# **Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Venice**

## **WORKING PAPER SERIES**



# Marco Corazza, Andrea Ellero and Alberto Zorzi

# What Sequences obey Benford's Law ?

Working Paper n. 185/2008 November 2008

ISSN: 1828-6887

This Working Paper is published under the auspices of the Department of Applied Mathematics of the Ca' Foscari University of Venice. Opinions expressed herein are those of the authors and not those of the Department. The Working Paper series is designed to divulge preliminary or incomplete work, circulated to favour discussion and comments. Citation of this paper should consider its provisional nature.

### What Sequences obey Benford's Law?

Marco Corazza <corazza@unive.it> ANDREA ELLERO <ellero@unive.it>

Alberto Zorzi <albzorzi@unive.it>

Dipartimento di Matematica Applicata Università Ca' Foscari di Venezia

**Abstract.** We propose a new necessary and sufficient condition to test whether a sequence is Benford (base-b) or not and apply this characterization to some kinds of sequences (re)obtaining some well known results, as the fact that the sequence of powers of 2 is Benford (base-10).

Keywords: Benford's law, equidistributed sequences, ergodic endomorphisms

JEL Classification Numbers: C02, C83

MathSci Classification Numbers: 28D99, 60F99

#### Correspondence to:

Marco Corazza	Dept. of Applied Mathematics, University of Venice
	Dorsoduro 3825/e
	30123 Venezia, Italy
Phone:	[++39] (041)-234-6921
Fax:	[++39] (041)-522-1756
E-mail:	corazza@unive.it

#### 1 The importance of being one

If we consider the most significant digit of the powers of two,  $2^1, 2^2, 2^3...2^n$  it turns out that the frequencies are not the same for all the figures: for example, among the first 1000 powers of two the ones which start with digit 1 appear more often (30.1 %), the powers which have 2 as first digit follow (17.6 %), then the ones with 3 (12.5 %), and so on the frequencies decrease until 4.5 % for digit 9. In fact, it is possible to prove (see Section 3) that the probability for a generic term of the sequence to display d as the most significant digit is

$$\log_{10}\left(1+\frac{1}{d}\right),\,$$

it means that the sequence of powers of 2 obeys Benford's Law [5].

In general a sequence of real numbers represented in base b is said to be *Benford* (base-b) if the probability to observe digit d as the first digit of a term of the sequence is

$$\log_b\left(1+\frac{1}{d}\right),$$

for each integer d such that  $1 \le d < b$  [8]. For an overview of Benford's Law and a discussion of its possible applications see e.g. [5].<sup>1</sup>

The main result we propose in this paper is a new necessary and sufficient condition to test whether a sequence is Benford (base-b) or not: we then apply this characterization to some kinds of sequences (re)obtaining, for example, that the sequence of powers of 2 is Benford (base-10) but not Benford (base-4).

We also show how the proposed characterization is related in a natural way to Birkhoff's ergodic theorem.

### 2 Benford (base-b) sequences: a necessary and sufficient condition

We first show how to define the most significant digit of a number by means of elementary functions. Given a real number x, we use the floor and ceiling functions defined, respectively, by  $\lfloor x \rfloor = \max\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \leq x\}$  and  $\lceil x \rceil = \min\{n \in \mathbb{Z} : n \geq x\}$ . this way the fractional part of x is  $x \mod 1 = x - \lfloor x \rfloor$ .

**Lemma 1** If x is a positive real number, then its first digit in base b is  $|b^{(\log_b x) \mod 1}|$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\lfloor \log_b x \rfloor \leq \log_b x < \lfloor \log_b x \rfloor + 1$  we have  $b^{\lfloor \log_b x \rfloor} \leq x < b^{\lfloor \log_b x \rfloor + 1}$  and  $1 \leq \frac{x}{b^{\lfloor \log_b x \rfloor}} < b$ . Therefore  $\lfloor \frac{x}{b^{\lfloor \log_b x \rfloor}} \rfloor$  is the most significant digit of x. To complete the proof it is sufficient to observe that  $x = b^{\log_b x} = b^{\lfloor \log_b x \rfloor} b^{(\log_b x) \mod 1}$ , i.e., each real number is the sum of its integer and fractional parts; this way  $\frac{x}{b^{\lfloor \log_b x \rfloor}} = b^{(\log_b x) \mod 1}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>As observed in [8] (Remark 9.2.5), the definition of Benford (base-b) sequence we use here is less restrictive than the definition of *b-Benford* sequence given for example in [1, 7].

