

Labor-Force Participation Rates and the Informational Value of Unemployment Rates: Evidence from Disaggregated US Data

Magnus Gustavsson[#]

Pär Österholm^{*}

[#] Department of Economics, Uppsala University, Box 513, 751 20 Uppsala, Sweden
e-mail: magnus.gustavsson@nek.uu.se Phone: +46 18 471 1103

^{*} National Institute of Economic Research, Box 3116, 103 62 Stockholm, Sweden
e-mail: par.osterholm@konj.se Phone: +46 8 453 59 72

NIER prepares analyses and forecasts of the Swedish and international economy and conducts related research. **NIER** is a government agency accountable to the Ministry of Finance and is financed largely by Swedish government funds. Like other government agencies, **NIER** has an independent status and is responsible for the assessments that it publishes.

The **Working Paper** series consists of publications of research reports and other detailed analyses. The reports may concern macroeconomic issues related to the forecasts of the institute, research in environmental economics, or problems of economic and statistical methods. Some of these reports are published in their final form in this series, whereas others are previews of articles that are subsequently published in international scholarly journals under the heading of **Reprints**. Reports in both of these series can be ordered free of charge. Most publications can also be downloaded directly from the **NIER** home page.

Abstract

The informational value of the aggregate US unemployment rate has recently been questioned because of a unit root in the labor-force participation rate; the lack of mean reversion implies that long-run changes in unemployment rates are highly unlikely to reflect long-run changes in joblessness. This paper shows that this critique also extends to unemployment rates for sub-populations, such as prime-aged males.

JEL Classification: C22, E24, J21

Keywords: Unit-root test

Contents

| | |
|-----------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction | 5 |
| 2. Empirical findings | 6 |
| 3. Concluding remarks | 9 |
| References..... | 10 |

1. Introduction

Much research has relied upon aggregate unemployment rates as a measure of labor market conditions or the state of the labor market. For example, studies of the connection between macroeconomic conditions and income inequality, and the empirical literature on the economics of crime frequently adopt this interpretation.¹ However, the informational value of unemployment rates has been seriously questioned during the last decade due to changes in labor-force participation rates. For example, due to large withdrawals of low-skilled men from the labor force in the United States, Murphy and Topel (1997, p. 295) claimed that “*the unemployment rate has become progressively less informative about the state of the labor market*”. Furthermore, Krugman (2004) argued that the decline in the US unemployment rate from its peak in mid-2003 was partially driven by lower participation rates. A recent illustration of this issue was provided on September 7, 2007 when the Bureau of Labor Statistics declared that the US unemployment rate was unchanged between July and August but that the labor force had declined by almost 600 000 individuals.

In a recent study, Gustavsson and Österholm (2006) raised further doubts about the informational value of unemployment rates by demonstrating that aggregate labor-force participation rates in the United States, Canada and Australia are unambiguously generated by unit-root processes. The unit-root finding means that it is highly uncertain what is reflected by the long-run dynamics of unemployment rates. Only when participation rates are stationary are long-run changes in employment guaranteed to carry over one-for-one to unemployment. Thus, focusing on unemployment rates alone is likely to distort inference about the state of the labor market, and the distortion could be in either direction.² Accordingly, the unit-root finding casts doubt on the conclusions of previous research that uses time series of unemployment as a measure of labor market conditions.^{3, 4}

A limitation of Gustavsson and Österholm’s (2006) study is that it only investigates the aggregate participation rate. Because women have accounted for a majority of the dynamics in the participation rate in the post-war period (Jaumotte, 2003), it could be the case that the male participation rate is mean reverting, and that male unemployment rates constitute reliable measures of males’

¹ See, for example, Blinder and Esaki (1978), Gould *et al.* (2002) and Jacobson (2004).

² To clarify, consider the unemployment rate, $UR = U/(U + E)$, where E and U are individuals recorded as employed and unemployed in the official statistics, respectively, and the participation rate $PR = (E + U)/POP$, where POP is the relevant population. A one-time permanent shift in the participation rate through a decrease in U will permanently lower the unemployment rate even though the employment rate is unchanged. A permanent shift in the participation rate through a decrease in E , on the other hand, will permanently raise the unemployment rate, but by less than if the flow is from E to U .

³ Obviously, a unit root in participation rates does not *per se* cast doubt on studies that use the unemployment rate to capture what it is likely to measure well: the share of the labor force that fulfill the criteria of not having a job, being available for work and actively looking for work. Such information is expected to be highly relevant in many applications, for instance in studies on nominal wage and inflation pressure in a Phillips curve setting; see, for example, Cahuc and Zylberberg (2004).

