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## MEDS-D Users' Manual

## Frank T. Denton, Christine H. Feaver, and Byron G. Spencer


#### Abstract

: This report is the Users' Manual that accompanies MEDS-D, the demographic component of a new Windows-based version of the MEDS (Models of the Economic-Demographic System) software. MEDS-D is designed for projecting the population, labour force, and number of households for Canada as a whole, for each of the provinces, and for the territories. The projections are made year-by-year, and extend as far as 2051.

The time path of projections is determined by assumptions about fertility, mortality, international and interprovincial migration, household formation, labour force participation and unemployment. "Standard", "high growth", and "slow growth" projections are provided. It is easy to explore the implications of alternative assumptions and to input newly available data.


Keywords: population, labour force, projection
JEL Classification: J11, J21

## Résumé:

Ce document est le Guide de l'utilisateur qui accompagne MEDS-D, le module démographique d'une nouvelle version pour Windows du logiciel MEDS (Modèles du système démographique-économique). MEDS-D est conçu pour produire des projections de la population, de la population active, et du nombre totale de ménages au Canada, dans chaque province et dans les territoires. Les projections, calculées pour chaque année, sont possibles jusqu'en 2051.

Les trajectoires temporelles des projections sont déterminées par des hypothèses sur la fertilité, la mortalité, les soldes migratoires provinciaux et internationaux, la composition des ménages, les taux d'activité et de chômage. Le MEDS-D fonctionne à partir de projections de croissance "standards", "rapide" et "lente". Une option permet de facilement examiner les conséquences d'autres hypothèses de travail et d'ajouter des données nouvellement disponibles.
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## Introduction

MEDS is an acronym for "Models of the Economic-Demographic System." MEDS-D, the demographic component of the projection/simulation system, is designed to project the population and labour force by age and sex, and the number of households, for Canada, the provinces and the territories for up to 50 years starting from July 1, 2001. Historical values are included back to 1991. The time path of the projection is determined by assumptions about fertility, mortality, international and interprovincial migration, household formation, labour force participation and unemployment. Standard assumptions are provided; users can change them.

MEDS-D projection or simulation results at the Canada-level can be fed in MEDS-E, the economy model, to obtain projections of GDP and its components.

The program is designed around a main menu screen which is always displayed. Each option on the main menu has a sub-menu; choosing an option from a sub-menu usually will display another input screen. This manual describes the main menu and sub-menu options, showing sample screens where helpful. Appendix A provides the equations of the model. Appendix B provides some historical Canadian data which can be referred to in making assumptions about rates and other values that are required for making projections. Finally, Appendix C contains a selection of population pyramids and tables available from a MEDS-D projection.

## Installation

MEDS is supplied in a zipped file for installation on a machine running Windows 98 or higher. Users should first read the file README.TXT on the Setup Disk. To install, insert the Setup Disk in a drive (e.g. A). Copy the file PMEDSZ.ZIP into a temporary directory (e.g. C:ITEMP) and unzip. Run C:ITemplsetup.exe, and follow the instructions on the screen. After installing, double click on the MEDS-D icon to begin the program. The Main Menu screen appears (see next page).

## First-time Users

Click OK on the Welcome screen, then About... to get a quick overview of the program. Then click Run, View Output, and Summary Tables to view tables that show the population projection for Canada based on standard assumptions.

## Main Menu Options

Files is used to save current assumptions and output choices for subsequent re-use, to change file names, and to return to default assumptions.
Select Output is used to view or change output selections; summary tables are always provided.
Assumptions is used to view or change assumptions.
Run is used to make a new projection.
View Output is used to display tables from the most recent projection on the screen.

Print is used to send the table file to the printer or to save it in a format for importing into a spreadsheet.
Graphs is used to display selected information graphically on the screen.
About is used to get a quick summary of instructions for using MEDS-D.
Quit is used to exit the program.
Note: Options may be selected either by clicking the mouse or from the Main Menu by typing Alt + the letter that is underlined or from a drop-down menu by typing only the letter that is underlined.



## Files Options

Save current assumptions: You may wish to save changes that you have made to the assumptions or to the output selected for easy recall and reuse.

Suggestion: When prompted to choose a file name, use .ind as the file extension to identify it as a MEDS-D input demographic file.

Select Input file: You will be prompted to choose a file name. Three files are provided, one with "standard" assumptions (pmeddstd.ind), another with assumptions leading to "high" growth (pmeddhi.ind), and a third with assumptions leading to "low" growth (pmeddlow.ind). The default case is "standard".

Note: You may use only one of these files or another file previously saved by MEDS-D using the Save current assumptions option.

Select Revised Population file: You will be prompted to choose a file name. You may use only the default file (pmeddstd.rp) or another file created outside of MEDS-D in the same format. The purpose of this option is to allow use of the latest Statistics Canada post-censal estimates of population by single years of age to replace estimates generated by MEDS-D. The default replacement file contains numbers of persons at July 1, 2001 for Canada, the 10 provinces and 2 territories (Yukon Territory is handled separately; North West Territories and Nunavut are treated as a single area designated by the initials NN), separately for males and females, and by single years of age from 0 to 89, and 90+. To use this file, select Population Adjustments in the Assumptions menu.

Set file name for Tables: By default, the program stores output tables (and also pyramids, if they were selected) in the file pmedsd.tab, over-writing this file for each projection run. To save results from multiple runs for later use, supply a unique file name before each run.

Suggestion: Use .tab as the file extension to identify it as a MEDS-D output file.
Set file name for Variables for MEDS-E: By default, the program stores data required by MEDS-E in the file pmedsd.var, and over-writes this file for each projection run for Canada. To save results from multiple runs for later use, supply a unique file name before each run.

Suggestion: Use the file extension .var to identify it for use in MEDS-E.
Set file name for Spreadsheet Data: By default, the program stores data in a format suitable for importing into a spreadsheet in the file pmeds.prn and over-writes this file for each projection run. To save results from multiple runs for later use, supply a unique file name before each run.

Suggestion: Use .prn as the file extension to identify it as a MEDS-D spreadsheet file.

There are two options; each produces a "delimited" file. If both are selected, both will be available in the same file.
(1) All output tables selected are available in spreadsheet format; after a projection run, select Print, To Spreadsheet File.
(2) Population by single years of age from 15 to 25; use Select Output, Population Tables, second option

Note: Output option (2) is especially designed for use with the HRDC School Leavers' Model but is of more general interest. It must be selected before a run.

Initialize with Default files: This option will restore all default file names and read in standard assumptions from the default input file, pmeddstd.ind. If you have made changes which you wish to save for later use, save them in a file by using the Save current assumptions option before using the Initialize option.

## Select Output Options:

In all cases, when finished with a screen, use the OK button to make changes take effect and the Cancel button to leave original values unchanged.

General Features:


- Select area by clicking circles; unselect by clicking again.
- Choose a single area projection (i.e., Canada, a province or a territory), when one area is of interest or when age detail is required. Choose an all provinces or regions projection for area comparisons. Choose a Canada projection to prepare data for use in MEDS-E.
- The population projection from a Canada run differs from that obtained as a sum from an all provinces or regions run, although the results are similar when the default standard assumptions are used.
- The standard six regions are the Atlantic provinces (ATL), Quebec (QUE), Ontario (ONT), the Prairie provinces (PRA), British Columbia (BC), and the territories (NOR). To alter regions, type in new region labels and then drag and drop province labels to match.
- Click on the spin button (the up and down arrowheads) to change the first and last years to be shown in the tables.
- The output can be displayed at 1,5 or 10 year intervals; reported growth rates may be over the chosen interval or converted to annual averages.


## Population Tables:

## Output Selection 2: Population Tables <br> Check required tables <br> OK <br> Population tables by age and sex <br> Cancel <br> $\nabla$ Number of persons $\quad \nabla \%$ distribution $\quad \nabla$ Growth rates $\quad$ Change $\Gamma$ Males $\quad \Gamma$ Females $\nabla \nabla$ Both sexes combined $\quad$ Female as \% of total

Number of age groups to show: 9 -


Store population data in spreadsheet format
[population by single years of age [15 to 25] by sex annually]

- Select by clicking boxes; unselect by clicking again.
- Click on the spin button to change the number of age groups (max=9).
- Ages may be edited.
- For an all provinces or region run, the population tables are by area rather than age.


## Population Pyramids and Life Expectancy Tables:



- Select by clicking boxes; unselect by clicking again.
- Click on spin button to change the number of years for population pyramids (max= 11) or ages (max = 10).
- Ages may be edited.
- For an all provinces run, the life expectancy tables are by area at age 0 only.
- Pyramids and life expectancy tables are not available for regions.


## Dependency Ratio Tables:



- Select by clicking box; unselect by clicking again.
- Click on spin button to change the number of younger or older age groups (max $=4$ ).
- Ages may be edited.
- For an all provinces or regions run, the dependency ratio tables always show the following population age groups relative to the labour force only: total, <20, 65+, <20 +65+.


## Labour Force and Participation Rate Tables:



- Select by clicking box; unselect by clicking again.
- Click on spin button to change the number of age groups ( $\max =8$ ).
- Adjacent age groups may be combined by editing.
- For an all provinces or region run, labour force tables are by area rather than age.


## Employment and Unemployment Rate Tables:



- Select by clicking box; unselect by clicking again.
- Click on spin button to change the number of age groups (max = 8).
- Adjacent age groups may be combined by editing.
- For an all provinces or region run, employment tables are by area rather than age.


## Other Area Tables:



- These tables are available only in a projection for all provinces or regions.
- Select by clicking box; unselect by clicking again.


## Assumptions Options

For all screens

- Values on a grey background are fixed; most values on a white background may be changed.
- Click on the spin control (the up and down arrowheads) to increase or decrease the number of years shown on the grid.
- During a projection, MEDS-D generates input values as needed by interpolating linearly between years for which values are specified.
- To change any value in the grid, click on it. Once a box with dotted outline appears, press Enter. Use the delete key to remove unwanted characters before inserting new ones.
- Use the OK button to make changes take effect; use Cancel to leave original values unchanged.
- For an all provinces or regions projection, use of the Next Prov or Last Prov button makes changes take effect before changing the display.


