ISSN 1440-771X



DEPARTMENT OF ECONOMETRICS AND BUSINESS STATISTICS

Cobb-Douglas Utility – Eventually!

Alan A. Powell, Keith R. McLaren, K.R. Pearson and Maureen T. Rimmer

Working Paper 12/2002

COBB-DOUGLAS UTILITY – EVENTUALLY!

by

Alan A. POWELL Keith R. MCLAREN K. R. PEARSON Maureen T. RIMMER

Monash University

Abstract

Consider the following two opinions, both of which can be found in the literature of consumer demand systems:

- (a) As the real income of a consumer becomes indefinitely large, re-mixing the consumption bundle becomes irrelevant: having chosen the ultimately satisfying budget shares at any given set of relative prices, the superlatively wealthy continue to allocate additional income in the same proportions. With very large and increasing per capita income, ultimately the utility function becomes indistinguishable from Cobb-Douglas.
- (b) Consumer demand systems in which the income elasticities monotonically approach one (from above, in the case of luxuries; from below, in the case of necessities) are unsatisfactory both theoretically and empirically. For instance, a necessity with a low (< 1) income elasticity may very well become less elastic with further increases in income.</p>

The issue is important for CGE modelers because explicit direct additivity (as in the linear expenditure system [LES]) is often the modeler's default choice: this leaves us firmly in the world of (a). Hanoch's implicit direct additivity exhibits very flexible Engel properties. Rimmer and Powell's AIDADS system belongs to this class. Within such a system it is possible to satisfy the motivations underlying both (a) and (b), as illustrated by the Engel (income) elasticities for a 3-commodity AIDADS system shown below in Figure 1.Whilst the system eventually converges to Cobb-Douglas, some income elasticities can be effectively zero at any imaginable actual income level. Inferiority can also be accommodated over a range of incomes. This paper discusses the above in more detail, strengthening the case for implicit direct additivity. An experimental calibration of a database to the AIDADS system is illustrated with modifications made to the ORANI-G teaching model. A technical appendix establishes the effectively global regularity of AIDADS.

Key words: consumer demand system, applied general equilibrium, separability, implicitly directly additive preferences, effectively global regularity, Cobb-Douglas, calibration, AIDADS.

Introduction and historical reprise¹

Given their typical dimensions, endowing AGE^2 models with consumer demand parameters is a non-trivial task. Large one-country models such as *MONASH* (Dixon and Rimmer, forthcoming) involve more than 200 commodities (the local and the overseas-produced versions of 100+ generic commodities). This means that the matrix of own and cross price elasticities has more than 200² (i.e., >40,000) elements that must somehow be evaluated. In the case of the global *GTAP* model (Hertel ed. 1996) the number of potentially different demand parameters explodes even further. In

¹ Without implicating them in any remaining errors, we wish to acknowledge the kindly assistance provided by Ken Clements, W. Jill Harrison and Daina McDonald. The views expressed by Theil and Clements in their monograph (1987) would identify them as adherents of proposition (b) in the abstract.

² We use AGE (applied general equilibrium) and CGE (computable general equilibrium) throughout as synonyms.

such a world there is no possibility of telling (say) 20 graduate students each to go off and individually estimate 200 parameters econometrically (which was the style of parameter evaluation used in early macrodynamic modelling). The methods used to ease the inferential load placed on available data have inevitably involved imposing structure on the underlying micro-behavioral (in this case, utility) functions.

The Cobb-Douglas utility [CDU] function has been used in some early AGE work but it lies at the extreme end of a spectrum which has minimal required parameter knowledge at one end, and real-world realism at the other. Under CDU all own total expenditure elasticities are one, all own price elasticities are minus one, and all cross price elasticities are zero, so no estimation at all need be carried out. Stone's *Linear Expenditure System* (1954) made the minimal changes needed to break this parameter rigidity by displacing the CDU indifference map away from the origin. The LES could be interpreted as a CD system in which the arguments of the direct utility function were not the actual quantities consumed, but the extents to which these quantities exceeded the basic (or subsistence) requirement of each. Conventional total expenditure elasticities of demand could now be less than, equal to, or greater than unity, and own and cross price elasticities escaped the CD straightjacket.

Houthakker (1960) put on a rigorous basis an intuitive proposition that had been discerned as early as 1910 by Pigou (and further developed by Friedman (1935)): in its rigorous form it stated that under explicitly directly additive preferences (which apply in the case of the LES), cross-substitution elasticities σ_{ij} are directly proportional to the product of the pair of total expenditure elasticities involved:

(1)

$$\sigma_{ii} = \Phi E_i E_i \qquad (i \neq j)$$

This greatly reduced the cybernetic load that must be met by available data in order to estimate demand behavior: instead of something of the order of n^2 demand coefficients (where *n* is the number of commodities), only *n* coefficients (*n*-1 expenditure elasticities E_i and one value of Φ) are needed to determine behaviour at any point in the *n*-dimensional commodity space.

The liabilities of the LES are usually listed as follows:

- a. Equation (1) is too restrictive to accommodate substitution among commodities except at high levels of aggregation; in particular, specific (as distinct from general) substitution effects are ruled out.
- b. Complementary pairs of goods ($\sigma_{ij} \leq 0$) and inferior goods ($E_i < 0$) are ruled out.
- c. All Marshallian own-price elasticities of demand η_{ii} are less than one in absolute value *unless* their corresponding subsistence parameter γ_i is allowed to be negative (destroying its subsistence interpretation).
- d. Marginal budget shares [MBSs] are constant (whereas there is strong evidence that the MBS of food falls with increasing affluence).

An additional restriction imposed by the LES is:

e. Budget shares are monotonic in real expenditure (which is at variance with the empirical evidence from household survey data – see Lewbel (1991) and Rimmer and Powell (1994)).

Relative to the above list, the advantages of implicit direct additivity as implemented in AIDADS are that marginal budget shares may vary with the level of real income per head, and, in common with Engel elasticities, are not necessarily monotonic in real expenditure. Further, under implicit direct additivity of preferences, inferior goods are allowed (Hanoch 1975, p.401). Finally, own price elasticities can exceed one in absolute value without requiring the corresponding γ_c to be negative.

The ORANI-G model

The 22-sector version of the ORANI-G model is a smaller and slightly simplified version of the ORANI model (Dixon, Parmenter, Sutton and Vincent, 1982). ORANI-G is almost identical to the ORANI-F model (Horridge, Parmenter and Pearson, 1993) when the latter is used in a policy-analytic closure; extensive documentation of ORANI-G can be downloaded from the Monash Centre of Policy Studies web site (http://www.monash.edu.au/policy/oranig.htm). ORANI-G has been used in training courses as an introduction to AGE modelling and in preparation for more advanced instruction for potential users of the MONASH model (Dixon and Rimmer, forthcoming).

