Documento de Trabajo 2008-06 Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Empresariales Universidad de Zaragoza

TRADE THROUGH FDI: INVESTING IN SERVICES

Carmen Fillat-Castejón University of Zaragoza, Spain

Joseph F. Francois University of Linz, Austria, and CEPR, London

Julia Woerz

The Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies, Austria

Abstract

The type of relationship between different modes of trading services internationally is of great interest, both for the academic literature and for liberalisation policies under the GATS, because cross-border and commercial presence abroad might complement or substitute each other. This paper offers a consistent theoretical foundation for the application of the gravity model to services trade, using a composite demand model yielding testable hypothesis about that complementary or substitutive relationship and linking the results to market regulations as trade barriers. For the OECD countries over 1994-2004 a robust complementary effects in the short-run is found, reinforced in the long-run by an increased potential for cross-border imports based on previous FDI inflows, highlighting business, communication and financial services.

Keywords:

FDI, imports, services, panel data, substitution and complementary effects.

JEL: F10, F14, F21

<u>Corresponding author:</u> Carmen Fillat-Castejón. Dpt. Applied Economics and Economic History. Faculty of Economics. Universidad de Zaragoza. Gran Vía , 2 50005-Zaragoza

TRADE THROUGH FDI: INVESTING IN SERVICES

Introduction

The question whether trade and FDI act as complements or substitutes in delivering goods across borders is not a new one and has been studied extensively. For instance, Fontagné and Pajot (1999) provide a comprehensive overview of the rich pool of literature dealing with this subject. They point out that this relationship depends on the level of analysis: at the firm level one will expect them to be substitutes, while there are compelling reasons - based on New Trade Theory arguments - for a complementary relationship at the macro-level (Pfaffermayr 1996). Given these distinctions, which are extended in Egger and Pfaffermayr (2005) to include further the magnitude of plant setup costs compared to trade costs, the empirical findings up to date have remained inconclusive. Fontagné and Pajot (1999) have ascribed this to a confusion of effects at different levels of the economy (firm, industry and macro level) and to differences between vertical and horizontal FDI, two points that are both widely accepted in the literature (Zarotiadis and Mylonidis 2005, Egger and Pfaffermayr (2005), among others). Reading through the empirical literature suggests that the case for complementarity between trade and FDI is stronger, which is associated with vertical FDI and rather low trade costs. This is intuitively compelling given that the majority of FDI takes place between high developed countries, where vertical FDI is expected to play a greater role than between partners at different levels of economic development.

Both types of relationship are consistent with viewing trade and FDI as two equivalent modes for the international provision of goods. Thus, like in services trade, these two channels can be seen as two modes for trade. While this is not as explicitly recognized when talking about merchandise trade, the GATS explicitly lists even four different modes of delivering services across international borders, including as the most prominent means of international services provision cross-border trade (mode 1) and sales through local establishments, i.e. through FDI (mode 3). The other two are consumption abroad (mode 2) and the presence of natural persons (mode 4). The measurement of each mode of services trade has inherently more difficulties than measuring trade of goods: each mode is defined through abstract concepts which have to be understood by data providers; the cost of data collection for firms and institutions

is much higher, and many times information is considered confidential; and, when there exists a measurement standard, this might be just a consensus criteria, and it might bias the amount of trade registered (for instance, in mode 3, an investment is considered FDI when there exists a permanent interest in the host country, which means the investor owns the 10% or more of the ordinary shares or the voting power). Furthermore, the presence of natural persons (mode 4) has just no information to be measured. So that, mainly due to data limitations, the questions whether these different modes act as complements or substitutes in services trade has rarely been dealt with in the literature. Traditionally this has been tested for in a gravity framework. Examples are Fortagné (1999) and Magaläes and Africano (2007) at the macroeconomic level, Hejazi and Safarian (2001) and Bos and van de Laar (2004) for the service sector finding complementarity between the two modes; Buch and Lipponer (2007) for German banks, Moshirian (2001) and Moshirian et al (2005) for IIT banking, or Li et al (2003) for IIT insurance services.

The relationship between cross-border trade and FDI may well be different in the service sector as compared to merchandise goods. Banga (2005) points out that while the determinants for FDI are generally found to be the same for goods producing firms and for services delivering ones, the importance of these determinants differ strongly between the two sectors. Government regulations, policies, cultural distance and the tradability of services (influenced by technological progress as well as by economic policy and regulatory measures) are the prime factors influencing FDI in services. In contrast, market size, barriers to trade and cost differentials in production are the main determinants for FDI in goods. Other studies found a substitutive relationship, such us Moshirian (1997) for insurance services; also Kolstad and Villanger (2004) found substitution for a disaggregate set of four service sectors.

Thus, the question whether these two modes of international service delivery act as complements or substitutes is not only largely unanswered – some studies find no evidence, like Brenton et al (1999) for the aggregate, or even mixed results when individual products or countries are studied, like Bloningen (2001), Pain and Wakelin (1998) or Fontagné and Pajot (2000) - it is further of great importance in the present GATS negotiations. Offering schedules are often reluctant to include mode 3 in the lists.

However, when the two modes are acting complementary, this would act as a backlash on opening up to trade through mode 1 (cross-border trade).

This paper is intended to fill this gap, using a newly constructed dataset that combines data for modes 1, 2 and 3 for 28 OECD countries over the period 1994 to 2004, distinguishing between total services and seven individual service sectors. Our theoretical basis for the empirical analysis of this relationship departs from the idea of a composite delivery of a service involving different modes of provision. This is based on a Melitz-Krugman-Ethier type model for demand in services, which incorporates elements of new trade theory. The next section describes the data set in more detail thereby revealing an important short-run interaction between cross-border trade and FDI in the service sector. Section 2 derives our theoretical composite demand model for analysing this relationship. Section 3 offers evidence of the short-run relationship between trade and FDI in services, at the aggregate level and by service, both in the traditional and the new composite demand approaches. The complementarity between FDI and cross-border trade is corroborated in section 4 by a long-run analysis, which seems to be particularly relevant for services imports. The paper finishes with the main conclusions.

1. Description of the Data Set and Further Motivation

We collected data from different sources (IMF, OCED, World Bank). Our data for service imports, covering basically modes 1 and 2, comes from published IMF Balance of Payments Statistics, compiled according to BOP Manual 5. FDI stock data, as a proxy for mode 3 trade, is taken from OECD Source and classified by the OECD's own industry classification based on ISIC, revision 3. The time period covered ranges from 1994-2004. The combination of the two datasets implies that the sample covers 28 OECD countries.¹ The data is mapped to individual service sectors according to the BOP classification. We left out sectors where the number of missing observations exceeded the observations that were actually reported. Thus, we focus on the following categories: total services, transport, travel, communication, construction, finance, and

¹ While cross-border trade at the sectoral level (BOP classification) is in principle available for 178 countries in the world, detailed and comparable FDI data by sectors is only available for the OECD members. Consequently our sample contains all OECD countries without Belgium and Luxembourg.

other business services. We have approximately 200 observations per service category. All other data come from the World Development Indicators published by the World Bank (i.e. GDP, value added, purchasing power parities), while distance is taken from CEPII's distance dataset and exchange rates are from the IMF International Financial Statistics.

In this paper we focus on the interaction between the two modes of supply, namely across the border (including here also movement of consumers) and through foreign establishment. We would ideally measure mode 3 trade by the sales of foreign affiliates in the service sector. However, this type of statistic exists up to date only for very few countries. The U.S. is more or less the only country which publishes a comprehensive FATS statistic. Thus, we can only use service sector FDI stocks in the country as a very rough proxy for service supply through foreign establishment. Implicitly we are therefore assuming that foreign affiliate sales are an invariant function of the value of foreign direct investment. Estimates by the World Bank (Hoekman 2006) yield that for the US the ratio between inward FDI stocks in services and trade through foreign affiliates in the same sector is about 3:1, i.e. we can roughly quantify the importance of mode 3 trade by a third of FDI stocks. This scaling effects has to be considered when interpreting the figures presented below.

Trade in services has in general risen in the OECD over the past decade. Figure 1 displays the growth in import volume and FDI inward stocks for total services. We see the over-proportionate increase in FDI stocks, which despite the fact that only a third of them can be seen as Mode 3 trade still implies a relative shift towards trade through commercial presence. While a decade ago cross-border trade was by far the most important mode for trade in services (0.84 million USD of service sector FDI stocks corresponding to 0.28 million USD of mode 3 trade as compared to 0.77 million USD of cross-border service imports), by 2004 FDI stocks amounted to 3.3 million USD while service imports have just about doubled to 1.3 million USD for the OECD in total. Thus, towards the end of the observation period, the two modes have attained equal importance.

Figure 2 shows a sectoral breakdown of imports through either mode by three main sectors, transport, travel and the sum of the remaining five categories listed above. We shall call the latter group henceforth "producer services".² It becomes evident from Figure 2 that this category is strongly responsible for the high growth of FDI in the service sector. The tremendous growth in service sector FDI is almost entirely driven by producer related services. Also it is the most important category for cross-border trade in services in the OECD. Growth through modes 1 and 2 has not been as impressive as through FDI, however, trade flows have nevertheless doubled over the past decade in all three categories. Thus, we observe an increase in trade in services through either mode. This clearly positive trend implies a shift towards trade through foreign affiliates, however the rough data do not allow us to speculate at this point whether this implies a substitute relationship or a form of complementarity.

More details about this relationship between different modes of services supply is given in Figure 3, which plots FDI inward stocks against service imports for all 28 countries for each service sector separately. The graph shows the average level of cross-border imports and FDI stocks in current US-Dollar over the period 2001-2004. For all service sectors with the exception of construction services, we see a positive relationship. Thus, more inward FDI in a country is observed together with more service imports in the same sector. This very preliminary look at the data thus reveals a contemporaneous complementarity between trade and FDI in services.³

 $^{^2}$ This refers to the sum of communication, construction, finance, insurance and other business services. Due to too many missing observations, this group does not reflect all categories usually labelled "producer related services". Specifically we are missing out here: computer and information services and royalties and license fees.

³ For the period 1994-1997, the same positive relationship was observed for all services sectors, also for construction services. We had to omit insurance services from the analysis, since data for the complete sample was available only for one year and hence the small number of observations did not allow a meaningful econometric analysis.

2. Theoretical backing of the gravity approach for modelling FDI and trade in the service sector: a composite demand approach

Conceptually, cross-border services trade and foreign affiliate sales may be substitutes or complements. There are several reasons to expect that they are often gross complements in production (i.e. joint inputs) though with some degree of substitution possible. For example, because services require interaction between provider and consumer (Hill 1977, Francois 1990), it will usually be the case that cross-border trade in services requires some local value added to facilitate interaction between provider and consumer. In addition, from available balance of payments and trade data, we observe both trade and FDI across service sectors. If we are willing to assume that FDI in services is a legitimate measure of affiliate sales in the service sector, this means we observe both cross-border and affiliate sales.

We start with a general representation of services S as a composite of cross-border inputs T and affiliate activities F. This may, for example, involve a banking product supported by headquarter activities but sold and serviced through a local office. Formally, we can represent total foreign sales of services as in equation (1), where $\sigma=1/(1-\rho)$ is the Allen-elasticity of substitution.

$$S = f(F,T) = A \left(a_F(F)^{\rho} + a_T(T)^{\rho} \right)^{1/\rho}, \quad 0 \le \rho \le 1$$
(1)

If sales through affiliates and trade (F and T) are prefect substitutes, then

$$S = A(a_F F + a_T T), \quad \rho = 1 \tag{2}$$

In more general terms, from the first order conditions for cost-minimization we will have the following:

$$F = SA^{-1} \left(\frac{a_F}{P_F}\right)^{\sigma} P^{\sigma} = SA^{-(1+\sigma)} \left(\frac{a_F}{P_F}\right)^{\sigma} \left(a_F^{\sigma} P_F^{1-\sigma} + a_T^{\sigma} P_T^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma/(1-\sigma)}$$

$$T = SA^{-1} \left(\frac{a_T}{P_T}\right)^{\sigma} P^{\sigma} = SA^{-(1+\sigma)} \left(\frac{a_T}{P_T}\right)^{\sigma} \left(a_F^{\sigma} P_F^{1-\sigma} + a_T^{\sigma} P_T^{1-\sigma}\right)^{\sigma/(1-\sigma)}$$

$$P = A^{-1} \left(a_F^{\sigma} P_F^{1-\sigma} + a_T^{\sigma} P_T^{1-\sigma}\right)^{1/(1-\sigma)}$$
(5)

From equations (3-5), it is straightforward to link demand for cross-border and local service sales as a function of changes in the price of cross-border and local affiliate inputs.

$$\frac{dT}{dP_{F}} = \left(\varepsilon + \sigma\right) \left(P^{\varepsilon + 2\sigma - 1}a_{F}P_{F}^{-\sigma} \left(\frac{a_{T}}{P_{T}}\right)^{\sigma}A^{\sigma - 2}P_{F}^{-1}\right)$$

$$\frac{dT}{dP_{T}} = -\left(P^{\varepsilon + \sigma} \left(\frac{a_{T}}{P_{T}}\right)^{\sigma} \left(-\varepsilon a_{T}^{\sigma}P_{T}^{1 - \sigma} + \sigma a_{F}^{\sigma}P_{F}^{1 - \sigma}\right)A^{\sigma - 2}P_{T}^{-1}\right)$$
(6,7)

A similar set of equations hold for F. In equations (6) and (7), $\varepsilon < 0$ is the elasticity of demand for S. From equation (6), the impact of a drop in the price of providing local affiliate inputs on cross-border trade depends on the elasticity of substitution between F and T, and the underlying elasticity of demand for composite services S. If the elasticity of substitution is relatively low - in particular if $\sigma < |\varepsilon|$ - then they actually serve as gross complements. Alternatively, as long as $\sigma > |\varepsilon|$, they will serve as gross substitutes.