## Fertility:

| Fertility: CANADA |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number of years: $14 \sim$ |  |  |  | OK |
| TLF: TotalLifetimeFertility inbirths perwoman;MAMM:Median Ageof Mothers atchildbirth;IRA: Inter-quartileRange ofAge ofmothers atchildbirth inuears. | Year | TLF | MAM | IRA | Cancel |
|  | 1991 | 1.71 | 27.54 | 7.67 |  |
|  | 1992 | 1.71 | 27.66 | 7.71 |  |
|  | 1993 | 1.69 | 27.74 | 7.82 |  |
|  | 1994 | 1.69 | 27.81 | 7.88 |  |
|  | 1995 | 1.67 | 27.92 | 7.94 | Historical Range |
|  | 1996 | 1.62 | 28.09 | 7.94 | 1959 3.9 TLF |
|  | 1997 | 1.552 | 28.23 | 7.94 | 19871.6 |
|  | 1998 | 1.539 | 28.28 | 8.01 | ${ }_{1}^{19752629.7 ~ M A M M ~}$ |
|  | 1999 | 1.52 | 28.44 | 8 | 192710.0 IRA |
|  | 2000 | 1.505 | 28.57 | 7.96 | 19817.0 |
|  | 2001 | 1.532 | 28.81 | 7.85 |  |
|  | 2002 | 1.501 | 28.94 | 7.86 |  |
|  | 2003 | 1.525 | 29.1 | 7.84 |  |
|  | 2051 | 1.525 | 29.1 | 7.84 |  |

- Period (as distinct from cohort) fertility schedules are applied; the level of fertility (represented by TLF) has a major influence on the future population.
- The standard assumption maintains the most recent fertility schedule.


## International Migration: Levels:

| International Migration Levels: CANADA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Immigrants |  |  |  | Emigrants |  |  |  |  |
| specify as: thousands |  |  |  | specify as: \% of last year's pop- |  |  |  | OK |
| Numb | of ye | 16 | 囫 | Numb | of ye | 16 | - | Cancel |
| Year | '000 | \%of pop | \% of LF | Year | '000 | \%of pop | \% of LF |  |
| 1991 | 221 | . 8 | 1.55 | 1991 | 28 | . 16 | 29 |  |
| 1992 | 244 | . 87 | 1.67 | 1992 | 49 | . 18 | 3 |  |
| 1993 | 267 | . 94 | 1.83 | 1993 | 48 | . 17 | 3 |  |
| 1994 | 235 | . 82 | 1.6 | 1994 | 53 | . 18 | . 31 | In line with |
| 1995 | 221 | . 76 | 1.49 | 1995 | 53 | . 18 | 31 | Stat Ca revised |
| 1996 | 217 | . 74 | 1.46 | 1996 | 49 | . 17 | 31 | definition, |
| 1997 | 225 | . 76 | 1.5 | 1997 | 59 | . 2 | 33 | Emigrants |
| 1998 | 194 | . 65 | 1.28 | 1998 | 59 | . 2 | 4 | are net of Returning |
| 1999 | 173 | . 57 | 1.12 | 1999 | 56 | . 19 | 4 | Canadians. |
| 2000 | 206 | . 68 | 1.28 | 2000 | 56 | . 18 | 38 |  |
| 2001 | 253 | . 82 | 1.6 | 2001 | 55 | . 18 | 38 |  |
| 2002 | 256 | . 83 | 1.6 | 2002 | 46 | . 18 | 38 |  |
| 2003 | 199 | . 63 | 1.6 | 2003 | 46 | . 15 | 38 |  |
| 2004 | 239 | . 76 | 1.6 | 2004 | 47 | . 15 | 38 |  |
| 2005 | 230 | . 74 | 1.6 | 2005 | 50 | . 15 | 38 |  |
| 2051 | 230 | . 74 | 1.6 | 2051 | 50 | . 16 | 38 |  |

- Immigration to and emigration from Canada may be specified in thousands or as a percentage of the previous year's population or labour force.
- The standard assumption holds immigration to Canada at 230 thousand per year, in the mid-range of recent policy target levels, and emigration from Canada at its most recent percent of the population of the previous year.

- Ontario is shown for illustration.
- Immigration to a province may be specified in thousands or as a percentage of total immigration to Canada; emigrants from a province may be specified in thousands or as a percentage of the previous year's population.
- The standard assumption holds constant the most recent allocation of new immigrants across provinces.
- Changing the percent going to any one province without offsetting changes elsewhere will result in a total allocation differing from 100 percent; the allocation is forced to 100 percent through a pro-rata adjustment if the box is checked.


## International Migration: Distribution by Sex:



- The standard assumption holds the male (and hence female) proportions of both immigrants and emigrants at their average values calculated over the previous 5 years.


## International Migration: Immigrant Distribution by Age:



- The proportion 65+ is calculated residually and so cannot be changed directly.
- The standard assumption holds the age distributions of male and female immigrants at their average values calculated over the previous 5 years.


## International Migration: Emigrant Distribution by Age:



- The proportion 65+ is calculated residually and so cannot be changed directly.
- The standard assumption holds the age distributions of male and female emigrants at their average values calculated over the previous 5 years.

Interprovincial Migration: Levels and Distribution by Sex:

| Interprovincial Migration: ONTARIO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lev |  |  |  | oport |  |  |
| Numbe | f yea | 17 | * |  | Numb | year | 12 |  |
| Year | In | Dut | All In | All Out | Year | In | Out | Cancel |
| 1991 | 73.7 | 84.7 | 321.3 | 321.3 | 1991 | . 507 | . 528 |  |
| 1992 | 70.8 | 81.8 | 316.6 | 316.6 | 1992 | . 495 | . 511 |  |
| 1993 | 66.3 | 80.6 | 303.4 | 303.4 | 1993 | . 503 | . 512 |  |
| 1994 | 64.5 | 74. | 289.4 | 289.4 | 1994 | . 503 | . 512 |  |
| 1995 | 66.9 | 69.7 | 285.5 | 285.5 | 1995 | . 505 | . 516 |  |
| 1996 | 68.9 | 72.1 | 291.8 | 291.8 | 1997 | . 514 | . 514 | Changing |
| 1997 | 70.1 | 67.9 | 293. | 293. | 1998 | . 516 | . 518 | of years |
| 1998 | 75.3 | 66. | 309.3 | 309.3 | 1999 | . 513 | . 507 | for Levels |
| 1999 | 72.8 | 56.1 | 276.9 | 276.9 | 2000 | . 515 | . 511 | in one |
| 2000 | 78.8 | 56.6 | 285.9 | 285.9 | 2001 | . 509 | . 513 | province changes |
| 2001 | 74.6 | 55.9 | 269.2 | 269.2 | 2002 | . 514 | . 512 |  |
| 2002 | 70. | 64.7 | 290.4 | 290.4 | 2051 | . 514 | . 512 | number in |
| 2003 | 64.3 | 63.6 | 275. | 275. |  |  |  | others a |
| 2004 | 68.3 | 77.2 | 313.4 | 313.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 2005 | 71.2 | 63.3 | 286.6 | 286.6 |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | 67.4 | 67.4 | 286.9 | 286.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 2051 | 67.4 | 67.4 | 286.9 | 286.9 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Force All $\ln =$ All Dut (before Run, will average and prorate result among areas) <br> All In and All Out show the sums across provinces and teritories. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- The latest actual numbers moving into and out of each province relate to the year ending June 30, 2004; the 2005 figures are averages of the previous five-year period; flows in and out are assumed to reach equality by 2011 and to remain at that level for the remainder of the projection.
- Changing the number flowing into/out of one province or territory without offsetting changes elsewhere will result in a difference between the total "ins" and "outs"; these two flows are forced to be equal if the box is checked.


## Interprovincial Migration: In Distribution by Age:



- The proportion 65+ is calculated residually and so cannot be changed directly.
- The standard assumption holds the distributions of male and female in-migrants for each province and territory at their average values calculated over the previous 5 years.


## Interprovincial Migration: Out Distribution by Age:

| Out-migrant Distribution by Age: ONTARIO |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of years: 12 因 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | OK |
|  |  |  | Males |  |  |  |  | Females |  |  |  |
| Year | <15 | 15-24 | 25-39 | 40-64 | 65+ | <15 | 15-24 | 25-39 | 40-64 | 65+ | Cancel |
| 1991 | . 191 | . 232 | . 396 | . 156 | . 025 | 205 | . 218 | . 384 | . 151 | . 042 |  |
| 1992 | . 21 | . 236 | . 377 | . 151 | . 026 | 209 | . 226 | . 373 | . 152 | 04 |  |
| 1993 | . 206 | . 224 | . 389 | . 154 | . 027 | . 207 | . 233 | . 364 | . 154 | . 042 |  |
| 1994 | . 207 | . 224 | . 388 | . 154 | . 027 | . 207 | . 233 | . 364 | . 154 | . 042 |  |
| 1995 | . 203 | . 227 | . 388 | . 155 | . 027 | . 207 | . 225 | . 374 | . 152 | . 042 |  |
| 1997 | . 194 | . 217 | . 367 | . 171 | . 031 | . 195 | . 223 | . 339 | . 173 | . 051 |  |
| 1998 | . 189 | . 23 | . 357 | . 172 | . 032 | . 194 | . 23 | . 329 | . 177 | . 052 |  |
| 1999 | . 184 | . 237 | . 346 | . 179 | . 035 | . 181 | . 239 | . 328 | . 179 | . 057 |  |
| 2000 | . 186 | . 222 | . 354 | . 181 | . 039 | . 183 | . 23 | . 325 | . 184 | . 06 |  |
| 2001 | . 18 | . 223 | . 359 | . 181 | . 038 | . 179 | . 234 | . 326 | . 185 | . 06 |  |
| 2002 | . 19 | . 23 | . 364 | . 18 | . 036 | . 19 | . 235 | . 336 | . 182 | . 057 |  |
| 2051 | . 19 | . 23 | . 364 | . 18 | . 036 | . 19 | . 235 | . 336 | . 182 | . 057 |  |

- The proportion 65+ is calculated residually and so cannot be changed directly.
- The standard assumption holds the distribution of male and female out-migrants for each province and territory at their average values calculated over the previous 5 years.


## Non-permanent Residents:



- The standard assumption for non-permanent residents assumes no change in the stock after 2005.