Modifying the existing consumer demand system in ORANI-G

The consumer demand system in ORANI-G is specified as a two-level nest. At the lower level, domestically produced and imported goods of the same generic type are combined into a composite good using a CES aggregator function. The utility-maximizing mix of composite goods is then found using the Stone-Geary utility function and the associated LES, which has the form:

(2)
$$p_c x_c = p_c \gamma_c + \beta_c \{ m - \sum_{i \in CON} p_i \gamma_i \} ,$$

in which p_c and x_c respectively are the CES price and quantity indexes for the consumption of composite good c, γ_c is the (constant) 'subsistence' minimum requirement of c, β_c is the (constant) marginal budget share (MBS) of commodity c, m is total per capita consumption spending, and *CON* is the set of composite commodities that are consumed. Since the β_c must add to unity, the parameters β and γ total (2n - 1) in number, where n is the number of goods in *CON*. Note that p_c is the purchasers' price of commodity c and m is reckoned at purchasers' prices.

The invariant MBSs in LES are not plausible except as local approximations. As noted above, the MBSs and Engel elasticities for food have been shown to vary greatly with per capita income (Rimmer and Powell, 1993; Cranfield, Hertel, Eales and Preckel (1998); Coyle, Gehlhar, Hertel and Wang (1998)).

The AIDADS³ specification achieves much greater Engel flexibility than LES at the expense of additional parameters. It replaces (2) with

(3)
$$p_c x_c = p_c \gamma_c + \phi_c \{ m - \sum_{i \in CON} p_i \gamma_i \}$$

in which the ϕ_c are the following functions of the utility level *u*:

(4)
$$\phi_c = \frac{\alpha_c + \beta_c e^u}{1 + e^u}$$

Since the α s, like the β s, must add to 1, AIDADS thus involves an additional *n* parameters — (n-1) independent values of α , and the origin u_0 from which the utility level is measured. The variable ϕ_c behaves logistically, remaining always within the $[\alpha_c, \beta_c]$ interval.

The LES is nested within AIDADS: putting $\alpha_c \equiv \beta_c$ (for all c) results in identity between ϕ , α and β . Thus it is the divergence of α from β that generates the enhanced Engel flexibility of AIDADS. An example of this flexibility in a 3-commodity world is illustrated in Figure 1. The limiting value

³ The acronym AIDADS stands for *an implicitly directly additive demand system*.

as income grows indefinitely large of *c*'s MBS is β_{c} irrespective of the comparative magnitudes of α_c and β_c .⁴

Figures 1 and 2 were constructed to illustrate the proposition eponymous with the title of this paper. The underlying parameter settings are thus close to the boundaries of the regular region of the parameter space in which all values of α , β and γ are non-negative, with the values of α and β each adding to unity across commodities. The values of the parameters are shown in the panel below:

α_1	α_2	α_3	β_1	β_2	β_3	γ_1	γ_2	<i>Y</i> 3	u_0
0.826821810	0.059977419	0.113200769	0.000000000	0.9999999999	0.000000000	1	5	0.2	0.18955332986
43180600	77526710	79292700	01322384	98650700	00026901				922400

Double precision is recommended for computations in cases like this (although with much manual intervention the curves in Figures 1 and 2 can be plotted using single-precision software). Except for commodity 2, $\alpha_c > \beta_c$ and so the shares of commodities 1 and 2 approach very small numbers with increasing affluence. Figures 1 and 2 show that by the time the Engel elasticities of these two temporarily inferior goods start to climb towards unity, their shares in the consumer's budget have long since become infinitesimal. Commodity 2's budget share is very close to 100 per cent well before the Engel elasticities of the other two commodities begin their dramatic eventual ascent.

The initial step in generalizing ORANI-G's household demand structure was to rewrite the source (Tablo) code for the model and to ensure that the new model (ORANAIDAD) could reproduce ORANI-G results when α was set equal to β . The β and γ parameters were taken from the 1998 Training Course version of ORANI-G, which used a 1987 Australian database. Using the latter database, ORANI-G and ORANAIDAD were then presented with the same endowment shock — a 5 per cent increase in capital stocks and in agricultural land (where used as an input) in every sector — in a closure in which real wage rates, aggregate real investment and real government spending were exogenous and in which employment was demand determined. The results obtained were identical to at least five decimal places for all macro variables.⁵ A selection of these results are presented in Table 1.

Large Changes with LES and with AIDADS

A single LES — that is, one with exactly one set of values for β and γ — is not able to capture changing consumption patterns across wide variations in living standards. Typically this problem has been handled by making the γ values increasing functions of real income, or by appealing to changes in taste (as in the MONASH model — see Dixon and Rimmer (forthcoming)).One would expect AIDADS to be able to handle large changes in per capita real incomes without the necessity of taste changes.

To put this into perspective, consider the 39-year period (from June 30th 2000 through July 1st 1960). Official estimates of real consumption per capita show a growth rate between 1960 and 2000 of almost exactly 2 per cent per year. According to Lluch, Powell and Williams (1977), a key

⁴ These propositions may be checked from the formulae (18) and (19) below for ψ_c (the MBS of *c*).

⁵ The original ORANI-G model was coded completely from its linearized equations, while ORANAIDAD was coded as a mixture of levels equations and linearized equations. For a general discussion of the mixed approach, see Harrison, Pearson, Powell and Small (1994).

coefficient in LES (the so-called Frisch 'parameter') responds strongly to per capita real income.⁶ As a result, if we stay within the LES specification, the values of the γ coefficients must, on average, have declined by about 24 per cent between 1960 and 2000^7 .

The additional parameters available in AIDADS allow the ys to remain constant across the development spectrum (Rimmer and Powell, 1992). In the current context this means that we should be able to allow a divergence between the α and β parameter vectors to substitute for a change in γ as a means of having the demand system fit databases at two different points of time. Rimmer and Powell (1992) found that the Australian share of food in the consumer's budget at widely separated levels of per capita income was consistent with invariant values of γ . Moreover, the values of food's share at very low and at high per capita real incomes was consistent with cross sectional evidence on differences in food's budget share across nations at different stages of development (see Figure 3).

Calibration of AIDADS to the ORANI-G database⁸

The insertion of AIDADS into ORANI-G requires an exact mutual fit of the AIDADS demand system and the base-case data. As the only available AIDADS estimates come at the six commodity level (Rimmer and Powell, 1992a and 1996), either a method must be found for allocating parameters from the 6-commodity system to the 23 commodities recognized by ORANI-G, or a less formal approach must be substituted. We give examples of both. As the purpose of the current paper is illustrative, we must emphasize that these calibrations are exploratory: serious policy analysis would require much more data work.

The LES parameters β , γ and Φ

The subsistence parameters γ in ORANI-G and in ORANAIDAD are notionally per capita variables. It is convenient to normalize on the population applying to the base-case data. The units in which the ys are expressed, therefore, are real units of commodities per X people, where X is the base-case population (about 18 million). Real units of commodities are the amounts that could be bought in the base case at basic-value prices with one dollar. Population is exogenous in both models.