We have seen dramatic increases in FDI flows in the service industries in the lat 10 years, along with moves to privatize and deregulate service sectors. Liberalization of service sector FDI means a reduction in the cost of the cost of running local affiliates. From equations (3,4) this implies a rising share of local affiliate relative to cross-border sales. Controlling for overall growth in demand, the theoretical impact on cross-border sales is ambiguous. From equations (6,7), it will depend on the elasticity of substitution relative to the elasticity of demand. We can summarize the implications of local service sector liberalization and related FDI liberalization as follows:

- In the cross-section, net complementarity of F and T means a relatively low technical degree of substitution
- Over time, increases in total service sales S imply rising both cross-border trade and FDI
- Controlling for shifts in demand, the impact of FDI growth driven by local market liberalization over time on cross-border trade is ambiguous

Technical change has a similar set of implications. In our data, we will look at both trade-FDI interactions in the cross-section, and in a dynamic panel. In the cross-section, complementarity will tell us we have a relatively low degree of substitution between cross-border and local sales of services. In the dynamic panel, we are interested in the relative evolution of cross-border and affiliate sales.

3. The cross-section view: the composite demand approach *versus* the traditional one

In this section we analyze the effect of inward FDI on services cross-border trade and *vice versa* from a short-run point of view. We estimate first the traditional uncontrolled gravity model for an international data panel, where we capture the complementary or substitutive effect between FDI and services imports by including trade through the alternative mode as a further control variable on the right hand side. Since there may be a certain time lag in the relationship, we use here the first lag of the alternative mode. The estimating equations are given below:

 $log servM_{it} = \alpha_M + \beta_1 * log fdi_{it-1} + \beta_2 * log (GDP)_{it} + \beta_3 * log (pop)_{it} + \beta_4 * log(dist)_{it} + \epsilon_{it}$ $log fdi_{it} = \alpha_F + \beta_1 * log servM_{it-1} + \beta_2 * log (GDP)_{it} + \beta_3 * log (pop)_{it} + \beta_4 * log(dist)_{it} + \rho_{it}$ (8)

where $servM_{it}$ are the total cross-border services imports for country *i* and year *t*; fdi_{it} are total FDI stocks in the services sector in country *i* and year *t*; GDP is the gross domestic product for country *i* and year *t* (measured in current international dollars); *pop* is the population of the host country; *dist* is a GDP-weighted average distance term for the host country to all potential trading partners (this can be seen as an index of general

remoteness of the country); finally $\varepsilon(\rho)$ is the error term with an unobservable countryspecific component and the remainder disturbance. We estimate the within or fixed effects model where the country-specific effect and all the regressors are assumed to be independent of the disturbance. The bias of omitting variables is controlled for in this estimation. We have a sample of 24 countries over 10 years (although there are some missing values in this sample). Data sources are described in section 1.

Tables 1A and 1B show the estimation results for the traditional, uncontrolled gravity approach in the first column. Services imports receive a significant complementary effect from commercial presence (Table 1A), but we do not find this complementary relationship to be significant in the opposite direction. I.e. no significant effects from cross-border imports are found for commercial presence (Table 1B). So the reciprocal relationship might be considered as being inconclusive. We will demonstrate below that the composite demand approach helps to overcome this weakness of the traditional analysis.

The composite demand approach can be implemented though a gravity equation where the barriers on alternative modes for services trade are controlled for, as the following equations summarize:

$$log \ servM_{it} = \alpha_{M} + \beta_{1} * log \ (GDP)_{it} + \beta_{2} * log \ (pop)_{it} + \beta_{3} * log (dist)_{it} + + \beta_{4} * (PMR)_{it} + \beta_{5} * (PMR)_{it} * log fdi_{it-1} + \mu_{it} log fdi_{it} = \alpha_{F} + \beta_{1} * log \ (GDP)_{it} + \beta_{2} * log \ (pop)_{it} + \beta_{3} * log (dist)_{it} + + \beta_{4} * (PMR)_{it} + \beta_{5} * (PMR)_{it} * log servM_{it-1} + \phi_{it}$$
(9)

where PMR is an index of product market regulation which controls at large for explicit and implicit barriers for services trade through domestic regulation. The advantage of this model is that we can estimate the complementarity or substitution effect arising from a restriction imposed on the alternative mode (i.e. in the form of a change in regulation) as emphasized by our theoretical composite demand model. In both equations, we can decompose the change in trade due to changes in regulations into a direct price effect and into cross-price effects working through the alternative mode to trade the respective service. Taking as an example the services imports equation,

$$\delta$$
logservMit / δ PMRit = $\beta_4 + \beta_5 * \log fdi_{it-1}$

which means that β_5 indicates the complementary or substitutive effect received from FDI when the barrier restricting this mode changes. As the theoretical model demonstrates, this effect depends on the demand and substitution elasticities, and measures the cross-price effect. We have taken the possible regulations on services from the OECD Product Market Regulation indicators (see Conway et al. 2005), which cluster a variety of different regulatory measures into three big groups: barriers to entrepreneurship, state control and barriers to trade and investment. Barriers to entrepreneurship and state controls are essentially inward oriented regulations; trade and investment barriers are acting as outward oriented regulations, probably more affected by international negotiations. The latter are split into foreign ownership barriers, regulatory barriers and tariffs. We have tested the price and cross-price effect for each category of regulation. The indicators are normalized to a scale between 0 and 6, higher values indicating more burdensome regulation. The results of these price effects for total trade in services are presented in the remaining columns of Tables 1A and 1B.

At a first glance, product market regulation in general shows significant price and crossprice effects for trade through cross-border imports and FDI. We see in both panels of Table 1 a negative direct price effect, meaning that more regulation impedes trade as expected. This results from the interpretation of higher values of the PMR indicators with more burdensome regulation and a consequent more stringent barrier to trade. The crossprice effect, working through the alternative mode of trade, is always of the opposite sign (positive). This points towards a complementary relationship, because the negative price effects from an increase in regulations is amplified for a simultaneous negative effect on the alternative mode. In other words, those countries with higher regulations experience a lower level of services imports and of foreign commercial presence, which is much lower because of the complementarity between both modes of trade. In more detail, the incidence of individual aspects of regulation differs between modes (cross-border and through FDI). For services imports we see significant negative effects from higher trade and investment barriers - due to foreign ownership regulations - and from state controls; cross-border imports also receive a positive cross-price effect from inward oriented regulations, but here we do not find a significant direct price effect. For trade through

foreign establishment (proxied by FDI) we find direct negative price effect from all aspects of regulation with the exception of tariffs; cross-price effects (working through corss-border trade) are significant only when looking specifically at inward oriented regulations (here arising from barriers to entrepreneurship) and trade and investment barriers – here stemming from regulatory burdens and restrictions on foreign ownership. For all aspects of regulation we find evidence for complementarity between FDI and services imports. Foreign ownership barriers stand out as the only category with a reciprocal relationship where both, direct price and indirect cross-price effects significantly affect trade through both modes. So, in a nutshell, in the short-run there is evidence of a significant complementarity between cross- border trade and commercial presence in aggregate services, with imports being slightly more sensitive to changes in outward oriented regulations and FDI reacting more swiftly to inward oriented regulatory measures.

Since total services comprise a very heterogeneous collection of highly different activities, it is interesting to analyse the relationship between individual modes of delivery and their reaction on regulatory changes for each service sector separately. For this we replicated the same estimation for each service activity separately. The price and cross-prices elasticities are summarized in Tables 2A and 2B. The evidence is more disperse with less instances of evidence for complementarity than for total services. Looking at the estimations for cross-border trade, we can highlight one service sectors with evident complementary effects which stands out because most of regulations show a significant direct and complementary effect : communication services show a strong evidence of complementarity in their response to all regulatory changes, except the regulatory obstacles to trade and investment. We also find some evidence for significant effects of regulatory barriers for other business and financial services. In the latter case like for transportation services - we find an unexpected positive direct effect from higher tariffs on trade value. This may be explained by a statistical peculiarity in the case of transportation services, which are often constructed from merchandise trade flow statistics. Higher tariff might increase the costs of shipping goods, which may falsely be counted as being part of the transportation service. For financial services, we are however puzzled by this. also occurs for transport services. Table 2B shows a weaker evidence for FDI, with only some direct price effects for communication, construction

and financial services; and transportation services show again the unexpected positive direct effect from tariffs.

To sum up, there is a robust complementary effect between commercial presence and cross-border trade in services, which is not always captured by the traditional, uncontrolled gravity analysis. The composite demand approach allows us to capture this effect through the cross-price effect when changes in product market regulations (being an indication of trade barriers) which affect both FDI and cross-border trade are taking into account. From this perspective the complementarity is clearly reciprocal between the two modes of supply, in particular when obstacles to foreign ownership are considered. Looking at individual service sectors, we find again a complementary relationship when the service activity shows a significant reaction on changes in the regulatory environment. The sensitivity towards such changes differs however between service sectors, with some of them, such as communications services, responding to all facets of regulation, some others being responsive to certain aspects of regulation – financial and other business services – while the rest – construction and communication – hardly show any reaction. At the detailed sector level the evidence for complementary effects arising from FDI towards cross-border trade is generally stronger than for the opposite direction.

4. Complementarity over time: trade through FDI

Having established complementarity between FDI and cross-border imports in the shortrun, it is relevant to analyse how this relationship evolves over time. There is an evolving literature on long-run effects and the causal relationship between international investment and trade (see Barrell and te Velde 2002, Türkcan 2006, Pramadhani et al 2007, Pacheco-López 2005 or Pain and van Welsum 2004). In this section we formulate a simple partial adjustment model as used by Pesaran and Smith (1995) and Pesaran et al. (1999) and apply it to trade in services like in Pain and van Welsum (2004), who are suing the traditional gravity approach. For our sample of 10 years we estimate the long-run coefficients which will give evidence of complementarity or substitution in the long run between different modes. The model starts with the following dynamic relationship:

$$\log (\mathbf{Y}_{it}) = \alpha_i + \beta_i \log(\mathbf{X}_{it}) + \lambda_i \log(\mathbf{Y}_{it-1}) + \tau_{it} \qquad \tau_{it} \sim \mathrm{IN}(0, \sigma_i^{-2})$$
(10)

where Y_{it} is cross-border trade (or the commercial presence respectively), i=1...N is the country and t=1...10 are years; X_{it} denotes the alternative mode of trade. we want to test the existence of a long-run relationship between the two modes. In the case of a positive relationship we can consider this as an indication of complementarity, and the opposite would be a sign of substitution. The associated long-run coefficients can be derived as $\theta_i = \beta_i/(1-\lambda_i)$. The country-specific intercept picks up all omitted factors that vary across countries. A convenient re-parametrisation of (10) is:

$$\begin{split} \Delta \log (Y_{it}) &= \alpha_i - (1 - \lambda_i) [\log(Y_{it-1}) - \beta_i / (1 - \lambda_i) * \log(X_{it})] + u_{it} \\ (11) \\ &= \alpha_i - (\gamma_i) [\log(Y_{it-1}) - \theta_i \log(X_{it})] + u_{it} \\ (12) \end{split}$$

This non-linear equation allows to estimate the long-run parameters of interest θ and γ . In a first simple experiment we assume that there are negligible differences between countries in the long-run price and cross-prices elasticities, easier to be compared to the short-run, within estimations⁴. The model to be estimated then becomes:

$$\Delta \log (\mathbf{Y}_{it}) = \alpha_i - (\gamma) [\log(\mathbf{Y}_{it-1}) - \theta \log(\mathbf{X}_{it})] + \omega_{it}$$
(13)

Equation (13) is estimated in Table 3, for services imports and FDI. The long-run composite demand estimations are accompanied by the traditional approach in the long-

⁴ It is well known that the within coefficients show a downward bias when there is heterogeneity between countries or endogeneity in the model. As a first point to note, the composite demand approach is likely to minimize the endogeneity problem compared to the traditional one. Secondly, in our sample, only Asian countries show a different behaviour in the evolution of services trade. Moreover, Pesaran et al. (1999) also argue that short-time coefficients are more likely to vary across countries than the long-run parameters. Although we are aware of the simplification of assuming homogeneous coefficients, we can stress that also we would like to keep the same assumptions than in the short-run analysis, where we assumed common elasticities and country fixed effect, and for the initial experiment the main aim is to detect significant relationships. A previous analysis controlling for heterogeneity by including dummies for five different geographic regions revels the downward bias of the within estimation but our elasticities keep their significance regardless whether we control for heterogeneity or not.

run and the results from a short-run estimation based on exactly the same sample in order to give an unbiased comparison of the results.⁵.

The most striking result is that the direct effect and the complementarity from FDI towards services imports are reinforced in the long-run, while the evidence becomes weaker in the opposite direction. Also, the traditional estimation yield a significant complementarity from FDI towards imports, but again no evidence from imports to investment. A detailed analysis by components of regulation indicates that services imports are affected over time not only by changes in foreign ownership barriers but also by other trade and investment barriers – such as regulatory barriers and tariffs – and by inward oriented regulations – both barriers to entrepreneurship and state control. Commercial presence shows in exchange that, while inward oriented regulations have a significant impact in the short and long-run, the outward oriented trade and investment barriers have only a short-run effect, but this is lost in the long-run.

