# SEDAP

# A PROGRAM FOR RESEARCH ON

# SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC DIMENSIONS OF AN AGING POPULATION

A Life-course Perspective on the Relationship between Socio-economic Status and Health: Testing the Divergence Hypothesis

Steven G. Prus

**SEDAP Research Paper No. 91** 

For further information about SEDAP and other papers in this series, see our web site: http://socserv2.mcmaster.ca/sedap

> Requests for further information may be addressed to: Secretary, SEDAP Research Program Kenneth Taylor Hall, Room 426 McMaster University Hamilton, Ontario, Canada L8S 4M4 FAX: 905 521 8232 e-mail: qsep@mcmaster.ca

# A Life-course Perspective on the Relationship between Socio-economic Status and Health: Testing the Divergence Hypothesis

Steven G. Prus

**SEDAP Research Paper No. 91** 

February 2003

The Program for Research on Social and Economic Dimensions of an Aging Population (SEDAP) is an interdisciplinary research program centred at McMaster University with participants at the University of British Columbia, Queen's University, Univérsité de Montréal, and the University of Toronto. It has support from the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada under the Major Collaborative Research Initiatives Program, and further support from Statistics Canada, the Canadian Institute for Health Information, and participating universities. The SEDAP Research Paper series provides a vehicle for distributing the results of studies undertaken by those associated with the program. Authors take full responsibility for all expressions of opinion.

#### A Life-course Perspective on the Relationship between Socio-economic Status and Health: Testing the Divergence Hypothesis

(Condensed Title: SES, Health, and the Life-course)

Steven G. Prus, Ph.D. Assistant Professor Department of Sociology and Anthropology, Carleton University 1125 Colonel By Drive, Ottawa, ON K1S 5B6 fax: (613) 520-4062 telephone: 520-2600, ext. 3760 e-mail: sprus@ccs.carleton.ca

Acknowledgments: This research was supported by the SEDAP (Social and Economic Dimensions of an Aging Population) Research Program, which is funded by the Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada (SSHRC) through Grant No. 412-98-0008, but which receives additional support from Statistics Canada and the Canadian Institute for Health Information.

#### Abstract

While adults from all socio-economic status (SES) levels generally encounter a decline in health as they grow older, research shows that health status is tied to SES at all stages of life. The dynamics of the relationship between SES and health over the life course of adult Canadians, however, remain largely unexplored. This paper tests the divergence hypothesis, which postulates that the SES-based gap in health widens with age, using a representative sample of Canadians aged 25 to 79 from the 1994/1995 National Population Health Survey. Multiple linear regression analyses show support for this assumption; that is, the relationship between SES (measured by years of education and annual household income) and health (measured by self-rated and functional health indexes) strengthens with age. The results of this study provide insight and answers about healthy aging among Canadians.

#### Keywords

Health; Socio-economic Status; Life Course; Healthy Aging

#### Introduction: The Socio-economic Stratification of Health

Despite universal access to essential health care in Canada, research shows that socio-economic status (SES) is closely linked to health status among both younger and older adults (e.g., Cairney, 2000; Cairney & Arnold, 1996; Cairney & Arnold, 1998; Hay, 1988; Hirdes & Forbes, 1989; Hirdes et al., 1986; Mustard et al., 1997; Wilkins et al., 1991; Wolfson et al., 1993). Canadians with higher SES, especially well-educated and higher-income persons, have lower rates of morbidity and mortality than those with lower status. Socio-economic inequalities in health largely reflect differential social circumstances that are divided along class lines. Adulthood experiences, exposures, and conditions that directly and indirectly influence health differences between SES groups are mainly related to material, lifestyle, and psychosocial factors, and the interplay between them.

Material factors are the direct effects of SES on health. Education (which is a primary indicator of SES), for example, is tied to life-course experiences related to labour market position and participation, including earnings profile and job security and conditions, which influence health status (Roberge et al., 1995). Specifically, those with higher education tend to have higher occupational status and earnings and, thus, adequate financial resources to support the purchase of good housing, nutrition, private health care (e.g., prescription drug care, dental care, home care), and so on. They are also more likely to have financial security and better employment options and safer working conditions, all of which are directly tied to better health.

SES also influences health indirectly. It is well-documented that health-related lifestyle preferences and behaviours (e.g., cigarette smoking, excessive alcohol and

refined-food consumption, leisure-time exercise, self-care health behaviour) are strong determinants of health. While lifestyle behaviours are partly the result of an individual's free-choice decisions (i.e., life choices), they are also constrained by structural environments, such as the class structure, in which one lives (i.e., life circumstances) (Segall & Chappell, 2000). Position in the socio-economic structure therefore affects lifestyle, which in turn affects health. Empirical evidence shows significant differences in the prevalence of health-related behavioural risk factors between socio-economic groups: excessive alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, sedentary living, and obesity, which are closely linked to many chronic illnesses, functional limitations, and disabilities, are higher among persons with lower SES (e.g., Gilmore, 1999; Millar, 1996; Millar & Stephens, 1993; Villeneuve et al., 1994).

The relationship between SES and lifestyle reflects the advantage of higher SES example, purchasing nutritional persons in. for foods and exercise equipment/memberships, accessing and utilizing preventative health-care services (e.g., medical check-ups, therapeutic care), acquiring and interpreting health-education information (which leads to more informed decisions about personal health and healthcare services, including the ability to accurately interpret health-related symptoms), and exposure to social and physical environments that support healthy lifestyle (Crompton & Kemeny, 1999; Millar, 1996; Millar & Stephens, 1993).