 $-\omega_{2000} = -\omega_{1960} \times (2.165)^{-0.36} = 0.7574 \times (-\omega_{1960})$

⁸ This section draws freely on Rimmer and Powell (1992c).

Consumption data were downloaded on line from the Australian Bureau of Statistics web site, http://www.abs.gov.au/ausstats/abs%40.nsf/w2.3.1!OpenView&Start=1&Count=1500&Expand=6.2#6.2, Table 33. Household Final Consumption Expenditure, Chain Volume Measures; population data were also downloaded from the ABS web site, Table 2. Population(a) by sex, States and Territories, 30 June, 1901 onwards.

⁷ In Lluch, Powell and Williams (1977) [hereafter LPW, page 76], the negative of the supernumerary ratio

 $[\]omega = \frac{-m}{m - \sum_{i \in CON} p_i \gamma_i}$ (the so called Frisch 'parameter', to be interpreted within LES as the elasticity with

respect to total per capita nominal consumption spending of the marginal utility of the last dollar optimally spent) for different countries is found empirically to follow the rule:

 $^{-\}omega = 0.36$ {mid-sample value of real gnp per head measured in 1970 US dollars} $^{-0.36}$.

Thus $-\omega$ declines by about 0.36 percent for each one per cent increase in real income per head. Real per capita income increased by about $([1.02]^{39} - 1) \times 100 = 116.5$ per cent over the 39-year period mentioned in the text. Using the LPW relationship above, we find

The starting point for the calibration of ORANAIDAD is a review of the method used by Horridge (2001) for ORANI-G (which in turn is based on Dixon, Parmenter, Sutton and Vincent (1982)). We have seen from the Introduction that *n*-1 expenditure elasticities E_i and one value of Φ are sufficient to characterize consumer behavior in the LES. The ORANI-G database contains estimates of these entities for the base-case data. In the case of Φ , the information is presented as the Frisch 'parameter', $\omega \equiv [-\Phi^{-1}]$, which is the negative of the supernumerary ratio (see footnote 6). In the database the value assigned to ω is -1.82. An alternative interpretation regards Φ as an average, over all pairs of commodities, of the partial substitution elasticities between the members of each pair.⁹ In the ORANI-G database the average substitution elasticity Φ hence is put at about 0.55.

How are the values of the LES MBSs β and the subsistence parameters γ obtained from the E_c values and the Φ value in the database? Since the Engel elasticities E_c are the ratios of the MBSs β_c to the average budget shares W_c , we have immediately that

$$\beta_c = W_c E_c$$

where W_c is the ratio in the database of consumer expenditure (at purchasers' prices) on commodity c to total consumer expenditure (for all $c \in CON$).

The γ parameters are found as follows. First equation (2) is solved for γ_c :

(6)
$$\gamma_c = x_c - \frac{\beta_c}{p_c} \{ m - \sum_{i \in CON} p_i \gamma_i \} ,$$

which may be reformulated as

(7)
$$\gamma_c = x_c \left(1 - \frac{\beta_c m}{p_c x_c} \frac{\{m - \sum_{i \in CON} p_i \gamma_i\}}{m} \right)$$

(8)
$$= x_c \left(1 - \Phi \; \frac{\beta_c}{W_c} \right).$$

The x_c s are the quantities of commodities c consumed ($c \in CON$); their respective units are the amounts of each composite commodity c that can be purchased at basic value prices of the base case for \$1. These values can be read directly from the database, as can the value of $\omega \equiv [-\Phi^{-1}]$. The final step consists of evaluating (8). Note that both γ_c and x_c are per capita concepts so that two databases which were identical in all respects except for population would imply different values of γ .

The AIDADS parameters α , β , γ and u_0

In the case of ORANAIDAD we start by specifying the γ s. Initially we take these as the values just found for the LES — later we will change them parametrically. Solving (3) for the functions ϕ_c , we obtain for all $c \in CON$:

(9)
$$\phi_c = \frac{p_c(x_c - \gamma_c)}{m - \sum_{i \in CON} p_i \gamma_i} = \frac{p_c(x_c - \gamma_c)}{m} \frac{m}{m - \sum_{i \in CON} p_i \gamma_i}$$

(10)
$$= \frac{p_c(x_c - \gamma_c)}{m} \times (-\omega)$$

⁹ This interpretation (or something close to it) is implicit in Leser (1960) and in Lluch, Powell and Williams (1977,

p. 17), and explicit in Powell (1992). It avoids the strong cardinality of Frisch's (1959) original approach.

To finish the job, we must settle on some values of the gaps between α and β for each commodity, and find at least one value of the origin u_0 of the utility map that is consistent both with the database and the chosen values of α , β and γ . In addition, the price and quantity data, together with the parameter setting (α , β , γ , u_0), must constitute a regular point in the solution space of AIDADS.

To avoid the necessity of appealing in empirical work to trends or taste changes, the minimum needs vector γ must be treated as a true parameter. It has been noted above that when large changes in living standards are involved, this is not possible in the LES. With $\Phi = 0.55$ in the ORANI-G database, about 45 per cent of expenditure is needed to pay for subsistence in the base case. Thus if real income per head were to decline at 2 per cent per annum from its value in a base case corresponding to the year 2000 to its value in historical 1960, then after the fall of about 46 per cent (= $100 \times [1.02^{39}-1]$) in real income per head, about 98 per cent (= $100 \times 0.45/0.46$) of total expenditure would, according to the LES, be needed in 1960 to purchase subsistence. So for the experimental calibration we shall introduce a new subsistence vector ϑ with elements ϑ_c (c $\in CON$), which is related to the γ vector obtained from the LES calibration above by

(11)
$$\vartheta_c = (1 - \kappa) \gamma_c$$

(for all $c \in CON$, where $0 \le \kappa \le 1$). The scalar κ can be chosen to lessen the likelihood that subsistence requirements will exceed consumption. To illustrate we chose $\kappa = 0.5$ (subsistence requirements ϑ_c of all commodities in the calibrated AIDADS are set at 50 per cent of the corresponding benchmark γ values from the LES calibration).

The gap between α and β – first approach

We have seen that it is the gap between α and β that is responsible for the flexible Engel properties of AIDADS. The next step is to allocate values of

(12)
$$\delta_c \equiv (\alpha_c - \beta_c)$$

to the 22 ORANI-G commodities of which a non-zero amount is actually purchased by households (*CON* has 22 elements). Estimates of AIDADS at this level of disaggregation are not available; in fact the only estimates for Australia of which we are aware are those made by Rimmer and Powell (1992a, 1996) at the six commodity level. A mismatch in the two aggregation schemes at the detailed compositional level meant that only five groups for which estimates of δ_c were available could be distinguished in the present exercise. The details of the mapping used are shown in Table 2^{10} .

