2, c, X)$, since $q_x^1 \neq 0$, $q_x^2 \neq 0$ and $Q_c \neq 0$. Thus, locally, $Z^i = \theta(q^i, Q, p_i)$ for $i = 1, 2$.

The application of the lemma requires that $\text{rank} \left[\overline{\text{grad} q^1}, \overline{\text{grad} Q}, \overline{\text{grad} p_1} \right] = 3$. The separability assumption implies: $q_c^i = 0$, $Q_{p_i} = 0$, for $i = 1, 2$, so that the above matrix expression gives:

$$\text{rank} \begin{bmatrix} q_{p_1}^1 & 0 & 1 \\ q_{p_2}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_c & 0 \\ q_x^1 & Q_x & 0 \end{bmatrix} = 3, \iff \begin{vmatrix} q_{p_1}^1 & 0 & 1 \\ q_{p_2}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ q_x^1 & Q_x & 0 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0, \text{ or } \begin{vmatrix} q_{p_1}^1 & 0 & 1 \\ q_{p_2}^1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & Q_c & 0 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0, \text{ or } \begin{vmatrix} q_{p_1}^1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & Q_c & 0 \\ q_x^1 & Q_x & 0 \end{vmatrix} \neq 0.$$

Therefore, if we assume

$$q_x^1 \neq 0, \quad q_x^2 \neq 0, \quad Q_c \neq 0, \tag{R1}$$

the above rank condition holds. We call these conditions *regularity conditions* because these are fulfilled in most cases.

From the budget constraint (4), one obtains that

$$Z^2 + p_2 q^2 = (X - CQ) + (-p_1 q^1 - Z^1). \tag{A2}$$

Define $\varphi(q^1, Q, p^1) = -Z^1(q^1, Q, p^1) - p^1 q^1$. Note that $\varphi(q^1, Q, p^1) = -\Phi(p_1, p_2, c, X)$. Our objective is to find the derivatives of the sharing rule for private goods (Φ), so we will develop the gradient expression for φ .

Since the left hand side of (A2) only depends on Z^2 , q^2 and p_2 , the right hand side, $(X - CQ) + \varphi(q^1, Q, p^1)$, depends on these same variables, and its gradient is colinear with the gradients of q^2 , Q and p_2 . Applying the lemma to this expression, one gets:

$$\left| \overline{\text{grad}(X - CQ) + \varphi(q^1, Q, p^1)} \quad \overline{\text{grad} q^2} \quad \overline{\text{grad} Q} \quad \overline{\text{grad} p_2} \right| = 0. \text{ Note that } \overline{\text{grad} p_2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The above determinant is equal to:

$$\begin{vmatrix} \varphi_{q^1} q_{p_1}^1 + \varphi_{p_1} & q_{p_1}^2 & 0 \\ -Q + Q_c(\varphi_Q - C) & 0 & Q_c \\ 1 + \varphi_{q^1} q_x^1 + Q_x(\varphi_Q - C) & q_x^2 & Q_x \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Let $B = \frac{q_{p_1}^2}{q_x^2}$, and $D = \frac{Q_c}{Q_x}$, then, from this determinant we obtain:

$$BD \left(1 + \varphi_{q^1} q_x^1 + Q_x(\varphi_Q - C) \right) - B \left(-Q + Q_c(\varphi_Q - C) \right) - D (\varphi_{q^1} q_{p_1}^1 + \varphi_{p_1}) = 0.$$

Dividing by D , we eliminate the term $BQ_x(\varphi_Q - C)$ and get:

$$\varphi_{p_1} = B \left(\frac{D + Q}{D} \right) - \varphi_{q^1} (q_{p_1}^1 - Bq_x^1),$$

and calling $\delta = \left(\frac{D + Q}{D} \right)$, the above expression gives:

$$\boxed{\varphi_{p_1} = B\delta - \varphi_{q^1} (q_{p_1}^1 - Bq_x^1)} \quad (\text{A3})$$

Since the term φ_{q^1} is not observable, we continue developing the above expression. The right hand side $B\delta - \varphi_{q^1} (q_{p_1}^1 - Bq_x^1)$ in (A3) depends only on q^1 , Q and p_1 , that enter φ . Again, we can apply the lemma because of colinearity among gradients of this expression and of q^1 , Q and p_1 . Taking into account that the separability assumption makes $\delta_{pi} = 0$, $B_c = 0$ and $q_{p_i c}^i = q_{x c}^i = 0$, the determinant for the gradients is equal to:

$$\begin{vmatrix} B_{p_2}\delta - \varphi_{qq}q_{p_2}^1(q_{p_1}^1 - Bq_x^1) - \varphi_q(q_{p_1 p_2}^1 - B_{p_2}q_x^1 - Bq_{p_2 x}^1) & q_{p_2}^1 & 0 \\ B\delta_c - \varphi_{qQ}Q_c(q_{p_1}^1 - Bq_x^1) & 0 & Q_c \\ \delta B_x + B\delta_x - (\varphi_{qq}q_x^1 + \varphi_{qQ}Q_x)(q_{p_1}^1 - Bq_x^1) - \varphi_q(q_{p_1 x}^1 - B_xq_x^1 - Bq_{xx}^1) & q_x^1 & Q_x \end{vmatrix} = 0$$