Nonetheless, even after controlling for lifestyle as well as other sociodemographic factors (e.g., gender, age, marital status), research shows that SES continues to have a significant influence on health (e.g., Cairney & Arnold, 1996; Cairney & Arnold, 1998; Denton & Walters, 1999). It is therefore argued that the relationship between SES and health maybe further explained through other factors, namely psychosocial ones (Segall & Chappell, 2000).

Research shows that psychosocial and psychological factors, such as self-esteem, personal sense of control, self-mastery, coping skills, chronic stress, stressful life events, and social support, influence health status (e.g., Kivimaki et al., 2000; McDonough, 2000; McDonough & Walters, 2001; Pearlin, 1989; Pearlin et al., 1981; Wheaton, 1994). These factors also vary by SES (Stronks et al., 1998). Lower SES persons tend to have more exposure to chronic stressors in various domains of life (e.g., personal problems, financial problems, relationship problems, environmental problems, job-related problems), and, on the other hand, tend to be more vulnerable to these stressors because of poorer coping skills and less social support (i.e., lower SES persons are less likely to have someone to provide moral support, health-promoting knowledge, and help in avoiding or reducing stress). This, in turn, results in poorer health status for lower SES individuals.

# A Theoretical Perspective on SES, Health, and the Life Course: The Divergence Hypothesis

While health status is related to SES, adults from all socio-economic levels generally encounter a decline in health with age, as they begin to experience minor ailments in the earlier part of the later life course and more severe health problems in the later part. However, less is known about the particular interaction between age, SES, and health. The SES gap in health with age can take, theoretically, various paths; it can: converge (i.e., age acts as leveler whereby health differences between SES groups are diminished), stay the same (i.e., health inequalities by SES persist with age), diverge (i.e., a double jeopardy whereby the aging process amplifies SES differences in health), or

some combination of the above patterns (e.g., diverge then converge or vice-versa).

The divergence theory (also called the cumulative advantage/disadvantage hypothesis or the "Matthew" effect) has attracted considerable attention in the literature on age, SES, and health. It predicts that socioeconomic-based inequalities increase over the life course as individuals endure the cumulative effects of earlier-life behaviours and economic and psychosocial experiences on their health (House, et al., 1990; House, et al., 1994; Mustard et al., 1997; Ross & Wu, 1996). For instance, the cumulative effects of healthier living/lifestyle over the life course of higher SES persons help postpone or compress morbidity and disability into a very short period of their last years of life. By contrast, individuals with early-life SES disadvantages tend to have less healthy lifestyles. In turn, they often become less and less fit and more and more overweight with age, increasing the risk of muscle/joint, respiratory, heart, and other chronic conditions, resulting in an ever-increasing sedentary lifestyle and poorer and poorer health (Ross & Wu, 1996). The more risky lifestyles of low SES groups therefore begin to have an impact on their health in middle life but have their largest impact in later life, as longterm exposure to these factors eventually produces morbidity and disability at an age (i.e., old age) when people are generally more susceptible to disease and illness.

In sum, differences in social resources between SES groups produce relatively few inequalities in health in earlier parts of the life course since younger persons tend to be in good health. However, as health advantages and disadvantages associated with material, lifestyle, and psychosocial resources cumulate with age (i.e., the health status of individuals with early SES advantages generally deteriorates more slowly relative to their counterparts), SES-based inequalities in health widen. Further, the divergence theory maintains that social policies and programs, such as Medicare and Social Security, are largely ineffective at countering the health advantages associated with higher SES persons during later life (Ross & Wu, 1996). It is argued that a convergence in the health gap between SES groups eventually does occur, but not until near or at the end of the human life span as higher SES persons ultimately become vulnerable to disease and illness due to universal biological forces and as lifestyle changes (e.g., greater likelihood of quitting smoking and drinking) among lower SES elderly persons reduce their relative risk of morbidity and disability (House et al., 1994).

#### **Research Question**

The empirical relationship between age, SES, and health among adult Canadians remains largely unexplored. Based on a sample of the Manitoba population, Mustard et al. (1997) found that SES differences in health diverge over the middle and early-late adult life course. This paper contributes to the literature on the sociology of health by asking if older Canadians are a more heterogeneous group in terms of health status compared to younger Canadians; specifically, does the SES-based gap in health increase with age (as predicted by the divergence model) for the adult Canadian population.

#### Methods

*Data* This study is based on cross-sectional data from the public-use version of the 1994/1995 National Population Health Survey (NPHS), which covers a representative sample of private Canadian households (excluding those on Reserves and Canadian Forces Bases and in some remote areas in Quebec and Ontario). In each household, some limited information was collected from all household members and one person, aged 12 years and over, was randomly selected for a more in-depth interview. These in-depth interviews were obtained from 17,626 individuals, for a response rate of about 90%. The findings presented in this paper are based on these data, but are weighted to take into consideration the sampling design of the NPHS (i.e., the sample weights were re-scaled so that the average weight is equal to one; that is, to sum to the sample size).

This study is restricted to those aged 25-79 who did not attend school in the 12 months prior to the interview, producing a sample of 13,531 persons. Individuals who are at least 25 years of age were selected since the vast majority of them have completed their formal education, which is a primary indicator of SES in this study. The age variable in most public-use datafiles, such as the NPHS, is top-coded at around 80 years of age to guard against disclosure. Given the wide-range of age values in the top-coded 80+ category in the NPHS, it is excluded from this analysis. This study, therefore, focuses on the adult life course from ages 25 to 79.