- MEDS-D starts with single year age-sex-specific mortality rates derived from Statistics Canada 1991 and 1996 life tables.
- These mortality rates are projected to change annually at the average rates of change calculated over the period 1971-96 adjusted by a multiplier (k) as given in the above table. The value of $k$ in 1996 is fixed at 1.
- Setting k=1 in the next selected year of the projection would result in a continuation of recent rates of change until that year for each age in the indicated range; setting $k=0.25$ in the next selected year would result in reducing the rates of change to one quarter of their initial values by that year; setting $\mathrm{k}=0$ in 2002 and in the end year would mean that 2001 mortality rates would apply throughout the projection period.
- For Canada, the standard assumptions for k imply an increase in life expectancy for males from 74.6 years in 1991 to 82.8 by 2051 and for females from 80.9 years in 1991 to 86.1 by 2051.


## Household Formation:



- By holding HRAT at 1 the standard assumption assumes no changes in age-sex group primary household maintainer rates after 2001.


## Population Adjustments:



- As described above, a revised population file can be used to impose on the projection the latest Statistics Canada post-censal estimates of population by single years of age (see Select Revised Population file, above, for details).
- Also, MEDS-D can force population totals to specified values for a maximum of fifteen years (1992 to 2006), in order to reflect the latest available estimates. The estimates included with the program reflect the 2001 Census.
- If both Population Adjustment options are chosen MEDS-D will, for the year specified, combine the age distribution implicit in the Revised Population File with the population total as indicated. This is the standard assumption.


## Labour Force Participation Rates: Males, Females:

## Male Labour Force Participation Rates: CANADA

| Year | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50-54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 65-69 | 70+ | Cance: |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1991 | 57.2 | 82.6 | 92.1 | 93.3 | 93.8 | 93.8 | 92.7 | 87.8 | 75.9 | 47.7 | 17.4 | 7.2 |  |
| 1992 | 53.9 | 81.4 | 91 | 92.1 | 92.8 | 92.8 | 91.5 | 87.4 | 73.9 | 47.9 | 17.8 | 6.2 |  |
| 1993 | 52.1 | 80.8 | 90.4 | 92.8 | 92.7 | 92.9 | 91.6 | 86.7 | 72.9 | 46.7 | 16 | 6.1 |  |
| 1994 | 51.4 | 80.4 | 90.8 | 91.6 | 92.6 | 92.7 | 91.9 | 86.5 | 71.8 | 46.2 | 17.6 | 6.7 |  |
| 1995 | 50.4 | 79.6 | 90 | 92 | 92.4 | 91.9 | 91 | 86.7 | 71.8 | 43.3 | 16.7 | 6 |  |
| 1996 | 48.9 | 79.7 | 90.3 | 92.3 | 92.3 | 91.5 | 90.5 | 86.3 | 71.4 | 43.4 | 16.4 | 5.9 | ates ha |
| 1997 | 48.1 | 79.7 | 90.6 | 92.1 | 92.6 | 92.5 | 90.2 | 86.1 | 71.5 | 45.6 | 16.7 | 5.8 |  |
| 1998 | 48.4 | 79.2 | 91.2 | 92.9 | 92.9 | 91.9 | 90.6 | 85.5 | 70.5 | 44.5 | 17.7 | 6.1 | include th |
| 1999 | 50.8 | 80.5 | 90.7 | 92.6 | 93 | 92.3 | 90.9 | 85.9 | 71.8 | 46.2 | 16.9 | 6 | Armed |
| 2000 | 51.9 | 80.3 | 90.7 | 92.8 | 92.6 | 92.3 | 91.1 | 86.2 | 72.5 | 45.8 | 16 | 6.1 | Forces. |
| 2001 | 52.7 | 80 | 91 | 92.9 | 92.6 | 92.2 | 91.1 | 86.4 | 72.1 | 46.5 | 16.1 | 6 |  |
| 2002 | 54.4 | 81.4 | 91 | 93 | 92.8 | 92.7 | 91.4 | 87.6 | 73.1 | 49.9 | 18.4 | 6.4 |  |
| 2003 | 54.9 | 81.7 | 91.2 | 93.4 | 93 | 92.7 | 91.4 | 88 | 75.4 | 51.9 | 21 | 7 |  |
| 2004 | 53.8 | 81.6 | 90.5 | 93.6 | 93.1 | 92.7 | 91.2 | 88.5 | 75.6 | 53.2 | 21.8 | 6.9 |  |
| 2006 | 53.8 | 81.6 | 90.9 | 93.6 | 93.1 | 92.7 | 91.2 | 88.9 | 76.8 | 54 | 22.4 | 7 |  |
| 2011 | 53.8 | 81.6 | 90.9 | 93.6 | 93.1 | 92.7 | 91.2 | 89.5 | 78 | 56 | 24 | 7 |  |
| 2016 | 53.8 | 81.6 | 90.9 | 93.6 | 93.1 | 92.7 | 91.2 | 90 | 79 | 57 | 25 | 7 |  |
| 2051 | 53.8 | 81.6 | 90.9 | 93.6 | 93.1 | 92.7 | 91.2 | 90 | 79 | 57 | 25 | 7 |  |

- The standard assumption has participation rates for each age-sex group change until 2016, continuing recent trends; rates are held constant after 2016.
- Note that the rates have been adjusted to include members of the Armed Forces.


## Labour Force Participation Rates: Endogeneity



- This option is available at the Canada level only. The standard assumption is that participation rates are not endogenous.


## Unemployment Rates:

| Unemployment Rates: CaNADA |  |  | $x$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of years: |  | $\stackrel{+}{\square}$ | OK |
| UFIX is the total unemployment rate standardized with the 2001 labour force distribution. For the projection period, age-sex-specific unemployment rates vary with UFIX based on a set of estimated regression equations. | Year | UFIX [\%) | For the period$1991-2004$, MEDS-Duses actual age-sexgroup unemploymentrates (adjusted toinclude the ArmedForces); the projectionsbased on UFIX begin in2005. |
|  | 1991 | 9.9 |  |
|  | 1992 | 10.9 |  |
|  | 1993 | 11.2 |  |
|  | 1994 | 10.3 |  |
|  | 1995 | 9.5 |  |
|  | 1996 | 9.7 |  |
|  | 1997 | 9.2 |  |
|  | 1998 | 8.4 |  |
|  | 1999 | 7.6 |  |
|  | 2000 | 6.8 |  |
|  | 2001 | 7.2 |  |
|  | 2002 | 7.7 |  |
|  | 2003 | 7.6 |  |
|  | 2004 | 7.2 |  |
|  | 2051 | 7.2 |  |

- The standard assumption involves little change in UFIX, the overall standardized unemployment rate.

View Output Options:

- Four Summary Tables are provided with a single area projection and two with an all province or region projection. Other tables that were selected before running the projection are also available for viewing on screen.
- If the set of tables selected for viewing is sufficiently large, a More button will appear on the screen. Click this button to view the remaining tables.


## Graphs Options:



- With a single area projection, graphs similar to the above are available on screen and may be printed one graph at a time. The first graph shows the total population by age group (<20, 20-59, and 60+). The second graph shows the total labour force by sex. The third graph shows the Birth rate, the Death rate, the net Migration rate, and the Total growth rate (i.e., the sum of the first three rates). The fourth graph shows dependency ratios for three population groups relative to the total labour force. The population groups are Total, Young (<20), and Old (65+).

- For an "all areas" projection, the bottom two graphs show the percentage distribution of the total Canadian population and labour force in the following four regions: Atlantic (NF, PE, NS, NB), Quebec, Ontario, and West (MA, SA, AL, BC, YU, NW).
- Use the > or < button to display graphs for the next or previous area. For the provinces, the display on the bottom two graphs will be the rate graphs as shown in the previous screen.


## Alternative Input Files:

To provide for a range of projections with MEDS-D, two alternative input files are supplied in addition to the standard one.

In the first file, pmeddhi.ind, assumptions of higher fertility and immigration are combined with assumptions of lower mortality to yield "high" growth. Separate assumptions are provided for Canada and each of the provinces and territories. For Canada as a whole the high growth assumptions result in a population of about 50 million in 2051, or about 27\% higher than with the standard assumptions. Underlying the high growth projections: (1) The total fertility rate for Canada is assumed to increase linearly over 10 years to 2.1 by 2013 and the final level for the provinces/territories increases by the same percentage (approximately 38\%); (2) Annual immigration into Canada is assumed to be 280 thousand, or 50 thousand higher than in the standard case; assumptions relating to interprovincial migration remain "standard"; (3) Regarding mortality, the multipliers for the rates of change of mortality rates are increased by 40 percentage points from standard values; these
changes result in increases in both male and female life expectancies of about a year and a half by 2051.

In the second file, pmeddlow.ind, assumptions of lower fertility and immigration are combined with assumptions of higher mortality to yield "low" growth. Again, separate assumptions are provided for Canada and each of the provinces and territories. For Canada as a whole the low growth assumptions result in a population of about 33 million in 2051, or about $17 \%$ lower than with the standard assumptions. Underlying the low growth projections: (1) The total fertility rate for Canada is assumed to decrease linearly over 10 years to attain a level of 1.2 by 2013 while the rates for the provinces/territories decrease by the same percentage (about 21\%); (2) Annual immigration into Canada is assumed to be 180 thousand, or 50 thousand lower than in the standard case; assumptions relating to interprovincial migration remain "standard"; (3) Regarding mortality, the multipliers for the rates of change of mortality rates are decreased by 40 percentage points from standard values; these changes result in decreases in both male and female life expectancies of about a year and a half by 2051.