The stronger impact and complementarity from commercial presence towards cross border trade is evident also for individual services. Tables 4A and 4B summarize the price and cross-price effects by individual service sectors. Table 4A presents the shortrun results, and Table 4B corresponds to the long-run elasticities. The estimates are always based on the long-run sample in order to control for any potential sample bias. Communication services are sensitive to all dimensions of regulation, except regulatory barriers to trade and investment. The same result was observed in the short-run. Other business services show a very significant direct price and complementary effect in all regulatory dimensions in the long run. Financial services, which show complementary effects in the short-run only when regulatory barriers to trade and investment change, are sensitive to all kind of regulatory changes but tariffs in the long-run. Construction services never show an effect from any aspect of product market regulation, and transportation services reveal a significant price effect from all inward oriented regulations together with foreign ownership barriers but they never receive a significant indirect effect derived from a complementary relationship with FDI. Furthermore, the

⁵ It can be noticed also that the short-run results are practically the same for this long-run sample and for the entire sample in the previous section. Only the index for state control is not significant for cross-border imports of services in the long-run sample. The differences in sample size arise from the calculation of growth rates for the long-run approach.

counterintuitive positive effects from tariffs in financial and transport services observed in the short-run seem to be adjusted over time, showing the expected negative effect in the long run. It also appears that trade and investment barriers in general have the largest impact in all services. Looking into the subdomains of this index, this trade inhibiting effect arises primarily from regulatory barriers in business services and financial services, and from controls on foreign ownership and high tariffs in communication services (see Table 4).

To summarize, we have found a complementary relationship between cross-border imports and FDI triggered by their reaction to changes in outward oriented regulatory measures in the short-run. Over time, our analysis reveals a more stable complementary relationship in reaction to changes in almost all aspects of regulation, especially so for communication, financial and business services. Some additional considerations should be studied further in this context, such as the impact of country heterogeneity on the elasticities which we have obtained and the efficiency of the estimation methods used. Our analysis as it stands shows a significant and robust complementary relationship between the two main modes of services trade (cross-border and through foreign affiliates) in all producer related services but construction and transport.

Conclusions

This paper focuses on the type of relationship between different modes of services trade, i.e. whether the most important modes of delivery (cross-border trade and commercial presence) act as complements or substitutes. While the empirical literature uses a traditional gravity approach when testing for this relationship - with often inconclusive evidence - this paper offers a new theoretical model and more robust evidence for a complementary relationship. Our composite demand approach which combines FDI and services imports as different ways to serve domestic demand offers a testable hypothesis of complementarity versus substitution, which we can link directly measures of existing regulations and other barriers to trade in services. This composite demand approach predicts a complementary growth between FDI inflows and cross-border imports when the substitution elasticity is higher than the demand elasticity, and a substitutive effect in the opposite case.

Both the traditional and composite demand approaches are tested for the sample of OECD countries over the decade from 1994 to 2004. For the aggregate of total services, the traditional approach yields a complementary effect from FDI towards services imports, which is not significant when looking at the effects of cross-border imports on FDI. The composite demand approach reveals a reciprocal complementary relationship in reaction to changes in domestic regulation (serving as an indicator of implicit and explicit barriers to trade in services). Moreover, we can distinguish which types of regulations have a larger impact. While cross-border service imports are more sensitive to outward oriented barriers, trade through local presence (proxied for by FDI stocks) is sensitive both to inward oriented regulations and trade and investment barriers and here in particular to changes in barriers restricting foreign ownership. Not all producer service sectors react alike. We can identify stronger and more stable effects to changes in regulatory regimes in communication services, where imports receive a clear positive impact from changes in FDI regulations.

The short-run evidence is corroborated in the long-run, showing a reinforcement of the complementary effect that imports receive from FDI when regulations change. The effect from cross-border trade on FDI is weaker. Total service imports grow directly in response to lowered regulatory obstacles as measured through any aspect of regulation, and they grow also though the FDI channel, revealing their complementarity. On the other hand, FDI in services grows only when inward oriented domestic regulations are removed, with no impact from outward oriented barriers in the long-run. A detailed analysis by individual service sectors indicates again that cross-border trade in insurance and business services grow in response to any individual regulations being reduced, and communications and financial services are sensitive to almost all barriers. Only transport and construction services imports show no complementarity at all.

References

Arellano, M. and SR Bond (1991). Some tests of specification for panel data: Monte Carlo evidence and an application to employment equations, Review of Economic Studies 58, 277-297.

Bagchi-Sen, S. (1995). FDI in US Producer Services: A Temporal Analysis of Foreign Direct Investment in the Finance, Insurance, and Real Estate Sectors, Regional Studies, Vol. 29, 159-170.

Banga, R. (2005). Foreign Direct Investment in Services: Implications for Developing Countries, Asia-Pacific Trade and Investment Review 1(2), 55-72.

Barrel, R. and D.W.te Velde (2002). European Integration and Manufactures Import Demand. An Empirical Investigation of Ten European Countries, German Economic Review, 3(3): 263-93.

Bloningen, B.A. (2001). In search of substitution between foreign production and exports, Journal of International Economics 53, 81–104.

Blundell, R. and S.R. Bond (1998). Initial conditions and moment restrictions in dynamic panel data models, Journal of Econometrics 87, 115-143.

Bos, J. and M. van de Laar (2004). Explaining Foreign Direct Investment in Central and Eastern Europe: an Extended Gravity Apprach, DNB Working Papers 008, Netherlands Central Banlk, Research Department.

Brenton, P., Di Mauro, F. and M. Lücke (1999). Economic Integration and FDI: An Empirical Analysis of Foreign Investment in the EU and in Central and Eastern Europe, Empiric, 26(2), 95-121.

Buch, C. and A. Lipponer (2004). FDI versus cross-border financial services: The globalisation of German banks, Deutsche Bundesbank Discussion Paper series $1\ 05/2004$.

Conway, P., V. Janod and G. Nicoletti (2005). Product Market Regulation in OECD countries, 1998 to 2003, OECD Economics Department Working Paper, n° 419.

Egger, P. and M. Pfaffermayr (2005). Trade, Multinational Sales, and FDI in a Three-factor Mode, Review of International Economics, 13(4), 659-675.

Fontagné, L. (1999). Foreign Direct Investment and International Trade: Complements or Substitutes?, STI Working Papers 1999/3.

Fontagné, L. and M. Pajot (1999). Investissement direct à l'etranger et échanges extérieurs : un impact plus fort aux Etats-Unis qu'en France, Economie et Statistique, (326-327) : 31-52.

Fontagné, L. and Pajot, M. (2000). Foreign Trade and FDI Stocks in British, US and French

Industries: Complements or Substitutes? in Inward Investment, Technological Change and

Growth, The Impact of Multinational Corporations on the UK Economy (Ed. N. Pain). Palgrave Macmillan.

Hejazi, W. and A.E. Safarian (2001). The complementarity between U.S. foreign direct investment stock and trade, Atlantic Economic Journal 29 (4), 420-437.

Hill, T.P. (1977) On goods and services. The Review of Income and Wealth, 23, 315-338.

Hoekman, B. (2006). Liberalizing Trade in Services: A Survey, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 4030.

Francois, J.F (1990): Trade in Nontradables: Proximity Requirements and the Pattern of Trade in Services, Journal of Economic Integration, 5(1), 31-46.

Kolstad, I. and E. Villanger (2008 forthcoming). Determinants of foreign direct investments in services, European Journal of Political Economy.

Li, D., Moshirian F. and A.B. Sim (2003). The Determinants of Intra-industry Trade in Insurance Services, Jorunal of Risk and Insurance 70(2), 269-87.

Magalhães, M. and Africano, A.P. (2007), A Panel Analysis of the FDI Impact on International Trade, FEP Working Papers.

Moshirian, F. (1997). Foreign Direct Investment in Insurance Services in the United States, Journal of Multinational Financial Mangement 7(2), 159-73.

Moshirian, F. (2001). International Investment in Financial Services, Journal of Banking and Finance 25, 317-337.

Moshirian, F., Lee, D. and A.B. Sim (2005). Intra-Industry Trade in Financial Services, Journal of International Money and Finance 24 (7), 1090-1107.

Pacheco-López, P. (2005). Foreign Direct Investment, Exports and Imports in Mexico, The World Economy 28(8), 1157-72.

Pain, N. and Wakelin, K. (1998). Export Performance and the Role of Foreign Direct Investment, Manchester-School. Supplement 66(0), 62-88.

Pain, N and D van Welsum (2004). International Production Relocation and Exports of Services, OECD Economic Studies nº 38, 2004/1.

Pesaran, MH and RP Smith (1995). Estimating Long-Run Relationships from Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels, Journal of Econometrics, 68, 70-113.

Pesaran, MH, Y. Shin and RP Smith (1999). Pooled Mean Group Estimator of Dynamic Heterogeneous Panels, Journal of the American Statistical Association, 94, 621-634.

Pfaffermayr, M. (1996). Foreign Outward Direct Investment and Exports in Austrian Manufacturing: Substitutes or Complements?, Weltwirtschaftliches-Archiv. 132(3): 501-22

Pramadhani, M. et al (2007), FDI, Trade and Growth, A causal link?, Research Paper 0710, Aston Business School.

Türckan, K (2006), Foreign Direct Investment and Intermediate Goods Exports: Evidence from USA, ETSG Annual Conference.

Windmeijer (2005). A Finite Sample Correction for the Variance of Linear Two-Step GMM Estimators, Journal of Econometrics 126(1), 25-51.

Zarotiadis , G. and N. Mylonidis (2005), FDI and Trade in the UK: Substitutes or Complements?, ETSG Conference, Dublin.

Tables and Figures



Figure 1: Growth of Total Trade in Services, OECD members.

Source: IMF BOP Statistics.





Source: IMF BOP Statistics, IMF IFS Statistics.



Figure 3: Correlation between alternative modes by sector, average 2001-2004.

Source: Own calculations based on IMF and OECD data.

		TRADITIONAL APPROACH		CO	MPOSITE DEMA	AND APPROACH PRI	ICE AND CROSS-I	PRICE ELASTICITIE	s	
SERVICES IMPORTS			product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs
log (GDP)		0.7125	1.0994 8 88	1.2540	1.0219 <i>8 24</i>	1.0385 8 57	1.1491 0.17	0.8871	1.1269 0.23	1.1666 <i>8</i> 60
log (pop)		-0.5907	-0.6562	-0.8323	-0.5158	-0.7151	-0.6505	-0.5996	-0.8166	-0.8685
log (dist)		-1.20 -2.2697 -6.36	-1.00 -1.2950 -3.25	-2.03 -1.2980 -2.98	-1.28 - 1.4686 -3.62	-1.75 -1.6083 -3.75	-1.08 -1.1868 -3.00	-1.54 -1.9312 -4.85	-1.87 -1.8195 -4.00	-2.29 -1.5947 -3.66
log FDI(-1)		0.1075 3.11								
product market	price effect		-0.2533							
regulation	cross-price effect		-2.18 0.0369 2.98							
entrepreneur barriers	price effect			-0.0651						
barrers	cross-price effect			0.0224						
state	price effect	-		1.55	-0.1637					
controls	cross-price effect				-1.87 0.0209 2.08					
trade &	price effect	-			2.00	-0.3803				
barriers	cross-price effect					0.0451 3.13				
inward oriented	price effect						-0.1626			
regulations	cross-price effect						0.0289 2.65			
foreign	price effect							-0.1999 -3 12		
barriers	cross-price effect							0.0158 2.18		
regulatory	price effect								-0.1223	
barrers	cross-price effect								0.0150	
tariffs	price effect								1.22	-0.0720
	cross-price effect									-0.36 0.0113 0.64
country dummies groups adj R ² obs		yes 24 0.76 190	yes 24 0.69 198	yes 24 0.71 198	yes 24 0.68 198	yes 24 0.68 198	yes 24 0.69 198	yes 24 0.69 198	yes 24 0.67 198	yes 24 -0.67 198

TABLE 1A. GRAVITY EQUATION. FDI VERSUS SERVICES IMPORTS COMPLEMENTARITY. TOTAL SERVICES IMPORTS.

Note: figures in bold mean significant. t-statistic in italics.

TABLE 1B. GRAVITY EQUATION. FDI VERSUS SERVICES IMPORTS COMPLEMENTARITY. TOTAL SERVICES FDI.

		TRADITIONAL APPROACH		C	OMPOSITE DEM	AND APPROACH P	RICE AND CRO	SS-PRICE ELASTIC	ITIES	
FDI			product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs
log (GDP)		3.9123	2.8492	2.9294	2.9169	3.1872	2.7827	3.4689	3.4206	3.4949
log (pop)		-2.8099	-1.7855	-2.1818 -2.27	-2.1557	- 1.8965	-2.0190	-2.3035	-2.5517 -2.60	-2.3503 -2.51
log (dist)		-2.5450 -2.41	-3.51	-3.0690 -2.95	-3.64	-3.4523 -3.20	-3.7149 -3.54	-2.9180	-3.1673 -3.08	-3.68
log IMPORTS (-1)		-0.0258 -0.11								
product market	price effect		-1.5087							
regulation	cross-price effect		0.1194 1.84							
	price effect			-2.5955						
barriers	cross-price effect			-2.73 0.2298 2.64						
state	price effect	-		2.01	-0.9144					
controls	cross-price effect				-1.76 0.0686 1.36					
trade &	price effect	-				-1.1096				
investment barriers	cross-price effect					-1.76 0.0890 1.32				
inward	price effect						-1.6811			
regulations	cross-price effect						-2.21 0.1373 1.96			
foreign	price effect							-0.6778		
barriers	cross-price effect							-2.10 0.0684 2.08		
regulatory	price effect								-3.1219	
barriers	cross-price effect								-3.75 0.3293 3.64	
tariffs	price effect	-							0.01	0.2464
	cross-price effect									0.50 -0.0394 -0.88
country dummies		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
adj R ² obs		0.77 190	0.81 198	0.81 198	0.82 198	0.81 198	0.82 198	0.80 198	0.83 198	0.80 198

Note: figures in bold mean significant. t-statistics in Italics.