If $A = \frac{q_{p_2}^1}{q_x^1}$, then the above determinant gives:

$$0 = AD \left(\delta B_x + B\delta_x - (\varphi_{qq}q_x^1 + \varphi_{qQ}Q_x)(q_{p_1}^1 - Bq_x^1) - \varphi_q(q_{p_1 x}^1 - B_xq_x^1 - Bq_{xx}^1) \right) - A \left(B\delta_c - \varphi_{qQ}Q_c(q_{p_1}^1 - Bq_x^1) \right) - D \left(B_{p_2}\delta - \varphi_{qq}q_{p_2}^1(q_{p_1}^1 - Bq_x^1) - \varphi_q(q_{p_1 p_2}^1 - B_{p_2}q_x^1 - Bq_{p_2 x}^1) \right)$$

Dividing by D , and since $Aq_x^1 = q_{p_2}^1$, the terms multiplying φ_{qq} are eliminated. Since $\frac{Q_c}{D} = Q_x$, the term in φ_{qQ} is also eliminated. Consider also that $q_{p_2 x}^1 - Aq_{xx}^1 = A_xq_x^1$ and $q_{p_1 p_2}^1 - Aq_{p_1 x}^1 = A_{p_1}q_x^1$. Carrying together in the left side the terms multiplied by φ_{q^1} gives:

$$\varphi_{q^1}q_x^1 [A_{p_1} - BA_x - (B_{p_2} - AB_x)] = \delta B_{p_2} - A(\delta B_x + B\delta_x) + \frac{A}{D}B\delta_c.$$

We denote $B' = B\delta$, and the above expression gives:

$$\boxed{\varphi_{q^1}q_x^1 [A_{p_1} - BA_x - (B_{p_2} - AB_x)] = B'_{p_2} - AB'_x + \frac{A}{D}B'_c} \quad (A4)$$

In (A4) the only unobservable term is φ_{q^1} , so we can obtain φ_{q^1} in terms of the demand parameters of public and exclusive goods. And replacing this expression in (A3), we also obtain an observable expression for φ_{p_1} . In order to calculate φ_{q^1} , we assume that the following regularity

condition is satisfied:

$$(A_{p_1} - BA_x) \neq (B_{p_2} - AB_x) \neq 0. \quad (\text{R2})$$

We can calculate φ_{q^1} from (A4) and get:

$$\varphi_{q^1} = \frac{-\alpha'}{q_x^1} + \frac{-\gamma}{q_x^1}, \quad (1)$$

where

$$\alpha' = \frac{\delta}{1 - \frac{A_{p_1} - BA_x}{B_{p_2} - AB_x}}, \quad \gamma = \frac{AB(\delta_x - \frac{\delta_c}{D})}{A_{p_1} - BA_x - (B_{p_2} - AB_x)}.$$

Replacing φ_{q^1} in (A3), the expression for φ_{p_1} is:

$$\varphi_{p_1} = B' + (\alpha' + \gamma) \left(\frac{q_{p_1}^1}{q_x^1} - B \right). \quad (2)$$

Summing up, we have obtained expressions (1) and (2) for φ_{q^1} and φ_{p_1} . We can obtain the empirical restrictions implied by collective rationality (CR*1) and (CR*2) from these expressions.

Firstly, since φ_{q^1} depends on q^1 , Q and p_1 , again from the lemma:

$$\begin{vmatrix} (\alpha' + \gamma) \frac{q_{p_2x}^1}{(q_x^1)^2} - \frac{1}{q_x^1} (\alpha'_{p_2} + \gamma_{p_2}) & A & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{q_x^1} (\alpha_c + \gamma_c) & 0 & D \\ (\alpha' + \gamma) \frac{q_{xx}^1}{(q_x^1)^2} - \frac{1}{q_x^1} (\alpha'_x + \gamma_x) & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

and this determinant gives:

$$A \left((\alpha' + \gamma) \frac{q_{xx}^1}{(q_x^1)^2} - \frac{1}{q_x^1} (\alpha'_x + \gamma_x) \right) + \frac{A}{D} \left(\frac{1}{q_x^1} (\alpha_c + \gamma_c) \right) - \left((\alpha' + \gamma) \frac{q_{p_2x}^1}{(q_x^1)^2} - \frac{1}{q_x^1} (\alpha'_{p_2} + \gamma_{p_2}) \right) = 0$$

Since $\frac{q_{p_2}^1}{q_x^1} - A \frac{q_{xx}^1}{q_x^1} = A_x$, we obtain the first empirical restriction:

$$\boxed{(\alpha' + \gamma)A_x + A(\alpha'_x + \gamma_x) = \frac{A}{D}(\alpha'_c + \gamma_c) + (\alpha'_{p_2} + \gamma_{p_2})} \quad (\text{CR}^* 1)$$

Secondly, for φ_{q^1} and φ_{p_1} to be compatible and for the integrability of φ , it is necessary that $\varphi_{q^1 p_1} = \varphi_{p_1 q^1}$. To compute this, consider