## APPENDIX A:

THE POPULATION PROJECTION MODEL

## THE POPULATION PROJECTION MODEL

We describe in this section the demographic model incorporated into the MEDS-D program. For the most part, the model is the standard demographic projection model. Let $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{sxt}}$ be the cohort of people of sex $\mathbf{s}$, age $\mathbf{x}$, at the middle of year $t$. The cohort will be of age $\mathbf{x}+1$ one year later, in year $\mathbf{t}+1$. During the interval, the cohort will have been augmented by inflows of immigrants, and nonpermanent residents, and it will have been diminished by deaths, emigration of Canadians (and permanent residents), and the exit of nonpermanent residents. A few of the migrants in and the migrants out will have died after entering or leaving the country but the numbers will be so small that they can be ignored. (Migrants will have moved about half-way through the year, and thus will have been exposed to only half a year of mortality risk after arriving or departing, on average. Also, the fact of their migration indicates that they are likely to be in good health.) For all but the very youngest age group, the population of sex $s$, age $\mathbf{x + 1}$ at time $\mathbf{t + 1}$ is thus given by
(1) $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{t}+1}=\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{sxt}}\left(1-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{t}+\mathbf{1}}\right)+\mathrm{IM}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{t}+1}$
$+\operatorname{NPR}_{s, x+1, t+1}-\mathrm{EM}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{t}+1} \quad(\mathrm{~s}=1,2 ; \mathrm{x}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{xmax})$
$d_{s, x+1, t+1}$ is the rate of mortality for the cohort over the preceding one-year interval and $\mathrm{IM}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathbf{x}+1, t+1}$ is the number of immigrants (over the same interval) who are of age $\mathbf{x}+\mathbf{1}$ at time $\mathrm{t}+1$. EM refers to emigrants from Canada to other countries, and NPR to the net inflow of nonpermanent residents. (The availability of data does not support separate treatment of inflows and outflows of nonpermanent residents.) We set $\mathbf{s}=1$ for males, $\mathbf{s}=\mathbf{2}$ for females. $\mathbf{x m a x}$ is the highest age to which anyone lives.

The calculation is somewhat different for infants -- children born during the interval $\mathbf{t}$ to $\mathfrak{t}+\mathbf{1}$.

The number of persons of age 0 at $\mathbf{t}+\mathbf{1}$ (children who have not reached their first birthday) is given by
(2) $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{s}, 0, \mathrm{t}+1}=\mathrm{B}_{\mathrm{s}, \mathrm{t}+1}\left(1-\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{s}, 0, \mathrm{t}+1}\right)+\mathbf{I M} \mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{s}, 0, \mathrm{t}+1}$

$$
+\mathrm{NPR}_{\mathrm{s}, 0, \mathrm{t}+1}-\mathrm{EM}_{\mathrm{s}, 0, \mathrm{t}+1} \quad(\mathrm{~s}=1,2)
$$

$B_{s, t+1}$ is the number of live births of sex $s$ over the $t$ to $t+1$ interval and $d_{s, 0, t+1}$ is the proportion of the newborn children who die before the end of the interval. The $\mathbf{I M}$, NPR, and EM flows, which have the same definitions as before, are likely to be very small, but are included for completeness.

The rates of mortality for males and females are allowed to vary over a projection period, in accordance with user-specified assumptions. To recognize that formally we express the rates as functions of time and write
(3) $\quad d_{s x t}=d_{s x}(t) \quad(s=1,2 ; x=0,1, \ldots, x \max +1)$

The overall totals of the migration flows (symbolized, with no sex or age subscript, by $\left.\mathrm{IM}_{\mathfrak{t}}, \mathrm{NPR}_{\mathfrak{t}}, \mathrm{EM}_{\mathfrak{t}}\right)$ are also permitted to vary, again in accordance with user-specified assumptions:
(4) $\quad \mathrm{IM}_{\mathrm{t}}=\mathrm{IM}(\mathrm{t})$
(5) $\quad \operatorname{NPR}_{t}=\operatorname{NPR}(t)$
(6) $\quad \mathrm{EM}_{\mathrm{t}}=\operatorname{EM}(\mathrm{t})$

The proportionate age and sex distributions of the migrant flows are assumed constant over a projection period, and we therefore allocate the flow totals on the basis of the following relations:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathrm{IM}_{\mathrm{sxt}}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{sx}} \mathrm{IM} & (\mathrm{~s}=1,2 ; \mathrm{x}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{xmax}+1) \\
\mathrm{NPR}_{\mathrm{sxt}}=\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{sx}} \mathrm{NPR}_{\mathrm{t}} & (\mathrm{~s}=1,2 ; \mathrm{x}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{xmax}+1) \\
\mathrm{EM}_{\mathrm{sxt}}=\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{sx}} \mathrm{EM}_{\mathrm{t}} & (\mathrm{~s}=1,2 ; \mathrm{x}=0,1, \ldots, \mathrm{xmax}+1)
\end{array}
$$

$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{sx}}, \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{sx}}$, and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{s}}$ are the proportions of $\mathrm{IM}_{\mathrm{t}}, \mathrm{NPR}_{\mathrm{t}}$, and $\mathrm{EM}_{\mathrm{t}}$, respectively, allocated to sex $\mathbf{s}$, age $\mathbf{x}$, in any year t .

The number of live births is determined by applying age-specific fertility rates to the female population in the childbearing age range. The total is then allocated to males and females on the basis of a fixed sex ratio at birth. Letting $\phi$ denote the ratio of male to female births, and letting $\mathbf{B}_{1 t}$ and $B_{2 t}$ denote the numbers of male and female births, the total number of births is then allocated as follows:
(11) $\quad B_{2 t}=(1 /(1+\phi)) B_{t}$

In practice, $\phi$ is set equal to about 1.05, the mean ratio over the period 1995-2004. (The ratio is quite stable over time.)

The childbearing age range is usually defined, for statistical purposes, as 15 to 49, inclusive, and we adopt that definition. The total number of live births is then calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{t}=\sum_{x=15}^{50}\left(\left(f_{x-1, t-1}+f_{x t}\right) / 2\right)\left(\left(N_{2, x-1, t-1}+N_{2 x t}\right) / 2\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{x t}$ is the fertility rate for women of age $x$ at time $t$. (The fertility rate is the number of live births to women of a given age, divided by the number of women of that age.) $\mathbf{B}_{t}$ stands for the number of live births during the 12 months preceding the middle of year $\mathbf{t}$. A cohort of women who were of age $\mathbf{x}$ at the end of the 12-month period, and subject to fertility rate $f_{x t}$ at that time, would have been of age $x-1$ at the start of the period, and subject then to fertility rate $f_{x-1, t-1}$. The averaging of the fertility rates and cohort sizes in equation (12) is intended to take account of that. (Note that the fertility rates for $\mathrm{x}=14$ and $\mathrm{x}=50$ are set to 0 in the equation.)

There are 35 age-specific fertility rates over the childbearing range. Rather than deal with them individually for population projection purposes it is convenient to parameterize the fertility schedule so that the entire schedule can be generated from a small set of parameters. The Gompertz function fits the cumulative fertility schedule quite closely and we use it for that purpose. Letting $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{xt}}$ denote the sum of the fertility rates up to exact age $\mathbf{x}$ at time t , we then have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln F_{x t}=\ln \alpha_{t}+\left(\ln \beta_{t}\right) \gamma_{t}^{x-x_{0}} \quad(x=16,17, \ldots, 50) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ is a reference age chosen for convenience. (We set $\mathbf{x}_{0}$ equal to 28 but the choice is arbitrary; it does not affect the final calculation of fertility rates when the function is applied.) The function has three parameters, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, and each carries a $t$ subscript, indicating that it can vary over time. The Gompertz function is continuous on the interval ( $-\infty, \infty$ ). However, for our purposes it is interpretable only over the childbearing range, and we set $F_{x t}$ to 0 for integer values of $\mathbf{x}$ less than 16 and greater than 50. The age-specific fertility rates are calculated by differencing the cumulative function:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{xt}}=\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{x}+1, \mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{xt}} \quad(\mathrm{x}=15,16, \ldots, 50) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

One could project the Gompertz function, and hence the fertility rate schedule, by projecting the $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ parameters. That would be more convenient than projecting the 35 age-specific fertility rates directly. However, the parameters are not readily interpretable and we have found it preferable to project them indirectly. To do that we establish a one-to-one correspondence with three characteristics of the fertility rate distribution that are easier to understand, and hence easier to frame assumptions about, namely the total lifetime fertility rate (TLF), the median age of mothers at childbirth (MAM), and the interquartile range of age of mothers at childbirth (IRA). TLF represents the mean number of children that would be born alive to a cohort of women over the whole of its childbearing period, assuming that no members of the cohort die over that period.

MAM is a locational measure and IRA is a measure of dispersion. The three measures, taken together, provide a convenient representation of the age distribution of fertility rates in any given year. There is also a correction factor for each age to force the fertility rates generated by the Gompertz function to sum to the actual TLF in 2001. The factors are applied every year in the projection. There is a further overall adjustment to ensure the rates sum to the user-requested TLF in each year of the projection period.

We have constructed historical series for TLF, MAM, and IRA, going back to 1921. The information provided by those series establishes a basis for framing assumptions about future values. Given the future values for each year of a projection period, the corresponding values of $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ can then be found by solving the following three equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \ln \mathrm{TLF}_{\mathrm{t}}=\ln \alpha_{\mathrm{t}}+\left(\ln \beta_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \gamma_{\mathrm{t}}^{50-x_{0}}  \tag{15}\\
& \exp \left\{\mathrm{MAM}_{\mathrm{t}} \ln \gamma_{\mathrm{t}}\right\}=\ln \left(\mathrm{TLF}_{\mathrm{t}} / 2 \alpha_{\mathrm{t}}\right) / \ln \beta_{\mathrm{t}}  \tag{16}\\
& \exp \left\{\operatorname{RA}_{t} \ln \gamma_{\mathrm{t}}\right\}=\ln \left(3 \mathrm{TLF}_{t} / 4 \alpha_{\mathrm{t}}\right) / \ln \left(\mathrm{TLF}_{\mathrm{t}} / 4 \alpha_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations can be solved by straightforward application of standard iterative methods.
Projections of the labour force are required for the calculation of some of the "dependency ratios" that are available in MEDS-E. Projections are made of labour force participation rates and "excluded" populations in 24 age-sex groups (males and females 15-19, 20-24, 25-29, 30-34, 35-39, 40-44, 45-49, 50-54, 55-59, 60-64, 65-69, and 70 and over). The "excluded" population represents inmates of institutions (jails, nursing homes, etc.) and Indians living on reserves, both of which groups are excluded from the Statistics Canada Labour Force Survey. The Survey also excludes members of the armed forces and the populations of the Yukon and Northwest Territories but we have made special adjustments (based on census and other data) in order to include those in our historical and projection tables. The participation rates are projected on the basis of historical trends
and likely long-run future patterns of change (declining rates among the older population, convergence of male and female rates, and so on). The exclusion rates are assumed constant within age-sex groups. Formally, then, the labour force of $\operatorname{sex} \mathbf{s}$, age group $\mathbf{x}$, in year t , is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{s x t}=p_{s x t}\left(1-k_{s x}\right) N_{s x t} \quad(s=1,2 ; x=1,2, \ldots, 12) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbf{p}$ is the participation rate, $\mathbf{k}$ the exclusion rate, and $\mathbf{N}$ the population generated by the population projection model. (The subscript $\mathbf{x}$ is used here to denote one of the 12 age groups, rather than a single year of age. The single-age population projections are aggregated up to the agegroup level for purposes of the labour force calculations.)