		1	1			
		1.	2.	3.	4.	5
SERVICES IM	PORTS	Business	Communicati	Construction	Financial	Transport
		services	on services	services	services	services
gravity controls		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
product market	price effect	-0.0622	-0.6487	0.1546	0.2563	-0.0048
regulation		-0.41	-2.60	0.48	0.72	-0.05
	cross-price effect	0.0191	0.1053	0.0473	0.0060	-0.0248
		1.16	4.04	0.73	0.14	-1.49
entrepreneur	price effect	0.2610	-0.8011	-0.4090	1.2758	0.1889
barriers		1.80	-4.20	-0.87	2.44	0.94
	cross-price effect	-0.0075	0.0885	0.0336	-0.0469	-0.0278
		-0.44	3.61	0.53	-1.00	-1.55
state	price effect	-0.0618	-0.4225	0.1545	0.1750	-0.0556
controls		-0.59	-2.23	0.64	0.77	-0.73
	cross-price effect	0.0130	0.0606	0.0346	-0.0024	-0.0132
		1.12	3.20	0.75	-0.07	-1.26
trade &	price effect	-0.1772	-0.9984	0.0169	-0.3391	0.0922
investment		-1.75	-3.88	0.04	-0.80	1.08
barriers	cross-price effect	0.0340	0.1636	0.0640	0.0207	-0.0346
		1.92	5.13	0.72	0.39	-1.54
inward	price effect	0.0390	-0.5740	0.1112	0.5310	-0.0175
oriented		0.28	-2.64	0.32	1.64	-0.14
regulations	cross-price effect	0.0111	0.0757	0.0387	-0.0011	-0.0185
		0.73	3.40	0.71	-0.03	-1.35
foreign	price effect	-0.0838	-0.4679	0.0513	-0.2168	-0.0623
ownership		-1.46	-4.49	0.28	-0.96	-1.15
barriers	cross-price effect	0.0104	0.0911	0.0183	0.0103	-0.0162
		1.33	5.94	0.46	0.37	-1.56
regulatory	price effect	-0.2724	-0.1407	-0.3038	-0.8247	-0.2008
barriers		-3.02	-0.36	-0.43	-1.99	-1.39
	cross-price effect	0.0653	0.0355	0.0818	0.0769	0.0584
		3.37	0.66	0.58	1.68	1.71
tariffs	price effect	0.1308	-0.4452	0.0472	1.1370	0.2968
		1.42	-2.12	0.10	2.69	2.46
	cross-price effect	-0.0088	0.0481	0.0212	-0.0959	-0.0355
		-0.69	1.97	0.30	-2.06	-2.14
obs		107	115	143	178	101

TABLE 2A: SUMMARY OF PRICE AND CROSS-PRICE EFFECTS OF REGULATIONS ON **CROSS-BORDER SERVICES, BY SERVICE**

Note: Each cell corresponds to a separate gravity regression. Detailed estimations in Appendix 1A. Figures in bold mean significant at the 10% level or more; t-statistics in italics.

		1.	2.	3.	4.	5
FDI		Business	Communicati	Construction	Financial	Transport
		services	on services	services	services	services
gravity controls		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
product market	price effect	0.4660	0.4028	-0.8930	-0.7023	0.0376
regulation		0.28	0.63	-2.05	-1.80	0.02
	cross-price effect	-0.0922	-0.0951	0.0476	0.0349	-0.0990
		-0.52	-0.89	0.85	0.79	-0.51
entrepreneur	price effect	2.1196	-14.3930	-0.1692	-0.2798	2.3042
barriers		0.80	-2.52	-0.33	-0.64	0.98
	cross-price effect	-0.2166	0.0627	0.0011	0.0272	-0.3043
		-0.80	0.68	0.02	0.59	-1.22
state	price effect	0.5465	0.2097	-0.4790	-0.5553	-0.2178
controls		0.45	0.40	-1.62	-2.01	-0.18
	cross-price effect	-0.0666	-0.0624	0.0305	0.0176	-0.0286
		-0.52	-0.76	0.82	0.58	-0.21
trade &	price effect	1.1757	11.6320	-0.8438	-0.6011	0.0253
investment		0.73	1.62	-2.01	-1.43	0.02
barriers	cross-price effect	-0.2178	-0.1731	0.0588	0.0459	-0.0644
		-1.07	-1.27	0.83	0.70	-0.27
inward	price effect	0.7832	-0.6636	-0.6827	-0.6446	0.2045
oriented		0.44	-1.08	-1.63	-1.68	0.12
regulations	cross-price effect	-0.0895	-0.0294	0.0339	0.0321	-0.1151
		-0.50	-0.34	0.70	0.84	-0.63
foreign	price effect	0.6240	0.7570	-0.3057	-0.2615	-0.1422
ownership		0.79	1.72	-1.63	-1.04	-0.16
barriers	cross-price effect	-0.1061	-0.0710	0.0290	0.0197	-0.0095
		-1.12	-1.02	0.81	0.58	-0.08
regulatory	price effect	1.5535	-0.8522	-0.9596	-0.9030	0.2521
barriers		0.61	-1.05	-1.48	-1.08	0.13
	cross-price effect	-0.2411	0.2456	0.0890	0.1287	-0.0944
		-0.71	1.40	0.75	0.91	-0.35
tariffs	price effect	-0.0236	-0.1966	0.2329	-0.3838	3.6316
		-0.01	-0.32	0.55	-1.19	2.68
	cross-price effect	-0.0167	-0.0872	-0.0136	0.0208	-0.4051
		-0.09	-0.92	-0.26	0.54	-2.43
obs		107	115	143	178	101

TABLE 2B: SUMMARY OF PRICE AND CROSS-PRICE EFFECTS OF REGULATIONS ON FDI, BY SERVICE

Note: Each cell corresponds to a separate gravity regression. Detailed estimations in Appendix 1B. Figures in bold mean significant at the 10% level or more; t-statistics in italics.

TABLE 3: LONG RUN VERSUS SHORT RUN ESTIMATION . TOTAL SERVICES IMPORTS AND FDI.

			SERVICES IMPORTS			FDI	
		LONG RUN TRADITIONAL APPROACH	COMPOSITE DEMAND APPROACH	SHORT RUN ⁽¹⁾ COMPOSITE DEMAND APPROACH	LONG RUN TRADITIONAL APPROACH	COMPOSITE DEMAND APPROACH	SHORT RUN ⁽¹⁾ COMPOSITE DEMAND APPROACH
country dummies		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
gravity controls				yes			yes
equilibrium correctior	n (δ)	-0.0653 -3.56	yes		-0.0033 <i>-0.09</i>	yes	
log FDI (-1)		1.2698 7.33					
log IMPORTS (-1)					17.1519 <i>0.10</i>		
product market	price effect		-3.0970	-0.2155		-19.6094	-1.7131
regulation			-5.09	-1.80		-1.77	-2.75
	cross-price effect		0.3128	0.0309		1.6663	0.1450
			4.29	2.38		1.51	2.42
entrepreneur	price effect		-3.4875	-0.0212		-26.9023	-3.1044
barriers			-5.60	-0.12		-4.38	-3.75
	cross-price effect		0.3248	0.0163		2.3448	0.2777
			5.07	1.03		4.04	3.66
state	price effect		-2.1423	-0.1377		-12.8625	-1.1844
controls			-4.93	-1.51		-2.09	-2.55
	cross-price effect		0.2265	0.0172		1.0661	0.0992
		-	4.13	1.60		1.72	2.21
trade &	price effect		-4.0755	-0.3294		-2,500.0000	-1.1383
investment			-4.11	-2.53		-0.01	-1.73
barriers	cross-price effect		0.4228	0.0387		276.4362	0.0991
			3.65	2.68		0.01	1.40
inward	price effect		-2.6390	-0.1302		-17.4365	-2.0770
oriented			-5.36	-1.11		-3.16	-3.18
regulations	cross-price effect		0.26/1	0.0237		1.4/16	0.1//3
l			4.5/	2.04		2./1	2.99
foreign	price effect		-1./1/0	-0.1867		-22.9961	-0./150
ownersnip			-4.31	-2.80		-0.59	-2.10
barriers	cross-price effect		U.100/	0.0133		2.2404	0.0739
	a dia a la fila at	4	3.43	1.80		00.00	2.11
regulatory	price effect		-2.4/10	-0.0921		-45.4919	-2.02//
barriers	aroos price offect		-1.0/	-0.70		-0.53	-2.0/
	cross-price effect		0.2247	0.0117		4.9169	0.2767
1		-	1.49	0.98		0.52	2.74
tariffs	price effect		-4.1207	-0.0177		-41.0071	0.1021
	areas price offect		-4.19	80.0-		-0.78	0.31
	cross-price effect		0.4018	0.0062		4.3394	-0.0301
Ohaamvatiana		100	4.04	0.33	470	0.70	-0.04
Observations		190	180	180	1/3	172	1/2

(1) Short run estimation for the composite demand approach with the long run sample, to control for potential sample bias. Note: Figures in bold mean significant coefficients at 10%-level or more; t-statistics in italics.

TABLE 4A: SUMMARY OF SHORT RUN EFFECTS OF REGULATION ON CROSS-BORDER SERVICES. BY SERVICE. LONG RUN SAMPLE (1).

		4	0	0	4	-
		1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
SERVICES IMPOR	TS	Business	Communication	Construction	Financial	Iransport
		services	services	services	services	services
gravity controls		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
country dummies		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
product market	price effect	-0.0949	-0.7121	0.1747	-0.0264	-0.0047
regulation		-0.59	-2.63	0.55	-0.08	-0.06
	cross-price effect	0.0187	0.1169	0.0555	0.0372	-0.0116
		1.00	4.01	0.82	0.90	-0.68
entrepreneur	price effect	0.2663	-0.8406	-0.3694	0.8619	0.1098
barriers		1.69	-3.79	-0.80	1.66	0.59
	cross-price effect	-0.0109	0.0915	0.0353	-0.0142	-0.0155
		-0.55	3.25	0.54	-0.31	-0.86
state	price effect	-0.0811	-0.4675	0.1568	-0.0022	-0.0325
controls		-0.72	-2.29	0.65	-0.01	-0.52
	cross-price effect	0.0123	0.0712	0.0427	0.0234	-0.0040
		0.90	3.49	0.92	0.73	-0.37
trade &	price effect	-0.1963	-1.1082	-0.0071	-0.6128	0.0507
investment		-1.86	-4.14	-0.02	-1.50	0.62
barriers	cross-price effect	0.0338	0.1786	0.0703	0.0579	-0.0186
		1.67	5.25	0.75	1.11	-0.81
inward	price effect	0.0202	-0.6085	0.1268	0.2567	0.0117
oriented		0.13	-2.55	0.38	0.87	0.11
regulations	cross-price effect	0.0107	0.0843	0.0438	0.0255	-0.0078
-		0.60	3.39	0.78	0.75	-0.56
foreign	price effect	-0.0986	-0.5583	0.0619	-0.3613	-0.0651
ownership		-1.53	-4.85	0.31	-1.71	-1.46
barriers	cross-price effect	0.0094	0.1051	0.0225	0.0326	-0.0105
		1.03	5.87	0.55	1.33	-1.05
regulatory	price effect	-0.2786	-0.2039	-0.1904	-1.1752	-0.0856
barriers		-2.66	-0.44	-0.24	-2.73	-1.02
	cross-price effect	0.0643	0.0446	0.0572	0.1202	0.0280
		2.74	0.71	0.37	2.33	1.41
tariffs	price effect	0.1189	-0.4756	-0.0518	0.9513	0.2054
		1.26	-2.10	-0.11	2.44	1.76
	cross-price effect	-0.0060	0.0516	0.0299	-0.0763	-0.0211
		-0.46	2.00	0.41	-1.77	-1.24
obs		99	104	131	160	89

Note: Each cell corresponds to a gravity regression. Detailed estimations in Appendix 3A. (1) Short run estimation for the composite demand approach with the long run sample, to control sample bias. Figures in bold mean significant. t-statistics in italics.

TABLE 4B: SUMMARY OF PRICE AND CROSS-PRICE EFFECTS OF REGULATIONS ON
CROSS-BORDER SERVICES, BY SERVICE. LONG RUN.

		1.	2.	3.	4.	5.
SERVICES IMPOR	TS	Business	Communication	Construction	Financial	Transport
		services	services	services	services	services
country dummies		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes
product market	price effect	-1.4364	-2.0730	2200.0000	-2.1615	-0.8271
regulation		-4.00	-4.91	0.09	-2.13	-2.51
	cross-price effect	0.2147	0.2721	3000.0000	0.2400	0.0570
		2.77	3.70		1.81	1.11
entrepreneur	price effect	-1.6331	-2.034	0.1267	-2.5525	-1.1346
barriers		-3.44	-4.15	0.15	-1.94	-2.13
	cross-price effect	0.2128	0.2598	0.0607	0.2607	0.0659
		2.99	3.27	0.47	1.93	1.25
state	price effect	-0.9956	-1.3710	0.2734	-1.4280	-0.6884
controls		-3.83	-4.23	0.57	-2.10	-2.77
	cross-price effect	0.1507	0.1821	0.0966	0.1676	0.0408
		2.83	3.60	1.12	1.76	1.09
trade &	price effect	-1.8715	-3.1522	0.2231	-3.1667	-0.6729
investment		-3.72	-4.82	0.25	-2.38	-1.98
barriers	cross-price effect	0.3657	0.4335	0.0826	0.3666	0.0912
		2.69	4.25	0.47	1.99	1.28
inward	price effect	-1.2418	-1.6426	0.3473	-1.8564	-0.8824
oriented		-3.70	-3.99	0.57	-2.07	-2.42
regulations	cross-price effect	0.1827	0.2186	0.1033	0.2024	0.0522
		3.02	3.22	0.99	1.85	1.17
foreign	price effect	-0.9669	-1.4465	0.4238	-1.6724	-0.4964
ownership		-4.20	-3.95	0.95	-2.57	-3.24
barriers	cross-price effect	0.1166	0.1984	0.0027	0.1904	0.0198
		2.22	3.14	0.03	2.00	0.65
regulatory	price effect	-2.1842	-1.4691	1.8707	-4.5347	-0.7106
barriers		-2.40	-0.76	1.12	-2.86	-1.09
	cross-price effect	0.5191	0.1651	-0.3539	0.4973	0.1491
		2.15	0.60	-1.05	2.58	0.89
tariffs	price effect	-1.8621	-1.9040	-0.0360	-0.7807	-0.2766
		-3.15	-3.82	-0.04	-0.56	-0.66
	cross-price effect	0.2734	0.2393	0.0795	0.1029	0.067
		2.72	3.19	0.61	0.65	1.34
obs		99	104	131	160	89

Note: Each cell corresponds to a gravity regression. Detailed estimations in Appendix 3B. Figures in bold mean significant coefficients at 10%-level or more; t-statistics in italics.