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(p_1, p_2, C, X) &= \varphi(q^1(p_1, p_2, X), Q(C, X), p_1) \Rightarrow \\ \varphi_{p_1 q^1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} \varphi_{p_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \varphi_{p_1} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial q^1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \varphi_{p_1} \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial q^1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial C} \varphi_{p_1} \frac{\partial C}{\partial q^1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \varphi_{p_1} \frac{\partial X}{\partial q^1} \\ \varphi_{q^1 p_1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \varphi_{q^1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \varphi_{q^1} \frac{\partial p_1}{\partial p_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial p_2} \varphi_{q^1} \frac{\partial p_2}{\partial p_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial C} \varphi_{q^1} \frac{\partial C}{\partial p_1} + \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \varphi_{q^1} \frac{\partial X}{\partial p_1} \end{aligned}$$

To calculate these derivatives we need the following Jacobian matrix $\frac{\partial(p_1, p_2, C, X)}{\partial(p_1, p_2, Q, q^1)}$. If we define the mapping $\Pi : (p_1, p_2, C, X) \rightarrow (p_1, p_2, Q, q^1)$, the Jacobian matrix of Π is:

$$J(\Pi) = \frac{\partial(p_1, p_2, Q, q^1)}{\partial(p_1, p_2, C, X)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & Q_c & Q_x \\ q_{p_1}^1 & q_{p_2}^1 & 0 & q_x^1 \end{bmatrix} \quad |J(\Pi)| = q_x^1 Q_c.$$

And the Jacobian matrix of Π^{-1} is:

$$(J(\Pi))^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{q_{p_1}^1}{q_x^1} \frac{1}{D} & \frac{q_{p_2}^1}{q_x^1} \frac{1}{D} & \frac{1}{Q_c} & -\frac{1}{q_x^1} \frac{1}{D} \\ -\frac{q_{p_1}^1}{q_x^1} & -\frac{q_{p_2}^1}{q_x^1} & 0 & \frac{1}{q_x^1} \end{bmatrix} = {}^1 J(\Pi^{-1}) \equiv \frac{\partial(p_1, p_2, C, X)}{\partial(p_1, p_2, Q, q^1)}.$$

¹By the Theorem of the Inverse Function

Then, the expression for second derivatives of φ are:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{p_1 q^1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} \varphi_{p_1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial q^1} \left((\alpha'_x + \gamma_x) \left(\frac{q_{p_1}^1}{q_x^1} - B \right) \right) = -\frac{1}{q_x^1} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial C} \left[B' + (\alpha' + \gamma) \left(\frac{q_{p_1}^1}{q_x^1} - B \right) \right] + \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q_x^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left[B' + (\alpha' + \gamma) \left(\frac{q_{p_1}^1}{q_x^1} - B \right) \right], \\ \varphi_{q^1 p_1} &= \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \varphi_{q^1} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_1} \left(\frac{-\alpha'}{q_x^1} + \frac{-\gamma}{q_x^1} \right) + \frac{q_{p_1}^1}{q_x^1} \frac{1}{D} \frac{\partial}{\partial C} \left(\frac{-\alpha'}{q_x^1} + \frac{-\gamma}{q_x^1} \right) - \frac{q_{p_1}^1}{q_x^1} \frac{\partial}{\partial X} \left(\frac{-\alpha'}{q_x^1} + \frac{-\gamma}{q_x^1} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Equating the two above expressions we obtain:

$$B'_x - (\alpha'_x + \gamma_x)B - (\alpha' + \gamma)B_x = -(\alpha'_{p_1} + \gamma_{p_1}) + \frac{B'_c}{D} - \frac{B}{D} (\alpha'_c + \gamma_c),$$

and since $\alpha' = \alpha\delta$, and $\beta' = (1 - \alpha)\delta = \beta\delta \Rightarrow \beta'_x = \delta\beta_x + \beta\delta_x$, $\beta'_c = \beta\delta_c$, $\beta'_{p_1} = \delta\beta_{p_1}$, we express the above equality as the second empirical restriction:

$$\boxed{(\beta' - \gamma)B_x + B(\beta'_x - \gamma_x) = (\beta'_{p_1} - \gamma_{p_1}) + \frac{B}{D} (\beta'_c - \gamma_c)}. \quad (\text{CR* 2})$$

7. Appendix 2

Once the compatibility condition $\varphi_{q^1 p_1} = \varphi_{p_1 q^1}$ is fulfilled, we can integrate and obtain φ , and since we have defined Φ as the sharing rule, then

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(q^1, Q, p^1) &= -Z^1(q^1, Q, p^1) - p^1 q^1, \\ \Phi &= p_1 q^1 + Z^1 = -\varphi(q^1, Q, p_1).\end{aligned}$$

In Appendix 1 we obtained the following expressions:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{q^1} &= \frac{-\alpha'}{q_x^1} + \frac{-\gamma}{q_x^1} \\ \varphi_{p_1} &= B' + (\alpha' + \gamma) \left(\frac{q_{p_1}^1}{q_x^1} - B \right).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the relationship between Φ and φ gives us the derivatives of the sharing rule with respect to prices:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{p_1} &= -(\varphi_{q^1} q_{p_1}^1 + \varphi_{p_1}) = B(-\beta\delta + \gamma), \\ \Phi_{p_2} &= -\varphi_{q^1} q_{p_2}^1 = A(\alpha\delta + \gamma).\end{aligned}$$

But we can not recover Φ_c and Φ_x

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_c &= -\varphi_Q Q_c, \\ \Phi_x &= -\varphi_{q^1} q_x^1 - \varphi_Q Q_x.\end{aligned}$$

since we do not know φ_Q .