For Canada, there is also provision for making particiption rates endogenous by having them respond to deviations from the standard values of two variables, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{t}}$, the national unemployment rate and $\mathbf{c}_{\mathfrak{t}}$, a measure of child care calculated as an exponentially weighted average of current and past fertility levels, which can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{t}=q c_{t-1}+(1-q) \operatorname{TLF}_{t} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

After experimentation with alternative values, q has been set to 0.85 . The equation for $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{sxt}}^{\mathrm{e}}$, the endogenous participation rate is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{sxt}}^{\mathrm{e}}=\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{sxt}}+\mathrm{a}_{0}\left(\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{u}_{\mathrm{t}}^{*}\right)+\mathrm{a}_{1}\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{t}}-\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{t}}^{*}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the superscript ${ }^{*}$ denotes standard values. The values for $\mathbf{a}_{\mathbf{0}}$ and $\mathbf{a}_{1}$ are taken from regression equation estimates of participation rates by age and sex as a function of time, time squared, $\mathbf{u}_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{t}}$.

The number of households, H , is calculated by applying household maintainer rates, h , to the population in seven age groups ( $<25,25-34,35-44,45-54,55-64,65-74,75+$ ), separately by sex, with an adjustment factor, HRAT, to allow for an overall time trend:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{sxt}}=\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{sx}} \operatorname{HRAT}_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{sxt}} \quad(\mathrm{~s}=1,2 ; \mathrm{x}=1,2, \ldots, 7) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

HRAT values for earlier census years were calculated as the ratios of actual numbers of houeholds to the numbers as they would have been if the 1991 maintainer rates for the seven male and female groups had applied. The HRAT series is projected on the basis of its observed historical trend.

## APPENDIX B:

## SELECTED CANADIAN HISTORICAL SERIES <br> 1976-2004

This appendix contains historical data starting in 1976 for some selected Canadian series; the series shown here are of particular importance in framing assumptions for projections. The CANSIM identifier numbers are shown, where applicable.

Symbols are defined as follows:
TLF -- Total lifetime fertility (number of births per woman)
MAM -- Median age of mothers at childbirth
IRA -- Interquartile range of age of mothers at childbirth
IM $\quad$-- Total Immigration
EM -- Total Emigration
NPR -- Non-permanent residents
POP -- Population
LF -- Labour force
URATE -- Unemployment rate (percent)

| CANSIM\# |  |  |  | V391099 | V391114 | v494880 | Change inNPR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | T 7 | MAM | IRA | IM | BM | NPR |  |
| 1976 | 1.765 | 26.27 | 7.31 | 170,028 | 30,315 | 98,936 |  |
| 1977 | 1.746 | 26.33 | 7.26 | 130,931 | 25,057 | 96,934 | -2,002 |
| 1978 | 1.698 | 26.41 | 7.19 | 100,967 | 31,437 | 93,937 | -2,997 |
| 1979 | 1.700 | 26.52 | 7.10 | 84,518 | 30,857 | 101,927 | 7,990 |
| 1980 | 1.676 | 26.55 | 7.10 | 143,825 | 20,521 | 116,913 | 14,986 |
| 1981 | 1.648 | 26.66 | 7.09 | 127,238 | 17,819 | 130,206 | 13,293 |
| 1982 | 1.637 | 26.69 | 7.20 | 135,339 | 29,110 | 142,503 | 12,297 |
| 1983 | 1.625 | 26.82 | 7.18 | 101,404 | 31,121 | 146,273 | 3,770 |
| 1984 | 1.630 | 26.98 | 7.18 | 88,592 | 31,750 | 151,338 | 5,065 |
| 1985 | 1.613 | 27.09 | 7.18 | 83,925 | 28,134 | 155,049 | 3,711 |
| 1986 | 1.600 | 27.18 | 7.23 | 88,657 | 24,794 | 177,485 | 22,436 |
| 1987 | 1.578 | 27.29 | 7.32 | 130,880 | 31,006 | 236,176 | 58,691 |
| 1988 | 1.607 | 27.37 | 7.39 | 152,211 | 26,685 | 278,353 | 42,177 |
| 1989 | 1.670 | 27.41 | 7.52 | 177,632 | 26,308 | 419,101 | 140,748 |
| 1990 | 1.720 | 27.49 | 7.57 | 203,357 | 25,834 | 447,930 | 28,829 |
| 1991 | 1.710 | 27.54 | 7.67 | 221,382 | 28,484 | 395,077 | -52,853 |
| 1992 | 1.710 | 27.66 | 7.71 | 244,281 | 49,475 | 352,158 | -42,919 |
| 1993 | 1.690 | 27.74 | 7.82 | 266,890 | 48,458 | 280,973 | -71,185 |
| 1994 | 1.690 | 27.81 | 7.88 | 235,360 | 52,844 | 258,777 | -22,196 |
| 1995 | 1.670 | 27.92 | 7.94 | 220,738 | 53,426 | 244,625 | -14,152 |
| 1996 | 1.620 | 28.09 | 7.94 | 217,478 | 49,106 | 243,799 | -826 |
| 1997 | 1.552 | 28.23 | 7.94 | 224,857 | 59,423 | 243,981 | 182 |
| 1998 | 1.539 | 28.28 | 8.01 | 194,459 | 58,708 | 239,998 | -3,983 |
| 1999 | 1.523 | 28.44 | 8.00 | 173,194 | 56,084 | 258,315 | 18,317 |
| 2000 | 1.505 | 28.57 | 7.96 | 205,710 | 55,973 | 283,347 | 25,032 |
| 2001 | 1.532 | 28.81 | 7.85 | 252,533 | 55,419 | 318,974 | 35,627 |
| 2002 | 1.501 | 28.94 | 7.86 | 256,334 | 46,409 | 352,724 | 33,750 |
| 2003 | 1.525 | 29.10 | 7.84 | 199,212 | 46,500 | 383,286 | 30,562 |
| 2004 |  |  |  | 239,116 | 46,708 | 379,012 | -4,274 |

Note: Before1991, TIF, MAM, and IRA do not indude Newfoundand

| CANSIM \# | $v 1$ |  | IMPPP-1 |  | EWPCP-1 | EWLF-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | POP | LF |  | IMLF-1 |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | - percent - |  |  |
| 1976 | 23,449,791 | 10,561,869 |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 23,725,921 | 10,857,746 | 0.56 | 1.24 | 0.11 | 0.24 |
| 1978 | 23,963,370 | 11,229,630 | 0.43 | 0.93 | 0.13 | 0.29 |
| 1979 | 24,201,801 | 11,614,300 | 0.35 | 0.75 | 0.13 | 0.27 |
| 1980 | 24,516,071 | 11,959,306 | 0.59 | 1.24 | 0.08 | 0.18 |
| 1981 | 24,820,393 | 12,318,103 | 0.52 | 1.06 | 0.07 | 0.15 |
| 1982 | 25,117,442 | 12,384,547 | 0.55 | 1.10 | 0.12 | 0.24 |
| 1983 | 25,366,969 | 12,611,866 | 0.40 | 0.82 | 0.12 | 0.25 |
| 1984 | 25,607,651 | 12,833,647 | 0.35 | 0.70 | 0.13 | 0.25 |
| 1985 | 25,842,736 | 13,099,927 | 0.33 | 0.65 | 0.11 | 0.22 |
| 1986 | 26,101,155 | 13,361,373 | 0.34 | 0.68 | 0.10 | 0.19 |
| 1987 | 26,448,855 | 13,617,485 | 0.50 | 0.98 | 0.12 | 0.23 |
| 1988 | 26,795,383 | 13,871,784 | 0.58 | 1.12 | 0.10 | 0.20 |
| 1989 | 27,281,795 | 14,145,009 | 0.66 | 1.28 | 0.10 | 0.19 |
| 1990 | 27,697,530 | 14,336,086 | 0.75 | 1.44 | 0.09 | 0.18 |
| 1991 | 28,031,394 | 14,428,000 | 0.80 | 1.54 | 0.10 | 0.20 |
| 1992 | 28,366,737 | 14,413,000 | 0.87 | 1.69 | 0.18 | 0.34 |
| 1993 | 28,681,676 | 14,509,000 | 0.94 | 1.85 | 0.17 | 0.34 |
| 1994 | 28,999,006 | 14,636,000 | 0.82 | 1.62 | 0.18 | 0.36 |
| 1995 | 29,302,091 | 14,736,000 | 0.76 | 1.51 | 0.18 | 0.37 |
| 1996 | 29,610,757 | 14,885,000 | 0.74 | 1.48 | 0.17 | 0.33 |
| 1997 | 29,907,172 | 15,115,000 | 0.76 | 1.51 | 0.20 | 0.40 |
| 1998 | 30,157,082 | 15,351,000 | 0.65 | 1.29 | 0.20 | 0.39 |
| 1999 | 30,403,878 | 15,636,000 | 0.57 | 1.13 | 0.19 | 0.37 |
| 2000 | 30,689,035 | 15,912,000 | 0.68 | 1.32 | 0.18 | 0.36 |
| 2001 | 31,021,251 | 16,168,000 | 0.82 | 1.59 | 0.18 | 0.35 |
| 2002 | 31,372,587 | 16,649,000 | 0.83 | 1.59 | 0.15 | 0.29 |
| 2003 | 31,660,466 | 17,042,000 | 0.63 | 1.20 | 0.15 | 0.28 |
| 2004 | 31,946,316 | 17,273,000 | 0.76 | 1.40 | 0.15 | 0.27 |

Note: LFhas been adustedto indude Armed Forces, Yukon, MWT and NunaMt.