Notice that, irrespective of the commodity grouping, the values of δ_c must sum over the members of that grouping to zero. In Table 2 it can be checked that this applies in columns 1 and 2. After the values of δ_c in the second column were allocated to all the ORANI-G commodities of the third column, this sum was no longer preserved. To correct this, the δ_c values transcribed from column 2 to column 3 were renormalized so that they summed to zero:

(13)
$$\delta_c^* = \delta_c - \sum_{i \in CON} \delta_i W_c / \sum_{g \in REGULAR} W_g$$

¹⁰ The allocation of some food raw materials to 'All_else' rather than to 'Food' reflects the fact that very little of such primary commodities is sold directly to consumers (they buy the processed products in the group 'FoodOther').

where the δ_c^* sum to zero by construction. The partition of the set of consumed commodities *CON* into a *REGULAR* and an *EXCEPTIONAL* set arose because, given the settings for γ and u_0 (where u_0 is the level of utility in the base case), it proved difficult to find a regular solution of the AIDADS model in which the δ values of certain commodities differed from zero. These exceptional five commodities are listed in the lower part of Table 2.

How did these exceptions come about? The answer lies in the calibration of the values of α and β (as distinct from their differences). This is linked via equation (4) to the choice of the origin u_0 of the preference map. Using (4) we find that

(14)
$$\alpha_c = \phi_c + \frac{\delta_c e^{u_0}}{1 + e^{u_0}} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta_c = \phi_c - \frac{\delta_c}{1 + e^{u_0}}$$

The only admissible values of u_0 are those which yield values of α_c and β_c in (14) which are *all* positive. In the current calibration exercise the approximate region of admissible values of u_0 was quickly established — because the partial derivatives of α_c and β_c (with fixed values of ϕ_c and δ_c) differ in sign, it was found that a value of u_0 which yielded non-negative β for all commodities had a tendency to yield some values of α which are negative (and vice-versa).

Using (9), from (14) it can be shown that preserving the non-negativity of the α s and β s requires that each γ_c must not exceed the smaller of:

(15)
$$\frac{(1+e^{u})\left[x_{c} + \frac{\{m - \sum_{r \neq c} p_{r} \gamma_{r}\}\delta_{c} e^{u}}{p_{c}(1+e^{u})}\right]}{1+e^{u}(1+\delta_{c})}$$

and

(16)
$$\frac{(1+e^u)\left[x_c - \frac{\{m - \sum_{r \neq c} p_r \gamma_r\}\delta_c}{p_c(1+e^u)}\right]}{1+e^u - \delta_c}$$

If γ_c exceeds (15) for any *c*, the corresponding α_c is negative; if γ_c exceeds (16), then β_c is negative. In calibrating AIDADS it is important to ensure that the above inequalities are respected.

When it appeared that the α s and β s for a pair of the commodities could not be calibrated for the given choice of δ s, equality of α_c with β_c was imposed for each such commodity. This led to a further three commodities causing violation of the above condition; they were treated similarly without causing further violations.

Unfortunately, the net effect of the above procedure was to reduce the number of groups having initially differing gaps between the α and β values of their respective members to just two: the services of the housing stock (Dwellings) and all other non-exceptional commodities. The base-case Engel elasticity for Dwellings at 1.57 is the highest of the initial values in the ORANI-G database. This gives some point to the partition of δ values used here.

After the exceptional commodities had been identified, the value of u_0 was set at a value which yielded positive values of α and β for all *REGULAR* commodities. With the fixed set of γ values adopted, and the δ s shown in Table 2, the value u_0 must not exceed -3.41 (since otherwise the α

value for C5MiningOth becomes negative) – the value actually adopted was u0 = -4. The β_c (and identical α_c) values for the exceptional commodities were left at the calibrated LES values for β_c .

The final step in the calibration is to ensure that, demand parameters aside, the existing ORANI-G database is consistent with the fitted AIDADS system. This is accomplished by simulating a zero shock to the newly calibrated model and checking that the original database is reproduced as the solution.

Unfortunately the above method failed in any practical sense: the calibrated value of δ for commodity C21Dwellings (the services of the housing stock) came out at -0.026, which had the expected sign, but was far too small to be consistent with the 5+ percentage point rise in Dwelling' share of the consumer budget (from under 15 to more than nineteen percent since 1960).

The gap between α and β – second approach

The second approach to determining δ is more stylized. We note that the two ORANI-G commodities with the largest budget shares in the database are C7FoodOther (12.5%) and C21Dwellings (17.6%). These two commodities, accounting for more that 30 per cent of the consumer's budget between them, are also the two for which available evidence most forcefully suggests substantial slopes, negative and positive respectively, of their Engel curves.¹¹

The value chosen for δ_c ($c \leftrightarrow C21D$ wellings) was -0.2 (which is less than the rather large value found econometrically for 'Rent', -0.29, by Rimmer and Powell (1992a)). For the twenty commodities other than C7FoodOther and C21D wellings, δ values were set to zero (as in the LES). Because δ s must sum to zero, the choice of the above value for dwellings implies that δ_c ($c \leftrightarrow$ C7FoodOther) is +0.2. The adopted value of u_0 , at $u_0 = 0$, was chosen to be just slightly higher than the lowest value (-0.0260) at which the non-negativity of all α s and β s could be guaranteed by the inequalities discussed above. The resulting allocation of δ -values is shown in Table 3.

Engel (total expenditure) elasticities

Whilst the Engel elasticities E_c (= β_c/W_c) in ORANI-G in the base case are supplied externally as part of the database, they are not invariant during simulations. Under AIDADS the Engel elasticities are:

(17)
$$\varepsilon_i = \psi_i / W_i \qquad (i=1, 2, ..., n)$$

(i=1, 2, ..., n)

in which the marginal budget shares $\psi_i \equiv p_i \partial x_i / \partial M$ are

(18)
$$\Psi_i = \phi_i - (\beta_i - \alpha_i) \Xi$$

In (16) the coefficient Ξ is

(19)
$$\Xi = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i - \alpha_i)_{\{} \ln (\alpha_i + \beta_i e^u) - \ln(p_i)_{\}} - \frac{(1 + e^u)^2}{e^u}\right]^{-1}$$

It is shown in Appendix 1 that a necessary condition for the regularity of AIDADS at any point in the consumer's choice space is that Ξ is negative; and also that if starting from any regular point we increase real income at fixed relative prices, then (in contrast to Deaton and Muellbauer's (1980) AIDS), all such further points yield regular points. That is, AIDADS is effectively globally regular.

The reduction of all γ_c values to 50 per cent of their values as calibrated in LES drives the system closer to Cobb-Douglas at any given setting of the gaps between α and β . Setting all those gaps to

¹¹ On the slope of the Engel curve for food, see e.g, Theil and Clements (1987); Rimmer and Powell (1992b); on the slope of the Engel curve for the services of the housing stock in Australia, see Rimmer and Powell (1992a, b; 1996).

zero results in a LES in which there is a dramatic narrowing of the spread of values of the base-case Engel elasticities E_c , as shown in the panel below:

		AIDADS		
	Original LES setting of γ [ORANI-G]	γ values set to 50% of ORANI-G setting [with all δ values zero]	γ values set to 50% of ORANI-G setting [δ values as in Table 3]	
$\operatorname{Min} E_c$	0.119 [C1Cereals]	1.000 [C1Cereals]	0.365 [C7FoodOther]	
Max E_c	1.573 [C21Dwellings]	1.701 [C5MiningOth]]	1.520 [C21Dwellings]	

If the δs are set to the values shown in Table 3, the reduction in γ values still results in a narrowing of the spread in Engel elasticities, but to a lesser extent (compare the second and fourth columns above).