WORKING PAPERS 2000

Business Economics Series

- 00-01 (01) Alejandro Balbás and M^a José Muñoz
“Measuring Arbitrage Profits in Imperfect Markets”
- 00-02 (02) Juan A. Lafuente
“Intraday Return and Volatility Relationships Between the Ibox 35 Stock Index and Stock Index Futures Markets”
- 00-03 (03) Ashish Arora, Andrea Fosfuri and Alfonso Gambardella
“Markets for Technology and Their Implications for Corporate Strategy”
- 00-04 (04) Jaime Bonache Pérez and José Pla Barber
“When are International Managers a Cost Effective Solution? An Exploratory Study in a Sample of Spanish MNCs”
- 00-05 (05) Juan Angel Lafuente
“Optimal Hedging Under Departures from the Cost-of-Carry Valuation: Evidence from the Spanish Stock Index Futures Markets”
- 00-23 (06) Fabrizio Cesaroni
“Technological User-Supplier Relationships in the Chemical Industry”
- 00-34 (07) Charles J. Corbett and Jose A. Alfaro
“The Value of SKU Rationalization: The Pooling Effect Under Suboptimal Inventory Policies”
- 00-35 (08) Ainhoa Zarraga
“A Test of the Mixture of Distributions Model”
- 00-41 (09) Jaime Rivera Camino
“The Green Marketing in Europe: An Exploratory Approach”
- 00-46 (10) José Alfaro and Josep A. Tribó
“Managerial Turnover and Inventory Fluctuations”
- 00-51 (11) Roberto Pascual, Alvaro Escribano and Mikel Tapia
“BLM: A bidimensional approach to measure liquidity”
- 00-52 (12) Miguel A. Martínez, Gonzalo Rubio and Mikel Tapia
“Understanding liquidity: A closer look at the limited order book”
- 00-53 (13) Alejandro Balbás and Susana López
“Envelopes for the Term Structure of Interest Rates”

Economics Series

- 00-06 (01) Alfonso Alba Ramírez and María Tugores
“Training and Transitions out of Employment in Spain”
- 00-15 (02) Alfonso Alba, Gema Álvarez and Raquel Carrasco
“The Effect of Female Participation on Fertility in Spain: How does it Change as the Birth Comes Closer?”
- 00-17 (03) Andrei M. Gomberg, Francisco Marhuenda and Ignacio Ortuño-Ortín
“Equilibrium in a Model of Endogeneous Political Party Formation”
- 00-18 (04) Francisco Marhuenda and Felipe Pérez Martí
“On Altruism, Efficiency and Public Goods”
- 00-19 (05) Francisco Marhuenda
“Behavioral Heterogeneity Revisited: Further Examples”
- 00-20 (06) Mikel Pérez-Nievas
“Interim Efficient Allocation Mechanisms”
- 00-21 (07) Mikel Pérez-Nievas
“Interim Efficient Mechanisms for Public Decision Making in a Discrete Framework”
- 00-22 (08) Antonio Nicolò and Andrés Perea
“A Non-Welfarist Solution for Two-Person Bargaining Situations”
- 00-24 (09) Iñigo Herguera, Praveen Kujal and Emmanuel Petrakis
“Trade Policies, Time Consistency, Quality Reversals and Exist in Vertically Differentiated Industries”
- 00-26 (10) Vitorocco Peragine
“Responsibility and Compensation in a Simple Experiment”
- 00-27 (11) Sebastiano Bavetta and Vitorocco Peragine
“Measuring Autonomy Freedom”
- 00-29 (12) Ricardo Mora and Georges Siotis
“External Factors in Emerging Market Recoveries: An Empirical Investigation”
- 00-31 (13) José Alcalde and Antonio Romero-Medina
“Coalition Formation and Stability”
- 00-32 (14) Vitorocco Peragine
“Opportunity Egalitarianism and Income Inequality”
- 00-33 (15) Vitorocco Peragine
“Opportunity, Responsibility and the Ranking of Income Distributions”
- 00-43 (16) Ricardo Mora and Javier Ruiz-Castillo
“Gender Segregation: From Birth to Occupation”

- 00-44 (17) J. Roemer, R. Aaberge, U. Colombino, J. Fritzell, S. Jenkins, I. Marx, M. Page, E. Pommer, J. Ruiz-Castillo, M.J. San Segundo, T. Tranaes, G. Wagner and I. Zubiri
“To What Extent Do Fiscal Regimes Equalize Opportunities for Income Acquisition Among Citizens?”
- 00-47 (18) Alvaro Escribano and Roberto Pascual
“Dynamic Asymmetries in Bid-Ask Responses to Innovations in the Trading Process”
- 00-48 (19) M^a Angeles de Frutos and C. Manzano
“Risk aversion, Transparency, and Market performance”
- 00-54 (20) José Luis Ferreira
“Strategic interaction between futures and spot markets”
- 00-55 (21) Bernarda Zamora
“Rationality in the Allocation of Private and Public Goods”