Labour ForceParticipation Pates by Age Group

| CANSIM\# | Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Bath |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| , 2461... | 463 | 464 | 465 | 466 | 467 | 468 | 469 | 470 | 471 | 472 | 474 | 475 | 245 |
| Yeø | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50-54 | 55-59 | 60.64 | 65-69 | 70+ | 15+ |
| 1976 | 53.2 | 85.8 | 94.4 | 96.2 | 96.2 | 95.3 | 93.8 | 90.7 | 84.2 | 66.5 | 24.5 | 9.2 | 61.5 |
| 1977 | 54.8 | 85.9 | 94.0 | 96.0 | 95.9 | 95.1 | 93.3 | 90.4 | 83.5 | 64.7 | 23.2 | 8.1 | 61.9 |
| 1978 | 55.6 | 86.7 | 94.4 | 96.3 | 96.2 | 95.0 | 93.8 | 90.8 | 83.0 | 65.1 | 21.5 | 8.5 | 62.7 |
| 1979 | 58.1 | 87.2 | 94.6 | 96.2 | 96.5 | 95.4 | 93.8 | 90.4 | 82.4 | 65.3 | 22.5 | 7.9 | 63.7 |
| 1980 | 59.0 | 87.4 | 94.2 | 96.0 | 95.8 | 95.5 | 93.6 | 90.3 | 82.9 | 63.9 | 21.4 | 7.6 | 64.3 |
| 1981 | 59.2 | 87.5 | 94.2 | 96.1 | 96.1 | 95.5 | 94.0 | 90.8 | 82.1 | 63.7 | 19.9 | 7.9 | 65.0 |
| 1982 | 54.9 | 85.5 | 92.9 | 95.0 | 95.4 | 94.4 | 92.7 | 90.1 | 80.9 | 62.0 | 20.9 | 7.3 | 64.4 |
| 1983 | 54.1 | 85.3 | 92.5 | 94.5 | 95.0 | 94.5 | 93.0 | 90.5 | 81.1 | 59.9 | 19.8 | 7.2 | 64.7 |
| 1984 | 54.6 | 84.5 | 92.3 | 94.8 | 95.2 | 94.2 | 92.9 | 88.6 | 80.1 | 59.2 | 19.0 | 7.4 | 65.0 |
| 1985 | 54.5 | 84.7 | 92.9 | 94.4 | 95.4 | 94.6 | 92.7 | 88.5 | 80.4 | 55.7 | 18.5 | 7.6 | 65.6 |
| 1986 | 56.6 | 85.2 | 93.2 | 95.1 | 94.8 | 94.5 | 93.1 | 89.2 | 78.2 | 55.2 | 17.5 | 7.1 | 66.1 |
| 1987 | 58.4 | 85.2 | 93.0 | 94.7 | 94.8 | 94.9 | 93.2 | 90.7 | 78.1 | 51.4 | 18.1 | 7.0 | 66.5 |
| 1988 | 59.0 | 85.4 | 93.1 | 94.8 | 94.2 | 95.0 | 93.2 | 88.9 | 77.8 | 51.5 | 17.2 | 6.6 | 66.8 |
| 1989 | 61.0 | 85.3 | 93.3 | 94.7 | 94.3 | 94.7 | 93.3 | 89.1 | 77.6 | 51.3 | 16.3 | 6.7 | 67.2 |
| 1990 | 60.1 | 83.9 | 92.8 | 94.3 | 94.3 | 94.1 | 92.5 | 88.5 | 76.1 | 50.9 | 17.1 | 6.8 | 67.1 |
| 1991 | 57.0 | 82.4 | 91.9 | 93.2 | 93.7 | 93.7 | 92.7 | 87.8 | 75.9 | 47.7 | 17.4 | 7.2 | 66.6 |
| 1992 | 53.7 | 81.2 | 90.8 | 92.0 | 92.7 | 92.7 | 91.5 | 87.4 | 73.9 | 47.9 | 17.8 | 6.2 | 65.7 |
| 1993 | 51.9 | 80.6 | 90.2 | 92.7 | 92.6 | 92.8 | 91.6 | 86.7 | 72.9 | 46.7 | 16.0 | 6.1 | 65.3 |
| 1994 | 51.3 | 80.3 | 90.6 | 91.5 | 92.5 | 92.6 | 91.9 | 86.5 | 71.8 | 46.2 | 17.6 | 6.7 | 65.1 |
| 1995 | 50.3 | 79.5 | 89.8 | 91.9 | 92.3 | 91.8 | 91.0 | 86.7 | 71.8 | 43.3 | 16.7 | 6.0 | 64.8 |
| 1996 | 48.8 | 79.6 | 90.1 | 92.2 | 92.2 | 91.4 | 90.5 | 86.3 | 71.4 | 43.4 | 16.4 | 5.9 | 64.6 |
| 1997 | 48.0 | 79.6 | 90.4 | 92.0 | 92.5 | 92.4 | 90.2 | 86.1 | 71.5 | 45.6 | 16.7 | 5.8 | 64.8 |
| 1998 | 48.3 | 79.1 | 91.0 | 92.8 | 92.8 | 91.8 | 90.6 | 85.5 | 70.5 | 44.5 | 17.7 | 6.1 | 65.0 |
| 1999 | 50.7 | 80.4 | 90.6 | 92.5 | 92.9 | 92.2 | 90.9 | 85.9 | 71.8 | 46.2 | 16.9 | 6.0 | 65.5 |
| 2000 | 51.8 | 80.2 | 90.6 | 92.7 | 92.5 | 92.2 | 91.1 | 86.2 | 72.5 | 45.8 | 16.0 | 6.1 | 65.8 |
| 2001 | 52.6 | 79.9 | 90.9 | 92.8 | 92.5 | 92.1 | 91.1 | 86.4 | 72.1 | 46.5 | 16.1 | 6.0 | 65.9 |
| 2002 | 54.3 | 81.3 | 90.9 | 92.9 | 92.7 | 92.6 | 91.4 | 87.6 | 73.1 | 49.9 | 18.4 | 6.4 | 66.9 |
| 2003 | 54.8 | 81.6 | 91.1 | 93.3 | 92.9 | 92.6 | 91.4 | 88.0 | 75.4 | 51.9 | 21.0 | 7.0 | 67.5 |
| 2004 | 53.7 | 81.5 | 90.4 | 93.5 | 93.0 | 92.6 | 91.2 | 88.5 | 75.6 | 53.2 | 21.8 | 6.9 | 67.6 |


| CANSIM\# <br> V2461.. | Labour Force Participation Rates by Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LRAIE } \\ & \text { Bath } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Fem |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 673 | 674 | 675 | 676 | 677 | 678 | 679 | 680 | 681 | 682 | 684 | 685 | 224 |
| Year | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40-44 | 45-49 | 50-54 | 55-59 | 60-64 | 6569 | 70+ | 15+ |
| 1976 | 47.7 | 69.1 | 57.3 | 50.8 | 53.2 | 53.5 | 50.7 | 45.5 | 38.2 | 24.4 | 7.8 | 2.2 | 7.1 |
| 1977 | 47.7 | 71.1 | 58.3 | 53.0 | 55.4 | 55.9 | 52.3 | 44.6 | 37.5 | 24.2 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 8.0 |
| 1978 | 48.9 | 72.2 | 61.8 | 56.3 | 58.1 | 57.6 | 53.4 | 46.1 | 37.4 | 23.6 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 8.4 |
| 1979 | 51.7 | 73.1 | 63.7 | 58.2 | 60.0 | 58.5 | 54.9 | 47.7 | 38.7 | 25.9 | 7.7 | 1.8 | 7.5 |
| 1980 | 52.9 | 74.6 | 65.5 | 60.5 | 61.5 | 61.1 | 56.9 | 49.2 | 38.8 | 25.0 | 7.5 | 1.8 | 7.5 |
| 1981 | 54.1 | 74.9 | 67.9 | 63.7 | 64.4 | 64.0 | 58.7 | 51.1 | 38.9 | 25.0 | 7.1 | 2.3 | 7.6 |
| 1982 | 51.6 | 74.8 | 68.3 | 64.1 | 66.0 | 65.6 | 60.1 | 51.0 | 40.3 | 24.5 | 7.7 | 1.9 | 11.0 |
| 1983 | 51.2 | 75.9 | 70.1 | 65.9 | 67.1 | 66.7 | 61.8 | 53.2 | 39.5 | 25.3 | 6.4 | 2.3 | 12.0 |
| 1984 | 51.2 | 76.4 | 71.4 | 67.8 | 69.0 | 69.0 | 62.8 | 53.7 | 39.5 | 25.0 | 7.0 | 2.3 | 11.3 |
| 1985 | 52.6 | 75.9 | 72.9 | 70.0 | 70.4 | 70.0 | 66.0 | 56.0 | 42.3 | 24.1 | 7.8 | 2.1 | 10.6 |
| 1986 | 53.5 | 77.8 | 75.0 | 72.5 | 71.7 | 73.0 | 65.7 | 55.0 | 41.7 | 23.5 | 6.7 | 1.6 | 9.7 |
| 1987 | 55.0 | 77.8 | 75.6 | 72.7 | 74.0 | 73.3 | 68.4 | 57.5 | 43.4 | 24.6 | 6.6 | 1.6 | 8.8 |
| 1988 | 56.8 | 77.8 | 76.3 | 73.3 | 76.3 | 75.3 | 71.7 | 59.4 | 44.2 | 24.3 | 6.7 | 1.9 | 7.8 |
| 1989 | 57.3 | 78.3 | 77.3 | 74.9 | 77.2 | 76.7 | 71.6 | 62.1 | 44.8 | 22.4 | 7.2 | 2.1 | 7.6 |
| 1990 | 56.4 | 77.2 | 78.5 | 75.7 | 77.4 | 79.1 | 73.2 | 62.1 | 45.3 | 24.1 | 6.9 | 1.9 | 8.2 |
| 1991 | 54.7 | 76.5 | 78.0 | 76.3 | 77.6 | 79.1 | 74.3 | 64.2 | 46.1 | 24.0 | 6.8 | 1.7 | 10.3 |
| 1992 | 52.1 | 75.7 | 76.7 | 75.5 | 76.8 | 78.1 | 75.3 | 65.0 | 47.6 | 23.2 | 7.5 | 1.5 | 11.2 |
| 1993 | 50.0 | 73.7 | 76.5 | 75.0 | 77.9 | 78.9 | 75.3 | 66.7 | 47.0 | 24.3 | 7.5 | 1.7 | 11.4 |
| 1994 | 49.4 | 73.7 | 76.4 | 74.9 | 77.5 | 78.8 | 75.9 | 64.8 | 48.4 | 24.9 | 7.3 | 1.6 | 10.4 |
| 1995 | 49.2 | 73.1 | 76.2 | 76.0 | 77.3 | 78.9 | 76.2 | 65.9 | 48.1 | 23.4 | 7.4 | 1.6 | 9.6 |
| 1996 | 47.4 | 73.0 | 76.9 | 77.2 | 77.2 | 79.5 | 75.8 | 65.6 | 48.2 | 23.2 | 7.1 | 1.7 | 9.7 |
| 1997 | 46.2 | 72.2 | 78.0 | 77.5 | 77.8 | 80.1 | 77.0 | 67.3 | 47.8 | 24.0 | 7.8 | 1.8 | 9.2 |
| 1998 | 47.8 | 72.7 | 79.4 | 77.8 | 78.6 | 79.6 | 78.4 | 68.6 | 49.9 | 25.1 | 7.4 | 1.9 | 8.4 |
| 1999 | 49.7 | 73.5 | 80.0 | 78.3 | 79.1 | 80.6 | 78.5 | 70.4 | 50.3 | 25.7 | 7.2 | 1.8 | 7.6 |
| 2000 | 51.8 | 74.0 | 79.9 | 79.3 | 79.4 | 80.8 | 79.3 | 70.9 | 53.0 | 27.0 | 7.2 | 1.7 | 6.8 |
| 2001 | 52.0 | 74.4 | 80.6 | 79.3 | 79.8 | 81.6 | 79.8 | 72.6 | 53.3 | 27.4 | 7.8 | 1.7 | 7.2 |
| 2002 | 55.2 | 75.2 | 80.8 | 80.1 | 81.5 | 82.6 | 81.6 | 74.9 | 54.5 | 30.3 | 8.8 | 1.8 | 7.7 |
| 2003 | 55.2 | 77.2 | 81.3 | 80.9 | 82.1 | 82.4 | 82.3 | 76.9 | 60.0 | 32.2 | 10.3 | 1.9 | 7.6 |
| 2004 | 55.4 | 76.4 | 81.8 | 81.9 | 82.3 | 83.0 | 82.5 | 77.4 | 60.1 | 34.5 | 11.0 | 1.9 | 7.2 |