APPENDIX

			BUSINESS SERVICES IMPORTS								
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
log (GDP)		0.6818	1.2484	0.5985	0.5622	0.8714	0.6041	0.7150	1.1089		
log (pop)		5.7380	5.2785	5.8946	5.9834	5.5106	5.5054	5.0871	4.9187		
log (dist)		-2.2684 -3.32	-1.9739 -3. <i>13</i>	-2.3642 -3.33	4.30 -2.3907 -3.66	-2.0758 -3.06	-2.5626 -3.82	-2.5852 -4.05	-2.1501 -3.23		
product market	price effect	-0.0622									
regulation	cross-price effect	0.0191 1.16									
entrepreneur	price effect		0.2610								
barriers	cross-price effect		-0.0075 -0.44								
state	price effect			-0.0618							
controis	cross-price effect			-0.59 0.0130 1.12							
trade &	price effect				-0.1772						
barriers	cross-price effect				-1.75 0.0340 1.92						
inward	price effect					0.0390					
regulations	cross-price effect					0.28 0.0111 0.73					
foreign	price effect						-0.0838				
barriers	cross-price effect						-1.46 0.0104 1.33				
regulatory	price effect							-0.2724			
barriers	cross-price effect							-3.02 0.0653 .3.37			
tariffs	price effect								0.1308		
	cross-price effect								1.42 -0.0088 -0.69		
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.76	yes 0.78	yes 0.76	yes 0.77	yes 0.76	yes 0.77	yes 0.78	yes 0.76		
ODS		107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107		

		COMMUNICATION SERVICES IMPORTS								
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs	
log (GDP)		2.2240	2.1615	2.1863	2.5245	2.1234	3.0106	3.5887	2.5740	
log (pop)		-14.7150	-15.2790	-14.1418	-15.9232	-14.4560	-18.8694	-16.5355	-13.5479	
log (dist)		-2.9730	-0.22 -3.5086 <i>-2.00</i>	-3.0919 - <i>1.85</i>	-7.34 -2.5465 -1.68	-3.2923 -1.92	-9.22 -2.3854 -1.71	-0.98 -1.8397 <i>-1.14</i>	-4.84 -2.7246 -1.45	
product market regulation	price effect cross-price effect	-0.6487 -2.60 0.1053								
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		-0.8011 -4.20 0.0885 2.61							
state controls	price effect cross-price effect		3.01	-0.4225 -2.23 0.0606 3.20						
trade & investment barriers	price effect cross-price effect			5.20	-0.9984 -3.88 0.1636 5.12					
inward oriented regulations	price effect cross-price effect				0.13	-0.5740 -2.64 0.0757				
foreign ownership barriers	price effect cross-price effect					3.40	-0.4679 -4.49 0.0911			
regulatory barriers	price effect cross-price effect						5.94	-0.1407 -0.36 0.0355		
tariffs	price effect							0.66	-0.4452 -2.12 0.0481	
country dummies		yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	yes	1.97 yes	
adj R [∠] obs		0.61 115	0.62 115	0.59 115	0.63 115	0.60 115	0.66 115	0.55 115	0.56 115	

				CO	NSTRUCTION SE	RVICES IMPORTS	5		
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs
log (GDP)		1.5768	0.4659	1.6075	1.4870	1.3574	1.2435	0.9335	1.1663
log (pop)		-14.8750	-13.7599	-14.5515	-15.3455	-14.0123	-14.7573	-14.7946	-13.5078
log (dist)		-1.8574 -0.81	-2.09 -3.8634 -1.74	-1.3990 -0.57	-3.09 -2.4145 -1.17	-3.02 -1.9585 -0.80	-2.79 -2.8688 -1.38	-2.99 -3.6644 -1.73	-3.02 -2.2834 -0.98
product market regulation	price effect	0.1546 <i>0.48</i>							
	cross-price effect	0.0473 0.73							
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		-0.4090 -0.87 0.0336 0.53						
state	price effect		0.00	0.1545					
controls	cross-price effect			0.64 0.0346 0.75					
trade &	price effect				0.0169				
barriers	cross-price effect				0.044 0.0640 0.72				
inward	price effect					0.1112			
regulations	cross-price effect					0.32 0.0387 0.71			
foreign	price effect						0.0513		
barriers	cross-price effect						0.0183 <i>0.4</i> 6		
regulatory	price effect							-0.3038	
Dameis	cross-price effect							0.0818	
tariffs	price effect								0.0472
	cross-price effect								0.10 0.0212 0.30
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.11	yes 0.10	yes 0.12	yes 0.12	yes 0.10	yes 0.10	yes 0.10	yes 0.10
005		143	143	143	143	143	143	143	143

		FINANCE SERVICES IMPORTS									
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
log (GDP)		0.5917	1.7208	0.4649	-0.3626	1.1011 1 79	-0.4116	-0.3190	1.2394		
log (pop)		4.3765	3.3834	4.5932	5.4970	4.1860	5.3602	5.3834	2.2728		
log (dist)		-2.5149 -1.28	-1.6652 -0.88	-2.7471 -1.34	-3.9409 -2.11	-1.6542 -0.84	-4.0692 -2.09	-3.7429 -2.01	-2.4248 -1.24		
product market regulation	price effect	0.2563 0.72									
	cross-price effect	0.0060									
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		1.2758 2.44 -0.0469								
state	price effect		-1.00	0.1750					<u>-</u>		
controls	cross-price effect			0.77 -0.0024 -0.07							
trade &	price effect				-0.3391						
barriers	cross-price effect				0.0207						
inward	price effect					0.5310					
regulations	cross-price effect					-0.0011 -0.03					
foreign ownership borriere	price effect						-0.2168 -0.96				
	cross-price enect						0.0103				
regulatory barriers	price effect							-0.8247 -1.99			
	cross-price effect							0.0769			
tariffs	price effect								1.1370 2.69		
	cross-price effect								-0.0959 -2 <i>.0</i> 6		
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.10	yes 0.19	yes 0.10	yes 0.10	yes 0.13	yes 0.10	yes 0.12	yes 0.15		
005		1/8	1/6	1/6	178	1/8	1/8	1/8	1/6		

SERVICES IMPORTSproduct market regulationentrepreneur barriersstate controlstrade & investment barriersinward oriented ownership barriersforeign regulationregulog (GDP)1.65491.88451.62981.71201.71761.3995log (pop)5.156.255.245.345.405.48log (dist)-6.8138-6.7605-7.4020-6.5134-7.3510-6.1546-2.36-2.28-2.47-2.23-2.52-2.25log (dist)-2.66-2.00-2.65-2.61-2.37-2.66product market regulationprice effect-0.0248-0.05-2.61-2.37-2.66-1.49-1.49-1.49-0.1889-0.94-1.49-1.49-1.49	llatory tariffs riers 1.8495 1.9408 6.71 6.76 -9.0090 -5.9986 -2.88 -2.24 -2.3546 -1.7124 -2.39 -1.79
log (GDP) 1.6549 1.8845 1.6298 1.7120 1.7176 1.3995 5.15 6.25 5.24 5.34 5.40 5.48 5.48 5.46 5.48 5.46 5.48 5.46 5.48 5.40 5.48 6.6.813 6.7605 7.4020 -6.5134 -7.3510 -6.1546 -2.25 -2.26 -2.23 -2.25 -2.25 -2.26 -2.27 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00	1.8495 1.9408 6.71 6.76 -9.0090 -5.9986 -2.88 -2.24 -2.3546 -1.7124 -2.39 -1.79
log (pop) -6.8138 -6.7605 -7.4020 -6.5134 -7.3510 -6.1546 -2.25 -2.25 -2.23 -2.23 -2.22 -2.25 -2.25 -2.26 -2.20 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.6487 product market regulation price effect -0.0048 -0.05 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 entrepreneur barriers price effect -0.0248 -1.49 -1.49 -0.1889 -0.94	-9.0090 -5.9986 -2.88 -2.24 -2.3546 -1.7124 -2.39 -1.79
log (dist) -2.30 -2.20 -2.47 -2.25 -2.20 -2.25 -2.25 -2.25 -2.25 -2.26 -2.25 -2.6487 -2.666 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.06 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.65 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.00 -2.61 -2.37 -2.66 -2.01 -2.37 -2.66 -2.01 -2.37 -2.66	-2.3546 -1.7124 -2.39 -1.79
product market regulation price effect cross-price effect entrepreneur barriers price effect 0.0048 -0.05 -0.0248 -1.49 0.1889 0.94	
entrepreneur price effect 0.1889 barriers 0.94	
entrepreneur price effect 0.1889 barriers 0.94	
Ibarriers 0.94	
cross-price effect -0.0278 -1.55	
state	
controls -0.73 cross-price effect -0.0132 -1.26	
trade & price effect 0.0922	
barriers cross-price effect -0.0346 -1.54	
inward price effect -0.0175	
oriented0.14 regulations cross-price effect -0.0185 -1.35	
foreign price effect -0.0623	<u>-</u>
ownership barriers cross-price effect -0.0162 -1.56	
regulatory price effect	-0.2008
cross-price effect	-1.39 0.0584 1 71
tariffs price effect	0.2968
cross-price effect	2.46 -0.0355 -2.14
country dummies yes	yes yes

		BUSINESS SERVICES FDI									
FDI		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
log (GDP)		5.9154	7.0547	6.5719	5.4190	6.6302 4 31	5.9979	5.9801	6.0276 <i>4 1</i> 8		
log (pop)		2.9374	2.6199	2.1976	4.0902	2.3177	2.6320	5.6985	2.1303		
log (dist)		-6.1889 -1.59	-5.2507 -1.37	-5.3036 -1.32	-6.7416 - <i>1.88</i>	-5.2760 -1.31	-5.7512 -1.73	-4.8028 -1.34	-5.9480 -1.50		
product market regulation	price effect	0.4660 0.28									
	cross-price effect	-0.0922 -0.52									
entrepreneur barriers	price effect		2.1196 0.80								
	cross-price effect		-0.2166 -0.80								
state controls	price effect			0.5465 <i>0.45</i>							
	cross-price effect			-0.0666 -0.52							
trade &	price effect				1.1757 0.73						
barriers	cross-price effect				-0.2178 -1.07						
inward oriented	price effect					0.7832					
regulations	cross-price effect					-0.0895 -0.50					
foreign ownership	price effect						0.6240				
barriers	cross-price effect						-0.1061 -1.12				
regulatory	price effect							1.5535			
bamers	cross-price effect							-0.2411 -0.71			
tariffs	price effect								-0.0236		
	cross-price effect								-0.07 -0.0167 -0.09		
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.71	yes 0.71	yes 0.71	yes 0.72	yes 0.71	yes 0.72	yes 0.71	yes 0.71		
obs		107	107	107	107	107	107	107	107		

				(COMMUNICATION	SERVICES FDI			
FDI		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs
log (GDP)		8.2458	6.3444	8.1682	9.2513	6.6747	10.0605	8.2166	6.8162
log (pop)		-4.4922	-0.6721	-4.0266	-8.0677	-1.7192	-8.8532	-3.1124	-2.2349
log (dist)		7.0260	-0.09 5.5826 1.29	-0.45 6.8803 1.38	-0.87 7.8973 1.84	-0.22 4.9903 1.03	-0.90 9.2094 2.28	-0.40 8.5397 2.17	-0.33 3.1635 <i>0.7</i> 8
product market regulation	price effect	0.4028 0.63							
	cross-price effect	-0.0951 <i>-0.89</i>							
entrepreneur barriers	price effect		-1.4393 -2.52						
	cross-price effect		0.0627						
state controls	price effect			0.2097 <i>0.40</i>					
	cross-price effect			-0.0624 -0.76					
trade & investment	price effect				1.1632 1.62				
barriers	cross-price effect				-0.1731				
inward oriented	price effect					-0.6636			
regulations	cross-price effect					-0.0294 -0.34			
foreign ownership	price effect						0.7570 1 72		
barriers	cross-price effect						-0.0710 <i>-1.0</i> 2		
regulatory barriers	price effect							-0.8522	
bumers	cross-price effect							0.2456	
tariffs	price effect								-0.1966
	cross-price effect								-0.32 -0.0872 -0.92
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.66	yes 0.68	yes 0.66	yes 0.67	yes 0.67	yes 0.68	yes 0.67	yes 0.71
obs		115	115	115	115	115	115	115	115

		CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FDI										
	product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs				
	-0.4660	0.6401	-0.0034	-0.2177	-0.1305	0.3288	0.2300	1.2295				
	7.6611	5.2092	6.6907	7.5884	6.3109	6.6097	7.0502	4.9011				
	-7.3691 -3.41	-6.0456 -2.74	-6.9054 -3.01	-6.9128 -3 <i>.4</i> 9	-7.1913 -3.17	-6.2128 -3.04	-6.1368 -3.21	-4.7398 -2.01				
price effect	-0.8930 -2. <i>0</i> 5											
cross-price effect	0.0476 <i>0.85</i>											
price effect cross-price effect		-0.1692 - <i>0.33</i> 0.0011										
nrice effect		0.02	-0 4790									
cross-price effect			-0.4730 -1.62 0.0305 0.82									
price effect			0.02	-0.8438								
cross-price effect				-2.07 0.0588 0.83								
price effect					-0.6827							
cross-price effect					0.0339 0.70							
price effect						-0.3057						
cross-price effect						0.0290 0.81						
price effect							-0.9596					
cross-price effect							0.0890					
price effect								0.2329				
cross-price effect								-0.0136 -0.26				
	yes 0.36	yes 0.32 143	yes 0.34	yes 0.38	yes 0.34	yes 0.33	yes 0.42 143	yes 0.32				
	price effect cross-price effect price effect cross-price effect	Image: second	product market regulation entrepreneur barriers -0.4660 0.6401 -0.71 1.07 7.6611 5.2092 2.02 1.30 -7.3691 -6.0456 -3.41 -2.74 price effect -0.8930 -2.05 -0.1692 cross-price effect 0.0476 price effect -0.33 cross-price effect 0.0011 price effect -0.33 price effect 0.0011 price effect 0.0011 price effect 0.02 price effect -0.33 cross-price effect -0.33 price effect -0.33 price effect -0.33 cross-price effect -0.32 price effect -0.33 price effect -0.32 price effect -0.33 price effect -0.33 cross-price effect -0.32 price effect -0.33 cross-price effect -0.33 price effect -0.33 price effect <t< td=""><td>product market regulation entrepreneur barriers state controls -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 2.02 1.30 1.70 -2.02 1.30 1.70 -3.01 -3.41 -2.74 -3.01 -3.41 -3.41 -2.74 -3.01 -3.41 price effect 0.0476 -0.33 -0.33 cross-price effect 0.0011 0.02 -0.4790 price effect -0.4790 -1.62 0.336 cross-price effect 0.0305 0.32 0.332 price effect -0.4790 -1.62 0.0305 0.82 price effect -0.52 -0.4790 -1.62 0.336 price effect -0.52 -0.4790 -1.62 0.336 0.32</td><td>product market regulation entrepreneur barriers state controls trade & investment barriers -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.71 1.07 -0.01 -0.40 7.6611 5.2092 6.6907 7.5884 2.02 1.30 1.77 -0.01 -0.40 7.3691 -6.0456 -6.9054 -6.9128 -7.3691 -6.0456 -6.9054 -6.9128 -2.05 -2.05 -3.49 -3.49 price effect -0.33 -0.2179 -3.49 price effect 0.0476 -0.33 -3.49 price effect -0.1692 - - -0.00305 -0.0101 - - price effect -0.4790 - - price effect -0.4790 - - - price effect -0.83 - 0.0305 - - price effect -0.8438 - - - 0.058 -</td><td>CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FDI market regulation product barriers entrepreneur controls state investment barriers investment oriented regulations -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1305 -0.71 1.07 -0.01 -0.40 -0.2177 7.6611 5.2092 6.6907 7.584 6.3109 2.02 1.30 1.70 2.10 1.61 -7.3691 -6.0456 -6.9054 -6.9128 -7.1913 -3.41 -2.74 -3.01 -3.49 -3.17 price effect -0.4780 -3.49 -3.17 price effect -0.1692 - - price effect -0.33 - - oross-price effect -0.0305 - - 0.02 - -0.4790 - - price effect - - - - cross-price effect - 0.0305 - 0.0383 price effect - - - -</td><td>Image: construction services FDI product regulation entrepreneur barriers state controls trade & investment barriers inward regulations foreign ownership barriers -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1035 0.3288 -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1035 0.3288 -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1035 0.3288 -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1035 0.3288 -0.75 1.07 -0.01 -0.40 -0.21 0.55 -7.3631 -6.0456 -6.9054 -6.9128 -7.1913 -6.2128 -0.6850 -0.1692 -7.3.01 -3.49 -3.17 -3.04 price effect -0.4780 -0.1692 -0.4790 -1.62 -0.21 price effect -0.233 -0.033 -2.01 -0.6827 -1.63 -2.01 price effect -0.233 -0.033 -0.233 -0.201 -0.6827 -1.63 -0.6827</td><td>Image: construction services FD CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FD Image: construction services regulation product market regulation invarial controls <tdintertore< td=""> invarial controls <tdint< td=""></tdint<></tdintertore<></td></t<>	product market regulation entrepreneur barriers state controls -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 1.07 -0.034 -0.71 2.02 1.30 1.70 -2.02 1.30 1.70 -3.01 -3.41 -2.74 -3.01 -3.41 -3.41 -2.74 -3.01 -3.41 price effect 0.0476 -0.33 -0.33 cross-price effect 0.0011 0.02 -0.4790 price effect -0.4790 -1.62 0.336 cross-price effect 0.0305 0.32 0.332 price effect -0.4790 -1.62 0.0305 0.82 price effect -0.52 -0.4790 -1.62 0.336 price effect -0.52 -0.4790 -1.62 0.336 0.32	product market regulation entrepreneur barriers state controls trade & investment barriers -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.71 1.07 -0.01 -0.40 7.6611 5.2092 6.6907 7.5884 2.02 1.30 1.77 -0.01 -0.40 7.3691 -6.0456 -6.9054 -6.9128 -7.3691 -6.0456 -6.9054 -6.9128 -2.05 -2.05 -3.49 -3.49 price effect -0.33 -0.2179 -3.49 price effect 0.0476 -0.33 -3.49 price effect -0.1692 - - -0.00305 -0.0101 - - price effect -0.4790 - - price effect -0.4790 - - - price effect -0.83 - 0.0305 - - price effect -0.8438 - - - 0.058 -	CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FDI market regulation product barriers entrepreneur controls state investment barriers investment oriented regulations -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1305 -0.71 1.07 -0.01 -0.40 -0.2177 7.6611 5.2092 6.6907 7.584 6.3109 2.02 1.30 1.70 2.10 1.61 -7.3691 -6.0456 -6.9054 -6.9128 -7.1913 -3.41 -2.74 -3.01 -3.49 -3.17 price effect -0.4780 -3.49 -3.17 price effect -0.1692 - - price effect -0.33 - - oross-price effect -0.0305 - - 0.02 - -0.4790 - - price effect - - - - cross-price effect - 0.0305 - 0.0383 price effect - - - -	Image: construction services FDI product regulation entrepreneur barriers state controls trade & investment barriers inward regulations foreign ownership barriers -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1035 0.3288 -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1035 0.3288 -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1035 0.3288 -0.4660 0.6401 -0.0034 -0.2177 -0.1035 0.3288 -0.75 1.07 -0.01 -0.40 -0.21 0.55 -7.3631 -6.0456 -6.9054 -6.9128 -7.1913 -6.2128 -0.6850 -0.1692 -7.3.01 -3.49 -3.17 -3.04 price effect -0.4780 -0.1692 -0.4790 -1.62 -0.21 price effect -0.233 -0.033 -2.01 -0.6827 -1.63 -2.01 price effect -0.233 -0.033 -0.233 -0.201 -0.6827 -1.63 -0.6827	Image: construction services FD CONSTRUCTION SERVICES FD Image: construction services regulation product market regulation invarial controls invarial controls <tdintertore< td=""> invarial controls <tdint< td=""></tdint<></tdintertore<>				

		FINANCE SERVICES FDI									
FDI		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
log (GDP)		3.3879	4.1752	3.1927	3.7638	3.4472 5 <i>4</i> 0	3.9674	4.0439	3.7928		
log (pop)		-5.6404	-6.3536	-5.8851	-5.6332	-6.0361	-6.1105	-6.0948	-5.4257		
log (dist)		-2.00 -3.7673 -2.41	-2.08 -2.4527 -1.56	-2.20 -4.5703 -2.78	-7.95 -2.9754 -2.05	-2.73 -3.7582 -2.29	-1.99 -2.7643 -1.92	-2.05 -2.4830 -1.70	-3.4343 -2.28		
product market regulation	price effect	-0.7023 -1.80									
	cross-price effect	0.0349 <i>0.79</i>									
entrepreneur	price effect		-0.2798								
Damers	cross-price effect		-0.04 0.0272 0.59								
state	price effect			-0.5553							
controis	cross-price effect			0.0176							
trade &	price effect				-0.6011						
barriers	cross-price effect				0.0459 0.70						
inward	price effect					-0.6446					
regulations	cross-price effect					-1.68 0.0321 <i>0.84</i>					
foreign	price effect						-0.2615				
barriers	cross-price effect						0.0197 0.58				
regulatory	price effect							-0.9030			
Damers	cross-price effect							0.1287			
tariffs	price effect							0.01	-0.3838		
	cross-price effect								1.19- 0.0208 0.54-		
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.55	yes 0.53	yes 0.56	yes 0.54	yes 0.55	yes 0.54	yes 0.55	yes 0.54		
obs		178	178	178	178	178	178	178	178		

		TRANSPORT SERVICES FDI									
FDI		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
log (GDP)		0.4959	2.0971	0.5468	0.5893	0.8560	0.6117	0.7363	3.3658		
log (pop)		21.0482	16.2392	21.8266	22.8403	18.7148	23.7785	24.2131	4.4700		
log (dist)		-2.1690 -0.35	-1.1124 -0.22	-1.4415 -0.23	-1.0175 -0.17	-2.5384 -0.41	0.1600 0.03	0.0640 0.01	-2.5217 -0.45		
product market regulation	price effect	0.0376 0.02									
	cross-price effect	-0.0990 <i>-0.51</i>									
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		2.3042 0.98 -0.3043								
state	price effect		-1.22	-0.2178							
controls	cross-price effect			-0.18 -0.0286 -0.21							
trade &	price effect			0.21	0.0253						
barriers	cross-price effect				-0.0644 -0.27						
inward	price effect					0.2045					
regulations	cross-price effect					-0.1151 -0.63					
foreign	price effect						-0.1422				
barriers	cross-price effect						-0.0095 -0.08				
regulatory	price effect							0.2521			
Damers	cross-price effect							-0.0944 -0.35			
tariffs	price effect								3.6316		
	cross-price effect								-0.4051 -2 <i>.4</i> 3		
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.32	yes 0.32	yes 0.31	yes 0.32	yes 0.31	yes 0.31	yes 0.33	yes 0.36		
obs		101	101	101	101	101	101	101			

APPENDIX 2A: LONG RUN TRADITIONAL AND COMPOSITE APPROACH ESTIMATION. TOTAL SERVICES IMPORTS.

SERVICES IMPORTS		TRADITIONAL APPROACH	COMPOSITE DEMAND APPROACH							
			product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs
equilibrium correction (δ)		-0.0653 -3.56	-0.1460 <i>-5.18</i>	-0.1506 <i>-5.45</i>	-0.1422 -4.94	-0.1252 <i>-4.65</i>	-0.1487 -5.25	-0.1372 -4.66	-0.0822 -3.25	-0.1197 <i>-4.4</i> 3
log FDI (-1)		1.2698 7.33								
product market	price effect		-3.0970							
regulation	cross-price effect		-5.09 0.3128 <i>4.</i> 29							
entrepreneur	price effect			-3.4875						
barriers	cross-price effect			-5.60 0.3248 5.07						
state	price effect				-2.1423					
controls	cross-price effect				-4.93 0.2265 4.13					
trade &	price effect	-				-4.0755				
investment barriers	cross-price effect					-4.11 0.4228 3.65				
inward	price effect	-					-2.6390			
oriented regulations	cross-price effect						-5.36 0.2671 4 57			
foreign	price effect							-1.7170		
ownership barriers	cross-price effect							-4.31 0 1667		
								3.43		
regulatory barriers	price effect								-2.4710 -1.67	
	cross-price effect								0.2247	
tariffs	price effect	•								-4.1267
	cross-price effect									-4.19 0.4016 4.04
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.36	yes 0.14	yes 0.15	yes 0.13	yes 0.12	yes 0.14	yes 0.11	yes 0.03	yes 0.11

SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs
log (GDP)		1.0483	1.2163	0.9713	0.9861	1.1057	0.7880	1.0662	1.1371
log (pop)		-0.5140	-0.6741 -1.70	-0.3964 -0.96	0.00 -0.5457 -1.31	-0.5196 -1.35	-0.3699 -0.90	-0.6349 -1.46	-0.7344 -2 <i>0</i> 9
log (dist)		-1.3387 -3.29	-1.3104 -2 <i>.9</i> 5	-1.5092 -3.68	-1.5908 -3.66	-1.2378 -3.09	-1.9465 <i>-4.9</i> 3	-1.7648 -3.88	-1.5391 -3. <i>5</i> 2
product market regulation	price effect	-0.2155 -1.80							
	cross-price effect	0.0309 2.38							
entrepreneur barriers	price effect		-0.0212 -0.12 0.0163						
			1.03						
state controls	price effect cross-price effect			-0.1377 <i>-1.51</i> 0.0172					
trade & investment	price effect			1.60	-0.3294 -2.53				
barriers	cross-price effect				0.0387 2.68				
inward oriented	price effect					-0.1302 <i>-1.11</i>			
regulations	cross-price effect					0.0237 2.04			
foreign ownership	price effect						-0.1867 -2.86		
barriers	cross-price effect						0.0133 <i>1.80</i>		
regulatory barriers	price effect							-0.0921 <i>-0.78</i>	
	cross-price effect							0.0117 <i>0.98</i>	
tariffs	price effect								-0.0177 -0.08
	cross-price effect								0.0062 0.33
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes 0.63 180	yes 0.65 180	yes 0.62 <u>1</u> 80	yes 0.63 180	yes 0.64 180	yes 0.65 180	yes 0.62 180	yes 0.62 180

APPENDIX 2A: SHORT RUN COMPOSITE APPROACH ESTIMATION . TOTAL SERVICES IMPORTS. LONG RUN SAMPLE.