⁴ The problems associated with a unit root in participation rates are especially severe for empirical studies of unemployment hysteresis. As highlighted by Gustavsson and Österholm (2007), part of this literature tends to use unemployment rates as a direct measure of employment. Such a one-to-one relationship between unemployment and employment is extremely unlikely to be true with a unit root in the participation rate.

labor market situation. This also appears to be a common belief, as many studies that use unemployment as a measure of labor market conditions choose to focus on male, or prime-aged male, unemployment; see, for example, Jäntti (1994), Parker and Preston (2005) and Autor *et al.* (2008). As an illustrative example, consider Fairlie and Sundstrom (1999, p. 252); in their study of racial differences in unemployment in the United States between 1880 and 1990, they focus on male unemployment rates “because dramatic changes in the labor-force participation rates of women, as well as racial differences in participation, pose serious questions about the reliability of unemployment rate trends and comparisons for women.”⁵

In this paper we show that resorting to unemployment rates for sub-populations does not overcome the problems of non-stationary participation rates in the US economy. Employing three different unit-root tests, we find unequivocal evidence for the presence of a unit root in a large set of disaggregated participation rates by combinations of gender, race and age – even when allowing for a deterministic trend. The traditional assumption that only female labor-force participation is a “complicated” variable is accordingly overly simplistic and may induce false conclusions.

2. Empirical findings

Monthly data on labor-force participation rates were provided by the Bureau of Labor Statistics. The following series were analyzed: the aggregate participation rate; the male and female participation rates; the participation rates for whites, white men and white women; the participation rates for blacks, black men and black women; the participation rates for young (aged 16 to 24), prime aged (25 to 54) and middle aged (55 to 64); the participation rate for young, prime-aged and middle-aged men; and, finally, the participation rate for young, prime-aged and middle-aged women. The span of the data is January 1948 to August 2007 for all series, except when the population has been divided by race; for white and black subpopulations the samples are January 1954 to August 2007 and January 1972 to August 2007, respectively.

We initially employ three different univariate unit-root tests which are popular in the literature and have well-established empirical properties: the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test (Said and Dickey, 1984), the Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test with GLS detrending (Elliot *et al.*, 1996) and the KPSS test (Kwiatkowski *et al.*, 1992).⁶ As is well known, the first two tests have a unit root under the null whereas the KPSS test has stationarity under the null hypothesis. This “reversed burden of proof” of the KPSS test could be useful since the power of the ADF and ADF-GLS tests is not very high when the data-generating process has a root that is very close to – but less than – unity.

⁵ Note, however, that Fairlie and Sundstrom (1999) also employ an alternative measure of joblessness.

⁶ Lag length in the ADF test and ADF test with GLS detrending (ADF-GLS) is determined using the Hannan and Quinn (1979) information criterion.

Employing these three tests, we test for mean reversion to a constant level; results for all tests shown in Table 1. As can be seen, the evidence for non-stationarity is overwhelming. All three tests agree that all investigated series are generated by unit-root processes. In other words, the evidence against stationary labor-force participation rates is abundant.⁷

Table 1. Results from unit-root tests on participation rates.

| Participation rate | ADF | ADF-GLS | KPSS | Sample |
|--------------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Overall | -1.459 | 1.284 | 3.120** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Men | -0.162 | 1.583 | 3.117** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Women | -1.760 | 1.243 | 3.186** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| White | -2.170 | 1.055 | 2.950** | 1954M01-2007M08 |
| White men | -0.549 | 2.194 | 2.735** | 1954M01-2007M08 |
| White women | -2.526 | 1.602 | 2.965** | 1954M01-2007M08 |
| Black | -1.667 | 0.258 | 2.062** | 1972M01-2007M08 |
| Black men | -0.985 | -0.989 | 2.133** | 1972M01-2007M08 |
| Black women | -1.961 | 0.986 | 2.424** | 1972M01-2007M08 |
| 16-24 | -1.198 | -0.826 | 2.156** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| 25-54 | -1.687 | 1.855 | 2.139** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| 55-64 | -0.932 | -0.167 | 0.477* | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Men 16-24 | -0.779 | -1.107 | 2.643** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Men 25-54 | 0.576 | 1.976 | 3.078** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Men 55-64 | -1.298 | 1.283 | 3.001** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Women 16-24 | -1.324 | 0.291 | 2.831** | 1948M01-2007M08 |

Note: ADF is the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test of Said and Dickey (1984). ADF-GLS is the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test with GLS detrending of Elliot et al. (1996). KPSS is the KPSS test of Kwiatkowski et al. (1992). Entries in the table are test statistics. ** indicates significance at the 1% level; * indicates significance at the 5% level