Statistics and Econometrics Series

- 00-07 (01) Andrés M. Alonso, Daniel Peña and Juan Romo
“Forecasting Time Series with Sieve Bootstrap”
- 00-08 (02) Martín González and Jesús Gonzalo
“A Threshold Unit Root Model (TUR) for Interest Rates”
- 00-09 (03) Juan J. Dolado, Jesús Gonzalo and Laura Mayoral
“Long Range Dependence in Spanish Political Opinion Poll Series”
- 00-10 (04) Rosa Elvira Lillo and Miguel Martín
“Identifiability of Differentiable Bayes Estimators of the Uniform Scale Parameter”
- 00-11 (05) Rosa Elvira Lillo
“Note on Characterization Problem of Nagaraja and Nevzorov”
- 00-12 (06) Rosa Elvira Lillo and Miguel Martín
“Characterizations Involving Conditional Expectations Based on a Functional Derivative Approach”
- 00-13 (07) Félix Belzunce, Rosa Elvira Lillo, José María Ruiz and Moshe Shaked
“Stochastic Comparisons of Nonhomogeneous Processes”
- 00-14 (08) Rosa Elvira Lillo, Asok K. Nanda and Moshe Shaked
“Preservation of Some Stochastic Orders by Order Statistics”
- 00-16 (09) Wolfgang Haerdle, Stefan Sperlich and Vladimir Spokoiny
“Structural Tests in Additive Regression”
- 00-25 (10) Adolfo Hernández and Santiago Velilla
“Dimension Reduction in Discriminant Analysis using Transformations”
- 00-28 (11) Onésimo Hernández-Lerma and Rosario Romera
“Pareto Optimality in Multiobjective Markov Control Processes”

- 00-30 (13) Daniel Peña
“An Interview to George Box”
- 00-36 (14) Javier M. Moguerza and Francisco J. Prieto
“An Augmented Lagrangian Interior-Point Method Using Directions of Negative Curvature”
- 00-37 (15) Francesc Marmol and Carlos Velasco
“Trend Stationarity Versus Long Range Dependence In Time Series Analysis”
- 00-38 (16) Felipe M. Aparicio, Alvaro Escribano and Ana García
“Synchronicity Between Macroeconomic Time Series: An Exploratory Analysis”
- 00-39 (17) Felipe M. Aparicio Acosta
“Nonparametric Testing of Common Stochastic Trends in Pairs of Univariate Time Series Using Induced-Order Statistics”
- 00-40 (18) Felipe M. Aparicio, Alvaro Escribano and Ana García
“A Robust Nonparametric Test for Dominant Low-Frequency Behavior in Time Series”
- 00-42 (19) Andrés M. Alonso, Daniel Peña and Juan Romo
“Resampling Time Series by Missing Values Techniques”
- 00-45 (20) M. Esteban-Bravo, P. Gourdel, and F. J. Prieto
“Existence and Computation of an Arrow-Debreu Equilibrium”
- 00-49 (21) Daniel Peña and Pilar Poncela
“Forecasting with Nonstationary Dynamic Factor Models”
- 00-50 (22) Daniel Peña and Julio Rodriguez
“A Descriptive Measure of Multivariate Dependency”

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO 2000

Series de Economía

- 00-01 (01) Antonio García Romero
“El efecto de la estancia postdoctoral en la productividad científica”

Series de Economía de la Empresa

- 00-08 (01) Jaime Rivera Camino
“La identidad e implementación del Marketing Medioambiental”
- 00-09 (02) Jaime Rivera Camino
“El Marketing Medioambiental en España: Un enfoque empírico exploratorio”
- 00-10 (03) Jaime Rivera Camino
“Los Sistemas de Gestión Medioambiental: Una investigación exploratoria sobre su implementación”
- 00-11 (04) Jaime Rivera Camino
“La implementación de la Orientación al Mercado: Un estudio exploratorio”
- 00-12 (05) Jaime Rivera Camino, Oscar González y Víctor Molero
“La implementación de la Orientación al Mercado: Un estudio exploratorio”

Series de Estadística y Econometría

- 00-02 (01) José Ramón Cancelo y Antoni Espasa
“Análisis cuantitativo de los precios de la vivienda: Principales resultados e implicaciones sobre el funcionamiento del mercado de la vivienda en España”
- 00-06 (02) Felipe M. Aparicio Acosta
“Universidad y sociedad en los albores del 2000”
- 00-07 (03) Nuria Hernández, Cándido Pañeda y Esther Ruiz
“Relaciones dinámicas en el mercado internacional de carne de vacuno”

Series de Historia Económica e Instituciones

- 00-03 (01) M. Mar Cebrián
“Contratos de transferencia de tecnología y crecimiento de la Economía Española: 1959-1972”
- 00-04 (02) Juan Carmona
“Información y crédito en el campo español entre 1850 y 1930. ¿Por qué los bancos rurales y las cooperativas no consiguieron extirpar la práctica de la usura?”
- 00-05 (03) Fernando Carlos Ramos Palencia
“El consumo familiar de bienes duraderos y semiduraderos en la Castilla Pre-industrial: Palencia, 1750-1850”