A Test Simulation with ORANAIDAD

With the δ -values set as in Table 3, a test simulation was conducted to check the behaviour of the ORANAIDAD model. The effectively global regularity of AIDADS means that the system is less likely to stray outside the model's regular region when the living standard increases than in the case in which it falls (see Appendix 1). For the trial simulation it was decided to simulate a substantial *fall* in real per capita income.

The model was implemented using release 7 of the GEMPACK software suite¹². Linearization errors were controlled using the *automatic accuracy* facility of GEMPACK. The negativity of Ξ was checked continuously throughout the computation.

The closure was not chosen on historical grounds, but rather to see how the model (and in particular, its consumer-demand equations) would stand up to a large negative shock. The salient features of the closure are shown in Table 4. The shock selected was a 25 per cent reduction in the productivity of primary factors. Some macro results are shown in the panel below:

	change [c] or % change [p]
real consumption per head	<i>p</i> = -36.15
utility	<i>c</i> = -0.7162
Ξ [must remain negative]	<i>[level]</i> –0.2687→ –0.2366
real GDP	p = -20.482
aggregate employment	p = 0.402
nominal exchange rate	p = 15.790
gdp deflator	p = 10.528
real devaluation	p = 4.752
import volume	p = -17.554
export volume	p = -18.782

While the 20+ per cent drop in real GDP is a large change¹³, even larger changes occur in some variables at the disaggregated level. The industry with the greatest fall in output is private services

¹² See Harrison and Pearson (1996); more information is available from the web at http://www.monash.edu.au/policy/gempack.htm

(I23PrivServ), where the fall exceeds 48 per cent. The Engel elasticity for the output of this industry at 1.1047 is the third largest among the 23 commodities. About 73 per cent of the output of private services is sold to households in the base case. These considerations cause us to expect a large fall in activity in I23PrivServ (but more work would be needed to firm up on the 48 per cent figure).

The somewhat surprising increase of 0.4 per cent in aggregate employment (reckoned using wage bill weights) is made up of a drop in unskilled employment of 1.77 per cent that is more than offset by an increase in skilled employment of 2.27 per cent. It follows that industries that demand relatively skilled labour must have fared better in the simulation than industries in which the employment mix is intensive in unskilled labour. Unravelling the employment result would have to start with the extremely uneven compositional profile of the changes in capital rentals: though mostly negative, the changes experienced in the rental prices of capital in the 23 industries range from +62 per cent (I16Construction) to -97 per cent (I21Dwellings). These are *very large* changes in relative prices — they rule out the use of macro analogue equations for rationalization of the result.

Concluding Remarks

Implicitly directly additive preferences provides much greater flexibility of Engel responses than the linear expenditure system, accommodating non-monotonic responses of Engel elasticities to increasing affluence. The example used in this paper, the AIDADS demand system, has been shown to remain regular under a very large reduction in income in ORANAIDAD, an extension of the ORANI-G model. A rigorous statement concerning the effectively globally regular status of the system has been addressed in the Appendix.

¹³ Keep in mind that, because of the homogeneity of CGE models, the absolute size of percentage changes does not constitute the criterion for 'large' changes: rather it is the size of changes in ratios of real variables or of prices. Yet the requirement that consumption of every good *c* exceeds its corresponding subsistence parameter γ_c in the LES and in AIDADS does mean that the absolute size of contractions in output per head of population is relevant.

REFERENCES

- COOPER, Russel J., Keith R. McLaren and Gary K. K. Wong (2002) 'Modelling Regular and Estimable Inverse Demand Systems: A Distance Function Approach', unpublished working MS available from Dr Gary Wong, School of Economics, Faculty of Business and Law, Deakin University, Waurn Pond, Vic 3217 Australia.
- COOPER, R.J., K.R. McLaren and Priya Parameswaran (1994) "A System of Demand Equations Satisfying Effectively Global Curvature Conditions", *Economic Record* Vol. 70, No. 208, pp. 26-35 (March).
- COOPER, Russel J. and Keith R. McLaren (1992) 'An Empirically Oriented Demand System with Improved Regularity Properties, *Canadian Journal of Economics*, Vol. 25, pp. 652-67.
- COYLE, W., M. Gehlhar, T. Hertel and Z. Wang (1998): 'Understanding the Determinants of Structural Change in World Food Markets', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, Vol. 80, No. 5, pp.1051-1061.
- CRANFIELD, J., M., T. Hertel J. Eales and P. Preckel (1998): 'Changes in the Structure of Global Food Demand', *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, Vol. 80, No. 5, pp.1042-50.
- CRANFIELD, John A. L., Paul V. Preckel, James S. Eales and Thomas W. Hertel (1998): 'On the Estimation of "An Implicitly Additive Demand System', unpublished MS, Purdue University, Department of Agricultural Economics, 1998.
- DEATON, Angus and John Muellbauer (1980) 'An Almost Ideal Demand System', American Economic Review, Vol. 70, pp. 312-26.
- DIXON, Peter .B., B.R. Parmenter, John Sutton and D.P. Vincent, (1982) *ORANI: A Multisectoral Model of the Australian Economy* (Amsterdam: North Holland Publishing Company)..
- DIXON, Peter B. and Maureen T. Rimmer (forthcoming) *Dynamic, General Equilibrium Modelling* for Forecasting and Policy: a Practical Guide and Documentation of MONASH (Amsterdam: North Holland).
- FRIEDMAN, Milton (1935) 'Professor Pigou's Method for Measuring Elasticities from Budgetary Data', *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 50, pp. 151-63.
- FRISCH, Ragnar (1959) 'A Complete Scheme for Computing All Direct and Cross Demand Elasticities in a Model with Many Sectors', *Econometrica*, Vol. 27, pp. 177-96.
- GEHLHAR, Mark, and William Coyl 'Global Food Consumption and Impacts on Trade Patterns', in *Changing Structure of Global Food Consumption and Trade* (Washington, D.C., United States Department of Agriculture, Economic Research Service) WRS-01-1, pp. 4-13.
- HANOCH, Giora (1975) 'Production and Demand Models with Direct or Indirect Implicit Additivity', *Econometrica*, Vol. 43, No.3 (May), pp. 395-420.
- HARRISON, W. Jill and K.R. Pearson (1996) 'Computing Solutions for Large General Equilibrium Models using GEMPACK', *Computational Economics*, Vol. 9, pp. 83-127.
- HARRISON, W. Jill, K.R. Pearson, Alan A. Powell and E. John Small (1994) "Solving Applied General Equilibrium Models as a Mixture of Linearized and Levels Equations", *Computational Economics*, Vol. 7, pp. 203-23.
- HERTEL, T. W., ed., (1996) *Global Trade Analysis: Modeling and Applications*, (New York and Cambridge: Cambridge University Press).