The 1994/5 NPHS is one of the best available datasets for this particular study because it provides detailed descriptions of health status and educational attainment. While these data are appropriate for this study, long-term longitudinal data (longitudinal data have recently been created by Statistics Canada but have not matured to the point where they are suitable for this study) are usually more appropriate for testing models of age, SES, and health for various reasons. First, lifetime health data are essential for testing hypotheses about an individual's health over time (e.g., the health status of persons with early-life SES advantages generally deteriorates more slowly relative to individuals with early-life SES disadvantages). However, this is not the explicit intent of this paper; rather, it is to examine the dynamics of the relationship between SES and health over the life course of adult Canadians (i.e., to examine if the SES-based gap in health diverges with age). Second, it is difficult to establish causal order without longitudinal data; that is, to what degree are SES inequalities in health the result of social causation (SES affects health) vis-à-vis social selection (health affects status attainment). Longitudinal studies on social status and health in Canada, however, support the social causation claim (e.g., Hirdes & Forbes, 1989; Wolfson et al., 1993). This position is also assumed by the divergence theory and by this study. Third, when studying aging-related issues, a combination of three effects can confound findings: 1) aging effects (i.e., changes due to aging); 2) cohort effects (i.e., differences between young, middle, and old age cohorts due to their unique social and economic experiences); and 3) period effects (e.g., changes in society, culture, or economy that influence all ages). While it is more difficult in cross-sectional studies to isolate the effects of cohort and age as opposed to longitudinal studies, the SES standardization approach discussed below and employed here helps to reduce the influence of cohort effects. Further, the confounding effects of age and period are more difficult to disentangle in longitudinal studies. Despite these methodological issues, the findings produced here using 1994/5 NPHS cross-sectional

data are interpreted with confidence, and provide a primary step toward understanding the relationship between SES and health over the life course of adult Canadians.

*Measurement* Age is a categorical variable that is divided into 5-year intervals and recoded here into years of age by taking the mid-point of each category (e.g., 25-29=27; 30-34=32; ....70-74=72; 75-79=77). Both years of formal education and household annual income are used as a measure of SES. Given the life-course focus of this study, education is a particularly good measure of SES because it is generally fixed after early adulthood (i.e., education provides a good measure of lifetime SES) and usually occurs prior to change in health (Ross & Wu, 1996).

Highest level of education obtained is a categorical variable in the NPHS and recoded here by assigning a value indicating total years of schooling to each category as follows: doctorate, masters, or medical degree (20); bachelors degree (16); community college diploma or some university (15); trade/technical/vocational college diploma or some community college (14); some trade/technical/vocational (or other) (13.5); high school graduate (12); some high school (10); elementary or some elementary school (6); and no schooling (0). The analysis in this study is based on a standardized version of number of years of education. Specifically, education is collapsed into age-specific quintiles to reduce the impact of cohort effects (e.g., young adults are better educated than old adults). Each education quintile represents 20% of the cases for a given age. For those aged 25-29, for example, respondents are rank-ordered by years of education and then divided into five equal groups, where the first quintile is made-up of 25-29 year-old respondents with the lowest 20% of years of education, the second quintile comprises 25-29 year-olds with the next lowest 20% of educational attainment, and so on. This

procedure is replicated for each age group; hence, every respondent in the sample is assigned to one of five education quintiles based on his/her educational ranking within a particular age group.

Household annual income is also a categorical variable that is divided into income intervals, but recoded here into dollars by taking the mid-point of each category (e.g., no income=\$0; \$1-\$4,999=\$2,500; \$5,000-\$9,999=\$7,500; \$10,000-\$14,999=\$12,500 ....). Various other adjustments were made to the income data. First, these data have been capped at \$80,000+ to guard against disclosure. Hence, 1995 income data from the Census, which are less restricted, were used here to calculate the median household income of those in this category. This value (\$106,800) was then used to represent the annual household income of those in the \$80,000+ category. Second, adjustments to household income were made for the number of persons in the household. This was accomplished by dividing household income by the square root (to reflect the "economies of scale" in different sized households) of household size. Third, the standardization process discussed above was repeated for income (i.e., income was collapsed into age-specific quintiles to reduce the impact of cohort effects).

A multifaceted approach is also used to provide a robust measure of overall/global health status. Health status is measured on both subjective and objective levels. In the NPHS, subjective health status is assessed through the question "In general, how would you say your health is?" and has a five-point scale: poor (0), fair (1), good (2), very good (3), and excellent (4). Self-rated health, when measured on such a Likert-type scale, is typically treated as a continuous variable; this is also the case in this study. Objective health status is based on a respondent's answers to questions about functional health.

Specifically, the Health Utility Index (HUI) is used, which provides a composite measure of functional ability in terms of vision, hearing, speech, mobility, dexterity, cognition, emotion, and pain/discomfort. HUI scores range from 0 to 1 (perfect functional health) in increments of 0.001.

Two other measurement-related issues should be noted. First, to partial out the influence of two notable socio-demographic factors linked to health, all findings are adjusted for gender (coded as a dummy variable: male [1] vs. female [0]) and for marital status (married/common-law [1] vs. not married/common-law [0]). Second, some of the variables used in this study contained (relatively few) missing cases. Given the small number of missing cases and the fact that they are reasonably scattered through the entire dataset in a random manner, they were excluded from the analyses.