WORKING PAPERS 1999

Business Economics Series

- 99-01 (01) Jaime Ortega
"Power in the firm and managerial career concerns"
- 99-06 (02) Sandro Brusco
"Short-termism as optimal experimentation policy"
- 99-07 (03) A. Balbás, I.R. Longarela y J. Lucia
"How Does Financial Theory Apply to Catastrophe-Linked Derivatives?
An Empirical Test of Several Pricing Models"
- 99-17 (04) Ashish Arora, Andrea Fosfuri and Alfonso Gambardella
"Markets for technology (why do we see them, why don't we see more of them, and why we should care)"
- 99-18 (05) María José Álvarez and Jaime Rivera
"An integrated typology of green manufacturing profiles"
- 99-30 (06) Sandro Brusco and Giuseppe Lopomo
"Collusion via Signalling in Open Ascending Auctions with Multiple Objects and Complementarities"
- 99-33 (07) Margarita Samartín
"Costly Financial Crises"
- 99-35 (08) Ángel Pardo, Alejandro Balbás and Vicente Meneu
"On the effectiveness of several market integration measures. An empirical analysis"
- 99-39 (09) Ester Martínez-Ros and Josep A. Tribó
"R&D and Debt Financing"
- 99-40 (10) Carolina Manzano
"Integratin versus segmentation in a dealer market"
- 99-41 (11) Elizabeth F. Cabrera and Ángel Cabrera
"The State of Strategic Human Resource Measurement in Spanish Banks"
- 99-46 (12) Ángel Cabrera, Elizabeth F. Cabrera and Sebastian Barajas
"Organizational culture as a determinant of technology assimilation"
- 99-47 (13) Elizabeth F. Cabrera and Ángel Cabrera
"Rethinking utility analysis: A strategic focus"
- 99-61 (14) Carlos Larrinaga , Francisco Carrasco, Carmen Correa, Francisco Javier Caro and José María Páez.
"The Role of Environmental Accounting in Organizational Change: An Exploration
of Spanish Companies"
- 99-64 (15) Roberto Pascual, Álvaro Escribano and Mikel Tapia
"How Does Liquidity Behave? A Multidimensional Analysis of Nyse Stocks"
- 99-67 (16) A. Jorde Padilla and Alejandro Requejo
"Conflicts of interest, employment decisions, and debt restructuring: Evidence from Spanish firms in
financial distress"
- 99-70 (17) Maria José Álvarez-Gil, Clara Cardone-Riportella, Nora Lado-Cousté and Margarita Samartín Sáenz
"Financial Service Firm's Entry-Mode Choice, Network Linkages and Cultural Diversity: Spanish
Companies in Latin America"
- 99-81 (18) Andrea Fosfuri and Ashish Arora
"Exploring the internalization rationale for international investment: Wholly owned subsidiary versus
technology licensing in the worldwide chemical industry"

Economics Series

- 99-02 (01) Carmen Arguedas
"Environmental standards and costly monitoring"
- 99-04 (02) Maite Martínez-Granado
"Testing Labour Supply and Hours Constraints"
- 99-05 (03) Rafael Salas
"Multilevel Interterritorial Convergence and Additive Multidimensional Inequality Decomposition"
- 99-08 (04) Ricardo Mora
"Third-Generation Mexican American Workers in the South-West: A Case of Wage Discrimination?"
- 99-09 (05) Ricardo Mora
"Wage Inequality and Structural Change"
- 99-11 (06) Ezra Einy, Diego Moreno and Benyamin Shitovitz
"The bargaining set of a large economy with differential information"
- 99-13 (07) Antonio Romero-Medina and José Alcalde
"Simple mechanisms to implement the core of college admissions problems"
- 99-14 (08) Antonio Romero-Medina and José Alcalde
"Sequential decisions in the college admissions problem"
- 99-16 (09) Ezra Einy, Diego Moreno and Benyamin Shitovitz
"Fine value allocations in large exchange economies with differential information"
- 99-20 (10) Klaus Desmet
"A Perfect Foresight Model of Regional Development and Skill Specialization"
- 99-21 (11) Klaus Desmet
"A Simple Dynamic Model of Uneven Development and Overtaking"
- 99-22 (12) Oscar Bajo and Rafael Salas
"Inequality Foundations of Concentration Measures: An Application to the Hannah-Kay Indices"
- 99-25 (13) M. Angeles de Frutos and Lambros Pechlivanos
"Second-Price Common-Value Auctions under Multidimensional Uncertainty"
- 99-32 (14) Diego Moreno and John Wooders
"Prices, Delay, and the Dynamics of Trade"
- 99-34 (15) Juan Delgado and Diego Moreno
"Coalition-proof Supply Function Equilibria in Oligopoly"
- 99-36 (16) Michele Boldrin and Ana Montes
"Intergenerational Transfer Institutions: Public Education and Public Pensions"
- 99-43 (17) Andrés Perea, Mathijs Jansen and Dries Vermeulen
"Player Splitting in Extensive Form Games"
- 99-44 (18) Andrés Perea and Jeroen Swinkels
"Selling Information in Extensive Form Games"
- 99-51 (19) Albert Burgos
"Learning to deal with risk: What does reinforcement learning tell us about risk attitudes?"
- 99-55 (20) M. Boldrin, JJ. Dolado, JF. Jimeno and F. Peracchi
"The Future of Pension Systems in Europe: A Reappraisal"