We are aware that the absence of mean reversion could owe to a deterministic trend in the data rather than a stochastic trend, especially for women where there has been a marked upward trend in labor-force participation rates since the 1960s (OECD, 1994). Thus, we test for reversion around a linear trend before concluding that the absence of mean reversion identified in Table 1 is due to a

⁷ It can be noted that we have also conducted all of the analysis in the paper on the logistic transformation of all participation rates. This transformation is given by $\tilde{p}_t = \ln(p_t/(1-p_t))$, where p_t is a participation rate. This sensitivity analysis is motivated by the econometric criticism that a variable that is bounded between zero and one – such as the participation rate – strictly speaking cannot be a linear unit-root process with an additive error term fulfilling standard assumptions; see, for example, Nicolau (2002). The transformed variable \tilde{p}_t , on the other hand, is unbounded above and below and one therefore does not have to say that the unit-root assumption relies on approximations. Employing this approach for bounded data was originally suggested by Wallis (1987). Results from using the logistic transformation of the participation rates – not reported but available upon request – are quantitatively very similar and qualitatively identical to when we use the untransformed participation rates.

unit root. Specifically, we apply the ADF, ADF-GLS and KPSS tests to our eighteen labor-force participation rates, allowing for a deterministic trend unique to each series. The results are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. Results from unit-root tests on participation rates allowing for a linear trend.

| Participation rate | ADF | ADF-GLS | KPSS | Sample |
|--------------------|--------|---------|---------|-----------------|
| Overall | 0.0051 | -0.484 | 0.411** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Men | -2.065 | -1.382 | 0.844** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Women | 0.774 | -0.194 | 0.452** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| White | 0.695 | -0.042 | 0.486** | 1954M01-2007M08 |
| White men | -1.665 | -1.554 | 0.851** | 1954M01-2007M08 |
| White women | 1.563 | 0.379 | 0.543** | 1954M01-2007M08 |
| Black | -1.027 | -0.858 | 0.455** | 1972M01-2007M08 |
| Black men | -2.165 | -1.788 | 0.456** | 1972M01-2007M08 |
| Black women | -0.790 | -0.782 | 0.412** | 1972M01-2007M08 |
| 16-24 | -0.590 | -0.918 | 0.649** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| 25-54 | 0.427 | -0.233 | 0.421** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| 55-64 | -0.879 | -0.954 | 0.445** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Men 16-24 | -1.439 | -1.540 | 0.771** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Men 25-54 | -3.170 | -0.790 | 0.347** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Men 55-64 | 0.165 | -0.400 | 0.481** | 1948M01-2007M08 |
| Women 16-24 | 0.612 | 0.195 | 0.587** | 1948M01-2007M08 |

Note: ADF is the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test of Said and Dickey (1984). ADF-GLS is the Augmented Dickey-Fuller test with GLS detrending of Elliot et al. (1996). KPSS is the KPSS test of Kwiatkowski et al. (1992). Entries in the table are test statistics. ** indicates significance at the 1% level; * indicates significance at the 5% level

Allowing for a deterministic linear trend does not weaken the evidence for non-stationarity. In fact, the tests unanimously conclude that the participation rates of all subpopulations are generated by unit-root processes, even in the presence of a linear trend. Whether the data are generated by a unit-root process or a trend-stationary process has similar dire consequences for the informational value of unemployment rates though; in either case, unemployment rates alone are unlikely to be informative about the state of the labor market.⁸ But the lack of evidence even for trend-stationarity has further important implications for the literature. In particular, simple linear detrending of the participation rates considered in this note is incorrect.⁹

⁸ This is of course true also for some other types of non-stationarities, such as level breaks.

⁹ See, for example, Chan *et al.* (1977) for a technical discussion regarding this issue.

3. Concluding remarks

This paper presents strong evidence against mean reversion in disaggregated participation rates of subpopulations of the US labor force. The major implication is that resorting to unemployment rates for subpopulations does not overcome the informational problems of a non-stationary aggregate participation rate. This result should be of particular concern among researchers who rely upon unemployment rates for subpopulations, such as prime-aged men, under the assumption that their participation rate is “well behaved”.

It is also worth noting that our finding of a unit root in US male participation rates fits well into the microdata analysis of Juhn *et al.* (1991, 2002) which identifies permanent withdrawals from the labor market as the most important factor behind the secular increase in male nonparticipation since the late 1970s.¹⁰ Combined with the findings of Juhn *et al.* (1991, 2002) and Benati (2001) that shifts in labor force participation occur for market-driven reasons, our results are also consistent with the hypothesis that there are important, *permanent*, discouraged-worker effects among males in the US economy – a topic that deserves more attention in future research.