The next result provides a possible way to count the number of terms of a sequence which display a first significant digit which is lower than d: it will be used later on to prove a general criterion to test whether a sequence is Benford (base-b) or not.

**Lemma 2** If  $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$  are positive real numbers and if  $1 \le d < b$ , then

$$\sharp\{x_k: 1 \le k \le n, \text{ first digit of } x_k \le d\} = \sum_{k=1}^n \left\lceil \frac{1}{b^{(\log_b x_k) \mod 1}} - \frac{1}{d+1} \right\rceil \ .$$

*Proof.* According to Lemma 1, the first digit of  $x_k$  is  $\leq d$  if and only if  $b^{(\log_b x_k) \mod 1} < d+1$ , that is, if and only if  $(\log_b x_k) \mod 1 < \log_b (d+1)$ . To complete the proof we just need to prove that the function

$$f_{d+1}(y) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{b^y} - \frac{1}{d+1} \right\rceil$$

can be rewritten as

$$f_{d+1}(y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in [0, \log_b(d+1)) \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in [log_b(d+1), 1] \end{cases}.$$

In fact, function  $g(y) = \frac{1}{b^y} - \frac{1}{d+1}$  is strictly decreasing on [0, 1] since  $g'(y) = -\frac{1}{b^y} \log b < 0$ . Moreover  $0 < g(0) = \frac{d}{d+1} < 1$ ,  $-1 < g(1) = \frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{d+1} \le 0$  and  $g(\log_b(d+1)) = 0$ .

Now it is possible to prove the following theorem, which characterizes a Benford (base-b) sequence.

**Theorem 1** The sequence  $x_k$  of positive real numbers is Benford (base-b) if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{b^{(\log_b x_k) \mod 1}} - \frac{1}{d+1} \right] = \log_b(d+1)$$

for each integer d such that  $1 \leq d < b$ .

*Proof.* Consider a generic term  $x_k$  of the sequence. Using Lemma 2, we have that the probability that the first digit of  $x_k$  is not greater than d is given by

$$Prob(\text{ first digit of } x_k \text{ is } \leq d) = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \left[ \frac{1}{b^{(\log_b x_k) \mod 1}} - \frac{1}{d+1} \right] .$$

Observe now that the definition of Benford (base-b) sequence given in Section 1, since

*Prob*(first digit of 
$$x_k \le d$$
) =  $\sum_{i=1}^d Prob$ (first digit of  $x_k = i$ )

and

Prob( first digit of  $x_k = i) = Prob($  first digit of  $x_k \le i) - Prob($  first digit of  $x_k \le i - 1)$ ,

allows to claim that  $x_k$  is b-Benford if and only if

$$Prob($$
 first digit of  $x_k \leq d) = \log_b(d+1)$ 

for each integer d such that  $1 \leq d < b$ . This completes the proof.

The theorem above, suggests a way to verify whether a sequence is Benford or not, computing a rather complicated limit, it seems to be a difficult task. The following Lemma suggests, as a way to deal with the limit, to compute a suitably defined integral: we will show how to use this idea in the next Section.

**Lemma 3** Let be d an integer such that  $1 \leq d < b$  and  $f_{d+1}(y) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{b^y} - \frac{1}{d+1} \right\rceil$ . If the sequence  $y_k$  is contained in the interval [0, 1] and if

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{d+1}(y_k) = \int_0^1 f_{d+1}(y) dy$$

then

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{b^{y_k}} - \frac{1}{d+1} \right] = \log_b(d+1) .$$

*Proof.* It is sufficient to observe that

$$f_{d+1}(y) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{b^y} - \frac{1}{d+1} \right\rceil = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } y \in [0, \log_b(d+1)) \\ 0 & \text{if } y \in [\log_b(d+1), 1] \end{cases}$$

thus  $\int_0^1 f_{d+1}(y) dy = \log_b(d+1)$ .

#### **3** Some Benford (base-b) sequences

As a possible application of Theorem 1, we give a new kind of proof of a well known theorem, due to Diaconis [3]: a sequence of positive real numbers  $x_k$  is Benford (base-b) if the sequence of their logarithms  $\log_b x_k$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1. We recall that a sequence  $y_k$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1, or equidistributed in [0, 1], if

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{\sharp \{y_k : 1 \le k \le n, a \le y_k \le b\}}{n} = b - a$$

for each interval  $[a, b] \subseteq [0, 1]$ . Another, and equivalent way to define an equidistributed sequence is the following (see for example [9, Problem 162]): the sequence  $y_k$  is equidistributed if and only if

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(y_k) = \int_0^1 f(y) dy$$
 (1)

for every function f which is Riemann integrable on [0, 1]. It is now an easy matter to prove the following well known result, stating that the exponentials of equidistributed sequences are Benford.