## APPENDIX C:

## SELECTED OUTPUT OF MEDS-D SYSTEM



MEDS-D TABLE 1: PROJECTION SUMMARY PART 1 CANADA
ID: STANDARD (AS OF 14/SEP/05)
DATE: 19SEP2005

| YEAR | POPULATION |  |  | LABOUR FORCE |  |  | TOTAL | HOUSE HOLD SIZE | \% OF TPOP |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | HOUSE |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL $\text { (' } 000 \text { ) }$ | CHANGE ('000 ( | GROWTH <br> (\%) | TOTAL ('000) | CHANGE ('000 ( | GROWTH <br> (\%) | $\begin{aligned} & \text {-HOLDS } \\ & \text { ('000) } \end{aligned}$ |  | <15 | 65+ |
| 2001 | 31021. | 1411. | 4.76 | 16168. | 1283. | 8.62 | 12216. | 2.54 | 18.9 | 12.6 |
| 2006 | 32508. | 1487. | 4.79 | 17755 | 1587. | 9.81 | 13177. | 2.47 | 17.3 | 13.2 |
| 2011 | 33899. | 1391. | 4.28 | 18635. | 880. | 4.96 | 14114. | 2.40 | 16.1 | 14.4 |
| 2016 | 35237. | 1338. | 3.95 | 19123. | 488. | 2.62 | 15029. | 2.34 | 15.6 | 16.5 |
| 2021 | 36472. | 1235. | 3.50 | 19161. | 38. | . 20 | 15909. | 2.29 | 15.4 | 18.9 |
| 2026 | 37531. | 1059. | 2.90 | 19095. | -66. | -. 35 | 16658. | 2.25 | 15.1 | 21.6 |
| 2031 | 38342. | 810. | 2.16 | 19073. | -22. | -. 11 | 17264. | 2.22 | 14.6 | 23.9 |
| 2036 | 38888. | 546. | 1.42 | 19129. | 56. | . 29 | 17712. | 2.20 | 14.1 | 24.9 |
| 2041 | 39208. | 320. | . 82 | 19160. | 31. | . 16 | 18009. | 2.18 | 13.8 | 25.5 |
| 2046 | 39359. | 151. | . 39 | 19090. | -69. | -. 36 | 18162. | 2.17 | 13.7 | 26.1 |
| 2051 | 39400. | 41. | . 10 | 18935. | . -155. | -. 81 | 18227. | 2.16 | 13.8 | 26.7 |

Note: TPOP is total population.
Note: The growth rates show total growth over the preceding 5 years.

MEDS-D TABLE 2: PROJECTION SUMMARY PART 2 CANADA ID: STANDARD (AS OF 14/SEP/05)

DATE: 19SEP2005

| YEAR | IMMI - <br> GRA - <br> TION | EMI - <br> GRA- <br> TION | CHANGE IN NPR | NET <br> IN- <br> FLOW | BIRTHS | DEATHS | NAT- | RATIO OF TPOP TO- |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | URAL |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | INC- |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | REASE | P20-64 | LF |


| 2001 | 253.0 | 55.2 | 36.0 | 233.8 | 333.1 | 225.2 | 108.0 | 1.62 | 1.92 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2006 | 230.0 | 48.4 | .0 | 181.6 | 335.9 | 234.6 | 101.4 | 1.59 | 1.83 |
| 2011 | 230.0 | 50.9 | .0 | 179.1 | 347.3 | 251.3 | 96.0 | 1.58 | 1.82 |
| 2016 | 230.0 | 53.3 | .0 | 176.7 | 355.9 | 271.3 | 84.6 | 1.61 | 1.84 |
| 2021 | 230.0 | 55.6 | .0 | 174.4 | 356.6 | 295.3 | 61.3 | 1.65 | 1.90 |
| 2026 | 230.0 | 57.7 | .0 | 172.3 | 348.5 | 326.9 | 21.6 | 1.72 | 1.97 |
| 2031 | 230.0 | 59.5 | .0 | 170.5 | 337.4 | 367.4 | -30.1 | 1.78 | 2.01 |
| 2036 | 230.0 | 60.8 | .0 | 169.2 | 333.3 | 412.9 | -79.6 | 1.80 | 2.03 |
| 2041 | 230.0 | 61.8 | .0 | 168.2 | 336.3 | 455.8 | -119.5 | 1.80 | 2.05 |
| 2046 | 230.0 | 62.5 | .0 | 167.5 | 339.9 | 487.8 | -147.9 | 1.81 | 2.06 |
| 2051 | 230.0 | 63.0 | .0 | 167.0 | 339.8 | 504.6 | -164.9 | 1.83 | 2.08 |

Note: NPR is non-permanent residents; TPOP is total population; P20-64 is population aged 20 to 64; LF is total labour force.
All numbers of persons are in thousands.

MEDS-D TABLE 3: PROJECTION SUMMARY PART 3 CANADA
ID: STANDARD (AS OF 14/SEP/05)
DATE: 19SEP2005

| YEAR | TOTAL FERTILITY RATE |  |  | NAT URAL INCREASE | LIFE EXPECTANCY AT -- |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LF } \\ & \text { PART } \\ & \text { RATE } \end{aligned}$ | LF$\%$FEMALE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | AGE 0 |  | AGE 65 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | M | F | M | F |  |  |
| 2001 | 1.53 | 10.8 | 7.3 | 3.5 | 76.9 | 81.9 | 16.7 | 20.4 | 66.0 | 46.0 |
| 2006 | 1.52 | 10.4 | 7.2 | 3.1 | 78.4 | 82.6 | 17.5 | 20.8 | 67.8 | 46.7 |
| 2011 | 1.52 | 10.3 | 7.4 | 2.8 | 79.5 | 83.2 | 18.1 | 21.2 | 67.3 | 46.9 |
| 2016 | 1.52 | 10.1 | 7.7 | 2.4 | 80.4 | 83.8 | 18.5 | 21.5 | 66.1 | 46.9 |
| 2021 | 1.52 | 9.8 | 8.1 | 1.7 | 81.0 | 84.2 | 18.9 | 21.8 | 63.9 | 46.7 |
| 2026 | 1.52 | 9.3 | 8.7 | . 6 | 81.5 | 84.6 | 19.1 | 22.1 | 61.8 | 46.7 |
| 2031 | 1.52 | 8.8 | 9.6 | -. 8 | 81.8 | 85.0 | 19.4 | 22.4 | 60.2 | 46.7 |
| 2036 | 1.52 | 8.6 | 10.6 | -2.0 | 82.1 | 85.3 | 19.5 | 22.6 | 59.3 | 46.7 |
| 2041 | 1.52 | 8.6 | 11.6 | -3.0 | 82.4 | 85.6 | 19.7 | 22.8 | 58.7 | 46.7 |
| 2046 | 1.52 | 8.6 | 12.4 | -3.8 | 82.6 | 85.9 | 19.9 | 23.0 | 58.2 | 46.6 |
| 2051 | 1.52 | 8.6 | 12.8 | -4.2 | 82.8 | 86.1 | 20.0 | 23.2 | 57.7 | 46.6 |

Note: LF is total labour force.