Based on previous research and the results in this paper, we recommend that unemployment rates be combined with other labor market statistics before conclusions are drawn about labor market conditions. At the very least, the robustness of empirical results to other measures of labor market conditions should be investigated. Examples of alternative measures are the employment rate or, ideally, some measure that in addition to the officially unemployed includes groups that are out of the labor force but who are suspected of being discouraged workers.

¹⁰ Juhn *et al.* (1991, p. 77) even state that from the late 1970s through the 1980s “*permanent withdrawals from the labor market account for all of the secular increase in nonparticipation*”.

References

- Autor, D. H., Katz, L. F. and Kearny, M. S. (2008), “Trends in U.S. Wage Inequality: Revising the Revisionists”, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 90, 300–323.
- Benati, L. (2001), “Some Empirical Evidence on the ‘Discouraged Worker’ Effect”, *Economics Letters* 70, 387–395.
- Blinder, A. and Esaki, H. (1978), “Macroeconomic Activity and Income Distribution in the Postwar United States”, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 60, 604–609.
- Cahuc, P. and Zylberberg, A. (2004), *Labor Economics*, MIT Press, Cambridge.
- Chan, K. H., Hayya, J. C. and Ord, J. K. (1977), “A Note on Trend Removal Methods: The Case of Polynomial Regressions versus Variate Differencing”, *Econometrica* 45, 737–744.
- Elliott, G., Rothenberg, T. J. and Stock, J. H. (1996), “Efficient Tests for an Autoregressive Unit Root.” *Econometrica* 64, 813–836.
- Fairlie, R. W. and Sundstrom, W. A. (1999), “The Emergence, Persistence, and Recent Widening of the Racial Unemployment Gap”, *Industrial and Labor Relations Review* 52, 252–270.
- Gould, E. D., Weinberg, B. A. and Mustard D. B. (2002), “Crime Rates and Local Labor Market Opportunities in the United States: 1979-1997”, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 84, 45–61.
- Gustavsson, M. and Österholm, P. (2006), “The Informational Value of Unemployment Statistics: A Note on the Time Series Properties of Participation Rates”, *Economics Letters* 92, 428–433.
- Gustavsson, M. and Österholm, P. (2007), “Does Unemployment Hysteresis Equal Employment Hysteresis?”, *Economic Record* 83, 159–173.
- Hannan, E. J. and Quinn, B. G. (1979), “The Determination of the Order of an Autoregression”, *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society* 41, 190–195.
- Jacobson, M. (2004), “Baby Booms and Drug Busts: Trends in Youth Drug Use in the United States, 1975-2000”, *Quarterly Journal of Economics* 119, 1481–1512.
- Jäntti, M. (1994), “A More Efficient Estimate of the Effects of Macroeconomic Activity on the Distribution of Income”, *Review of Economics and Statistics* 76, 372–378.
- Jaumotte, F. (2003), “Labor Force Participation of Women: Empirical Evidence on the Role of Policy and Other Determinants in OECD Countries”, OECD Economic Studies, No. 37, 2003/2.
- Juhn, C., Murphy, K. M., Topel, R. H. (1991), “Why Has the Natural Rate of Unemployment Increased over Time?”, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 1991:1, 75–126.
- Juhn, C., Murphy, K. M., Topel, R. H. (2002), “Current Unemployment, Historically Contemplated”, *Brookings Papers on Economic Activity* 2002:1, 79–116.
- Krugman, P. R. (2004), “Checking the Facts, in Advance”, New York Times. October 12.
- Kwiatkowski, D., Phillips, P. C. B., Schmidt, P. and Shin, Y. (1992), “Testing the Null Hypothesis of Stationarity Against the Alternative of a Unit Root: How Sure are We That Economic Time Series Have a Unit Root?”, *Journal of Econometrics* 54, 159–178.

- Murphy, K. M. and Topel, R. (1997), “Unemployment and Nonemployment”, *American Economic Review* 87, 295–300.
- Nicolau, J. (2002), “Stationary Processes that Look like Random Walks – The Bounded Random Walk Process in Discrete and Continuous Time”, *Econometric Theory* 18, 99-118.
- OECD (1994), *Employment Outlook*, OECD, Paris.
- Parker, J. A. and Preston, B. (2005), “Precautionary Saving and Consumption Fluctuations”, *American Economic Review* 95, 1119–1143.
- Said, S. E. and Dickey, D. A. (1984), “Testing for Unit Roots in Autoregressive Moving Average Models of Unknown Order”, *Biometrika* 71, 599–607.
- Wallis, K. (1987), “Time Series Analysis of Bounded Economic Variables”, *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 8, 115-123.