 $\diamond$ 

 $\diamond$ 

**Theorem 2** ([3, 8]) A sequence  $x_k$  of positive real numbers is Benford (base-b) if the sequence  $y_k = \log_b x_k$  is uniformly distributed modulo 1.

*Proof.* For a fixed integer d consider function  $f_{d+1}(y) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{b^y} - \frac{1}{d+1} \right\rceil$ , which is Riemann integrable on [0, 1], and the sequence  $y_k$ . Applying (1) we obtain

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{d+1}(y_k) = \int_0^1 f_{d+1}(y) dy \quad .$$

By Lemma 3 we have therefore

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ \frac{1}{b^{y_k}} - \frac{1}{d+1} \right] = \log_b(d+1) .$$

 $\diamond$ 

Thus the sequence  $x_k$  is Benford (base-b) due to Theorem 1.

The previous theorem allows to easily use known results on equidistributed sequences to state that related geometric sequences are Benford (base-b).

For example, the sequence  $y_k = (k\alpha) \mod 1$  is equidistributed if  $\alpha$  is an irrational number, as independently proved by Bohl, Sierpinski and Weyl (see e.g. [8], Theorem 12.3.2); hence, the sequence  $x_k = r^k$  is Benford (base-b) if  $\log_b r$  is irrational ([8], Theorem 9.2.6). Incidentally, this property allows to prove the claim made at the beginning of Section 1: the sequence  $x_k = 2^k$  is Benford (base-10), since  $\log_{10} 2$  is irrational. The same sequence is clearly not Benford (base-4), instead, as one can easily observe <sup>2</sup>.

A more general context where Lemma 3 applies concerns ergodic theory. Consider an ergodic endomorphism T defined on a probability space with domain [0, 1], and function  $f_{d+1}$  defined as in Lemma 3. Birkhoff's ergodic theorem (see e.g. [2], Appendix 3) claims that for almost every  $y_1 \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\lim_{n \to +\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f_{d+1}(T^k(y_1)) = \int_0^1 f_{d+1}(y) dy$$

while Lemma 3 tells us that

$$\int_0^1 f_{d+1}(y) dy = \log_b(d+1) \; .$$

Thus, by Theorem 1, we obtain that a sequence  $x_k$  of positive real is Benford (base-b) if  $y_k = \log_b x_k$  is generated via an ergodic endomorphism. More precisely,  $x_k$  is Benford (base-b) as soon as there exists an ergodic endomorphism T such that  $T^k y_1 = y_k \forall k$  and the equality of Birkhoff's ergodic theorem holds for the initial term  $y_1$  and for each integer d such that  $1 \leq d < b$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>The sequence  $x_k = 2^k$  written using base 4 reads

 $<sup>1, 2, 10, 20, 100, 200, \</sup>dots$ 

#### References

- A. Berger, L.A. Bunimovich, T.P. Hill (2005), "One-dimensional dynamical systems and Benford's law", *Transactions of the American Math. Soc.*, 357 (1), 197–219.
- [2] I.P. Cornfeld, S.V. Fomin and Ya.G. Sinai (1982), Ergodic Theory, Springer Verlag, New York.
- [3] P. Diaconis (1977), The Annals of Probability, 5 (1), 72–81.
- [4] G.H. Hardy, E.M. Wright (1979), An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers, fifth ed., Oxford Univ. Press, New York.
- [5] T.P. Hill (1995), "The Significant-Digit Phenomenon", The Amer. Mathematical Monthly, 102 (4), 322–327.
- [6] T.P. Hill (1995), "A Statistical Derivation of the Significant-Digit Law", Statistical Sc., 10 (4), 354–363.
- [7] A.V. Kontorovich, S.J. Miller (2005), "Benford's law, values of L-finctions and the 3x+1 problem", Acta Arithmetica, 120, 269–297.
- [8] S.J. Miller, R. Takloo-Bighash (2006), An Invitation to Modern Number Theory, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton and Oxford.
- [9] G. Polya, G. Szegö, (1972), Problems and Theorems in Analysis vol. I, Springer-Verlag, Heidelberg.