APPENDIX 2B: LONG KUN TKADITIONAL AND COMPOSITE APPROACH ESTIMATION. TOTAL SERVICES FD!	APPENDIX 2B: LONG RUN TRADIT	ONAL AND COMPOSITE	APPROACH ESTIMATION	. TOTAL SERVICES FDI.
---	------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	-----------------------

FDI		TRADITIONAL APPROACH				COMPOSI	E DEMAND AP	PROACH		
			product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs
equilibrium correction (δ)		-0.0033 <i>-0.0</i> 9	-0.0325 -1.51	-0.0669 <i>-3.20</i>	-0.0386 <i>-1.86</i>	-0.0001 <i>-0.01</i>	-0.0542 -2.45	-0.0095 -0.55	0.0083 <i>0.51</i>	-0.0123 <i>-0.7</i> 3
log IMPORTS (-1)		17.1519 0.10								
product market	price effect		-19.6094							
regulation	cross-price effect		-1.77 1.6663 <i>1.51</i>							
entrepreneur	price effect			-26.9023						
barriers	cross-price effect			-4.38 2.3448 <i>4.04</i>						
state	price effect				-12.8625					
controls	cross-price effect				-2.09 1.0661 1.72					
trade &	price effect					-2500.0000				
investment barriers	cross-price effect					-0.01 276.4362 0.01				
inward	price effect					0.01	-17.4365			
oriented regulations	cross-price effect						-3.16 1.4716 2.71			
foreign	price effect	-						-22.9961		
ownership barriers	cross-price effect							-0.59 2.2404 0.56		
regulatory	price effect	-						0.00_	-45.4919	
barriers	cross-price effect								-0.53 4.9169 0.52	
tariffs	price effect									-47.8577
	cross-price effect									-0.78 4.3394 0.76
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes 0.52 173	yes 0.10 172	yes 0.17 172	yes 0.11 172	yes 0.07 172	yes 0.13 172	yes 0.08 172	yes 0.07 172	yes 0.09 172

FDI		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs
log (GDP)		2.9909	2.9479	3.0064	3.3825	2.8077	3.6234	3.5673	3.5515
log (pop)		-1.7655	-2.1010 -2.48	-2.0320 -2.40	-1.9323	-1.9301 -2.39	-2.2323 -2.37	-2.4100 -2.87	-2.0669
log (dist)		-2.9994	-2.3243 -2.31	-3.0786	-2.8541 -2.59	-2.914 -2.78	-2.3191 -2.18	-2.7596 -2.61	-3.4497 -3.19
product market regulation	price effect	-1.7131 -2.75							
	cross-price effect	0.1450 <i>2.4</i> 2							
entrepreneur barriers	price effect		-3.1044 -3.75						
	cross-price effect		0.2777 3.66						
state controls	price effect			-1.1844 -2.55					
	cross-price effect			0.0992 2.21					
trade & investment	price effect				-1.1383 <i>1.73-</i>				
barriers	cross-price effect				0.0991 <i>1.40</i>				
inward oriented	price effect					-2.0770 -3.18			
regulations	cross-price effect					0.1773 2.99			
foreign ownership	price effect						-0.7150 -2 <i>.10</i>		
barriers	cross-price effect						0.0739 2.11		
regulatory barriers	price effect							-2.6277 -2.81	
	cross-price effect							0.2767 2.74	
tariffs	price effect								0.1621 <i>0.31</i>
	cross-price effect								-0.0301 <i>-0.64</i>
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes 0.80 172	yes 0.81 172	yes 0.81 172	yes 0.80 172	yes 0.81 172	yes 0.79 172	yes 0.81 172	yes 0.79 <u>1</u> 72

APPENDIX 2B: SHORT RUN COMPOSITE APPROACH ESTIMATION . TOTAL SERVICES FDI. LONG RUN SAMPLE.

			BUSINESS SERVICES IMPORTS									
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs			
log (GDP)		0.5377	1.2014	0.4781	0.4352	0.7677	0.4617	0.6338	1.0284 3.44			
log (pop)		5.9388	5.3714	5.9803	6.2451	5.6543	5.6822	5.3197	5.1265			
log (dist)		-2.3295 -3.40	-2.0015 -3.25	-2.4243 -3.34	-2.3885 -3.71	-2.1180 -3. <i>1</i> 6	-2.5847 -3.91	-2.5157 -4.09	-2.0602 -3.17			
product market	price effect	-0.0949										
regulation	cross-price effect	0.0187 1.00										
entrepreneur	price effect		0.2663									
barriers	cross-price effect		1.69 -0.0109 -0.55									
state	price effect			-0.0811								
controis	cross-price effect			-0.72 0.0123 0.90								
trade &	price effect				-0.1963							
barriers	cross-price effect				-7.86 0.0338 1.67							
inward	price effect					0.0202						
regulations	cross-price effect					0.13 0.0107 <i>0.60</i>						
foreign	price effect						-0.0986					
barriers	cross-price effect						-7.53 0.0094 <i>1.0</i> 3					
regulatory	price effect							-0.2786				
Darriers	cross-price effect							-2.66 0.0643 2.74				
tariffs	price effect								0.1189			
	cross-price effect								-0.006 -0.46			
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.71	yes 0.73	yes 0.71	yes 0.72	yes 0.71	yes 0.72	yes 0.73	yes 0.71			
000	1							55	55			

				CO	MMUNICATION SE	ERVICES IMPORT	S		
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs
log (GDP)		2.0614	2.0018	1.9774 3 41	2.3741 5 <i>21</i>	1.9476	2.8938	3.5430 9 74	2.3972
log (pop)		-14.5127	-15.1925	-13.9874	-15.7270	-14.2248	-18.9249	-17.1062	-13.6748
log (dist)		-3.0535 -1.85	-3.6320 -2.04	-3.1806 -1.88	-2.6582 -1.75	-3.3495 -1.94	-2.4924 -1.78	-0.02 -1.9692 -1.23	-3.90 -2.9065 - <i>1.5</i> 5
product market regulation	price effect	-0.7121 -2.63							
	cross-price effect	0.1169 <i>4.01</i>							
entrepreneur barriers	price effect		-0.8406 -3.79 0.0915						
			3.25						
state controls	price effect cross-price effect			-0.4675 -2.29 0.0712					
trade &	price effect			3.49	-1.1082				
investment barriers	cross-price effect				-4.14 0.1786 5.25				
inward	price effect				0.20	-0.6085			
regulations	cross-price effect					-2.35 0.0843 3.39			
foreign ownership	price effect						-0.5583 -4.85		
barriers	cross-price effect						0.1051		
regulatory	price effect							-0.2039	
barriers	cross-price effect							0.0446	
tariffs	price effect								-0.4756
	cross-price effect								0.0516 2.00
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes 0.55 104	yes 0.55 104	yes 0.53 104	yes 0.57 104	yes 0.54 104	yes 0.61 104	yes 0.47 104	yes 0.48 104

			CONSTRUCTION SERVICES IMPORTS								
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
log (GDP)		1.5434 1.91	0.2226	1.5634 <i>1.99</i>	1.3364 1.73	1.2358 1.71	1.1315 <i>1.</i> 37	0.6749 1.02	0.7876 1.16		
log (pop)		-14.1110	-12.4618	-13.7114	-14.5605	-12.8874	-13.9813	-13.4627	-12.1626		
log (dist)		-1.7376 -0.78	-3.9086 -1.80	-1.2426 -0.54	-2.5497 -1.29	-1.8887 -0.79	-2.72 -2.8974 -1.46	-3.8201 -1.91	-2.67 -2.6717 -1.16		
product market	price effect	0.1747									
	cross-price effect	0.0555 0.82									
entrepreneur barriers	price effect		-0.3694 -0.80								
barrois	cross-price effect		0.0353 0.54								
state	price effect			0.1568							
controis	cross-price effect			0.03 0.0427 0.92							
trade &	price effect				-0.0071						
barriers	cross-price effect				0.0703 0.75						
inward arianted	price effect					0.1268					
regulations	cross-price effect					0.38 0.0438 <i>0.7</i> 8					
foreign	price effect						0.0619				
barriers	cross-price effect						0.0225 0.55				
regulatory	price effect							-0.1904			
Damers	cross-price effect							-0.24 0.0572 0.37			
tariffs	price effect							0.07	-0.0518		
	cross-price effect								-0.11 0.0299 0.41		
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes 0.08 131	yes 0.05 131	yes 0.09 131	yes 0.08 131	yes 0.07 131	yes 0.07 131	yes 0.05 131	yes 0.06 131		

		FINANCE SERVICES IMPORTS									
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
log (GDP)		0.7999	1.8192	0.7381	-0.1104 -0.17	1.2886	-0.1667 -0.24	-0.0330	1.5432		
log (pop)		3.2044	2.3736	3.4936	4.1814	3.0354	4.0188	3.9577	1.2810		
log (dist)		-2.4023 -1.26	-1.5859 -0.88	-2.3358 -1.17	-3.8567 -2.09	-1.5549 -0.81	-3.9321 -2.03	-3.7982 -2.10	-2.1778 -1.14		
product market	price effect	-0.0264									
regulation	cross-price effect	0.0372									
entrepreneur	price effect		0.8619								
Damers	cross-price effect		-0.0142 -0.31								
state	price effect			-0.0022							
controis	cross-price effect			0.0234							
trade &	price effect				-0.6128						
barriers	cross-price effect				0.0579						
inward	price effect					0.2567					
regulations	cross-price effect					0.87 0.0255 <i>0.75</i>					
foreign	price effect						-0.3613				
barriers	cross-price effect						0.0326				
regulatory	price effect							-1.1752			
Damers	cross-price effect							-2.73 0.1202 2.33			
tariffs	price effect								0.9513		
	cross-price effect								2.44 -0.0763 -1.77		
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.10	yes 0.17	yes 0.10	yes 0.10	yes 0.12	yes 0.11 160	yes 0.15	yes 0.14		
000		100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		

			TRANSPORT SERVICES IMPORTS									
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs			
log (GDP)		1.5259	1.6659	1.5059	1.5644	1.5928	1.2139	1.6433 5 74	1.7722			
log (pop)		-6.0149	-5.9110	-6.2765 -2.02	-5.8477	-6.2482	-5.0352	-6.9098	-5.5451			
log (dist)		-1.8736 -2.51	-1.6664 -1.86	-1.8750 -2.52	-1.7987 -2.57	-1.7653	-1.9715 -2 73	-1.5934 -2.32	-1.1889 -1.56			
product market regulation	price effect	-0.0047 -0.06										
	cross-price effect	-0.0116 <i>-0.68</i>										
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		0.1098 <i>0.59</i> -0.0155									
state controls	price effect		-0.86	-0.0325 -0.52								
	cross-price effect			-0.0040 <i>-0.37</i>								
trade & investment	price effect				0.0507 <i>0</i> .62							
barriers	cross-price effect				-0.0186 <i>-0.81</i>							
inward oriented	price effect					0.0117						
regulations	cross-price effect					-0.0078 -0.56						
foreign ownership	price effect						-0.0651 -1.46					
barriers	cross-price effect						-0.0105 -1.05					
regulatory barriers	price effect							-0.0856				
bamers	cross-price effect							0.0280				
tariffs	price effect								0.2054			
	cross-price effect								-0.0211 -1.24			
country dummies adj R ²		yes 0.47	yes 0.48	yes 0.46	yes 0.48	yes 0.47	yes 0.52	yes 0.47	yes 0.52			
ODS		89	89	89	89	89	89	89	8			

		BUSINESS SERVICES IMPORTS									
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
equilibrium correction (δ)		-0.1475 <i>-4.</i> 33	-0.1388 -3.99	-0.1523 <i>-4.47</i>	-0.1340 <i>-4.0</i> 6	-0.1497 <i>-4.</i> 33	-0.1479 <i>-4.44</i>	-0.1109 -3.27	-0.1190 -3.68		
product market regulation	price effect cross-price effect	-1.4364 -4.00 0.2147 2.77									
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		-1.6331 -3.44 0.2128 2.99								
state controls	price effect cross-price effect			-0.9956 -3.83 0.1507 2.83							
trade & investment barriers	price effect cross-price effect				-1.8715 -3.72 0.3657 2 69						
inward oriented regulations	price effect cross-price effect					-1.2418 -3.70 0.1827 3.02					
foreign ownership barriers	price effect cross-price effect						-0.9669 -4.20 0.1166 2.22				
regulatory barriers	price effect cross-price effect							-2.1842 -2.40 0.5191 2.15			
tariifs	price effect cross-price effect								-1.8621 -3.15 0.2734 2.72		
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes 0.18 99	yes 0.14 99	yes 0.19 99	yes 0.18 _99	yes 0.18 _99	yes 0.20 <u>9</u> 9	yes 0.08 99	yes 0.15 99		

		COMMUNICATION SERVICES IMPORTS									
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
equilibrium correction (δ)		-0.3134 -6.59	-0.2972 -6.44	-0.3072 -6.51	-0.3131 -6.38	-0.3037 -6.50	-0.2878 -6.03	-0.2004 <i>-5.08</i>	-0.2863 -5.89		
product market regulation	price effect cross-price effect	-2.0730 -4.91 0.2721 3.70									
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		-2.0340 -4.15 0.2598 3.27								
state controls	price effect cross-price effect			-1.3710 -4.23 0.1821 3.60							
trade & investment barriers	price effect cross-price effect				-3.1522 -4.82 0.4335 4.25						
inward oriented regulations	price effect cross-price effect					-1.6426 -3.99 0.2186 3.22					
foreign ownership barriers	price effect cross-price effect						-1.4465 -3.95 0.1984 3.14				
regulatory barriers	price effect cross-price effect							-1.4691 -0.76 0.1651 0.60			
tariffs	price effect cross-price effect								-1.9040 -3.82 0.2393 3.19		
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes 0.27 104	yes 0.25 104	yes 0.26 104	yes 0.25 104	yes 0.26 104	yes 0.23 104	yes 0.15 104	yes 0.22 104		