Titles in the Working Paper Series

| No | Author | Title | Year |
|----|--|--|------|
| 1 | Warne, Anders and Anders Vredin | Current Account and Business Cycles: Stylized Facts for Sweden | 1989 |
| 2 | Östblom, Göran | Change in Technical Structure of the Swedish Economy | 1989 |
| 3 | Söderling, Paul | Mamtax. A Dynamic CGE Model for Tax Reform Simulations | 1989 |
| 4 | Kanis, Alfred and Aleksander Markowski | The Supply Side of the Econometric Model of the NIER | 1990 |
| 5 | Berg, Lennart | The Financial Sector in the SNEPQ Model | 1991 |
| 6 | Ågren, Anders and Bo Jonsson | Consumer Attitudes, Buying Intentions and Consumption Expenditures. An Analysis of the Swedish Household Survey Data | 1991 |
| 7 | Berg, Lennart and Reinhold Bergström | A Quarterly Consumption Function for Sweden 1979-1989 | 1991 |
| 8 | Öller, Lars-Erik | Good Business Cycle Forecasts- A Must for Stabilization Policies | 1992 |
| 9 | Jonsson, Bo and Anders Ågren | Forecasting Car Expenditures Using Household Survey Data | 1992 |
| 10 | Löfgren, Karl-Gustaf, Bo Ranneby and Sara Sjöstedt | Forecasting the Business Cycle Not Using Minimum Autocorrelation Factors | 1992 |
| 11 | Gerlach, Stefan | Current Quarter Forecasts of Swedish GNP Using Monthly Variables | 1992 |
| 12 | Bergström, Reinhold | The Relationship Between Manufacturing Production and Different Business Survey Series in Sweden | 1992 |
| 13 | Edlund, Per-Olov and Sune Karlsson | Forecasting the Swedish Unemployment Rate: VAR vs. Transfer Function Modelling | 1992 |
| 14 | Rahiala, Markku and Timo Teräsvirta | Business Survey Data in Forecasting the Output of Swedish and Finnish Metal and Engineering Industries: A Kalman Filter Approach | 1992 |
| 15 | Christofferson, Anders, Roland Roberts and Ulla Eriksson | The Relationship Between Manufacturing and Various BTS Series in Sweden Illuminated by Frequency and Complex Demodulate Methods | 1992 |
| 16 | Jonsson, Bo | Sample Based Proportions as Values on an Independent Variable in a Regression Model | 1992 |
| 17 | Öller, Lars-Erik | Eliciting Turning Point Warnings from Business Surveys | 1992 |
| 18 | Forster, Margaret M | Volatility, Trading Mechanisms and International Cross-Listing | 1992 |
| 19 | Jonsson, Bo | Prediction with a Linear Regression Model and Errors in a Regressor | 1992 |
| 20 | Gorton, Gary and Richard Rosen | Corporate Control, Portfolio Choice, and the Decline of Banking | 1993 |
| 21 | Gustafsson, Claes-Håkan and Åke Holmén | The Index of Industrial Production – A Formal Description of the Process Behind it | 1993 |