- HORRIDGE, J.M., B.R. Parmenter and K.R. Pearson (1993) 'ORANI-F: A General Equilibrium Model of the Australian Economy', *Economic and Financial Computing*, Vol. 3, No. 2 (Summer), pp. 71-140.
- HORRIDGE, J.M., B.R. Parmenter and K.R. Pearson (1998) 'ORANI-G: A General Equilibrium Model of the Australian Economy'. Edition prepared for Course in Practical GE modelling, Centre of Policy Studies and Impact Project, Monash University, June 29th – July 3rd 1998. May be downloaded from http://www.monash.edu.au/policy/oranig.htm.
- LEWBEL, A. (1991) 'The Rank of Demand Systems: Theory and Nonparametric Estimation', *Econometrica*, Vol. 59, No. 3 (May), pp. 711-30.
- LESER, C.E.V. (1960) 'Demand Functions for Nine Commodity Groups in Australia', Australian Journal of Statistics Vol. 2, No. 3 (November), pp. 102-13.
- LLUCH, Constantino, Alan A. Powell and Ross A. Williams (1977) *Patterns in Household Demand and Savings* (New York: Oxford University Press for the World Bank), pp. xxxii + 280.
- PIGOU, A.C (1910) 'A Method of Determining the Numerical Values of Elasticities of Demand', *Economic Journal*, Vol. 20, No. 2, pp. 636-40..
- POWELL, Alan A. (1992) 'Sato's Insight on the Relationship between the Frisch 'Parameter' and the Average Elasticity of Substitution', *Economics Letters*, Vol. 40, No. 2 (October), pp. 173-5.
- RIMMER, Maureen T., and Alan A. Powell (1992a) 'An Implicitly Directly Additive Demand System: Estimates for Australia', *Impact Project Preliminary Working Paper* No.OP-73 (October).
- RIMMER, Maureen T., and Alan A. Powell (1992b) 'Demand Patterns across the Development Spectrum: Estimates of AIDADS', *Impact Project Preliminary Working Paper* No.OP-75 (December).
- RIMMER, Maureen T., and Alan A. Powell (1992c) 'The Implementation of AIDADS in the MONASH Model', Impact Project unpublished Research Memorandum (10 December).
- RIMMER, Maureen T., and Alan A. Powell (1994) 'Engel Flexibility in Household Budget Studies: Non-parametric Evidence versus Standard Functional Forms', *Impact Project Preliminary Working Paper* No.OP-79 (June).
- RIMMER, Maureen T., and Alan A. Powell (1996) 'An Implicitly Additive Demand System', *Applied Economics*, Vol. 28, No.12 (December), pp. 1613-22.
- STONE, Richard (1954) 'Linear Expenditure Systems and Demand Analysis; An Application to the Pattern of British Demand', *Economic Journal*, Vol. 64, No. 255 (September), pp. 511-27.
- THEIL, Henri and K.W. Clements (1987) Applied Demand Analysis- Results from System-wide Approaches (Cambridge, Massachusetts: Ballinger).

Appendix 1

THE EFFECTIVELY GLOBAL REGULARITY OF AIDADS

by

Keith R. McLAREN, Alan A. POWELL and Maureen T. RIMMER

Monash University

1. Purpose of this appendix

The AIDADS system is developed in three papers by Rimmer and Powell (1992a,b; 1996). The present appendix aims to assay critically their assertion that AIDADS is effectively globally regular (EGR) in the sense defined by Cooper, McLaren and Parameswaran (1994). This is approached from both the direct utility function and the cost function sides. It is found that the statement that a sufficient condition for regularity is that

$$x_i > \gamma_i$$
 (for all *i*),

may claim slightly too much. Below a more rigorous statement is given as well as formulae that allow regularity to be checked at any point.

2. The Aidads system

Hanoch (1975) defines implicit direct additivity for a utility function $u \equiv V(x)$ [where $x \equiv \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ is the consumption bundle] in the following way. Any V(x) which is defined implicitly by

(A1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} U_i(x_i, u) = 1,$$

in which the U_i satisfy appropriate concavity conditions, is implicitly directly additive. (The appropriate concavity conditions will come evident below where formal conditions for regularity are discussed.) Using some intuition stemming from Cooper and McLaren's MAIDS (1992) and from the LES, we choose the U_i as follows:

(A2)
$$U_i = \phi_i \ln(\frac{x_i - \gamma_i}{Ae^u})$$
, $\gamma_i \ge 0$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., n)$

where ϕ_i is defined by equation (4) of the text. We also require

(A3)
$$0 \le \alpha_i, \beta_i \le 1; \quad \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i = 1 = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i; \quad A > 0.$$

The first-order conditions for minimizing the cost M of obtaining a given level of utility u subject to given prices $\{p_1, p_2, ..., p_n\}$ leads to the AIDADS expenditure system[eqn (3 in the text].:

The complete list of conditions defining regularity is:

RU1: V maps from the n-dimensional orthant of commodity quantities into the real line;

- RU2 : V is continuous;
- RU3 : V is non-decreasing;
- RU4 : V is strictly quasi-concave.

The first two requirements clearly are met. RU3 can be examined by taking the total differential of (A1):

(A4)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ \partial U_{i} / \partial x_{i} \} dx_{i} = - du \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ \partial U_{i} / \partial u \} .$$

Since all the partial derivatives $\partial U_i / \partial x_i$ are positive, the issue hangs on the sign of $\sum_i \partial U_i / \partial u$. An expression for the latter can be developed from (A2):

(A5)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ \partial U_{i} / \partial u \} = \frac{e^{u}}{(1+e^{u})^{2}} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_{i} - \alpha_{i}) \ln (x_{i} - \gamma_{i}) - \frac{(1+e^{u})^{2}}{e^{u}} \right]$$

(A6)
$$= \Xi^{-1} \frac{e^{u}}{(1+e^{u})^2}$$

where¹⁴

(A7)
$$\Xi = \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) \ln (x_i - \gamma_i) - \frac{(1 + e^u)^2}{e^u}\right]^{-1}.$$

Since $\frac{(1 + e^u)^2}{e^u}$ is strictly positive, RU3 will be satisfied provided Ξ is negative; that is, provided Ξ^{-1} is negative. It is not obvious that Ξ is uniformly negative in regions in which every x_i exceeds its respective γ_i . This is about as far as our discussion of RU3 will take us. We note that *the negativity* of Ξ must be checked since $\Xi < 0$ is a necessary condition for regularity.

