Willingness to Pay for Emission Reductions with E85

By

Kimberly Jensen, Adrienne Marra, Christopher Clark and Burton English*

*Professor, Graduate Research Assistant, Associate Professor, and Professor, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, Contact information: Dr. Kim Jensen, 302 Morgan Hall, 2621 Morgan Circle, The University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN 37996, Ph: 865-974-7481, email: kjensen@utk.edu

Poster prepared for presentation at the Agricultural & Applied Economics Association 2010 AAEA, CAES, & WAEA Joint Annual Meeting, Denver, Colorado, July 25-27, 2010

Copyright 2010 by [authors]. All rights reserved. Readers may make verbatim copies of this document for non-commercial purposes by any means, provided that this copyright notice appears on all such copies.

Willingness to Pay for Emission Reductions with E8 Kimberly Jensen, Adrienne Marra, Christopher Clark and Burton English

Results

	Estimated Random Parameters Logit for Emissions Reduction			
			Robust	
Variable	Definition	Coeff.	<u>S.E.</u>	<u></u>
Fuel Price	Cents per mile (6.2, 6.6, 7.0, 7.7, 8.0)		0.0646	-18.62***
Means				
Imported	10%, 33%, 50%, and 67% imported fuel	-0.0228	0.0022	-10.40***
Emissions Reduction	Emission reduction compared with regular gas (0%, 10%, 50%, and 73% reductions compared with E0)	0.0114	0.0045	2.54**
	0, 2, or 5 minutes out of way	-0.2084		
E85	1 if E85, 0 if regular gasoline	3.2361	0.5237	6.18***
Interactions with Emissions	Reductions:			
Age	Age of respondent in years	-0.0001	0.0001	-1.73**
Female				
Income < \$25K	1 if income less than \$25K, otherwise	-0.0016	0.0029	-0.56
\$25K <income<\$50k< td=""><td>1 if income is \$25K to \$50K, otherwise</td><td>-0.0007</td><td>0.0024</td><td>-0.31</td></income<\$50k<>	1 if income is \$25K to \$50K, otherwise	-0.0007	0.0024	-0.31
College	1 if some college or college graduate, 0 otherwise	0.0006	0.0024	0.25
Metro	1 if reside in a metropolitan area, 0 otherwise			
Hispanic	1 if Hispanic, 0 otherwise	-0.0007	0.0039	-0.18
Other Race	1 if race other than White, Black, or Hispanic, 0 otherwise			-0.50
Black	1 if Black, 0 otherwise	-0.0015	0.0043	-0.34
West				
South	1 if reside in South, 0 otherwise	0.0006	0.0030	0.20
Midwest	1 if reside in Midwest, 0 otherwise			0.46
Climate Change	1 if agree that climate change will lead to environmental & health problems, 0 otherwise	0.0072	0.0022	3.28***
Food vs. Fuel	1 if agree that farmland should be used for food & not fuel, 0 otherwise			
Drill	1 if agree that more U.S. lands should be opened up for drilling, 0 otherwise	-0.0035	0.0022	-1.56*
Flex Fuel	1 if own or likely to own a FlexFuel vehicle, 0 otherwise	0.0059	0.0034	
SUV	1 if current primary vehicle is an SUV, 0 otherwise	-0.0041	0.0025	-1.65**
NACO	1 if reside in an EPA non-attainment county, 0 otherwise	0.0021	0.0023	
Standard Dev.				
Import		0.0398	0.0027	14.54***
EmissRed		0.0141	0.0014	9.99***
Inconvenience		0.2286		
E85		5.1610	0.5578	9.25***
LLR Test		761.87**		



°Profile 1: Age 65, Male, Income<\$25K, Not college educated, in a non-metro area, Other Race, Northeast, Do not agree that climate will impact the environment, Believe farm uld be used for food and not fuel, Believe more lands should opened up for drilling, Do not own or likely to own FlexFuel vehicle, Primary vehicle is an SUV, Not in a non

Profile 2: Age 25, Female, Income>\$50K, College educated, Metro area, White, MW, Agree that climate change will impact the environment, Believe farmland should be used for food and not fuel, Do not believe more lands should opened up for drilling. Own or likely to own FlexFuel vehicle, Primary vehicle is not an SUV, Non-attainment area.

Conclusions

Although the emissions reduction attribute is statistically significant in the model, the estimate of mean WTP of .08¢/mile for a 1% reduction in emissions is not significantly different from zero. However, the interaction variables suggest that WTP is higher for those who are female, concerned about climate change, and own a Flex Fuel vehicle, but is lower for those who are older, own an SUV, support additional oil drilling, and oppose the use of farmland for fuel production. These influences can be illustrated with example profiles. Thus, respondents who are younger, female, concerned about climate change, oppose additional oil drilling but not the use of farmland for fuel production, own or are likely to purchase a FlexFuel vehicle, and do not drive an SUV are willing to pay as much as 0.19¢/mile or, for a 20 MPG vehicle, about 3.18 e/gallon for a 1% decrease in emissions from regular gasoline.

BEAG | Bio-Based Energy Analysis G

Background

• Passage of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007 required that the annual consumption of renewable fuels increase from around 5 billion gallons in 2006 to 36 billion gallons in 2022. 21 of the 36 billion gallons must be cellulosic ethanol or other "advanced biofuels".

Cellulosic ethanol appears to have environmental benefits over ethanol produced from corn grain, including substantially lower greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions per BTU of energy, compared with both gasoline and corn grain ethanol (Wang, 2008).

The purpose of this study is to examine consumers' willingness to pay (WTP) for reductions in GHG emissions through the purchase of E85 for their vehicles.

Research Methods

The Choice Experiment

- · Conducted through national online survey of members of
- Knowledge Networks' KnowledgePanel®
- Survey fielded in January, 2009 to panel members who were car owners and age 18 or older.

• Respondents participated in a contingent choice exercise in which they were asked to choose between regular gasoline and three varieties of E85 (85% ethanol and 15% gasoline) that differed in terms of price, level of emission reductions, availability nearby, and percent imported. Each respondent was asked to complete 14



Economic Modelina



attitudes is used (Lavin and Hanemann, 2008) • Given this model, WTP for emissions reduction can be expressed as: $WTP_{Ei} = (\Theta_{Ei} + \gamma_1 E_i D_{1i} + \gamma_2 E_i D_{2i} + \dots + \varphi_1 E_i A_{1i} + \varphi_2 E_i A_{1i} \dots) / \Theta_p, \text{ where}$ $\Theta_{\rm E}$ is the random parameter on emissions for the *i*th individual, **E** is the emissions reduction variable, \mathbf{y} are the parameters on the interactions between emissions reduction and demographics, D_{ii} , and ϕ are the parameters on the interactions between emissions reduction and attitudes, A_{μ} and Θ_{μ} is the parameter on price. The WTP can also be calculated at the parameter and sample means.

Random Parameters Logit incorporating both demographics and

Department of Agricultural & Resource Economics University of Tennessee, Knoxville









exercises, resulting in a total of 34,980 observations in the model.