| | | | |
|-----|---|--|------|
| 22 | Karlsson, Tohmas | A General Equilibrium Analysis of the Swedish Tax Reforms 1989-1991 | 1993 |
| 23 | Jonsson, Bo | Forecasting Car Expenditures Using Household Survey Data- A Comparison of Different Predictors | 1993 |
| 24 | Gennotte, Gerard and Hayne Leland | Low Margins, Derivative Securites and Volatility | 1993 |
| 25 | Boot, Arnoud W.A. and Stuart I. Greenbaum | Discretion in the Regulation of U.S. Banking | 1993 |
| 26 | Spiegel, Matthew and Deane J. Seppi | Does Round-the-Clock Trading Result in Pareto Improvements? | 1993 |
| 27 | Seppi, Deane J. | How Important are Block Trades in the Price Discovery Process? | 1993 |
| 28 | Glosten, Lawrence R. | Equilibrium in an Electronic Open Limit Order Book | 1993 |
| 29 | Boot, Arnoud W.A., Stuart I Greenbaum and Anjan V. Thakor | Reputation and Discretion in Financial Contracting | 1993 |
| 30a | Bergström, Reinhold | The Full Tricotomous Scale Compared with Net Balances in Qualitative Business Survey Data – Experiences from the Swedish Business Tendency Surveys | 1993 |
| 30b | Bergström, Reinhold | Quantitative Production Series Compared with Qualitative Business Survey Series for Five Sectors of the Swedish Manufacturing Industry | 1993 |
| 31 | Lin, Chien-Fu Jeff and Timo Teräsvirta | Testing the Constancy of Regression Parameters Against Continous Change | 1993 |
| 32 | Markowski, Aleksander and Parameswar Nandakumar | A Long-Run Equilibrium Model for Sweden. The Theory Behind the Long-Run Solution to the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1993 |
| 33 | Markowski, Aleksander and Tony Persson | Capital Rental Cost and the Adjustment for the Effects of the Investment Fund System in the Econometric Model Kosmos | 1993 |
| 34 | Kanis, Alfred and Bharat Barot | On Determinants of Private Consumption in Sweden | 1993 |
| 35 | Kääntä, Pekka and Christer Tallbom | Using Business Survey Data for Forecasting Swedish Quantitative Business Cycle Variable. A Kalman Filter Approach | 1993 |
| 36 | Ohlsson, Henry and Anders Vredin | Political Cycles and Cyclical Policies. A New Test Approach Using Fiscal Forecasts | 1993 |
| 37 | Markowski, Aleksander and Lars Ernsäter | The Supply Side in the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1994 |
| 38 | Gustafsson, Claes-Håkan | On the Consistency of Data on Production, Deliveries, and Inventories in the Swedish Manufacturing Industry | 1994 |
| 39 | Rahiala, Markku and Tapani Kovalainen | Modelling Wages Subject to Both Contracted Increments and Drift by Means of a Simultaneous-Equations Model with Non-Standard Error Structure | 1994 |
| 40 | Öller, Lars-Erik and Christer Tallbom | Hybrid Indicators for the Swedish Economy Based on Noisy Statistical Data and the Business Tendency Survey | 1994 |

| | | | |
|-----|--|---|------|
| 41 | Östblom, Göran | A Converging Triangularization Algorithm and the Intertemporal Similarity of Production Structures | 1994 |
| 42a | Markowski, Aleksander | Labour Supply, Hours Worked and Unemployment in the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1994 |
| 42b | Markowski, Aleksander | Wage Rate Determination in the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1994 |
| 43 | Ahlroth, Sofia, Anders Björklund and Anders Forslund | The Output of the Swedish Education Sector | 1994 |
| 44a | Markowski, Aleksander | Private Consumption Expenditure in the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1994 |
| 44b | Markowski, Aleksander | The Input-Output Core: Determination of Inventory Investment and Other Business Output in the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1994 |
| 45 | Bergström, Reinhold | The Accuracy of the Swedish National Budget Forecasts 1955-92 | 1995 |
| 46 | Sjöö, Boo | Dynamic Adjustment and Long-Run Economic Stability | 1995 |
| 47a | Markowski, Aleksander | Determination of the Effective Exchange Rate in the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1995 |
| 47b | Markowski, Aleksander | Interest Rate Determination in the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1995 |
| 48 | Barot, Bharat | Estimating the Effects of Wealth, Interest Rates and Unemployment on Private Consumption in Sweden | 1995 |
| 49 | Lundvik, Petter | Generational Accounting in a Small Open Economy | 1996 |
| 50 | Eriksson, Kimmo, Johan Karlander and Lars-Erik Öller | Hierarchical Assignments: Stability and Fairness | 1996 |
| 51 | Url, Thomas | Internationalists, Regionalists, or Eurocentrists | 1996 |
| 52 | Ruist, Erik | Temporal Aggregation of an Econometric Equation | 1996 |
| 53 | Markowski, Aleksander | The Financial Block in the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1996 |
| 54 | Östblom, Göran | Emissions to the Air and the Allocation of GDP: Medium Term Projections for Sweden. In Conflict with the Goals of SO ₂ , SO ₂ and NOX Emissions for Year 2000 | 1996 |
| 55 | Koskinen, Lasse, Aleksander Markowski, Parameswar Nandakumar and Lars-Erik Öller | Three Seminar Papers on Output Gap | 1997 |
| 56 | Oke, Timothy and Lars-Erik Öller | Testing for Short Memory in a VARMA Process | 1997 |
| 57 | Johansson, Anders and Karl-Markus Modén | Investment Plan Revisions and Share Price Volatility | 1997 |
| 58 | Lyhagen, Johan | The Effect of Precautionary Saving on Consumption in Sweden | 1998 |
| 59 | Koskinen, Lasse and Lars-Erik Öller | A Hidden Markov Model as a Dynamic Bayesian Classifier, with an Application to Forecasting Busi- | 1998 |