*Analysis* Multiple linear regression analysis is used to estimate how the effect of SES on health is conditioned by age, controlling for gender and marital status. For each health variable, two regression models are computed. The first model includes education as a sole measure of SES. This analysis is done again using both education and income as a measure of SES. This estimates the extent to which the findings in the first model are influenced by income, as well as the extent to which health advantages associated with higher income (i.e., income-based inequalities in health) change with age above and beyond the influence of education. Specifically, age, education, and an interaction term for age and education are included in the first regression analysis, and income and an interaction term for age and income are additionally included in the second one. (Note, the data were shifted/centered to deal with multicollinearity issues between the main effects of age, education, and income and their interaction effects). A positive interaction

between age and education (or age and income) suggests that the positive effect of education (or income) on health increases with age, hence lending support to the divergence theory. On the other hand, a negative interaction or an insignificant interaction indicates that SES-based inequalities in health converge or stay the same, respectively, over the adult life course.

Before these analyses were done, the shape of the age-SES-health relationship was analyzed to determine if SES differences in health change at a constant rate (i.e., linear effect of age) or at an accelerated rate (indicating a curvilinear effect of age) over the adult life course. Relatedly, it is possible that the SES-gap in health diverges over part of the life course (e.g., up to age 65), then converges (e.g., after age 65) or vice-versa (Ross & Wu, 1996). All of these scenarios were tested, and the linear equation [e.g., health =  $a - b_1$  (age) +  $b_2$  (education) +  $b_3$  (age\*education)] was found to provide a superior fit to the data. All models presented in this paper are based on this equation.

#### Results

Various findings are revealed in Tables 1 and 2. First, there is a strong relationship between the socio-demographic covariates (gender and marital status) and health. For example, the first model in Table 1 shows that men, at any age or education level, tend to report better health than women, as do married/common-law individuals compared to their counterparts. Men's advantage in self-rated health, however, disappears when both education and income are controlled (Model 2 of Table 1). Second, the significant and negative age coefficients reflect a decrease in health status over the

adult life course. The average respondent experiences a drop in his/her self-rated health and HUI score with each additional year of age, regardless of gender, marital status, and SES. Third, the data reveal a strong relationship between SES and health. Both education and income have a significant, positive influence on health -- persons with higher SES are advantaged in self-rated and functional health over the adult life course.

#### Tables 1 and 2 about here

Finally, the combined influence of age and education (i.e., age\*education interaction term) is significant and positive. This observation is made even after the introduction of income into the analysis; that is, the positive interaction between age and education is not meaningfully influenced by income (see Model 2 in Tables 1 and 2). These findings reflect a divergence pattern between education groups in self-rated health and HUI from ages 25 to 79. A comparable picture emerges for the age-income interaction, namely in terms of self-rated health.

The models in Tables 1 and 2 can be visually depicted to more clearly show the positive interaction between age and SES. Graphs 1 and 2, which are based on the first model of these tables, plot predicted self-reported health and HUI scores, respectively, by age and education. The lines in both graphs show that health status decreases faster for those in lower education quintiles relative to those in higher education quintiles, increasing the education-based gap in health with age. The graphs specifically illustrate that by late-middle age and early-old age (50-65) the gaps between education quintiles start to widen considerably, and they continue to do so during later-old age (65+).

The predicted self-reported health score for the best-educated persons (fifth quintile) at age 25-29, for example, is 3.28. The comparable figure at age 65-69 is 2.68 --

a drop of 18%. There is a further decline to 2.51 at age 75-79 -- a 6% decrease from age 65-69. On the other hand, those in the first education quintile have a lower predicted self-reported health score which drops more sharply over the later part of the adult life course -- it falls from 2.90 at age 25-29 to 2.07 and 1.82 at age 65-69 and 75-79, respectively. This is a drop of almost 30% between age 25-29 and 65-69, and a further drop of 12% between age 65-69 and 75-79. Hence, the largest education-based inequalities in subjective health appear in very old age (i.e., age 75-79).

#### Graph 1 about here

A spiraling divergence in the health status between education groups with age is also observed in Graph 2. Looking at age-specific relationships between HUI and education quintile rank, the predicted HUI score for each quintile is most similar at age 25-29; thereafter, inequalities grow continually, with the largest education gaps in HUI observed among persons in their late-70s.

#### Graph 2 about here

#### Discussion

The widening socioeconomic-based gap in health across age groups found in this paper is predicted by the divergence hypothesis. According to this hypothesis, the health disadvantages attached to early-life risky lifestyle and lack of economic and psychosocial resources of individuals with lower SES cumulate with age. As the exposure to and the health-related impact of financial deprivation, unhealthy lifestyle, and social and psychological deficiencies grow for those with lower SES, their health generally deteriorates faster relative to their counterparts over the life course. Hence, while morbidity and disability are increasingly experienced by lower SES persons from middle age and onward, higher SES persons -- who tend to have less exposure to these circumstances -- experience a "compression of morbidity" into a short period at the end of life. Socioeconomic-based inequalities in health consequently increase over the adult life course, as found in this study.

While it is reasonable to assume that a stronger SES-health relationship with age reflects the cumulative effects of lifestyle, economic, and psychosocial forces on health of low and high SES individuals, it is not possible to directly test this assumption with cross-sectional data. Indeed, the goal of this study is to examine the general relationship between age, SES, and health, and not whether health declines at a slower rate for individuals with early-life SES advantages and at a faster rate for individuals with early-life SES disadvantages per se. These two processes are inexorably linked, yet longitudinal data are required to test the latter. The author plans to undertake such analysis in the future when sufficient longitudinal data from the National Population Health Survey become available. In addition, it is not possible to test the divergence hypothesis assumption that socio-economic differences in health eventually converge in very old age (80+) with the data used here. Further research is needed to assess this assumption and to expand on this paper via data that is not top-coded by age.