What of RU4? Paralleling the LES closely, the substitution elasticities in AIDADS are:¹⁵

(A8)
$$\sigma_{ij} = \frac{(x_i - \gamma_i) (x_i - \gamma_i)}{x_i x_i} / \frac{(M - p' \gamma)}{M} . \qquad (i \neq j, i, j = 1, 2, ..., n)$$

in which $p'\gamma$ is an abbreviation for the sum over *i* of $p_i\gamma_i$. From equation (4) of the text and the nonnegativity of the α s and β s, the ϕ s are also necessarily non-negative. Then from text equation (3), if $M > p'\gamma_i$ it follows that $x_i > \gamma_i$ for all *i*, and all (cross) substitution elasticities are positive; this is sufficient to ensure that the implicit function V is strictly quasi-concave.

3. Insights from the differential of the indirect utility function

The differential of utility as a function of the differentials in prices and total expenditure may be written 16

(A9)
$$du = -\frac{(1+e^{u})^{2}}{e^{u}} \Xi \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i} d\ln A_{i},$$

in which the A_j are:

(A10)
$$A_j = (M - p' \gamma) / p_j$$

An increase in A_i represents a loosening of the budget constraint in the sense that the point where the budget hyperplane cuts the x_i axis moves away from the origin when A_i becomes larger. Now allow any one price (say p_j) to decline slightly with M and the other prices fixed. From (A10), all A_j must have increased, so the budget hyperplane must unambiguously have moved outward. Rational behaviour then implies du in (A9) is positive. This implies that in any regular region, the RHS of

¹⁴ A dual expression for Ξ in terms of prices and total expenditure is given above in the text as eqn (19).

¹⁵ This is just an application of formulae provided in Hanoch (1975).

¹⁶ See Rimmer and Powell (1992a), p. 20.

(A9) is positive. Since each ϕ_i , being a logistic function, is bounded in [0,1], local regularity thus requires that

$$(A11) \qquad \qquad \Xi < 0.$$

(Note, that we have not ruled out inferior goods — we can envisage circumstances in which some goods are locally inferior without disturbing the regularity properties of AIDADS. This is taken up in the next section.)

To summarize, we have established the following necessary condition for local regularity:

In any regular region, Ξ must be negative.

This is precisely the necessary condition found from the primal approach above.

4. Insights from the AIDADS cost function

The AIDADS indirect utility function can be found, albeit in implicit form, as follows:

(i) Solve text eqn (3) for x_i .

(ii) Substitute this expression for x_i into (A1), obtaining

(A12)
$$U_i = \phi_i \{ \ln \phi_i + \ln (M - p'\gamma) - \ln p_i - \ln A - u \} .$$

(iii) Now substitute from (A12) into (A1) and simplify, obtaining the (implicit form of) the AIDADS indirect utility function (the form is implicit because the ϕ_i are functions of *u*):

(A13)
$$u = -1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i} \ln \phi_{i} + \ln \left\{ \frac{(M-p'\gamma)}{A} \right\} - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \phi_{i} \ln p_{i}.$$

The cost function C(u, p) is obtained by solving (A13) for *M*, obtaining:

(A14)
$$M = C(u, p) = p'\gamma + Ae^{[1+u]} \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{p_i}{\phi_i} \right\}^{\phi_i} ;$$

for discussion it is sometimes more convenient to write this as

(A15)
$$M = C(u, p) = p'\gamma + \{Ae^{[1+u]} \prod_{i=1}^{n} \phi_i^{-\phi_i}\} \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} p_i^{\phi_i}$$

Conditions (RC) which guarantee the regularity of the demand system generating C are:

 $RC1: C: R \times \Omega^n \to \Omega^1$ (where Ω^J is the non-negativeRC2: C is continuousorthant of dimension j)RC3: C is homogeneous of degree one in pRC4: C is non-decreasing in pRC5: C is non-decreasing in uu

RC6: C is concave in p.

Duality establishes that these conditions are equivalent to RU. To verify that these RC are satisfied, rewrite (A15) as

(A16)
$$M = C(u,p) = P1(p) + \phi(u) \times P2(p, u)$$
,

where P1 is the Stone-Geary index $p'\gamma$, P2 is the (variable-elasticities) Cobb-Douglas-like index shown as the last multiplicand on the right of (4.4), and $\phi(u)$ is the middle term enclosed within curly parentheses on the right of (A15).

RC3 is the first condition needing discussion. At any given value of u, P1 and P2 are linearly homogeneous, and $\phi(u)$ is strictly positive; hence the condition is satisfied. Given the sign constraints (A3), a sufficient condition for RC4 to be satisfied is the side condition on (A2); namely,

(A17)
$$\gamma_i \ge 0$$
 (all i).

Because RC6 is simpler than R5, we discuss RC6 first. In (4.6), P1 and P2 are each concave in p, and $\phi(u)$ is strictly positive. Hence their positive linear combination, C(u, p) also is concave and RC6 is established.

Preliminary to an attempt to establish RC5, we abbreviate $Ae^{[1+u]} \times \prod_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \frac{p_i}{\phi_i} \right\}^{\phi_i}$ as T. Then

from (A14),

(A22)

(A18)
$$\operatorname{sign} \operatorname{of} \partial C/\partial u = \operatorname{sign} \operatorname{of} \partial T/\partial u = \operatorname{sign} \operatorname{of} \left\{ \frac{\partial \ln T}{\partial u} \right\}$$

To find out the sign of $\{\partial \ln T/\partial u\}$, we proceed to take the derivative as follows:

(A19)
$$\frac{\partial \ln T}{\partial u} = 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial u} \left[\ln (p_i) - \ln (\phi_i) \right]$$

From text eqn (4) we find

(A20)
$$\frac{\partial \phi_i}{\partial u} = \frac{e^u}{(1+e^u)^2} (\beta_i - \alpha_i) ;$$

while from text eqn (3), we see that

(A21)
$$\ln(p_i) - \ln(\phi_i) = \ln(M - p'\gamma) - \ln(x_i - \gamma_i)$$

Substituting from (A20) and (A21) into (A19), we find:

$$\frac{\partial \ln T}{\partial u} = 1 + \frac{e^u}{(1+e^u)^2} \sum_{i=1}^n (\beta_i - \alpha_i) \left\{ \ln (M - p'\gamma) - \ln (x_i - \gamma_i) \right\}$$
$$= -\frac{e^u}{(1+e^u)^2} \Xi^{-1}$$

The term $\frac{e^u}{(1+e^u)^2}$ is strictly positive, being a function of u with domain (- ∞ , + ∞) and range (0, 0.25]. Hence it follows that:

C(u, p) is increasing in u in any region in which Ξ is negative; i.e., negativity of Ξ is sufficient to guarantee the positive monotonicity of C in u.

This is just the condition required for u = V(x) to be increasing in x and (as found above) is a necessary condition for local regularity using the direct-utility-function approach.

Inferior goods? We now turn to the question: Can local inferiority of goods exist in AIDADS without violating RC5? Given that Ξ is negative and that ϕ_i is bounded in [0, 1] for all *i*, from text eqn (16) we see that the ith marginal budget share will be negative in a regular region if and only if

(A23)
$$(\alpha_i - \beta_i) \{-\Xi\} > \phi_i$$

Inequality (A23) cannot be satisfied unless $\alpha_i > \beta_i$. With $\alpha_i > \beta_i$, $\phi_i \to \beta_i$ as $u \to \infty$, while the positive magnitude $\{-\Xi\} \to 0$; thus it is quite possible that (A23) holds. A case in point is the numerical example discussed above in the text and illustrated in Figures 1 and 2.