| | | | |
|----|--|---|------|
| | | ness-Cycle Turning Points | |
| 60 | Kragh, Börje and Aleksander Markowski | Kofi – a Macromodel of the Swedish Financial Markets | 1998 |
| 61 | Gajda, Jan B. and Aleksander Markowski | Model Evaluation Using Stochastic Simulations: The Case of the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1998 |
| 62 | Johansson, Kerstin | Exports in the Econometric Model KOSMOS | 1998 |
| 63 | Johansson, Kerstin | Permanent Shocks and Spillovers: A Sectoral Approach Using a Structural VAR | 1998 |
| 64 | Öller, Lars-Erik and Bharat Barot | Comparing the Accuracy of European GDP Forecasts | 1999 |
| 65 | Huhtala, Anni and Eva Samakovlis | Does International Harmonization of Environmental Policy Instruments Make Economic Sense? The Case of Paper Recycling in Europe | 1999 |
| 66 | Nilsson, Charlotte | A Unilateral Versus a Multilateral Carbon Dioxide Tax - A Numerical Analysis With The European Model GEM-E3 | 1999 |
| 67 | Braconier, Henrik and Steinar Holden | The Public Budget Balance – Fiscal Indicators and Cyclical Sensitivity in the Nordic Countries | 1999 |
| 68 | Nilsson, Kristian | Alternative Measures of the Swedish Real Exchange Rate | 1999 |
| 69 | Östblom, Göran | An Environmental Medium Term Economic Model – EMEC | 1999 |
| 70 | Johansson, Helena and Peter Kaplan | An Econometric Study of Private Consumption Expenditure in Sweden | 1999 |
| 71 | Arai, Mahmood and Fredrik Heyman | Permanent and Temporary Labour: Job and Worker Flows in Sweden 1989-1998 | 2000 |
| 72 | Öller, Lars-Erik and Bharat Barot | The Accuracy of European Growth and Inflation Forecasts | 2000 |
| 73 | Ahlroth, Sofia | Correcting Net Domestic Product for Sulphur Dioxide and Nitrogen Oxide Emissions: Implementation of a Theoretical Model in Practice | 2000 |
| 74 | Andersson, Michael K. And Mikael P. Gredenhoff | Improving Fractional Integration Tests with Bootstrap Distribution | 2000 |
| 75 | Nilsson, Charlotte and Anni Huhtala | Is CO ₂ Trading Always Beneficial? A CGE-Model Analysis on Secondary Environmental Benefits | 2000 |
| 76 | Skånberg, Kristian | Constructing a Partially Environmentally Adjusted Net Domestic Product for Sweden 1993 and 1997 | 2001 |
| 77 | Huhtala, Anni, Annie Toppinen and Mattias Boman, | An Environmental Accountant's Dilemma: Are Stumpage Prices Reliable Indicators of Resource Scarcity? | 2001 |
| 78 | Nilsson, Kristian | Do Fundamentals Explain the Behavior of the Real Effective Exchange Rate? | 2002 |
| 79 | Bharat, Barot | Growth and Business Cycles for the Swedish Economy | 2002 |
| 80 | Bharat, Barot | House Prices and Housing Investment in Sweden and the United Kingdom. Econometric Analysis for the Period 1970-1998 | 2002 |
| 81 | Hjelm, Göran | Simultaneous Determination of NAIRU, Output Gaps and Structural Budget Balances: Swedish Evi- | 2003 |