The findings presented here, however, do suggest that higher SES persons are better able to maintain their health into old age while lower SES persons experience a steady decline in health starting in middle age. The implication of this is that there is potential for further compressing morbidity and disability among entire cohorts, and not just the wealthiest within cohorts. Research shows that the relationship between SES and health is weakened when controls for material, lifestyle, and psychosocial resources are introduced (e.g., Cairney, 2000; Lantz, et al., 2001; McDonough et al., 2002), revealing that SES per se is not likely at the core of successful aging. Instead, these resources act as a conduit through which SES influences health (Denton & Walters, 1999). To achieve postponement of morbidity and disability for all persons, efforts therefore need to focus more forcefully on or target lower SES groups, especially the poorest of the poor and at earlier stages of the life course. For example, public policies can help reduce health inequalities, notably in middle and early-old age, by specifically targeting and reducing the exposure to and impact of health-related behavioural risk factors such as cigarette smoking and sedentary living among lower SES groups. The potential for healthy aging also hinges on economic resources. Strengthening public policies aimed at reducing economic inequalities in the total population would likely change how the relation of age to health varies across socio-economic groups. The large socio-economic differences in health in old age observed in this paper would likely be counteracted to some extent by stronger income security and welfare programs.

Overall, this study provides a primary step toward understanding the relationship between SES and health over the life course of adult Canadians, and how this relates to healthy aging. A good deal more research and public policy discussion is needed to more broadly understand the dynamics of SES-based inequalities in health over the life course and how to best deal with them.

#### References

Cairney, J (2000). Socio-economic status and self-rated health among older Canadians. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 19, 456-78.

Cairney, J. & Arnold, R. (1996). Social class, health and aging: socioeconomic determinants of self-reported morbidity among the non-institutionalized elderly in Canada. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 3, 199-203.

Cairney, J. & Arnold, R. (1998). Socioeconomic position, lifestyle and health among Canadians aged 18 to 64: a multi-condition approach. *Canadian Journal of Public Health*, 3, 208-12.

Crompton, S. & Kemeny, A. (1999). In sickness and in health: the well-being of married seniors. *Canadian Social Trends* (Statistics Canada, Catalogue No. 11-008), Winter, 22-7.

Denton, M. & Walters, V. (1999). Gender differences in structural and behavioural determinants of health: an analysis of the social production of health. *Social Science and Medicine*, 48, 1221-35.

Gilmore, J. (1999). Body Mass Index and health. *Health Reports* (Statistics Canada. Catalogue No. 82-003), 11, 31-43.

Hay, D. (1988). Socioeconomic status and health status: a study of males in the Canada health survey. *Social Science and Medicine*, 27, 1317-25.

Hirdes, J., Brown, S., Forbes, W., Vigoda, D., & Crawford, L. (1986). Association between self-reported income and perceived health based on the Ontario Longitudinal Study of Aging. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 5, 189-204.

Hirdes, J. & Forbes, W. (1989). Estimates of the relative risk of mortality based on the Ontario Longitudinal Study of Aging. *Canadian Journal on Aging*, 8, 222-37.

House, J., Kessler, R., Herzog, R., Mero, R., Kinney, A., & Breslow, M. (1990). Age, socioeconomic status, and health. *The Milbank Quarterly*, 68, 383-411.

House, J., Lepkowski, J., Kinney, A., Mero, R., Kessler, R., & Herzog, R. (1994). The social stratification of aging and health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 35, 213-34.

Kivimaki, M. Feldt, T., Vahtera, J., & Nurmi, K. (2000). Sense of coherence and health: evidence from two cross-lagged longitudinal samples. *Social Science and Medicine*, 50, 583-97.

Lantz, P., Lynch, J., House, J., Lepkowksi, J., Mero, R., Musick, M., & Williams, D. (2001). Socioeconomic disparities in health change in a longitudinal study of US adults: the role of health-risk behaviors. *Social Science and Medicine*, 53, 29-40.

McDonough, P. (2000). Job insecurity and health. *International Journal of Health Services*, 30, 453-76.

McDonough, P. & Walters, V. (2001). Gender and health: reassessing patterns and explanations. *Social Science and Medicine*, 52, 547-59.

McDonough, P., Walters, V., & Strohschein, L. (2002). Chronic stress and the social patterning of women's health in Canada. *Social Science and Medicine*, 54, 767-82.

Millar, W. (1996). Reaching smokers with lower educational attainment. *Health Reports* (Statistics Canada. Catalogue No. 82-003), 8, 11-9.

Millar, W. & Stephens, T. (1993). Social status and health risks in Canadian adults: 1985 and 1991. *Health Reports* (Statistics Canada. Catalogue No. 82-003), 8, 143-56.

Mustard, C., Derksen, S., Berthelot, J., Wolfson, M., & Roos, L. (1997). Age-specific education and income gradients in morbidity and mortality in a Canadian province. *Social Science and Medicine*, 45, 383-97.

Pearlin, L. (1989). The sociological study of stress. *Journal of Health and Social Behaviour*, 30, 241-56.

Pearlin, L., Lieberman, M., Menaghan, E., & Mullan, J. (1981). The stress process. *Journal of Health and Social Behaviour*, 22, 337-56.

Roberge, R., Berthelot, J., & Wolfson, M. (1995). Health and socio-economic inequalities. *Canadian Social Trends* (Statistics Canada. Catalogue No. 11-008E), Summer, 15-9.