- 99-56 (21) JJ. Dolado, F. Felgueroso and JF. Jimeno
"The Causes of Youth Labour Market Problems in Spain: Crowding Out, Institutions, or Technology Shifts?"
- 99-57 (22) M. Balmaseda, JJ. Dolado, and JD. López-Salido
"The Dynamic Effects of Shocks to Labour Markets: Evidence from OECD Countries"
- 99-59 (23) Victoria Osuna
"Job Flow Dynamics in Segmented Labor Markets: Evaluating the Effects of a Reduction in Firing Costs in Spain"
- 99-62 (24) Marco Celentani and José Ignacio Conde
"The political economy of international private insurance and fiscal policy"
- 99-63 (25) Thesia I. Garner, Javier Ruiz-Castillo and Mercedes Sastre
"The influence of demographics and household specific price indices on expenditure based inequality and welfare: A comparison of Spain and the United States"
- 99-66 (26) Praveen Kujal and Celia Costa Cabral
"The role of commitment and the choice of trade policy instruments"
- 99-71 (27) Berthold Herrendorf, Ákos Valentinyi and Robert Waldmann
"Ruling out Multiplicity and Indeterminacy: The Role of Heterogeneity"
- 99-76 (28) Ezra Einy, Diego Moreno and Benyamin Shitovitz
"Information Advantage in Cournot Oligopoly"
- 99-80 (29) J.J. Dolado, F. Felgueroso and J.F. Jimeno
"Youth Labour Markets in Spain: Education, Training and Crowding-Out"
- 99-82 (30) Sergi Jiménez Martín, José M. Labeaga and Maite Martínez Granada
"Health Status and Retirement Decisions for Older European Couples"
- 99-86 (31) Salvador Barberà and Andrés Perea
"Supporting Others and the Evolution of Influence"

Economic History and Institutions Series

- 99-15 (01) James Simpson
"Cooperation and cooperatives in southern European wine production: the nature of successful institutional innovation 1880-1950"
- 99-26 (02) Joan R. Rosés
"Explaining the early industrialisation: a view from Catalonia (1830-1861)"
- 99-28 (03) James Simpson
"The Agrarian Crisis in the Late Nineteenth Century Spain: a Reconsideration"

Statistics and Econometrics Series

- 99-03 (01) Stefan Profit and Stefan Sperlich
"Non-Uniformity of Job-Matching in a Transition Economy A Nonparametric Analysis for the Czech republic"
- 99-10 (02) Regina Kaiser and Agustín Maravall
"Short-Term and Long-Term Trends, Seasonal Adjustment, and the Business Cycle"
- 99-12 (03) Francesc Marmol, Alvaro Escribano and Felipe M. Aparicio
"A New Instrumental Variable Approach for Estimation and Testing in Cointegrating Regressions"
- 99-19 (04) Rosario Romera
"Distributional aspects in partial least squares regression"

- 99-23 (05) Walter Krämer and Francesc Mármol
 “Ols-Based Asymptotic Inference in Linear Regression Models With Trending Regressors and Ar(p)-Disturbances”
- 99-24 (06) Walter Krämer and Francesc Mármol
 “The Power of Residual-Based Tests for Cointegration When Residuals Are Fractionally Integrated”
- 99-27 (07) Víctor Aguirregabiria (University of Chicago) and César Alonso Borrego (Universidad Carlos III de Madrid)
 “Labour Contracts and Flexibility: Evidence from a Labor Market Reform in Spain”
- 99-29 (08) Juan J. Dolado, Jesus Gonzalo and Laura Mayoral
 “A Fractional Dickey-Fuller Test”
- 99-31 (09) Lorenzo Pascual, Juan Romo and Esther Ruiz
 “Effects of Parameter Estimation on Prediction Densities: A Bootstrap Approach”
- 99-37 (10) Miguel A. Delgado and José M. Vidal
 “On Universal Unbiasedness of Delta Estimators”
- 99-38 (11) Miguel A. Delgado and Javier Hidalgo
 “Bootstrap goodness-of-fit tests for FARIMA models”
- 99-42 (12) Antonio J. Conejo, F. Javier Nogales and Francisco J. Prieto
 “A New Decomposition Method Applied to Optimization Problems Arising in Power Systems: Local and Global Behaviour”
- 99-45 (13) Miguel A. Delgado y José M. Vidal
 “Global rates of convergence for the bias of singular integral estimators and their shifted versions”
- 99-48 (14) Jesús Gonzalo and Raquel Montesinos
 “Stochastic Threshold Unit Root Models”
- 99-49 (15) Regina Kaiser and Agustín Maravall
 “Seasonal Outliers in Time Series”
- 99-50 (16) Jesús Gonzalo and Tae-Hwy Lee
 “Permanent and Transitory Components of GDP and Stock Prices: Further Analysis”
- 99-52 (17) Politis, D.N., Romano, J.P., and Wolf, M.
 “On the Asymptotic Theory of Subsampling”
- 99-53 (18) Politis, D.N., Romano, J.P., and Wolf, M.
 “Subsampling, symmetrization, and robust interpolation”
- 99-54 (19) Miguel A. Delgado, José C. Fariñas y Sonia Ruano
 “Firm’s productivity and the export market: a nonparametric approach”
- 99-58 (20) Juan José Dolado, Jesus Gonzalo and Francesc Mármol
 “A Primer on Cointegration”
- 99-60 (21) Sonia Hernández and Victor J. Yohai
 “Locally and globally robust estimators in regression”
- 99-65 (22) Francesc Marmol
 “How Spurious Features Arise in Case of Cointegration”
- 99-68 (23) Juan José Dolado and Francesc Marmol
 “Asymptotic Inference for Nonstationary Fractionally Integrated Processes”
- 99-69 (24) Miguel Ángel Delgado and Inmaculada Fiteni
 “Bootstrap test for parameter instability”