MEDS-D TABLE 4: PROJECTION SUMMARY PART 4 CANADA
ID: STANDARD (AS OF 14/SEP/05)
DATE: 19SEP2005

| YEAR | MEDIAN AGE OF POPULATION |  |  |  |  | MEDIAN AGE OF LF |  |  | U RATE (\%) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | TOTAL | MALE | FEMALE | $\begin{gathered} \text { AGE } \\ 20-64 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AGE } \\ & \text { 65+ } \end{aligned}$ | TOTAL | MALE | FEMALE |  |


| 2001 | 37.2 | 36.3 | 38.0 | 40.9 | 73.9 | 39.1 | 39.3 | 38.8 | 7.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2006 | 38.9 | 37.9 | 39.8 | 42.3 | 74.4 | 40.5 | 40.7 | 40.2 | 7.2 |
| 2011 | 40.2 | 39.3 | 41.1 | 43.0 | 73.9 | 41.0 | 41.3 | 40.7 | 7.2 |
| 2016 | 41.3 | 40.5 | 42.2 | 43.1 | 73.2 | 41.2 | 41.5 | 40.9 | 7.2 |
| 2021 | 42.5 | 41.7 | 43.2 | 43.1 | 73.3 | 41.4 | 41.8 | 40.9 | 7.2 |
| 2026 | 43.7 | 43.0 | 44.4 | 43.3 | 73.6 | 41.6 | 42.1 | 41.2 | 7.2 |
| 2031 | 44.8 | 44.0 | 45.6 | 43.3 | 74.3 | 41.8 | 42.3 | 41.4 | 7.2 |
| 2036 | 45.7 | 45.0 | 46.5 | 43.7 | 75.4 | 42.2 | 42.6 | 41.7 | 7.2 |
| 2041 | 46.5 | 45.7 | 47.4 | 44.0 | 76.3 | 42.4 | 42.8 | 41.8 | 7.2 |
| 2046 | 46.9 | 45.9 | 47.9 | 43.8 | 76.4 | 42.2 | 42.6 | 41.6 | 7.2 |
| 2051 | 46.9 | 45.8 | 47.9 | 43.6 | 76.1 | 42.0 | 42.5 | 41.5 | 7.2 |

Note: LF is total labour force; $U$ RATE is total unemployment rate.

```
MEDS-D TABLE 5: PROJECTED POPULATION ONTARIO BOTH SEXES
    ID: STANDARD (AS OF 14/SEP/05)
                                    DATE: 19SEP2005
                                    AGE GROUP
YEAR TOTAL
                            0-4 5-14 15-19 20-24 25-44 45-54 55-64 65-74 75+
                            - THOUSANDS
2001 11898. 708.2 1600.8 805.1 784.8 3751.4 1671.7 1086.3 827.7 661.6
2006 12717. 676.5 1602.1 846.2 851.0 3820.8 1905.6 1376.6 868.3 770.0
2011 13491. 700.0 1526.4 886.4 887.8 3854.3 2127.3 1649.4 1004.5 854.9
2016 14242. 734.4 1514.9 842.4 926.4 3996.3 2126.8 1880.5 1279.6 940.5
2021 14971. 762.8 1570.7 808.3 882.4 4176.3 2045.3 2099.5 1536.9 1088.5
2026 15651. 775.5 1632.3 829.7 848.3 4258.1 2094.6 2102.1 1760.5 1350.4
```



| MEDS-D | TABLE 7 | PROJECTED POPULATION ID: STANDARD (AS OF |  |  | ONTARIO14/SEP/05) |  |  | BOTH SEXES <br> DATE: 19SEP2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AGE GROUP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 0-4 | 5-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-44 | 45-54 | 55-64 | 65-74 | 75+ |
| - PERCENTAGE GROWTH RATE - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2006 | 6.9 | -4.5 | . 1 | 5.1 | 8.4 | 1.8 | 14.0 | 26.7 | 4.9 | 16.4 |
| 2011 | 6.1 | 3.5 | -4.7 | 4.7 | 4.3 | . 9 | 11.6 | 19.8 | 15.7 | 11.0 |
| 2016 | 5.6 | 4.9 | -. 8 | -5.0 | 4.4 | 3.7 | . 0 | 14.0 | 27.4 | 10.0 |
| 2021 | 5.1 | 3.9 | 3.7 | -4.0 | -4.8 | 4.5 | -3.8 | 11.7 | 20.1 | 15.7 |
| 2026 | 4.5 | 1.7 | 3.9 | 2.6 | -3.9 | 2.0 | 2.4 | . 1 | 14.5 | 24.1 |

Note: The growth rates show total growth over the preceding 5 years.


MEDS-D TABLE 5: PROJECTED POPULATION CANADA (SUM)
BOTH SEXES
ID: STANDARD (AS OF 14/SEP/05)
DATE: 19SEP2005


- THOUSANDS

| 2001 | 31021 | 522 | 137 | 932 | 750 | 7397 | 11898 | 1151 | 1000 | 3057 | 4078 | 30 | 69 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2011 | 33885 | 507 | 142 | 941 | 753 | 7823 | 13491 | 1220 | 1002 | 3484 | 4411 | 31 | 80 |
| 2021 | 36420 | 496 | 145 | 938 | 748 | 8180 | 14971 | 1309 | 1044 | 3763 | 4699 | 32 | 94 |
| 2031 | 38264 | 465 | 143 | 908 | 720 | 8358 | 16246 | 1383 | 1071 | 3950 | 4879 | 32 | 108 |
| 2041 | 39127 | 417 | 137 | 847 | 671 | 8319 | 17122 | 1431 | 1080 | 4034 | 4915 | 30 | 122 |
| 2051 | 39324 | 362 | 128 | 771 | 609 | 8162 | 17690 | 1466 | 1077 | 4037 | 4857 | 29 | 136 |



- PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION -

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2001 | 100.0 | 1.7 | .4 | 3.0 | 2.4 | 23.8 | 38.4 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 9.9 | 13.1 | .1 | .2 |
| 2011 | 100.0 | 1.5 | .4 | 2.8 | 2.2 | 23.1 | 39.8 | 3.6 | 3.0 | 10.3 | 13.0 | .1 | .2 |
| 2021 | 100.0 | 1.4 | .4 | 2.6 | 2.1 | 22.5 | 41.1 | 3.6 | 2.9 | 10.3 | 12.9 | .1 | .3 |
| 2031 | 100.0 | 1.2 | .4 | 2.4 | 1.9 | 21.8 | 42.5 | 3.6 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 12.8 | .1 | .3 |
| 2041 | 100.0 | 1.1 | .4 | 2.2 | 1.7 | 21.3 | 43.8 | 3.7 | 2.8 | 10.3 | 12.6 | .1 | .3 |
| 2051 | 100.0 | .9 | .3 | 2.0 | 1.5 | 20.8 | 45.0 | 3.7 | 2.7 | 10.3 | 12.4 | .1 | .3 |

MEDS-D TABLE 7: PROJECTED POPULATION CANADA (SUM) BOTH SEXES
ID: STANDARD (AS OF 14/SEP/05)
DATE: 19SEP2005

| AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR | CA | NF | PE | NS | NB | QU | ON | MA | SA | AL | B | YU | NN |
| - PERCENTAGE GROWTH RATE - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2011 | . 9 | -. 3 | . 4 | . 1 | . 0 | . 6 | 1.3 | . 6 | . 0 | 1.3 | . 8 | 3 | 1.6 |
| 2021 | . 7 | -. 2 | . 2 | . 0 | -. 1 | . 4 | 1.0 | . 7 | . 4 | . 8 | . 6 | . 3 | 1.6 |
| 2031 | . 5 | -. 7 | -. 1 | -. 3 | -. 4 | . 2 | . 8 | . 6 | . 2 | . 5 | . 4 | -. 1 | 1.4 |
| 2041 | . 2 | -1.1 | -. 4 | -. 7 | -. 7 | . 0 | . 5 | . 3 | . 1 | . 2 | . 1 | -. 4 | 1.2 |
| 2051 | . 1 | -1.4 | -. 7 | -. 9 | -1.0 | -. 2 | . 3 | . 2 | . 0 | . 0 | -. 1 | -. 6 | 1.1 |

Note: The growth rates show annual average growth over the preceding 10 years.

| MEDS-D | TABLE | 26: P | PROJECTED MEDIAN AGE OF POPULATION ID: STANDARD (AS OF 14/SEP/05) |  |  |  |  |  |  | BOTH SEXES <br> DATE: 19SEP2005 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PRO |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | NF | PE | NS | NB | QU | ON | MA | SA | AL | BC | YU | NN |
| 2001 | 38.1 | 37.6 | 38.5 | 38.2 | 38.5 | 36.7 | 36.4 | 36.4 | 34.7 | 37.9 | 35.8 | 26.8 |
| 2011 | 44.2 | 42.1 | 43.1 | 43.1 | 41.9 | 39.5 | 38.1 | 38.1 | 37.0 | 41.8 | 39.5 | 27.9 |
| 2021 | 48.6 | 45.7 | 46.6 | 47.0 | 44.0 | 41.6 | 39.4 | 39.4 | 39.9 | 44.7 | 40.9 | 29.5 |
| 2031 | 52.1 | 48.9 | 49.7 | 50.3 | 46.3 | 43.7 | 41.1 | 41.6 | 42.7 | 47.3 | 43.9 | 30.6 |
| 2041 | 55.4 | 51.8 | 52.2 | 52.8 | 47.8 | 45.5 | 42.3 | 42.6 | 44.1 | 49.4 | 46.0 | 30.7 |
| 2051 | 57.3 | 53.7 | 53.9 | 54.2 | 47.9 | 46.1 | 42.2 | 42.3 | 44.1 | 50.1 | 45.0 | 31.1 |

MEDS-D TABLE 9: PROJECTED LABOUR FORCE CANADA (SUM) ID: STANDARD (AS OF 14/SEP/05)

BOTH SEXES
DATE: 19SEP2005

AREA

| YEAR | AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | CAN | ATL | QUE | ONT | PRA | BC | NOR |
|  | - THOUSANDS - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001 | 16180. | 1157. | 3772. | 6329. | 2788. | 2084. | 52. |
| 2011 | 18656. | 1213. | 4186. | 7580. | 3244. | 2372. | 61. |
| 2021 | 19186. | 1114. | 4109. | 8173. | 3339. | 2385. | 65. |
| 2031 | 19104. | 986. | 3972. | 8415. | 3349. | 2314. | 69. |
| 2041 | 19200. | 878. | 3898. | 8696. | 3385. | 2269. | 75. |
| 2051 | 19000. | 762. | 3765. | 8857. | 3343. | 2192. | 81. |


| AREA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| YEAR |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | CAN | ATL | QUE | ONT | PRA | BC | NOR |

- PERCENTAGE DISTRIBUTION -

| 2001 | 100.0 | 7.1 | 23.3 | 39.1 | 17.2 | 12.9 | .3 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2011 | 100.0 | 6.5 | 22.4 | 40.6 | 17.4 | 12.7 | .3 |
| 2021 | 100.0 | 5.8 | 21.4 | 42.6 | 17.4 | 12.4 | .3 |
| 2031 | 100.0 | 5.2 | 20.8 | 44.0 | 17.5 | 12.1 | .4 |
| 2041 | 100.0 | 4.6 | 20.3 | 45.3 | 17.6 | 11.8 | .4 |
| 2051 | 100.0 | 4.0 | 19.8 | 46.6 | 17.6 | 11.5 | .4 |
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