Ross, C. & Wu, C. (1996). Education, age, and the cumulative advantage in health. *Journal of Health and Social Behavior*, 37, 104-20.

Segall, A. & Chappell, N. (2000). *Health and health care in Canada*. Toronto: Prentice Hall.

Stronks, K., Van de M., Looman, C., & Mackenbach, J., (1998). The importance of psychosocial stressors for socio-economic inequalities in perceived health. *Social Science and Medicine*, 46, 611-23.

Villeneuve, P., Morrison, H., & Elaguppillai, J. (1994). Trends in mortality of selected smoking-related cancers, Canada, 1950-1991. *Chronic Diseases in Canada*, 15, 123-28.

Wheaton, B. (1994). Sampling the stress universe. In W.R. Avison & I.H. Gotlib (Eds.), *Stress and Mental Health Contemporary Issues and Prospects for the Future* (pp 77-114). New York: Plenum Press.

Wilkins, R., Adams, O., & Brancker, A. (1991). Changes in mortality by income in urban Canada from 1971 to 1986. *Health Reports* (Statistics Canada. Catalogue No. 82-003), 1, 137-74.

Wolfson, M., Rowe, G., Gentleman, J., & Tomiak, M. (1993). Career earnings and death: a longitudinal analysis of older Canadian men. *Journals of Gerontology*, 48, S167-79.

## **Tables and Graphs**

Table 1: Metric coefficients for OLS regression of Self-rated Health on Age,Education, Age-Education Interaction (Model 1), Income, and Age-IncomeInteraction (Model 2), controlling for Gender and Marital Status

	Model 1	Model 2
Covariates		
Male Married/C-L	0.04231* 0.09818**	0.01901 0.04444*
Independent Variables		
Age	01868**	-0.01851**
Education Age*Education	0.12287** 0.00143**	0.09014** 0.00112*
Income Age*Income		0.10587** 0.00088*
Constant $R^2$	2.627 0.104	2.671 0.121

\**p*<.05, \*\**p*<.01.

Source: 1994/5 NPHS public-use microdata health file

	Model 1	Model 2
Covariates		
Male Married/C-L	0.01311** 0.02342**	0.01021** 0.01923**
Independent Variables		
Age	-0.00213**	-0.00212**
Education Age*Education	0.00952** 0.00020**	0.00678** 0.00017**
Income Age*Income		0.00957** 0.00006
Constant $R^2$	0.870 0.076	0.873 0.084

Table 2: Metric coefficients for OLS regression of HUI on Age, Education, Age-Education Interaction (Model 1), Income, and Age-Income Interaction (Model 2), controlling for Gender and Marital Status

\**p*<.05, \*\**p*<.01.

Source: 1994/5 NPHS public-use microdata health file



Graph 1: Predicted Self-rated Health (SHR) score by Age and Education Quintile (based on Model 1 of Table 1)



Graph 2: Predicted HUI score by Age and Education Quintile (based on Model 1 of Table 2)

Number	Title	Author(s)
No. 1:	Population Aging and Its Economic Costs: A Survey of the Issues and Evidence	F.T. Denton B.G. Spencer
No. 2:	How Much Help Is Exchanged in Families? Towards an Understanding of Discrepant Research Findings	C.J. Rosenthal L.O. Stone
No. 3:	Did Tax Flattening Affect RRSP Contributions?	M.R. Veall
No. 4:	Families as Care-Providers Versus Care-Managers? Gender and Type of Care in a Sample of Employed Canadians	C.J. Rosenthal A. Martin-Matthews
No. 5:	Alternatives for Raising Living Standards	W. Scarth
No. 6:	Transitions to Retirement: Determinants of Age of Social Security Take Up	E. Tompa
No. 7:	Health and Individual and Community Characteristics: A Research Protocol	F. Béland S. Birch G. Stoddart
No. 8:	Disability Related Sources of Income and Expenses: An Examination Among the Elderly in Canada	<ul> <li>P. Raina</li> <li>S. Dukeshire</li> <li>M. Denton</li> <li>L.W. Chambers</li> <li>A. Scanlan</li> <li>A. Gafni</li> <li>S. French</li> <li>A. Joshi</li> <li>C. Rosenthal</li> </ul>
No. 9:	The Impact of Rising 401(k) Pension Coverage on Future Pension Income	W.E. Even D.A. Macpherson
No. 10:	Income Inequality as a Canadian Cohort Ages: An Analysis of the Later Life Course	S.G. Prus
No. 11:	Are Theories of Aging Important? Models and Explanations in Gerontology at the Turn of the Century	V.L. Bengtson C.J. Rice M.L. Johnson
No. 12:	Generational Equity and the Reformulation of Retirement	M.L. Johnson
No. 13:	Long-term Care in Turmoil	M.L. Johnson L. Cullen D. Patsios
No. 14:	The Effects of Population Ageing on the Canadian Health Care System	M.W. Rosenberg