- 99-72 (25) Santiago Velilla
"Variable Deletion, Confidence Regions and Bootstrapping in Linear Regression"
- 99-73 (26) J. A. Gil, D. Peña and J. Rodríguez
"Trends in statistical research productivity by journal publications over the period 1985-1997"
- 99-74 (27) J. A. Gil, D. Peña and J. Rodríguez
"Statistical research in Europe: 1985 - 1997"
- 99-75 (28) Daniel Peña and Javier Prieto
"The kurtosis coefficient and the linear discriminant function"
- 99-77 (29) Ana Pérez and Esther Ruiz
"Finite Sample Properties of a QML Estimator of Stochastic Volatility Models with Long Memory"
- 99-78 (30) Uwe Hassler
"Nonsense Regressions Due to Time-Varying Means"
- 99-79 (31) Uwe Hassler
"Cointegration Testing in Single Error-Correction Equations in the Presence of Linear Time Trends"
- 99-83 (32) Juan M. Rodríguez-Póo, stefan Sperlich and Ana I. Fernández
"Semiparametric Three Step Estimation Methods in Labor Supply Models"
- 99-84 (33) Ulrike Grahoff, Joachim Schwalbach and Stefan Sperlich
"Executive Pay and Corporate Financial Performance: An Explorative Data Analysis"
- 99-85 (34) Stefan Sperlich, Dag Tjostheim and Lijian Yang
"Nonparametric Estimation and Testing of Interaction in Additive Models"
- 99-87 (35) Onésimo Hernández-Lerma and Rosario Romera
"Limiting Discounted-Cost Control of Partially Observable Stochastic Systems"
- 99-88 (36) Joseph P. Roman and Michael Wolf
"Subsampling Intervals in Autoregressive Models with Linear Time Trend"
- 99-89 (37) Laura Mayoral Santamaria
"A New Minimum Distance Estimation Procedure for ARFIMA Processes"

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO 1999

Series de Economía

- 99-02 (01) José Luis Ferreira e Iñigo Herguera
"Relación entre los mercados spot y de futuros en un contexto oligopolístico"
- 99-03 (02) José Luis Ferreira e Iñigo Herguera
"Régimen institucional del mercado spot y del mercado de futuros en distintos países"
- 99-10 (03) Diego Moreno
"Competencia vía funciones de oferta en el mercado español de producción de energía eléctrica"
- 99-11 (04) Diego Moreno
"Relaciones de propiedad cruzadas en mercados oligopolísticos"
- 99-12 (05) Ramón María-Dolores
"Variaciones en el Tipo de Intervención del Banco de España: Un Enfoque Alternativo"
- 99-17 (06) J.J. Dolado, F. Felgueroso y J.F. Jimeno
"Los Problemas del Mercado de Trabajo Juvenil en España: Empleo, Formación y Salarios Mínimos"

Series de Economía de la Empresa

- 99-04 (01) María José Álvarez Gil, Jerónimo de Burgos Jiménez y José Joaquín Céspedes Lorente
“¿Hay hoteles verdes en España? Un análisis exploratorio”
- 99-05 (02) Ignacio Hernando y Josep A. Tribó
“Relación entre contratos laborales y financieros: Un estudio teórico y empírico para el caso español”
- 99-08 (03) Carlos Larrinaga
“El Estado de Valor Añadido y la Naturaleza Social de la Contabilidad”
- 99-09 (04) Carlos Larrinaga
“Perspectivas Alternativas de Investigación en Contabilidad: Una revisión”
- 99-14 (05) M^a José Álvarez Gil, Jerónimo de Burgos Jiménez y José Joaquín Céspedes Lorente
“Estrategia medioambiental, contexto organizativo y rendimiento: Los establecimientos hoteleros españoles”
- 99-16 (06) M^a José Álvarez Gil, Fernando Gutiérrez y Domi Romero
“Prioridades competitivas y sistemas de información en la empresa manufacturera española del siglo XVIII: análisis de un caso”
- 99-18 (07) Clara Cardone y Leonardo Cazorla
“Estrategias de entrada de la banca española en América Latina: Análisis teórico y Empírico”
- 99-19 (08) María Jesús Nieto y Josep A. Tribó
“Determinantes de la emisión de deuda negociable en las empresas españolas”

Series de Estadística y Econometría

- 99-15 (01) Felipe Miguel Aparicio Acosta
“La Enseñanza de Estadística en Ingeniería de Telecomunicaciones”

Series de Historia Económica e Instituciones

- 99-01 (01) Xavier Cuadras-Morató, Angel S. Fernández Castro y Joan R. Rosés
“La influencia de la productividad en la consolidación de los grupos regionales de la banca privada española, 1900-1914”
- 99-06 (02) Pedro Fraile
“El pesimismo económico en la España contemporánea”
- 99-07 (03) Pedro Fraile
“Nacionalismo y corporativismo en la Economía de la España contemporánea”
- 99-13 (04) James Simpson y Juan Carmona
“¿Son los contratos agrarios un factor determinante del crecimiento económico? El ejemplo de la aparcería en los siglos XIX-XX”