| | | | |
|-----|--|---|------|
| | | dence | |
| 82 | Huhtala, Anni and Eva Samalkovis | Green Accounting, Air Pollution and Health | 2003 |
| 83 | Lindström, Tomas | The Role of High-Tech Capital Formation for Swedish Productivity Growth | 2003 |
| 84 | Hansson, Jesper, Per Jansson and Märten Löf | Business survey data: do they help in forecasting the macro economy? | 2003 |
| 85 | Boman, Mattias, Anni Huhtala, Charlotte Nilsson, Sofia Ahlroth, Göran Bostedt, Leif Mattson and Peichen Gong | Applying the Contingent Valuation Method in Resource Accounting: A Bold Proposal | |
| 86 | Gren, Ing-Marie | Monetary Green Accounting and Ecosystem Services | 2003 |
| 87 | Samakovlis, Eva, Anni Huhtala, Tom Bellander and Magnus Svarthengren | Air Quality and Morbidity: Concentration-response Relationships for Sweden | 2004 |
| 88 | Alsterlind, Jan, Alek Markowski and Kristian Nilsson | Modelling the Foreign Sector in a Macroeconometric Model of Sweden | 2004 |
| 89 | Lindén, Johan | The Labor Market in KIMOD | 2004 |
| 90 | Braconier, Henrik and Tomas Forsfält | A New Method for Constructing a Cyclically Adjusted Budget Balance: the Case of Sweden | 2004 |
| 91 | Hansen, Sten and Tomas Lindström | Is Rising Returns to Scale a Figment of Poor Data? | 2004 |
| 92 | Hjelm, Göran | When Are Fiscal Contractions Successful? Lessons for Countries Within and Outside the EMU | 2004 |
| 93 | Östblom, Göran and Samakovlis, Eva | Costs of Climate Policy when Pollution Affects Health and Labour Productivity. A General Equilibrium Analysis Applied to Sweden | 2004 |
| 94 | Forslund Johanna, Eva Samakovlis and Maria Vredin Johansson | Matters Risk? The Allocation of Government Subsidies for Remediation of Contaminated Sites under the Local Investment Programme | 2006 |
| 95 | Erlandsson Mattias and Alek Markowski | The Effective Exchange Rate Index KIX - Theory and Practice | 2006 |
| 96 | Östblom Göran and Charlotte Berg | The EMEC model: Version 2.0 | 2006 |
| 97 | Hammar, Henrik, Tommy Lundgren and Magnus Sjöström | The significance of transport costs in the Swedish forest industry | 2006 |
| 98 | Barot, Bharat | Empirical Studies in Consumption, House Prices and the Accuracy of European Growth and Inflation Forecasts | 2006 |
| 99 | Hjelm, Göran | Kan arbetsmarknadens parter minska jämviktsarbetslösheten? Teori och modellsimuleringar | 2006 |
| 100 | Bergvall, Anders, Tomas Forsfält, Göran | KIMOD 1.0 Documentation of NIER's Dynamic Macroeconomic General Equilibrium Model of the | 2007 |

| | | | |
|-----|--|--|------|
| | Hjelm, Jonny Nilsson and Juhana Vartiainen | Swedish Economy | |
| 101 | Östblom, Göran | Nitrogen and Sulphur Outcomes of a Carbon Emissions Target Excluding Traded Allowances - An Input-Output Analysis of the Swedish Case | 2007 |
| 102 | Hammar, Henrik and Åsa Löfgren | Explaining adoption of end of pipe solutions and clean technologies – Determinants of firms' investments for reducing emissions to air in four sectors in Sweden | 2007 |
| 103 | Östblom, Göran and Henrik Hammar | Outcomes of a Swedish Kilometre Tax. An Analysis of Economic Effects and Effects on NOx Emissions | 2007 |
| 104 | Forsfält, Tomas, Johnny Nilsson and Juhana Vartiainen | Modellansatser i Konjunkturinstitutets medelfristprognoser | 208 |
| 105 | Samakovlis, Eva | How are Green National Accounts Produced in Practice? | 2008 |
| 107 | Forslund, Johanna, Per Johansson, Eva Samakovlis and Maria Vredin Johansson | Can we by time? Evaluation. Evaluation of the government's directed grant to remediation in Sweden | 2009 |
| 108 | Forslund, Johanna Eva Samakovlis, Maria Vredin Johansson and Lars Barregård | Does Remediation Save Lives? On the Cost of Cleaning Up Arsenic-Contaminated Sites in Sweden | 2009 |
| 109 | Sjöström, Magnus and Göran Östblom | Future Waste Scenarios for Sweden on the Basis of a CGE-model | 2009 |
| 110 | Österholm, Pär | The Effect on the Swedish Real Economy of the Financial Crisis | 2009 |
| 111 | Forsfält, Tomas | KIMOD 2.0 Documentation of changes in the model from January 2007 to January 2009 | 2009 |
| 112 | Österholm, Pär | Improving Unemployment Rate Forecasts Using Survey Data | 2009 |
| 113 | Österholm, Pär | Unemployment and Labour-Force Participation in Sweden | 2009 |
| 114 | Jonsson, Thomas and Pär Österholm | The Properties of Survey-Based Inflation Expectations in Sweden | 2009 |
| 115 | Hjelm, Göran and Kristian Jönsson | In Search of a Method for Measuring the Output Gap of the Swedish Economy | 2010 |
| 116 | Vartiainen, Juhana | Interpreting Wage Bargaining Norms | 2010 |
| 117 | Mossfeldt, Marcus and Pär Österholm | The Persistent Labour-Market Effects of the Financial Crisis | 2010 |
| 118 | Östblom, Göran, Maria Ljunggren Söderman and Magnus Sjöström | Analysing future solid waste generation – Soft linking a model of waste management with a CGE-model for Sweden | 2010 |
| 119 | Broberg, Thomas, Per-Olov Marklund , Eva Samakovlisa and Henrik Hammar | Does environmental leadership pay off for Swedish industry? - Analyzing the effects of environmental investments on efficiency | 2010 |