Number	Title	Author(s)
No. 15:	Projections of the Population and Labour Force to 2046: Canada	F.T. Denton C.H. Feaver B.G. Spencer
No. 16:	Projections of the Population and Labour Force to 2046: The Provinces and Territories	F.T. Denton C.H. Feaver B.G. Spencer
No. 17:	Location of Adult Children as an Attraction for Black and White Elderly Migrants in the United States	KL. Liaw W.H. Frey JP. Lin
No. 18:	The Nature of Support from Adult <i>Sansei</i> (Third Generation) Children to Older <i>Nisei</i> (Second Generation) Parents in Japanese Canadian Families	K.M. Kobayashi
No. 19:	The Effects of Drug Subsidies on Out-of-Pocket Prescription Drug Expenditures by Seniors: Regional Evidence from Canada	T.F. Crossley P. Grootendorst S. Korkmaz M.R. Veall
No. 20:	Describing Disability among High and Low Income Status Older Adults in Canada	P. Raina M. Wong L.W. Chambers M. Denton A. Gafni
No. 21:	Parental Illness and the Labour Supply of Adult Children	P.T.Léger
No. 22:	Some Demographic Consequences of Revising the Definition of 'Old' to Reflect Future Changes in Life Table Probabilities	F.T. Denton B.G. Spencer
No. 23:	Geographic Dimensions of Aging: The Canadian Experience 1991-1996	E.G. Moore D. McGuinness M.A. Pacey M.W. Rosenberg
No. 24:	The Correlation Between Husband's and Wife's Education: Canada, 1971-1996	L. Magee J. Burbidge L. Robb
No. 25:	The Effect of Marginal Tax Rates on Taxable Income: A Panel Study of the 1988 Tax Flattening in Canada	MA. Sillamaa M.R. Veall
No. 26:	The Stability of Self Assessed Health Status	T.F. Crossley S. Kennedy
No. 27:	How Do Contribution Limits Affect Contributions to Tax- Preferred Savings Accounts?	K. Milligan

Number	Title	Author(s)
No. 28:	The Life Cycle Model of Consumption and Saving	M. Browning T.F. Crossley
No. 29:	Population Change and the Requirements for Physicians: The Case of Ontario	F.T. Denton A. Gafni B.G. Spencer
No. 30:	Nonparametric Identification of Latent Competing Risks and Roy Duration Models	G. Colby P. Rilstone
No. 31:	Simplified Estimation of Multivariate Duration Models with Unobserved Heterogeneity	G. Colby P. Rilstone
No. 32:	Structural Estimation of Psychiatric Hospital Stays	G. Colby P. Rilstone
No. 33:	Have 401(k)s Raised Household Saving? Evidence from the Health and Retirement Study	G.V. Engelhardt
No. 34:	Health and Residential Mobility in Later Life: A New Analytical Technique to Address an Old Problem	L.M. Hayward
No. 35:	2 <sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> Proposals to Save Social Security	D. Fretz M.R. Veall
No. 36:	The Consequences of Caregiving: Does Employment Make a Difference	C.L. Kemp C.J. Rosenthal
No. 37:	Fraud in Ethnocultural Seniors' Communities	P.J.D. Donahue
No. 38:	Social-psychological and Structural Factors Influencing the Experience of Chronic Disease: A Focus on Individuals with Severe Arthritis	P.J. Ballantyne G.A. Hawker D. Radoeva
No. 39:	The Extended Self: Illness Experiences of Older Married Arthritis Sufferers	P.J. Ballantyne G.A. Hawker D. Radoeva
No. 40:	A Comparison of Alternative Methods to Model Endogeneity in Count Models. An Application to the Demand for Health Care and Health Insurance Choice	M. Schellhorn
No. 41:	Wealth Accumulation of US Households: What Do We Learn from the SIPP Data?	V. Hildebrand
No. 42:	Pension Portability and Labour Mobility in the United States. New Evidence from SIPP Data.	V. Andrietti V. Hildebrand
No. 43:	Exploring the Effects of Population Change on the Costs of Physician Services	F.T. Denton A. Gafni B.G. Spencer

Number	Title	Author(s)
No. 44:	Reflexive Planning for Later Life: A Conceptual Model and Evidence from Canada	M.A. Denton S. French A. Gafni A. Joshi C. Rosenthal S. Webb
No. 45:	Time Series Properties and Stochastic Forecasts: Some Econometrics of Mortality from the Canadian Laboratory	F.T. Denton C.H. Feaver B.G. Spencer
No. 46:	Linear Public Goods Experiments: A Meta-Analysis	J. Zelmer
No. 47:	Local Planning for an Aging Population in Ontario: Two Case Studies	L.M. Hayward
No. 48:	Management Experience and Diversity in an Ageing Organisation: A Microsimulation Analysis	T. Wannell M. Gravel
No. 49:	Resilience Indicators of Post Retirement Well-Being	E. Marziali P. Donahue
No. 50:	Continuity or Change? Older People in Three Urban Areas	J. Phillips M. Bernard C. Phillipson J. Ogg
No. 51:	Intracohort Income Status Maintenance: An Analysis of the Later Life Course	S.G. Prus
No. 52:	Tax-Preferred Savings Accounts and Marginal Tax Rates: Evidence on RRSP Participation	K. Milligan
No. 53:	Cohort Survival Analysis is Not Enough: Why Local Planners Need to Know More About the Residential Mobility of the Elderly	L.M. Hayward N.M. Lazarowich
No. 54:	Unemployment and Health: Contextual Level Influences on the Production of Health in Populations	F. Béland S. Birch G. Stoddart
No. 55:	The Timing and Duration of Women's Life Course Events: A Study of Mothers With At Least Two Children	K.M. Kobayashi A. Martin-Matthews C.J. Rosenthal S. Matthews