Effective Global Regularity? Returning now to the specification in terms of the cost function, substitution of (A20) into (A19) gives the remaining condition for regularity as

$$\sum (\beta_i - \alpha_i) \ln p_i \geq -\frac{(1+e^u)^2}{e^u} + \sum (\beta_i - \alpha_i) \ln \phi_i.$$

Since $\sum (\beta_i - \alpha_i) = 0$, only relative prices matter. If $\beta_i = \alpha_i \forall i$, this condition is satisfied for all relative prices. As the β_i deviate from the α_i it will be possible to find extreme relative prices for which the condition is not satisfied. However, for any given set of relative prices, as u increases, the first term on the right-hand side diverges exponentially to $-\infty$, and the second term converges to a constant as the ϕ_i converge to β_i , ensuring that there exists a value of u, and hence of real income, at which this regularity condition is satisfied. Therefore the AIDADS system is effectively globally regular. This ensures that if the system is regular at the set of sample points, it will also be regular at future points coinciding with higher levels of real income, provided the relative price changes are not too extreme in particular directions.

TABLES

Table 1

Simulation used to check that the LES had been successfully generalized to AIDADS in the ORANAIDAD model

Variable	Value*
nominal exchange rate (%)	1.89341
consumer price index (%) [exog; numeraire] endowment of all capital stocks (%) [exog]	0
shock	5.00000
endowment of all agricultural land (%) [exog]	
shock	5.00000
aggregate employment (%)	2.74578
real aggregate consumptiom (%)	3.16721
aggregate real investment expenditure (%)	
[exogenous]	0
real government spending (%) [exogenous]	0
real GDP (%)	3.42405
real devaluation (%)	2.14708
export price index (%)	0.78068
export volume index (%)	12.72294
import price index, cif \$A (%) [exogenous]	1.89341
import volume index, cif weights (%) change in ratio of trade balance to GDP	1.53982
(proportion)	0.01351

* Identical results obtained from both the original ORANI-G model and from its ORANAIDAD extension when the parameter vectors α and β are identical in the latter model and set to the β vector from ORANI-G . All results except the last are percentage deviations from base case. The change in the trade balance is expressed as the change in its ratio to GDP.

Table 2Mapping between Commodity Groups:Attempt to Map from 6 Commodity Level

Original commodity groups in Rimmer and Powell's (1992a) study [values of δ_c used in Rimmer and Powell's (1992c) calibration study]	Groups used for calibration of AIDADS to ORANI-G [value allocated to δ_c for all commodities in next column except for those treated as <i>exceptional</i> — see below]]	ORANI-G commodities allocated to groups in previous column	
Food [0.02]	FoodVing [0.06]	none: C7FoodOther	
Alcohol & tobacco [0.14]	Food vice [0.06]	reauocatea to EXCEPTIONAL category	
Clothing & footwear [0.13]	Cloth_shoes [0.13]	none: C8TCF reallocated to EXCEPTIONAL category	
Household durables [0.01]	HhldDurbles [0.01]	none: C12MetalP reallocated to EXCEPTIONAL category	
Rent [-0.28]	Rent [-0.17]	C21Dwellings	
All other [-0.02]	All_else [-0.03]	C2Broadacre, C3Intensive, C5MiningOth, C6FoodFibre, C9Wood, C10Chem, C11NonMetal, C13TrnspEq, C14OthMach, C15OthManu, C16Utilities, C17Constr, C18W_RTrade, C19Transport, C20Finance, C22PublServ, C23PrivServ	

ORANI-G commodities treated as exceptional

 δ_c set to zero C1Cereals, C4MiningEx, C7FoodOther, C8TCF, C12MetalP

Groups used for calibration o [value allocated to δ_c for all column	f AIDADS to ORANI-G commodities in next	ORANI-G commodities allocated to groups in previous column		
FoodVice	[+0.20]	C7FoodOther		
Rent	[-0.20]	C21Dwellings		
All_else	[0]	C1Cereals, C2Broadacre, C3Intensive, C4MiningEx, C5MiningOth, C6FoodFibre, C8TCF, C9Wood, C10Chem, C11NonMetal, C12MetalP, C13TrnspEq, C14OthMach, C15OthManu, C16Utilities, C18W_Rtrade, C19Transport, C20Finance, C22PublServ, C23PrivServ		

Table 3Mapping between Commodity Groups using a Simpler Scheme

Table 4
Closure of ORANAIDAD used in Trial Simulation:
the Main Exogenous Variables

Exogenous variables (name in Tablo source code)	Description [dimensions]
p3tot	consumer price index (numeraire) [1]
p0cif	foreign prices of imports [23]
q	population
x1cap	all sectoral capital stocks [23]
x1lnd	all sectoral agricultural land [23]
p_aprim a1 a2 a3 a1mar a2mar a3mar a4mar	economy-wide primary factor saving technical progress [1]
a5mar, a1cap, a1lab_o, a1lnd, a1oct, a1_s, a2_s, a3_s, a1tot, a2tot	all other technolgical change [various; largest are 23×2×23]
f5	shift in pattern of government demands [23×2]
floct	real unit cost of 'other cost tickets' [23]
f1lab_io	economy-wide wage shift (= worker's real wage in this closure) [1]
f1lab_o, f1lab_i, f1lab	wage shifters for occupations, industries & for both [2, 23, 23×2 respectively]
delB	ratio of trade balance to GDP [1]
x2tot_i	aggregate real investment ¹ [1]
x5tot	total real government spending [1]
fx6	shifter on rule for stocks [23×2]
f4p, f4q	shifters for traditional exports demand curve [both 1]
f4p_ntrad , f4q_ntrad	shifters for non-traditional export demand [both 1]
f0tax_s, f1tax_csi, f2tax_csi, f3tax_cs f5tax_cs, t0imp, f4tax_trad, f4tax_ntrad	all tax rates [1] – tax rates are uniform across their respective tax bases]

¹ The investment allocation equation in the Tablo source code of ORANI-G was modified so that there was no change in the sectoral allocation of the exogenous total. Since the latter is not shocked, all sectoral investment is effectively set exogenously to zero change.

FIGURES



Figure 1: Behaviour of Engel elasticities in an AIDADS demand system in which all three commodities initially are superior goods (their Engel elasticities are positive), and in which two pass through an inferior phase.



Figure 2: Behaviour of commodity shares in the AIDADS demand system whose Engel elasticities are shown in Figure 1.



Figure 3: Behaviour of food's budget share under AIDADS estimated from 1975 cross sectional international comparisons data and from Australian national accounts time series data. The lowest income country in the data is India and the highest the USA. (Source: Rimmer and Powell (1992).