		CONSTRUCTION SERVICES IMPORTS									
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
equilibrium correction (δ)		0.0000 <i>-0.80</i>	-0.3430 -8.51	-0.3456 -8.78	-0.3508 -8.75	-0.3454 -8.71	-0.3453 -8.67	-0.3412 <i>-8.4</i> 3	-0.3492 -8.68		
product market regulation	price effect cross-price effect	2200.0000 0.09 3000.0000									
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		0.1267 0.15 0.0607 0.47								
state controls	price effect cross-price effect			0.2734 0.57 0.0966 1.12							
trade & investment barriers	price effect cross-price effect				0.2231 0.25 0.0826 0.47						
inward oriented regulations	price effect cross-price effect					0.3473 0.57 0.1033 0.99					
foreign ownership barriers	price effect cross-price effect						0.4238 0.95 0.0027 0.03				
regulatory barriers	price effect cross-price effect							1.8707 1.12 -0.3539 -1.05			
tariffs	price effect cross-price effect								-0.0360 -0.04 0.0795 0.61		
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes -0.03 131	yes 0.37 131	yes 0.40 131	yes 0.38 131	yes 0.39 131	yes 0.38 131	yes 0.37 131	yes 0.38 131		

		FINANCE SERVICES IMPORTS									
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
equilibrium correction (δ)		-0.2020 -6.38	-0.1995 -6.15	-0.2008 -6.35	-0.2051 -6.46	-0.2012 -6.33	-0.2079 -6.56	-0.2137 -6.75	-0.1958 -6. <i>0</i> 6		
product market regulation	price effect cross-price effect	-2.1615 -2.13 0.2400 1.81									
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		-2.5525 -1.94 0.2607 1.93								
state controls	price effect cross-price effect			-1.4280 -2.10 0.1676 1.76							
trade & investment barriers	price effect cross-price effect				-3.1667 -2.38 0.3666 1 99						
inward oriented regulations	price effect cross-price effect					-1.8564 -2.07 0.2024 1.85					
foreign ownership barriers	price effect cross-price effect						-1.6724 -2.57 0.1904 2.00				
regulatory barriers	price effect cross-price effect							-4.5347 -2.86 0.4973 2.58			
tariffs	price effect cross-price effect								-0.7807 -0.56 0.1029 <i>0.</i> 65		
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes 0.21 160	yes 0.21 160	yes 0.21 160	yes 0.22 160	yes 0.21 160	yes 0.22 160	yes 0.23 160	yes 0.18 160		

		TRANSPORT SERVICES IMPORTS									
SERVICES IMPORTS		product market regulation	entrepreneur barriers	state controls	trade & investment barriers	inward oriented regulations	foreign ownership barriers	regulatory barriers	tariffs		
equilibrium correction (δ)		-0.1645 <i>-4.</i> 83	-0.1544 <i>-4.7</i> 2	-0.1699 -5.13	-0.1506 <i>-4.4</i> 5	-0.1629 <i>-4.90</i>	-0.1903 <i>-5.40</i>	-0.1495 <i>-4.62</i>	-0.1320 - <i>4.0</i> 6		
product market regulation	price effect cross-price effect	-0.8271 -2.51 0.0570 1.11									
entrepreneur barriers	price effect cross-price effect		-1.1346 -2.13 0.0659 1.25								
state controls	price effect cross-price effect			-0.6884 -2.77 0.0408 1.09							
trade & investment barriers	price effect cross-price effect				-0.6729 -1.98 0.0912 1.28						
inward oriented regulations	price effect cross-price effect					-0.8824 -2.42 0.0522 1.17					
foreign ownership barriers	price effect cross-price effect						-0.4964 -3.24 0.0198 0.65				
regulatory barriers	price effect cross-price effect							-0.7106 -1.09 0.1491 0.89			
tariffs	price effect cross-price effect								-0.2766 -0.66 0.0670 1.34		
country dummies adj R ² obs		yes 0.32 89	yes 0.31 89	yes 0.33 89	yes 0.31 89	yes 0.32 89	yes 0.36 89	yes 0.28 89	yes 0.29 89		

DOCUMENTOS DE TRABAJO

Facultad de Ciencias Económicas y Empresariales

Universidad de Zaragoza

2002-01: "Evolution of Spanish Urban Structure During the Twentieth Century". Luis Lanaspa, Fernando Pueyo y Fernando Sanz. Department of Economic Analysis, University of Zaragoza.

2002-02: "Una Nueva Perspectiva en la Medición del Capital Humano". Gregorio Giménez y Blanca Simón. Departamento de Estructura, Historia Económica y Economía Pública, Universidad de Zaragoza.

2002-03: "A Practical Evaluation of Employee Productivity Using a Professional Data Base". Raquel Ortega. Department of Business, University of Zaragoza.

2002-04: "La Información Financiera de las Entidades No Lucrativas: Una Perspectiva Internacional". Isabel Brusca y Caridad Martí. Departamento de Contabilidad y Finanzas, Universidad de Zaragoza.

2003-01: "Las Opciones Reales y su Influencia en la Valoración de Empresas". Manuel Espitia y Gema Pastor. Departamento de Economía y Dirección de Empresas, Universidad de Zaragoza.

2003-02: "The Valuation of Earnings Components by the Capital Markets. An International Comparison". Susana Callao, Beatriz Cuellar, José Ignacio Jarne and José Antonio Laínez. Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Zaragoza.

2003-03: "Selection of the Informative Base in ARMA-GARCH Models". Laura Muñoz, Pilar Olave and Manuel Salvador. Department of Statistics Methods, University of Zaragoza.

2003-04: "Structural Change and Productive Blocks in the Spanish Economy: An Imput-Output Analysis for 1980-1994". Julio Sánchez Chóliz and Rosa Duarte. Department of Economic Analysis, University of Zaragoza.

2003-05: "Automatic Monitoring and Intervention in Linear Gaussian State-Space Models: A Bayesian Approach". Manuel Salvador and Pilar Gargallo. Department of Statistics Methods, University of Zaragoza.

2003-06: "An Application of the Data Envelopment Analysis Methodology in the Performance Assessment of the Zaragoza University Departments". Emilio Martín. Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Zaragoza.

2003-07: "Harmonisation at the European Union: a difficult but needed task". Ana Yetano Sánchez. Department of Accounting and Finance, University of Zaragoza.

2003-08: "The investment activity of spanish firms with tangible and intangible assets". Manuel Espitia and Gema Pastor. Department of Business, University of Zaragoza.

2004-01: "Persistencia en la performance de los fondos de inversión españoles de renta variable nacional (1994-2002)". Luis Ferruz y María S. Vargas. Departamento de Contabilidad y Finanzas, Universidad de Zaragoza.

2004-02: "Calidad institucional y factores político-culturales: un panorama internacional por niveles de renta". José Aixalá, Gema Fabro y Blanca Simón. Departamento de Estructura, Historia Económica y Economía Pública, Universidad de Zaragoza.

2004-03: "La utilización de las nuevas tecnologías en la contratación pública". José M^a Gimeno Feliú. Departamento de Derecho Público, Universidad de Zaragoza.

2004-04: "Valoración económica y financiera de los trasvases previstos en el Plan Hidrológico Nacional español". Pedro Arrojo Agudo. Departamento de Análisis Económico, Universidad de Zaragoza. Laura Sánchez Gallardo. Fundación Nueva Cultura del Agua.

2004-05: "Impacto de las tecnologías de la información en la productividad de las empresas españolas". Carmen Galve Gorriz y Ana Gargallo Castel. Departamento de Economía y Dirección de Empresas. Universidad de Zaragoza.

2004-06: "National and International Income Dispersión and Aggregate Expenditures". Carmen Fillat. Department of Applied Economics and Economic History, University of Zaragoza. Joseph Francois. Tinbergen Institute Rotterdam and Center for Economic Policy Resarch-CEPR.

2004-07: "Targeted Advertising with Vertically Differentiated Products". Lola Esteban and José M. Hernández. Department of Economic Analysis. University of Zaragoza.

2004-08: "Returns to education and to experience within the EU: are there differences between wage earners and the self-employed?". Inmaculada García Mainar. Department of Economic Analysis. University of Zaragoza. Víctor M. Montuenga Gómez. Department of Business. University of La Rioja

2005-01: "E-government and the transformation of public administrations in EU countries: Beyond NPM or just a second wave of reforms?". Lourdes Torres, Vicente Pina and Sonia Royo. Department of Accounting and Finance.University of Zaragoza

2005-02: "Externalidades tecnológicas internacionales y productividad de la manufactura: un análisis sectorial". Carmen López Pueyo, Jaime Sanau y Sara Barcenilla. Departamento de Economía Aplicada. Universidad de Zaragoza.

2005-03: "Detecting Determinism Using Recurrence Quantification Analysis: Three Test Procedures". María Teresa Aparicio, Eduardo Fernández Pozo and Dulce Saura. Department of Economic Analysis. University of Zaragoza.

2005-04: "Evaluating Organizational Design Through Efficiency Values: An Application To The Spanish First Division Soccer Teams". Manuel Espitia Escuer and Lucía Isabel García Cebrián. Department of Business. University of Zaragoza.

2005-05: "From Locational Fundamentals to Increasing Returns: The Spatial Concentration of Population in Spain, 1787-2000". María Isabel Ayuda. Department of Economic Analysis. University of Zaragoza. Fernando Collantes and Vicente Pinilla. Department of Applied Economics and Economic History. University of Zaragoza.

2005-06: "Model selection strategies in a spatial context". Jesús Mur and Ana Angulo. Department of Economic Analysis. University of Zaragoza.

2005-07: "Conciertos educativos y selección académica y social del alumnado". María Jesús Mancebón Torrubia. Departamento de Estructura e Historia Económica y Economía Pública. Universidad de Zaragoza. Domingo Pérez Ximénez de Embún. Departamento de Análisis Económico. Universidad de Zaragoza.

2005-08: "Product differentiation in a mixed duopoly". Agustín Gil. Department of Economic Analysis. University of Zaragoza.

2005-09: "Migration dynamics, growth and convergence". Gemma Larramona and Marcos Sanso. Department of Economic Analysis. University of Zaragoza.

2005-10: "Endogenous longevity, biological deterioration and economic growth". Marcos Sanso and Rosa María Aísa. Department of Economic Analysis. University of Zaragoza.

2006-01: "Good or bad? - The influence of FDI on output growth. An industry-level analysis". Carmen Fillat Castejón. Department of Applied Economics and Economic History. University of Zaragoza. Julia Woerz. The Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies and Tinbergen Institute, Erasmus University Rotterdam.

2006-02: "Performance and capital structure of privatized firms in the European Union". Patricia Bachiller y M^a José Arcas. Departamento de Contabilidad y Finanzas. Universidad de Zaragoza.

2006-03: "Factors explaining the rating of Microfinance Institutions". Begoña Gutiérrez Nieto and Carlos Serrano Cinca. Department of Accounting and Finance. University of Saragossa, Spain.

2006-04: "Libertad económica y convergencia en argentina: 1875-2000". Isabel Sanz Villarroya. Departamento de Estructura, Historia Económica y Economía Pública. Universidad de Zaragoza. Leandro Prados de la Escosura. Departamento de H^a e Instituciones Ec. Universidad Carlos III de Madrid.

2006-05: "How Satisfied are Spouses with their Leisure Time? Evidence from Europe*". Inmaculada García, José Alberto Molina y María Navarro. University of Zaragoza.

2006-06: "Una estimación macroeconómica de los determinantes salariales en España (1980-2000)". José Aixalá Pastó y Carmen Pelet Redón. Departamento de Estructura, Historia Económica y Economía Pública. Universidad de Zaragoza.

2006-07: "Causes of World Trade Growth in Agricultural and Food Products, 1951 – 2000". Raúl Serrano and Vicente Pinilla. Department of Applied Economics and Economic History, University of Zaragoza, Gran Via 4, 50005 Zaragoza (Spain).

2006-08: "Prioritisation of patients on waiting lists: a community workshop approach". Angelina Lázaro Alquézar. Facultad de Derecho, Facultad de Económicas. University of Zaragoza. Zaragoza, Spain. Begoña Álvarez-Farizo. C.I.T.A.- Unidad de Economía. Zaragoza, Spain

2007-01: "Deteminantes del comportamiento variado del consumidor en el escenario de Compra". Carmén Berné Manero y Noemí Martínez Caraballo. Departamento de Economía y Dirección de Empresas. Universidad de Zaragoza.

2007-02: "Alternative measures for trade restrictiveness. A gravity approach". Carmen Fillat & Eva Pardos. University of Zaragoza.

2007-03: "Entrepreneurship, Management Services and Economic Growth". Vicente Salas Fumás & J. Javier Sánchez Asín. Departamento de Economía y Dirección de Empresas. University of Zaragoza.

2007-04: "Equality versus Equity based pay systems and their effects on rational altruism motivation in teams: Wicked masked altruism". Javier García Bernal & Marisa Ramírez Alerón. University of Zaragoza.

2007-05: "Macroeconomic outcomes and the relative position of Argentina's Economy: 1875-2000". Isabel Sanz Villarroya. University of Zaragoza.

2008-01: "Vertical product differentiation with subcontracting". Joaquín Andaluz Funcia. University of Zaragoza.

2008-02: "The motherwood wage penalty in a mediterranean country: The case of Spain" Jose Alberto Molina Chueca & Victor Manuel Montuenga Gómez. University of Zaragoza.

2008-03: "Factors influencing e-disclosure in local public administrations". Carlos Serrano Cinca, Mar Rueda Tomás & Pilar Portillo Tarragona. Departamento de Contabilidad y Finanzas. Universidad de Zaragoza.

2008-04: "La evaluación de la producción científica: hacia un factor de impacto neutral". José María Gómez-Sancho y María Jesús Mancebón-Torrubia. Universidad de Zaragoza.

2008-05: "The single monetary policy and domestic macro-fundamentals: Evidence from Spain". Michael G. Arghyrou, Cardiff Business School and Maria Dolores Gadea, University of Zaragoza.

2008-06: "Trade through fdi: investing in services". Carmen Fillat-Castejón, University of Zaragoza, Spain; Joseph F. Francois. University of Linz, Austria; and CEPR, London & Julia Woerz, The Vienna Institute for International Economic Studies, Austria.