Number	Title	Author(s)
No. 56:	Age-Gapped and Age-Condensed Lineages: Patterns of Intergenerational Age Structure Among Canadian Families	A. Martin-Matthews K. M. Kobayashi C.L. Rosenthal S.H. Matthews
No. 57:	The Relationship between Age, Socio-Economic Status, and Health among Adult Canadians	S.G. Prus
No. 58:	Measuring Differences in the Effect of Social Resource Factors on the Health of Elderly Canadian Men and Women	S.G. Prus E. Gee
No. 59:	APOCALYPSE NO: Population Aging and the Future of Health Care Systems	R.G. Evans K.M. McGrail S.G. Morgan M.L. Barer C. Hertzman
No. 60:	The Education Premium in Canada and the United States	J.B. Burbidge L. Magee A.L. Robb
No. 61:	Student Enrolment and Faculty Recruitment in Ontario: The Double Cohort, the Baby Boom Echo, and the Aging of University Faculty	B.G. Spencer
No. 62:	The Social and Demographic Contours of Contemporary Grandparenthood: Mapping Patterns in Canada and the United States	C.L. Kemp
No. 63:	Changing Income Inequality and the Elderly in Canada 1991- 1996: Provincial Metropolitan and Local Dimensions	E.G. Moore M.A. Pacey
No. 64:	Mid-life Patterns and the Residential Mobility of Older Men	L.M. Hayward
No. 65:	The Retirement Incentive Effects of Canada's Income Security Programs	M. Baker J. Gruber K. Milligan
No. 66:	The Economic Well-Being of Older Women Who Become Divorced or Separated in Mid and Later Life	S. Davies M. Denton
No. 67:	Alternative Pasts, Possible Futures: A "What If' Study of the Effects of Fertility on the Canadian Population and Labour Force	F.T. Denton C.H. Feaver B.G. Spencer
No. 68:	Baby-Boom Aging and Average Living Standards	W. Scarth M. Souare
No. 69:	The Invisible Retirement of Women	L. McDonald

Number	Title	Author(s)
No. 70:	The Impact of Reference Pricing of Cardiovascular Drugs on Health Care Costs and Health Outcomes: Evidence from British Columbia – Volume I: Summary	P.V. Grootendorst L.R. Dolovich A.M. Holbrook A.R. Levy B.J. O'Brien
No. 71:	The Impact of Reference Pricing of Cardiovascular Drugs on Health Care Costs and Health Outcomes: Evidence from British Columbia – Volume II: Technical Report	P.V. Grootendorst L.R. Dolovich A.M. Holbrook A.R. Levy B.J. O'Brien
No. 72:	The Impact of Reference Pricing of Cardiovascular Drugs on Health Care Costs and Health Outcomes: Evidence from British Columbia – Volume III: ACE and CCB Literature Review	L.R. Dolovich A.M. Holbrook M. Woodruff
No. 73:	Do Drug Plans Matter? Effects of Drug Plan Eligibility on Drug Use Among the Elderly, Social Assistance Recipients and the General Population	P. Grootendorst M. Levine
No. 74:	Living Alone and Living with Children: The Living Arrangements of Canadian and Chinese-Canadian Seniors	M.A. Pacey
No. 75:	Student Enrolment and Faculty Recruitment in Ontario: The Double Cohort, the Baby Boom Echo, and the Aging of University Faculty (Revised and updated version of No. 61)	B.G. Spencer
No. 76:	Gender Differences in the Influence of Economic, Lifestyle, and Psychosocial Factors on Later-life Health	S.G. Prus E. Gee
No. 77:	Asking Consumption Questions in General Purpose Surveys	M. Browning T.F. Crossley G. Weber
No. 78:	A Longitudinal Study of the Residential Mobility of the Elderly in Canada	Y. Ostrovsky
No. 79:	Health Care in Rural Communities: Exploring the Development of Informal and Voluntary Care	M.W. Skinner M.W. Rosenberg
No. 80:	Does Cognitive Status Modify the Relationship Between Education and Mortality? Evidence from the Canadian Study of Health and Aging	J.C. Brehaut P. Raina J. Lindsay
No. 81:	Agreement Between Self-Reported and Routinely Collected Health Care Utilisation Data Among Seniors	P. Raina V. Torrance-Rynard M. Wong C. Woodward

Number	Title	Author(s)
No. 82:	Age, Retirement and Expenditure Patterns: An Econometric Study of Older Canadian Households	F.T. Denton D.C. Mountain B.G. Spencer
No. 83:	Understanding the Relationship between Income Status and the Restrictions in Instrumental Activities of Daily Living among Disabled Older Adults	P. Raina M. Wong
No. 84:	Location of Adult Children as an Attraction for Black and White Elderly <i>Return</i> and <i>Onward</i> Migrants in the United States: Application of a Three-level Nested Logit Model with Census Data	K-L. Liaw W.H. Frey
No. 85:	Changing Income Inequality and Immigration in Canada 1980-1995	E.G. Moore M.A. Pacey
No. 86:	The Dynamics of Food Deprivation and Overall Health: Evidence from the Canadian National Population Health Survey	L. McLeod M.R. Veall
No. 87:	Quebec's Lackluster Performance in Interprovincial Migration and Immigration: How, Why, and What Can Be Done?	K-L. Liaw L. Xu M. Qi
No. 88:	Out-of-Pocket Prescription Drug Expenditures and Public Prescription Drug Programs	S. Alan T.F. Crossley P. Grootendorst M.R. Veall
No. 89:	The Wealth and Asset Holdings of U.SBorn and Foreign-Born Households: Evidence from SIPP Data	D.A. Cobb-Clark V. Hildebrand
No. 90:	Population Aging, Productivity, and Growth in Living Standards	W. Scarth
No. 91:	A Life-course Perspective on the Relationship between Socio- economic Status and Health: Testing the Divergence Hypothesis	S.G. Prus